

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1, and ensure that subsidiary objectives, policies and rules in the plan 'give effect to' this. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend explanation of Policy 4.1.2 as follows: <i>"As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources <u>without the need for resource consent</u> where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment".</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1, and ensure that the approach throughout the subsidiary objectives and policies gives effect to it, including by allowing finer grained assessment at the resource stage. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.2. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.2, but consider the basis and justification for the minimum flows. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: <i>"Maintain or enhance the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies <u>where practicable</u>."</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Clarify the extent to which these values are prioritised, and that they are not to be protected at all costs. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4, subject to PR's other concerns being addressed. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to address the concerns raised. Make any consequential amendments to the rules to provide for limited water takes to protect root stock to continue during minimum flows. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.7. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to clarify how this will work in practice. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.9. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify which Freshwater Management Units are dominated by aquifers. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend: <i>"generally avoid allocating water"</i> . | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Clarify how this policy has been implemented, and/or how the system will work. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide further guidance as to how allocations will be reduced, to ensure this occurs in a fair and transparent manner. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include slightly more flexible wording, as such: <i>"Generally only grant consent for a new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river if the application provides for:"</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 5.2.22. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | PRW seeks amendments to the MEP provisions, including this policy, to address its concerns. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to clarify when and how reviews will occur. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: <i>(d) municipal water supply; and then</i> <i>(e) irrigation</i> <i>(f) all other takes of water.</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain, subject to the relief sought below in terms of the method of allocation (and calculation of reasonable demand), and an amendment to specify the application efficiency as follows: <i>"Allocate water to irrigation users on the basis of a nine in ten year water demand for the crop/pasture, <u>given an irrigation application efficiency of 80%.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to address PR's concerns. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 36 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 38 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 40 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 41 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Extent the default lapse period, or providing the ability for applicants to seek to extend the lapse period where necessary (e.g. for new vineyard operations). | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 42 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.4.2. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 43 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 44 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 45 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 46 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 47 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.5, provided the allocation limits in Schedule 6 are properly derived, and the phase out is occurs in a fair way as between water users. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 48 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | PRW reserves its position - it may need to oppose this classification subject to further information being provided. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 49 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Clarify whether the policy applies to reapplication.</p> <p>Change activity status to non-complying.</p> <p>Possible drafting would be:</p> <p><i><u>"Generally avoid granting new water permits for additional abstraction (i.e. not including applications to continue taking and using groundwater or surface water) from the water resources listed in Policy 5.5.1, so long as they remain over-allocated."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 50 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.4, subject to amendment in relation to 'reasonable demand'. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 51 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.5, subject to providing further guidance (including as sought) in relation to how reductions will be determined. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 52 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.6, subject to amendments to policies and rules to address PR's concerns. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 53 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.6.1 or amend to address PR's concerns. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 54 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | PRW reserves its position - it may be necessary for it to oppose this policy. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 55 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7, subject to amendment to policies to address PR's concerns (including in relation to the way in which IrriCalc is used). | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 56 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 5.7.2 to refer to "<u>irrigation water</u>".</p> <p>Amend explanation to address PR's concerns. This policy (which pertains) to water allocation should also facilitate the consideration of 'property specific information' where appropriate (this is currently only provided for at Policy 5.7.3 below, which relates to water use rather than water takes).</p> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 57 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the ability to refer to property specific information in determining reasonable use, but replace the policy with the wording in PRW's submission.</p> <p>Alternatively, if the policy is retained in substantially its current form, replace "calculation" with "assessment".</p> <p>In any event, the references to IrriCalc in the explanation should also be substantially revised.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 58 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.4. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 59 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.5. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 60 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.7.6. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 61 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to refer to <i>"reasonably practicable effective alternative methods"</i> . | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 62 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.9. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 63 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend: <i>"generally avoid"</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 64 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend: <i>"encourage require a minimum storage volume..."</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 65 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 66 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1 as drafted. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 67 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2, with clarifications. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 68 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 69 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 70 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 71 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.9. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 72 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.1; consider alternative mechanisms of allocation consistent with section 30(1) (fa) and 30(4) RMA, such as a tender process. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 73 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.2. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 74 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.3. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 75 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Council consider re-wording to address these drafting issues, for example by changing the wording to: <i>"... and <u>avoid significant effects</u> on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values".</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 76 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Council consider re-wording to address these drafting issues (e.g. by referring to <i>"any <u>significant reduction</u>"</i>). | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 77 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 78 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.11. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 79 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 14A. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 80 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 81 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 82 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.2. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 83 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.3. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 84 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4 as drafted. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 85 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 86 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.9. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 87 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.2. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 88 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support Objective 14.3, subject to refinement of wording: <i>"Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 89 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.3.2, with an additional clause (e): <i>"<u>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 90 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue14(b) subject to including a definition of reverse sensitivity effects, as follows: <i>"<u>Reverse sensitivity means the effect on existing activities from the introduction of new activities into the same environment, where the new activities may raise concerns or complaints regarding the effects of existing activities which could lead to restrictions being places on existing activities.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 91 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.10, but include recognition of reverse sensitivity effects. Amend as follows: <i>"<u>Control the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential community expectations, and managing reverse sensitivity effects on existing activities.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 92 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.12, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 93 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 94 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.14, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 95 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.15, subject to any refinements necessary to address other parts of Pernod Ricard's submission. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 96 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.5, with minor refinement made as follows: <i>"Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments."</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 97 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1(b). | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 98 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1(c) | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 99 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include the additional matters identified by PRW. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 100 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address PR's concern, e.g.: <i>"Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air."</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 101 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.3.4, subject to the following amendment: <i>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift <u>as far as practicable</u>."</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 102 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 15.5.1. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 103 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 17.6.1. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 104 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1, subject to resolution of PR's concerns as to how the levels and limits in Appendix 6 have been set. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 105 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That consideration be given to providing for the takes referred to as permitted or controlled activities. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 106 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 107 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 108 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5, subject to specifying additional permitted activities, and noting that 2.5.2 includes proposed takes that do not comply with the minimum flow restrictions. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 109 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for takes beyond allocation limits as non-complying. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 110 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for takes for frost fighting purposes in this period as non-complying. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 111 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.21. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 112 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Permitted Activities proposed, subject to amendments to the standards sought. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 113 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.2.1, with any amendments necessary to address other aspects of Pernod Ricard's submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 114 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Standards under Heading 3.2.3. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 115 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.4, including any other or additional measures as appropriate to manage reverse sensitivity effects. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 116 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.7. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 117 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.9. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 118 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.6. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 119 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.13. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 120 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address PRW's concerns; consider providing a restricted discretionary activity (rather than full discretionary, which is the current default in the event of a breach) for more minor breaches. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 121 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.19. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to address PRW's concerns; consider providing a restricted discretionary activity (rather than full discretionary, which is the current default in the event of a breach) for more minor breaches. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 122 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 123 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 124 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.25, subject to amending clauses (a) to refer to 20m. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 125 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 126 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 3.4.2, or amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 127 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.2. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 128 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.10. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 129 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 24, subject to any amendments required by other parts of PR's submission. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 130 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Agrichemical definition, subject to amendment as follows: <i>"This includes agricultural compounds, but excludes fertilisers, <u>compost</u>, vertebrate pest control products and organ nutrition compounds."</i> | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 131 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Farming definition. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 132 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Noise Sensitivity Activity definition. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 133 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Winery definition, but consider clarification. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 134 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 25 to include definition of 'reverse sensitivity'. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 135 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 5, subject to further information showing these values are justified. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 136 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Given the lack of information provided, it is necessary for PRW to reserve its position on Appendix 6 (i.e., oppose it for the purposes of the submission). | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 137 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 157 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 157. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 138 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 158 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 158. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 139 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 159 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 159. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 140 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 160 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 160. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 141 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 174 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 174. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 142 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 173 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 173. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 143 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 186 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 186. | | | | | |
| 1039 | Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited | 144 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 187 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Zoning Map 187. | | | | | |
| 507 | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. | | | | | |
| 507 | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. | | | | | |
| 507 | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. | | | | | |
| 507 | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. | | | | | |
| 507 | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.7. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. | | | | | |
| 507 | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. | | | | | |
| 507 | Peter Ray Homes Blenheim Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum. | | | | | |
| 466 | Vivienne Faye Peters | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land | | Support |
| Decision Requested | I wish to be advised on any changes to entrances to Whitney Street School. I wish that the remaining curbside be free of yellow (no parking) markings. That there be no limit to amount of time vehicles can be parked in Whitney Street-School after all is 197 days in a year. Should be more classrooms be added. I would like the Ministry or Council to require provision on school site for more parking and/or at least a turnaround vehicle area on school property. | | | | | |
| 371 | Petroleum Exploration and Production Association of NZ (PEPANZ) | 1 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions of Chapter 13. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Maintain but note importance of diversity and capacity for adaptation. Recognising that business as usual with respect to water may not continue into the future and likewise Tourism (international) can involve high carbon based energy demands and may not be the same in the future as it is today. Flexibility is key | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adjust to reflect further examples as noted. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Strengthen the text in relation to the commitment to intervene only when there are very well established science, economic and ecological grounds. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust policy to recognise that major changes to existing infrastructure that may impose significant costs or opportunity costs to third parties should consider matters of compensation | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word iconic or partition sounds areas into those that justify such description vs those that don't and insert the qualifier "inappropriate" use and development.... | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include reference to character reflecting many highly modified environments and the element of precaution will be exercised in relation to established baseline data. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adjust statements to recognise the need for well founded data or baseline trends to inform the potential significance of adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | ensure this remains in full | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The amalgamation of planting and replanting under definitions needs to be separated | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include commercial forestry and forestry harvesting activities/ also woodlot harvesting under same definition. Or adopt the National Environmental Standard for plantation forestry to resolve the issue | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extend coverage of rule to include 300m from any existing commercial forest boundary. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 13 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Allow planting and especially replanting of specified species only and only when the Scion wilding spread risk calculator indicates a low risk of spread. Align to rules about this subject in the National Environmental Standard for Plantation Forestry. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 14 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to incorporate comments for b, c,d,e,f,g,& j | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 15 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | retain minimum of 20days notice but redefine and increase options for extended forward notice including annual plans. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the requirement and align with the NPS -PF requiring that material changes be documented on the harvest plan with reasons and available to council on request. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 17 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the requirement for prior notification and align rule to NES. Also need clear illustrative definition of what a material change is. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Align setbacks to NES standard, maintain permitted use subject to standards as already listed under the activity rule and dispense with the 200m setback (to 1 10th the size) which is inequitable and unjustified provided other good practice adhered to. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Align this condition to the NES and the NES erosion susceptibility mapping. Make earthworks on lower risk sites fully permitted subject to conditions | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 20 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Restructure the rule to reflect erosion susceptibility of which slope is just one factor and provide for deminimus activity for maintenance and safety on higher risk sites. Align with the NES. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 21 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain rule | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 22 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain rule | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 23 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | delete rule 3.3.710 in total it is dis-functional. Retain 3.3.7.16 Align rules to NES | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 24 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 25 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 26 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete sub-clause a) retain the other sub-clauses | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 27 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain subclause a) delete the rest | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 28 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete, and restructure rules for machinery in setbacks with alignment to NES setbacks. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 29 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as described. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 30 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | either delete or restructure to demonstrate the effectiveness of avoiding remedying or mitigating and adverse effect and apply the same rule to ALL landuse and infrastructure assets. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to "when no longer required for management access purposes" and reframe the primary purpose to achieve soil stability and avoid sedimentation by use of "slashing" vegetation cover or full recontouring. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 32 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as stated | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 33 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as listed | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | adjust as requested | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 35 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Develop a rule cascade providing for an intermediate restricted discretionary step. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 36 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Re-address to ensure effects and mitigation apply equally between landuses | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 37 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.20.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain as is | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 38 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain proposed rule set | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 39 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust clause to reflect practical solution to a realtime issue. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 40 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust clause as requested for same reason as applies to 3.3.22.3 | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 41 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.24. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain in full | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 42 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Align with the NES | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 43 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review and amend ruled cascades to removed unnecessary default to full discretion | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 44 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Harvesting of permanent Carbon forest should default to normal forestry rules subject to compliance with other carbon forestry legislation. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 45 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain in its entirety | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 46 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain permitted activity status for 4.1.7 & 4.1.8 | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 47 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | include temporary forestry activity noise | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 48 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as requested | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 49 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Align setbacks to NES standard 5 and 10m thresholds | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 50 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adjust rule set as for the rural area | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 51 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as already submitted in the rural environment zone - eliminate the unjustified 200m setback | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 52 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as requested | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 53 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Align the high risk sediment generation rules to meet or exceed those applied to other landuse | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 54 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adjust as per equivalent rule under rural environment. Develop a rule cascade for forestry earthworks above the permitted level with discretion limited where the area is not outstanding landscape and is existing forest on slopes over 25 degrees. Delete use of Munsell scale | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 55 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.19.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain as is | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 56 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust per same submission for equivalent rule in the Rural Environment Zone | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 57 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as requested | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 58 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain rule set | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 59 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adjust and provide for commercial forestry earthworks for existing plantations not in an outstanding natural landscape as described | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 60 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Readjust rule cascade. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 61 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rebuild the rules cascade to provide for commercial forestry planting as restricted discretion on lands outside outstanding natural landscapes and high value landscapes overlays. Align rule set to NES for areas out side those constraints | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 62 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as permitted or restricted discretion activities in alignment with the NES outside outstanding natural landscapes. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 63 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for forestry options but under full discretion - align with NES | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 64 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | retain or align to NES culvert rules | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 65 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove reference to Munsell units as a measure of quality | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 66 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this sub clause | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 67 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Increase permitted pipe width allowance to 15m. Or align rule to NES permitted culvert rules which specify design standards and are more robust. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 68 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Redesign the rules cascade of better still align the rules cascade with that of the NES which takes these issues into account. | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 69 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support |
| Decision Requested | use as listed or align with NES harvest plan template | | | | | |
| 149 | PF Olsen Ltd | 70 | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify | | | | | |
| 1176 | Tan Pham | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1132 | Sokhom Pich | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The existing bores and surface takes within the GPA are able to be altered or maintained. | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of intensively farmed livestock as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10 m3 and if underground water is struck compaction to be under taken to reduce leaching. | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 28 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the flood hazard extent with respect to the submitters property be re-assessed. | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.17.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The existing bores and surface takes within the GPA are able to be altered or maintained. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain (inferred). | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.1.21 be retained as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.3.21.1 is retained as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1035 | Pieter Wilhelmus and Ormond Aquaculture Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That freedom camping in areas subject to GPA overlays not be provided for as permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown" in the guiding principles. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> (x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 7 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 8 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.AER.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1 <i>6.AER.1</i> <i>The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is retainedrestored.</i> Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 10 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape). | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|---|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, GOS SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof). | | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to Overlay Map 5 (<i>inferred</i>): | | | | | |
| 1. Endangered Hector dolphin habitat including East Bay and "Hectorville" Te Ipapakerereu Bay and Waikakaramea Bay are designated as ONFL's or as ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | | |
| 2. The whole of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory channel are designated as ONFL's in their entirety. | | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 16 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 17 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.6 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.6. Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 18 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</p> <p>(b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);</p> <p>(c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</p> <p>(d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</p> <p>(e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</p> <p>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);</p> <p>(g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 20 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>): 4.3.6.1 <i>Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> (a) 8 10 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (b) 8 10 metres of a Significant Wetland; (c) 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area; (d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for streams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width. That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 21 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new Method of Implementation (<i>inferred</i>) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 22 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.AER.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the wording " <i>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds</i> " is included in 7.AER.1. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 23 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 24 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 25 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new <i>Methods of Implementation</i> are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose</i> (15-11)</p> <p>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</p> <p>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</p> <p>Incentives</p> <p>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</p> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 26 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included:</p> <p>a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</p> <p>b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</p> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 27 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included.</p> <p>That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.</p> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 28 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a decision requested.</p> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 29 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made: | | | | | |
| | 1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels. | | | | | |
| | 2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| | 3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13E | Support |
| Decision Requested | That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 31 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 32 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 33 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 34 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the MEP includes methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 35 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting: <i>4.3.8.X All woody material >100 mm diameter and >3 metres in length from gullies (>5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i> That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 36 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 37 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 38 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>); • Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>); • European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>); • Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>); • Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>). | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 39 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 40 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 4.6.4. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 41 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 42 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.2. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 43 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.13.6. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 44 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.15.5. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 45 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8: <i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 46 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 47 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</i> | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 48 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 49 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015. Reference to this assessment is provided on page 21 of the submission. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 50 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment. | | | | | |
| 578 | Pinder Family Trust | 51 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays. | | | | | |
| 1245 | Pitapisces Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) a building or structure must not exceed 10m ___m;</i> " (Submitter did not specify but an area greater than 10m2) <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1245 | Pitapisces Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule, subject to amendment to Standard 4.5.11 <i>(see separate submission)</i> . <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1245 | Pitapisces Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1245 | Pitapisces Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| 1245 | Pitapisces Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1245 | Pitapisces Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 846 | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |
| 846 | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |
| 846 | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |
| 846 | Sutherland, Kirsty and Planthaber, Steve | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <i>Inferred decision requested is to deleted Issue 4C.</i> | | | | | |
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 2 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Chapter 7 Landscape.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <i>Inferred decision requested is to delete standard 3.3.7.2.</i> | | | | | |
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 4 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission opposes <i>Policy # or rule # 7.2.9 Harvest Plan</i> . Volume 2 (inferred) Chapter 7 Coastal Living does not have any reference to Forestry Harvest Plans. The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). | | | | | |
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend by remove D Fir from list. Adopt methodology in the proposed NES-PF. | | | | | |
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 6 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Planting should be allowed to high water mark in Marl. Sounds. | | | | | |
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a <i>Decision Requested</i> or <i>Recommended alternative</i> (Heading provided in submitters submission table). <i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Standard 3.3.7.18.</i> | | | | | |
| 368 | Kate and Shane Ponder-West | 8 | Volume 4 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Replace with Forestry NES-ESC Mapping. <i>Inferred decision requested is to delete Overlays for Steep Erosion Prone Land.</i> | | | | | |
| 730 | Gabrielle Jane Pooley | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| 1133 | Simon Pooley | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1040 | Port Aquaculture Limited | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 187 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>General relief sought</p> <p>(a) That a Port Zone at Clifford Bay and related objectives, policies, methods and explanatory text is retained, in recognition of Its potential national, regional and local benefits;</p> <p>(b) That the boundaries of the Port Zone at Clifford Bay on the Planning Maps be amended, as shown in Appendix A attached to and forming part of this submission, to reflect the smaller port concept, and that any other zoning or overlay which impedes or conflicts with the purpose of the Port Zone is removed;</p> <p>(c) In acknowledgement of (a) above, all objectives, polices, methods and explanatory text relating to activities within the Port Zone recognise and provide for those activities to occur, to the extent appropriate, in a permissive manner;</p> <p>(d) That the objectives, policies, methods and any explanatory texts be amended to give effect to the decisions sought in this submission (including the relief sought in the table annexed as Appendix B and forming part of this submission) or such other words to address the concerns raised; and</p> <p>(e) Any consequential changes necessary to the objectives, policies, methods and any other explanatory text necessary to give full effect to the decisions sought.</p> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.2. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.2. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-----------------|
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.3. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.1. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.2. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.3. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.4. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.3.5. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 6.2, subject to amending to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.1 to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity, and that avoidance of adverse effects is not always possible. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 6.2.2 to reflect that some essential activities need to be located in the coastal environment by necessity, and that avoidance of adverse effects is not possible. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.2.3: <i>Policy 6.2.3 Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid, any reduction in the degree of remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies.</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.2.4: <i>Policy 6.2.4 Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character; and</i> <i>(b) the necessity of the activity to locate in the coastal or freshwater environment.</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.6. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.7. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.2.8: <i>Policy 6.2.8 Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character except where the land use activity is in support of an activity that is by necessity required to be located in a river lake or coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.1.1: <i>Policy 13.1.1 Avoid or mitigate adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.2.2: <i>Policy 13.2.2 In addition to the values in Policy 13.2.1, the following matters shall be considered by decision makers in determining whether subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are appropriate at the location proposed and of an appropriate scale, form and design: (a) the contribution the proposed subdivision, use or development activity makes to the social and economic wellbeing of people and communities on a national, regional and local level;</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.2.3(b): <i>Policy 13.2.3 To enable periodic reassessment of whether activities and developments are affecting the values of the coastal marine area, to encourage efficient use of a finite resource and in consideration of the dynamic nature of the coastal environment: (a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and (b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.5, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.6.1, provided the following amendment (bold) is made to (d): <i>Policy 13.6.1 Provide for the mooring or berthage of boats by:(d) zoning specific areas for activities related to the operation of marinas, ports and port landing areas in Picton, Havelock, Waikawa, Elaine Bay, Clifford Bay and Oyster Bay.</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.7. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.1. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.7.2. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.10. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.1. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.2, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.3, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 36 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.6, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.7, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 38 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.24. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.25. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 40 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.26, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 41 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.11, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 42 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.1, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 43 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.2. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 44 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.4, subject to a Port Zone at Clifford Bay being retained. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 45 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 13.13.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.13.2 Enable disturbance of the foreshore and seabed in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at London Quay Beach, Shelly Beach and Waikawa Beach for the excavation or removal of foreshore or seabed material for the purpose of removing marine debris or litter or for the renourishment or grooming of beaches;</i></p> <p><i>(b) for the excavation or removal of foreshore or seabed material for marine mammal rescue or burial; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) for oil spill response operations; or</i></p> <p><i>(d) for the safe and efficient operation of activities in Port Zones.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 46 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.4. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 47 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.7. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 48 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.9. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 49 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.14. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 50 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.14.1: <i>Policy 13.14.1 Enable water transportation activities where these do not have an significant adverse effects on the coastal environment.</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 51 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.14.2: <i>Policy 13.14.2 The strategic importance of areas of the Marlborough Sounds Region as a transportation route for inter-island shipping will be recognised as a 'National Transportation Route'.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 52 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 13.14.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.14.3A – Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond:</i></p> <p><i>(a) ports of Picton and Havelock;</i></p> <p><i>(b) port landing areas at Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Picton, Havelock and Waikawa marinas; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) jetties and landing areas in Torea Bay and Onahau Bay (Queen Charlotte Sound), Elmslie Bay (French Pass), Kapowai Bay (d’Urville Island) and Portage, Te Mahia and Waitaria Bay (Kenepuru Sound).</i></p> <p>Policy 13.14.3B Recognise and provide for a potential port at Clifford Bay as a link between land transport modes and water transport and for export and import of goods between the Marlborough Region and beyond.</p> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 53 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.15. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 54 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.15.1 but amend the <i>National Transportation Route</i> Map to include an overlay extending to the Port Zone at Clifford Bay. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 55 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.15.2(b):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.15.2 Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on water transportation by:</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within significant commercial shipping routes (including shipping routes from the Port of Picton, Havelock Harbour, Clifford Bay, and from Waikawa Marina);</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 56 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.17. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 57 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.1. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 58 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.2. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 59 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.17.3: <i>Policy 13.17.3 Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton, and Havelock and Clifford Bay:</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 60 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.6 subject to amendments to Policy 13.7.3 (submission point #59) being made. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 61 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.8. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 62 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.10. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 63 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.11. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 64 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.18. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 65 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.1. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 66 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bole) are made to Policy 13.18.2: <i>Policy 13.18.2 Ensure that activities occurring within Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones do not have more than minor adversely affect effects on water, air or soil quality within or beyond the zone boundary, by:</i> | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 67 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 68 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.4. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 69 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) to Policy 13.18.5: <i>Policy 13.18.5 Dredging for the maintenance of berths and identified navigation channels shall be recognised as an appropriate activity in Port and Marina Zones subject to standards to mitigate adverse effects, including those on navigational safety, water quality and aspects of the dredging operation, such as limits on the volume able to be dredged.</i> Alternatively, retain Policy 13.18.5 and delete Policy 13.13.9. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 70 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.6. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 71 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.7. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 72 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.8. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 73 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13L | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain all objectives, policies and methods which recognise and provide for the Lake Grassmere Salt Works. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 74 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.1 Permitted Activities. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 75 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain permitted activity standards in 13.2.1. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 76 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standards under 13.3. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 77 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.1. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 78 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.2. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 79 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.3. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 80 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.4.5. | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 81 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 13.5.2: <i>Rule 13.5.2 Any activity carried out for the purpose of constructing a port or any port activity within the Port Zone at Clifford Bay.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 82 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of <i>Port activities</i> . | | | | | |
| 1041 | Port Clifford Limited | 83 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following definition (bold) of Regionally significant infrastructure:</p> <p><i>Regionally significant infrastructure is:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Strategic land transport network and arterial roads</i> 2. <i>Infrastructure in Port Zones</i> 3. <i>Telecommunication facilities</i> 4. <i>National regional and local renewable electricity generation activities of any scale</i> 5. <i>The electricity transmission network</i> 6. <i>Sewage collection treatment and disposal networks</i> 7. <i>Community land drainage infrastructure</i> 8. <i>Community potable water systems</i> 9. <i>Established community-scale irrigation and stockwater infrastructure</i> 10. <i>Transport hubs</i> 11. <i>Bulk fuel supply infrastructure including terminals wharf lines and pipelines.</i> 12. <i>Electricity distribution network.</i> | | | | | |
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.5 | | | | | |
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through and bold):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.2.1.11 (a) a building or structure must not exceed 4050m²;</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle Peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland , all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high". | | | | | |
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove. Melville Cove should be "very high". | | | | | |
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland , all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high". | | | | | |
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include all the waters of East Bay as ONFL. | | | | | |
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay. | | | | | |
| 468 | Port Gore Group | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 1 | All | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In summary PMNZ: a) Opposes, opposes in part, supports and supports in part the MEP as set out in this submission and in greater detail (but without detracting from this submission in any way) in the specific relief sought in Tables 1, 2 and 3 and the amendments to the zone maps and overlay maps (refer Annexures A, B and C). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure <u>have regard to potential impacts on:</u> (a) the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained; (b) mauri, is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air; (c) mahinga kai and natural resources used for customary purposes are maintained or enhanced and that these resources are healthy and accessible to tangata whenua; (d) for waterbodies, the elements of physical health to be assessed are:- i. aesthetic and sensory qualities, e.g. clarity, colour, natural character, smell and sustenance for indigenous flora and fauna;- ii. life-supporting capacity, ecosystem robustness and habitat richness;- iii. depth and velocity of flow (reflecting the life force of the river through its changing character, flows and fluctuations);- iv. continuity of flow from the sources of a river to its mouth at the sea;- v. wilderness and natural character; vi. productive capacity; and vii. fitness to support human use, including cultural uses.- (d) how traditional Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga maataitai, waahi tapu, papakainga and taonga raranga are to be recognised and provided for. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend method as follows: ...The Council must also have regard to the Statutory Acknowledgement relating to a statutory area when deciding whether the relevant trustees are affected persons in relation to an activity within, adjacent to, or directly affecting the statutory area and for which an application for resource consent is made. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain issue and discussion. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain objective. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following <u>regionally significant</u> infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</p> <p>.....</p> <p>(i) Port of Picton, Shakespeare Bay and Havelock Harbour;</p> <p>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas</p> <p>This policy recognises the significance of the infrastructure existing or consented at the time that the MEP becomes operative.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete objective. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete explanation: This objective meets the expectations of Section 6(a) of the RMA, which establishes that preservation of natural character is a matter of national importance. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Avoid the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid significant adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid, <u>remedy or mitigate</u> any reduction in the degree of <u>adverse effects</u> on the natural character of the coastal environment or <u>and</u> freshwater bodies. Delete the explanation beneath this policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | PMNZ seeks the removal of the Very High Coastal Natural Character Overlay from the Marina zone within Waikawa Bay and the area just beyond the Marina Zone, as shown in the plan attached to this submission in Annexure B . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Require land use activities <u>that do not have a functional or operational need to be located adjacent to such features</u> to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Require land use activities <u>that do not have a functional or operational need to be located adjacent to such features</u> to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). Also, remove the Outstanding Landscape Feature overlay from the Port zone, as depicted on the plan attached in Annexure B of this submission (refer submission table below). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Policy 7.1.2 – Define the boundaries of <u>significant landscapes with high amenity value landscapes</u> using the following methods: (a) land typing; (b) contour line; (c) contained landscape features; (d) visual catchment; and/or (e) land use <u>and zoning</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Exclude urban zoned areas (including Port, Port Landing and Marina) from the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows or similar to like effect: Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain and enhance those landscape features with high amenity value that contribute to the landscapes with high amenity value. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision but revise the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape to exclude urban zones including the Port, Port Landing and Marina Zones. If the primary relief is not accepted, make consequential changes to Policy 7.2.3 so that it does not apply to such areas. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy, and exclude urban zoned areas from the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape, as described earlier in this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Policy 7.2.5 Avoid adverse effects on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are <u>adequately</u> remedied or <u>mitigated</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to clarify within the text of the policy that it does not apply to activities in the coastal environment. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|--------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows (divide into two parts): Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and avoid, remedy of mitigate adverse effects on the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by: (a) In respect of structures: (i) <u>in Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes, for buildings and structures</u>, avoiding visual intrusion on <u>land based</u> skylines, particularly when viewed from public places; (ii) <u>in Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes</u> avoiding <u>significant visual effects of</u> new dwellings in close proximity the foreshore; (b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads): (i) avoiding extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.AER.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows: Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with visual amenity value are protected from degradation <u>inappropriate subdivision, use or development</u>.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide classification acronyms. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend text of Chapter 8 and/or the overlay titles to ensure consistent terminology is used. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna are biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 36 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete objective. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Maintain, enhance or restore <u>Appropriately manage</u> ecosystems.... | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 38 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: (b) avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects on where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are mapped as significant wetlands or ecologically significant marine sites mapped in the Marlborough Environment Plan; or (c) avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010. or are not identified as significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1 of the Marlborough Environment Plan. Amend the overlay maps in accordance with the maps contained in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Except for areas within the coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds, where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be: (a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and (b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 40 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to ensure there is no duplication of provisions in this policy with other chapters of the MEP, and that the issues are clear and concise. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 41 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include provisions to encourage the use of adaptive management techniques where there is uncertainty regarding adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 42 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: (c) coastal marine area <u>zoned Coastal Marine</u> , particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croisilles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach; | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 43 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 44 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy to exclude Port, Port Landing and Marina zones. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 45 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 46 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 47 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 48 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 49 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend MEP to address PMNZ's concerns. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 50 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows (divide into two parts):</p> <p><u>Avoid adverse effects from inappropriate subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Outstanding Coastal Natural Character</u></p> <p>Avoid, <u>remedy or mitigate</u> adverse effects from <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</p> <p>—(a) — outstanding natural character;</p> <p>(b) <u>(a)</u> outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</p> <p>—(c) <u>(d)</u> significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</p> <p>(d) <u>(e)</u> significant historic heritage value.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 51 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the provisions of the MEP to remove all duplication in objectives and policies. Amend policy by deleting clause (g). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 52 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 53 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clauses (f) and (j) or amend the policy so that it does not affect / cut across activities in the Port, Port Landing and Marina zones. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 54 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clauses (a) and (b). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 55 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>PMNZ routinely consults with the Harbourmaster for its developments and activities in the coastal environment, where an activity has potential effects on navigational safety. However, PMNZ considers it overly onerous for the Harbourmaster to be an affected party on all resource consent applications in the CMA.</p> <p>The determination of affected parties should be carried out on a case by case basis under s95E of the RMA.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 56 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows: Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina <u>or the area within the National Transportation Route overlay.</u>)</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 57 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows: Objective 13.10 <u>Outside of the Port, Port Landing and Marina zones</u>, structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to protect the values of the coastal environment.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 58 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>(c) the works are for the operational needs of ports <u>and development</u> within Port Zones or for the operational needs of marinas <u>and the development of marinas</u> within Marina Zones, where they are consistent with other relevant policies of the Marlborough Environment Plan</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 59 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows: Where practicable for the purpose of public access, an esplanade reserve or strip shall be required to be set aside on <u>new</u> reclaimed areas of the coastal marine area.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 60 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Enable water transportation activities where these do not have an adverse effect on the coastal environment <u>are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 61 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 62 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond: (a) ports of Picton, <u>Shakespeare Bay</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 63 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 64 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 65 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13J | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Issue 13J – It is important that Marlborough’s existing ports, port landing areas and marinas continue to contribute to community economic and social wellbeing. The ports and marinas at Havelock, Waikawa and Picton (as they exist or as they have been approved at the time the MEP becomes operative) have been identified as regionally significant infrastructure in Chapter 4 - Use of Natural and Physical Resources. This reflects the function of the strategic integration of infrastructure with land use given to the Council in Section 30 of the RMA. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 66 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy and amend zoning as set out on the plans contained in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 67 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain policy | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 68 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Port Zones, including</u> the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton and Havelock:</p> <p>(b) loading and unloading of ships, cargo handling, storage of cargo and some processing of cargo;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(d) <u>Port Engineering</u>, ship building, repair and maintenance;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(j) <u>Port Activities</u>;</p> <p>(k) <u>Industrial Activities related to Port Activities</u>.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 69 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy as follows:</p> <p>Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Marina Zones, including the</u> following operational requirements of Marina Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(h) maintenance dredging of navigation channels, turning basins and berths for the purposes of safe berthage and manoeuvring of commercial vessels;</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 70 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy as follows:</p> <p>Recognise and provide for the <u>permitted activities in the Port Landing Zones, including the</u> following operational requirements of Port Landing Area Zones at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay:</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 71 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>Activities not <u>provided for as permitted activities or not</u> recognised as having an operational requirement (as identified in Policies 13.17.3 to 13.17.5) that are to be located in the Port, Port Landing Area or Marina Zones must be assessed through a resource consent process to ensure that the efficiency and safety of the port/port landing area/marina is not compromised.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 72 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain this policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 73 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy as follows: Where an activity not related to operational requirements is proposed <u>provided for as a permitted activity</u> in the Havelock Port Zone, then decision makers must take into account the following matters: | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 74 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Restrictions on public access to and within port areas may be appropriate to maintain public health; <u>and</u> safety and <u>to provide</u> security <u>of this infrastructure.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 75 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 76 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Ensure the <u>activities, and</u> intensity, character and scale of development and operation of Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones is appropriate in relation to the values of the coastal environment in these locations. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 77 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Ensure that activities occurring within Port, Port Landing Area and Marina Zones <u>manages</u> adversely affect <u>effects on</u> water, air or soil quality within or beyond the zone boundary, by: And amend explanation: This policy seeks to ensure that port and marina operations <u>appropriately manage potential</u> do not have an adverse effect on water air and spoil resources.... | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 78 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to acknowledge that worker accommodation is provided for in these zones. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 79 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 80 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 81 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this policy. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 82 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete reference to policy 13.11.9. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 83 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows d) <u>Significant Wetlands</u> : protection management of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 84 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision 2.16.4. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 85 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision 2.16.5. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 86 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include provisions to provide for the discharge of contaminants to water. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 87 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (2.17.4 and 2.17.4.1.) | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 88 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (2.17.5, 2.17.5.1-2.17.5.6.) | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 89 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.31.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 90 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.32.1.1 to include the specific parking requirements for port and marina activities, as set out in Rules 33.1.1.3 (that relates to Port activities) and 34.1.1.2 (that relates to Marina activities) of the operative Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 91 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.1.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete rule, or include performance standards to manage effects of a large car parking area, such as landscaping. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 92 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 93 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend these provisions to exempt them from applying in the Port Zone. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 94 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend these provisions to exempt them from applying in the Port Zone. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 95 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.2.4. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: <u>Except for in the Port Zone</u> , the illumination must not result in greater than 10 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any adjoining property within the zone, measured 2m inside the boundary of any adjoining property. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 96 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 2.36.7.1 the maximum total area of a sign on any site (not including any that are painted or fixed directly onto a building that do not alter the existing profile of the building) must not exceed 6m ² on any land zoned Business 1, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport. Include a new rule to allow more than one sign is permitted on any site in the Port zone (and exempt Port Zone from Rule 2.35.1.2). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 97 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 2.36.7.1 the maximum total area of a sign on any site (not including any that are painted or fixed directly onto a building that do not alter the existing profile of the building) must not exceed 6m ² on any land zoned Business 1, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport. Include a new rule to allow more than one sign is permitted on any site in the Port zone (and exempt Port Zone from Rule 2.35.1.2). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 98 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new permitted activity rule in 13.1: 13.1.X Port Activities | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 99 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a new permitted activity rule for Industrial Activities related to Port Activities, and enable retail ancillary to or associated with the industrial use. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 100 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 13.1.1 to include: 13.1.1 Shipping activities, as follows: movement and other activities associated with the berthing and departure of ships, ship anchorage, ship docking and berthage, and mooring activities (except swing moorings). <u>and the placement and use of service lines to ships.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 101 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain this rule. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 102 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | 13.1.4 Processing of cargo (except wood or forestry) that is delivered <u>transported</u> by ship to <u>or from</u> the Port for processing or use. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 103 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Maintenance, repair or replacement <u>and use</u> of a building or structure in the coastal marine area, and <u>the construction, use, maintenance, repair or replacement of buildings and structures on existing wharves.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 104 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors Standards: 13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port. 13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 105 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors Standards: 13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port. 13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 106 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.1.14 Living Accommodation for port staff and contractors Standards: 13.3.5.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the port. 13.3.5.2 The accommodation must only be provided to employees and contractors of the operator of the port. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 107 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 108 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.22. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 109 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.11.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 110 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.45. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 111 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.1.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.2.1.2. The maximum height of silos existing at 9 June 2016 and located on Lot 1 DP 4166, Lot 1 DP 7579 and Lot 1 DP 4973 must not exceed 35m. 13.2.1.4. <u>Except as provided for in Rule 13.2.1.1,</u> the maximum height of a building or structure on a wharf must not exceed 10m. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 112 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.1.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.2.1.2. The maximum height of silos existing at 9 June 2016 and | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 113 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.</p> <p>In addition, the following amendments are sought:</p> <p>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>...</p> <p>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Amend rules to include "dB" where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min)</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 114 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone.</p> <p>In addition, the following amendments are sought:</p> <p>13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>...</p> <p>13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</p> <p>...</p> <p>Amend rules to include "dB" where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min)</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 115 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend provisions as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Zone. In addition, the following amendments are sought: 13.2.3.1. For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits: ... 13.2.3.2. For port operations in Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits: Location - At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary. ... Amend rules to include "dB" where this is missing, i.e.: 65 dB LAeq (15 min) | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 116 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows, or similar to address the submission: 13.2.4.1 <u>Any</u> new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 117 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows, or similar to address the submission: 13.2.4.2. Such insulation must be certified by an acoustic engineer as adequate to achieve the design standard. <u>Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 118 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.2.6.3. Stored waste must be stored in a covered container, structure or building. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 119 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.10.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.2.10.3 Dust particles must not exceed 0.05mm <u>0.5mm</u> size in any direction. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 120 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | 13.3.1. Processing of cargo (except wood or forestry) that is delivered transported by a ship to or from a port for processing. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 121 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause 13.3.4.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 122 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (under 13.3.13.) | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 123 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.14. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (rule 13.3.14 and associated standards.) | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 124 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.18.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. Alternatively, delete standard 13.3.18.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 125 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.20.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.3.20.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 126 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.20.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 13.3.20.4 to exempt the Port zone from this clause. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 127 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this chapter to ensure the activities taking place at Havelock are provided for. This may also include amendments to the zoning at Havelock. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 128 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.4.1. Construction and use of a building or structure (including the extension of an existing building or structure) in the coastal marine area and associated occupancy of the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 129 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.4.1.1 The building or structure (or extension thereof) must be necessary for the operational requirements of the port or be necessary for activities permitted in the Port Zone | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 130 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new assessment matter: Social, economic, cultural, and in Havelock, recreational benefits resulting from the activity. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 131 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.4.2.1 The potential for reverse sensitivity effects on existing <u>and/or</u> permitted activities within the Port Zone. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 132 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule as follows: 13.4.3 Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed associated with Rule 13.4.1 <u>and 13.4.2.</u> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 133 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 13.4.3.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 134 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend matters of discretion to include: 13.4.3.2 Material must not be deposited within the coastal marine area <u>other than the material being disturbed</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 135 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend matters of discretion to include: 13.4.3.9 Benefits likely to arise from use of the activity. (Add to standard - inferred) | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 136 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. (13.4.4, 13.4.4.1 - 13.4.4.3) | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 137 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.4.5.7 Effects on the integrity of any <u>significant</u> terrestrial or marine ecosystems. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 138 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.5.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 13.4.5.9 Restrictions on public access during the operation <u>construction activities</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 139 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert new rule: <u>Any occupation of the coastal marine environment not provided for as a Permitted Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity, or limited as a Prohibited Activity.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 140 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.3: 13.6.3. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the <u>combustion</u> of any of the following materials: ... | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 141 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.6.7: 13.6.7. New noise sensitive activity <u>or alteration or addition to an existing building that will be used for a noise sensitive activity</u> within the mapped Inner <u>Noise</u> Control Boundary at the port of Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 142 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition of Noise Sensitive Activity as follows: Noise sensitive activity means any use of land and/or buildings that is likely to be susceptible to the effects of noise emitted from nearby land uses in the course of their legitimate operation and functioning. Examples include dwellings, visitor accommodation, hospitals, health care and medical centres, residential care housing, educational institutions, structures for the purpose of, or activities involving public assembly. <u>This definition excludes those activities that are permitted, controlled or restricted discretionary activities in the Port and Port Landing zones, and at Havelock also includes those activities that are permitted in the Marina Zone.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 143 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 144 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 145 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new permitted activity rule, and associated performance standards, to provide for the manual scraping and wet sanding of anti-foul paint for the purpose of removal, and any associated discharge to air. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 146 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.15. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Maintenance, repair or replacement and use of a building or structure in the coastal marine area, and the construction, use, maintenance, repair or replacement of buildings and structures on existing wharves. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 147 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for indigenous vegetation clearance in the Port Landing zones. Port Zone Rule 13.1.13 and Standard 13.3.20 could be included in the Port Landing Zone provisions, along with the amendments sought by PMNZ to this standard (refer page 19 of this submission table). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 148 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new rule to provide for dredging in the Port Landing zones:</p> <p>XXX. Dredging, and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, within the Port Landing Zone.</p> <p>Standards</p> <p>XXX. No more than 1,000m³ of foreshore and seabed material, including but not limited to sand, shell or shingle (except live vegetation), must be removed by any person within a calendar year.</p> <p>XXX. The purpose of the dredging must be to maintain the water depth level of a navigational channel.</p> <p>XXX. At least 10 working days prior to the commencement of dredging activity, Maritime New Zealand and the Council must be advised in writing of the nature and duration of the intended works.</p> <p>XXX. The depth of any seabed disturbance must be limited to the amount necessary to maintain water depth levels.</p> <p>XXX. Dredged material must not be deposited within the coastal marine area.</p> <p>XXX. The activity must not adversely affect navigational safety.</p> <p>XXX. There must be no contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity.</p> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 149 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions under 14.2.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 150 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend provision as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Port Landing Zone, including the following amendment:</p> <p>14.2.3.1. An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at <u>beyond</u> the boundary of, or within, the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 151 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 14.2.4.1 Light spill onto any land zoned Coastal Living must not exceed 2-5 <u>10</u> Lux spoil (horizontal and vertical). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 152 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a definition for "commercial clean fill". | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 153 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert new rule: <u>Any occupation of the coastal marine environment not provided for as a Permitted Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity, or limited as a Prohibited Activity.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 154 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 155 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Maintenance, repair or replacement <u>and use</u> of a building or structure in the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 156 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.1.3 <u>Commercial activities related to marina activities, including but not limited to:</u> Commercial ship brokering, charter boat hire services, chandlery and sail making services. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 157 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.23. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule as follows: Dredging and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, to maintain water depth levels in and around berths and the mouth of <u>entrance</u> to the marina, as necessary for ship berthage, manoeuvring and transit. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 158 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule for indigenous vegetation clearance as a permitted activity, and corresponding specific standard, such as Standard 13.3.20, with the exception that the amendment sought to standard 13.3.20.3 also apply to the Marina zone. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 159 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions listed under 15.2.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 160 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as required to ensure that it provides an appropriate framework for noise management for activities in the Marina Zone, including, but not limited to the following amendment: 15.2.3.1. An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at <u>beyond</u> the boundary of, or within , the Zone does not exceed the following limits: | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 161 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 15.2.4.2 to require the measurement of light spill to be 2m inside of the adjoining zone boundary. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 162 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.5.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.2.5.3. Stored waste must be stored in a covered container, <u>structure or building</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 163 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.2.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.3.2.1. The activity must not involve any abrasive blasting <u>within the coastal marine area</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 164 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause 15.3.3.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 165 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.3.3 Living accommodation facility for marina staff <u>or contractors</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 166 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.3.4.1 The accommodation must be on-site and ancillary to the operation of the marina. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 167 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.3.4 .21 The accommodation must only be provided to employees <u>or contractors</u> of the operator of the marina. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 168 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.5.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.3.5.2. There must be no <u>more than 5%</u> increase in the height, size or scale of a building or structure. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 169 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows: Dredging and associated disturbance to the foreshore and seabed, to maintain water depth levels in and around berths and the mouth of <u>entrance</u> to the marinas, as necessary for ship berthage, manoeuvring and transit. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|------------|--------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 170 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.17.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. In the alternatively, delete Standard 15.3.17.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 171 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule to provide for indigenous vegetation clearance in the Marina zone. Port zone Rule 13.1.13 and Standard 13.3.20 could be included in the Marina zone provisions, along with the amendments sought by PMNZ to this standard (refer page 19 of this submission table). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 172 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows: Standards and Terms 15.5.1.1 The structure (or extension thereof) is necessary for or <u>ancillary to</u> the operational requirements of the marina <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone</u> . | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 173 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows: Standards and Terms 15.5.1.2 The building or structure (or extension thereof) must not be located In that part of the Marina Zone in Waikawa Bay identified in Appendix 10, <u>this rule only applies to the extension of an existing building.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 174 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows: Standards and Terms Include an additional matter of discretion: Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 175 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: Disturbance of the foreshore and seabed associated with Rule 15.5.1, including the removal of sand, shell, shingle or other natural material, required as part of the construction and use of a building or structure that is necessary for the operation of the marina <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 176 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include an additional matter of discretion: <u>Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 177 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.5.3.1 The reclamation must be necessary for the operation of the marina, <u>or an activity permitted in the Marina Zone.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 178 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: 15.5.3.3. The reclamation of the foreshore or seabed must not be located in that part of the Marina Zone in Waikawa Bay identified in Appendix 10. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 179 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include an additional matter of discretion: <u>Social, economic, cultural or recreational benefits resulting from the activity.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 180 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new restricted discretionary rule as follows: <u>XXX Discharge of contaminants in the coastal marine area as part of the construction of a structure necessary for or ancillary to activities permitted in the Marina Zone.</u> <u>Matters over which Council will exercise discretion:</u> <u>4.1 Effects on quality of water in receiving environment.</u> <u>4.2 The quantity and nature of the discharge.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 181 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include a new rule for occupation of the CMA as follows: xx <u>Any occupation of the coastal marine area not provided for as a permitted or restricted discretionary activity.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 182 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 183 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 184 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 185 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 186 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 187 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 188 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 189 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 190 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend provision as follows: 19.3.3.3 (b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 191 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 192 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 193 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 194 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Define 'commercial clean fill' and provide robust justification for not allowing commercial clean fill to be used for filling activity. In the alternatively, delete Standard 19.3.6.1. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 195 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 196 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 197 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.1.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 198 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 199 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 200 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 201 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.6.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 202 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include a new non-complying activity rule: <u>xx. The construction of marinas within the Coastal Marine Zone in that part of the Coastal Marine zone defined as "Waikawa Bay" is a non-complying activity.</u> | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 203 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend definition to enable retail activities associated with and / or ancillary to the port engineering activity. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 204 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 41 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone areas of Urban Residential 2 Zone and Open Space 3 to Marina Zone, in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 205 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 41 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone areas of Coastal Marine Zone to Marina Zone, in general accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission (noting that the Marina Zone will need to extend 20m beyond the visible structures of the marina and this may vary from the indicative area shown on the plan attached in Annexure B), in order to reflect the Plan Change 21 outcomes and to ensure that the Marina Zone boundary enables work on and beneath the marina structures. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 206 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 43 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Zone areas of Coastal Marine Zone to Marina Zone, in general accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission (noting that the Marina Zone will need to extend 20m beyond the visible structures of the marina and this may vary from the indicative area shown on the plan attached in Annexure B), in order to reflect the Plan Change 21 outcomes and to ensure that the Marina Zone boundary enables work on and beneath the marina structures. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 207 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 35 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone area of Open Space One Zone to Marina Zone, in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 208 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 65 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone small area of Coastal Marine Zone to Port Landing Zone, in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 209 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 36 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone the narrow strip of Open Space 3 Zone which separates the Port Zone from the Coastal Environment Zone to Port Zone. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 210 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 36 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundary of wetland W991 to align with the boundary of the existing Conservation Covenant for the Shakespeare Bay Salt Marsh, as depicted in the map in Annexure C. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 211 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Very High Coastal Natural Character Overlay within part of Waikawa Bay in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 212 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the Very High Coastal Natural Character Overlay from the Port Landing Zone at Elaine Bay. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 213 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Revise the boundary of the Ecologically Significant Marine Site 4.10 as required to reflect the actual extent of this ecological feature. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 214 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundary of the Ecologically Significant Marine Site overlay to be in line with the CMA edge of the reclamation. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 215 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape at Kaipupu Point in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 216 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape at Kaipupu Point in accordance with the Plan attached in Annexure B of this submission. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 217 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 218 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 219 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 220 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 221 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the landscape overlay "Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape" to exclude those developed areas with urban zoning (such as the areas within this landscape that are zoned Port, Port Landing, Marina, Business 1, Urban Residential 2). | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 222 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay boundary to be in line with the CMA edge of the reclamation. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 223 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Noise Control Boundaries 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the outer noise boundary line at Shakespeare Bay to an appropriate location beyond the Port Zone. | | | | | |
| 433 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 224 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Noise Control Boundaries 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the boundaries of the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries overlays to be in line with the CMA edge of the reclamation. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.3.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 13.2.3.1. For port operations iIn Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.3.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 13.2.3.2. For port operations iIn Havelock, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></p> <p><i>Location</i></p> <p><i>At any point on land at, or beyond,</i></p> <p><i>the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.4.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 13.2.4.1 Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be are adequately insulated from port noise.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 13.2.4.2:</p> <p><i>13.2.4.2. Such insulation must be certified by an acoustic engineer as adequate to achieve the design standard. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 15.2.</p> <p>15.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</p> <p>15.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</p> <p>15.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 18.2:</p> <p>18.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</p> <p>18.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</p> <p>18.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 19.2:</p> <p>19.2.x. Noise sensitive activity. 19.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise. 19.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 8 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 12.2 for the Industrial 1 Zone:</p> <p>12.2.x. Noise sensitive activity. 12.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise. 12.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 5.2 for the Urban Residential 2 Zone:</p> <p><i>5.2.x. Noise sensitive activity.</i> <i>5.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</i> <i>5.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 10 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under 4.2.3 Noise sensitive activity:</p> <p><i>4.2.3.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise.</i> <i>4.2.3.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 21.2:</p> <p>21.2.x. Noise sensitive activity. 21.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise. 21.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 12 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 9.2:</p> <p>9.2.x. Noise sensitive activity. 9.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise. 9.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 13 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new heading and standards are added to 16.2:</p> <p>16.2.x. Noise sensitive activity. 16.2.x.x. Any new noise-sensitive activity, or alteration or addition to an existing building used for a noise sensitive activity between the Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundaries at the port in Picton and Shakespeare Bay and at Havelock shall be adequately insulated from port noise. 16.2.x.x. Adequate sound insulation must be achieved by constructing the building to achieve a spatial average indoor design sound level of 40 dBA Ldn in all new habitable spaces and buildings for noise sensitive activities. The indoor design level must be achieved with all windows and doors open unless adequate alternative ventilation means is provided, used and maintained in operating order. The sound insulation design must be certified by an acoustic engineer. The completed construction must be certified by the builder as built in accordance with the design.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Noise Control Boundaries 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>PMNZ seeks the noise control boundaries be amended to reflect Figures 4E in Annexure A (attached to the submission), as prepared by Marshall Day Acoustics.</p> <p>No properties not owned by PMNZ would be affected by the recommended change.</p> | | | | | |
| 1284 | Port Marlborough New Zealand Limited | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Noise Control Boundaries 2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | PMNZ seeks the noise control boundaries be amended to reflect Figure 2E in Annexure A (attached to submission), as prepared by Marshall Day Acoustics. | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the 4th Paragraph of the policy as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>There may arise instances when activities carried out on private land create effects that carry beyond the property boundaries and adversely affect other people and environments. In such instances</i> At times it may be necessary for wider public interest considerations to prevail over individual expectations and land use may need to be controlled. In these circumstances, compensation to the land owner is not payable under Section 85 of the RMA. The same section also provides the land user with the ability to challenge any provision of a plan on the grounds that the provision would render their land incapable of reasonable use. Section 86 of the RMA empowers the Council to acquire land with the agreement of the landowner and pay compensation for it.</p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 1st Paragraph of Policy as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>May uses of coastal space, river beds, air and water resources are prohibited unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent (see Section 12 to 15 of the RMA). As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment. This will be achieved through the use of permitted activity rules, including conditions where appropriate, avoiding the need for resource consent. Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, the resource consents will be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use. To ensure natural resource sustainability long-term resource consents (over 20 years) should not be granted in public spaces.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (strike through and bold): <i>As described in the issue above, the Marlborough Sounds has already undergone considerable change as a result of the past use of natural and physical resources, the most dramatic possibly being the clearance of indigenous vegetation to allow agriculture to occur and, as agriculture has become economically marginal, the regeneration of indigenous vegetation. As a principle, it is important to recognise that the Marlborough Sounds environment is dynamic and will continue to change with or without human intervention. This means there is a capacity to absorb change within the environment without necessarily affecting the qualities of this unique and iconic environment. Indeed, some changes may actually enhance the qualities and improve the Marlborough Sounds environment. Regard should be had to this policy when considering new and existing activities involving the use, development and protection of the Marlborough Sounds environment. And in recognition of this ability to change, there needs to be caution in assigning long term resource consents.</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through): <i>Policy 7.2.3 - Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by: (a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living; (b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and (c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities including re-establishment after harvest.</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 5 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 8A, Marine Environments, Paragraph 4 as follows (bold and strike through): <i>The condition and state of marine biodiversity can be affected by land or water based activities. Adverse impacts can arise from sedimentation, contamination, and habitat disturbance, and changes in water flow. Effects can be temporary, but in particular circumstances can result in permanent loss or damage. Long term or cumulative smaller scale, localised effects from impacts such as contamination and physical disturbance can also have significant effects on the functioning of marine systems including nutrient, phytoplankton and zooplankton depletion. Many activities, such as recreational swimming, do not affect or have an impact on marine biodiversity; however, other activities, including shipping (especially large and/or fast ships), reclamations or other coastal structures, marine farming and physical disturbance from certain fishing techniques can affect marine biodiversity.</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 6 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction as follows (bold and strike through): <i>The waters of the Marlborough sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>an ongoing source of traditional food for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> • an on going source of food for full time and part time residents; • <i>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers;</i> • <i>being a significant factor in many recreational and tourism activities; and</i> • <i>contributing to a range of species present in the sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems.</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 7 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through): <i>Policy 13.10.15 - Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i> <i>(f) avoiding locating lights on jetties (other than those required to facilitate access). Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 8 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (bold and strike through): <i>Policy 13.10.22 - The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(f) avoiding locating lights on boatsheds (other than those required to facilitate access). Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 9 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy as follows (strike through ad bold): <i>Policy 17.6.1 - Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas. The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities provided that no viable alternative route or method of transport exists. The maintenance of community amenity values will take precedence over commercial financial considerations.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 10 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new policy to 17.6.2 as follows (bold and strike through):</p> <p><i>Policy 17.6.2.1 Establish a District wide plan of roads that are susceptible to the effects as listed in Policy 17.6.2 by heavy commercial vehicle usage and making the use of those roads a discretionary activity for heavy vehicles. Nominated roads could then be used by heavy vehicles subject to rules covering the following matters:</i></p> <p><i>Length of vehicle over a certain length - i.e. to reduce impact on road infrastructure ; to reduce conflict with other road users.</i></p> <p><i>Weight of vehicle over a certain weight - i.e. to reduce impact on road infrastructure ; to reduce conflict with other road users</i></p> <p><i>Speed of vehicle. - i.e. to reduce conflict with other road users; control generation of dust. Time of use of the road during the day/night. - i.e. to reduce conflict with other road users.</i></p> <p><i>Season of the year for use of the road. - i.e. to avoid dust nuisance over summer; to avoid times of high tourist usage.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 11 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new Method of Implementation as 17.M.10 and readjust subsequent numbering:</p> <p><i>17.M.10 [with subsequent renumbering as required]:</i></p> <p><i>The Council will provide and maintain a website based mechanism for the receipt of traffic incident and safety related reports and complaints of impacts on amenity values arising from roads. These reports / complaints will be monitored and regularly collated for action as appropriate to enhance safety and efficiency of the road network and reduce adverse effects on the environment and community amenity values.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 12 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.1.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule as follows (bold and stried through):</p> <p><i>2.3.1.2. The take is limited to one dwelling per take point except where multiple dwellings exist on a single computer Register or on contiguous computer Registers under the same ownership, in which case there may be up to three dwellings per take point. Where a number of properties have a common legal easement to a water take point the taking of water for reasonable domestic use shall be permitted.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 13 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through):</p> <p><i>7.2.3.1. Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 14 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through): <i>8.2.4.1. Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 15 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through): <i>13.2.5.3. All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Zone and any road. All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 16 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.4.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule as follows (bold and strike through): <i>14.2.4.3. All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Landing Area zone and any road. All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 17 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard: 16.2.8 Use of external lighting. 16.2.8.1. All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source. | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 18 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 1 [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 19 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend description for 'Coastal Marine Area F: Port Underwood' to read (bold and strike through):</p> <p>There are no specific areas within Coastal Marine Area F with Outstanding, High or Very High Coastal Natural Character values. The Coastal Marine area F is rated moderate-high although it has not been surveyed at levels 4/5 and high or very high sections may exist.</p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 20 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | See attached to show the suggested modification of section F. | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 21 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add additional point to Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan:</p> <p><i>10. The method and route to be used to convey forest development and maintenance equipment, and the transport of harvested logs from the boundary of the forest to the location of processing or disposal, including provisions for the protection of wider community amenity values and the integrity of the roading network.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 22 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Maps [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 23 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The Coastal Natural Character rating for the area just south of Ocean Bay should be Very High with the Key Values of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large unmodified coastal marine environment in association with the coastal area reaching to White's Bay; • Semi exposed to very exposed and subject in some places to strong tidal currents; • Diverse and productive reef communities with high macroalgae diversity; • Large whale migration route and calving area. <p>See the suggested modification in the attached file.</p> | | | | | |
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 24 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Marine Significant Sites should be noted on the mapping index [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1042 | Port Underwood Association | 25 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Steep Erosion Prone Land maps with finer detail [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1071 | Roland McGregor Post | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." | | | | | |
| 1071 | Roland McGregor Post | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." | | | | | |
| 1255 | Roland McGregor Post | 1 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.10.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include private inground swimming pools along with building foundations in clause 5.3.10.3 or increase permitted excavation to 30 or 40cm. | | | | | |
| 60 | Richard Julian and Mary Josephine Potez | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the policies proposed in 14.4.12 be adopted and rigorously enforced. Specifically, that c) and d) be totally enforced. That g) be amended from "maintaining a low volume traffic environment" to "reducing the commercial traffic volume"; and to do so revoke the resource consent for the increase in quarrying work in the valley and the anticipated ten-fold heavy truck and trailer traffic and set a much lower allowed extraction level. That the Council pro-actively enhances the rural character values of the valley by entering into negotiations with Marlborough Lines replace the ugly electrical power poles and cables with underground supply. | | | | | |
| 60 | Richard Julian and Mary Josephine Potez | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 14.4.13. (<i>inferred</i>) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 1259 | Christine Potts | 1 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. | | | | | |
| 1259 | Christine Potts | 2 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. | | | | | |
| 1259 | Christine Potts | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. | | | | | |
| 1259 | Christine Potts | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. | | | | | |
| 1259 | Christine Potts | 5 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see no forestry allowed as this increases the peaceful flow of traffic especially logging trucks as the valley is a riding haven, and I have children and find it safe for them to be on the roads in the valley. | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 10 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 448 | Lloyd Kenneth Powell | 11 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 718 | Gillian Ann Powley | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission. | | | | | |
| 534 | Anne-Marie Prendeville | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 534 | Anne-Marie Prendeville | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 534 | Anne-Marie Prendeville | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 534 | Anne-Marie Prendeville | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 707 | Frank Prendeville | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| 707 | Frank Prendeville | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 707 | Frank Prendeville | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 707 | Frank Prendeville | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8215 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1252 | Frank Prendeville | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 1043 | Presbyterian Church Property Trustees - Wairau Presbyterian Parish | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Omaka Presbyterian Church (First Church) from Schedule 2 in the Appendix. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.4.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the objective without change. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.5.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the policy without change. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of Table 2.1 to include "Supermarkets" with the "minimum requirements - number of spaces" to read: '1 per 20m ² gross floor area devoted to retail sales activities and 1 per 40m ² gross floor area for all other activities'. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.1.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rule 2.32.1.7 be deleted. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 8 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That rule 2.33 and such other rules be amended to introduce Restricted Discretionary Activity Status together with lists of what Council limits its discretion to. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new rule 2.36.1 'Supermarket signage' is added which reads: '2.36.1: The maximum signage per supermarket shall not exceed 80m ² . 2.36.2: Supermarket free standing signs shall not exceed 9m in height, 3.5m is width and not have a sign face exceeding 30m ² .' | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 10 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.2.1.1 be amended to exempt supermarkets from having to comply. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.1.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.2.1.9 be amended to exempt supermarkets from having to comply. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 12 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.3.1.1 be deleted. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 13 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rules 9.3.4.1 and 9.3.4.1.2 be amended by changing the excavation and filling volumes to 500m ³ . | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 14 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That rule 9.3.4.3(b) be retained and expanded to include foundation works necessary for parking and maneuvering areas necessary as part of a development which also includes building foundations. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 15 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That rule 10.3.1.1 be deleted. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 16 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules 10.3.1.3(d) and (e) be deleted. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 17 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A definition of supermarket be included in section 25 whereby supermarket means: 'A retail shop where a comprehensive range of predominantly domestic supplies and convenience goods and services are sold for the consumption or use off the premises and includes lotto shops and pharmacies located within such premises and where liquor licences are held for each premise.' | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 18 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning proposed but with a review of the merits of retaining residential zoning on 100 and 102 Alabama Road. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 19 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 159 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning proposed but with a review of the merits of retaining residential zoning on 100 and 102 Alabama Road. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 20 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of Rules 9.2.1.1 and 9.2.1.9 to exempt supermarkets from such requirements or the rezoning of the site bounded by Seymour Street, George Street, Henry Street and Arthur Street. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 21 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 159 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amendment of Rules 9.2.1.1 and 9.2.1.9 to exempt supermarkets from such requirements or the rezoning of the site bounded by Seymour Street, George Street, Henry Street and Arthur Street. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 22 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning without amendment. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 23 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 159 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adoption of the zoning without amendment. | | | | | |
| 1044 | Progressive Enterprises Limited | 24 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In addition to the specific relief sought in the submission, PEL seeks further, alternative, other or consequential decisions or amendments to address the matters raised in this submission. | | | | | |
| 859 | Koherangi Pui | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 512 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. | | | | | |
| 512 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. | | | | | |
| 1045 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standards under this Rule. <i>(Inferred, it is not clear in the Submission the specific changes sought.)</i> | | | | | |
| 1045 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1045 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1045 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1045 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Designations B32 and B41. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1045 | Pukematai Farm Limited | 7 | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Designation B29. | | | | | |
| 146 | QCWP community | 1 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Some changes to the rules so that the adverse effects can be mitigated. Our community will provide full details at the hearing. Please allow us adequate time to present this matter to you. | | | | | |
| 146 | QCWP community | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Some changes to the rules so that the adverse effects can be mitigated. Our community will provide full details at the hearing. Please allow us adequate time to present this matter to you. | | | | | |
| 346 | Quaildale Farm Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 169 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I request that the dam be removed from the significant wetlands Plan. I thank you for your time. | | | | | |
| 1046 | Quality Equipment Nelson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the explanation under the heading Integrated management of the Marlborough environment (page 2-2) (inferred)</p> <p><i>f) the sustainability of natural and physical resources.</i></p> <p>This reinforces bold item on page 2.5.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 2 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 3 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Monitoring the efficiency and effectiveness of the policies or methods | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new bullet point (bold) to the list at the bottom of page 2-10 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Compliance monitoring of resource consents granted will take place, at the consent holders cost at least once.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain content of Chapter 4 Introduction. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the last sentence of the explanation:</p> <p><i>The Council can play a role in this by striving to maintain and enhance the quality of our environment particularly in the Marlborough Sounds.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Seek clarification (inferred) as to what was Rural in the Sounds appears to have now allocated to Coastal Living and whether this change reduces primary production allowance for that land and associated activities.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through) to wording in Line 7 of the explanation:</p> <p><i>This does not mean that use and development of natural and physical resources cannot occur within the Marlborough Sounds, but an element of precaution needs to be exercised to ensure that resource use is complimentary to the visual, ecological and physical qualities that give the Marlborough Sounds its iconic character.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Policy must include avoidance of the proliferation of subdivision along the coastal margins (inferred).</p> <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through and bold) to the first line of explanation of Policy 4.3.2 as upholds precautionary view:</p> <p><i>In order to determine whether particular activities in the Marlborough Sounds will may have significant adverse effects, it is necessary to identify the qualities and values that contribute to the unique and iconic character of the Marlborough Sounds.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 9 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to fourth "Monitoring effectiveness" (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health.</i> Monitoring of the views of residents and ratepayers in the Sounds will be undertaken to assist Council decision making. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a provision that ensures that in the Sounds any new development should have rainwater collection for household consumption. Important as most occupancy takes place at the time of normal low flow time of water bodies. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.10. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendment (bold) to the explanation: <i>The 'first in, first served' method is the default mechanism to be used in the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area. Where competing demand for coastal space becomes apparent, the Marlborough District Council may consider the option of introducing an alternative regime. If alternative methods of allocation are considered such will be publicly notified and also discussed with the Sounds Advisory Group.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through) to Policy 5.10.5 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.5 – The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation;</i></p> <p><i>(b) monitoring equipment;</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area;</i></p> <p><i>(d) retaining walls; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such time as those resource consents expire.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Coastal occupancy charges should be set via the Long-Term Plan not the Annual Plan. The assessment of basic allocation matters should be made available to the public via an attachment to this plan (inferred). | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.9. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 18 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 6.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 19 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 6.1.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.5 – Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i></p> <p><i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i></p> <p><i>(c) streams that would normally flow all year if not adversely effected by high take during the peak summer period (inferred);</i></p> <p><i>(d)</i> <i>water quality;</i></p> <p><i>(e)</i> <i>presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i></p> <p><i>(f)</i> <i>absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i></p> <p><i>(g)</i> <i>absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i></p> <p><i>(h)</i> <i>vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i></p> <p><i>(i)</i> <i>absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i></p> <p><i>(j)</i> <i>the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 20 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 21 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A "precautionary" view should always be adopted as per that area. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 22 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the <i>Introduction</i> should acknowledge and address the lack of knowledge regarding the Marlborough Sounds (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 23 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.3 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</i></p> <p><i>(ba) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</i></p> <p><i>(eb) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 24 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 25 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.11. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 26 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.3. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 27 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.7. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 28 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a compulsory monitoring clause, at the applicants cost, should be introduced (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 29 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 30 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide a process so that the community can identify throughout the period of the plan new information that would be given 'weight' in between Environmental Plan reviews. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 31 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 32 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 33 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 34 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 35 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 36 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 8.3.3 does not include plantation forestry unless this is for indigenous trees. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 37 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 38 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 39 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Dredging should be discontinued as a technique during the life of this plan to enable monitoring as per the effects of dredging in relation to previous sites and the totality of effects of this harvesting method (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 40 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Issue 9A: <i>Issue 9A Trying to meet uphold community expectations that public access will be available to rivers, lakes and the coast.</i> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 41 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.6 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.6. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 42 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following is included in Policy 9.1.8:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the set back from Sounds Foreshore Reserves for buildings should be a minimum of 30 metres from CMA; public access to and along the Coastal Margin Area for foot traffic must be maintained and enhanced; vehicular traffic inclusive of ATV vehicles requires a Policy agreed to by MDC and DoC; and historic "paper roads" in the Sounds Admin Area should specifically exclude vehicular traffic. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 43 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments to Policy 9.1.9:</p> <p>(a) first priority is for "walking". That is why the QC track was created in the first place.</p> <p>(b) Establish a "monitoring regime" so that "bike usage" does not adversely affect either the experience or the environment. As an example "defecations" of human waste and non-biodegradable products via users of the track may result in unacceptable effects. That cannot be addressed via current rates revenue. Suggest to limit the usage. This would avoid the adverse effects now being experienced by other walking/cycling networks in NZ. Within Marlborough "limited" usage could encourage a more upmarket use.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 44 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete from Policy 9.1.11 the transfer of ownership of an esplanade reserve from the Crown to Council (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 45 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No specific decision has been requested in the submission. It is <i>inferred</i> that the policy explanation should be amended so that possible effects from climate change/sea level, subsequent events and the potential financial effects on all ratepayers are addressed for all zones. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 46 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what decision is requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 47 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>In the Admin area of the Marlborough Sounds the use of unformed legal roads (inferred) should be stopped unless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They are for walking only access. • That such a road be maintained at the applicants cost for the period of any consent granted. • That at the applicants cost, monitoring takes place every 3 years to address issues re erosion. wilding pine control, pest management etc. • That resource consent be applied for and notified to all potentially effected parties. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 48 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Open Space 3 Zone does not apply to Sounds Foreshore Reserve.</p> <p>That consideration is given to the Area referred to in the Sounds Admin Area as "farm" as an acknowledged zone (inferred).</p> <p>The MEP needs to give some weight to the decision of 8/4/16 re: representation review for the Picton Sounds Ward.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 49 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | 9.M.9 must include consultation with the community in the Admin Area in the Marlborough Sounds via the Sounds Advisory Group, etc. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 50 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.AER.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 9.AER.3 should not suggest that it is the obligation of the ratepayers to use the MDC website as per the "democratic process" (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 51 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10.M.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Allow updates of heritage resources and notable trees (inferred) between the plans. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 52 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a brochure is issued with tips via the rates notices. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 53 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.4. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 54 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.2.4. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 55 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 56 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 57 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 58 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 59 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.3.1 should provide clarity as to how the use of drones for recreational activities and the potential risk to overhead power lines is addressed (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 60 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.3. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 61 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 62 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 63 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.9. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 64 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.3 but recognise that standards will be important particularly with respect to water take and sewage discharges. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 65 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.9. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 66 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.9. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 67 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That some exceptions should be allowed for people who may own two boats, if the local community agrees. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 68 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.4. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 69 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.5. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 70 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.1. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 71 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | The taking of boulders and shingle from the CMA for individual benefit should be prohibited as they provide habitat for marine specie. In addition such take usually involves the use of vehicles on the beach. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 72 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.20. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 73 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.23 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to 13.M.23 explanation:</p> <p><i>13.M.23 Advisory group for considering effects of shipping activities</i></p> <p><i>An advisory group may will be established by the Council to assist in determining an ongoing approach to managing the effects of shipping activities. Members will be appointed by the Council and will include representatives from community groups, the shipping industry, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and the Council.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 74 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | 16.M.20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Discuss with effected communities and allow 7 years to design same. Also requires cooperation with Building division as per stand alone buildings of up to 10 cubic metres being allowed to be connected to existing systems without addressing capacity of same.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 75 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | 16.M.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 16.M.21.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 76 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 19.2.2.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 77 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.M.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 19.M.8.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 78 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19.AER.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain 19.AER.3 (<i>inferred</i>).</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 79 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.2.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not specify a decision requested in relation to noise and the use of chainsaws.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 80 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 81 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendment (bold) to Standard 7.3.6.1: <i>7.3.6.1 Only indigenous species may be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland or the coastal margins and Sounds Foreshore Reserve.</i> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 82 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.8.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 83 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.14.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 84 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.5.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 85 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.5.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 86 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 87 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not specify a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 88 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.6. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 89 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Heading 16.4.2. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 90 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.2. | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 91 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments to Water Resource Unit Values Numbers 56, Small Coastal Complex, and 57, Small Sounds Streams (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>Water Resource Unit Values Number 56, Small Coastal Complex - add Bird Habitat with relevant bird species.</p> <p>Water Resource Unit Values Number 57 Small Sounds Streams - include other bird species such as kingfishers, shining cuckoos, bellbirds, native herons, bellbirds and tuis.</p> <p>Other Water Resources All Coastal Water (page APP 5-17)</p> <p>ALL coastal water must be amended via water Quality classification to Shellfish Gathering, which is after all what water class SG (shellfish gathering) upholds and Water Resource Unit Values Numbers 56, Small Coastal Complex, and 57, Small Sounds Streams should not be allowed to have the potential for adverse effects upon same.</p> <p>Standard/parameter Suitability of fish for human consumption (page APP 5-22)</p> <p>More appropriate and cost efficient to adopt a precautionary view now by accepting a change to standard/parameter, which also upholds what water class SG represents.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 92 | Volume 3 | Appendix 8 Discharge to Air | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Identify in the explanation, using layman's terms, what is meant by "softwood" (<i>inferred</i>).</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 93 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Within the area of the Marlborough Sounds compulsory monitoring, by independent approved persons shall at the applicants cost at a period of 1 and then 5 years after each harvesting stage be a condition of any consent granted. Major issues re sedimentation as per the CMA, local streams and the potential for future adverse effects need to be addressed.</p> <p>Forestry Harvest applications should be "notified" to all potentially affected parties via a resource consent application.</p> | | | | | |
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 94 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Rule 16.7.3.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 504 | Queen Charlotte Sound Residents Association | 95 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.7.4. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 7 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration plantings of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.4. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 9 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 10 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 11 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.3.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 12 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.19.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 13 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.17.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Therefore, I seek that the definition of a park or reserve reflect its purpose (free public access, protect biodiversity etc.) and not the ownership or management structure. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 14 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.10.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek to allow the removal of any non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 15 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species from within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. | | | | | |
| 1265 | Queen Elizabeth the Second National Trust | 16 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species from within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. | | | | | |
| 1047 | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1047 | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1047 | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1047 | R A and R S Hall Aquaculture | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403 in East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 860 | Ku Ra | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 492 | Stephanie Joan and Luke Peter Radich | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The Submitters request that the property be re-categorised as falling within the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit and that the boundary be adjusted accordingly. | | | | | |
| 70 | Mark Radnor | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm until data is presented to show that this is inadequate. | | | | | |
| 70 | Mark Radnor | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm until data is presented to show that this is inadequate. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 861 | Kerrin Raeburn | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 1 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Removal of the word PROHIBIT and any other word which delivers the same meaning such as 'avoid' from the plan in its entirety. | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 2 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Removal of the word PROHIBIT and any other word which delivers the same meaning such as 'avoid' from the plan in its entirety. | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A new Section to be added to plan; Landowners to be permitted to effect small scale 'in' river erosion control work along the Queens chain using machinery under Councils Blanket Resource Consent and under the direction of Councils rivers engineers. (Note: This has been the traditional method of erosion control along tributaries of the Wairau under the Catchment Board and Marlborough District Council until recently when with the MEP coming along there appears policy change detrimental to responsible husbandry of river erosion control.) | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 4 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Council withdraw the MEP Plan and engage in meaningful consultation with the Tua Marina Waikakaho Residents Association Inc. in order to rewrite the Plan. Withdraw the MEP and rewrite in a logical and easy to follow format after meaningful consultation with the wider public, respecting individual property rights and pay compensation where and when necessary and to reflect the enabling intent of the RMA. | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | MDC undertake thorough Section 32 analysis of all sections of the MEP plan. | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Every "Taking" in the MEP to be addressed by compensation. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 'Flood Hazard Areas 23' and any other map imperfection affecting Raeburn Farm. Raeburn reserves the right to come to Council and check the accuracy of maps and the right to adjustment. | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 8 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove all reference to and the maps from the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the maps and all reference to Riparian Natural Character Areas from the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1084 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 10 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw all reference to commercial forestry from the MEP and wait until the NES becomes operative. | | | | | |
| 1085 | Raeburn Property Partnership | 1 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.3 [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1086 | Ragged Point Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 9.1.4 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 1086 | Ragged Point Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No Open Space 3 Zone on Rangitoto ki te Tonga or private land. We wish to retain our riparian rights and rights to refuse people to cross private land on all our land (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| 1086 | Ragged Point Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Farm support structures bigger than 10 m2 must be possible. The submission does not include an alternative m2 for structures as a permitted activity within any Marlborough Sounds ONFL. | | | | | |
| 1086 | Ragged Point Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Must be able to excavate more than 500 m3. The submission does not include an alternative volume that can be excavated as a permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 1086 | Ragged Point Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Must be able to graze waterways on private land. | | | | | |
| 1086 | Ragged Point Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Application of fertiliser must be allowed for farming purposes. | | | | | |
| 1280 | Ragged Point limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 1087 | Rai Mussels Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.2.25 and Policy 5.2.23 is relied upon instead. <i>Policy 5.2.25 Where necessary, review the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water within 24 months of the Marlborough Environment Plan (or any subsequent plan changes) becoming operative to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are met.</i> Alternative decision requested: That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.2.25: <i>5.2.25 Only where necessary to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are met, the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water may be reviewed.</i> | | | | | |
| 1087 | Rai Mussels Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.1(d): <i>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i> <i>(d) municipal water supply and any other take for industrial or commercial use; and then</i> This amendment may require consequent changes to other parts of the plan and possibly a definition of “industrial” and “commercial”. <i>Policy 5.3.1(e) all other takes of water</i> should be amended to rank other specific categories of take based on the economic value/unit of water used. It is submitted that industrial and commercial takes would rank ahead of most takes for irrigation and those would rank ahead of takes for hydro-electric power generation. This may require consequent changes to other parts of the plan and definition of particular takes. | | | | | |
| 1087 | Rai Mussels Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.4: <i>Policy 5.3.4 Establish allocation volumes for municipal water supplies and avoid applying management flows and levels to the taking of water for the purpose of municipal supply and industrial and commercial use.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1087 | Rai Mussels Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Extend the lapse period beyond 2 years. The submission does not provide an alternative lapse period. | | | | | |
| 1087 | Rai Mussels Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The policy should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note that take required will vary from season to season and will depend on a range of factors including market demand and price for products produced by using the water. Give some indication of what proportion of a consented take should be considered sufficient to give effect to the consent. Not encourage inefficient water use simply to give effect to the consent. | | | | | |
| 1087 | Rai Mussels Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4. | | | | | |
| 1087 | Rai Mussels Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.4.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.4.5 – When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable the full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where:</i></p> <p><i>(e) the allocation is authorised via a water permit(s) applied for and granted after 9 June 2016;</i></p> <p><i>(g) the transferee holds a water permit to use water applied for and granted after 9 June 2016.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 2.3.12.1 to – The take and use of water for skifield facilities may occur all year round as required by skifield operations, and the take of water for snowmaking may only occur in the period 1 May to 30September as required for snowmaking. | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 2 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.12.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 150litres per second | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.22.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 2.3.22.1 to – The diversion of water can occur all year round as required for skifield operations. | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 4 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.22.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 2.3.22.3 to – The instantaneous rate of diversion must not exceed 150 litres per second | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 5 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 20.1.1 – amend clause by deleting “existing at 9 June 2016’ | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 6 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 20.1 – Add as clause 20.1.13 permitted activity temporary military training activities including use of skifield buildings and accommodation | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 7 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Clause 20.3.2.1 to – The on-site accommodation to be used for authorised persons including staff, members and contractors undertaking skifield business for the necessary operation of the skifield all year round. | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 8 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add clause 2.3.2.2 – The on-site accommodation may further be used for temporary military training activities. | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 9 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 20.3.3.1 to - No excavation in excess of 1000m ³ may occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period with the exception of any earthworks related to the maintenance and repair of the skifield access road or carparking. | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 10 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause 20.6.1.k to waste oil, except where waste oils burnt in enclosed oil burner or furnace where the oil is to be used for heating or energy recovery. | | | | | |
| 228 | Rainbow Sports Club Incorporated | 11 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In relation to chapter 20 Open Space 4 we note the following general comment with respect to Rainbows submission in total:</p> <p>Fair and considered recognition of the Rainbow 2015 submission and this submission in relation to the proposed MDC plan and all changes specific to Rainbow Ski Area</p> <p>To be heard in person by Council in relation to this submission</p> <p>For Council to recognise in the proposed plan that in order to operate a skifield the management of the skified operation is an all year round i.e. 12 months of the year, and the requirement for staff, members and contractors is all year round and the provision of water for operational purposes is required to be all year round.</p> <p>Marlborough District Council in drafting this proposed plan has failed to recognise the twelve month operation of the skifield and has only focused on the winter activity component. In the interests of health and safety and practicality of accessibility.</p> | | | | | |
| 1300 | Susan Claire Ramsay | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 250929 (Lot 50, DP 676) remains in a rural type zoning. | | | | | |
| 1300 | Susan Claire Ramsay | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 250929 (Lot 50, DP 676) remains in a rural type zoning. | | | | | |
| 1300 | Susan Claire Ramsay | 3 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 254297 (Lot 27, DP 1216) remains in a rural type zoning. | | | | | |
| 1300 | Susan Claire Ramsay | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That property number 254297 (Lot 27, DP 1216) remains in a rural type zoning. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangi | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangī | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 600 | Connor Rangi | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1088 | Rangiruhia Elkington Whanau Trust #1 and #2 | 1 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision sought is that, the owners of:</p> <p>Lot 1 DP 3893 being part of Block 4 Rangitoto Section 4B and Rangitoto 3B3 and Rangitoto 8A and Whangarae Section sub 3D and Whangarae Sq 91 sub 3H and Wairau Block XII Section 11C and Wairau Section 12 Block Section 11C and Block 12 Section 9B and Village Reserve and the Kurupongi (The Trio Islands) and Rangitoto blocks (D'Urville Island) and</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tinui Island (Islet off D'urville Island) and - Block: Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui, Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands (Islets around D'urville Island) <p>do wish to retain 'riparian rights in private ownership' for the above mentioned lands.</p> | | | | | |
| 986 | Ngapaka P Rangitakatu | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | 1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Chapter 1 Introduction. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 2 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.4. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 3 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 7.2.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 5 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 82 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Edgewater Estate subdivision is re-zoned to Rural Living Zone (not Coastal Living Zone). | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Groundwater Protection Areas 2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Create a Groundwater Protection Area (General Aquifer Recharge Zone) for wells in Rarangi. The Groundwater Protection Area to cover the same geographical area as the Freshwater Management Unit: Volume 4, Map 4 - Rarangi Shallow North and Rarangi Shallow South. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Soil Sensitive Area 4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Confirm Rarangi as a Soil Sensitive Area. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for CCA and agrichemical residue levels. 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested. 3. Add a new rule that prohibits CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas. If these are already in place, broken posts must be replaced by alternative posts that are not chemically treated, eg. durable hardwood; untreated timber encased in plastic; metal. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 9 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a new AER and two new Monitoring effectiveness criteria are added: 15.AER.X Water quality in Rarangi is suitable for drinking, domestic use and sustaining aquatic ecosystems. Monitoring effectiveness: 1. Water is tested annually at Wells 3668, 3711, 1634 and 4442 to ensure chrome, copper and arsenic levels are within health standards. 2. Water is tested annually at Wells 3668, 3711, 1634 and 4442 to ensure agrichemical residues are within health standards. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That: 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for agrichemical residue levels (<i>inferred that this also includes fertiliser and lime levels</i>). 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That: 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with compost/agricultural waste (<i>inferred</i>) in the water supply. 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That: 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with agricultural liquid waste (<i>inferred</i>) in the water supply. 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 13 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.27. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That: 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for aquatic herbicide residue levels (inferred) . 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 14 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That: 1. Annual testing of Rarangi Shallow Aquifer is undertaken for contaminants associated with compost and silage (inferred) in the water supply. 2. Wine companies in the Rarangi area to share the cost (currently borne by the ratepayer) for this water testing and compliance. Consider a levy based on tonnage of grapes harvested. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 15 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the same standards for <i>Controlled activity Rule 3.4.1 Erection and use of a frost fan</i> are used. Also seek rules about the use of helicopters for frostfighting - hours of operation and noise levels. The submission does not provide details about amendments to be made. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.5.1(c): <i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A or Category B device must not be operated:</i> <i>(c) within 160300m of the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</i> | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 17 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new rule is included: Vineyards within a Threatened Environment: Indigenous Vegetation Site must plant a percentage of each new vineyard development in natives. Suggest 2%. (Based on one tui-to-town planting - 1000 sqm - per 5 ha of planted vineyard.) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 18 | Volume 4 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Rarangi wetland complex warrants formal protection. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 19 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.6.4. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 20 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.3.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.39.3.5. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 21 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.8. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 22 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.9. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 23 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.10. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 24 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.11. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 25 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rarangi Significant Wetlands - WSS, W132, W133, W134, W135, W136, W138 - are added to the named waterbodies in 3.7 Prohibited Activities. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 26 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.13.4. That explicit boundaries are included that provide GPS definitions of the boundary of Rarangi Significant Wetlands. (We need the edge to measure 8m from) (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 27 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.14.3 Excavation must not be in, or within: (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification; | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 28 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.3. Include the use of recycling sprayers to avoid spray drift on vineyards that border Significant Wetlands (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 29 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.3. Include the use of recycling sprayers to avoid spray drift on vineyards that border Significant Wetlands (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 30 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new rule is included that exclude stock from Significant Wetlands. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 31 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 150 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Having identified the Significant Wetlands in Rarangi, we seek formal protection of these threatened areas: WSS, W132, W133, W134, W135, W136, W138 and the associated gravel beach ridges. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 32 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Upgrade the Boffa Miskell natural character rating of the Rarangi beach ridges and swamp complex as part of formally recognising and protecting these endangered areas. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 33 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add the eucalyptus tree at Blue Gum Corner (where Rarangi Road turns into Rarangi Beach Road) to Schedule of Notable Trees. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.2.7. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 35 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.2.8. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 36 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.2.9. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 37 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 8.2.5. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 38 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 8.2.6. | | | | | |
| 1089 | Rarangi District Residents Association | 39 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | We SUPPORT this rule on the condition that it is complied with. This has not been our experience in recent months with ongoing lime dust affecting residential areas. | | | | | |
| 591 | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.56. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That an explanation about 'existing use rights', including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the extent of existing use rights how existing use rights would be advantageous to the golf club the limitations or restrictions that may also apply under existing use rights. | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support Heading 3.2, i.e. structure of this Section. <i>(Inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarification sought for Heading 3.3, i.e. structure of this Section. <i>(Inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.1 <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.2 <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.3 <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.4 <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.22.5 <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 591 | Raranggi Golf Club Incorporated | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That Rarangi Golf Club land is excluded from Standard 3.3.23.1. That other alternatives to alter the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan are considered that would achieve the same outcome as provided above, including but limited to an appropriate designation over all or part of the land concerned. | | | | | |
| 591 | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.2 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 591 | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.3 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 591 | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.4 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 591 | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated | 13 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.5 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 591 | Rarangi Golf Club Incorporated | 14 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.6 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 50 | Michael Rattray | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | 4. Recommendation The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. Include a no pump-out zone at the Scallop bed (North/West of Definbach Point). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|--------------------|---------|
| 50 | Michael Rattray | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>4. Recommendation</p> <p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. Include a no pump-out zone at the Scallop bed (North/West of Definbach Point).</p> | | | | | |
| 516 | Bissell, Adele Rattray, Patrick and | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Protection and heritage primarily:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the <i>Sequoiadendron giganteum</i> on the corner of valley in paddock as priority, and 2 other <i>Sequoiadendron</i> and 1 <i>Cupressus altantic glauca</i>. <p>to be included in the MEP, with collusion and ongoing discussion with the Cemetery Trust (Jeff Hammond).</p> | | | | | |
| 109 | Raupo Cafe | 1 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That existing open fires in hospitality establishments be allowed continued usage. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Guiding Principle Being aware of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects between different resource uses, whether on land, or water or between the two (page 1-4). | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Ravensdown seeks for Council to amend the policy to only apply to a plan change to be consistent with the RMA.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 3.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 3.1.2 An applicant will be expected to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account.</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 4.1.1: <i>Policy 4.1.1 – Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.2: <i>Policy 4.1.2 Enable sustainable the use of natural resources in the Marlborough Region while managing any adverse environmental effects.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.3: <i>Policy 4.1.3 Maintain and or enhance, where degraded, the quality of natural resources.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.3.8(b): <i>Policy 5.3.8 – Approve water permit applications to continue taking and using surface water when: (b) the Freshwater Management Unit is not over-allocated in terms of the water quantity limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan;</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy Issue 14A. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.4 – Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to that address potential adverse effects on:</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.7:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.7 – Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but and that these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.1.9:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.9 - Manage the adverse effects of primary production activities to ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are maintained not unreasonably degraded, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Issue 14B | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 14B. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.4. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 14.4.1(g):</p> <p><i>Policy 14.4.1 – Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</i></p> <p><i>(g) noises, smells and sights of agriculture, viticulture, horticulture and forestry, and rural business that support primary production activities.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.4.4 – Ensure subdivision in rural areas:</i></p> <p><i>(a) does not lead to a pattern of land uses that will adversely affect rural character and/or amenity values; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) creates allotments of sufficient size for rural activities to predominate in rural areas; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) recognises reverse sensitivity issues that may occur when sensitive (such as rural residential) locate near existing rural activities.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.10. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 14.4.12:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.4.12 – The Omaka Valley is characterised by the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) low, broad ridges, parts of which have been identified as having high amenity value and are included in the mapped Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(b) limited building on ridgelines;</i></p> <p><i>(c) open character due to a lack of tall vegetation within the valley;</i></p> <p><i>(d) meandering watercourse patterns and topographical variation in the upper valley;</i></p> <p><i>(e) viticulture is a dominant land use;</i></p> <p><i>(f) with the exception of times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;</i></p> <p><i>(g) lack of through roads;</i></p> <p><i>(h) a mix of land uses towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident; and</i></p> <p><i>(i) roads located close to the broad ridges, giving a contained nature to the valley.</i></p> <p>Alternatively, that the policy is amended to meet the requirements for a policy to be the most appropriate way to achieve an objective.</p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.14 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 14.4.14: Policy 14.4.14 – The Wairau Plain is characterised by the following:</p> <p>(a) a highly productive land resource and the most intensively developed and farmed rural area in Marlborough;</p> <p>(b) an extensive area of flat land available for primary production;</p> <p>(c) an extensive floodplain and drainage network;</p> <p>(d) the large, braided Wairau River and its tributaries, floodplain terraces, associated backswamp wetlands, streams, coastal swamp deposits and minor inland sand dunes;</p> <p>(e) ground-fed springs in the lower plain;</p> <p>(f) viticulture as a dominant land use;</p> <p>(g) open character across the plain;</p> <p>(h) encompassing Marlborough's main urban centre of Blenheim;</p> <p>(i) the arterial roading network traversing the plain; and</p> <p>(j) a centrally located regional airport and New Zealand Defence Force airbase.</p> <p>Alternatively, that the policy is amended to meet the requirements for a policy to be the most appropriate way to achieve an objective.</p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.AER.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 14.AER.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.AER.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 14.AER.2. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.AER.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 14.AER.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the intent of Issue 15A is retained but that the following amendment (strike-through) to the last paragraph explanation under the heading <i>Rural Activities</i> is made: <i>There is the potential for rural activities to change and intensify in the future. For example, in many other regions there has been a change from traditional pastoral farming to dairy farming. This has led to water quality degradation, especially in lowland streams and for groundwater.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.14: <i>Objective 15.1a – Maintain and where necessary enhance degraded improve water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1b: <i>Objective 15.1b - Maintain or where degraded enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median nitrate concentration is <1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is <1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1c: <i>Objective 15.1c – Maintain or where degraded, enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is <0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is <0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1d: <i>Objective 15.1d - Maintain or where degraded, enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median E. coli level is <260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 15.1e: <i>Objective 15.1e - Maintain or where degraded enhance freshwater water quality in waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation so that the 95th percentile E. coli level is <540 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.2(c): <i>Policy 15.1.2 – Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (c) where water quality has already been degraded by human activities, the uses and values that are to be restored.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Method 15.M.3: <i>15.M.3 Investigations</i> <i>To undertake catchment-specific research to establish the capacity of fresh waterbodies to assimilate total contaminant loads from within each catchment. This will also be informed by the objectives and management purpose established for the waterbody and the uses and values supported by the waterbody. will both assist to determine the sensitivity of the waterbody to increases in contaminant loads. Given their association with rural land uses and Marlborough's history of primary production, Research into nutrients is a priority. and it may also be necessary to prioritise heavy metals in urban catchments, given the prevalence of such metals in urban stormwater, as well as sediment loads in rivers flowing into sensitive receiving environments, such as the enclosed coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 36 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.4: <i>Policy 15.1.4 – Take action to eEnhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.5: <i>Policy 15.1.5 – Take action toeEnhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 38 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.6: <i>Policy 15.1.6 Take action toeEnhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 15.1.7: <i>Policy 15.1.7 – Take action toeEnhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 40 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.8. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 41 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.25. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 42 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.26. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 43 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.27. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 44 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.33 as it is redundant and Policy 15.1.34 provides an effects based approach to dairy farming activities: <i>Policy 15.1.33 - Require land use consent for the establishment and operation of any new dairy farm.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 45 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.34: <i>Policy 15.1.34 - Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms and intensive farming activities where the proposed farming activity would have no more than minor adverse effects on can identify potential risks to ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands. A land use consent application must identify the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum:</i> <i>(a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</i> <i>(b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</i> <i>(c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</i> <i>(d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</i> <i>(e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</i> preparing and implementing a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X, made available to Marlborough District Council upon request is a Permitted Activity. It is noted that the submission does not include details for the content of Appendix X. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 46 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.18. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 47 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.23. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 48 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.21 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to 15.M.21:</p> <p><i>15.M.21 Information</i> <i>Provide information, including guidelines, to landowners, resource users and the public:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• to generally promote awareness of water quality issues; and</i> <i>• to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise any adverse effects of non-point source discharges. This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been development and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</i> <p><i>Although the focus of this method will be on rural resource users, the information will also be applicable to residential situations (in both rural and urban environments).</i></p> <p><i>Provide information on the benefits of retiring and planting riparian margins. This will include information on the appropriate width of riparian margins and suitable plant species, taking into account the variation in the nature of waterbodies/coastal waters and the adjoining rural land uses. Information on options for formally protecting retired riparian margins can also be provided.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 49 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to 15.M.24 and amend 15. M.21 (submission point #48) to include support for the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015, and industry guidelines and Codes of Practice.</p> <p><i>15.M.24 Codes of practice and industry guidelines</i> <i>Advocate to rural industry groups that they, locally or nationally, prepare and adopt codes of practice or other guidelines aimed at reducing the effects of non-point source discharges where they do not already exist.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 50 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.25 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.25:</p> <p><i>15.M.25 Farm Environment Management plans for dairy farming intensively farmed livestock</i> Water Quality Management Farm Environment Plans can be used as a means of demonstrating on an ongoing basis that any adverse effects on water quality resulting from dairy and intensively farmed livestock will be avoided, remedied or sufficiently mitigated. They provide the ability to consider all farm management practices with the potential to adversely affect surface or groundwater quality or wetlands and manage these risks in an integrated way. This also enables the dairy farmer to progressively plan farm upgrades based on priority or in the case of new farms, at the time of establishment. Water Quality Management Plans can be used to support applications for land use consent to convert the use of land to dairying.</p> <p>The Farm Environment Plan should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nutrient Management Plans. These will be required as a means to demonstrate how nutrient inputs associated with dairy and intensively farmed livestock are to be managed to ensure any adverse effects on water quality will be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Nutrient Management Plans should be written documents that incorporate a nutrient budget developed by an accredited nutrient adviser a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor using OVERSEER® or similar. This should describe how the major plant nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and potassium) and any other nutrients of importance to specialist crops will be managed (including all sources of nutrient - for example, discharges from farm dairy effluent systems, animal discharges and/or atmospheric nitrogen fixation.</i> • Good management plans. • Riparian management plans. • Details of the property including location of waterbodies. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 51 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15F | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation for Issue 15F:</p> <p><i>Soil contains essential mineral elements required by plants and animals. An inevitable consequence of the productive use of soil is that, at some time in the future, soils will become unable to sustain high levels of production unless those nutrients are replaced. Soil depletion refers to the reduction of soil nutrients to a level where their potential to sustain primary production is adversely affected. Although fertiliser use has decreased over time in Marlborough, many primary producers still apply it to maintain the nutrient status of the soil and therefore soil productivity. Excessive fertiliser application creates the potential for nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphate to runoff into adjoining rivers and wetlands or leach into underlying groundwater. Council will encourage the application of fertiliser in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management and Good Management Practices for farming activities to reduce the risk of nutrients entering surface and groundwater resources.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 52 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.2. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 53 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.39 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.39: <i>15.M.39 Liaison</i> <i>To work with established rural industry groups to develop and implement sustainable land management programmes. The initial focus will be on viticulture, pastoral farming (especially dairy and beef farming), arable farming and forestry, but may extend to other rural activities if the need arises.</i> <i>Encouraging group members to practice use nutrient budgeting (with the exception of the forestry industry) as a way of understanding the nutrient cycling, inputs and losses from their activities will be a priority.</i> <i>Farm management plans may assist rural property owners to identify appropriate responses to soil erosion issues on their land. The Council may help to develop such plans if requested.</i> <i>Liaise with the Department of Conservation regarding any soil erosion issues on Crown land managed for conservation purposes.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 54 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.42 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.42. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 55 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 56 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Objective 16.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 16.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 57 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.3.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 58 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 16.3.4. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 59 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.3. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 60 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 61 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 62 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.28. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 63 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new permitted activity rule is included in Chapter 3 rural Environment Zone subject to appropriate permitted activity standards. Non-compliance with a permitted activity standard should be a restricted discretionary activity, with Council limiting its discretion to the permitted activity standard not met: <i>Rule 3.1.XX - Discharge of stormwater to land.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 64 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.9.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.2.9.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 65 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 66 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.1: <i>Standard 3.3.23.1 The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 67 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.2 Fertiliser storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Corrosive HSR002569 • Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570 • Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571 • Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572. <p>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and • For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 68 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 69 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i></p> <p>Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include.</p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 70 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.5. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 71 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.23.6. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 72 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4: <i>Standard 3.3.23.4 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area, unless the discharge has effects that are less than minor.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 73 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.26.5: <i>Standard 3.3.26.5. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 74 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.26.7: <i>Standard 3.3.26.7 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i> Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 75 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.1: <i>Standard 3.3.28.1 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area, without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 76 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.2. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 77 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 78 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.4. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.4. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 79 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.5. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 80 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.6: Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 81 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.7: <i>Standard 3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is XXX Provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i> Note the submission does not provide an explanation or details as to what XXX Provision would include. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 82 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.8. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 83 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.9. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 84 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.10. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 85 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.28.11. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 86 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.6.3 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 87 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That <i>Rule 3.6.6 Quarrying and mineral extraction</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters: a) location; b) effects of natural landscapes and amenity values; c) provision of a Quarry Management Plan or Mineral Extraction Plan. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 88 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.6.7 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 89 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That <i>Rule 3.6.8 Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters: a) the preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X. Note that the submission does not include details for a Farm Environment Plan in Appendix X. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 90 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.1 as a permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 91 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.22. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.22 as a permitted activity. Note that the submission states "support in part"; however, the submission states that it supports Rule 4.1.22 <i>Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land</i> and no changes have been requested. As such, it is inferred that the submitter supports this rule. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 92 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.27. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.27 as a permitted activity. Note that the submission states "support in part"; however, the submission states that it supports Rule 4.1.27 <i>Discharge of dairy farm effluent into or onto land</i> and no changes have been requested. As such, it is inferred that the submitter supports this rule. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 93 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 94 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.1: <i>Standard 4.3.22.1 Fertiliser storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</i> Corrosive HSR002569 Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570 Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571 Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572. Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for: Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 95 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.22.2. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 96 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.22.3: <i>Standard 4.3.22.3 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 97 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 4.3.22.5. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 98 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.22.4. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 99 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.25.5: <i>Standard 4.3.25.5 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 100 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.25.6: <i>Standard 4.3.25.6 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 101 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.1. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 102 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.2. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 103 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.3. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.3. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 104 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.4. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 105 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.27.5: <i>Standard 4.3.25.5 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 106 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.6: <i>Standard 4.3.27.6 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 107 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.7. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 108 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.8. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 109 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.9. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 110 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.27.10. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 111 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That <i>Rule 4.6.6 Quarrying and mineral extraction</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:</p> <p>a) location;</p> <p>b) effects of natural landscapes and amenity values;</p> <p>c) provision of a Quarry Management Plan or Mineral Extraction Plan.</p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 112 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That <i>Rule 4.6.8 Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016</i> is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with Councils discretion restricted to the following matters:</p> <p>(a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Environment Plan as set out in Appendix X.</p> <p>It is noted that the submission does not include details for Appendix X.</p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 113 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.1.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 17.1.10 but request amendments to be made to Standard 17.3.8.2 (submission point #114). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 114 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.8.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 17.3.8.2: <i>Standard 17.3.8.2 Fertiliser storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</i> Corrosive HSR002569 Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570 Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571 Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572. Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for: Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 115 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.1.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 18.1.13 but request amendments to be made to Standard 18.3.9.2 (submission point #116). | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 116 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 18.3.9.2: <i>Standard 18.3.9.2 Fertiliser storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</i> Corrosive HSR002569 Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570 Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571 Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572. Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for: Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 117 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status of Rule 19.1.19 but with the requested amendments to be made to Standard 19.3.17.2 (submission point #118). | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 118 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 19.3.17.2: <i>Standard 19.3.17.2 Fertiliser</i> storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards: Corrosive HSR002569 Oxidising (5.1.1) HSR002570 Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571 Toxic (6.1C) HSR002572. Including site and storage conditions for the group standards for: Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and Class 5.2) and For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 119 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.8.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 17.3.8.5: <i>Standard 17.3.8.5 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)</i> unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 120 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.9.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 18.3.9.5: <i>Standard 18.3.9.5 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)</i> unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 121 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 19.3.17.4: <i>Standard 19.3.17.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)</i> unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm Environment Plan. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 122 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Ravensdown seeks for Council to delete the current definition of Intensive Farming and replace it with a definition that is practical and easy to understand. The submitter does not include an alternative definition in their submission. | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 123 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | That the following definition for <i>fertiliser</i> is included in the MEP: <i>Any substance (whether in solid or liquid form) that is described as or held out to be for, or suitable for sustaining or increasing the growth, productivity or quality of plants or animals through the application of the following essential nutrients to plants or soils: nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, magnesium, calcium, chloride, sodium as major nutrients, or manganese, iron, zinc, copper, boron, cobalt, molybdenum, iodine, selenium as minor nutrients or fertiliser additives, and includes non-nutrient attributes of the materials used in fertiliser, but does not include substances that are plant growth regulators that modify physiological functions of plants.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 124 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following definition for <i>Good Management Practice</i> is included in the MEP: <i>Practices, procedures or use of tools which are effective at achieving the desired performance while providing for environmental responsibility. Good management practice evolves through time and results in continuous improvement as new information, technology and awareness of particular issues are developed and disseminated.</i> <i>Support is given to identify these as: <u>Industry Agreed Good Management Practices</u> being the practices described in the document entitled <u>Industry-agreed Good Management Practices relating to water quality - dated September.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 125 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following definition for <i>Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</i> is included in the MEP: <i>A Nutrient Management Advisor certified under the Nutrient Manager Adviser Certification Programme Ltd.</i> | | | | | |
| 1090 | Ravensdown Limited | 126 | Volume 3 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new Appendix is included in the MEP that details the matters to be included in a Farm Environment Plan. In particular, Ravensdown adopts the Appendix proposed by FANZ in its submission on the MEP. | | | | | |
| 349 | Philip Rayner | 1 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That fireworks displays by qualified pyrotechnicians shall be permitted activities all year round. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 1 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the definition used in stream management to <u>logs with a small end diameter of greater than 100mm and at least 3.0m long.</u> | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 2 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <u>Describe water clarity at a point 200m downstream of the discharge must not result in significant water clarity degradation from that above the source for more than 8 hours in a day. Where significant is debated, use the black disc method (20%) as the arbitrator.</u> | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change wording to add " <u>except in the case where ROWs and or access corridors exist before neighbouring land uses change</u> ". | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 4 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 5 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reword rule to state: chemical not to be discharged over flowing or static water. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <u>State that forest preparation, planting, roading, harvesting and transportation machinery are exempt.</u> | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause c | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The NES for plantation forestry handles this and should be used as being more scientifically robust. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete clause g | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this rule | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The NES for <u>plantation</u> forests should be used far this process. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the wording to ie <u>landing or location change and the council must be notified 5 working days before.</u> | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 13 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change rule to allow for culvert/bridge approaches. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 14 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for maintenance excavation on all land. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 15 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 17 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule. | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 20 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the rule to allow for a controlled status step if (permitted activities can't be met). | | | | | |
| 318 | Reade Family Holdings | 21 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the rule to allow for a controlled status step if permitted activities can't be met. | | | | | |
| 297 | Red Barn Vineyards | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 297 | Red Barn Vineyards | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I support current min flow cut offs for Wairau, Waihopai and Awatere Rivers. | | | | | |
| 297 | Red Barn Vineyards | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy (inferred). | | | | | |
| 297 | Red Barn Vineyards | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission. | | | | | |
| 297 | Red Barn Vineyards | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8316 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 7 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8136 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 601 | Christopher Redwood | 15 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8136 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 7 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8125 in South Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8130 in Wakatahuri; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8136 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1022 | Patricia Redwood | 15 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8164 in Guards Bay, 8125 in South Forsyth Bay, 8130 in Wakatahuri, and 8136 and 8135 in Pidgeon Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1215 | Vincent Redwood | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submissions database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1091 | Redwood Development Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business 2 Zone as mapped with the additional change of zoning for 100-102 Alabama Road from Residential 2 Zone to Business 2 Zone. | | | | | |
| 147 | Kaye Register | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | than general rule 2.11.4 be removed and deleted from the Marlborough Environment Plan | | | | | |
| 147 | Kaye Register | 2 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | that the General Rule 2.11.5 be omitted and deleted from the proposed environment Plan | | | | | |
| 147 | Kaye Register | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | that the proposed prohibited Activities section Volume 2 chapter 3 3.7.4 be omitted and deleted from the proposed Marlborough Environment plan | | | | | |
| 147 | Kaye Register | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the proposed prohibited activities under Volume 2, Chapter 3 point 3.7.5 be deleted and omitted entirely from the plan | | | | | |
| 148 | Kaye Register | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the clause be amended to allow for stock behind a wire being able to be grazed.</p> <p>The definition of intensively farmed animals is flawed.</p> <p>In our case the animals are conservatively no intensively farmed this definition in the clause being the stumbling block to fully utilising our property and financial viability.</p> | | | | | |
| 148 | Kaye Register | 2 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>that provision 2.9.9.2 is removed as there is no way of measuring these clarity issues under (a), (b) or (c), how or who would collect this data, (eg change in reflectance on an overcast day) under what procedures or reference to historical readings in the area or base would there be to compare them to? Is the Council going to collect this data to gain a base line for the landowners to work from and ensure this is correctly monitored?</p> | | | | | |
| 148 | Kaye Register | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provision 2.9.9.3 be deleted, and the clause regarding mixing or entering or passing of stock over the bed of river for the purposes of recording the average carbonised levels due to organic compounds, and clause (a), (b), (c) and (d) are unable to be calculated.</p> <p>Will Council gather base line readings to give the necessary historical base line figures to ensure the readings are average for this property given the large expanse of native bush directly influencing the flow and what enters this waterway. Will reading then be taken before the river or waterway enters our property as well to ensure it is the livestock on this property effecting this and not the animals pigs goats etc that inhabit the surrounding area or the forest run-off from recently upgraded roads or skid sites altering the readings?</p> | | | | | |
| 485 | Donald and Kaye Register | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a decision requested.</p> <p><i>It is inferred that the decision requested is that intensively farmed livestock should be able to enter onto, or pass across, the bed of a river when water is flowing in it.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 568 | Bevan Gordon Reid | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.</p> <p>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p> | | | | | |
| 1092 | REM Limited | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 1 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.23. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>A new Standard is added to this Rule as follows -</p> <p><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent must not occur on private land in any of the following locations -</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i> • <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i> • <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 & 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 2 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 3 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>1) kaitiakitanga, to be recognised and implemented and developed as a legal RMA framework.... by proper forum, before this plan becomes operational.</p> <p>2) 'Public access' not supported by whanau who hold riparian rights in private ownership</p> <p>3) Cultural heritage and heritage should not be confused as being the same</p> <p>4) there is the need for a forum to highlight why all approaches are dysfunctional.</p> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 4 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.16. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>A new Standard is added to this Rule as follows -</p> <p><i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent must not occur on private land in any of the following locations -</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i> <i>• Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i> <i>• BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 & 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1023 | P Rene | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>4.1.8 Farming - Permitted activities - D'Urville Island be added as subset of 4.1.</p> <p>That the following 'existing activities' be added as permitted activities as a subset of 4.1.8</p> <p>4.1.8.1 permitted activities</p> <p>4.1.8.1.1 garage for farm vehicles/machinery</p> <p>4.1.8.1.2 wood storage shed</p> <p>4.1.8.1.3 dog kennels</p> <p>4.1.8.1.4 woolsheds</p> <p>4.1.8.1.5 chicken shed/shelter</p> <p>4.1.8.1.6 boat shed</p> <p>4.1.8.1.7 workshop</p> <p>4.1.8.1.8 pig pens</p> <p>4.1.8.1.9 milking shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.0 communications wifi/cellular/personal radio repeater shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.1 stock yards</p> <p>4.1.8.2.2 domestic water storage</p> <p>4.1.8.2.3 mail shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.4 fences</p> <p>4.1.8.2.5 wind turbines/hydro-generation,solar,diesel generator shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.6 farm storage sheds hay,saddles etc</p> <p>4.1.8.2.7 meat shed</p> <p>4.1.8.2.8 windturbines</p> <p>4.1.8.2.9 windmill water pump</p> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 6 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Change the zoning of private lands (maori) on the following to "<i>Coastal Environment Zone</i>" -</p> <p>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 & 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90).</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 7 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new Prohibited Activity as follows - <i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent on private land in any of the following locations -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i> • <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i> • <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 & 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 8 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Prohibited Activity as follows - <i>" The application of a vertebrate toxic agent on private land in any of the following locations -</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Rongitoto Block 6b2b (D'urville Island) or</i> • <i>Tinui Island (islet off D'urville Island) or</i> • <i>BLOCK: Motuiti (Victory Island - MEP Map 90), Hautai Island (MEP Map 96), Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island (MEP Map 89), Araiawa (Fin Island - MEP Map 92), Rahonui Island (Map 92), Tapararere Island (Map 97), Te Horo (MEP Map 96 & 97), Anatakapu Island (MEP Map 97), Te Kurukuru (Stewart Island - MEP Map 93) and Kaitaore Islands [Durville Islets or islets near Durville] (MEP Map 90)."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 9 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activities, and associated Standards, to apply to areas around d'urville Island and around it's surrounding Islets: Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Rahonui Island, Tapararere Island, Te Horo, Anatakapu Island, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands as follows - as sub parts of 16.1 being 16.1.24 [new permitted activity] 16.1.25 permitted activity='kaitiakitanga' 16.1.26 Kaitiakitanga - customary/cultural landscape 16.1.28 iwi management plans/ kaitiakitanga plans 16.1.29 community wellbeing and with all as permitted activities As subset parts of ;16.1.24, 16.1.25, 16.1.26, 16.1.28, 16.1.29 | | | | | |

16.1.24.1 For Durville Island and its surrounding Islets

16.1.24.1.1 permitted activities

16.1.24.1.1.1 unloading/loading of stock,vehicles,machinery,farm produce,goods, wool bales,buildings,materials, by barge, for property owners -Durville Is or it's surrounding Islets

16.1.24.1.1.2 droving of stock O'urville islanci only 16.1.24.1.1.3 boundary fences. D'urville Island,only

16.1.24.1.1.4 launching of boats, where the boat owner is also the adjacent property owner Durville Is only

16.1.24.1.1.5 ensuring options for making 'safe' boats and vessels of local property owners, via moorings, permanent anchoring, a boat ramp, or jetty, adjacent to the owners property D'urville and islets

16.1.24.1.1.6 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line[d'urville island surrounding Islets] .D'urville

16.1.24.1.1.7 existing use rights, of the RMA

16.1.24.1.1.8 options [16.1.24.1.1.1 - 16.1.24.1.1.7 inclusive] must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.

16.1.25.1 1 Kaitiakitanga - Rangitoto ki te tonga/d'urville island and surrounding Islets [kaitiakitanga 16.1.25.1: subject to 16.1.25.1.1.1.a, 16.1.25.1.1.1.b, 16.1.25.1.1.1.c]

16.1.25.1.1 permitted activities

16.1.25.1.1.1 Kaitiakitanga [riparian rights continue to be held in private ownership of whanau] for Durville Island and it's surrounding Islets, as the 'Customary rights' markers & rohe marker for whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata [coastal area interface -rohe-location]

16.1.25.1.1.2 Kaitiakitanga -cultural activities(coastal area -rohe-location) [subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d] 16.1.25.1.1.3 kaitiakitanga - customary practices [coastal area -rohe-location] (subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d) 16.1.25.1.1.4 kaitiakitanga - Moorings [coastal area -rohe-location]

16.1.25.1.1.5 kaitiakitanga - Maitaitai, taiapure,rahui. (coastal area -rohe-location)

16.1.25.1.1.6 Kaitiakitanga - cultural landscape [subject to criteria 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1 Kaitiakitanga criteria/standards(16.1 .25.1.1.1.1a - 16.1.25.1.1.1.1d inclusive)

16.1.25.1.1.1.1a Kaitiakitanga content ='Traditions and cultural'-Rangatiratanga ,Tikanga' of [whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1b kaitiakitanga - community = [whanau/hapu/lwi of Ngati Koata]

16.1.25.1.1.1.1c kaitiakitanga - Location = rohe of Durville Is and surrounding Islets and includes, their ancestral lands, sites, waters, waahi tapu, and other taonga

16.1.25.1.1.1.1d under kaitiakitanga 16.1.25.1.1:

16.1.25.1.1.2 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c 16.1.25.1.1.3 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c
16.1.25.1.1.6 must be consistent with 16.1.25.1.1.1.1b, and 16.1.25.1.1.1.1c

else Kaitiakitanga must not happen where the rohe is 'rangitoto ki te tonga'/d'urville island and includes the following blocks Tinui Island, Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui,

Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands

16.1.25.1.1.7 existing use rights, of the RMA

16.1.25.1.1.8 options (16.1.25.1.1.1 - 16.1.25.1.1.7, inclusive) must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety.

16.1.29.1. community wellbeing [being owners at d'urville island and surrounding islands; block Motuiti, Hautai, Puna-a-Tawheke or Scuffle Island, Araiawa, Rahonui, Tapararere, Te Horo, Anatakapu, Te Kurukuru and Kaitaore Islands]

16.1.29.1.1 Permitted Activities

16.1.29.1.1.1 right to apply for and occupy the coastal marine area, for use as boat ramp, where the ramp applicant is also the adjacent property owner Durville Is

16.1.29.1.1.2 right to apply for, occupy and use coastal space, for a jetty, adjacent to property, of the jetty owner, for loading and unloading of vessels, for servicing property at Durville Is or surrounding Islet [tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.3 permanent anchorage, by owners of adjacent property-Durville Is

16.1.29.1.1.4 right to apply for and operate a mooring, adjacent to property of the mooring owner, or servicing property at Durville Is or Islet [tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.5 surveillance equipment

16.1.29.1.1.6 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line (d'urville island]

16.1.29.1.1.7 moorings, where that site is adjacent to property of the owner - D'urville Island

16.1.29.1.1.8 option to apply for moorings/weka management where no bylaw for managing swing moorings (Durville Is or tinui Island]

16.1.29.1.1.9 'anchor-to-shore' small craft/dingy line Islets surrounding Durville island,

16.1.29.1.2.1 recreational fishing parks, marine management parks (be subject to 16.1.25.1.1 and 16.1.29.1.2.1]

16.1.29.1.2.1 existing use rights, of the RMA

Standards

16.1.29.1.2.2 options (16.1.29.1.1.1 - 16.1.29.1.2.1, inclusive) must be managed way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | 16.1.26 Kaitiakitanga - customary/cultural landscape | | | | | |
| | 16.1.26.1 permitted activity | | | | | |
| | 16.1.26.1.1 Kaitiakitanga | | | | | |
| | 16.1.28 iwi management plans / kaitiakitanga plans | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 10 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) of the Standard as follows - " (b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 50 years in age;" | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 11 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new sections to permitted activities 2.7 2.7.11 customary activities Durville Island 2.7.12 placement of eel basket, bed of lake. Durville Island 2.7.13 eeling Durville Island 2.7.14 Kaitiakitanga Durville Island 2.7.15 recreational activities 2.7.16 drinking water take existing use W65 | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 12 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under heading 2.17.2 as follows - "Not in a W65 area". | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 13 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 2.38.3 add words [or wetlands] after text ".....bed of a lake or river". (The submission appears to be on a provision other than that specified but the correct provision was not able to be inferred.) | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The Standard is amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, except on rural farms on D'urville Island the following are allowed for:</i></p> <p><i>(1) small (one room-4 bunk) farm baches for workers;</i></p> <p><i>(2) shearing quarters (housing)."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 15 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following 'existing activities', as per the below structure or flow charted as per 'drop down' structure, for convenience of access or documentation layout practicability</p> <p>4.1 permitted activities, as a sub part of 4.1 or;</p> <p>as a sub part of,</p> <p>a new added 'permitted activity' of</p> <p>'4 .coastal environment Zone'</p> <p>4.1.8 Farming - permitted activities.- D'urville Island <new permitted activity as added></p> <p><u>Existing Activities</u></p> <p>4.1.56 garage for farm vehicles/machinery [4.1.8.1]</p> <p>4.1.57 wood storage shed [4.1.8.2]</p> <p>4.1.58 dog kennels [4.1.8.3]</p> <p>4.1.59 woolsheds [4.1.8.4]</p> <p>4.1.60 chicken shed/shelter [4.1.8.5]</p> <p>4.1.61 boat shed [4.1.8.6]</p> <p>4.1.62 workshop [4.1.8.7]</p> <p>4.1.63 pig pens [4.1.8.8]</p> <p>4.1.64 milking shed [4.1.8.9]</p> <p>4.1.65 communications wifi/cellular/personal radio repeater shed [4.1.9.0]</p> <p>4.1.66 stock yards [4.1.9 .1]</p> <p>4.1.67 domestic water storage [4.1.9.2]</p> <p>4.1.68 mail shed [4.1.9.3]</p> <p>4.1.69 fences [4.1.9.4]</p> <p>4.1.70 wind turbines/hydro-generation ,solar,diesel generator shed[4.1.9.5]</p> <p>4.1.71 farm storage sheds hay, saddles etc [4.1.9.6]</p> <p>4.1.72 meat shed [4.1.9.7]</p> <p>4.1.73 windturbines [4.1.9.8]</p> <p>4.1.74 windmill water pump [4.1.9.8]</p> | | | | | |
| 1023 | P Rene | 16 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed 40m."</i> <i>(It is not clear in the Submission what specific change, increase or decrease, is sought.)</i> | | | | | |
| 1024 | P Rene | 1 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows - <i>"The discharge must not be in or on a Significant Wetland on D'urville Island."</i> | | | | | |
| 1024 | P Rene | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Request further information on this Overlay. | | | | | |
| 1024 | P Rene | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Freshwater Management Unit 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Request further information on this Overlay. | | | | | |
| 1025 | P Rene | 1 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - <i>"Kiatiakitanga."</i> | | | | | |
| 1297 | Dawn Janice Rentoul | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.36. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see the rule retracted an withdrawn, as not at all fair to my property, so would like it to be overturned in my favour. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|
| 1297 | Dawn Janice Rentoul | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.36. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I would like to see the standard retracted and withdrawn, as not at all fair to my property, so would like it to be overturned in my favour. | | | | | |
| 1297 | Dawn Janice Rentoul | 3 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 61 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone my property at 2262 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 3323 and Pt Section 16 SO 2928 Wairau Valley Dist) Rural Environment. | | | | | |
| 1297 | Dawn Janice Rentoul | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 161 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Zone my property at 2262 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 3323 and Pt Section 16 SO 2928 Wairau Valley Dist) Rural Environment. | | | | | |
| 1093 | Rewa Rewa Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 76 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The proposal is rezone a portion of the land from Coastal Environment Zone to Coastal Living Zone, to reflect the land identified as appropriate for residential development.</p> <p>The area sought to be rezoned is identified within Appendix 1 attached to this submission.</p> <p>The primary relief sought by Rewa Rewa Limited is to replace the Coastal Living Zone shown on the Zoning Map with that shown on the plan attached within Appendix 1.</p> | | | | | |
| 670 | Dean Reynish | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 732 | Graeme Kenneth Riach | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| 732 | Graeme Kenneth Riach | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 4000m 500m of a marine farm." | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8488 in Clarice Island; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8488 in Clarice Island and 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8488 in Clarice Island; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8488 in Clarice Island; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1094 | Richards Family Trust | 9 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8488 in Clarice Island and 8491 in Waitaria Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area ". | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 15 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 16 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 17 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 18 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 422 | Jan Richardson | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 825 | Jo-Ann Rickard | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 889 | Lavina Rickard | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 535 | Adele Riddle | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 826 | Jade Riri | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1026 | Patricia Riri | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| 28 | RJA Black, JE Black and JV Dallison | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 126 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The Coastal Living zone be extended over Lot 2 DP 10803 to a more logical boundary based on adjoining zonings. | | | | | |
| 1311 | Rob Morris and the Morris Family | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 1 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That all reference to prohibited rules taken out of the Proposed Environment Plans and replaced with other options such as discretionary. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That more consultation takes place with residents and landowners to re-assess the ONFLs applied to Goulter Bay/Mills Bay/Weka Point areas (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.20.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.20.2. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</p> <p>That a more common measurement form being used in the plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment and all reference to the Munsell Scale removed from the plan. The submission does not include details of an alternative measurement.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation for Issue 4A with regards to the success of primary industries (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4C (inferred) . | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 7 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Policy 7.2.7 (a) and (c) (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.7 Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting:</i></p> <p><i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i></p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 8 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 9 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 10 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i></p> <p><i>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 11 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) be made to Policy 13.10.27 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of concrete slab retaining walls, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 12 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.M.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 13 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to 15.M.6 Regional Rules (inferred) . 15.M.6 Regional Rules <i>Apply regional rules to control the use of land in close proximity to rivers for stock grazing. This includes rules to control intensively-farmed stock from entering onto or crossing the bed of a lake or flowing river. A prohibition will be placed on this activity as from 9 June 2022.</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 14 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 15 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not provide a clear decision requested but indicates greater encouragement of tourism signage sought. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 16 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 17 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 18 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 19 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds Streams <i>Recreation Values</i> Children playing Kayaking, swimming or fishing | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 20 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 21 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 22 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.8.1.5 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a native nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i> The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 23 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water. The submission does not include a depth of water. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 24 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That this rule is a permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 25 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2: <i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i> (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</p> <p>The submission also states that an easier type of measurement is used out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 26 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 27 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 28 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1: <i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 29 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than 426 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 30 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 32 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 84 m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 33 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This may be the only access to forestry - needs to be flexible. <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i> (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 35 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19:</p> <p>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</p> <p>Alternatively, that the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.19 (inferred):</p> <p>Standard 3.3.7.19 If not required in the future, Wwithin 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 36 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 37 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.12.2. Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), or lake or the coastal marine area.</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 38 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2(a) and a simpler recording system in its place:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.12.11 Vegetation clearance must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p>The submission does not include an alternative "simpler recording system" to that of the Munsell scale.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 39 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6. Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 40 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals.</p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 41 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Fertiliser on Soil Sensitive areas. This rule will make farming non productive, provided it is spread in good weather conditions and in a sensible way this should be a discretionary activity. Some land in this category may flood once in a blue moon, in the meantime it is productive land and should be treated as such.</p> <p><i>(The submission does not include a decision requested.)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 42 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standard.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 43 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5: <i>Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 44 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 45 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 46 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 47 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That woodlot forestry planing is change to controlled discretionary for all types of trees listed under 4.3.7.1. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 48 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1: <i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(b) 84m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i> <i>(d) 200100m of the coastal marine area;</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 49 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11: <i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 50 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 51 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6: <i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 52 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That livestock entering into, or passing across, the bed of a river of intensively farmed animals, is a discretionary activity (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 53 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 54 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4: <i>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</i> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 55 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 56 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 57 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 58 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 59 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.8.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.8.8.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 84 m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 60 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 61 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities. | | | | | |
| 738 | Glenda Vera Robb | 62 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation for Issue 4A with regards to the success of primary industries <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4C <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 <i>(inferred)</i> : Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 7.2.7(a) and (c) <i>(inferred)</i> : <i>Policy 7.2.7 - Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i> <i>(a) In respect of structures:</i> <i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i> <i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting</i> <i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 5 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 6 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.3.4 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.3.4 Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 7 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22(g) (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by: (g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 8 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 13.10.27 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of concrete slab retaining walls, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 9 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.M.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 10 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to 15.M.6 Regional Rules (inferred) . <i>15.M.6 Regional Rules Apply regional rules to control the use of land in close proximity to rivers for stock grazing. This includes rules to control intensively-farmed stock from entering onto or crossing the bed of a lake or flowing river. A prohibition will be placed on this activity as from 9 June 2022.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 11 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas (inferred) . | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 12 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not provide a clear decision requested. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 13 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 14 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 15 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 16 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds Streams <i>Recreation Values</i> Children playing Kayaking, swimming or fishing | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 17 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 18 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 (inferred) : <i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 19 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 2.8.1.5 (inferred) : <i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a native nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i> The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 20 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water. The submission does not include a depth of water. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 21 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That this rule is a permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 22 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 23 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 24 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2: <i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> | | | | | |
| | The submission also states that an easier type of measurement is used out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 25 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1: <i>Standard 2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 26 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.7.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5: <i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than 126 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 27 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 28 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 29 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3: <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 844m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 30 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This may be the only access to forestry - needs to be flexible. <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17: <i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 32 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19: <i>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</i> Alternatively, that the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.19 (inferred) : <i>Standard 3.3.7.19 If not required in the future, Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 33 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 37 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 3.3.12.2. Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), or lake or the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 38 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6 (inferred) : <i>Standard 3.3.13.6 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 39 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 40 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 41 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.31.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 42 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5: <i>Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. All farm rubbish pits must be covered.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 44 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6: <i>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 45 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 46 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 47 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That woodlot forestry planing is change to controlled discretionary for all types of trees listed under 4.3.7.1. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 48 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1. <i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within: (a) 8484m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation); (c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 49 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11: <i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 50 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 51 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6: <i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 52 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That livestock entering into, or passing across, the bed of a river of intensively farmed animals, is a discretionary activity (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 53 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.30.2 (inferred) : Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 54 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4: Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. All farm rubbish pits must be covered. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 55 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5: <i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 56 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 57 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 58 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 59 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.8.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 8.3.8.1: <i>Standard 8.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 844m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 60 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 61 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 62 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 21.3.16.1: <i>Standard 21.3.16.1 The activity must be authorised by the Council through a formal agreement.</i> | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 63 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That all reference to prohibited rules taken out of the Proposed Environment Plans and replaced with other options such as discretionary. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 64 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That more consultation takes place with residents and landowners to re-assess the ONFLs applied to Goulter Bay/Mills Bay/Weka Point areas (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 65 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.20.2: <i>Standard 4.3.20.2. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> That a more common measurement form being used in the plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment and all reference to the Munsell Scale removed from the plan. The submission does not include details of an alternative measurement. | | | | | |
| 935 | Melva Joy Robb | 66 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.2(a) and a simpler recording system in its place: <i>Standard 3.3.12.11 Vegetation clearance must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i> (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale; The submission does not include an alternative "simpler recording system" to that of the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 602 | Colleen Robbins | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1 (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4C (inferred) . | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 (inferred) : Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 7.2.7(a) and (c) (inferred) : <i>Policy 7.2.7 - Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i> <i>(a) In respect of structures:</i> <i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i> <i>(c) In respect of vegetation planting</i> <i>(i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds;</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 5 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That these roads should be left alone unless the landowner on whose land the road is on agrees and wishes to open it. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 6 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.3.4 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.3.4 Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel. (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 7 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.22(g) (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(g) avoiding signs on boatsheds other than those assisting emergency services.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 8 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Policy 13.10.27 (inferred) : <i>Policy 13.10.27 – Discourage the use of concrete slab retaining walls, sheet piling, car tyres or similar for coastal protection measures and encourage instead the use of materials similar to those found naturally occurring in the area or that can be locally sourced.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 9 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.M.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 14.M.6 Monitoring animal and plant pests includes implementation plans to trap possums in all areas of Marlborough (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 10 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 11 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.27 is amended so that grazing of riparian areas is encouraged in order to keep them free of weeds and pests rather than promote planting of these areas (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 12 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 13 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That provision is provided for an livestock effluent waste station between Picton and Spring Creek. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 14 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 15 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Nydia Bay - Tawero Point is deleted from Coastal Marine Area C: Pelorus Sounds of Appendix 2 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 16 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Schedule 1 - Number 57 - Small Sounds Streams <i>Recreation Values</i> <i>Children playing</i> <i>Kayaking, swimming or fishing</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 17 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.6.3 is changed from prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 18 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.8.1.4 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 2.8.1.4 Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i> The submission also states that a more common measurement is used in the Plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 19 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 2.8.1.5 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 2.8.1.5 During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a native nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed.</i> <i>The submission also states that exceptions must be allowed for during floods and if very dry with work needing to be done in a river bed or lake in a short time frame.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 20 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 2.8.2.3 includes a depth of water so that machinery can be operated in flowing water. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 21 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 22 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 23 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.11.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 24 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.9.9.2 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p>The submission also states that a more common measurement is used in the Plan that is easily understood and easily carried out on the farm or in a commercial environment. However, details of an alternative measurement have not been provided.</p> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 25 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.13.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water except in areas that have fuel transported to their property by punt.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 26 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.7.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.14.7.5:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.14.7.5 Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than 42 6 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 27 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 2.20.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 28 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.6.1 is a controlled activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 29 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.7.3. <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 8484m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 30 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.17:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.17 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 32 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.7.19 is deleted or simplified to read “tracks to be covered if not required in the future”. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 33 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the planting of the tree species listed under Standard 3.3.8.1 is a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.9.1: <i>Standard 3.3.9.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 844m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 35 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 36 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.9.11: <i>Standard 3.3.9.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 37 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 38 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.13.6 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 3.3.13.6 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 39 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 40 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 41 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.31.2 (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 42 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5 (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. The farm rubbish pit must be covered . | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 44 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6: Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 45 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 46 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 3.7.14 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 47 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 48 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.8.1. <i>Standard 4.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8484m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 49 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.11 (inferred) : <i>Standard 4.3.8.11 Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> Although the suggests that a simpler recording system is included instead of the Munsell scale, an alternative measurement has not been provided. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 50 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 51 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.12.6(a) (inferred) : <i>Standard 4.3.12.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 52 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This should be a discretionary activity even for intensively farmed animals. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 53 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.30.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 54 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4 (inferred) : <i>Standard 4.3.30.4 Surface run-off must not enter the pit. The farm rubbish pit must be covered.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 55 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.31.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5 (inferred) : <i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 56 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 57 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.4 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 58 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 4.7.5 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 59 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.8.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 8.3.8.1. <i>Standard 8.3.8.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 844m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i> <i>(c) 200100m of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 60 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 61 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Freedom campers are seriously discouraged from visiting Marlborough unless booked into a camping ground with proper facilities. | | | | | |
| 640 | Douglas and Colleen Robbins | 62 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 21.3.16.1 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 21.3.16.1 The activity must be authorised by the Council through a formal agreement.</i> | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a Guiding Principle as follows - "Promote and facilitate appropriate economic development." | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add (p) to this Policy as follows - "Areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission)." | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C as follows (bold) – <i>"The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i> (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) – <i>"The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, while recognising marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i> (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 6 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) – <i>"Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, it is recognised that marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i> (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 7 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) – <i>"Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins, while recognising that marine farming is part of the already heavily modified landscape/seascape of the Marlborough Sounds, and many people do not find that it detracts from character of the Marlborough Sounds."</i> (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 8 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to acknowledge the key role that aquaculture plays in the economic vitality of Marlborough and the opportunity it presents for the future. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 9 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - <i>"The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1077 | Rodney Roberts | 10 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) <i>"Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant sectors."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 623 | Andrew Robertson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 739 | Graham Bruce Robertson | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i> | | | | | |
| 739 | Graham Bruce Robertson | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</i> | | | | | |
| 938 | Mark Langdon Robertson | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i> | | | | | |
| 938 | Mark Langdon Robertson | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." | | | | | |
| 638 | David Archdall Robinson | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to exclude private owners on moorings and jettys [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 987 | Nick Robinson | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 987 | Nick Robinson | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 1027 | Robinson Construction Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retain Business 2 Zone as mapped for Lots 1 and 2 DP 1773. Change zoning of Lot 1 DP1695 and the land immediately adjacent to the west of Lot 1 DP 1695 from Urban Residential 2 Zone to Business 2 Zone. | | | | | |
| 1095 | Robinson Construction Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain road widening proposal (Designation ID P16) on Map 19. | | | | | |
| 311 | George Rose | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5J | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject issue 5j and delete from proposed plan | | | | | |
| 87 | Roselli Family Trust | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that the planning map be altered to make 2 Alfred Street Business 1 Zone (or in the alternative Business 2 Zone). The first alternative is the submitter's preference. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 827 | Jos Rossell | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 950 | Michael William Rosson | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject introducing coastal occupancy charges. | | | | | |
| 950 | Michael William Rosson | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Give Sounds residents a waiver if introduced. | | | | | |
| 950 | Michael William Rosson | 3 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject this section. | | | | | |
| 178 | Ralph Rosthorn | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 178 | Ralph Rosthorn | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 735 | Gillian Margaret Rothwell | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 735 | Gillian Margaret Rothwell | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 735 | Gillian Margaret Rothwell | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 735 | Gillian Margaret Rothwell | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8371; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1253 | Michael Philip Rothwell | 1 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (appears word missing)including overlapping of swing circles, after establishing if the tackle length has been increased from that recorded on the initial Resource Consent, in some cases without the consent holders approval, thereby creating falsely portrayed conflicts and congestion between moored vessels. Such an outcome can be reversed. | | | | | |
| 1253 | Michael Philip Rothwell | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Johnny come lately cannot expect the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area to wait for their arrival, needs must at the time often applies. | | | | | |
| 1283 | Peter Edward and Gillian Margaret Rothwell | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 7.5.1.2: <i>Standard 7.5.1.2 A building must not be constructed or sited within 28m of the Coastal Marine Zone.</i> If standard 7.5.1.2 is not deleted then at the very least, re-notify the above proposal. | | | | | |
| 1277 | Rothwells Hineora Properties Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdrawal of the proposed setback legislation. Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 194 | Paul Roughan | 1 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the rules for the Urban Residential 3 Zone (inferred). | | | | | |
| 194 | Paul Roughan | 2 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions of Chapter 24 (inferred). | | | | | |
| 195 | Michelle Roughan | 1 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the rules for the Urban residential 3 Zone (inferred). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 195 | Michelle Roughan | 2 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions of Chapter 24 (inferred). | | | | | |
| 279 | Trevor Roughan | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13. Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status | | | | | |
| 279 | Trevor Roughan | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13. Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status. | | | | | |
| 279 | Trevor Roughan | 3 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13. Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status. | | | | | |
| 279 | Trevor Roughan | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the David Street area Urban Residential 3 as shown on sheets 6,7,12,13. Bring forward the engineering work needed to remove the deferred status. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation for Policy 4.1.1: <i>There may arise instances when activities carried out on private land create effects that carry beyond the property boundaries and affect other people and environments. In these and other instances</i> At times it may be necessary for wider public interest considerations to prevail over individual expectations and land use may need to be controlled. In these circumstances, compensation to the land user is not payable under Section 85 of the RMA. The same section also provides the land user with the ability to challenge any provision of a plan on the grounds that the provision would render their land incapable of reasonable use. Section 86 of the RMA empowers the Council to acquire land with the agreement of the landowner and pay compensation for it. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation for Policy 4.3.5: <i>As described in the issue above, the Marlborough Sounds has already undergone considerable change as a result of the past use of natural and physical resources, the most dramatic possibly being the clearance of indigenous vegetation to allow agriculture to occur and, as agriculture has become economically marginal, the regeneration of indigenous vegetation. As a principle, it is important to recognise that the Marlborough Sounds environment is dynamic and will continue to change with or without human intervention. This means there is a capacity to absorb change within the environment without necessarily affecting the qualities of this unique and iconic environment. Indeed, some changes may actually enhance the qualities and improve the Marlborough Sounds environment. Regard should be had to this policy when considering new and existing activities involving the use, development and protection of the Marlborough Sounds environment. And in recognition of this ability to change, there needs to be caution in assigning long term resource consents.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 3 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 4th paragraph of the explanation under the heading Marine environments in Issue 8A: <i>The condition and state of marine biodiversity can be affected by land or water based activities. Adverse impacts can arise from sedimentation, contamination, and habitat disturbance, and changes in water flow. Effects can be temporary, but in particular circumstances can result in permanent loss or damage. Long term or cumulative smaller scale, localised effects from impacts such as contamination and physical disturbance can also have significant effects on the functioning of marine systems including nutrient, phytoplankton and zooplankton depletion. Many activities, such as recreational swimming, do not affect or have an impact on marine biodiversity; however, other activities, including shipping (especially large and/or fast ships), reclamations or other coastal structures, marine farming and physical disturbance from certain fishing techniques can affect marine biodiversity.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 4 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the 1st paragraph of the explanation under the heading Fishing in Issue 13B: <i>The waters of the Marlborough Sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>an ongoing source of traditional food for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> • <i>an ongoing source of food for full time and part time residents;</i> • <i>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers;</i> • <i>being a significant factor in many recreational and tourism activities; and</i> • <i>contributing to a range of species present in the Sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 5 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to policy 13.10.15(f): <i>Policy 13.10.15 – Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i> <i>(f) avoiding locating lights on jetties (other than those required to facilitate access)->. Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source;</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 6 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 13.10.22(f): <i>Policy 13.10.22 – The visual impact of boatsheds on the values of the coastal environment will be reduced by:</i> <i>(f) avoiding locating lights on boatsheds (other than those required to facilitate access)->. Those lights that are necessary shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source; and</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 7 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation for Policy 17.6.1: <i>Policy 17.6.1 – Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas. The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities where no viable alternative route or method of transport exists.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 8 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.2.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 2.36.2.5: <i>Standard 2.36.2.5. The illumination must not result in greater than 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any adjoining property which is zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 or Business 1 or 2. All lighting fixtures shall be shielded to prevent any light spill above the horizontal plane of the light source. (Lights should be mounted at the top of the sign pointing down rather than at the bottom pointing up.)</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 9 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.3.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 5.2.3.1: <i>Standard 5.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 10 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 6.2.3.1: <i>Standard 6.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 11 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 7.2.3.1: <i>Standard 7.2.3.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 12 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 8.2.4.1: <i>Standard 8.2.4.1 Light spill onto an adjoining residential site must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical). All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 13 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 13.2.5.3: <i>Standard 13.2.5.3 All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Zone and any road. All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 14 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 14.2.4.3: <i>Standard 14.2.4.3 All exterior lighting (except street lights) must be directed away from any land zoned other than Port Landing Area Zone and any road. All external lighting (including street lights) shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 15 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under 16.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities: <i>Standard 16.2.x.x All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 16 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.2.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 17.2.3.3: <i>Standard 17.2.3.3 There must be no greater than 2.5 lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, measured at any point more than 2m inside the boundary of the property. All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 17 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.2.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 18.2.3.3: <i>Standard 18.2.3.3 There must be no greater than 2.5 lux spill (horizontal and vertical) of light onto any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, measured at any point more than 2m inside the boundary of the property. All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 18 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under 20.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities: <i>Standard 20.2.x.x All external lighting shall be fully shielded to prevent any light spillage above the horizontal plane of the light source.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 19 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 20 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the explanation for Coastal Marine Area F: Port Underwood page App 2-5: There are no specific areas within Coastal Marine Area F with Outstanding, High or Very High Coastal Natural Character values. The Coastal Marine area F is rated moderate-high although it has not been surveyed at levels 4/5 and high or very high sections may exist. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 21 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the boundary should run from Robertson Point to the southern point of Ocean Bay. Figures are included in the submission of the suggested modification. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 22 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following is included in the matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan: New point X. The method and routing to be used for transport of establishment equipment and transport of cut logs and materials. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 23 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to Coastal Natural Character Map 4: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The coastal area south of Ocean Bay has a very high natural character rating in line with the remainder of the coast that runs further south to White's Bay and with the key values of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Large unmodified coastal marine environment in association with the coastal area reaching to White's Bay. ◦ Semi exposed to very exposed and subject in some places to strong tidal currents. ◦ Diverse and productive reef communities with high macroalgae diversity. ◦ Large whale migration route and calving area. • The small section of coast south of Ocean Bay is included in the Eastern Cook Strait district. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 24 | Volume 1 | All | | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 1 are included in the MEP. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 25 | Volume 2 | All | | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 2 are included in the MEP. | | | | | |
| 845 | Kenneth R and Sara M Roush | 26 | Volume 4 | All | | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the amendments made in decision requested relevant to Volume 4 are included in the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1229 | Wiremu Rowberry | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the chapter so that it provides a clear purpose within the plan and avoid supplication or unnecessary separation of similar matters between chapters. Or delete the chapter ensuring that the provisions are captured appropriately within other chapters. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 4A. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 4B. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete issue 4C. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Rename the chapter: "Freshwater Use and Allocation"</p> <p>Amend the introduction to align with the content of the chapter and how fresh water provides for the social and economic welfare of the community as well as life supporting capacity for the natural environment.</p> <p>Explain that marine water issues are addressed in the Coastal Environment Chapter.</p> <p>Move Issue 5J, Objective 5.1 and Policies and methods to the Coastal Environment Chapter. Merge and combine with provisions within the coastal environment chapter where possible to improve clarity and reduce repetition.</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the introduction to recognise that further flow assessments are needed to ensure any future allocation retains necessary instream flows for indigenous vegetation and habitat of indigenous species.</p> <p>Amend the policies and methods to set out a process for council to review the flows of all major streams and rivers in Marlborough in order to understand what water can be subsequently allocated and to stay within those limits.</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5A. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy and amend the explanation to the policy to state that this will include a flow needs assessment for rivers. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5B | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.2 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.9 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 22 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.10 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 23 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.12 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 25 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.13 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 26 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 27 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.15 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 28 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.16 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 29 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.17 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 30 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.18 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 31 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.19 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 32 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.20 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 33 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.21 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 34 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.22 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 35 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.23 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 36 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.24 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 37 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.25 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 38 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 39 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.3.1 to refer to "stock drinking water". | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 40 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 41 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 42 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 43 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 44 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 45 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 46 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 47 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 48 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 49 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 50 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 51 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 52 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 53 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 54 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 55 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: When considering....and seek to avoids any cumulative reduction..." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 56 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5D | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5D | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 57 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amen the explanation to make it clear what the implications are. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 58 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 59 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 60 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 61 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 62 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 63 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add policy direction for efficient irrigation and the avoidance of irrigating outside the command area, avoidance of irrigation on areas of significant indigenous vegetation, riparian area (other than to establish riparian plantings) and waterways or wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 64 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5E | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5E | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 65 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and add policy direction for review of consents in any catchment where allocation has unanticipated environmental effects. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 66 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 67 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.2 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 68 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 69 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 70 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.5.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 71 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5F | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5F (inferred) | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 72 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain this objective and add another objective to set out expectations for effects of groundwater abstraction on instream flows where this may where the change in flow is less than significant. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 73 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.6.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 74 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.6.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 75 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5G | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5G | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 76 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include requirement for the rate required to be based on efficient irrigation/best practice. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 77 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 78 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 79 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 80 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 81 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 82 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 83 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 84 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 85 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 86 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 87 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 88 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5H | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5H | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 89 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the objective to recognise that limits include adequate provision for instream biodiversity during low flows | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 90 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 91 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 92 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 93 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 94 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.5 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 95 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5I | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5I | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 96 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 97 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 98 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 99 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.9.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 100 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5J | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 101 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 102 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 103 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 104 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 105 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 106 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 107 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 108 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 109 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move to the Coastal Environment Chapter | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 110 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Move method 5.M.11 to the coastal environment chapter. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 111 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 112 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 113 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 114 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 115 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.5 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 116 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 117 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 118 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 119 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.AER.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 5.AER.9 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 120 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to provide clear outline and expectation of what is addressed in the chapter, for example by including the following: "This chapter provides for the identification and protection of natural character to recognise and give effect to s6(a) of the RMA and Policy 13 and 14 of the NZCPS. For this reason the chapter includes policies and methods to guide activities within both the coastal and freshwater environments. The natural character areas identified under this chapter are included in Appendix 2 (coastal), Appendix 5 (freshwater) in Volume 3 and Riparian Natural Character Management Areas in Volume 4 (see the overlays)." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 121 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend to clarify that <u>"The NZCPS, Policies 13 and 14, sets a similar objective for further direction and guidance on preservation and restoration of natural character within the coastal environment."</u> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 122 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend to read: "Identify areas and values of Natural Character which require preservation in the coastal environment, and in wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins." Add a new policy under objective 6.1 which sets out the criteria or values used to assess the natural character of wetlands. Explanation to new policy refer to where in the plan wetlands have been listed or mapped and how these is integrated with s6(a) in terms of significant vegetation. And if appropriate refer to the relevant policies in the biodiversity chapter which address the preservation of natural character in wetlands.</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 123 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.1.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 124 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Move this policy to the coastal environment chapter. Amend the text under the policy to more clear explain how the identification of the extent of the coastal environment is necessary for implementing the NZCPS, not just s6(a) RMA. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 125 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend this policy (or add a new policy) to include guidance on the values that contribute to natural character and establishing which areas have high and very high natural character. "In addition to Policy 6.1.3 and 6.1.5 recognise the following values in determining high or very high natural character: [list the generic type values considered in appendix 2]"</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 126 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 127 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy to guide the determination of "outstanding natural areas". | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 128 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows: "Determine the... to the following values:..." Amend the explanation to clarify if the list of matters in the policy are guidance on the values, as identified in Appendix 5. Amend the explanation to clarify whether this policy provides guidance on determining areas of "outstanding" natural character. Or Add a new policy to guide determination of "outstanding natural character". | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 129 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy and amend the explanation to recognise that this policy applies "outside the coastal environment" as Policy 13 and 14 NZCPS would capture any rivers, wetland within the coastal environment. Amend the policy or add a complementary policy to provide guidance on the values used to determine the areas identified in Appendix 5. Retain the approach of identifying natural character areas on maps. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 130 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 6.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 131 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 132 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.2 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 133 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.3 and add a definition. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 134 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to refer to polices 6.2.1 and 6.2.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 135 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 136 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 137 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 138 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include wetlands. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 139 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.9 as notified. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 140 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a method to indicate financial or staff resource support towards restoration | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 141 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.AER.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 6.AER.1 as a minimum. If justified by changes to policies add results which recognise improved (restored) natural character. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 142 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to include an explanation of how the natural character values/criteria contribute to identification of ONL/Fs and how the provisions of the plan address any overlap in terms of identifying specific areas or features. Include guidance on the relationship between the provisions in this chapter and the Coastal Environment chapter. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 143 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Issue 7A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation of issue 7A by replacing references to significant landscapes with outstanding natural landscapes and landscapes with high amenity. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 144 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 145 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | "When assessing the <u>characteristics values</u> of Marlborough's landscaped, the following <u>values criteria</u> will be <u>considered used</u> : | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 146 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Define the boundaries of <u>different significant</u> landscapes <u>with different characteristics</u> using the following methods: | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 147 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 7.1.3 by deleting Clause (c) Add a new clause "<u>the characteristics of natural features and natural landscapes in the coastal environment, including whether a natural feature or natural landscape is outstanding in terms of Policy 15 of the NZCPS.</u>" New policy: in determining what is outstanding the following criteria will be used: [list Criteria from the Marlborough Landscape Study August 2015] a) b)..."</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 148 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete policy 7.1.4. New policy – Protect outstanding landscapes by: (a) Requiring resource consent of activities which are likely to have an impact on the values identified for landscapes in appendix 1. (b) providing standards for permitted activities within outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes identified on Planning maps to avoid adverse effects in the Coastal Environment (c) providing standards for permitted activities within outstanding natural features and landscapes identified on Planning maps and ensure they are no more than minor outside the Coastal Environment.</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 149 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy 7.1.5 as follows: "<u>Refine and update the boundaries values and areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes, outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity values as set out in Appendix 1 and shown on the Landscape Overlays maps</u> in response to: (a) ... (b) ...; <u>or</u> (c) <u>new information.</u>"</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 150 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Method 7.M.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 151 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend: "The Council will continue to make has made available information on Marlborough's diverse landscape character and the results of any evaluations of landscapes significance (following consultation with relevant landowners). This information will be a useful reference document generally, but can also be used by <u>made available to</u> resource consent applicants to assist in any assessment of adverse effects on landscape values." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 152 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clarify the explanation in terms of Objective 15(a) and (b) of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 153 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy wording to include NZCPS Policy 15 "natural landscapes and natural features" in the coastal environment. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 154 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy such as: "Avoid activities that have potential to degrade... by : (a) setting permitted...consistent with no more than minor effects on existing landscape values... (b) controlling existing activities and new activities, with potential for more than minor adverse effects, to avoid remedy of mitigate adverse effects; and (c) avoiding new activities which have significant adverse effects" | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 155 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the policy or amend to set out clear guidance that the approaches (a) to (c) will ensure significant adverse effects are avoided and that other adverse effects are avoided, remedied, or mitigated on natural features and natural landscapes in the coastal environment. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 156 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy or another applicable policy to set out the requirements of Policy 15(a) and (b) and achieve protection as required by s6(b). | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 157 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite Policy 7.2.5 to ensure protection of these features and landscapes by avoiding, mitigating and then considering remediation of adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 158 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rewrite this policy to provide direction consistent with s6(b) of the RMA and policy 15 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 159 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows: "Protect the values ...by <u>only considering granting resource consents for activities where:...</u> " Amend the clause by changes "encourage" to "requiring". | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 160 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.2.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 161 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include setbacks | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 162 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy and consider including other pest plants and the control of pest which detract from landscape values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 163 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include Policy 7.2.11 as a method | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 164 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.12 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 165 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to methods to include support for wilding pine control initiatives | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 166 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend methods as required to provide for identification of sites for permanent carbon sink initiatives to support the retention and enhancement of landscapes and natural landscapes. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 167 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and include methods which provide for a proactive programme to increase public awareness of landscape values and requirements to protect these values to achieve compliance with permitted standards and seeking consent when needed as well as covenant incentives. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 168 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.AER.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend "Marlborough's... are protected from degradation." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 169 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to explain biodiversity requirements under the NZCPS and explain relationship between this chapter and costal environment chapter in achieving protection of biodiversity. Amend the last sentence as follows " However, it <u>It is important to acknowledge recognise and provide for that the remaining areas of indigenous biodiversity, still which continue to contribute significantly to Marlborough's heritage value social, economic and environmental wellbeing.</u> " | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 170 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend the explanation to state why this is of concern. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 171 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>This Objective needs to be identified as RPS, Regional, Coastal and District Plan</p> <p>Ament the first paragraph of the explanation in two places "..this objective gives effect to helps to achieve..." "This objective also <u>gives effect to helps to achieve...</u>"</p> <p>Ament the second paragraph of the explanation "This objective also helps <u>sets out the intent</u> to protect..."</p> <p>Replace the third paragraph: <u>"There is a relationship between this objective and objective 6.2 in Chapter 6 in terms of the protection of natural character under s6(a) of the RMA and Policy 13 and 14 of the NZCPS. This is because indigenous biodiversity is also a component determining natural character. For this reason polices in this chapter that provide for the protection of indigenous biodiversity in the coastal environment, wetlands, rivers, lakes and their margins in giving regard to both s6(a) and (c) of the RMA and achieving Policies 13 and 14 of the NZCPS.</u> <u>Areas identified for protection of both natural character and indigenous biodiversity include the Riparian Natural Character Management Areas on the Overlay Maps. "</u></p> <p>Amend to clarify that the Landscape Overlay of Threatened Environments comes from the statement of priorities. Include policy direction to apply the Threatened Environments classification as an overlay</p> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 172 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This Objective needs to be identified as RPS, Regional, Coastal and District Plan Retain | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 173 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This policy needs to be identified as RPS Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 174 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy needs to be identified as RPS and District Plan Add reference in the policy explanation to where in the plan these areas are identified. Amend the plan to provide policy directions and methods to provide for further wetland and marine sites to be identified for protection. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 175 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy needs to be identified as RPS and District Plan Amend the Policy to require assessment of potential adverse effects rather than "impacts". | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 176 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be identified as RPS Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 177 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the plan to include policy and method direction such that significant indigenous biodiversity areas can ultimately be identified in schedules or maps within the plan. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 178 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to clarify that this is intended to provide direction for funding. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 179 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Could be amended to: "Encourage the re-establishment and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 180 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Provide a similar policy for the voluntary assessment of significant indigenous biodiversity on private land and inclusion on a schedule (and or map) into the MEP through future plan change with priority for support towards active management from Council. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 181 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 182 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and add further methods for (a) MDC to develop a strategy, which would include staff and funding, to work with central government on its Predator Free New Zealand. (b) Areas of high value to be recognised in the Plan, including the following: Blenheim sewage ponds, Grovetown lagoon, Taylor Dam, Wairau Lagoons, Pelorus River Estuary, top of Grove Arm, Kaipupu Point Sanctuary, and Pelorus Bridge Scenic Reserve and Para Swamp. And that pest control plans be developed for these areas. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 183 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend or include a new policy for the aerial identification of potential Significant indigenous biodiversity sites. These sites should be included in the plan and used in a similar manner to the Threatened Environments Overlay. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 184 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy: "Maintain, ...biodiversity, including where it is not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are which is important for: (a)... (g)... flows. (x) habitat of threatened or at risk species" | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 185 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 186 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 187 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 188 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This policy should be referenced to RPS, R, C, D Retain | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 189 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to make it clear that if Policy 11(b) in clause (c) applies then regardless of whether the area is also significant under Policy 8.1.1 it is addressed in this policy. Clarify relationship between Policy 8.3.1(c) and Policy 8.3.2. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 190 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to provide guidance for the avoidance of effects where activities are permitted (in include a new Policy addressing this. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 191 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 192 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 193 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 194 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.6 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 195 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 196 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.3.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 197 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 198 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 199 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 200 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 201 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 202 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.6 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 203 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 204 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 205 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.9 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 206 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.10 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 207 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.11 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 208 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.12 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 209 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend policies to ensure that "public access and recreational use do not include access to ecological corridors on the coast, wetlands and along waterways where this would have adverse effects on sustainability of these systems." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 210 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9B | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend as required to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 211 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to refer to historic heritage | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 212 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include introduction discussion of natural environment interaction between water and land as relevant to this chapter. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 213 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 214 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 215 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy or add another policy to give effect to Policy 11(a) in terms of terrestrial coastal biodiversity. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 216 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 217 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Support in part It is not clear that this policy gives effect to Policy 11(b) of the NZCPS Amend explanation to Objective 13.2 "...forms in which... activities can take place to avoid, mitigate and remedy adverse effects. And refer to Policy 11(b) NZCPS | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 218 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend clause (f) by delete the words "generally experienced" and to refer to "water quality in coastal waters" Add a definition for "coastal waters" Amend the policy and explanation to provide guidance on what the values/characteristics are. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 219 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend as required to address the submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 220 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.2.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 221 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 222 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 223 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.6 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 224 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 225 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 226 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 227 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend first and section sentence as follows: "A range...where there are activities would likely have minimal adverse effects on the environment. These activities will be subject to standards, including amenity based standards, to ensure adverse effects will be no more than minor. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 228 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 229 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13B | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend provision to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 230 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the following clauses: “(b) cause adverse....fauna, in the coastal environment, including those sites and areas identified as Ecologically Significant Marine Sites or Threatened Environment Overlay on the planning maps” “(c) do notto, along and adjacent the coastal marine area.” “(f) adversely ... the coastal environment area” | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 231 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to apply across coastal environment. Council can manage land use activities wider than just the CMA in order to maintain and enhance access. Such as reserve strips for subdivision activities. Working with private land owners, councils and doc land. Also in the marine area ensuring that structures at sea to do block access. NCZPS access policies relate to coastal environment not just CMA | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 232 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 233 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.3.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 234 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 235 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to clarify if there are fisheries issues for areas outside the Marlborough sounds and whether this plan provide any policy guidance outside Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 236 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to address submissions | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 237 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 238 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.4.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 239 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 240 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13D | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 241 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 242 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 243 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to provide stronger guidance for residential activities to only occur in the Coastal Living Zones within the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 244 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy. Or amend the policy to read: <u>"Provide guidance to support appropriate residential development within areas zoned Coastal Living."</u> Use current policy explanation | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 245 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete or merge with Policy 13.5.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 246 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 247 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 248 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.5.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 249 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows: "Non-residential activities within the Coastal Living Zone will only be allowed, where they are consistent with Policy 13.5.7 and where they do not detract from the existing character of the residential environment within which they are to be located. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 250 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reword the policy to improve clarity. Amend the explanation to reference the policies that provide guidance for access improvements relating to existing residential development. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 251 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the approach to having one zone within with residential development can occur. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 252 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.11 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 253 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13E | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13E | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 254 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 255 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to either remove the statement or provide an explanation of why they are not relevant | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 256 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 257 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13F | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 258 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 259 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 260 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 261 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 262 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 263 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 264 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 265 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 266 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 267 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 268 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policies as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 269 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 270 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and add the following new clause: “(c) the cumulative environmental effects on landscape and environmental values of the local area” | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 271 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.13 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 272 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.14 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 273 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.15 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 274 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.16 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 275 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.17 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 276 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.18 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 277 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.19 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 278 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.20 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 279 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.21 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 280 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.22 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.22 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 281 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.23 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 282 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.24 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 283 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.25 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 284 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.26 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 285 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.10.27 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 286 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13G | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Include examples in paragraph 5 as follows or similar: " <u>Such as crushing of small plants and creatures, compressing or disturbing sediment martials will also affect habitat and vegetation growth.</u> " | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 287 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | "Minimise the loss of Marlborough's coastal marine area through to reclamation or drainage" | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 288 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy which sets out a prohibited approach to reclamation and drainage seaward of the CMA (excluding adjacent to the CMA). | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 289 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows: "Reclamation or drainage in or adjacent to the coastal marine are shall be avoided, unless: (a) the activity to be carried out on the which requires reclamation can only occur in or has to be adjacent to the coastal marine area; and..." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 290 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 291 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 292 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.5 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 293 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 294 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 295 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.11.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 296 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12a | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.12a as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 297 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12b | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.12b as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 298 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.12.1 as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 299 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2 as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 300 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.13 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.13. Add new policy under this objective to prohibit disturbance not provided for elsewhere. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 301 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 302 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 303 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to " Discourage <u>Restrict</u> the use of motorised..." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 304 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 305 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to provide further guidance to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 306 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 307 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: “ <u>Only consider granting consent</u> Proposals <u>for an activities</u> involving disturbance of the foreshore or seabed not otherwise provided for, <u>which shall</u> demonstrate that: (a) ... (b) ... (c) <u>does not result in changes to the seabed contour within the Marlborough Sounds.</u> ” | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 308 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy Amend to exclude the Marlborough Sounds unless Policy 13.13.7 is amended as sought. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 309 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.13.9 as notified | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 310 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Delete the reference the recreational values. Add the following paragraph “ <u>prohibited activity status has been included for activities which are not appropriate or where they are not anticipated as appropriate by the policy framework</u> ” | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 311 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Would be good to identify if bylaws are currently used in Marlborough for this purpose. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 312 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 313 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 314 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 315 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 316 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 317 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 318 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 319 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 320 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 321 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 322 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 323 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 324 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 325 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 326 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 327 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 328 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 329 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 330 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.22 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 331 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend if required to achieve environmental outcomes including s6(c) RMA and Policy 11 of the NZCPS. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 332 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13J | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13J | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 333 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.17 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 334 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 335 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 336 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 337 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 338 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 339 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 340 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 341 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 342 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.9 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 343 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.17.10 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 344 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.17.11 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 345 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13K | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13K | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 346 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.18 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 347 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 348 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 349 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 350 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 351 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 352 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 353 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 354 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 13.18.8 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 355 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.24 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 356 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.25 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 357 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.26 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.26 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 358 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.27 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 13.M.27 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 359 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13L | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13L | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 360 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 361 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.14 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 362 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.4 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 363 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 364 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1a | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 365 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1b | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 366 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1c | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 367 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1d | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 368 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.1e | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 369 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.18 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 370 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submissions | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 371 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 19.1.2 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 372 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5 "During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 373 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5 "During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 374 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend Standard 2.8.1.5 "During the period of 1 September to 1 February in any..." | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 375 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.9.9.1 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 376 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 377 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity statuses throughout the plan to Non-complying status where appropriate | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 378 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include standards to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 379 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain and amend to address submission including requiring:</p> <p>Greater setbacks</p> <p>Amend the activity status for replating lawfully established forest on Steep Erosion Prone Land to Discretionary</p> <p>Identify high risk wilding pine areas and require consent for any new forestry that has the potential to create a wilding pine risk in these areas.</p> <p>A forestry plan which identifies and addresses, for the entire rotation, at least the following matters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • compliance with the permitted activity standards for planting, and that the standards for harvesting will be able to be met (or if not, the activities for which resource consent will be required). • areas of indigenous vegetation including significant sites and riparian vegetation, and how these will be protected. • all waterbodies within the forestry site, and measures to protect these, including how limits and targets set to give effect to the NPS on Freshwater Management will be complied with. • how fauna known to use plantation forestry as habitat (including long-tail bats, falcon) will be protected. • how wilding pine spread will be avoided | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 380 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 381 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend setback as sought in submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 382 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend setback as sought in submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 383 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.19. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.3.7.19 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 384 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | These standards need to be strengthened to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments and protect significant biological diversity. Amend as necessary to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 385 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The exemption for indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt is opposed.</p> <p>The exemption for clearance of indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age, or matagouri where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age is opposed.</p> <p>An exemption for clearance associated with the maintenance of an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track is acceptable but limits should be set (eg 1 m either side of the existing road or track).</p> <p>The exception within a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site for clearance within the curtilage of a dwelling is uncertain as curtilage is not defined.</p> <p>Amend in accordance with submission.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 386 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur: (a) on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site. This is supported in part, but threatened environments do not cover all areas that are “significant” under the criteria in Policy 8.1.1. The rules allow clearance of significant indigenous vegetation as a permitted activity. Clearance of any indigenous vegetation meeting significance criteria should be a non-complying activity. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur: (b) on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site. Greater setbacks are required to protect the significant marine sites. Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 387 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address all Policy 11 NZCPS areas | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 388 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Opposed – threshold is too high and will not maintain indigenous biodiversity as required under s 30 and 31. Permitted clearance of indigenous forest should only be allowed for clearly defined reasons: for a single dwelling on a site, for maintenance of existing infrastructure, roads and fence lines. Amend to address submissions. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 389 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 3.3.11.6 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 390 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to ensure protection of significant indigenous vegetation outside the coastal environment and outside the Threatened environment. Including species description, height, density and area of clearance limitation. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 391 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Setbacks are inadequate and will not protect water quality from the adverse effects of sedimentation and nutrient discharge. Cultivation rules should be linked to limits and targets set to give effect to the NPS Freshwater Management so that consent is required and can be declined near FMUs that are over allocated or approaching their allocative limit for sediment or nutrient load. Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 392 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 393 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Progressively exclude live stock from waterways | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 394 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: <u>Intensively farmed livestock must not enter onto or pass</u> The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve <u>intensively farmed livestock</u> if there is water flowing in the river, <u>or enter onto or pass across the bed of any lake, or any wetland or any part of the CMA.</u> | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 395 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to also include to visual clarity/sedimentation. The standard should link to any other limits/targets set to achieve water quality outcomes. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 396 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 397 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 398 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 399 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.38. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 400 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 401 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 402 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 403 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 404 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 405 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Forest and Bird would like to see sediment loss from clear felling operations managed in such a way to reduce the area cleared in any 12 month period in any single river catchment over 100 hectare in size with permanent water flows, restricted to 33% of the land area. Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 406 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. Indigenous vegetation clearance beyond the specified permitted standards should be a non-complying activity. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 407 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.3.1 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 408 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m from mean high water springs in the coastal environment zone | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 409 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is not clear why standards for commercial forest harvesting are not included in the coastal environment zone. Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 410 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 411 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 412 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 413 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address all Policy 11 NZCPS areas | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 414 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 415 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to ensure only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 416 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: "Small scale Quarrying and mineral extraction of alluvial and coastal gravels and sand (a) for the purpose of maintain access and protection of structures (b) up to 10m3 (c) must not be within water" | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 417 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the discretionary activity status | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 418 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the discretionary activity status | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 419 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 420 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 7.5 | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 421 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 422 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to prevent dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 423 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete requirement for species to be indigenous to the District | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 424 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain definition for "Intensively farmed" | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 425 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Clarify application of definition | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 426 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the Wairau Dry Hills landscape and the Wairau River as Outstanding landscapes | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 427 | Volume 3 | Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the Wairau Dry Hills landscape and the Wairau River as Outstanding landscapes | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 428 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 429 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 430 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and amend to include standards to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 431 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 4.3.10.6. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 432 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to ensure protection of significant indigenous vegetation outside the coastal environment and outside the Threatened environment. Including species description, height, density and area of clearance limitation. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 433 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 434 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 435 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Progressively exclude livestock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 436 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend as follows: <u>Intensively farmed livestock must not enter onto or pass</u> The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve <u>intensively farmed livestock</u> if there is water flowing in the river, <u>or enter onto or pass</u> across the bed of any lake, or any wetland or any part of the CMA. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 437 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to also include to visual clarity/sedimentation. The standard should link to any other limits/targets set to achieve water quality outcomes. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 438 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 439 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.24. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 440 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions. | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 441 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.37. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submissions | | | | | |
| 715 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest and Bird) | 442 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to address submission. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 1 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.1.6 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 2 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.1 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 3 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.2 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 4 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.3 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 5 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.4 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 6 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.1 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 7 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.1 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 8 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.2 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 9 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.3 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 10 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.1.4 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 11 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 7.1.5 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 12 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.2 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 13 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.1 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 14 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.3 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 15 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 16 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.5 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 17 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.6 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 18 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 19 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.8 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 20 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.9 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 21 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.10 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 22 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.11 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 23 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.12 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 24 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.1 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 25 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 8.2 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 26 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain, and ensure that information is added to as appropriate. Council must ensure that fauna information is not overlooked as a result of the surveys which are better targeted for plant communities, rather than cryptic fauna. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 27 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 28 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.3 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 29 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.5 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 30 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.6 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 31 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.7 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 32 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.9 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 33 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.10 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 34 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.11 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 35 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.12 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 36 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.13 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 37 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15A | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 38 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15B | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 39 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.1 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 40 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.1 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 41 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.3 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 42 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.4 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 43 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.5 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 44 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.6 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 45 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.7 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 46 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.8 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 47 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.9 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 48 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.10 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 49 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.11 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 50 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.12 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 51 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.13 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 52 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.14 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 53 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.15 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 54 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.16 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 55 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.17 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 56 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.18 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 57 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.19 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 58 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.20 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 59 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.21 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 60 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.22 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 61 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.23 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 62 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.24 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 63 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.25 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 64 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.26 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 65 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.27 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 66 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.28 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.28 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 67 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.29 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.29 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 68 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.30 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 69 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.31 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.31 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 70 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.32 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 71 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.33 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 72 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy 15.1.34 where it ensures active engagement to improve water quality within the wider Pelorus catchment as a whole, including the Ronga, Opouri, Rai and lower Pelorus. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 73 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Rule 2.6.4. Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i> <i>(j) Pelorus River upstream of confluence with the Scott Creek;</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 74 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Rule 2.11.1. Construction of a dam on the following lakes and rivers, including their tributaries unless otherwise stipulated:</i> <i>(k) Pelorus River above the Rai River confluence;</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 75 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Rule 2.11.2. Construction or alteration of a bore within the bed of the following lakes and rivers, including tributaries:</i> <i>(g) Pelorus River upstream of confluence with the Scott Creek;</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 76 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.4 but bring date forward. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 77 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.5 but bring date forward. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 78 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy that provides for setbacks for all stock from waterbodies, including protection of riparian margins of 1 metre and 3 metres from cultivated or land where stock are break fenced. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 79 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.20.1 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 80 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.3.6.1 but consider inclusion of other species. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 81 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to <i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> (d) 820m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (e) 820m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification; | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 82 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain <i>Standard 3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> (f) 200m of the coastal marine area; (h) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established; | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 83 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.3: <i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> (a) 820m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation); (b) 820m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification; (c) 200m of the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 84 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.12: <i>Standard 3.3.7.12. Trees, slash and soil debris must: (a) not be left within 820m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred);</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 85 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.13: <i>Standard 3.3.7.13. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 820m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where: (inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 86 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.7.14: <i>Standard 3.3.7.14 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 820m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred).</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 87 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.8.1 but consider inclusion of other species <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 88 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Standard 3.3.8.2: <i>Standard 3.3.8.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) 820m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i> <i>(d) 820m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification (inferred);</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 89 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.9.1. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 820m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</i></p> <p><i>(b) 820m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification (inferred);</i></p> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 90 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.9:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.9.9. Trees, slash and soil debris must:</i></p> <p><i>(a) not be left within 820m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred);</i></p> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 91 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.9.10:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.9.10. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 820m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 92 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Standard 3.3.10.1 but consider inclusion of other species (inferred).</p> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 93 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.10.5:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.10.5 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within 820m of, a Significant Wetland (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 94 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide for removal of indigenous vegetation under forestry, but not alongside - impacts upon riparian margins and important areas within forests. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 95 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.11.3 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 96 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.11.4 | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 97 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.11.5 <i>Standard 3.3.11.5 Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m² per Computer Register in any 5 year period.</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 98 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.27.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Make the following amendments (bold) to Standard 3.3.27.1: Standard 3.3.27.1 Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse, old man's beard, Chinese Privet and Banana Passion Vine are the only vegetation that may be sprayed. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 99 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.11.6. <i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:</i> <i>(a) — 2,000m² in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is between 3m and 6m;</i> <i>(b) — 10,000m² in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i> <i>(i) — 500m² of indigenous sub-alpine vegetation;</i> <i>(ii) — 100m² of tall tussock of the genus Chinochloa.</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 100 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Prohibited Activities listed under 3.7. | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 101 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Riparian Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include the Brown River (tributary of the Rai) in the mapped area of the water bodies. <i>The submitter has not included a specific map reference; inferred that submission relates to Riparian Natural Character Management Area Map 1.</i> | | | | | |
| 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ {Forest & Bird} | 102 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain, but remove inclusion to allow dragging of trees felled under Standard 3.3.7.10. | | | | | |
| 246 | James (Jim) Rudd | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from council is an amendment alteration from (10.000 Square metres) clearance over a 5 year period to No limitation to clearance of indigenous vegetation up to the point where 20 % of cover remains including indigenous forest per computer register and then at reaching that demonstratable point then the area then revert to 10,000 Square metres of allowable clearance in any 12 month period. | | | | | |
| 251 | James (Jim) Rudd | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I seek an amendment to this proposal of 1.5 Km of formed farm tracking on a slope less than 35 degrees over a 12 month period to be a permitted activity. | | | | | |
| 285 | James (Jim) Rudd | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I seek an amendment that allows for a permit for infrequent crossings to be available at minimal cost. | | | | | |
| 289 | James (Jim) Rudd | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I seek removal of the reference to ' Intensive Farming" in this provision. | | | | | |
| 292 | James (Jim) Rudd | 1 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a modification of the definition of meat processing to <u>exclude</u> the above facilities as described. | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Parcel size in rural environments shall ensure that there is adequate choice for primary production and avoids the fragmentation of land for primary productive use unless the land is required for activities and buildings that are linked to land-based primary production of Marlborough's rural resources and require a rural location."</i> | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new new permitted activity as follows - <i>"Rural contractor depot."</i> | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new heading and new Standards as follows - "Rural Contractor Depot" - The rural contractor depot must not employ more than 7 people. - The rural contractor depot must be set back at least 150m from any dwelling on a site under separate ownership." | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new restricted discretionary activity rule as follows - "A rural contractor depot that employs more than 7 people or is set back less than 150m from any dwelling of a site under separate ownership." <u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u> - Safety and efficiency of the transport network. - Reverse sensitivity. - Noise. - Dust." | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new new permitted activity as follows - "Rural contractor depot." | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 7 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new heading and new Standards as follows - "Rural Contractor Depot" - <i>The rural contractor depot must not employ more than 7 people.</i> - <i>The rural contractor depot must be set back at least 150m from any dwelling on a site under separate ownership."</i> | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 8 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new restricted discretionary activity rule as follows - "A rural contractor depot that employs more than 7 people or is set back less than 150m from any dwelling of a site under separate ownership." <u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u> - <i>Safety and efficiency of the transport network.</i> - <i>Reverse sensitivity.</i> - <i>Noise.</i> - <i>Dust."</i> | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 9 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Rural industry" as follows (bold): <i>"means an industry, constructional engineers and roading and cartage contractor workshops or yards (excluding rural contractor depots) where either:</i> <i>(a) 75% of the total business is with the rural sector and/or coastal marine area; or</i> <i>(b) The nature of the industry is such that it is inappropriately located within an urban or industrial zone."</i> | | | | | |
| 1096 | Rural Contractors New Zealand Incorporated | 10 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new definition for " Rural Contractor Depot " as follows - <i>"The land and buildings used for the purposes of storing or maintaining machinery, equipment and associated goods and supplies associated with a rural contracting business."</i> | | | | | |
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Soil Sensitive Area 20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the overlay maps of loess soils until they can be ground-truthed. | | | | | |
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules | | | | | |
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules | | | | | |
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules | | | | | |
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules. | | | | | |
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.34. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete these rules. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the <i>Threatened Environments</i> overlay maps. | | | | | |
| 347 | Edward and Amanda Ryan | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this rule. | | | | | |
| 1261 | S & J Saunders family Trust | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Re-zone 29 Francis Street and 32 Redwood Street Business 1 | | | | | |
| 1099 | S A Robinson Trust | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1099 | S A Robinson Trust | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Urban Residential 3 zoning except for the hatched area on the map supplied with the Submission, which is requested to be zoned Industrial 1. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 275 | Myra Sandall | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Restricted water use rather than total ban. | | | | | |
| 671 | Danniel Sandrey | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | During the Officer's reporting on submissions we ask that a s32 evaluation as to the benefits and costs across the full suite of methods ranging on the continuum of voluntary to regulatory be undertaken as part of making the recommendations. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>A healthy Marlborough economy is a place where people and businesses are encourages to grow and flourish with certainty and confidence</u> '. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | How to use the MEP | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Where there is not an intention to prohibit replace the use of the word avoid with, ' <u>take practical steps to xxxx</u> '. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Replace with <u>Efficient</u> . | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | (i) Farms incurring coastal occupancy charges should have controlled status (ii) Include in the plan a formula for determining the coastal occupation charge (iii) provide for coastal occupation charges to be offset by other contributions such as provision of water quality information to council, surveying information, community contributions towards infrastructure (iv) ensure money raised from coastal environment to where the occupation takes place (v) add a provision that gives transparency as to how. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Replace degradation with, <u>modification</u> . | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read ' <u>Describe the biological features that contribute to natural character and the community's level of acceptance to modification</u> '. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy that <u>Recognise existing and legally established uses such as marine farms are significant investments which are reversible</u> . | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to, ' <u>Recognise the natural elements, patterns and processes which contribute to natural character and the communities' responses to these:</u> | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) manage the coastal marine area as one area (ii) recognise existing uses (modifications) to the natural character i.e. marine farming and forestry. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy to read, ' <u>Identify those areas of the coastal environment that are valued by the community as high and outstanding natural character</u> '. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend both the Objective and Policy so that intent is to 'Preserve <u>the values</u> in the natural character rather than avoiding changes to the character', and (ii) 'Recognise ongoing use and developments that are lawfully established'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to policy the (i) recognition of what the existing modifications to values in natural areas are, and (ii) what the cumulative effect of a new development would bring. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete Policy (ii) Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.1? | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete references to amenity. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include '...Valued by the immediate and wider community for its contribution to a their sense of place of economic wellbeing'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) in clause b delete reference to high amenity value and (ii) delete clause C in its entirety. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 7.1.5(b). | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using nation[al] criteria and (ii) recognise that many areas contain [<i>sentence is incomplete</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using nation[al] criteria and (ii) recognise that many areas contain [<i>sentence is incomplete</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 30 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically exempt vessels, fork lifts etc. from the rule. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Require forestry clearances greater than 50ha to notify adjacent aquaculture farmers. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 32 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend point 5 to include the Location map of CMA to include the presence of marine farms downstream of the planting. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 33 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain - protects downstream water quality. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 34 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 35 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 36 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 37 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.13.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 38 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 39 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 40 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 41 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule so that all vessels with the capability to overnight on have wastewater (effluent) systems holding systems installed (and monitored for use) within five years of the plan being operative. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 42 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 43 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 44 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 45 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 46 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 47 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.2.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 48 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 49 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Amend the daylight noise threshold to 06:00 - 23:00, to 70dBA and measure at the notional boundary, (ii) Amend 16.2.3.2 by adding noise generated from commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting barges'. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 50 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.16. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule, 'Other than for the purposes of running a <u>vessel</u> xxx' | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 51 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read 100 days and delete reference to scientific, permitted rule should apply to all monitoring. Make consequential changes to similar rule across all sections. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 52 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the rule and permit minor maintenance and remedial work removal. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 53 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to limited notification to effected parties. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 54 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Extent the provisions to include all commercial wharfs. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 55 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Extent the provisions to include all commercial wharfs. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 56 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to the list aquaculture harvesting and the mussel processing plant. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 57 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.4.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend so that the effect not the activity is managed. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 58 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.17.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 59 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.17.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 60 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 61 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 62 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.29. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 63 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete reverse sensitivity. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 64 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Manage all commercial wharfs across the region to the same policies and methods. Amend the maps, descriptive and rules accordingly. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 65 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.2.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.' | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 66 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.7.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.' | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 67 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to read, ' <u>Where practicable</u> odour must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.' | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 68 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to provide for minor alterations that do not discernibly change the scale, size and height as a permitted activity that do not require a resource consent. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 69 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to exclude existing users. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 70 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Intensive farming - exclude ocean based aquaculture. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 71 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Marine farm - specify that farms need to be located seaward of MHWS. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 72 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Meat processing - exclude the yarding of animals (i.e. aquaculture sorting and washing) and on-water processing. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 73 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Non-consumptive - examples of water use should include cooling of vessels. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 74 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 75 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 76 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 77 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 78 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the coastal Natural Characte[r] overlays from the planning maps, or (ii) amend as proposed in Part 3 of our submission (the table setting out changes to boundaries) which are relatively minor and will ensure that existing marine farms are not unnecessary incumbered. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 79 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 80 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 81 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 82 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 83 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (i) Delete the Coastal Natural Character overlays, or (ii) redraw the seaward boundary as set o[u]t in the Sanford submission, see table provided with the submission. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 84 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Either delete Ecological site 3.8 or amend so as to acknowledge that ML 8190 sits fully inside this site and at the time of consenting was assessed as being an appropriate use of the coastal water space.</p> | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 85 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Ecological site 3.8 includes parts or all of ML 8203, ML 8200, ML 8573, ML 8194, ML 8190 - amend the seaward boundary so that it excludes these existing marine farms.</p> <p>Ecological site 3.12 is located inside the Sanford share farm ML 8240, and is within 400m of ML 8240, and ML 8579. This is a small site where the matters of significance were assessed at the hearing and the farm deemed to be an appropriate use.</p> <p>Ecological site 3.6 is within 400m of ML 8178 and the matters of significance were assessed at the hearing and the farm deemed to be an appropriate use.</p> | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 86 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Realign what appears to be an arbitrary boundary of Ecological site 3.6 so that it is 400m away from Sanford ML 8313.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 87 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete or amend the area from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Ecological area 3.11 is made up of three discrete areas. Sanford's contract farm ML 8216 appears to sit inside the bottom south east corner of the most northern ecological site - amend the boundary of the ecological area so that it abuts but does not lie across the marine farm.</p> <p>Recognise ML 8217 is close by the site and during the consenting process was deemed to be an appropriate use of water space.</p> <p>Adjust the boundary of ML 8218 (another Sanford owned farm) on the south west corner so that the ecological site is alongside the marine farm, rather than inside it.</p> <p>Ecological area 3.16 includes parts of ML 8532 on the South West corner of the ESMS boundary.</p> <p>Adjust the boundary line so that there is no overlap.</p> | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 88 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend and delete the areas from the plan as follows:</p> <p>Ecological site 6.2 abuts our ML 8444 in the south west corner. Adjust the map as it relates to ML 9442 and ML 8440 so that the ecological site does not sit over the farm or acknowledge the marine farms are located there and are coexisting.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 89 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | (a) The dolphin map should be redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Significant Marine Sites report. Delete all marine mammal dolphin sites from the plan and at a later stage develop and apply at a national significant threshold test. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 90 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 91 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 92 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 93 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 94 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 95 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 96 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 97 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 98 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 99 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 100 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the layer from the Plan, or amend according to the Sanford submission, refer to the table. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 101 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | National Transportation Route | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The National Transportation Route map should show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Chanel and Queen Charlotte Sound. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 102 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the classification ["Very High" Coastal Natural Character]. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 103 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone the site from residential to business zone. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 104 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |

Decision
Requested

Pelorus Sound

- Delete the seaward extent of the ONL above (north) of Hikapu Reach and above Maori Reach.
- Delete ONL at or on the Putanui Point.
- Amend the ONL that extends into Nydia Bay and Fairy Bay so that the seaward boundary abuts the MHWS.
- Amend the OHL at Yncyca Bay so that it is at least 500m from ML 8343 and abuts MHWS.
- Delete corner of ONL by ML 8343.
- Delete the OHL at Hopai Bay.
- Delete the OHL of Waimaru Bay.

Kenepura Sound

- Delete the OML at Gold Reef by drawing the line at the Kenepuru Road.

Squally Cove

- Amend the ONL so that it stretches from Lone Rock to Kakoho Point (west of Squally Cove).

Tawhitinui Reach

- Delete the ONL at Tawe Point
- Move the ONL boundary at Cregoe so that it follows the creek line to the west.

Waitata Reach

- Delete the ONL around Horseshoe Bay and
- Delete the so that it follows the bush line [sentence incomplete].

Waitata Bay including Horseshoe, Richmond and Ketu Bays

- Delete the ONL at Tapapa Point.

Beatrix Bay

- Delete the ONL at:
 - * Kauauroa Bay
 - * Tawhitinui Bay
 - * Te Purakia Point [Te Puraka Point]
 - * Waimaru Bay and Tuhitarata Bay by drawing the boundary below MT Kiwi.
 - * Tawero Point

Waikawa Bay

- Delete seaward extent of the ONL in Savill Bay, Game Bay and pull back to MHWS.
- Delete ONL from Cregoe Rock that extends in a north west line and includes ML 8203 and is 400m from ML 8301.

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 105 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
|-------------|-----------------|-----|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|

Decision Requested

Pelorus Sound

- Delete the ONL at Waihinau Bay, Homestead Bay and Waterfall Bay around Cape Horn and down to Te Akaroa (West Entry Point).
- Delete the ONL around Kaitira (East Entry Pint [Point]) where it is 400m from ML 8109.
- Delete the inclusion of the water space around:
 - * Pipitawai
 - * Harding Point

* Paparua

* Blow Hole Point.

Waitata Reach

- Delete the OND overlay on the northern side of Hamilton Cove so that it follows the bush line out to Yellow Cliffs in a straight [straight] line.

Waitata Bay including Horseshoe, Richmond and Ketu Bays

- Delete the ONL at the southern end of Kaitira (East Entry Point).

Forysth [Forsyth] Bay

- Delete the ONL at the southern end of Kaitira (East Entry Point).

Admiralty Bay

- Amend the ONL around coastal boundary [boundary] at Clayface Point so that it includes the inner bays and does not extent seaward. This appears to make no difference to the ONL and recognises ML 8014.

- Amend the boundary of the ONL in Waikawa Bay by pulling the northern boundary up to Two Island Point.

Waikawa Bay

- Delete the seaward extent of ONL in savill bay, Game Bay and pull back to MHWS.

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 106 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Pelorus Sound</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the inclusion of the water space around: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Pipitawai * Harding Point * Paparoa * Blow Hole Point. <p>Forysth [Forsyth] Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the ONL at the southern end of Kaitira (East Entry Point). - Delete ONL at Sugar Loaf, by pulling back to Paarekeke Point. - Delete ONL in south west corner at Tawaroa Point. - Delete ONL around Orchard Bay. <p>Anakoha Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend the ONL at Tawaroa Point so that it (i) abuts the MHWS and (ii) end at the head of Titirangi Bay. <p>Beatrix Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the ONL at: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Sugar Loaf. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 107 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Forysth [Forsyth] Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the ONL at Sugar Loaf, by pulling back at Paarekeke Point. <p>Anakoha Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend the ONL at Tawaroa Point so that (i) abuts the MHWS and (ii) end at the head of Titirangi Bay. <p>Melville Cove</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend the ONL so that (i) abuts MHWS and recognise existing farms ML 8169, 8598, 8171, 8591, 8174, 8173 and 8599. <p>Port Underwood</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the ONL around Horahora Kakahu Island by ending the overlay at Pipe Bay and pulling the seaward boundary back to MHWS. <p>Beatrice Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Waimaru Bay ad Tuhitarata Bay by drawing the boundary just below Mt Kiwi. <p>Onauku Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete ONL Arapaw Island on eastern side of Onauku Bay, | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 108 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Pelorus Sound</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the HNC overlay at Putanui Point. - Delete the HNC at Schapper Point. - Amend the northern extent of the HNC at by ending at Pipi Beach in a straight line with the VHNC. - Amend the VHNC so that it does not include seascrapes in Nydia Bay (Chance Bay and Penguin Bay). - Delete the areas of HNC at Hopai Bay, at Crail Bay and at Waimaru Bay. | | | | | |

Kenepura Sound

- Delete the VHNC Gold Reef bay by drawing the line at the Kenepuru Road.

Squally Cove

- Amend the VHNC so that it stretches from Lone Rock to Kakaho Point (west of Squally Cove).
- Delete the VHNC at Round Hill along the southern side of Whakitenga Bay.
- Delete the HNC around Oyster Bay (both sides) and Matarau Point.
- Draw a straight [straight] line in the HNC so that it does not include the two tounges [tongues] down to the foreshore by ML's 8271, 8272, 8273.

Beatrice Bay

- Delete the HNC overlay around Tawhitinui Bay and Beatrice bay - parts of which are also ONL.
- Delete the VHNC at Kauauroa bay as no discernable [discernible] difference with surrounding landscape.
- Delete the VHNC at Tawero Point south towards Capsize Point.

Waikawa Bay

- Delete the HNC landscape as they extend over bays of Fitzroy.
- Delete the VHNC west of Woodlands, around Canoe Bay and Camel Point and around, west of Elaine Bay.
- Dele [delete] the ONC from Cregoe Rock in a north west line to Camel Point.

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 109 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Pelorus Sound</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the southern tounge [tongue] pf the VHNC just above ML 8416. <p>Onauku Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete VHNC around Onauku Bay so that it does not lie within 400m of ex[i]sting marine farms including LL [ML] 8509, 8400, 8510. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 110 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Pelorus Sound</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the VHNC zone as it extends seaward from Kitira [Kaitira] (East Entry Point), up to Paparoa, White horse Rock and around Yellow Cliffs and Reef Point. <p>Squally Cove</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the HNC around Oyster bay (both sides) and Matarau Point. - Draw a starigth [straight] line in the HNC so that it does not include the two tounge[s] [tongues] down to the foreshore by ML's 8271, 8272, 8273. <p>Waitata Reach</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the HNC and VHNC aroundthat [around that] includes Horseshoe Bay, Ketu Bay and Richmond Bat etc. - Delete HNC above Hamilton Cove. - Delete HNC at Burnt Point including White Rock. | | | | | |

Forysth [Forsyth] Bay

- Delete HNC from Duffers Reef to just below Kaitira (East Entry Point).
- Delete VHNC below Forsyth Bay and west of Anakoha Bay.

Beatrix Bay

- Delete the HNC overlay around Richmond bay, Horseshoe Bay and Beatrix Bay - parts of which are also ONL.
- Delete the VHNC at Kauauroa Bay as no discernable [discernible] difference with surrounding landscape.

Current Basin

- Delete the VHNC so that it does not extend into Waikawa Bay (i.e. ends at Two Island Point and crosses over in a straight line to the northern tip of Malven Hill Point).

Waikawa Bay

- Delete the HNC landscapes as they extend over bays of Fitzroy.
- Delete the VHNC west of Woodlands, around Canoe Bay and Camel Point and around , west of Elaine Bay.

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 111 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Forysth [Forsyth] Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete HNC from Duffers Reef to just below Kaitira (East Entry Point). - Delete VHNC below Forsyth Bay and west of Anakoha Bay. - Delete HNC around Orachard [Orchard] Bay. <p>Beatrix Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Delete the HNC overlay around Sugar Loaf and Beatrix Bay - parts of which are also ONL. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 112 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Tawhitinui Reach</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend the HNC over Maud Island so that it does not extend over coastal water (i.e. the boundary is MHWS). - Delete the HNC zone at and near Mt Shewell. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 113 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Tawhitinui Reach</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Amend the HNC over Maud Island so that it does not extent over coastal waters (i.e. the boundary is MHWS). - Delete the coastal parts of the VHNC around Wilson Bay/Spenser Point. | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 114 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Tawhitinui Reach</p> <p>- Delete the coastal part of the VHNC around Wilson Bay/Spenser point.</p> | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 115 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Port Underwood</p> <p>- Delete the proposed marine mammal site.</p> | | | | | |
| 1140 | Sanford Limited | 116 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Port Underwood</p> <p>- Delete the proposed marine mammal site.</p> <p>Onauku Bay</p> <p>- Delete extend o marine mammal zone (dolphin) into Onauku Bay.</p> <p>Admiralty Bay</p> <p>- Delete the marine mammal site (dolphins) as not nationally significant.</p> | | | | | |
| 622 | Christine Margret Satherley | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p> | | | | | |
| 1163 | Trevor Brian Satherley | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 1 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 2 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That more emphasis is given on photovoltaic generation and "sell back to grid" opportunities <i>(inferred this is to be included in the policy)</i> . | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 3 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | 18.M.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That 18.M..2 includes more emphasis on photovoltaic generation and "sell back to grid" opportunities <i>(inferred)</i> . | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 4 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | 18.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 18.M.7. | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 5 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 18.2.1. | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 6 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | 18.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 18.M.4. | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 7 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In the absence of sound scientific assessment and flow modelling the Council follow the NES guidelines in setting minimum flows. For the Wairau River we seek the adoption of the Cawthron Report as the priority. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 8 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That STWR is involved in some way to assist the Council in opportunities to improve water quality in some catchments, particularly in relation to the Wairau catchment.</p> <p>We strongly recommend that existing standards for contact recreation (swimmable) be maintained and enhanced where ever possible.</p> | | | | | |
| 1142 | Save the Wairau River Incorporated | 9 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the natural character of some areas within the Wairau River catchment is assessed. Areas include Bartlett's Creek, Pine Valley, Pukaka Stream, Timms Stream, Waihopai River upper and lower, Waikakahou, Wairau Lagoons, and Wairau River Bed.</p> | | | | | |
| 891 | Lynn Scaife | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p> | | | | | |
| 577 | Carl Anthony Schluter | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p> | | | | | |
| 736 | Gregory Michael Schmetzer | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>1) To publicise the appointment of charges so that the public can make representation on them. To apportion charges fairly between commercial and non-commercial users.</p> <p>2) To make the setting of coastal occupancy charges part of the long term plan not the annual plan.</p> | | | | | |
| 736 | Gregory Michael Schmetzer | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.11 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | 1) To publicise the appointment of charges so that the public can make representation on them. To apportion charges fairly between commercial and non-commercial users. 2) To make the setting of coastal occupancy charges part of the long term plan not the annual plan. | | | | | |
| 15 | William Scholefield | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Compensation be payable by the MDC to landowners where MDC decisions devalue landowners land assets | | | | | |
| 16 | William Scholefield | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove all proposals that devalue ratepayers land value . Pay compensation where landowners land devalued . | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 627 | Carl Scholefield | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8441; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8453; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 9 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8397, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8441, 8543 and 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8441; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 16 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 17 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1143 | Schwass Family Trusts Partnership | 18 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8397, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8441, 8453 and 8580; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| 409 | Paul Anthony Scott | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 128 | Lynda Scott Kelly | 1 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full. | | | | | |
| 128 | Lynda Scott Kelly | 2 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full | | | | | |
| 128 | Lynda Scott Kelly | 3 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full | | | | | |
| 128 | Lynda Scott Kelly | 4 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt these provisions in full | | | | | |
| 1145 | Sea Health Foods Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1145 | Sea Health Foods Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1145 | Sea Health Foods Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|---------|
| 1145 | Sea Health Foods Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1145 | Sea Health Foods Limited | 5 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560 in Otatara Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown" in the guiding principles. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> (x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 7 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 8 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i> | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.AER.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1: 6.AER.1 The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is retained restored . Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 10 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape). | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, SSNZ SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof). | | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. | | | | | |
| The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. | | | | | |
| The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. | | | | | |
| The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made to Overlay Map 5 (<i>inferred</i>): | | | | | |
| 1. Endangered Hector dolphin habitat including East Bay and "Hectorville" Te Ipapakerere Bay and Waikakaramea Bay are designated as ONFL's or as ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | | |
| 2. The whole of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory channel are designated as ONFL's in their entirety. | | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 16 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 17 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 7.M.6. Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 18 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>); (b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>); (c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>); (d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>); (e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>); (f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>); (g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 20 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p>(a) 810 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</p> <p>(b) 810 metres of a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(c) 30100 metres of the coastal marine area.;</p> <p>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 21 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new Method of Implementation (<i>inferred</i>) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 22 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.AER.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the wording "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" is included in 7.AER.1. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 23 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 24 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 25 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p><i>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</i></p> <p><i>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</i></p> <p><i>Incentives</i></p> <p><i>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 26 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13: a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control. b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 27 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included. That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 28 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 29 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments are made: 1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels. 2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds. 3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13E | Support |
| Decision Requested | That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 31 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.7 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 32 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 33 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 34 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the MEP include methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 35 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><i>4.3.8.X All woody material >100 mm diameter and >3 metres in length from gullies (>5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 36 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 37 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 38 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3: Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>); Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>); European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>); Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>); Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>). | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 39 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 40 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.4. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 41 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 42 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.2. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 43 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.13.6. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 44 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.15.5. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 45 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8: <i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> (d) 100m of the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 46 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> (d) 100m of the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 47 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 48 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 49 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission). | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 50 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 51 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment. | | | | | |
| 1146 | Sea Shepherd New Zealand | 52 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays. | | | | | |
| 416 | Sandra Irene Shadbolt | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 185 | George Robert Shallgrass | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Make it simple and remove some parts. | | | | | |
| 1028 | Phoebe Shand | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1134 | Sebastian Shand | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1141 | Sapphire Shand | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1278 | Raewyn Shand | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 1147 | Shand Enterprises Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.</p> | | | | | |
| 1147 | Shand Enterprises Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to.</p> | | | | | |
| 1147 | Shand Enterprises Limited | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1147 | Shand Enterprises Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1147 | Shand Enterprises Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1147 | Shand Enterprises Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1148 | Shand Trust Partnership | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having High Natural Character, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of High Natural Character boundaries. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1148 | Shand Trust Partnership | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having High Natural Character, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of High Natural Character boundaries.</p> | | | | | |
| 1148 | Shand Trust Partnership | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having High Natural Character, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of High Natural Character boundaries.</p> | | | | | |
| 1148 | Shand Trust Partnership | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having Outstanding Natural Landscape, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of Outstanding Natural Landscape boundaries.</p> | | | | | |
| 1148 | Shand Trust Partnership | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having Outstanding Natural Landscape, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of Outstanding Natural Landscape boundaries.</p> | | | | | |
| 1148 | Shand Trust Partnership | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified.</p> <p>In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. Alternatively, if the Port Ligar area objected to is finally determined to be having Outstanding Natural Landscape, then to implement policies, methods and rules that equally protect all elements of natural character (including modified elements such as terrestrial and marine farming within that landscape designation, or which contribute to it) such that adverse effects on those activities contributing to natural character are avoided (or mitigated) in future in accordance with the NZCPS. In such cases it may still be appropriate to amend the designated area of Outstanding Natural Landscape boundaries.</p> | | | | | |
| 73 | Thomas & Janet Sharp | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. That the final paragraph of clause 5.10.7 be amended to allow for additional beneficiaries/activities to be in the allocation of costs.</p> <p>2. That the final paragraph of clause 5.10.7 be further amended to direct the Council when setting the annual charge that they recognize total area of occupation is the primary factor to be considered in determining the allocation of the annual charge.</p> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area ". | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 15 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 16 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>"For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling."</i> (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 20 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not be onto or across a river, or its tributaries, listed in Table 15.1 and Table 15.2 in Chapter 15 of Volume 1 of this Plan;"</i> (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 21 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 22 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 23 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 4.3.10.2(a) as follows (strike out) – <i>"(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;"</i> (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 24 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 3.3.11.2(a) as follows (strike out) – <i>"(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;"</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 25 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.10.2 as follows (strike out and bold) – " <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 4.3.10.4 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):...</i> " (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 26 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows (strike out and bold) – " <i>The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 3.3.11.4 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):...</i> " (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 27 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> " <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not be onto or across a river, or its tributaries, listed in Table 15.1 and Table 15.2 in Chapter 15 of Volume 1 of this Plan;</i>" (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 28 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> " <i>For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling.</i>" (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 29 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</i> " (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 30 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</i> " (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting of vegetation which will mature to a height exceeding 6m within 30m of a formed and sealed road, unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</i> " (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 32 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Replace the Standard with the following new Standard - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "For all other rivers, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not cause obvious heavy pugging or bare soil alongside or within the beds of rivers due to repeated or intense stock trampling." (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 33 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 34 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</i> " (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 35 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, unless it is restoration planting of indigenous species.</i> " (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 36 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.19.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. (Inferred) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 37 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.17.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 38 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.3.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 39 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.10.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Within, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25, non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard must be the only vegetation removed, and plants must only be cleared by non-mechanical means.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 40 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, unless as part of a restoration project.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 423 | Chris Shaw | 41 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland (unless as part of a restoration project) or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1178 | Teresa Shaw | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i> | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i> | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Port Ligar; AND Remove the natural character overlay from the northeastern headland at the entrance to Waitata Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Port Ligar; AND Remove the natural character overlay from the northeastern headland at the entrance to Waitata Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in the northeastern part of Waitata Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from Port Ligar; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 8 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in the northeastern part of Waitata Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from Port Ligar; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 10 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 11 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 65 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 65, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone. | | | | | |
| 1150 | Shellfish Marine Farms Limited | 12 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 103 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Zoning Map 103, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone. | | | | | |
| 63 | Sandy Shields | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full | | | | | |
| 63 | Sandy Shields | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Adopt these policies in full | | | | | |
| 63 | Sandy Shields | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full | | | | | |
| 63 | Sandy Shields | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt in full. | | | | | |
| 186 | Gary John Shields | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Volume 4, Page 7. 25 Battys Road. I would love to see it rezoned Residential. | | | | | |
| 757 | Hugh Shields | 1 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) is deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided below in italics.</p> <p><i>Marine Environment Protection, Restoration and Enhancement</i></p> <p><i>A healthy Marlborough Sounds marine environment is important for a number of reasons:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Is cultural significant and provides an on-going source of kai moana for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi</i> <i>• Provides economic gain for commercial fishers</i> <i>• Supports a thriving aquaculture industry</i> <i>• Has an amenity value and cultural value for recreational users</i> <i>• Is of economic importance to the tourism industry and the region</i> <i>• Supports the complex biodiversity of the Sounds and therefore the health of marine ecosystems</i> <p><i>Under the Resource Management Act the Council is responsible for protecting habitats of indigenous fauna and maintaining indigenous biological diversity.</i></p> <p><i>By managing any adverse effects on marine environmental habitats, caused by activities over which it does have direct control, the Council can meet its statutory obligations under the RMA and Coastal Protection Act.</i></p> <p><i>The Council can take a lead role in supporting and encouraging marine environment protection, restoration and enhancement projects and in doing so will directly help to maintain and enhance healthy marine biodiversity in the Marlborough Sounds, which will support the cultural, spiritual and economic well-</i></p> | | | | | |

being of tangata whenua, Commercial and Recreational stakeholders, the tourism industry and the wider community.

The depletion of the marine habitat in the Marlborough Sounds is an area of concern. This was highlighted in Davidson Environmental Limited report prepared in 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and by others.

Maintaining a healthy marine environment is of particular importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.

I believe the Council has an advocacy role in ensuring a healthy marine environment is maintained in the Marlborough Sounds. Through environment thrive which will support abundant fisheries and aquaculture for future generations which will contribute to the economic, social, cultural and general community wellbeing of Marlborough's residents and visitors.

Objective - To ensure a healthy marine environment is maintained, protected, resorted and enhanced in the Marlborough Sounds.

Policy- That the recommendations of Davidson Environmental Limited report 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and the Expert Review Panel, be acted on and implemented with urgency to protect marine sites of significance with a 200 metre buffer zone.

Policy - That the disposition of mussel shells (whole or crushed) and other naturally occurring fouling organisms extracted as part of the factory processing of green shell mussel, to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to compliance with Council approval, guidelines and practices etc.

Policy - That the disposition of small, undersized, live mussels and other naturally occurring fouling organisms extracted as part of the factory processing of green shell mussel, to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to compliance with Council approval, guidelines and practices etc.

Policy- That the disposition of suitability graded, screened and washed, coarse sands, gravel, shingle, rock and shell to seawater, within designated buffer zones around Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, (and other appropriate sites as may be identified) for the purpose of benthic habitat protection, restoration or enhancement, become a permitted activity, subject to prior Council approval, and compliance with Council instructions, guidelines and practices etc.

Policy - Support community groups working towards ensuring a healthy marine environment is maintained in the Marlborough Sounds. Often local community groups provide the initial impetus for responding to issues and it is important to support these groups where possible.

Methods of implementation:

- Follow the recommendation contained in Davidson Environmental Limited report 2011, Ecologically Significant Marine Sites in Marlborough and act urgently to protect marine sites of significance.*
- Create 'buffer zones' of 100 to 200 metres around the protected marine sites of significance.*
- Support initiatives of community groups working towards a healthy marine environment in the Marlborough Sounds by providing advice and financial support.*
- Engage in dialogue with the Mussel Industry to: develop a strategy to enhance the buffer zones (and other areas as may become apparent) by the controlled placement of discarded crushed or whole mussel shells and other marine material which is a waste bi-product of mussel processing.*
- Engage with Contractors involved in dredging operations either in the Sounds, estuaries or river mouths to assess the suitability of screened washed material to be placed in the buffer zones of protected marine sites of significance.*

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|----------|---|---|--------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with Community Groups and Science to explore and implement habitat restoration and enhancement through the harvesting of healthy sea grass from areas of abundance and replanting in areas where sea grasses have become depleted. | | | | | |
| 757 | Hugh Shields | 2 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> | | | | | |
| 757 | Hugh Shields | 3 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> | | | | | |
| 757 | Hugh Shields | 4 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> | | | | | |
| 757 | Hugh Shields | 5 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The entire section on Fishing (pages 13-11 to 13-12) should be deleted and re-written with a view to placing emphasis on protecting and enhancing the marine environment, which is something the Marlborough District Council has both the authority and statutory obligation to do.</p> <p>The submission includes a draft of the proposed new section, which is provided in decision requested 757.1.</p> | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p> | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| 694 | Elin Shin | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 694 | Elin Shin | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1029 | Peter Shirley | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 1049 | Silverwood Partnership | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 161 | David Sim | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Soil Sensitive Area 11 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Recommends an alternative regime consisting of a Sustainable Agriculture Management Programme consisting of a central body accurately monitoring the effects on the environment and recommending/requiring changes in management practices. | | | | | |
| 161 | David Sim | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recommends an alternative regime consisting of a Sustainable Agriculture Management Programme consisting of a central body accurately monitoring the effects on the environment and recommending/requiring changes in management practices. | | | | | |
| 247 | David Sim | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposed zoning (inferred). | | | | | |
| 1299 | Philip James Sim | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Property Number 527547 Lot 1 DP 4615 is granted Heritage Resource status and is included in Appendix 13 of the Marlborough Environment Plan. | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (o) of this Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain, and privately owned quarries that are used for sourcing flood protection materials.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming and cropping. It is also acknowledged that the extraction of rock and associated material. For instance, protection and development needs to occur at the site of raw material. This policy provides for those uses to continue, which will assist in achieving Objective 14.1, although the management regime in the MEP will include controls to manage adverse effects. At times there may be a change in land use or management practices for primary production to enhance the efficient use of land resources and the MEP does not intend to unduly curtail any opportunity for this to occur. However, the management framework for rural environments, which includes rural areas within the Marlborough Sounds and elsewhere in the District, does include standards to maintain environmental quality, character and amenity values."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A reference to quarries, and/or excavation for quarrying purposes should be included. <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific amendment to the Policy or the explanation sought.)</i> | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Noise limits consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare. However, it is recognised that there will be noise associated with quarrying and that it may be difficult to mitigate the noise associated with quarrying."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Mitigate nuisance effects on adjoining dwellings or adjoining properties caused by dust from earthworks or stockpiled material, or dust and other disturbance associated with quarrying and that it may be difficult to mitigate the dust associated with quarrying."</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (f) and (h) in this Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p>"(f) with the exception of traffic associated with the Barracks Rad Quarry and at times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;"</p> <p>"(h) a mix of land uses inclusive of quarrying, towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident; and"</p> | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (g) in this Policy and paragraph four of the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy - "(g) maintaining a low volume traffic environment to Provide for traffic volumes that maintain the existing a peaceful and quiet environment within the Omaka Valley Area; and"</p> <p>Explanation - "<i>Maintaining a low volume traffic environment to maintain the peaceful and quiet environment of the Omaka Valley Area is challenging, as the predominant land use is viticulture, which for a period each vintage attracts a considerable number of truck movements. There are no through roads within the valley, but this in itself presents a challenge when considering land use activities at the head of the valley. The policy recognises that in general the Omaka Valley enjoys low traffic flows and that maintaining traffic levels at or about the current level is desirable this is to be maintained.</i>"</p> | | | | | |
| 1151 | Simcox Construction Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Take and use of water for road or river control construction works up to 50m³ 200m³ per day per construction site."</p> | | | | | |
| 536 | Andrew Simmonds | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</p> | | | | | |
| 536 | Andrew Simmonds | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</p> | | | | | |
| 537 | Alistair Simmons | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 672 | Donald Bruce Simpson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 828 | Jimmy Simpson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 892 | Lynda Simpson | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 2 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 6 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 7 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 8 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 9 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 11 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 12 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 16 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 17 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 574 | Bryan Skeggs | 18 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8242 in Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8169 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8591 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 5 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8242 in Beatrix Bay and 8169, 8591, 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8242 in Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8242 in Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8169 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8591 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| 645 | Darnyl Gordon Slade | 11 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8242 in Beatrix Bay and 8169, 8591, 8174 in Melville Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission. | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission. | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission. | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission. | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Natural Character Maps be updated to include the missing section and affected parties be given adequate time to make a submission. | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In descending order of preference:</p> <p>(a) Remove the Natural Character overlay from the vicinity of the farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p> <p>(b) Move the Arbitrary Coastal Natural Character boundary to the current CMZ2, CMZ1 interface, across the mouth of Melville Cove. (Hunia to East of Tunnel Bay, Map 2) and onto the land.</p> <p>(c) Curve, swing or indent the High Natural Character boundary so it circumvents Farm 8591, as has been done at site 8173 for the Outstanding Natural Character Landscape Overlay (see map 3).</p> | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In descending order of preference:</p> <p>(a) Remove the Natural Character overlay from the vicinity of the farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p> <p>(b) Move the Arbitrary Coastal Natural Character boundary to the current CMZ2, CMZ1 interface, across the mouth of Melville Cove. (Hunia to East of Tunnel Bay, Map 2) and onto the land.</p> <p>(c) Curve, swing or indent the High Natural Character boundary so it circumvents Farm 8591, as has been done at site 8173 for the Outstanding Natural Character Landscape Overlay (see map 3).</p> | | | | | |
| 1152 | Slade, King and King Limited and Port Gore Marine Farm Partnership | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>In descending order of preference</p> <p>(a) Remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay from the vicinity of the farms, or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p> <p>(b) Move the arbitrary Outstanding Natural Landscape boundary to the current CMZ2, CMZ1 interface, across the mouth of Melville Cove. (Hunia to East of Tunnel Bay, Map 3).</p> <p>(c) Curve, Swing or indent the Outstanding Natural Landscape boundary so it circumvents Farm 8591, as has been done at site 8173 for the Outstanding Natural Landscape overlay (see map 3).</p> | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 741 | Glen Slipper | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 242 | Doug Smith | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone, remain at 500mtrs to seaward of MHWS and 500mtrs of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 242 | Doug Smith | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone, remain at 500mtrs to seaward of MHWS and 500mtrs of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 538 | Andre Smith | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.14. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MDC in conjunction with the local viticulture industry should research methods of disposal of cca treated vineyard (and other) posts and timber. At present, my understanding is that high temperature pyrolysis is the only safe way of disposing of such treated timber. To the best of my knowledge, no such facility exists in New Zealand or Australia, where the disposal problem is more acute. 2. Ban the use of such vineyard posts in Marlborough unless a safe way of disposal of the stockpiles of such broken and discarded posts can be found. 3. Until such time as a safe disposal method is created by MDC, ensure that the requirements of RMA and NES are met by vineyard owners and operators and monitor, record and manage such sites as contaminated under the existing HAIL protocol. EPA of South Australia has written rules for the intermediate storage of such treated timber until such time as safe disposal is available. The rules are aimed at minimising air, water and soil contamination. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 60 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review some zoning, including Rural Residential, Urban Residential, Rural Environment, Business 2 to better reflect Wairau Valley Township (<i>inferred</i>). 2. Review speed limits. 3. Define "Wairau Valley Township" geographically. 3. Explain the provision for future residential expansion of the "Township". | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not identify the decision requested and the standard(s) to which changes are to be made. <i>The following amendments have therefore, been inferred.</i></p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.5.1:</p> <p><i>3.3.5.1. A Category A or Category B device must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) within 160 500m of Rural Residential or Urban Residential Zones the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</i></p> <p><i>(d) such that sound is emitted at a level greater than 65 dB LAE, measured at or within the property boundary (Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 Zones, and Coastal Living and Rural Living Zones) or notional boundary (Rural Environment or Coastal Environment Zones) of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not identify the decision requested and the standard(s) to which changes are to be made. It is inferred that the following new standards (bold) are to be included under Heading <i>3.3.5 Audible bird-scaring device</i>:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1.(f) simultaneously.</i></p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.x Only X number of audible devices can operate within x meters of noise sensitive activities.</i></p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.x Only X number of fixed s and y number of portable audible devices can operate on any property at one time.</i></p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.x A fixed and portable Category A device must not be used simultaneously.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 6 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A definition of Category A and B Devices should be added. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The submission does not include a clear decision requested. It does state "An increase in this separation is suggested unless the rule is more specific as to roads". From this statement, the following amendment (bold) has been inferred with regards to Standard 3.3.5.1(e):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A device must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(e) within 100m of a public road, including all highways, secondary roads and adjoining property legal Right of Ways.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 9 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That other audible bird scarers can be used and not just Category A and B bird scaring measures. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 11 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | 16.M.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That area guidelines (multiple vineyards operating adjacent to or in the same Township or residential area) should be formulated by MDC. | | | | | |
| 592 | Clifford John Smith | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the use of Frost fans in the immediate vicinity of Wairau Valley Township and other predominantly Urban and Residential areas under the proposed rules be reviewed to reduce the adverse health effects on residents disturbed by frost fan and diesel motor drive noise at night.</p> <p>That MDC consider and action the issues raised in the foregoing in respect of design, consenting, monitoring and reporting on operation of frost fans.</p> <p>This should also include consideration of the cumulative effect of existing (already consented under the existing rules) and future consented frost fans, under any new rules.</p> <p>Monitoring of cumulative frost fan effects was a requirement under Plan Changes 23 and 28.</p> <p>To consult with the Nelson Marlborough District Medical Officer of Health regarding the deleterious health effects of noise and disturbed sleep and lack of adequate ventilation on persons affected by frost fan operation.</p> <p>Do not give preferential treatment to the use of frost fans as the only method of frost protection when other alternative quieter (not helicopters) frost protection techniques might be a preferable option in specific circumstances.</p> <p>Give consideration to a "frost fan no- go zone" in the vicinity of residential areas, Wairau Valley Township in particular. Clearly delineate the area of Wairau valley Township that might be affected by vineyard expansion.</p> <p>A 500 metre no go zone from any all residential accommodation, irrespective of existing or proposed zoning, is suggested.</p> <p>Treat this matter with some urgency in the case of Wairau Valley Township as comments regarding noise, ventilation and human rights are already being made by residents with respect to the recent operation of frost fans, already consented and installed adjacent to the township.</p> | | | | | |
| 742 | Gordon Smith | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 829 | Jason Smith | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 948 | Melissa Smith | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1214 | Vincent Rene Smith | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8040 in Admiralty Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| 1214 | Vincent Rene Smith | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8040 in Admiralty Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1214 | Vincent Rene Smith | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8040 in Admiralty Bay and 8363 in Nydia Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1214 | Vincent Rene Smith | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8040 in Admiralty Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1214 | Vincent Rene Smith | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8363 in Nydia Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1214 | Vincent Rene Smith | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8040 in Admiralty Bay and 8363 in Nydia Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1030 | Paul Smythe | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1031 | Peter Snape | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 599 | Carney Ray Soderberg jr | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 1153 | Soil and Health Association of New Zealand Incorporated | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision Soil & Health seeks from the Council is that the Proposed Plan be amended to include the following policies: | | | | | |
| | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To adopt a precautionary approach to the management of GMOs by? prohibiting the field-testing or release of a GMO. 2. To adopt a resource management framework for the management of GMOs that is District specific taking into account environmental, economic and social well-being considerations. 3. To review the Proposed Plan provisions relating to GMOs, particularly if there is new information that becomes available on the benefits/adverse effects of a GMO activity. | | | | | |
| 830 | Julie Solly | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 862 | Kris Solly | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 893 | Liam Solly | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 863 | Karen Soloman | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1273 | Matthew Somerville-Smith | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That standard 7.2.1.5 is deleted.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 1273 | Matthew Somerville-Smith | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Coastal Living Zone is changed back to Sounds Residential Zone. | | | | | |
| 1273 | Matthew Somerville-Smith | 3 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Coastal Environment Zone is changed back to Rural 1 Zone. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1241 | Yong Hee Son | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1154 | Sounds Fun Mussel Company | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1154 | Sounds Fun Mussel Company | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1154 | Sounds Fun Mussel Company | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1154 | Sounds Fun Mussel Company | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8346 in Yncyca Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1155 | Soundsmarine Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1155 | Soundsmarine Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.4.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1155 | Soundsmarine Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.4.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1155 | Soundsmarine Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.4.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Should Rule 15.4.1 be retained (see separate Submission), an amendment to this Standard is sought as follows (strike through and bold) - " There must not be more than 5 ? litres of coating material applied per hour and not more than 20 ? litres of coating material applied per month. " <i>(Inferred - the Submission did not identify the specific changes sought, the Submission requested an increase to reflect current practice.)</i> | | | | | |
| 1155 | Soundsmarine Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.4.2.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Should Rule 15.4.2 be retained (see separate Submission), delete this Standard. | | | | | |
| 1155 | Soundsmarine Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.4.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Should Rule 15.4.2 be retained (see separate Submission), an amendment to this Standard is sought as follows (strike through and bold) - " The total amount of coating material sprayed at one place must not exceed 10 ? litres per hour. " <i>(Inferred - the Submission did not identify the specific changes sought, the Submission requested an increase to reflect current practice.)</i> | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i></p> <p><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i></p> <p><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i></p> <p><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i></p> <p><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i></p> <p><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i></p> <p><i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i></p> <p><i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i></p> <p><i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i></p> <p><i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i></p> <p><i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i></p> <p><i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i></p> <p><i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i></p> <p><i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i></p> <p><i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i></p> <p><i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i></p> <p><i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i></p> <p><i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> (h) All larches (Larix spp); (i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata); (j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa); (k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola); (l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster); (m) All birches (Betula spp); (n) All elms (Ulmus spp); (o) All alders (Alnus spp); (p) All willows (Salix spp); (q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus); (r) Rowan (Sorbus spp); (s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium)."</p> | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> (h) All larches (Larix spp); (i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata); (j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa); (k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola); (l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster); (m) All birches (Betula spp); (n) All elms (Ulmus spp); (o) All alders (Alnus spp); (p) All willows (Salix spp); (q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus); (r) Rowan (Sorbus spp); (s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium). " | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 8 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 9 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> (h) All larches (Larix spp); (i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata); (j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa); (k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola); (l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster); (m) All birches (Betula spp); (n) All elms (Ulmus spp); (o) All alders (Alnus spp); (p) All willows (Salix spp); (q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus); (r) Rowan (Sorbus spp); (s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium). " | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 10 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> <i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i> <i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i> <i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i> <i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i> <i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i> <i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i> <i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i> <i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i> <i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i> <i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i> <i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i> <i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</i> " | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 13 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.5.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 14 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.1.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 15 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.7.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> <i>(h) All larches (Larix spp);</i> <i>(i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata);</i> <i>(j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa);</i> <i>(k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola);</i> <i>(l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster);</i> <i>(m) All birches (Betula spp);</i> <i>(n) All elms (Ulmus spp);</i> <i>(o) All alders (Alnus spp);</i> <i>(p) All willows (Salix spp);</i> <i>(q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus);</i> <i>(r) Rowan (Sorbus spp);</i> <i>(s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium).</i> " | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 16 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 17 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> <i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i> <i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i> <i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i> <i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i> <i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i> <i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra);</i> (h) All larches (Larix spp); (i) Radiata pine (Pinus radiata); (j) Ponderosa pine (P.ponderosa); (k) Eastern white pine (P. monticola); (l) Maritime pine (P.pinaster); (m) All birches (Betula spp); (n) All elms (Ulmus spp); (o) All alders (Alnus spp); (p) All willows (Salix spp); (q) Sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus); (r) Rowan (Sorbus spp); (s) Wild cherry (Prunus avium). " | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.21.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 20 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard. | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 21 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.5. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 476 | South Marlborough Landscape Restoration Trust | 22 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.10.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1156 | Southern Crown Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1156 | Southern Crown Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1156 | Southern Crown Limited | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1156 | Southern Crown Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 1156 | Southern Crown Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1156 | Southern Crown Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8108 in Forsyth Bay, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical quantities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise the existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscapes, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise the existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I request that the MDC undertake a review of this area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 1157 | Southern Crown Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm. | | | | | |
| 199 | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living. | | | | | |
| 199 | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 19 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living. | | | | | |
| 199 | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach | 3 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living. | | | | | |
| 199 | Southern Water Engineering SB Leach | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Rezone lot 9 DP 2747 (33 to 37 Waters Ave) Industrial 1 instead of Rural Living. | | | | | |
| 164 | Nigel Sowman | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------------|-----------------|
| 164 | Nigel Sowman | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full | | | | | |
| 164 | Nigel Sowman | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full | | | | | |
| 164 | Nigel Sowman | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain guiding principle relating to reverse sensitivity. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend paragraph 1 beneath Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. Some of infrastructure is also nationally important, such as the Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne, -) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid, the telecommunications network and state highways) also has national importance. It is important that this strategic all infrastructure is able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, new infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend subsection Policy 4.2.1 as follows: <i>Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i> <i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: <i>Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.7 as follows: <i>4.M.7 Specific Zoning and Rules</i> <i>Recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. This, in conjunction with the application of district specific infrastructure (and network utility) rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.8 as follows: <i>4.M.8 Designations</i> <i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying and protecting regionally significant infrastructure. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.9 as follows: <i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities.</i> <i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i> ... <i>In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 and the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008 establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing transmission lines and telecommunications facilities, respectively.</i> [Note – if the second generation NESTF is operative prior to the PMEP becoming operative, a small amendment will be needed to the year of the NESTF]</p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 7.2 as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.6(a) as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Paragraph 4 to the Introduction as follows: <i>The Council can act to reduce the risk of natural hazards adversely affecting life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure. Using its functions under the RMA to control the use of land to avoid or mitigate natural hazards, the Council can influence the location and management of new developments to ensure that they are not subject to unreasonable risk. Other land uses may adversely affect hazard mitigation works and these can be similarly controlled to ensure that the integrity of the works is not compromised.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Issue 11A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 11A as follows: <i>Issue 11A – Natural hazards in Marlborough, particularly flooding, earthquakes and land instability, have the potential to cause loss of life and significant damage to property and regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Objective 11.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 11.1 as follows: <i>Objective 11.1 – Reduce the risks to life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards. Natural hazards can have significant adverse effects on individuals and the community, including loss of life, personal injury, damage to property and disruption of day-to-day life, business and the provision of community infrastructure. For this reason, the objective seeks to reduce the risks and consequences of natural hazards. This objective also implements direction from the CDEMP, which signals that resource management provisions have an important role to play in risk reduction.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.2.1 as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Issue 12C | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 12C as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 12.9 as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.4 as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.5 as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.7 as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.9.9 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.9.9 as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.2(g) as follows: <i>(g) whether the proposed subdivision, use or development activity contributes to the network of regionally significant infrastructure identified in Policy 4.2.1;</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.5.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.5.6 as follows: <i>Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following: (i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.9.1(c)(ii) as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 13.10 as follows: <i>Objective 13.10 – Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to maintain and protect the values of the coastal environment.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.24 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.24(c) as follows: <i>(c) regionally significant infrastructure is at risk.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.5.5(i) as follows: <i>Policy 14.5.5 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Rural Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following:</i> <i>(i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.4.4(g) as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 28 | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction | 1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain structure of Volume 2, with utilities rules being included in General Rules which apply regardless of zone. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 29 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to network utilities as follows: <i>Other The following General Rules contained in Chapter 2 may apply in addition to the Network Utility General Rules [List] to any relevant zone rules for network utilities.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 30 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amended Rule 2.38.1 as follows: <i>2.38.1. Network utility infrastructure listed as follows:</i> <i>(b) a telecommunication line or facility;</i> <i>(c) a radio communication apparatus or facility;</i> <i>(i) a telephone call box or the erection and use of a postal box.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 31 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.38.2 as follows: <i>2.38.2 Telecommunication line or cable over the bed of a lake or river.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 32 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.38.3 as follows: <i>2.38.3. Trenching Earthworks for cable laying underground network utilities.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 33 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.38.4 | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 34 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.38.5 | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 35 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.39.1.3 | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 36 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.39.1.4 | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 37 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.5 as follows: <i>2.39.1.5. The maximum height of a facility or network utility structure, aerial or antenna for a telecommunication, radiocommunication or meteorological facility must not exceed 25m above ground level. This height can be exceeded by up to 5m for a telecommunication facility, if that facility is used by more than one telecommunications provider.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 38 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.7 as follows: <i>The maximum height of any antenna or aerial or (and their support structures) attached to the top of a building must not exceed the height of the building by more than 5m in the Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Lake Grassmere Salt Works, Port, and Rural Environment zones and 3m in any other zone.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 39 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.8 as follows: The maximum diameter of a dish Antenna must not exceed 3m in diameter, or 2.5m2 in total face area or, if Council desires, instead of the 2.5m2 standard, the following alternative relief would also be acceptable: The maximum diameter of a dish Antenna must not exceed 3m in diameter, or have a width that exceeds 700mm. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 40 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows: A new line, including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1, Industrial 2 , Open Space 1 or Open Space 2. Note, Standard 2.39.1.9 does not apply to additional or replacement lines that are provided for as Minor Upgrading. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 41 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.39.1.10 in its entirety. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 42 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.1.14 as follows: A line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, or a building or depot that is located outside of legal road, must not be located: (a) in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland; (b) within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network; (c) on, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative. These setbacks do not apply to a line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility that is located within legal road. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 43 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard to Section 2.39 as follows: 2.39.X Telecommunication Customer Connections Connections from buildings, structures and sites to the telecommunication network are permitted. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 44 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new Performance Standard as follows:</p> <p><u>2.39.X Small-Cell Units on Structures</u> <u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment is permitted, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment do not exceed a total volumetric dimension of 0.25 m³, excluding auxiliary cables.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 45 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 2.39.2 as follows:</p> <p>2.39.2. Trenching Earthworks for underground network utilities cable laying.</p> <p>2.39.2.1. Any earth not placed back in the trench earthworks area must be re-located in a stable location.</p> <p>2.39.2.2. Trenching Earthworks, where undertaken outside of legal road, must not occur in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland or Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification.</p> <p>2.39.2.3. Trenching Earthworks must not occur within such proximity [XXm] to any abstraction point for a community drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.</p> <p>2.39.2.4. The vegetation cover of a trench site an earthworks area must be restored within 6 months of the end of the operation.</p> <p>2.39.2.5. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must:</p> <p>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(c) be stored on stable ground;</p> <p>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.</p> <p>2.39.2.6. Trenching Earthworks must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</p> <p>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</p> <p>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the trenching site;</p> <p>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%.</p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 46 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.39.3 as follows: 2.39.3. Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility existing at 9 June 2016. 2.39.3.1. Vegetation (except noxious plants under the Noxious Plants Act) must not be removed by chemical, fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.2. Where Clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20 34° . 2.39.3.3. All trees must be felled away from a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.4. No tree or log may be dragged through the bed of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.5. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area. 2.39.3.6. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must: (a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; (b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; (c) be stored on stable ground; (d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 47 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows: <u>2.X.X Controlled Activities:</u> <u>The following telecommunications activities are controlled activities:</u> <u>(a) Small Cell Units</u> <u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment has a total volumetric dimension no greater than 0.3m³, excluding auxiliary cables.</u> <u>(b) Telecommunications Cabinets within Legal Road</u> <u>(i) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m of an existing telecommunication cabinet which is located adjacent to a different site</u> <u>(ii) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m away from any other cabinet or group of cabinets that is on the same side of the road.</u> <u>(iii) The total footprint of cabinets in the group in the road is between 1.8m² and 4m².</u> <u>Control is in respect of:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>Siting;</u> ● <u>Visual Effects; and</u> ● <u>Safety</u> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 48 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Section 2.40 as follows: <i>2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following:</i> <i>2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. <u>Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.</u></i> <u>2.41 Discretionary Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u> <i>2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 49 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Section 2.40 as follows: <i>2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following:</i> <i>2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. <u>Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met.</u></i> <u>2.41 Discretionary Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u> <i>2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 50 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.8(b) as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 51 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.9.8 in its entirety. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 52 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.24. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new rule in section 2.24: <u>[2.25] Controlled Activities</u> <u>[2.25.1] New customer connections to a Heritage Resource from an adjacent utility network are a Controlled Activity in respect of:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The design and external appearance of the customer connection.</u> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 53 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.29.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 29.1.1(c) as follows: <i>29.1.1 The trimming or pruning must only be</i> <i>(c) minor clearing of light branches (less than 50mm in diameter) from proximity to existing power and telecommunication lines;</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 54 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.1.19. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 13.1.19 <i>[inferred]</i> . | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 55 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.1.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 14.1.9. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 56 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.1.16. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 15.1.16. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 57 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 16.1.11. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 58 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.9.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 13.3.9.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 59 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 14.3.4.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 60 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.8.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 15.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 61 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.8.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 16.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 62 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.10. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 63 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.11 | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 64 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.12. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 65 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.1.13. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 24.1.13. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 66 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 24.2.1 as follows: Subdivision of land associated with utilities <u>undertaken by network utility operators.</u> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 67 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Antenna. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 68 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Height as follows: <i>Height in relation to a building or structure, means the vertical distance between the natural ground level at any point and the highest part of the building or structure immediately above that point as shown in Figure 2 of Appendix 26. <u>This definition does not apply to lightning rods or GPS antenna affixed to the highest part of a building or structure.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 69 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Maintenance and Replacement. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 70 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows:</p> <p><i>Minor Upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i></p> <p>(a) <i>The replacement, reconfiguration, relocation or addition of lines, circuits and conductors;</i></p> <p>(b) <i>The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i></p> <p>(c) <i>The re-sagging of conductors;</i></p> <p>(d) <i>The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i></p> <p>(e) <i>The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i></p> <p>(f) <i>Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading;</i></p> <p><i>(g) The replacement of a pole, provided that:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the replacement pole must not have a diameter that is more than the existing pole's diameter at its largest point plus 50 per cent; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) The replacement pole must not have a height greater than 25m or the height of pole it is replacing, whichever is the greater; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) The replacement pole must be located within 3m from the existing pole.</i></p> <p><i>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage.</i></p> <p><i>Minor Upgrading also includes the replacement of existing antennas, provided the replacement antenna size is no greater than 20 percent of the existing antenna being replaced.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 71 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Network Utility Structure as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 72 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Radiocommunication facility as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 73 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Have one clear and concise definition of 'site'. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 74 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Telecommunication facility as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 75 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Telecommunication Line as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 76 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following definition of Infrastructure:</p> <p><u>Infrastructure includes:</u></p> <p><u>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></p> <p><u>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></p> <p><u>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></p> <p><u>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></p> <p><u>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></p> <p><u>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></p> <p><u>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></p> <p><u>(h) telecommunication facilities and radiocommunication facilities;</u></p> <p><u>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></p> <p><u>(j) main trunk railway line;</u></p> <p><u>(k) district roading network;</u></p> <p><u>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></p> <p><u>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></p> <p><u>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></p> <p><u>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 77 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Replace the term 'regionally significant infrastructure' at each instance it is used in the plan with the word 'infrastructure'. | | | | | |
| 1158 | Spark New Zealand Trading Limited | 78 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend entire plan, to provide consistency in the use of terms, and inclusion of a specific section detailing objectives and policies relevant to the provision of infrastructure. The structure of the Auckland Unitary Plan is a good example. A list of suggested objectives and policies is attached as an addendum to this submission point (see original submission for addendum). | | | | | |
| 1078 | Rebecca Spooner | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1159 | Spring Creek Vintners | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1159 | Spring Creek Vintners | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i> | | | | | |
| 1159 | Spring Creek Vintners | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture. | | | | | |
| 1159 | Spring Creek Vintners | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | SCV submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i> | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i> | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i> | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Inner Admiralty Bay and Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; AND Remove the natural character overlay from Waikawa Bay, Current Basin; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in Inner Admiralty Bay and Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; AND Remove the natural character overlay from Waikawa Bay, Current Basin; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the very high natural character overlay fro the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the very high natural character overlay fro the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Camp Bay, Waitata Bay, and in Waikawa Bay.</p> <p>Remove the ONL overlay from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and - The waters of Current Basin; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</p> | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 8 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Camp Bay, Waitata Bay, and in Waikawa Bay.</p> <p>Remove the ONL overlay from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and - The waters of Current Basin; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</p> | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove or reduce the western extent of the ONL overlay from Tawero Point;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 1160 | St George Limited | 10 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove or reduce the western extent of the ONL overlay from Tawero Point; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 1160 | St George Limited | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete mapped area due to lack of certainty. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 758 | Holly Stanford | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1079 | Rachel Stanford | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1296 | Reia Stannard | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Keep the proposed set back as is without change. | | | | | |
| 366 | Basil Roger Stanton | 1 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities. | | | | | |
| 366 | Basil Roger Stanton | 2 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities. | | | | | |
| 366 | Basil Roger Stanton | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Appendix 1 #21 - Te Parinui o Whiti/White Bluffs ONF Inclusion of White Bluffs in coastal access priorities. | | | | | |
| 82 | Sebastian Stapleton | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 82 | Sebastian Stapleton | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 1135 | Sheryll Stapleton | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 143 | Starborough Farming Company Ltd | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove the blanket cut off to all Springs water .</p> <p>Treat all Wairau Aquifer users equally (not just Springs sector users),and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below the set limit (example. 11.8m for Northern Springs). This would give all farmers/growers the opportunity to prioritise where the reduced volume of available water is utilised (not a blanket cut to only Springs Area – Northern, Central, Urban water users).</p> <p>Before implementing a blanket restriction wait for more scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process. A better understanding is required of the long term trend of the aquifer levels before setting lower limits and/or full cut off thresholds.</p> | | | | | |
| 1032 | Paul Starkey | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 427 | Hugh Walter Royston Steadman | 1 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Chapter 19 should be renumbered Chapter 1.</p> <p>A 'Plan B' for Marlborough's continued functioning in the face of accelerated climate destabilisation, should be prepared and updated more frequently than once every decade.</p> | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 539 | Allen Steele | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 606 | Cindy Steele | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 759 | Hudson Steele | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1080 | Rata Steele | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area ". | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 15 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 16 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 17 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 18 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 421 | Janet Steggle | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 7 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Restrict the planting of invasive pine species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 15 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 16 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 17 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 18 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I support the need to exclude stock from waterways however the rule as it stands in 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3 requires a high level of monitoring (presumably from council) and would be difficult to interpret on site by a land manager. Therefore, I seek to exclude all stock from all of the rivers and their tributaries listed in tables 15.1 and 15.2 of Volume 1 of this plan and for other rivers adopt rules similar to other areas that state "no heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling should be obvious alongside or within the beds of rivers". | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 20 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I support the need to exclude stock from waterways however the rule as it stands in 4.3.20.2 and 4.3.20.3 requires a high level of monitoring (presumably from council) and would be difficult to interpret on site by a land manager. Therefore, I seek to exclude all stock from all of the rivers and their tributaries listed in tables 15.1 and 15.2 of Volume 1 of this plan and for other rivers adopt rules similar to other areas that state "no heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling should be obvious alongside or within the beds of rivers". | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 21 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I support the need to limit indigenous vegetation clearance however rule 3.3.11.2 should not apply to 3.3.11.3. There is so little indigenous vegetation remaining within these threatened environments that any further loss should require a consent. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 22 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I oppose rule 3.3.11.2(a) which allows for indigenous vegetation clearance under or anywhere within 50 metres of commercial or woodlot forest or shelterbelt. Clearance of vegetation growing under forestry is ok but 50 metres allows for large areas to be cleared. For example this would allow 100m wide tongues of native forest to be cleared within a commercial forest or woodlot. Resource consent should be required to achieve this. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 23 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I oppose rule 3.3.11.5. There is so little indigenous forest taller than 6 metres remaining that all clearance of this forest should require a consent. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 24 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I support the need to limit indigenous vegetation clearance however rule 4.3.10.2 should not apply to 4.3.10.3. There is so little indigenous vegetation remaining within these threatened environments that any further loss should require a consent. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 25 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | I oppose rule 4.3.10.3(a) which allows for indigenous vegetation clearance under or anywhere within 50 metres of commercial or woodlot forest or shelterbelt. Clearance of vegetation growing under forestry is ok but 50 metres allows for large areas to be cleared. For example this would allow 100m wide tongues of native forest to be cleared within a commercial forest or woodlot. Resource consent should be required to achieve this. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 26 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I oppose rule 4.3.10.5. There is so little indigenous forest taller than 6 metres remaining that all clearance of this forest should require a consent. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 27 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 28 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.9.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 29 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 30 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 31 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek that the rule should not apply to restoration planting of indigenous species. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 32 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | [<i>Inferred</i>] Delete Rule 5.3.9.1. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 33 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.3.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred] Delete Rule 6.3.4.1. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 34 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.19.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred] Delete Rule 8.3.19.1. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 35 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.17.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | [Inferred] Delete Rule 7.3.17.1. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 36 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.10.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I therefore seek to allow the removal of any non-indigenous species as part of a restoration project. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 37 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. | | | | | |
| 1179 | Thomas Robert Stein | 38 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I seek a rule that allows for the removal, by non-mechanical means, of non-indigenous species within, or within 8 metres of a significant wetland as part of a restoration project. | | | | | |
| 176 | Stembridge Vineyards Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds. | | | | | |
| 256 | Justin Stevens | 1 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word Deer from the definition of " Intensively Farmed Livestock " due to the fact that deer move quicker and less often than other classes of livestock. Why have sheep been left out as they would create a greater impact when moving through a wet bed of a water body ? | | | | | |
| 256 | Justin Stevens | 2 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | 1. I believe this definition needs to be clearer as the current wording is open to an individuals interpretation. An example would be that the" Hog Swamp Creek" may not be considered " intermittently flowing " as it has not flowed for the last two years. My assumption would be that it is not an intermittently flowing creek and would not be subject to the current Marlborough Environment Plan. 2. I believe that the Marlborough District Council need's to be clear and concise on each and every River ,Stream and Creek or body of water and to have a " catchment-specific plan "(Volume 1 page 15-12) for every River, Stream and Creek or body of water so as to remove any miss interpretation of rules and definition's. | | | | | |
| 256 | Justin Stevens | 3 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word Deer from the definition of " Intensively Farmed Livestock " due to the fact that deer move quicker and less often than other classes of livestock. Why have sheep been left out as they would create a greater impact when moving through a wet bed of a water body ? | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 540 | Arthur Stewart | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 569 | Barbara Stewart | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request the moorings, wharves and boatshed per hectare charges be reasonable and balanced and fair particularly to privately owned property. | | | | | |
| 1282 | Barbara Mary Stewart | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 7.2.1.5 (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 7.2.1.5 A building must not be constructed or sited within 20m of the Coastal Marine Zone. | | | | | |
| 673 | Debbie Stone | 1 | All | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 864 | Katie Strader | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - "From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." | | | | | |
| 864 | Katie Strader | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.14. | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.14.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.14.2; however, amend the policy explanation text to recognise the inclusion of the 'Northern Entrance' in Queen Charlotte Sound as part of the National Transportation Route. Refer to submission #8. | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.16.6. | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Recognise the inclusion of the 'Northern Entrance' in Queen Charlotte Sound as part of the National Transportation Route. Refer to submission #8. | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.4.2.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 16.4.2.9: <i>Standard 16.4.2.9 The data recorded by the Data Recording Devices must be retained and archived on board the ship in an electronic format readable by the Council for a period of no less than 6 months 30 days except where that data is available to the Council via an Automatic Identification System.</i> | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.4.2.17. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 16.4.2.17: <i>Standard 16.4.2.17 Approved methods, frequency and certification of calibration of the Data Recording Device(s) required by the Plan to measure Ship Speed.</i> | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.4.2.19. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-------------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 16.4.2.19. That a new standard is added: <i>16.4.2.X The data recorded by the Data Recording Devices must be provided to the Council within 7 days of a written request except where the data is available to the Council via an Automatic identification System. The Council may request this data a maximum of once per year.</i> | | | | | |
| 790 | Strait Shipping Limited | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | National Transportation Route | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the National Transportation Route Overlay Map is amended to include the alternative 'Northern Entrance' route within the National Transportation Route (shown as hatching on the map attached as Appendix B (attached to submission)). A second map is also attached showing the two routes in their entirety between Picton and Wellington (Appendix C). | | | | | |
| 435 | David and Jordan Stubbs | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 160 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the zoning from Rural Environment Zone to Urban Residential 3. | | | | | |
| 216 | Khalid Suleiman | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | More permitted activity scope | | | | | |
| 262 | Kaye Surgenor | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I request that these changes are rejected | | | | | |
| 223 | Alistair Sutherland | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the limits for taking water from the Northern Springs Sector (inferred). | | | | | |
| 772 | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 772 | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |
| 772 | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |
| 772 | Ivan and Margaret Sutherland | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We wish the provision to be retained. | | | | | |
| 949 | Matthew Sutherland | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.13 [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1292 | Margaret and Ivan Sutherland | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 932 | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. | | | | | |
| 932 | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. | | | | | |
| 932 | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. | | | | | |
| 932 | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. | | | | | |
| 932 | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|--------|
| 932 | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. | | | | | |
| 932 | Michael Joseph and Catherine May Sweeney | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To review the policy and agree it is inappropriate to levy such charges. If this is not agreed to at least provide the full reports outlining how the changing regime will be imposed. To modify the charges in circumstances where little or no public benefit is being jeopardised. | | | | | |
| 154 | T P McGrail | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Withdraw the change until proper consultation has been carried out | | | | | |
| 1183 | TAB Services Limited | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 415 | Taequi Trust | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify plans to reflect that aquaculture and dolphins co-exist in this environment with no reported adverse effects. | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and have had no adverse effect on the Whales. | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and have had no adverse effect on the Dolphins. | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise in the plan that aquaculture has been in place and has not affected outstanding landscape values. | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that marine farming has been present in areas of high and very high natural character and does not affect these values. | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that marine farming has been present in areas of high and very high natural character and does not affect these values. | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are in the area and are culturally and economically significant. | | | | | |
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are in the area and are culturally and economically significant. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|--|---------|
| 1184 | Talleys Group Limited | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are in the area and are culturally and economically significant. | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 85 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the zoning of Lot 1 DP 4415 from Rural Environment Zone to Industrial Zone <i>(not stipulated if Industrial Zone 1 or Industrial Zone 2)</i> . | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 2 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | 12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support policies relating to the Industrial 1 Zone. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 3 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support rules for Industrial 1 Zone. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Industrial 1 zoning for Pt Lot 2 DP 6230. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay. | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay. | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay. | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay. | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove farmland from Overlay. | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to provided for non-irrigation uses, such as line flushing and testing. | | | | | |
| 374 | Talley's Group Limited (Land Operations) | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to provided for non-irrigation uses, such as line flushing and testing. | | | | | |
| 894 | Lily Tamaiparea | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 722 | Gaik Choo Tan | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 762 | Huu Van Tang | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 895 | Lisita Tangataevaha | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | All in-stream structures (existing and new), such as culverts, weirs, dams and fords, that are not governed by a resource consent, should be required to provide for fish passage within 5 years unless there is good reason not to (eg prevent pest fish or trout predation on rare native fish) or by agreement (for those who may have an expensive or large number of in-stream structures to remediate). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 2 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Any rock walling activity should submit a plan, even if it is for scrutiny by the Regulatory Department, to ensure environmental effects are properly considered. If a rock wall is proposed to extend through inanga spawning zones they should require a resource consent. Such consents would consider that the design includes grassed benches so there is continued provision of whitebait spawning. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Any rock walling activity should submit a plan, even if it is for scrutiny by the Regulatory Department, to ensure environmental effects are properly considered. If a rock wall is proposed to extend through inanga spawning zones they should require a resource consent. Such consents would consider that the design includes grassed benches so there is continued provision of whitebait spawning. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 4 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.5.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | It is suggested that this rule be modified to say "woody material should not be deposited on or over the river bed if it covers greater than 20% of the aerial extent of the bed or is highly likely (from experience) to cause the formation of a debris dam. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 5 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 6 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 7 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.16. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If there isn't going to be a general condition then add that works involving the clearance of natural material from streams shall not interfere with stream banks or change the natural meander pattern. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 8 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 9 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology. | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 13 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 14 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 15 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |
| 307 | Tasman District Council | 17 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.23.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a condition about sediment discharged and then trapped in the bed along the following lines: Or an increase in the suspendible sediment of more than 30% as measured using Sediment Assessment Method 4 in Clapcott et al 2011* Given the repetition of this rule it would seem better to add it to a general rule.</p> <p>* Clapcott, JE, Young RG, Harding, JS, Matthaei, CD, Quinn, JM, and Death, RG (2011. Sediment Assessment Methods: Protocols and guidelines for assessing the effections of deposited fine sediment on in-stream values. Cawthron Institute, Nelson, NZ.</p> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy so the Council, DoC and Port Company are not exempt from the coastal occupancy charge. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to waive the coastal occupancy charge for residents without road access. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to include the organisations. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 6 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 7 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend part (a) of the policy to allow additional moorings if circumstances require it. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 8 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Part (a) - Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. Part (b) - Retain policy. Part (c) - Amend Policy to allow jetty access in Picton to private landowners. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 9 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1185 | Taurewa Lodge Trust | 10 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.23 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend policy to exempt older structures that are structurally sound. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1181 | Tiare Tautari | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 104 | Robin Taylor | 1 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions. | | | | | |
| 105 | Robin Taylor | 1 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions | | | | | |
| 570 | Blair Taylor | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 831 | Jim Taylor | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 896 | Lachlan Taylor | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows. | | | | | |
| 896 | Lachlan Taylor | 2 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.17. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m ³ of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years. | | | | | |
| 896 | Lachlan Taylor | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows. | | | | | |
| 896 | Lachlan Taylor | 4 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows. | | | | | |
| 896 | Lachlan Taylor | 5 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m ³ of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years. | | | | | |
| 896 | Lachlan Taylor | 6 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m ³ of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and enable a catchment of 100ha. Also to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than 2 years storage due to ongoing drought years. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 896 | Lachlan Taylor | 7 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.10.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Class C allocation limits be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows. | | | | | |
| 744 | Taylor Partnership | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 1 | All | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To be truly integrated into the planning process, cultural issues should not be solely contained in one chapter and iwi concerns are not merely spiritual. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 2 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek a peer review of the landscape assessment process and methodology and specific consultation with iwi on the approach taken. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 3 | All | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that the meaning of Kaitiakitanga is applied across the entire plan, not just one chapter. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 4 | Volume 2 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa asks that all the Zones of the MEP be provided with a permitted activity of restoring or rehabilitating habitat, undertaking restoration activities, research and placement of structures/undertaking of works associated with the restoration or rehabilitation of habitat for native vegetation/habitat or animals or both. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 5 | Volume 1 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion be created and included in all chapters that relate to cultural values/issues to ensure that they are addressed. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 6 | Volume 2 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion be created and included in all chapters that relate to cultural values/issues to ensure that they are addressed. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 7 | Volume 2 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks the inclusion of a permitted rule within all Zones of the MEP whereby a pou or other structure/carving/sign can be erected to identify an area of Maori significance. Least Te Atiawa is left with no option but to oppose the recognition of all significant sites within the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks that there be an appropriate buffer provided around these sites within the Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, and Port Gore so that no further destruction can occur and some restoration/expansion within these sites can occur. Further, the Trustees seek preservation of customary practices and processes within these sites (specifically around Arapaoa Island). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 36 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seek the reduction of the Port Zoning to that of the current Marlborough Sounds Plan and the identification of the sea-grass beds along the foreshore as being significant habitant (as per the recommendation of the MDC ecological report). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 16 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 138 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seek the reduction of the Port Zoning to that of the current Marlborough Sounds Plan and the identification of the sea-grass beds along the foreshore as being significant habitant (as per the recommendation of the MDC ecological report). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 17 | Volume 1 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to terrestrial sedimentation on coastal water quality and benthic habitats. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 18 | Volume 2 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Te Atiawa seeks objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to terrestrial sedimentation on coastal water quality and benthic habitats. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | If the MDC is to apply a charge on coastal users, then a similar charge should be imposed on those that use public resources for private gain. Examples of this are takers and users of water for commercial gain, and discharges of pollutants to air. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 21 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 22 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that cultural indicators are incorporated into the water allocation regime, the air shed management, and management of the coast. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 23 | Volume 2 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the removal of such restrictions and the provision of permitted standards such that marae and Papakainga services can be provided on iwi land without unnecessary hurdles. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek that the water transfer regime is removed from the plan and consent holders reduced to the amount necessary to provide for their (intended) land use. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 25 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 26 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 27 | Volume 2 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek all cultural sites of significance (whether registered or not) to be protected by the MEP provisions. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 28 | Volume 1 | All | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the protection of significant areas of mahinga kai and traditional practices. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 29 | Volume 2 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees of Te Atiawa seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the protection of significant areas of mahinga kai and traditional practices. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 31 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 32 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 33 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 34 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The Trustees seek the inclusion of objectives, policies, methods, rules, standards, matters of control and discretion relating to the disturbance of the seabed by structures and activities, with the purpose of ensuring a reduction in the associated adverse effects yet providing for mahinga kai and customary practices. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 35 | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the designation from The Picton Police Station. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 36 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Despite a small change (sub point 1186.37), this chapter should remain intact. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 37 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3D | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The issue is expanded to include the coastal waters. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 38 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the commentary to formally recognise and include Te Atiawa in the meaning/application of the objective. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 39 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Cultural values are inserted into the hierarchy of water allocation at a) or b). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 40 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy but adding 'cultural values' to the end of the sentence. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 41 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Correct the list to read a) to d). Provide clarification in the commentary of this Policy as to whether each subpart is to be read conjunctively or they are disjunctive. Amend the list to have each subpart (a to d) finish with either an 'and' or an 'or'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 42 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reduce the minimum term for water permits to 10 years. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 43 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to require water use permits to lapse in no more than two years. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 44 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Policy from the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 45 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the objective to account for cultural values (by way of Policy or wording or commentary) in considering availability. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 46 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the objective to account for cultural values (by way of Policy or wording or commentary) in considering availability. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 47 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include at the end of the Policy the words, 'cultural and environmental values'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 48 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Modify the list of the Policy to delete retaining walls and add the following wording, 'retaining structures that are sympathetic to environmental processes and seascapes, i.e. rock protection works, rock batter seawalls, etc.' Add to point b) the following wording, 'and structures that facilitate restoration of marine habitat, marine processes, and marine species'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 49 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the list to state that Te Atiawa will be a member of the Board/Committee that determines how the funds will be distributed. Modify the list to include cultural projects, research and/or works. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 50 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create AER for the coastal allocation and cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 51 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the natural character qualities list to include cultural and spiritual values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 52 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider the assessment of associative values to give a broader definition to 'cultural values' and more weighting to 'cultural values' in the determination of overall site/landscape value. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 53 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new bullet point after b) stating, whether a landscape is identified as a feature of high cultural value in terms of section 6(e) and 7(a) of the RMA'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 54 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Objective 10.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide clarification (either within the objective, a new Policy, or the commentary) as to the meaning of 'that contributes' to allay the concerns of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 55 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Provide clarification of the relationship, moving forward, between MDC and Te Atiawa regarding the management of heritage resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 56 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the commentary of this Policy to include iwi/tangata whenua of Marlborough. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 57 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy and the list to contain explicit consideration of cultural sites of significance to tangata whenua. Add commentary to explain that not all sites of significance to iwi are included in the historic register of MDC. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 58 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word 'registered' from the Policy. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 59 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Create AER for cultural or iwi related sites, features, structures or resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 60 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit statement regarding iwi involvement, consultation, and/or discussion. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 61 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit statement regarding iwi involvement, consultation, and/or discussion. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 62 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to contain an explicit requirement that the approval of MDC and the relevant iwi are to be sought. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 63 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11.AER.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Provide for cultural indicators to be used to assess impacts on cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 64 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy (either in the Policy or the commentary) to indicate that iwi sites of significance are also important to take into account but that they may not always be mapped. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 65 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the consideration of the protection of cultural values, beliefs, structures, resources and/or locations to the decision making framework. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 66 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include cultural values as an attribute that can be considered. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 67 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include affected party status for Te Atiawa in Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel, Port Gore to enable assessment of cultural matters and kaitiakitanga. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 68 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new point to the list of caveats requiring cultural values to be considered. i.e. g) Adversely affect the cultural values of the area. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 69 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to provide balance between recreational use and environmental and cultural preservation. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 70 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the commentary to recognise that iwi have a significant role in the sustainable management of the Marlborough Sounds as the identity and mana of the iwi are reflected in the quality of the fishery and provide recognition of Te Atiawa as kaitiaki of the Queen Charlotte Sound. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 71 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to recognise iwi and provide provisions (by way of policies or commentary) for supporting restoration proposals and/or projects. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 72 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify method to explicitly identify iwi. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 73 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the list by adding bullet points facilitating the consideration of the reduction in adverse effects on: seabed disturbance; the area to be occupied by moorings; landscape values; natural character values; seascape values; cultural values; and, amenity values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 74 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add further bullets to the list requiring consideration of alternative mooring designs and systems to be considered; the impact of continual seabed disturbance of the structure(s); and contribution to the quality of the environment. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 75 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the words 'to moorings' at the end of the commentary of the objective. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 76 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include biological processes as an important context for appropriate development of structures. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 77 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.26 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy and the commentary to indicate that effects (to be considered under point b)) relate to both the construction of and operation of the structure. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 78 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding the words, 'vertical wood/timber walls', into the list of coastal protection materials to be discouraged. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 79 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | The wording of this Policy and its commentary should be retained. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 80 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to ensure that sites of cultural significance and cultural values within the port and marina Zone are preserved. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 81 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding a new matter to be considered being, 'the effects on cultural values'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 82 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.26 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to ensure MDC liaise with Te Atiawa in addition to the port and marina operators in terms of landscape quality and integration of the foreshore areas. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 83 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 84 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include potential adverse effects on cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 85 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to include cultural values and resources to be included in the caveat of permitted activities. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 86 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 87 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding a new bullet point stating that any discharge will not result is significant adverse effect on cultural values or resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 88 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy by deleting the caveat 'with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 89 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete this policy. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 90 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include consultation and discussion with Te Atiawa in the research, preparation, and implementation of storm water management plans. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 91 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include iwi within the liaison framework. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 92 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15D | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the offending paragraph from the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 93 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 94 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by deleting 'have regard' and replace with either, 'shall recognise and provide for' or 'shall have particular regard'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 95 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | 16. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 96 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include iwi being recognised as an affected party where land use activities or subdivisions are being considered adjacent to road or rail infrastructure. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 97 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to include specific goals and monitoring criteria for cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 98 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy by adding cultural values in the matters to be considered. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 99 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | 18.M.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the method to include iwi within the liaison framework. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 100 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete issue (b) from the Policy. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 101 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the domestic allocation permitted to 2 cubic metres per day. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 102 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Papakainga allocation permitted to 2 cubic metres per day. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 103 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the allocation limit for marae. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 104 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.2.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 2.3.2.1. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 105 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 2.7.2 from the permitted activity list. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 106 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 2.7.5 from the permitted activity list. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 107 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 2.7.7 from the permitted activity list. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 108 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 109 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard list to restrict suction hoses being located within cultural sites/areas. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 110 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard list to include a consideration/restriction around cultural values, cultural areas, and cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 111 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 112 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standard to include consultation with iwi and consideration of adverse effects on cultural values. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 113 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.18.1.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters over which the Council has reserved control:' to include cultural values and issues. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 114 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted activity list to include, as permitted, the establishment of pou and/or cultural signage within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 115 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Rural Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 116 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 117 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 118 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 119 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 120 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.47.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 3.3.47.1 | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 121 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Rural Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 122 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.48. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the permitted activity status for Papakainga. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 123 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 124 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 125 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 126 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that cultivation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 127 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 128 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.45.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 4.3.45.1 | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 129 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the identified appellations of the lots for marae activity. Add Sec 1 SO 426964 to the permitted list and the associated standard (5.3.2b). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 130 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 131 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.2.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 5.3.2.1 | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 132 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 5.3.3.1. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 133 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 134 | Volume 2 | 6 Urban Residential 3 Zone | 6.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 135 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone and add marae. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 136 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Coastal Living Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 137 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 7.3.3.1. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 138 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 139 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.8. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 140 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 141 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.1.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Papakainga as permitted within this Zone and add marae. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 142 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Rural Living Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 143 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 8.3.3.1. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 144 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 145 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 146 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation removal on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas is not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 147 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 148 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 149 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 2 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 150 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 151 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.5.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 10.3.5.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 152 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 153 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 154 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.3.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 11.3.4.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 155 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Business 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 156 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.18. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 157 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.20.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 12.3.20.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 158 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 13.2.1 and 13.2.2). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 159 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 13.1.15 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.6 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 160 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 13.3.12.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 161 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.13. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 13.1.24 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.13 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 162 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 13.1.26 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 13.3.15 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 163 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.17. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 164 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 165 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.3.20. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 166 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the CMA of the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 167 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The 'matters of restricted discretion' that apply to any 'other' commercial activity, within the Port Zone, do not consider or account for cultural areas, sites, locations or values. Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 168 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 169 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 170 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.4.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Port Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 171 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 172 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 173 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 15.2.1 and 15.2.2). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 174 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.12.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 15.3.12.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 175 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.13. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 15.1.23 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 15.3.13 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 176 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 15.1.24 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 15.3.14 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 177 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.16. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 178 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.3.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 179 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the CMA of the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 180 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 181 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards and terms:' and the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Marina Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 182 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Insert a permitted activity to allow for ecological restoration initiatives to be undertaken without resource consent. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 183 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Coastal Marine Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 16.2.1 and 16.2.2). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 184 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 16.1.14 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 16.3.10 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 185 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Either remove rule 16.1.14 from the permitted activity list or provide extra points in standard 16.3.10 requiring works within cultural areas to be excluded from the permitted activity status. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 186 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete rule 16.1.15. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 187 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.12. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 16.3.12. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 188 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.14.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard 16.3.14.3 to require early notification and consultation with iwi on discovery of dead mammal and provide iwi approval before burial. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 189 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 1 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards under heading 17.2.1). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 190 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 191 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 192 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Excavation should not be permitted without adequate consideration of the potential adverse effects on cultural resources, values or sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 193 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.10.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 17.3.10.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 194 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 2 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standard 18.2.1). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 195 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 196 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 197 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 198 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.11.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 18.3.11.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 199 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Open Space 3 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standard 19.2.1). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 200 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the standards to protect cultural sites. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 201 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 202 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 203 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 204 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.20. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that disposal of waste to pits is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 205 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that disposal of offal to pits is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 206 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that the making of compost/silage is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 207 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.23. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that the storing of compost/silage is a sufficient distance from cultural sites and the cultural values of the site are not compromised. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 208 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 209 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that vegetation clearance on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 210 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.4.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'matters of restricted discretion' in the Open Space 4 Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 211 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Floodway Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically standards 21.3.1, 21.3.3, 21.3.6, 21.3.7, 21.3.8, 21.3.9, and 21.3.14). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 212 | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'standards that apply to all permitted activities' in the Floodway Zone, to account for cultural matters and protect cultural sites, areas and resources (specifically Standards under heading 23.2.1). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 213 | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the permitted standards to ensure that excavation on or adjacent to cultural sites/areas are not permitted. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 214 | Volume 2 | 23 Airport Zone | 23.3.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend rule 23.3.3.2 to include a copy of the bore log to be sent to Te Atiawa when the investigation is within the rohe of Te Atiawa. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 215 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition section but inserting three new definitions, one for 'cultural values', another for 'cultural sites', and another for 'Cultural commercial activities'. Cultural values should identify those important values of iwi that need to be taken into account. Cultural sites should state that locations of specific cultural significance. Cultural commercial activities should state those activities undertaken in accordance | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 216 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete 'administration offices ancillary to the marae activity' and replace with 'Maori commercial offices'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 217 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the current definition and replace with: 'a self-contained residential unit, used or intended to be used for a permanent residential activity, associated with a marae or tribal housing for kaumatua' . | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 218 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Associative values list and record the cultural significance of the area. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 219 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'Associative values' list to record that the location is of ongoing cultural significance, occupation and cultural traditions. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 220 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change 'Arapawa' to 'Arapaoa'. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 221 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the various tables to include a 'C' under the "Water Quality Classifications" of the following rivers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kaituna (Page 5-8); • Rai (Page 5-11); • Tuamarina (Page 5-14); • Small Coastal Complex (Page 5-16); • Small Sounds Streams (Page 5-16); • Waitohi (Page 5-17); and • Wakamarina (Page 5-17). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 222 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the table to include the Waikawa stream and, at the very least, identify it as having the following "Water Quality Classifications": | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C – Cultural • A – Aesthetic | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 223 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the schedule by inserting cultural water quality indicators. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 224 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Either modify the title of the section to reflect that the register is a list of significant buildings, structures and trees; or, another list identifying sites of significant cultural resources. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 225 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The introduction should be amended to identify that the register is not complete and indicate that there are significant resources within Marlborough that are not contained within the register. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 226 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 36 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Either extend the area of wetland shown in Map 36 or create a new overlay for 'significant habitat' and cover the significant eel grass beds at the head of Shakespeare Bay. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 227 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 36 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reduce the Port Zoning within Shakespeare Bay to reflect the existing Zones of the MSRMP (i.e. only half the bay is Port Zone). | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 228 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 37 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend map 37 to indicate the area/location of the original Te Atiawa pa site. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 229 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 40 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 40 to outline the area of the Waikawa Marae. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 230 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 41 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 41 to indicate the area/location of the original Te Atiawa pa site. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 231 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 41 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify Map 41 to create a new overlay for 'significant habitat' and cover the significant eel grass beds at the mouth of the Waikawa Stream. | | | | | |
| 1186 | Te Atiawa o Te Waka-a-Maui | 232 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 42 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 42 to indicate the area/location of the marae site within Waikawa Bay. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 1 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 2 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.M.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 3 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 4 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 5 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 6 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 7 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 8 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 9 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15B | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 10 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 11 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 12 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 13 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 14 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1187 | Te Runanga a Rangitane o Wairau | 15 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from Council is: | | | | | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More monitoring of the Wairau Lagoon, putting systems in place to replenish this important resource. • A more sustainable approach to fresh water systems. • Alternatives to the current sewage output, work on this needs to start now for a cleaner greener way for sewage disposal, promoting the health of the Wairau river is paramount, producing water to world health standards for gathering of Kai, swimming and recreational activities on the Wairau River especially the mouth of the Wairau is of utmost importance. • Water quality standards need to be higher set my MEP, new ideas need to be looked at so the treated sewage does not continue to flow into the Wairau, if the outflow pipe has to remain, there needs to be a new implementation put in place to assure the quality of outgoing flow is clean/pure enough to enhance the river into becoming a river suitable for food gathering and recreational activities. • Keeping the Marlborough Bar area clean and green, having a stance on no Commercial Buildings to be consented for around the Wairau Bar area. • Keeping in line with Report 2741 State of the Environmental Monitoring of Wairau Estuary-August 2015 and recommendations of that report to MDC. • Keeping updated monitoring on the waterways, drains/creeks that flow into the Wairau Lagoons and Wairau River so any potential contamination from these creeks is quickly averted. • Water Quality needs to be a priority for MDC, our rivers have a mauri, mana and tapu of their own; our rivers are our taonga and are an indicator of environmental health we want more emphasis on this and more effective outcomes from our Council. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 1 | All | All | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments as necessary to achieve integrated management. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 2 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 3 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 4 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3B | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 5 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3C | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 6 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3D | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 7 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3E | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 8 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3F | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 9 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3G | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 10 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3H | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 11 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3I | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 12 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Issue 3J | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 13 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 14 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with the following amendments: Natural and Physical resources are managed in a manner that has particular regard to takes into account the spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi as kaitiaki and respects and enables accommodates tikanga Maori. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 15 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 16 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 17 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: 'Resource management decision making processes that involve Marlborough's Tangata whenua iwi, and give particular consideration to recognise and reflect the cultural and spiritual values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, and their relationship to lands, water, waahi tapu and waahi taonga '. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 18 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out by in a manner that: | | | | | |
| | (a) tak ing ing es into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o waitangi, including Kawanatanga, rangatiritanga, partnership, active protection of natural resources and spiritual recognition. | | | | | |
| | (b) recognis ing ing es that the way in which the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi will be applied will continue to evolve; | | | | | |
| | (c) promt ing ing es awareness and understanding of the Marlborough District Council's obligations under the Resource Management act 1991 regarding the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi among decisions makers, staff and the community; | | | | | |
| | (d) recognis ing ing es that tangata whenua have rights protected by the Treaty of Waitangi/te Tiriti o Waitangi and that consequently the Resource Management act 1991 accords iwi a status distinct from that of interest groups and members of the public. | | | | | |
| | (e) recognis ing ing es the right of each iwi to define their own preferences for the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, where it is not inconsistent wit the Resource Management Act 1991. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 19 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 20 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new policy before or after 3.1.5 as follows: <u>The Council will consult with Tangata whenua iwi on applications that may have an impact on their relationship with land, water, waahi tapu or waahi taonga, or otherwise on their cultural values.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 21 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>'Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall consult with, and notify resource consent applications to iwi, and ensure that:</p> <p>(a) the ability for tangateas whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained</p> <p>(b) mauri is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;</p> <p>(c) mahinga kai and natural resources used for customary purposes are maintained or enhanced and that these resources are healthy and accessible to tangata whenua,</p> <p>(d) for waterbodies, the elements of physical health to be assessed are:</p> <p>i. aesthetic and sensory qualities, e.g. clarity, colour, natural character, smell and sustenance for indigenous flora and fauna;</p> <p>ii. life-supporting capacity, ecosystem robustness and habitat richness;</p> <p>iii. depth and velocity of flow (reflecting the life force of the river through its changing character, flows and fluctuations);</p> <p>iv. continuity of flow from the sources of a river to its mouth at the sea;</p> <p>v. wilderness and natural character;</p> <p>vi. productivity capacity; and</p> <p>vii. Fitness to support human use, including cultural uses.</p> <p>(e) how traditional Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga kai, waahi taapu, papakainga and taonga raranga are to be recognised and provided for.</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 22 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 23 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:</p> <p>Ensure iwi management plans are given particular regard to taken into account in resource management decision making processes.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 24 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 25 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 26 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new policy or policies to avoid or manage from the outset, potential effects on Tangata Whenua iwi cultural values particularly with regards to waahi tapu and waahi taonga, mahinga kai, freshwater and coastal water. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 27 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 28 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to while protecting the environment, iwi rights and interests , and wider public interests in the environment. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 29 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to indicate how this policy will be implemented. Maintain and enhance the quality of natural resources, recognising and reflecting: a) that a precautionary approach may be required to maintain the quality of natural resources. b) the intergenerational needs for the quality of natural resources. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 30 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>After Policy 4.1.3 insert the following policy and reason.</p> <p>Policy 4.3.1 - Integrate management of the natural and physical resources within the Marlborough District.</p> <p>There are very strong connections between land and marine environments in the Marlborough district. This means that activities occurring in one locality can easily affect the surrounding environment and other activities occurring in that environment. as a unitary authority, the Council is well placed to achieve integrated management of natural and physical resources through its policy making and consent functions. The polices in the MEP ensure that all of the effects of the use, development and protection of resources are identified and managed in a consistent manner.</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 31 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new method of implementation:</p> <p>4M6 Education</p> <p>Working with tangata whenua iwi and schools, or other education providers, education programmes will be encouraged about environmental issues and sustainable use, including traditional Maori perspectives.</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 32 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:</p> <p><u>Water is a taonga and is essential to all as a life-source. Water is also essential for mahinga kai, and holds particular significance to Tangata Whenua Iwi.</u> The Council frequently allocates or authorises the use of these natural resources for private benefit, especially resources in the coastal marine area, rivers, riverbeds and aquifers.</p> <p>[...]Any significant reduction or change in approach to resource use could have significant implications for Marlborough's economic, cultural and social wellbeing. <u>However, a healthy economy which relies on the environment, must be premised on a healthy environment.</u> The three main [...]</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 33 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with the addition of a new policy: Recognise that the taking of water and the use of water are two distinct activities which and where resource consent application is to be granted, separate water permits for each activity will be granted. Add a new policy: <u>The assessment of separate consent applications for the take and use of water will be considered together, and where a hearing is required, the hearing will hear both applications together.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 34 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject, or accept with amendments: Include a new policy to identify the natural and human use values in the district. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 35 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the health of the resource as a first priority, followed by natural and human use values supported by waterbodies | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 36 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: Maintain or enhance <u>where degraded</u> the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies, <u>by</u> : - <u>prohibiting the damming of rivers</u> - <u>requiring applications to take or divert water to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects</u> - <u>applying a precautionary approach to resource consents where there will be irreparable adverse effects on natural and human use values.</u> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 37 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 38 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: Protect the significant values of specifically identified outstanding freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 39 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to: (a) protect the mauri of the waterbody; (b) protect instream habitat and ecology; (c) maintain fish passage and fish spawning grounds, including sufficient velocity to accommodate native fish species ; (d) preserve the natural character of the river; (e) maintain water quality; (f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and (g) maintain amenity values, and (h) enable natural flushes to occur. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 40 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: Have regard to the importance of flow connection to maintaining natural and human use values when considering resource consent applications to take water from intermittently flowing rivers, including: (a) the timing and duration of that flow connection; (b) Any effects on mahinga kai; (b) (c) the physical extent of any disconnection in flow; and (e) (d) any adverse effects on connected aquifers, and (e) through monitoring flows. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 41 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 42 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept provided that the needs of the river come first. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 43 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy: <u>For rivers that utilise an upstream flow monitoring site, the Council will investigate and put in place downstream monitoring sites at suitable locations, in consultation with Tangata Whenua iwi.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 44 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments Require resource consent for the diversion of water to enable the potential adverse effects of the diversion to be considered, including the any effects from the mixing of waters. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 45 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments Have regard to the following matters in determining any resource consent application to divert water: (a) the purpose of the diversion and any positive effects; (b) the volume or proportion of flow remaining in-channel and the duration of the diversion; (c) the effect of the diversion on environmental flows set for the waterbody; (d) the scale and method of diversion; (e) any adverse effects on natural and human use values identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan in the reach of the waterbody to be diverted; (f) any adverse effects on permitted or authorised uses of water; and (g) any adverse effects on the natural character of the waterbody, including but not restricted to flow patterns and channel shape, form and appearance <u>(h) any adverse effects on the Tangata Whenua iwi values associated with the waterbody, including mahinga kai.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 46 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject. Replace with the following. Where water is to be dammed to enable the storage of water, encourage require the construction and use of "out-of-river" dams as opposed to in preference to the construction and use of dams within the beds of perennially or intermittently flowing rivers.' | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 47 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 48 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments. In the determination of any resource consent application, have regard to the following effects of damming of water: (a) the retention of sediment flows and any consequent adverse effect upstream or downstream of the dam structure; (b) changes in river bed levels and the effects of those changes; (c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall; (d) interception of groundwater or groundwater recharge; and (e) interception of surface water runoff; and <u>(f)loss of indigenous biodiversity and opportunities to replace.</u> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 49 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 50 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 51 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject. Replace with a policy that is in line with the IMP, and guides the decision maker to issue permits for 10-15 years maximum. An even shorter period may be suitable in some instances, and this should be provided for. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 52 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 53 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 54 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 55 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The reasons beneath this policy state that the provisions to implement this policy and policy 5.4.5 will be introduced via a Plan Change. Ngai Tahu considers that the full framework is required to form a complete view on this proposal. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 56 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject until such time as the full package of provisions is available. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 57 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 58 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 59 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 60 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 61 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with the addition of a new policy:</p> <p>Add a new policy: The assessment of separate consent applications for the take and use of water will be considered together, and where a hearing is required, the hearing will hear both applications together.</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 62 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use by requiring resource consent applicants to provide detailed information on the intended use as part of applications. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 63 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 64 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 65 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 66 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 67 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 68 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments. Encourage the storage of water as an effective response to seasonal water availability issues, <u>while also remedying and mitigating any adverse effects on the environment created by storage.</u> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 69 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to acknowledge Ngai Tahu settlement, occupation and use within landscapes. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 70 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept and amend as follows</p> <p>Policy 7.1.1 – When assessing the values of Marlborough’s landscapes, the following criteria will be used: [...]</p> <p>(c) Tangata Whenua values</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 71 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:</p> <p>Define the boundaries of significant landscapes using the following methods:</p> <p>(a) land typing;</p> <p>(b) contour line;</p> <p>(c) contained landscape features;</p> <p>(d) visual catchment; and/or</p> <p>(e) land use, and</p> <p>(f) consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi.</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 72 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to note that assessments of effects on landscape values, may include consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi. This is particularly the case where a landscape has tangata whenua values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 73 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to note that assessments of effects on landscape values, may include consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi. This is particularly the case where a landscape has tangata whenua values. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 74 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 75 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to clarify how outstanding natural landscapes and features will be protected. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 76 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert new policy and explanation: <u>Policy 8.X.X Customary Harvest</u> <u>In protecting and enhancing indigenous biodiversity, enable customary harvesting including within areas identified with outstanding landscape value, or significant ecological value.</u></p> <p><u>Explanation</u> <u>Customary harvesting is essential in enabling Ngai Tahu [and other Tangata Whenua Iwi] to exercise kaitiakitanga and to provide for their relationship with their culture, lands, water and other taonga. Cultural harvest may be for different reasons, including but not limited to, medicinal uses, ceremonial, uses, weaving or for consumption. Where particular resources are only available on private land, access agreements or case by case permissions from the landowner are essential before entry onto the property is allowed.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 77 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 78 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 79 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to the reasons. The reasons would usefully be expanded to include some description of what cultural uses may entail. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 80 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept Further information could usefully be provided on the methods that will be used to implement this policy. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 81 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments. When re-establishment or restoration of exotic or indigenous vegetation and habitat is undertaken, preference should be given to the use of native species of local genetic stock | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 82 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 83 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 84 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments: With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply: [...] <p>(e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and</p> <p>(f) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity; and</p> <p><u>(g) offsets should be made on the same or immediately adjacent site to ensure that gains are retained within the local area or catchment.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 85 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept and add a new objective. New objective: <u>The relationship of Tangata Whenua Iwi with their ancestral lands, water, wahi tapu and wahi taonga are enabled through opportunities to provide for customary access.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 86 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 9.1.2 Accept and add a new policy New policy: Policy 9.1.3 Customary Access <u>Customary access to sites, customary material or harvesting areas is encouraged in subdivision and development through landowner agreements or through wider public access arrangements.</u> <u>Explanation</u> <u>Customary access is necessary to enable Tangata Whenua Iwi to exercise kaitiakitanga and to actively maintain their relationship with lands, waters, wahi tapu and wahi taonga. Where resources or sites are located on private land, access arrangements such as agreements or case by case permissions are essential before entry can occur. Permission to enter private land is entirely at the discretion of the landowner. This policy acknowledges this and highlights that the Council encourages such agreements to be considered.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 87 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.1 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 88 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 89 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments <u>Working with Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi, and in liaison</u> Liaise with Heritage New Zealand, <u>and</u> the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi to develop and implement an appropriate discovery protocol for archaeological sites <u>which will be included as a condition of consent on relevant planning application decisions, acknowledging that:</u> <u>(a) in some instances, cultural impact assessments and cultural monitors will be required to ensure the appropriate management of values, artefacts and koiwi.</u> <u>(b) Different approaches to ADP may be preferred by different iwi.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 90 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 91 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (and explanation) <u>Policy 10.X.X Wahi Tapu and Wahi Taonga</u> <u>a. Avoid any disturbance of known urupa - except for activities associated with the identification and protection of such sites which are undertaken by the relevant Tangata Whenua Iwi or their authorised agent.</u> <u>b. Protect known Wahi Tapu and Wahi Taonga sites from inappropriate development, disturbance, damage or destruction; and ensure activities adjoining these sites do not adversely affect them.</u> <u>c. The Council will encourage early consultation with Tangata Whenua iwi regarding applications on known or suspected areas or wahi tapu or wahi taonga.</u> <u>d. The relevant Tangata Whenua iwi will be consulted on applications on known or suspected areas of wahi tapu or wahi taonga.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 92 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (and explanation) Policy 10.X.X Sites of Significance to Tangata Whenua Iwi Work with Ngai Tahu [or, if this is of interest to others - Marlborough's Tangata Whenua iwi] to identify sites of significance to iwi within the Marlborough District, using identification methods that respect the sensitive nature of the sites, and for the purposes of a plan change including objectives, policies, methods and rules before the next review of this plan to protect significant Maori heritage in the District. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 93 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 94 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 95 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value. (e) essential to the relationship between Maori and their ancestral lands, water, sites, wahi tapu and wahi taonga. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 96 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 97 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider the relationship between the two sets of objectives and policies. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 98 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider the relationship between the two sets of objectives and policies. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 99 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments:</p> <p>Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to address potential adverse effects on:</p> <p>(a) the life-supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems;</p> <p><u>(b) the relationship of Tangata Whenua Iwi with lands, waters, sites, wahi tapu and wahi taonga, and the ability of Tangata Whenua Iwi to exercise kaitiakitanga;</u></p> <p>(b) (c) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes;</p> <p>(c) (d) water quality and water availability;</p> <p>(d) (e) areas with landscape significance;</p> <p>(e) (f) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</p> <p>(f) (g) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13- Use of the Coastal Environment; or</p> <p>(g) (h) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 100 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with amendments</p> <p>Maintain and where necessary degraded enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</p> <p>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</p> <p>(b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation;</p> <p>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</p> <p>(d) ground freshwater quality is suitable for drinking;</p> <p>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</p> <p>(f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems.</p> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 101 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Accept. As water is so important to Tangata Whenua, it is suggested that the reasons could include reference to the importance of water as a taonga, to pull through into the plan the threads from Chapter 3. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 102 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept. As water is so important to Tangata Whenua, it is suggested that the reasons could include reference to the importance of water as a taonga, to pull through into the plan the threads from Chapter 3. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 103 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments (a) Ground Freshwater : drinking water supply | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 104 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (a) the management purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1; and (b) other uses and values, including Tangata Whenua Iwi values , supported by the waterbody or coastal waters; or (c) where water quality has already been degraded, the uses and values that are to be restored | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 105 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support with amendments To investigate the capacity of fresh waterbodies to receive contaminants from all sources, having regard to the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1 in order to <u>In consultation with Tangata Whenua Iwi, establish cumulative contaminant limits by 2024 having regard to the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1</u> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 106 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments Enable point source discharge of contaminants or water to water where the discharge will not result: (a) in any of the following adverse effects beyond the zone of reasonable mixing: (i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums, foams or floatable or suspended materials; (ii) any conspicuous change in the colour or significant decrease in the clarity of the receiving waters; (iii) the rendering of freshwater unsuitable for consumption by farm animals; (iv) any significant adverse effect on the growth, reproduction or movement of aquatic life; or (b) in the flooding of or damage to another person's property, or (c) <u>adverse effects on tangata whenua iwi values associated with water.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 107 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to: (a) the nature of the contaminants; (b) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment; (c) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and (d) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied, and <u>(e) Whether the contaminant should be released in water.</u> | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 108 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 109 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 110 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 111 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments Point (e) should be amended so that there is a standard that needs to be met, as opposed to the standard being the provision of a nutrient management plan, of which the contents may not be acceptable, but nonetheless the requirement would be met through the provision of the plan itself. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 112 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new policy requiring the Council to undertake a plan change to introduce a nutrient management framework into the Marlborough Environment Plan, in the event that landuse changes occur or are foreseen to occur which will are not anticipated or appropriately regulated by the operative plan. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 113 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reject. Move to a Restricted Discretionary activity status. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 114 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 115 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments, which prohibit damming in the Awatere along the full extent. The inclusion of the dual name for the Clarence River is also sought when referred to throughout the plan. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 116 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments, which prohibit damming in the Awatere along the full extent. Dual names are also sought in the plan when referencing the Clarence River. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 117 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.20.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 118 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new Permitted Activity Permitted Activity – customary harvest 2.X.1 Customary Harvest (see subpoint 1189.119 for associated standards) | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 119 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert a new Permitted Activity Standards 2.x.1.1 Must be undertaken in accordance with tikanga. 2.x.1 2 Where the material or resource is located on private property, an access agreement must be in place with the landowner, or alternatively, permission sought from the landowner on a case by case basis. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 120 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to clarify that this rule includes dairy support farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 121 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept with amendments to clarify that this rule includes dairy support farming. | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 122 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 123 | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 124 | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Accept | | | | | |
| 1189 | Te Runanga o Kaikoura and Te Runanga o Ngai Tahu | 125 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Accept with the following amendments to Matters for control</p> <p>24.3.1.18. The protection of existing vegetation and revegetation, <u>and opportunities to enhance indigenous vegetation on the site.</u></p> <p><u>Add the following matters of control:</u></p> <p><u>24.2.1.27 The extent to which the proposal manages erosion and sediment discharge to waterways</u></p> <p><u>24.2.1.28 Any adverse effects of the proposal on the quality of surface and ground water, mahinga kai, including within waterways, on drainage to, or from, adjoining land, existing drains, waterways, and/or ponding areas.</u></p> <p><u>24.2.1.29 The extent to which any springs are protected, maintained and enhanced, including in relation to ecological, cultural and amenity values and the extent to which the development provides for pathways, for the water to flow from the spring head, that have regard to the existing natural flow path.</u></p> <p><u>24.2.1.30 Recognition of Tangata Whenua iwi heritage and identity and cultural values.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Issue is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend the policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Have regard to the following matters in determining any resource consent application to divert water: (a) the purpose of the diversion and any positive effects; (b) the volume or proportion of flow remaining in-channel and the duration of the diversion; (c) the effect of the diversion on environmental flows set for the waterbody; (d) the scale and method of diversion; (e) any adverse effects on natural and human use values identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan in the reach of the waterbody to be diverted; (f) any adverse effects on permitted or authorised uses of water; and (g) any adverse effects on the natural character of the waterbody, including but not restricted to flow patterns and channel shape, form and appearance; (h) the mixing of waters."</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</i> <i>(a) effective passage of fish where the migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs past the proposed dam site;</i> <i>(b) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain:</i> <i>(i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and</i> <i>(ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and</i> <i>(iii) flushing flows below the dam;</i> <i>(c) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure;</i> <i>and have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water and any new proposal must consider any alternatives with less adverse effects on mauri and instream values."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"In the determination of any resource consent application, have regard to the following effects of damming of water:</i> <i>(a) the retention of sediment flows and any consequent adverse effect upstream or downstream of the dam structure;</i> <i>(b) changes in river bed levels and the effects of those changes;</i> <i>(c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall;</i> <i>(d) interception of groundwater or groundwater recharge; and</i> <i>(e) interception of surface water runoff;</i> <i>(f) the degradation of Mauri; and</i> <i>(g) the way in which the structure would be removed at the end of the consent term."</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <p><i>" To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i> <i>(a) mauri and instream including natural and human use values; then</i> <i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i> <i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i> <i>(d) municipal water supply; and then</i> <i>(e) all other takes of water."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <p>"Allocate water within any class as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 20% to iwi; - 80% on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time." <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The 'first in, first served' method is the default mechanism to be used in the allocation of resources in the coastal marine area. Where competing demand for coastal space becomes apparent, the Marlborough District Council may consider the option of introducing an alternative regime. Should mooring areas be established, iwi will have a portion (Submitter did not specify the size of the portion) of space set aside for iwi use."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (d) in this Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"(d) whether the applicant is a charitable organisation, iwi trust or community or residents association, and if so:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the nature of the activities of that organisation; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the responsibilities of that organisation."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 22 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) - <i>"Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i> <i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i> <i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i> <i>(c) water quality;</i> <i>(d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i> <i>(e) absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i> <i>(f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i> <i>(g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i> <i>(h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i> <i>(i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities; and</i> <i>(j) the level of Mauri, assessed through a cultural health assessment."</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 23 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 24 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 25 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission, possibly incorrect Policy identified in Submission.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 26 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p><i>(a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements;</i></p> <p><i>(b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes which have not been assessed or included in the assessment criteria of Volume 3, Appendix 1 and those that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 27 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Define the boundaries of significant landscapes using the following methods:</i></p> <p><i>(a) land typing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) contour line;</i></p> <p><i>(c) contained landscape features;</i></p> <p><i>(d) visual catchment; and/or</i></p> <p><i>(e) land use;</i></p> <p><i>(f) inclusion of cultural values and landscapes which have not been assessed or included in the assessment criteria of Volume 3, Appendix 1."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 28 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 29 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 30 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"When assessing whether wetlands, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:</i> <i>(a) representativeness;</i> <i>(b) rarity;</i> <i>(c) diversity and pattern;</i> <i>(d) distinctiveness;</i> <i>(e) size and shape;</i> <i>(f) connectivity/ecological context;</i> <i>(g) sustainability; and</i> <i>(h) adjacent catchment modifications; and</i> <i>(i) cultural and Kaitiaki values.</i> <i>For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 31 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 32 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain, enhance or restore ecosystems, habitats and areas of indigenous biodiversity even where these are not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are important for:</i> <i>(a) the continued functioning of ecological processes;</i> <i>(b) providing connections within or corridors between habitats of indigenous flora and fauna;</i> <i>(c) cultural purposes, including kaitiaki;</i> <i>(d) providing buffers or filters between land uses and wetlands, lakes or rivers and the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(e) botanical, wildlife, fishery and amenity values;</i> <i>(f) biological and genetic diversity; and</i> <i>(g) water quality, levels and flows."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 33 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.11 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 34 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 35 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 36 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 37 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas:</p> <p>(a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</p> <p>(b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</p> <p>(c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</p> <p>(d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and</p> <p>(e) the Queen Charlotte Track; and</p> <p>(f) conservation land."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 38 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.9 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 39 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land, and improve safe access over unformed legal road. " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 40 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (c) in the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - "(c) provides primary access to an esplanade reserve or other reserve public land ;" | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 41 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 42 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | 10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add an Issue or Objective to this chapter to protect undiscovered or unregistered sites of significance to iwi, and to protect the majority of Maori heritage in Marlborough, by restricting land disturbance on, near, or affecting the coastal environment and waterways and their margins and requiring iwi consultation. <i>(Inferred - specific wording of Issue or Objective not provided in Submission)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 43 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 44 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (e) in Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(e) is important to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi; and or</i> " | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 45 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 13 to include reference to any current or future iwi management plans. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 46 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"When assessing resource consent applications to destroy or modify a registered an identified waahi tapu site or area, or to undertake activities in a place of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, have regard to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of demolition, removal, alteration or additions on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</p> <p>(b) the position of the relevant iwi;</p> <p>(c) the views of Heritage New Zealand;</p> <p>(d) the effects of the destruction or alteration on the heritage resource or the effects of the proposed activity on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</p> <p>(e) any cumulative effects, especially where the resource or place is part of a group of similar resources or places;</p> <p>(f) efforts by the applicant to retain important features of the heritage resource, or spiritual and cultural values of iwi; and</p> <p>(g) whether the activity can be undertaken at an alternative location on the same property or on another property owned by the applicant, where the adverse effects on the heritage resource or place can be avoided; and</p> <p>(h) the use of iwi monitors to identify or avoid adverse effects on iwi values prior to, during and after the works."</p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 47 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 48 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 49 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 50 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 51 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 52 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (b) in this Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"(b) maintaining and enhancing coastal and freshwater quality where necessary";</i>; and</p> <p>Amend (l) in this Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"(l) requiring the removal of non-heritage derelict or redundant structures within the coastal marine area; or" (Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 53 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add to the Policy a requirement to consult in order to determine the attributes of the area. | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 54 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 55 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add an additional policy under this Objective as follows - " <i>The protection of fish spawning areas from degradation.</i> " | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 56 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend (a) of the Policy as follows (Bold) -</p> <p><i>"(a) enabling anchoring of boats, except in ecologically significant or restoration areas;"</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 57 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy to add (d) as follows - "(d) alternative locations with less adverse effects." | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 58 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.12a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - <i>"Minimise the disposal or deposition of organic or inorganic material into the coastal marine area. The disposal of material into the coastal marine area should be prevented but if that is not achievable, minimised."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 59 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.12.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the beginning of the Policy as follows (strike through) - "Proposals to dispose of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area must demonstrate that:" | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 60 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Activities that result in the no more than temporary disturbance of the foreshore or seabed will be provided for as a permitted activity."</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 61 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Enable the clearing, or cutting or realignment of stream and river mouths, drainage channels and stormwater outfalls and pipes within the coastal marine area to protect public health and property during flood events."</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 62 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy to add (c) as follows - "(c) iwi have been consulted." | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 63 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Recognise and provide continued access to and use of traditional coastal resources in Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound for Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and in particular, recognise the value of Tory Channel for Te Atiawa and other iwi, in terms of the mauri, mana and manaakitanga that this area brings to iwi."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 64 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) in this Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"(b) prohibiting the discharge of effluent any waste from boats berthed within ports, port landing areas or marinas;"</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 65 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 66 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (g) in this Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - "(g) the effects during construction on: (i) other users of the area, navigation and public safety; and (ii) water and air quality; and (iii) ecological and heritage values." | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 67 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 68 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Enable small scale and/or low intensity activities not relying on the primary production potential of Marlborough's rural environments, where the adverse effects on the environment are minor and the activity is one of the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) outdoor recreation; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) events of a limited duration;</i></p> <p><i>(c) home occupations."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 69 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Issue; and</p> <p>Add an Objective as follows -</p> <p><i>"Achieve swimmable water quality and drinkable in identified areas."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 70 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 71 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 72 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " With the exception of stormwater discharges, the The water quality classification standards will be met at the point of discharge, where a discharge is: (a) within one kilometre upstream of an intake for a registered drinking water supply from a river; or (b) to a river where the receiving waters are to be maintained in a natural state; or (c) within 500 metres of any marine farming activity in freshwater or coastal waters." | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 73 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete (c) from Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 74 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " Avoid Prohibit the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters." | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 75 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of</i> treated human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure." | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 76 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.22 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 77 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds</i> and margins to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by: (a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and (b) avoiding the access of intensively all farmed stock to rivers." | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 78 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Promote Require the retirement and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 79 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p>"Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by:</p> <p>(a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade premises or industrial or trade processes that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment;</p> <p>(b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on:</p> <p>(i) human health; and</p> <p>(ii) amenity values; and</p> <p>(c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 80 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Addition of policies as follows <i>(specific wording and applicable Objectives not identified in Submission)</i> -</p> <p>- Enable the installation, operation and utilisation of alternative energy sources that do not release greenhouse gasses.</p> <p>- Promote and enable afforestation both commercial and conservation planting.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 81 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.3.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 82 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.2.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure: (a) rising sea levels as a result of climate change of at least 0.5 metres 1 metre relative to the 1980-1999 average; and (b) storm surge." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 83 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add cultural values to the Appendix. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 84 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the content of this Appendix is not detailed in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 85 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested regarding sites to be added to the Appendix is not detailed in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 86 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add the following to the matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan - "(10) proof of consultation with relevant iwi and protection of their values" . | | | | | |
| 501 | Te Runanga O Ngati Kuia | 87 | Volume 3 | Appendix 25 Pest Plants | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested regarding pest plants to be added to the Appendix is not detailed in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 1 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 2 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clause from the plan. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 5 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 6 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Formal engagement with Iwi and the removal of the offending clauses from the plan. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm licence number 290 in Admiralty Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 9 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 290 in Admiralty Bay, 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm licence number 290 in Admiralty Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1188 | Te Runanga o Ngati Rarua | 12 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the marine farms licence numbers 290 in Admiralty Bay, 297 and 460 in Kenepuru Bay; or Record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 1 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add to the Tangata Whenua chapter:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Policy 3.1.1 add (f) recognises that the principle of consultation requires both parties to have the time and resource to consult appropriately. 2. Sites, areas, and or habitats that are culturally significant could be included as an appendix and used as an overlay to ensure timely engagement between iwi, stakeholders and the council. A caveat should be placed stating that not all information needs to be disclosed by iwi and that this information should only be used to start dialogue with the appropriate groups. 3. MDC has to make explicit What Treaty Principles they MDC refer to in the MEP. 4. Include the provision of the River and Freshwater Advisory Committee and make provisions within the plan to meet legal obligations. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The decision requested is to include in the methods that all applicants should consult iwi if the area is within statutory acknowledgement areas. An accidental discovery protocol and iwi monitor maybe requested due to the cultural significance of the area. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 3 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council weave the objectives of climate change into the other chapters so that it is more integrated. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 4 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council do further work on defining historic heritage to include iwi heritage. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 5 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Link between this chapter and climate change chapter. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council change the hierarchy to move (c) below (d) municipal water supply. I see no justification in prioritising domestic AND stock water supply over municipal water supply. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council consider climate change and potentially limit water permits to a lesser duration to allow for the changing climate that may bring about longer periods of drought. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 8 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | I request that this policy is deleted. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 9 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request that the council add a dedicated policy for viticulture land use and develop subsequent methodologies including rules for this land use. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 10 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | 19. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | I request the council to consider this issue and make provision for further research in this matter. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 11 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change the second sentence of this paragraph to: "The Council must also have regard to the Statutory Acknowledgement relating to a statutory area. Iwi must be consulted to identify whether they are an affected party in relation to..." | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 12 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add "authority" after the first "iwi". | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 13 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add an appendix of all Statutory acknowledgements relating to the MDC area. This will satisfy the last sentence in the 3.M.2 paragraph. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 14 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change the wording in Policy 3.1.4. to Supporting iwi to develop iwi management plans. This will allow iwi to build capability and capacity in this space. It will ultimately help the council meets its requirements and so it should have resource dedicated for it. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 15 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Not constrain the papakainga opportunity to only Maori land. It should be available to iwi on any kind of land under the rules. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 16 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | There should be a specific kaitiakitanga objective not blended as it is in the MEP. The Mauri objective could also be housed here. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 17 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Develop specific rules and methods to ensure that councils legal obligations are met. This could include:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. requiring resource applicants to consult with iwi in certain areas. 2. Kaitiakitanga as a permitted activity in all zones to allow for maori to have a relationship with their culture and traditions and their lands, waters, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga. 3. Regional rules developed to ensure consultation between iwi, applicants and MDC. Develop a Tanagata Whenua programme, monitoring, support, information, guidelines. This could include Cultural health monitoring to measure the success of Policy 3.1.13 4. 5.M.2 Add the River and Freshwater Advisory Committee here. 5. 5.M.10 Make a rule to consult with iwi in and around coastal statutory areas. 6. 8M11 Partnership should highlight the main partner (iwi). Add a description of this relationship here and or refer to the Tangata Whenua chapter. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 18 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: | | | | | |
| | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chapter 5 needs to be explicit about coastal statutory acknowledgements and visible throughout the objectives. 2. Policy 5.2.3 Include cultural values. 3. Policy 5.2.12 Reference tangata whenua chapter. 4. Policy 5.2.19 add (h) the effect of the diversion on the mauri of the diverted stream / river 5. Policy 5.2.21 add (iv) mauri 6. Policy 8.2.7 Pest management issue with iwi e.g. poisons. Other ways of pest management to allow for cultural values to be met. Prioritisation of sites to be protected should be decided with iwi. Input into the pest management plan. 7. Policy 8.2.9 Should reference RMA section 6 8. Policy 8.3.4 Require to consult with iwi 9. Policy 8.3.8 Should also include culturally significant sites that exclude the use of the biodiversity offsets method. 10. Issue 8A include the impact on Mauri 11. Issue 8A Marine Environments - Add the value that iwi place on the area including mahinga kai, travel routes, wahi tapu. 12. Objective 9.1 Include reference to iwi history here and that this should be protected. 13. Policy 9.1.1 Add iwi specific areas. 14. Policy 9.1.16 Consideration of whether the road is on or near culturally significant sites that are not currently protected by other means. 15. Issue 9B Add cultural values 16. Chapter 11 Natural Hazards - Reference back to Tangata Whenua chapter 17. Policy 11.1.16 Input from iwi in the Gravel Management Plan Objective 5.2 and supporting policy - Reference should be made to the NPS-FM Objective D1 and Policy D1 here 18. Policy 12.2.5 - Cultural effects are avoided, remedied and mitigated 19. Chapter 13: Issue 13A - Reference the Tangata Whenua chapter here. 20. Policy 13.3.1 (g) Adversely affect wahi tapu areas as identified in appendix (?) - This should also be developed. 21. Policy 13.13.5 & 5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council. 22. Policy 14.1.4: add RMA section 6 provision | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: | | | | | |
| | Chapter 5 needs to be explicit about coastal statutory acknowledgements and visible throughout the objectives. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 20 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.7 Pest management issue with iwi e.g. poisons. Other ways of pest management to allow for cultural values to be met. Prioritisation of sites to be protected should be decided with iwi. Input into the pest management plan.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.21 add (iv) mauri.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 22 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.19 add (h) the effect of the diversion on the mauri of the diverted stream/ river.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 23 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.12 Reference tangata whenua chapter.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.3 Include cultural values.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 25 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Issue 8A | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: - Issue 8A include the impact on Mauri. - Issue 8A Marine Environments - Add the value that iwi place on the area including mahinga kai, travel routes, wahi tapu. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 26 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 8.3.8 Should also include culturally significant sites that exclude the use of the biodiversity offsets method. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 27 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 8.3.4 Require to consult with iwi. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 28 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 8.2.9 Should reference RMA section 6 | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 29 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 14.1.4: add RMA section 6 provision. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 13.13.5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 31 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 13.3.1 (g) Adversely affect wahi tapu areas as indentified in appendix (?) - This should also be developed.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 32 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Chapter 13: Issue 13A - Reference the Tangata Whenua chapter here.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 33 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 12.2.5 - Cultural effects are avoided, remedied and mitigated.</p> | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 34 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters:</p> <p>Policy 11.1.16 Input from iwi in the Gravel Management Plan Objective 5.2 and supporting policy - Reference should be made to the NPS-FM Objective D1 and Policy D1 here.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 35 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Chapter 11 Natural Hazards - Reference back to Tangata Whenua chapter | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 36 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Issue 9B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Issue 9B Add cultural values. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 37 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: 9.1.16 Consideration of whether the road is on or near culturally significant sites that are not currently protected by other means. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 38 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 9.1.1 Add iwi specific areas. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 39 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Objective 9.1 Include reference to iwi history here and that this should be protected. | | | | | |
| 166 | Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira | 40 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.13.6 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Reference the tangata whenua chapters in other chapters that iwi have identified and add specific objectives into other chapters: Policy 13.13.5: Need to consider iwi values first and should be supported and resourced by council. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 541 | Akiwa Te Uatuku | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 674 | Daryl Teale | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 823 | John Paul Tejero | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 832 | Jerome Tejero | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the per ha to a % based restriction (e.g. maximum 5% of land area over five years or 2% of existing indigenous cover). Change it to a more whole-farm view. | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the per ha to a % based restriction (e.g. maximum 5% of land area over five years or 2% of existing indigenous cover). Change it to a more whole-farm view. | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Non-indigenous weed control work within 8m of a river bed should not trigger resource consent. Rather there should be best practice suggestions as to how to control weeds without causing water quality issues. E.g. hand spraying best practice alongside waterways, using a root-raker rather than a digger to minimise disturbance but still pull weed trees out. | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.12.7 | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend standard (strikethrough and bold): <i>Where clearance is by mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20° 30-40%.</i> | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.21.1 | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.21.2 | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.3.21.3 | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 6.2.8 | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 10 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape and Policy 7.2.2 are deleted, or Farming and rural activities are recognised as positively contributing to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills in Appendix 1, and are provided for as permitted, and Policy 7.2.2 is amended to provide for management of adverse effects on the landscape is via permitted activity standards | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 11 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the objective be amended | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 12 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1 | | | | | |
| 429 | Tempello Partnership | 13 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.2.9 | | | | | |
| 480 | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc | 1 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site, excluding sites identified in Tennyson Inlet, fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 480 | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc | 2 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the final paragraph in this Method as follows (bold) - " <i>Fishing activities using techniques or methods that disturb the seabed in the areas identified as an ecologically significant marine site, excluding sites identified in Tennyson Inlet, will be prohibited. Resource consent is required for most uses or activities within the coastal marine area and an assessment of the effects of the activity on indigenous biodiversity will be undertaken, including whether there are any significant biodiversity values.</i> " | | | | | |
| | <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 480 | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Remove those parts of Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3.9 and 3.10 that impact on mooring activities Penzance, Tuna, Duncan and Elaine Bays. | | | | | |
| | <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 480 | Tennyson Inlet Boat Club Inc | 4 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Rules as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and all sites identified in Tennyson Inlet—No. 3.9.</i> " | | | | | |
| | <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 607 | Cadeena Tepu | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| 542 | Allan Tester | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Standard That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>3.3.6.1. The following species must not be planted:</i> (a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii); | | | | | |
| 542 | Allan Tester | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.5 (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.7.5 No excavation or filling in excess of 1000m3 must occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period. | | | | | |
| 542 | Allan Tester | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.6 (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.7.6 No excavation must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°. | | | | | |
| 1257 | Allan Tester | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1257 | Allan Tester | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 1257 | Allan Tester | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1257 | Allan Tester | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8415, 8419, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 160 | TH Barnes and Co Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Industrially zoned land between Murphy's Road and Adams Lane</p> <p>Lot 2 DP 8419 at 12 Adams Lane, Lot 4 DP 400 at 3 Murphys Road and Lot 1 DP 2100 with no street address, that are located within and surrounded by residential properties is proposed to be zoned Industrial 2.</p> <p>Specific provision be made for this site to be used and developed for residential or accommodation or a range of activities provided for as Permitted Activities in the surrounding Residential 2 Zone but retention of the proposed Industrial Zone. Use of either of the following options.</p> <p>As a Permitted Activity</p> <p>Including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Permitted Activities subject to compliance with a new rule added to Rule 5.2 that requires compliance with the Residential 2 Zone development and performance standards to any such development.</p> <p>To address any potential reverse sensitivity issues, the new rule would also prescribed that in the event of any such development left a portion of the site undeveloped for that purpose, any use and development of that undeveloped portion would become subject to the development and performance standards of the adjoining Residential 2 zone along the boundary between it and the residential developed portion.</p> <p>As a Controlled Activity</p> <p>Specifically listing the property and including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Permitted Activities but qualifying this by prescribing it in that list as being a Controlled Activity, or alternatively adding a Controlled Activity category to the Zone.</p> <p>The Residential 2 Zone development and performance standards could be prescribed as matters in regard to which the Council retained control.</p> <p>As a Restricted Discretionary</p> <p>Including Residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the surrounding Residential Zone in the list of Discretionary Activities but qualifying this by prescribing it in that list as being a Restricted Discretionary, unless provided with a separate Restricted Discretionary Activity category.</p> <p>As a Discretionary Activity</p> <p>Include specific identification of the site in the list of Discretionary Activities by reference to residential and accommodation activities and Permitted Activities from the Residential 2 Zone as an activity in the list of Discretionary Activities.</p> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 1 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - "Prior to the planting of a commercial forest the risk of tree spread for a site using the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator must be assessed." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 2 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method under this Objective as follows - "Where there is wilding pine spread that is obviously from a plantation area (i.e. "tree rain" out of a planted area) the control of them is the responsibility of the forestry owner. All wilding pine control is to be met by an industry levy on logs harvested." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - "Planting: (a) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta); (b) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii); (c) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta); (d) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata); (e) European larch (Larix decidua); (f) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris); (g) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo); (h) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra)." | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule follows - <p>"New commercial forest planted must not be planted within 100m of the coastal marine area or 50m of a ridge."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 6 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Method. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 7 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Method. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 8 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this Policy to take a stronger approach to preventing and mitigating sedimentation caused by forestry in the Sounds. <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 9 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p><i>"Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake, 5m of permanently flowing rivers less than 3m in width or 10m of permanently flowing rivers 3m or greater in width;</i> <i>(b) & 10m of a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 10 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add new Standards to this Rule as follows -</p> <p><i>"A Replanting Management Plan is required to be lodged with the Council that identifies areas at high risk of erosion, which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies."</i></p> <p><i>"Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:</i></p> <p><i>a) Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest;</i></p> <p><i>b) Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 11 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new policy under this Objective as follows -</p> <p><i>"Resource consents granted for commercial forestry harvesting in the Marlborough Sounds, will include the following conditions:</i></p> <p><i>(a) All woody material >100mm diameter and > 3m in length must be removed from gullies (>5000m or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest;</i></p> <p><i>(b) All road design, construction, and maintenance must be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i></p> <p><i>(c) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. And, add: - no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds; - existing exotic forestry should be phased out over time, with appropriate incentives for alternative land use (e.g. permanent sink forest initiative). <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific addition to the Prohibited Rule sought.)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 15 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 16 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change status from a Prohibited to a Discretionary Activity. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 18 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 20 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new method under this Objective as follows -</p> <p>"Recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 21 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Filling must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 8m of, a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 8m of the landward toe of a stopbank;</i></p> <p><i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 22 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(b) 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) 8m of the landward toe of a stopbank;</i> <i>(d) 100m of the coastal marine area.</i> " | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 23 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 24 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this Rule as follows - " <i>Excavation must not exceed 20,000 cubic metres.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 25 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 26 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new standard under this Rule as follows - " <i>Excavation must not exceed 20,000 cubic metres.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 27 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 28 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 29 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 30 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 31 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.AER.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the AER to add the following - "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" . | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 32 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 33 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 34 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a two new Prohibited Activity rule as follows - "Anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites." "Anchoring and dredging within any buffer zone around an ecologically significant marine site." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 35 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 36 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <i>It is not clear in the Submission the decision sought specifically for this Rule at this time.</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 37 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <i>It is not clear in the Submission the decision sought specifically for this Rule at this time.</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 38 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Support the provisions of this chapter, except Policy 6.2.5 <i>(see separate submission)</i> . | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 39 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 40 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 41 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend (b) within the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " (b) any paint applied to the all exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 42 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape any paint applied to the all exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 43 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 44 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 45 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. | | | | | |
| 1190 | The Bay of Many Coves Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated | 46 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. | | | | | |
| 1191 | The Bell Tower on Dog Point | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full. | | | | | |
| 1191 | The Bell Tower on Dog Point | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full. | | | | | |
| 1191 | The Bell Tower on Dog Point | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full. | | | | | |
| 1191 | The Bell Tower on Dog Point | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We support the policy in full. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 4.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 4.1.3 as follows: Maintain and or enhance, <u>where degraded by human activity</u> , the quality of natural resources. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 5 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.1 as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 6 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 7 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.1 as follows: Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to <u>that</u> address potential adverse effects on:..... | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 8 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.7 as follows: Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but <u>and</u> these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effects on the environment. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 9 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows: Manage the effects of primary production activities to ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are <u>maintained-not unreasonably degraded</u> , bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 10 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include a new policy as follows: <u>Avoid the establishment of residential activities in close proximity to intensive farming or other rural activities, to manage reverse sensitivity effects that can be created by such activities i.e. noise, odour and dust.</u> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 11 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.AER.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 14.AER.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 12 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.AER.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 14.AER.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 13 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 15A as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 14 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 15.1a as follows:</p> <p>Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters and enhance where degraded by human activities to the point of being over-allocated. so that:</p> <p>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</p> <p>(b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation;</p> <p>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</p> <p>(d) groundwater quality <u>meets the NZ Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008).</u> is suitable for drinking;</p> <p>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</p> <p>(f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 15 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1b | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 15.1b as follows:</p> <p>Maintain or enhance the quality of freshwater rivers water quality in each the Freshwater Management Units where the following attribute state is currently met: so that the annual median nitrate concentration is ≤ 1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is ≤ 1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 16 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Include a new objective as follows:</p> <p><u>Maintain or enhance the quality of rivers in the Freshwater Management Units where the following attribute state is currently met: the annual median nitrate-nitrogen concentration is between >1 and <2.4 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is between >1.5 and <3.5 milligrams nitrate per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 17 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1c | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1c as follows: Maintain freshwater water quality for <u>rivers and lakes</u> in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is <0.03 ≤ 0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is <0.05 ≤ 0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 18 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1d | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1d as follows: Maintain or enhance the quality of freshwater water quality in each waterbodies Freshwater Management Unit <u>where the following attribute state is currently met:</u> so that the annual median <i>E.coli</i> level is ≤ 260 per 100ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 19 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.1e as follows: Maintain or enhance <u>the quality</u> of freshwater water quality in waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation Unit <u>where the following attribute state is currently met:</u> so that the 95th percentile <i>E.coli</i> level is >260 to ≤ 540 per 100ml, as measured by the Council's State of Environment monitoring programme. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 20 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.1. No specific change sought but comments are noted. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 21 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.2 as follows: Apply water quality classification (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (c) where water quality has already been degraded <u>by human activities to the point of being over-allocated</u> , the uses and values that are to be restored <u>to meet the FMU Objectives.</u> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 22 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.3 as notified but note comments. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 23 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.1 as notified but note comments. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 24 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method 15.M.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 25 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 15.M.3 Investigations as follows:</p> <p>To undertake catchment-specific research to establish the capacity of fresh waterbodies to assimilate total contaminant loads from within each catchment. This will also be informed by the objectives and management purpose established for the waterbody, will both assist to determine the sensitivity of the waterbody to increases in contaminant loads. Give their association with rural land uses and Marlborough's history of primary production, Research into nutrients is a priority and it may also be necessary to prioritise heavy metals in urban catchments, given the prevalence of such metals in urban stormwater, as well as sediment loads in rivers flowing into sensitive receiving environments, such as the enclosed coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 26 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.4 as follows:</p> <p>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 27 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows: <u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Are Are Creek; (b) Cullens Creek; (c) Doctors Creek; and (d) Kaituna River. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 28 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.6 as follows: <u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Taylor River; (b) Rai River; and (c) Waihopai River. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 29 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows: <u>Where specified water quality attributes are not being met, t</u> Take action to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 (except where specifically identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5 and 15.1.6) so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 30 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No changes sought. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 31 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.25 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.25 as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 32 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.26 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.26 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 33 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.27 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.27 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 34 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 15.1.33. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 35 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.34 as follows:</p> <p>Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms <u>and intensive farms</u> where the proposed farming would have no more than minor adverse effects on <u>can identify potential risks</u> to ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands. A land use consent application must identify the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum:</p> <p>(a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</p> <p>(c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</p> <p>(d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</p> <p>(e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input.</p> <p><u>preparing and implementing a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 36 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.23 Advocate as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 37 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain non regulatory methods to work with established rural industry groups and implement sustainable land management programmes. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 38 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.21 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain but amend 15.M.21 Information as shown:</p> <p>....</p> <p>- to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise <u>any adverse effects of non-point source discharges. This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Industry Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been developed and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 39 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 15.M.24 and amend 15.M.21 as shown above, to include support for the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015, and industry guidelines and Codes of Practice. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 40 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.25 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 15.M.25 as follows:</p> <p><u>Farm Management plans for dairy farming intensively farmed livestock.</u></p> <p>Water Quality Farm Management Plans can be used as a means of demonstrating on an ongoing basis that any adverse effects on water quality resulting from dairy and <u>intensively farmed livestock</u> will be avoided, remedied or sufficiently mitigated. They provide the ability to consider all farm management practices with the potential to adversely affect surface or groundwater quality or wetlands and manage these risks in an integrated way. This also enables the dairy farmer to progressively plan farm upgrades based on priority or in the case of new farms, at the time of establishment. Water Quality Farm Management Plans can be used to support applications for land use consent to convert the use of land to dairying.</p> <p><u>The Farm Management Plan should include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <u>Nutrient Management Plans. These will be required as a means to demonstrate how nutrient inputs associated with dairy and intensively farmed <u>livestock</u> are to be managed to ensure any adverse effects of water quality will be avoided, remedied or mitigated. Nutrient Management Plans should be written documents that incorporate a nutrient budget developed by an accredited nutrient adviser <u>a Certified Nutrient Management Advisor</u> using OVERSEER® or similar. This should describe how the major plant nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, sulphur and potassium) and any other nutrients of importance to specialised crops will be managed (including all sources from dairy effluent systems, animal discharges and/or atmospheric nitrogen fixation.</u> - <u>Good management practices.</u> - <u>Riparian management plans.</u> - <u>Details of the property including location of waterbodies.</u> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 41 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15F | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 15F Explanation:</p> <p>Although fertiliser use has decreased over time in Marlborough, many primary producers still apply it to maintain the nutrient status of the soil and therefore soil productivity. Excessive fertiliser application creates the potential for nutrients such as nitrogen and phosphate to runoff into adjoining rivers and wetlands or leach into underlying groundwater.</p> <p><u>Healthy productive soils are a finite natural resource and essential for the social, cultural and economic well-being of people and communities. It is important to manage the potential for adverse effects of land uses on soil health and function and practices including vegetation clearance, earthworks and forestry harvesting where they adversely affect soil quality or induce or exacerbate soil erosion.</u></p> <p><u>In addition, accelerated erosion from land use resulting in additional deposition of sediment in rivers and lakes can have a major impact on aquatic ecosystems and instream values.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 42 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 15.4 as follows: Maintain <u>the health and function of</u> and enhance the quality of Marlborough's soil resource. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 43 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.4.2 as follows: Encourage land <u>the use of industry agreed good</u> management practices that: (a) maintain soil structure by: (i) avoiding or remedying soil compaction; (ii) avoiding the loss of soil organic matter; and (iii) avoiding or remedying the effects of increased sodium levels; (b) maintain nutrients at appropriate levels; and (c) retain topsoil in situ. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 44 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.41 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 15.M.41 Advocate. Or in the alternative delete proposed text and insert new text as follows: <u>- to encourage the adoption of appropriate land management practices to minimise any adverse effects of land use activities on soil quality, health and function (including soil erosion). This includes promoting industry Codes of Practice and industry guidelines and encouraging the adoption of Industry Agreed Good Management Practices. (Industry Agreed Good Management Practices, Sept 2015 have been developed and documented by the Primary industry sector groups in conjunction with Canterbury Regional Council.)</u> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 45 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 15.5 as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-----------------|
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 46 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 47 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.2. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 48 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.3. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 49 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.5.4. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 50 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 3.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 51 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 3.1.23. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 52 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 3.1.28. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 53 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 3.3.1.1 as notified but note comments. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 54 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>3.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p>The following activities are Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p><u>3.56.82. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 including discharge of dairy effluent.</u></p> <p><u>Council has restricted its discretion to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u></p> <p><u>b) Measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or nay drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>c) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</u></p> <p><u>d) Manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan</u></p> <p><u>e) There must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage that must be sealed with an impermeable material and certified by a recognised professional.</u></p> <p><u>f) Any discharge of effluent must not:</u></p> <p><u>(i) occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity, and</u></p> <p><u>(ii) result in ponding that is detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge, and</u></p> <p><u>(iii) result in anaerobic soil conditions, and</u></p> <p><u>(iv) be located within:</u></p> <p><u>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</u></p> <p><u>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</u></p> <p><u>The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</u></p> <p><u>g) Demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events).</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 55 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.8. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>3.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</p> <p>[R, D]</p> <p>3.6.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity, Controlled Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</p> <p>...</p> <p>{R}</p> <p>3.6.8. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 56 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u></p> <p>3.3.23.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> <p>3.3.23.3. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water.</p> <p>3.3.23.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>3.3.23.5. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>3.3.23.6. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 57 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 58 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 59 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 3.3.23 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto as follows:</p> <p>3.3.23.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 60 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28. | Support in Part |

Decision Requested

For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:

3.3.28.1. The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.

3.3.28.2. The discharge must not occur within:

(a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU,

(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;

(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.

3.3.28.3. A High rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.

3.3.28.4. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.

3.3.28.5. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.

3.3.28.6. The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.

3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of the land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.

3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:

3.3.28.1 there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.

~~3.3.28.9.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,~~ the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.

~~3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016,~~ the storage system must not be located within:

(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;

(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;

(c) a Flood Hazard Area.

~~3.3.28.11. From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.~~

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 61 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.1. The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive <u>Area without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan or Farm Management Plan.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 62 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.7. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of the land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N//hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 63 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p>3.3.28.98.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 64 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p>3.3.28.98.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 65 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows:</p> <p>3.3.28.8. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>3.3.28.1</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p>3.3.28.98.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p>3.3.28.10.8.3. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 66 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 3.3.28 as follows: 3.3.28.11. From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 67 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 68 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.22. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 4.1.22. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 69 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.27. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 4.1.27 as follows: Discharge or dairy farm effluent onto or into land, <u>except for new dairy farms provided for under Rule 4.5.3.</u> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 70 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>4.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p>The following activities are Restricted Discretionary Activities</p> <p><u>4.5.3. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 including discharge of dairy effluent.</u></p> <p><u>Council has restricted its discretion to the following matters:</u></p> <p><u>(a) The preparation and implementation of a Farm Management Plan as set out in Appendix X.</u></p> <p><u>(b) Measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>(c) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</u></p> <p><u>(d) Manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u></p> <p><u>(e) There must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage that must be sealed with an impermeable material and certified by a recognised professional.</u></p> <p><u>(f) Any discharge of effluent must not:</u></p> <p><u>(i) occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity, and</u></p> <p><u>(ii) result in ponding that is detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge, and</u></p> <p><u>(iii) result in anaerobic soil conditions, and</u></p> <p><u>(iv) be located within:</u></p> <p><u>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</u></p> <p><u>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</u></p> <p><u>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</u></p> <p><u>The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events).</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 71 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.8. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>4.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</p> <p>[R, D]</p> <p>4.6.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity, Controlled Activity or Restricted Discretionary Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</p> <p>....</p> <p>{R}</p> <p>4.6.8. Dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 72 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.1.</p> <p>Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive</u> <u>HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1]</u> <u>HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard</u> <u>HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C]</u> <u>HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> <p>4.3.22.2. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel that contains water.</p> <p>4.3.22.3. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>4.3.22.4. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity,</p> <p>4.3.22.5. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 73 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.1.</p> <p>Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with the Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive</u> <u>HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1]</u> <u>HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard</u> <u>HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C]</u> <u>HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 74 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 4.3.22 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>4.3.22.3. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 75 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.1. The discharge must not occur within:</p> <p>(a) 50m of a bore</p> <p>(b) 20m of a river, lake, significant wetland or drainage channel;</p> <p>(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.</p> <p>4.3.27.2. A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</p> <p>4.3.27.3. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>4.3.27.4. Ponding must not be detectable beyond 24 hours after the discharge.</p> <p>4.3.27.5. The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</p> <p>4.3.27.6. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200 kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p>4.3.27.8.7.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p>4.3.27.9.7.3. For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> <p>4.3.27.10. From 9 June 2019, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 76 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows: 4.3.27.6. The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200 kg N/hectare/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 77 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows: 4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u> <u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system. 4.3.27.8.7.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional. 4.3.27.9.7.3. For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within: (a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network; (b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership; (c) a Flood Hazard Area. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 78 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p>4.3.27.8.7.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p>4.3.27.9.7.3. For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 79 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows:</p> <p>4.3.27.7. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016 <u>and from 9 June 2019, for a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016, the following standards apply:</u></p> <p><u>4.3.27.1.</u> there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</p> <p>4.3.27.8.7.2. For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must be sealed with an impermeable material certified by a recognised professional.</p> <p>4.3.27.9.7.3. For a new dairy established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within:</p> <p>(a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership;</p> <p>(c) a Flood Hazard Area.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 80 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | For clarity amend Rule 4.3.27 as follows: 4.3.27.10. From 9 June 2019, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 81 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.1.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 82 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.3.6 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 83 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.4.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 8.4.6 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 84 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.1.10 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 85 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.19. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rules 19.1.19 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 86 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.8.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.3.8.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 87 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.4.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 88 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.4.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 19.4.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 89 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u></p> <p>19.3.17.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive HSR002569</u></p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1] HSR002570</u></p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard HSR002571</u></p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C] HSR002572</u></p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> <p>19.3.17.3. The application must not result in the fertiliser being deposited in or on a river, lake Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water.</p> <p>19.3.17.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> <p>19.3.17.5. The application must not occur when the soil moisture exceeds field capacity.</p> <p>19.3.17.6. All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 90 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.1. The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils, <u>without demonstrating appropriate controls with a Nutrient Management Plan.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 91 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.2. Fertiliser <u>storage must comply with Fertiliser Group Standards:</u></p> <p><u>Corrosive</u> HSR002569</p> <p><u>Oxidising [5.1.1]</u> HSR002570</p> <p><u>Subsidiary Hazard</u> HSR002571</p> <p><u>Toxic [6.1C]</u> HSR002572</p> <p><u>Including site and storage conditions for the group standards:</u></p> <p><u>For Oxidising Substances and Organic peroxides (Class 5.1.1 and class 5.2) and</u></p> <p><u>For Toxic, Corrosive and Ecotoxic substances.</u></p> <p>must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 92 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 19.3.17 Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land as follows:</p> <p>19.3.17.4. Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) <u>unless there is provision to manage nutrient discharges demonstrating appropriate controls with a Farm or Nutrient Management Plan to be provided to the Council.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 93 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>FANZ seeks that a definition of Certified Nutrient Management Adviser to be included in the Plan.</p> <p><u>Certified Nutrient Management Adviser means a Nutrient Management Advisor certified under the Nutrient Management Adviser Certification Programme Limited.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 94 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the definition of 'farming' as notified.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 95 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>FMU means Freshwater Management Unit.</p> <p>Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) as mapped on the Freshwater Management Unit Map 1 to 5.</p> <p><u>Freshwater Management Unit (FMU)</u></p> <p><u>A Freshwater Management Unit can be a water body, multiple water bodies or any part of a water body that is an appropriate spatial scale for setting freshwater objectives and limits and for freshwater accounting and management purposes.</u></p> <p><u>FMU's are mapped on the Freshwater Management Unit Maps 1 to 5.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 96 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p><u>Good Management Practice</u></p> <p><u>Practices, procedures or use of tools which are effective at achieving the desired performance while providing for environmental responsibility. Good management practice evolves through time and results in continuous improvement as new information, technology and awareness or particular issues are developed and disseminate. For example the Industry Agreed Good Management Practices prepared by the Canterbury Regional Council:</u> http://ecan.govt.nz/GET-INVOLVED/MGMPROJECT/Pages/matrix-good-management.aspx.</p> | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 97 | Volume 3 | Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Appendix 4. | | | | | |
| 1192 | The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand | 98 | Volume 3 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Appendix X - Farm Management Plan Requirements</p> <p>Part A - Farm Management Plans</p> | | | | | |

A Farm Management Plan can be based on either of:

1. The material set out in Part B below;

OR

2. Industry prepared Farm Management Plan templates and guidance material that:

(a) Include the following minimum components:

(i) The matters set out in 1, 2, and 3 of Part B below;

(ii) Contains a methodology that will enable development of a plan that will identify actual and potential environmental effects and risks specific to the property, addresses those effects and risks and has a high likelihood of appropriately avoiding, remedying or mitigating those effects;

(iii) Performance measures that are capable of being audited; and

(b) Has been approved as meeting the criteria in (a) and being acceptable to the Marlborough District Council by the Chief Executive of the Marlborough District Council.

Part B - Farm Management Plan Default Content

The plan requirements will apply to:

1. a plan prepared for an individual property or farm enterprise; or

2. a plan prepared for an individual property which is part of a collective of properties, including an irrigation scheme, principal water supplier, or an Industry Certification Scheme.

The plan shall contain as a minimum:

1. Property or farm enterprise details

(a) Physical address

(b) Description of the ownership and name of a contact person

(c) Legal description of the land and farm identifier

2. A map(s) or aerial photograph at a scale that clearly shows:

(a) The boundaries of the property or land areas comprising the farm enterprise.

(b) The boundaries of the main land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise.

- (c) The location of permanent or intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains, ponds or wetlands.
 - (d) The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies.
 - (e) The location on all waterways where stock access or crossings occurs.
 - (f) The location of any areas within or adjoining the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity".
3. A list of all Marlborough District Council resource consents held for the property or farm enterprise.
 4. An assessment of the adverse environmental effects and risks associated with the farming activities and how the identified effects and risks will be managed, including irrigation, application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, offal pits and farm rubbish pits.
 5. A description of how each of the following objectives will, where relevant, be met.
 - (a) Nutrient management: To provide for sustainable production while minimising nutrient losses to water
 - (b) Irrigation management: To operate irrigation systems efficiently and ensuring that the actual use of water is monitored and is efficient.
 - (c) Soils management: To maintain or improve the physical and biological condition of the soils in order to minimise the movement of sediment, phosphorus and other contaminants to waterways.
 - (d) Collected animal effluent management: To manage the risks associated with the operation of effluent systems are compliant 365 days of the year.
 - (e) Livestock management: To manage wetlands and water bodies so that livestock are excluded as far as practicable from water, to avoid damage to the bed and margins of a waterbody, and to avoid the direct input of nutrients, sediment, and microbial pathogens.
 - (f) Offal pits: To manage the numbers and locations of pits to minimise risks to health and water quality.

The plan shall include for each objective in 5 above:

- (a) detail commensurate with the scale of the environmental effects and risks;
- (b) defined measurable targets that clearly set a pathway and timeframe for achievement;
- (c) a description of the good management practices together with actions required;
- (d) the records required to be kept for measuring performance and achievement of the target.

Part C - Farming Information

Information to inform development of the Farm Management Plan includes the following:

1. Information detailing:

- (a) The site area to which the farming activity relates;
- (b) Monthly stocking rates (numbers, types and classes) including breakdown by stock class;
- (c) Annual yield of arable or horticultural produce;
- (d) A description of the farm management practices used on each block including:
 - (i) Ground cover - pasture, crops, fodder crops, non-grazed areas (including forestry, riparian and tree areas) and any crop rotation;
 - (ii) Stock management - lambing/calving/fawning dates and percentages, any purchases and sales and associated dates, types and age of stock;
 - (iii) Fertiliser application - types and quantities per hectare for each identified block, taking into account any crop rotation;
 - (iv) Quantities of introduced or exported feed;
- (e) Farm animal effluent, pig farm effluent, feed pad and stand-off pad effluent management including:
 - (i) Area of land used for effluent application;
 - (ii) Annual nitrogen loading rate and nitrogen load rate per application;
 - (iii) Instantaneous application rate;
- (f) Irrigation - areas, rates, monthly volumes and system type.

This information is to be collected for the period 1 July to 30 June.

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|--|-----------------|
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>The fishing industry submitters support the principle: 'Where the Council and other agency manage the use of the same resource, it is important that any duplication in management is avoided.'</p> <p>Reflect the principle in relation to Policy 8.3.7 and Rule 16.7.5 (see relevant submissions below).</p> <p>The fishing industry submitters support the principle: 'Use of non-regulatory methods where possible.'</p> <p>Reflect the principle in relation to Policy 8.3.7, Rule 16.7.5 and Issue 13C objectives and policies (see relevant submissions below).</p> <p>The fishing industry submitters oppose in part the principle: 'The council will only intervene in the exercise of private property rights to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment.'</p> <p>Amend the explanatory text of the principle to clarify that the Council's ability to intervene in the exercise of private property rights is restricted to property rights within its jurisdiction under the RMA and does not extend to property rights for fisheries resources managed under the Fisheries Act.</p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 2 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Integrated management of the Marlborough environment | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend text on pages 2-2 and 2-3 by adding a new point after (a) and amending point (d), as follows:</p> <p><i>(new) <u>Natural and physical resources exist on different spatial scales, some of which extend well beyond Marlborough. Resources should be managed at a scale appropriate to the nature of the resource.</u></i></p> <p><i>(d) That natural and physical resources cannot be managed without having regard to the social, economic and cultural interests of the community in Marlborough <u>and New Zealand as a whole.</u></i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|--------|
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 3 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the text on page 2-8 to explicitly recognise the relevance of the Fisheries Act and associated provisions in achieving the sustainable management of natural and physical resources. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 4 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the introductory text on page 3-2 to acknowledge the Fisheries Deed of Settlement and its implementation under the Maori Fisheries Act 2004.</p> <p>Amend Policy 3.1.1 by adding a new clause, as follows:</p> <p><i>Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out in a manner that: ...</i></p> <p><u><i>(f) recognises the fishing rights allocated and protected under the Maori Fisheries Settlement and avoids, remedies or mitigates any adverse effects on the exercise of those rights caused by activities managed under the RMA</i></u></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the explanatory text of Objective 4.1 by adding, after the words '<i>Freshwater is also used for the processing of crops</i>':</p> <p><u><i>Our fishing and aquaculture sectors rely on security of access to the coastal marine area, high coastal water quality, and healthy marine ecosystems.</i></u></p> <p>Add a new Policy and explanatory text following Policy 4.1.1, as follows:</p> <p>Policy: <u><i>Recognise the rights and interests of users of fisheries resources by integrating the Council's responsibilities under the RMA with the management of fisheries under the Fisheries Act 1996.</i></u></p> <p>Explanation: <u><i>Fisheries resources are managed by the Ministry for Primary Industries under the Fisheries Act 1996. The purpose of the Act is to provide for the utilisation of fisheries resources while ensuring sustainability. The Council has an important role, through the provisions of the MEP, to achieve the integrated management of natural and physical resources of the region. This includes fisheries resources. While the Council is not responsible for managing fisheries resources directly, it can, through the provisions of the MEP, ensure that integrated management is achieved and that adverse effects on fisheries resources and the management of fisheries under the Fisheries Act are avoided, remedied or mitigated. Policies throughout the MEP help achieve these outcomes.</i></u></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.AER.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend 4.AER.1 'Monitoring effectiveness' by adding: <i>Marlborough continues to contribute over 1% of New Zealand's GDP.</i> <i>Where appropriate, environmental accounting is used to monitor effectiveness.</i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the explanatory text of Objective 5.10 by adding new text at the end of the explanation, as follows: <i>The Council is not responsible for allocating fisheries resources or access to fisheries resources, however, as this is the role of the Ministry of Primary Industries under the Fisheries Act 1996.</i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.10.1 as follows: <i>Recognition that there are no inherent rights under the RMA to be able to use, develop or occupy the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.4. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.5. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.6. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.7. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Note support. Retain Policy 5.10.8. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 14 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Chapter 8 to identify individual provisions as RPS, R, C or D. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 15 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 8.2.12 as follows:</p> <p><i>Encourage and support private landowners, community and industry groups, government agencies and others in their efforts to protect, restore, or re-establish areas of indigenous biodiversity.</i></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text of the policy by inserting, after the sentence ending 'Marine Reserves Act 1971'; the following new text:</p> <p><u><i>The Ministry for Primary Industries is responsible under the Fisheries Act 1996 for protecting indigenous biodiversity and habitat of particular significance for fisheries management from any adverse effects of fishing.</i></u></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 16 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 8.3.7. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 17 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete from Method 8.M.1 the following sentence:</p> <p><i>'Fishing activities using techniques or methods that disturb the seabed in the areas identified as an ecologically significant marine site will be prohibited.'</i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 18 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 16.7.5. | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 19 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.AER.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete the words '<i>There is an increase in the number of marine protected areas,</i>' and replace with:</p> <p><u><i>There is an increase in the protection provided to marine biodiversity through the adoption of the best available tool to address identified threats.</i></u></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 20 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.7 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 11.1.7, as follows: <i>Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, <u>existing uses of rivers and the coastal marine area</u>, water clarity and bank stability.</i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 21 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.1.1 by adding a new provision: <i>e) <u>habitat of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 22 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.2.1 by adding a new position: <i>(h) <u>the importance of sustainable fisheries resources.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 23 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method: <i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 24 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method: <i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 25 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method: <i><u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 26 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new method: <u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 27 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend 13.M.5 Affected party status, by adding the sentence: <u>The Ministry for Primary Industries will be treated as an affected party in respect of any resource consent application for a coastal permit, to enable an assessment of any potential impacts on fishing, fisheries resources, and habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u> Add a new method: <u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 28 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new method: <u>Liaison with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations for the purposes of identifying and mapping habitats of particular significance for fisheries management.</u> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 29 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introductory text on page 13-11 to read: <u>The waters of the Marlborough Sounds are important for fisheries for a number of reasons, including: ...</u> <u>providing a livelihood for commercial fishers supporting a thriving seafood industry that provides economic and social benefits to Marlborough and New Zealand.</u> ... <u>The Council can therefore indirectly help to maintain and enhance wild fisheries in the Marlborough Sounds by managing any adverse effects on marine habitats caused by activities over which it does have direct control.</u> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13C | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Issue 13C and replace it with new Issue 13C as follows:</p> <p><u>Fishing is a significant activity in Marlborough's coastal marine area, but the sustainability of fisheries resource is threatened by various uses and activities.</u></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text beneath the Issue 13C, as follows:</p> <p><u>Maintenance of traditional access to fisheries is of particular importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi. There is particular concern that traditional fisheries are being depleted. Under fisheries legislation, taiapure, rahui and mataitai are three mechanisms by which tangata whenua can seek greater control of the management of local customary fisheries. Though the Council has no statutory role in either the establishment or management of these mechanisms, it may choose to support an application after consultation with interested parties.</u></p> <p><u>Although the number of commercial fishers has decreased over the years, fishers with quota for various species still operate from Picton, Havelock and other ports and the region produces seafood products that are exported to global markets. As a result of the settlement of Maori fishing claims, iwi own at least 10-20 percent of all fishing quota in Marlborough. While numbers can fluctuate in response to economic circumstances, recreational fishing and diving are important recreational pursuits for Marlborough residents and visitors to the Marlborough Sounds. For a number of years there has been Although fish stocks are managed at levels that ensure sustainability under the Fisheries Act, some stocks have become depleted in localised areas, leading to ongoing community concern over the state of fish and shellfish stocks in the Marlborough Sounds and the sustainability of the recreational fisheries that they support.</u></p> <p><u>The causes of localised depletion are multiple and include intensive local fishing pressure as well as fisheries habitat degradation caused by coastal works and structures, and sediment and contaminants entering coastal waters from land-based activities in catchments.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 31 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Objective 13.4 and replace it with new Objective 13.4:</p> <p><u>The sustainability of Marlborough's fisheries resources is ensured, enabling people to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing.</u></p> <p>Amend the explanatory text beneath Objective 13.4 as follows:</p> <p><u>Despite not having a direct statutory role in managing fisheries (except to the extent outlined above), the Council <u>has an important role in achieving integrated management, which includes taking account of other legislation designed to achieve sustainable management - such as Fisheries Act. believes it has an advocacy role in ensuring there is a sustainable fishery in the Marlborough Sounds. This is, It is appropriate that the MEP includes an objective to ensure the management of fisheries resources is sustainable</u> because fishing activities, whether recreational, commercial or customary in nature, contribute to the economic, social, cultural and general community wellbeing of Marlborough's residents and visitors. It is therefore appropriate that the MEP includes an objective to ensure the management of fisheries resources is sustainable.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 32 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.4.1 and associated Method 13.M.9 and replace with a new policy and method (see below). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 33 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 13.4.2 and associated Method 13.M.9, and replace with a new policy and method (see below). | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 34 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Replace Policies 13.4.1 and 13.4.2 with new Policy 13.4.1 and explanatory text as follows:</p> <p><i>Avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on fishing and fisheries resources by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within significant fishing grounds where the activities and/or structures are incompatible with fishing activity;</i></p> <p><i>(b) for all activities requiring a resource consent that take place in, or may have adverse effects on, the coastal marine area, requiring the evaluation of adverse effects on fishing and fisheries resources;</i></p> <p><i>(c) promoting a cross-boundary approach to the management of adverse effects on fisheries resources by adjacent regional councils; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) protecting habitats of particular significance for fisheries management from the adverse effects of activities and structures.</i></p> <p>[Explanatory text] <i>The Council's primary responsibilities in relation to fisheries sustainability are controlling activities that have adverse effects on fisheries resources and fisheries habitat and achieving integrated management. Policy 13.4.1 consolidates facets of these responsibilities in a single policy.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 35 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Method 13.M.9 and replace with new Method 13.M.9:</p> <p><i>Partnership/liaison.</i> <i>Work closely with the Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations to develop and implement an integrated approach to ensuring the sustainability of fisheries resources at a scale appropriate to the fisheries under consideration.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 36 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.8.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.8.2 by adding a new provision (d)(iv):</p> <p><i>(iv) commercial activities that take place in the coastal marine area, including commercial fishing.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 37 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.10.5 by adding a new provision (g)(iv):</p> <p><i>(iv) fisheries resources.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 38 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.11 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.11 as follows: <i>(a) the necessity for the jetty (or alteration or extension), including whether it will be used for individual or community use of a commercial activity on-land.</i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 39 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.14 as follows: <i>A jetty shall be used to facilitate access between a vessel and the land. A jetty shall not be used for storing boats, boating equipment, marine farming equipment or other gear, <u>other than to the extent necessary for an existing commercial operation.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 40 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.19 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.19 as follows; <i>The purpose of a boatshed shall be to house boats, and boating equipment <u>and fishing-related equipment</u>. Where a boatshed is to be located in the coastal marine area or on land immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area and its use differs from the purpose described above, the activity is inappropriate in the coastal environment and is to be avoided.</i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 41 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.10.16 as follows: <i>(c) requiring the jetty to be made available for public use <u>except where this would be incompatible with existing commercial activities that use the jetty.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 42 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.11.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.11.4 by adding a new provision (d)(iv): <i><u>(iv) fisheries resources.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 43 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Method 13.M.18 by adding the following sentence: <i><u>If a bylaw is developed, further consultation will be undertaken to identify areas in which the bylaw would apply and conditions under which vehicle access would be restricted.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 44 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.16.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.16.3 by adding a new provision (h). <i><u>(h) fisheries resources.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 45 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 13.18.7 by adding a new provision (g)(iii): <i><u>(iii) fisheries resources.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 46 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 13.AER.16 and replace it with: <i><u>13.AER.16 Adverse effects of activities managed under the RMA on fisheries resources and habitats of particular significance for fisheries management are avoided, remedied or mitigated.</u></i> Amend 'Monitoring effectiveness' as follows: <i>Increased awareness and understanding of the respective roles of Council and other parties in coastal and marine management.</i> <i>Ongoing communication with the Minster of <u>Ministry for Primary Industries and fisheries stakeholder organisations</u> in respect of the sustainable management of natural and physical resources as it related to fishing activities.</i> <i><u>Monitor complaints from fisheries stakeholders about impacts on fisheries arising from activities controlled under the RMA.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 47 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 15.1.1, as follows: <i>As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal water will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes:</i> <i>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems <u>and fisheries resources</u>; potential for contact recreation and food gathering <u>seafood harvesting</u>/marine farming; and for coastal and aesthetic purposes;....</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 48 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.11, as follows:</p> <p><i>When considering any discharge permit application of contaminants to water, regard will be had to...</i></p> <p><i>(b) the extent to which contaminants <u>and sediment</u> present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment;...</i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 49 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.15, as follows:</p> <p><i>With the exception of stormwater discharges, the water quality classification standards will be met at the point of discharge, where a discharge is:..</i></p> <p><i>(a) within 500 metres of any <u>habitat of particular significance for fisheries management or any marine farming activity in freshwater or coastal waters.</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 50 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.21, as follows:</p> <p><i>Manage the adverse effects of urban stormwater discharges on water quality by applying management to activities within each urban stormwater catchment in order to reduce the potential for stormwater to become contaminated <u>or entrain sediment loadings</u> at source.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 51 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 15.M.9 to include reference to reduction of sediment levels using Stormwater Management Area Plans.</p> | | | | | |
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 52 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.32, as follows:</p> <p><i>In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land <u>in the coastal environment</u> or in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to: ...</i></p> <p><i>(b)(iv) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the MEP; or on</i> - <i>the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 3 of the MEP; <u>and</u></i> - <i><u>fisheries resources.</u></i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| 710 | The Fishing Industry Submitters | 53 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.AER.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend 15.AER.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters is suitable to support and sustain swimming, food gathering, <u>seafood harvesting and marine farming</u>, and marine ecosystems <u>and fisheries resources</u>.</i></p> <p>Amend 15.AER.2 by adding a new mechanism for monitoring effectiveness, as follows:</p> <p><i><u>The number, duration and location of incidences in which seafood harvesting is prohibited or restricted for public health reasons.</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1295 | The Lazy Fish Partnership | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Rule 7.2.1.5. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 1 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Guiding Principles Volume 1, Chapter 1. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain permitted activities relevant to forestry. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to standard 3.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>3.3.6.1. The following species must not be planted and any other species that is planted must be controlled for wilding pine spread beyond the boundary by using the national Wilding Spread Risk Calculator before planting:</i></p> <p>That the following additional controls are included under heading 3.3.6 to prevent wilding pine spread in South Marlborough:</p> <p><i>3.3.6.x. The risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator is assessed prior to planting taking place.</i></p> <p><i>3.3.6.y. Planting must not be within 50m of a ridge.</i></p> <p><i>3.3.6.z. Any species that is planted must be controlled for wilding spread beyond the boundary and or into the coastal setback area. The cost of this control must be met by the forestry industry through a levy on logs harvested.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.7.3 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(d) encourage native regeneration within the setbacks.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.8. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.9. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.1. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are added to Rule 3.7.1: <i>Rule 3.7.1 Commercial forestry planting and harvesting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting and harvesting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</i> To avoid this becoming an ongoing source of wilding pines suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.2. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.3. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 11 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | 14.AER.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That <i>Control of wilding pines in South Marlborough</i> is added to 14.AER.7. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15. That land use consent is required for for replanting existing forestry in flow sensitive areas. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16. Also suggest land use consent for replanting existing forestry in flow sensitive areas. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 14 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made for the Coastal Environment Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry.</i> • <i>A requirement to assess the risk of tree spread for a site using the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator, before planting takes place.</i> • <i>Where there is wilding pine spread that is obviously from a plantation area (ie "tree rain" out of a planted area) the control of them should be the responsibility of the forestry owner. This and other wilding pine control could be met by an industry levy on logs harvested. At the moment the cost of wilding pine control is being met by affected landowners and a voluntary trust through community sponsorship and grants. It is not fair or sustainable for the community, rather than the industry, to pay for this.</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 15 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the list of non-permitted species also applies to commercial forestry. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 16 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 810 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 810 m of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 30100 metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 17 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6.AER.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That " <i>Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds</i> " is added to 6.AER.1. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 18 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 19 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following are included in 7.M.7:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Research is undertaken into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. • Investigations of how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 20 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 21 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</p> <p>(a) 810 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</p> <p>(b) 810 metres of a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(c) 30100 metres of the coastal marine area.;</p> <p>(d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 22 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 23 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 24 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3:</p> <p>Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>); Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>); European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>); Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</p> <p>Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 25 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.3. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 26 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.6.4. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 27 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.1. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 28 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.7.2. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 29 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 30 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.15.5. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 31 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</p> <p>Incentives 15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 32 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13:</p> <p>4.3.13.X All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</p> <p>4.3.13.Y All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 33 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p>4.3.8.X All woody material >100 mm diameter and >3 metres in length from gullies (>5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.8. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.16.8: <i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8100m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 35 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8100m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or the coastal marine area;</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 36 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 3.3.14.1. That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3. Note that the submission does not include a slope limit for the above new standard. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 37 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 4.3.13.1. That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation: Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3. Note that the submission does not include a slope limit for the above new standard. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 38 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.4. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 39 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.5. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 40 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.6. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 41 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.7. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 42 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</p> <p>(x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 43 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission).</p> <p>The submission includes Figure 1 from the Panel assessment that shows sites that should be prohibited to anchoring due to rhodolith beds, tubeworm mounds, and bryozoan gardens.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 44 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 45 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 46 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 47 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 48 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 49 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 1, Chapter 7 Landscape. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 50 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.13. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.2.1.13 (inferred): <i>3.2.1.13. On land within the Limestone any Coastline Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 51 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.6.2(i) and (j) (inferred): <i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(i) the Limestone Coastline any Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape;</i> <i>(j) the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 52 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.14.8 (inferred): <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 500m³ per Computer Register Computer Register located within the following any Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period.:</i> <i>(a) Chalk Range;</i> <i>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</i> <i>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Glarence; (inferred)</i> <i>(d) Limestone Coastline.</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 53 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.10. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.16.10 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.16.10 There must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register Computer Register located within the following any Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period.</i></p> <p><i>(a) Chalk Range;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Clarence; (inferred)</i></p> <p><i>(d) Limestone Coastline.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 54 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.14. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.2.1.14 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.2.1.14 On land within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape; any Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes:</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 55 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 56 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.</p> <p>In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 57 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 58 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 59 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Wairau River continue to be classified as Outstanding Natural Landscape (ONL) from the source to the Waihopai confluence. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 60 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That farmers should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of farming activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 61 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That forestry companies should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of commercial forestry harvesting activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 62 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those undertaking indigenous vegetation clearance should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 63 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.12. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those undertaking non-indigenous vegetation clearance should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 64 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That farmers should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 65 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those applying agrichemical into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 66 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those applying fertiliser into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 67 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That wineries, which are large companies, should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of wastewater management and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 68 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those discharging dairy farm effluent into or onto land should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activity and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 69 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the activity status be changed from permitted to controlled.</p> <p>That standards attached to permitted activity consents must be more rigorous.</p> <p>That those making compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste should be required to carry out annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of such activities and effects at their own rather than ratepayer expense.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|-------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 70 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.7. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 71 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.8. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 72 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 8.M.9. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 73 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.1.3. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 74 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Integrated management of the Marlborough environment | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That scientific reports on environmental issues are also easily accessed by the public.</p> <p>That the dissemination of information about natural resources and their use to the wider public becomes policy.</p> <p>That compliance monitoring results be made publically available.</p> <p>That the Marlborough District Council website include a list of easily-searched resource consents including those associated with discharges to land of wastewater and solid waste, and salmon farm E.S. levels. Monitoring reports should be immediately posted, along with other relevant documents such as hearings documents.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 75 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.3. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 76 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.8. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 77 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That a requirement that dairy farms, all farms with high nutrient leaching risk and those with non-compliance issues write a Farm Environment Plan (FEP) (including a nutrient management plan) which is regularly audited with a requirement for practices identified as risking damage to the environment to be corrected within a designated timeframe.</p> <p>The FEP must include an assessment of the adverse environmental effects, risks associated with the farming activities and how those effects and risks will be managed, including irrigation, application of nutrients, effluent application, stock exclusion from waterways, ofal pits and farm rubbish pits. FEP should be audited annually then less often if practices consistently reach a high standard.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 78 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That new vineyards require resource consent. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 79 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.8. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 80 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.9. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 81 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.10. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 82 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.28.11. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 83 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 84 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 85 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the provisions support the following best practice: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 86 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new standard is included under heading 3.3.28: <i>Standard 3.3.28.X ALL dairy farmers must use the Dairy NZ Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (or similar) to assess how much storage their system needs based on soil type and rainfall records.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 87 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provisions support the following best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 88 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provisions support the following best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 89 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the provisions support the following best practice:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity and The discharge rate should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 90 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standard is included under heading 4.3.27:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.27.X ALL dairy farmers must use the Dairy NZ Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (or similar) to assess how much storage their system needs based on soil type and rainfall records.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 91 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. • All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. • That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 92 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. • All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. • That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 93 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 94 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> That livestock be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands with suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. That sheep are also excluded where they are being break-fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. <p>Another way to clarify requirements for stock exclusion to rivers could be to use the rivers listed in Table 15.1 Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation as a basis for excluding stock.</p> <p>A measure of the effects of stock access to water ways could be that there is no obvious heavy pugging or bare soil due to repeated or intense stock trampling alongside or within the beds of rivers.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 95 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.11.4. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 96 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 97 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 98 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.31.1. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 99 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.26. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that wineries be required to carry out and pay for annual, independently audited, annual monitoring of wastewater management. Results would be publically available with repeat offenders publicised. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 100 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 101 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 102 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 103 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 104 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We seek that 3.3.33.5 also require that piles of agricultural solid waste including manure be banded to capture leachate. We also seek a rule setting a volume limit for such piles as the rule now stands, they could be massive with correspondingly large effect. Note these have been included in submission point #106. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 105 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 106 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new standards are included under heading 3.3.33:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.33.x Any pit, stack or stockpile must be banded.</i></p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.33.y The volume of solid waste within any pit, stack or stockpile must not exceed x m3.</i></p> <p>The submission does not include a maximum volume of solid waste that be within pits, stacks or stockpiles.</p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 107 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the additional standards are included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recycling sprayers be used on Sensitive free-draining soils. • Recycling sprayers be used on vineyards neighbouring Significant Wetlands. • Having used agrichemicals, winegrowing companies be required to measure soil and water for spray residues, at a cost to the grower, not ratepayers. • Grape growers be required to submit spray diaries to the Marlborough District Council on request. • Grape growers must notify neighbours if spraying. • The application must not result in agrichemical being deposited in or onto any nearby property. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 108 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>We seek that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The description is extended to include free-draining riverbed soils including berms. The description is extended to soils in close proximity to estuaries and inlets. The classification of Rarangi as a Soil Sensitive Area because of the free draining soils the water from these wells is vulnerable to contamination. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 109 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new rule is included as a prohibited activity in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p><i>3.7.x CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 110 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new rule is included as a prohibited activity in the Coastal Environment Zone:</p> <p><i>4.7.x CCA treated posts in Soil Sensitive Areas.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 111 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.4.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.9.4.3. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 112 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.17.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.3.17.1. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 113 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.19. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.19. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 114 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.8. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 115 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.9. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 116 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.10. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 117 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.7.11. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 118 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.14.3(b). | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 119 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.14.12. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 120 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.9.5.3. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 121 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.22.1. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 122 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 3.3.14.9. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 123 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.2.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.39.2.2. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 124 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Rarangi wetlands is included in Rule 2.6.4. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 125 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new prohibited activity is included under 2.6: <i>2.6.x Take, use, damming and diversion of Lake Elterwater.</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 126 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new rule is included as prohibited activities: <i>3.7.x Permitting cattle and deer entering any Significant Wetland or the bed of any lake.</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 127 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following new rule is included as prohibited activities (<i>inferred</i>): <i>4.7.x Permitting cattle and deer entering any Significant Wetland or the bed of any lake.</i> | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 128 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That a definition of the "edge" of a wetland is included in the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 129 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a process to identify and add Significant Wetlands which have been missed, after the Plan is notified, is included in the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 130 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 131 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain indigenous biodiversity and indigenous vegetation clearance protection initiatives objectives and policies. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 132 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.2. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 133 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.11. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 134 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 135 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 4.1.10. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 136 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 137 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 7.1.9. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 138 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the clearance of more than 1,000m2 of indigenous forest (over 6 metres) per Computer Register in any 5 year period is changed from a permitted activity to a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 1193 | The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 139 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |

Decision Requested

The Marlborough Environment Centre SEEKS consideration of the following issues and inclusion in the MEP:

1. Consideration of the location and distribution of proposals involving Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs) on a district basis, together with protection of rural resources for organic or biodynamic farming, are important resource management matters for consideration by territorial authorities in carrying out their functions under the RMA.

Potential Adverse Effects

2. The outdoor use of GMOs has a potential to cause significant adverse effects on the environment. Adverse effects could include (inter alia):

(a) biological or ecosystem harm;

(b) harm to other existing or potential forms of land use including:

(i) organic farming (including organic certification and the requirement to be GMO free); and

(ii) agricultural activities dependent on an uncontaminated environmental brand.

3. GMOs have the potential to adversely affect ecological, economic, and resource management values, and the social and cultural well-being of people, communities and tangata whenua.

4. Application of integrated management and a precautionary approach to GMOs under the RMA is the best available technique for managing the potential adverse effects posed by GMOs to other land uses within the district.

Sustainable Management and Part II

5. It is consistent with the sustainable management purpose and Part II of the RMA to establish district plan provisions (e.g. issues, objectives, policies, rules and methods) that manage the release, location and management of GMOs where they have the potential to adversely affect other land use activities.

That the following policies to be adopted by the Council under the Proposed Plan:

a. To adopt a precautionary approach to the management of GMOs by prohibiting the field-testing or release of a GMO.

b. To adopt a resource management framework for the management of GMO that is District specific taking into account environmental, economic and social well-being considerations.

To review the Plan provisions relating to GMOs, particularly if there is new information that becomes available on the benefits/adverse effects of a Genetically Modified Organism activity.

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i> | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i> | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | National Transportation Route | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The National Transportation Route map should be redrafted to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the natural character mapping in Waihinau Bay; AND Remove the natural character overlay from: - The eastern headlands of Waitata Reach (for example, at the entrance to Forsyth and Richmond Bays); and - The headland at the northeastern entrance to Waitata Bay. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do no adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 5 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the natural character mapping in Waihinau Bay; AND Remove the natural character overlay from: - The eastern headlands of Waitata Reach (for example, at the entrance to Forsyth and Richmond Bays); and - The headland at the northeastern entrance to Waitata Bay. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the high natural character overlay from the land on the western side of Crail Bay. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 7 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the high natural character overlay from the land on the western side of Crail Bay. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the Ruakaka and Otanerau Bays; AND The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 9 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove the natural character overlay from the Ruakaka and Otanerau Bays; AND The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping of Waitata, Waihinau, Forsyth and Richmond Bays; AND Remove the ONL mappings of the western headlands at the entrance to Waitata Reach. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the ONL mapping, save for reducing the western extent of the ONL overlay on the southeastern headland at the entrance to Tory Channel. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|---|-------------|
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 16 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 17 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 18 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 19 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 20 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 21 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 22 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 23 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|--|-------------|
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 24 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 25 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 26 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 27 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 28 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 29 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Marine Mammal (Whale) Map 17 is redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Ecologically Significant Marine Sites report. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|-------------|
| 997 | The New Zealand King Salmon Company Limited | 30 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend map 18, so that area 4.17 does not extend into East Bay. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|--|-------------|
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 1194 | The Sunshine Trust | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 897 | Luom Thikim | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 465 | Ray Thomas | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Redo Plan so it protects the tube worm but allows existing marine farms to stay. | | | | | |
| 1136 | Steven Thomas | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 203 | Thomas Norton Te Awaiti Ltd | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5J | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the coastal occupancy charges section from the environment plan. | | | | | |
| 203 | Thomas Norton Te Awaiti Ltd | 2 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the proposed section completely of land linking and one mooring per parcel of land. The bays where new mooring are creating an over crowding may need to look at sharing moorings or pushing out moorings to slightly deeper water and having to row a bit further. In the old days some of our deceased family members rowed from Tory Channel to Picton and back so 150 meter row in a bay is not too far. | | | | | |
| 898 | Luke Thompson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1137 | Sam Thompson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 4 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recommendation to allow the burial of old wire, netting, broken standards and stables from old fences. | | | | | |
| 102 | Donald Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 102 | Donald Thomson | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 110 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | No change keep current policy | | | | | |
| 111 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | To control on activities in the area. ie vehicles, commercial operations, dogs. | | | | | |
| 112 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.M.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Council to also include liasing with adacent land owners and public where the use of vehicles on the foreshore and seabed frontages. Areas of concern Needles rocks to Cape Cambell. | | | | | |
| 113 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Decision is to rezone to another open space zone to exclude camping or keep open zone 3 and prohibit camping in this zone. Currently DOC, private landowners and camp sites are being used and enjoyed by visitors to the area in suitable locations | | | | | |
| 114 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Limited vehicle access. | | | | | |
| 115 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.16.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Leave as current size 20000m3 | | | | | |
| 116 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.41.1. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Keep policy Resource consent not needed. | | | | | |
| 117 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.42.1.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Increase impulse noise level. Explosive and small arms are often louder than 122dBC | | | | | |
| 118 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Keep rule | | | | | |
| 119 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | -For government to assist financially for fencing water ways and providing bridging for stock to cross waterways. Farmers cannot absorb this cost as it would be to much to ask. -To allow intensively farmed cattle that are not dairy milkers (beef cattle) to pass through riverways infrequently ie. once a month for drenching. | | | | | |
| 120 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | -For government to assist financially for fencing water ways and providing bridging for stock to cross waterways. Farmers cannot absorb this cost as it would be to much to ask.-To allow intensively farmed cattle that are not dairy milkers (beef cattle) to pass through riverways infrequently ie. once a month for drenching. | | | | | |
| 121 | Herb Thomson | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To allow intensive farmed livestock to cross a river for infrequent crossing. ie once every few weeks to take to yards for animal health or to another paddock location | | | | | |
| 389 | Thomson Family Trust | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1020 | Peter M Thorne George | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1020 | Peter M Thorne George | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 173 | Thymebank | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We are asking fro the Council to remove the Appendix 6 clause proposing water cut offs to the Springs area in the Wairau Catchment. We are asking that any water decisions are made based on sound science, take into account the impact all users/permit holders have on the Wairau catchment. I would also ask that the Council consider the current allocations of water permit holders have been given and concession or dispensation be made for those that have a very small take in comparison to other users, or those that already operate in a manner that maximises efficiency and minimises water need. | | | | | |
| 399 | Thymebank | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 400 | Thymebank | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|--------|
| 403 | Thymebank | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 405 | Thymebank | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 1272 | Tikao Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Totally withdraw the proposal rule 7.2.1.5.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 1274 | Tikao Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Totally withdraw the proposal rule 7.2.1.5.</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.</p> | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.</p> | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.</p> | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.</p> | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Exclude stock from waterways.</p> | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 833 | Jason Tillman | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 1230 | Wendy Tillman | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 353 | Tim and Franzi Trust | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 54 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I seek the following decision from the local authority: To provide information detailing how the differing levels of flood hazard overlays were determined, have these reviewed and amended or removed where appropriate on our trust property at 65 Cob Cottage Road. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 13 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the proposed Urban Residential 3 Zone to a less sensitive zone such as Business or Industrial 1. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the proposed Urban Residential 3 Zone to a less sensitive zone such as Business or Industrial 1. Change the proposed Floodway Zone on Map 14 applying to the property currently leased to Timberlink to Industrial 2, reflecting current and anticipated future use of the property. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove this requirement in the instance of a fence, wall or some other form of screening established along the boundary. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify this requirement to provide for large sites to be exempt from the requirement in recognition that the sites are large enough to accommodate their own treatment in a similar manner as rural properties and in recognition of the prohibitive cost of connections in the circumstances of some large industrial properties. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposed to be placed on the adjoining land is an appropriate planning decisions in this circumstance. This would also result in no need for the restriction on fence height. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Modify the rules to remove the requirement for compliance within the site. If there is desire to address health and safety matters on sites, include reference to health and safety regulations as the basis for control. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abandon the proposed application of the Urban Residential Zone to the land adjoining the sawmill. Alternatively identify this site as one that is exempt from this standard as has been done for other existing activities that present similar circumstances. 2. Provide LAeq limits that result in the same or higher noise levels in view of the actual circumstances of this immediate locality and also provide for higher noise levels at times when the ambient noise levels are greater than these. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 8 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove this rule in so far as it applies to light spill within the same zone and the same property or alter it to apply to non-industrial activities that may become subject to applications for resource consent for establishment in industrial zones to include protection from light spill.</p> <p><i>As noted in the submission for this point, the submission identifies Standard 12.2.3.3; however, this standard relates to light spill onto adjoining sites not zoned Industrial and have a light spill limit of 2.5 Lux. It is inferred that the submission is relevant to Standard 12.2.3.2 and not Standard 12.2.3.3.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.4.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove this standard or alter it to require screening on boundaries if storage is proposed to be located within 3m of the boundary. Remove the 6m set back. Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposal. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 10 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.4.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove this standard or alter it to require screening on boundaries if storage is proposed to be located within 3m of the boundary. Abandon the proposed Urban Residential Zone proposal. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the requirement to provide an alternative of compliance with the national air quality standards. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 12 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the requirement to provide an alternative of compliance with the national air quality standards. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 13 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.5.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove prohibitions on discharges relating to production activities such as that of the sawmill including those prescribed by Rule 12.5.3 and classify it as either a Discretionary Activity or provide a Non-Complying Activity category and include it in a Discretionary Category. Also remove reference to age of plant. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 14 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.5.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove prohibitions on discharges relating to production activities such as that of the sawmill including those prescribed by Rule 12.5.7 and classify it as either a Discretionary Activity or provide a Non-Complying Activity category and include it in a Discretionary Category. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | An additional policy is required to address effects from decisions related to extending provision for sensitive activities to be encouraged or facilitated to locate near or nearer to activities that could significantly adversely affect the amenities of the sensitive activities is contrary to established planning principles in this regard. | | | | | |
| 460 | Timberlink New Zealand Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.5.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Policy 12.5.6 be changed to include an additional clause or other modification with the effect of recognising existing areas zoned for heavier industrial activities located within or close to Blenheim as a result of historical consequence. Add a policy concerned with recognising the effects of extending or providing for extension of sensitive activities or areas by way of subdivision, zoning, development or resource consents towards relatively 'high effects' activities or areas. That policy should prescribe that decisions to do this will not be made unless special circumstances prescribed a need for it and the effects on the occupiers of both zones could be avoided, remedied or mitigated or accommodation of it provided for in a manner that did not adversely affect the interests of the zone being extended towards. Further policy should be added to the effect that the particular nature of industrial areas and particularly with regard to the effects they may have on more sensitive activities and areas will be provided for by protection of them from reverse sensitivity effects resulting from decisions to extend or permit extension or location of sensitive activities in close enough proximity to them to cause reverse sensitivity effects. | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 60 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Significant Wetland Areas W220 and W799 be re-defined to accurately record the true boundaries of the wetlands. | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 28 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the boundaries of the Level 2 Flood Hazard Area and the Level 3 Hood Hazard Area be re-assessed to more accurately depict Level 2 Flood Hazard and Level 3 Flood Hazard overlay areas in the vicinity. | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Seek clarification as to whether the establishment of a vineyard with the associated improvements would be considered to fall within either of these standards and therefore would not be a permitted activity under the new plan.</p> <p>If so seek amendment of the standards to allow for the establishment of a vineyard in Level 2 and Level 3 Flood Hazard Areas in this region given that the overlay maps are so vast.</p> | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) to Standard 3.3.14.7:</p> <p><i>3.3.14.7 Excavation must not be within a Level 2 3 Flood Hazard Area, or in the Level 4 Flood Hazard Area in the vicinity of Condors Overflow.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 5 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.30 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the various provisions in the Plan as referred to and relating to the WGPA be amended such that the following be provided for:</p> <p>(a) the existing bores and surface takes within the Wairau Valley Groundwater Protection Area are able to be altered or maintained and</p> <p>(b) the ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10m³ and if underground water is struck compaction to be undertaken to reduce leaching.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.14.5 be amended such that the ability to undertake excavation in excess of 10m ³ is provided for and if underground water is struck, compaction is to be undertaken to reduce leaching. | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.17.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Standard 3.3.17.1 be amended such that existing bores and surface takes within the Wairau Valley Groundwater Protection Area are able to be altered or maintained. | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 8 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete freedom camping as a permitted activity under the Open Space 3 Zone rules: 19.1.3 Freedom camping except for in an area identified as a prohibited area for freedom camping in a bylaw made by the Council. | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 9 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions as proposed. | | | | | |
| 475 | Jamie Timms Timms (Timms Family) | 10 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provisions as proposed. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 761 | Hilda Timoti | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1196 | Tiracaan Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1196 | Tiracaan Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1196 | Tiracaan Limited | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove High Natural Character designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1196 | Tiracaan Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1196 | Tiracaan Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1196 | Tiracaan Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In general, to weight the level of natural character of landscapes which have been highly modified by ongoing human activity as less than the level of natural character of landscapes which are pristine or only slightly modified. In particular, to amend the relevant planning map to remove the Outstanding Natural Landscape designations over the Port Ligar area objected to. | | | | | |
| 1162 | Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i> That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1162 | Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u> | | | | | |
| 1162 | Tom and Suzanne Jeffries and 11 Others | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <u>Policy 5.8.4 _____ Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u> | | | | | |
| 1197 | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1197 | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1197 | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1197 | Tory Channel Aquaculture Limited | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8405; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new Controlled Activity Rule and associated Matters over which the Council has reserved control as follows -</p> <p>Rule:</p> <p><i>"A single mooring for each title in Maori Freehold title that relies on water access due to either there being no road access and where there is road access in the circumstances of this being impracticable for reasonable ease of access."</i></p> <p>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</p> <p><i>"- Specific location;</i></p> <p><i>- the type and specifications for the mooring;</i></p> <p><i>- maintenance;</i></p> <p><i>- consent period."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following new Permitted Activity rule -</p> <p><i>" Customary fishing methods including but not restricted to spear, gathering, net, line or dredging."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following new Permitted Activity rule -</p> <p><i>" Casual anchoring for cultural and customary purposes."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Permitted Activity standards is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain and enhance the quality of natural resources and physical resources so as to protect the continuing viability of production activities in the coastal marine area."</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Policy under the Objective as follows - "Occupancy charges will not be introduced until all marine farms and other activities that may be subject to them have been assessed and any such charges are implemented for all farms at the same time, subject to any variances that may result from consideration of specific circumstances. The will be consideration of variances that may exist on a farm by farm basis." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a Policy under the Objective as follows - <i>"Coastal occupancy charges will:</i> <i>(a) be establish by.... (specific details not provided by Submitter);</i> <i>(b) be for the following amounts.... (specific details not provided by Submitter);</i> <i>(c) be set and charged using a public process, including consultation with all interested organisations prior to be established and implemented;</i> <i>(d) be charged by.... (specific details not provided by Submitter)."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Where there is an application by a resource consent holder to request a waiver (in whole or in part) of a coastal occupation charge, the following circumstances will be considered:</i> <i>(a) the extent to which the occupation is non-exclusive;</i> <i>(b) whether the opportunity to derive public benefit from the occupation is at least the same or greater than if the occupation did not exist;</i> <i>(c) whether the occupation is temporary and of a non-recurring nature;</i> <i>(d) whether the applicant is a charitable organisation, trust or community or residents association, and if so:</i> <i>(i) the nature of the activities of that organisation; and</i> <i>(ii) the responsibilities of that organisation;</i> <i>(e) whether the applicant is a marine farm not achieving profitability."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following: (a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation; (b) monitoring equipment; (c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area; (d) retaining walls; and (e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such time as those resource consents expire;</i> <i>(f) marine farms</i> <i>(g) marine farm and aquaculture activities will be either partially or wholly waived in respect of Maori interests pursuant to the distinction Maori interests and values and culture has and is recognised in the RMA as having from the wider community; and</i> <i>(h) under circumstances of financial distress that may result in sites becoming abandoned or run-down due to reduced financial resources."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Issue <i>(specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter)</i> as follows - <i>"Recognise and provide for environmental compensation or offsets including but not restricted to Biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised."</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Issue <i>(specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter)</i> as follows - <i>"Recognise the importance of facilitating practicable access to Maori owned land that is freehold or otherwise or land leased in perpetuity to Maori or Maori organisations and that this often requires establishment of a mooring or other forms of access facility or structures which may include the need to located with within areas identified as being sensitive, requiring protection or being of natural, high or outstanding natural character. Any such policy should include means by which effects can be avoided, remedied or mitigated and require these to be included in any application for resource consent for such a facility. Such policy should also provide for anchoring for cultural customary and purposes. This is in line with a request in this submission for a rule providing for this."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - "Where natural character is classified as high or very high, significant avoid, remedy or mitigate any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies." | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - "Recognise and provide for environmental compensation or biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised." (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - "Recognise that there may be locations within areas identified as having natural character of either a high, very high or outstanding quality within any of the levels prescribed in Policy 6.1.3 that result in development being potentially appropriate within a specific defined location despite being within a wider area identified as having of high, very high or outstanding natural character." (Inferred) | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - "Coastal occupancy charges will not be utilised or charged as a source of revenue with or for a profit, and records of their expenditure and the reasons for their expenditure and the tangible environmental outcome will be reported on an annual basis and specific projects and the budgeted cost of these on which the charges are based will be provided, be publicly accessible and be reviewed on an annual basis through a public process involving consultation and allowing submissions." | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission. | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Issue 7A | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Issue (specific Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter) as follows - " Provide recognition of and provision for environmental compensation or offsets including but not restricted to Biodiversity offsets for effects that may result in beneficial outcomes in regard to the resource being affected or utilised. " <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: <i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i> <i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i> <i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</i> <i>(d) significant historic heritage value."</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - "Areas of the coastal environment where the adverse effects from particular activities and/or forms of subdivision, use or development are to be avoided, remedied or mitigated are clearly identified." | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers. Identification of areas must be in consultation with iwi. " | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 28 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows - " Fishing by any method. " | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission. Inferred relates to Chapter 13.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific wording of new Issue, Objectives and Policies is not provided in the Submission. Inferred relates to Chapter 13.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (<i>specific Issue or Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i>) as follows - "Consideration and decisions relating to use and development of the Coastal Environment will include ensuring access to and from Maori owned land that is freehold or otherwise or land leased in perpetuity to Maori or Maori organisations and recognised ancestral sites and localities is either protected, or enhanced or facilitated or provided as may be appropriate in each particular circumstance." (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (<i>specific Issue or Objective of relevance not specified by Submitter</i>) as follows - "Recognise the importance of the coastal environment as a productive resource to the economy of the district and that decisions relating to formulation of policy and decisions on proposals for development and use of the resource, particularly for marine farming and aquaculture, should include consideration of this in view of its importance to the overall health, welfare and social and cultural and economic wellbeing of the community." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Specific decision requested on the Policy wording is not clear in the Submission.</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (<i>the Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i>) as follows - "The objectives and policies of Chapter 3 apply to all other provisions in the Plan." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (<i>the Chapter, Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i>) as follows - "Recognise that adverse effects are generally defined in the RMA environment as either less than minor, minor, more than minor or significant and that policy relating to adverse effects should be written in such a manner as recognizes and provides for this variation." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 233 | Totaranui Limited | 36 | Volume 1 | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy (<i>the Chapter, Issue and Objective under which the policy should be placed have not been identified in the Submission</i>) as follows - "Policies using the word 'Avoid' should also be in a manner that includes recognition that adverse effects may be not be avoided but that any such adverse effects might be remedied." <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 1 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain designation boundary (B42) at our northern property boundary. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 2 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.2.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Submission states: <i>Refer below for more detail.</i> Inferred that this is referring to submission point 3 Volume 1 Chapter 11 Methods of Implementation 11.M.4, which states: <i>Amend the plan to make it clear that farming production support structures are excluded.</i> | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 3 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | 11.M.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the plan to make it clear that farming production support structures are excluded. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Environment Plan - Zoning Maps to remove the Floodway Zone from our property back to the land title boundary to match the designation boundary. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 5 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.16.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | In the event that the Floodway Zone for the area on our property is not removed, retain Permitted Activity 21.1.17 (Farming undertaken by any person) and delete Standard 21.3 .16.1 ie remove requirement for authorisation by Council formal agreement. And any other amendments , including consequential amendments, to address the submission points raised. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 6 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add clear definitions of the terms "Floodway" and "Stopbank Defence System". | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 7 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Environment Plan Zoning Maps to remove the Open Space 3 Zone in this location. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 8 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Council to ratify and act on Policy 9.3.6. We encourage Council take action to enhance public access and amenity within the considerable areas of potentially attractive open space of the Wairau River Floodway Zone. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 9 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9.AER.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the wording to focus on improving appropriateness and quality of public access and open space reserves/strips rather than focusing on numbers of reserves/strips. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 10 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain policy as worded. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 11 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Act on the Section 32 analysis that a tougher stance on requiring the provision of open space is not required. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 13 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.8 (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 14 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9(a) (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 15 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9(b) (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 16 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10(b) (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 17 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 23 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Re-map Flood Hazard Area 23 for our property at an appropriate scale with consultation between Council and ourselves as landowner. Determine those areas of the property that could meet Level 1 criteria. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 18 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10 (a). | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | As part of the standard 3.2 .1.15 clarify 'structure' such that conventional farming production support structures including fences , posts, gates, rails, yards, stock water supply infrastructure, grape support posts are permitted in Level 2 Flood Hazard Areas. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 20 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.10 and include that Council improves its maintenance of the Cravens Creek outlet to minimize ponding and to meet agreed levels of service. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 21 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Council gives urgent attention to lowering the Selmes to SH1 reach of the aggraded Wairau floodway to bring back to the agreed level of service in line with Policy 11.1.4. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 22 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.6 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 23 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Council raises the level of maintenance with respect to the Cravens Creek outlet channel and outfall to river to ensure acceptable levels of service to our property and neighbouring upstream property. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 24 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 149 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Incentivise landowners actively improving biodiversity of significant wetlands. For example, not requiring resource consent. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 25 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 149 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the currently misaligned boundaries of the 2 wetlands. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 26 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Permit non- indigenous vegetation clearance when being done to maintain and enhance existing wetlands. We request that the Council drainage team be able authorise and if necessary carry out this minor work (possibly on a fair cost recovery basis. | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 27 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.3.13.4 allowing cultivation up to fenced wetland boundary | | | | | |
| 319 | Clive Tozer | 28 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 3.3.12. 7 to allow wheeled or tracked machinery within 8m of a significant wetland. | | | | | |
| 1195 | Transport Investments Limited | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--|-----------------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the commentary in relation to national policy statements and national environmental standards in the 'Background' as follows:</p> <p><i>“Central government can also prepare national environmental standards: technical standards relating to the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources. Such national standards provide an opportunity to promote nationally the use of consistent standards, requirements or practices. National standards <u>prevails</u> override existing provisions in plans that require a lesser standard. A rule in a plan cannot duplicate or conflict with a provision in a <u>national standard</u>. National environmental standards for air quality, sources of human drinking water, telecommunications facilities, electricity transmission and managing contaminants in soil have effect.</i></p> <p><i><u>The National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008 (NPSET) and the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities 2009 (NESETA) only apply to the National Grid and do not apply to electricity distribution. The NPSET recognises the national significance of the National Grid in its entirety. The NPSET facilitates the operation, maintenance and upgrade of the existing transmission network and the establishment of new transmission assets. The NESETA sets out a national framework of permissions and consent requirements for activities on National Grid lines existing at 14 January 2010. Activities include the operation, maintenance and upgrading of existing lines but exclude the development of new lines (post 14 January 2010) and substations.</u>”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>“The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community are at risk if community infrastructure, <u>and particularly nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u>, is not able to operate, <u>upgrade and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the first paragraph of the explanatory text to Issue 4B as follows:</p> <p><i>“We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. <u>Central government has recognised the importance of electricity transmission through the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET), which came into effect in 2008. The NPSET establishes that the need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the National Grid is a matter of national significance. The Objective of the NPSET is to recognise the national significance of the National Grid by facilitating its operation, maintenance, upgrade and development while managing adverse effects of, and on, it. Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid and state highways) also has national importance. It is important that this strategic infrastructure is able to operate, <u>upgrade and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, nNew infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.”</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i></p> <p>Objective 4.2 – Efficient, effective and safe operation, <u>upgrade and development of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u></p> <p><i>The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate <u>and develop</u> efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i></p> <p>Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise and provide for the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower New Zealand Limited);</p> <p>...</p> <p><i>The policy identifies infrastructure considered regionally significant due to its contribution to the social and economic wellbeing or health and safety of a large proportion of Marlborough’s population, or because of its strategic importance nationally. These benefits will be taken into account when developing district and regional rules and when considering resource consent applications, notices of requirement and plan change requests. This policy recognises the significance of the infrastructure existing or consented at the time that the MEP becomes operative.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“[RPS, C, R, D]</i></p> <p>Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other subdivision, use and development activities.</p> <p><i>The effective and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure can be protected by avoiding the establishment of incompatible activities in close proximity to the infrastructure in the first place. This policy recognises that there has already been significant investment in the infrastructure and that there are usually considerable difficulties relocating the infrastructure in the event of conflict with other land uses. In respect of the electricity transmission network, it is a requirement of the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (NPSET) for decision makers to manage activities to avoid reverse sensitivity effects on the network <u>to ensure that the National Grid is not compromised as much as possible.</u>”</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following Policy:</p> <p><i><u>"[RPS, C, R, D]</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Policy 4.2.x - Enable the operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of essential network utilities, including the National Grid, throughout Marlborough.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>A number of National Grid assets are located in and traverse Marlborough, including substations, transmission lines, submarine cables and telecommunications equipment. These assets are of critical importance to the health, safety, wellbeing and prosperity of people and communities across New Zealand. This policy recognises the need to operate, maintain, upgrade and develop the National Grid as a matter of national importance."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following Policy:</p> <p><i><u>"[C, R, D]</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Policy 4.2.x – When considering the environmental effects of National Grid activities, to have regard to:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) the national, regional and local benefits of sustainable, secure and efficient electricity transmission;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) the locational, technical and operational requirements that constrain measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(c) the extent to which any adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated by route, site and method selection;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(d) the extent to which existing adverse effects have been reduced as part of any substantial upgrade;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(e) the effects on urban amenity (including town centres) areas of high recreational or amenity value and existing sensitive activities.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(f) adverse effects on outstanding natural landscapes, areas of high natural character, town centres, areas of high recreation value and existing sensitive activities, including the extent to which adverse effects can be avoided.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>When considering an application for resource consent(s) or notice of requirement for National Grid activities, the Council will have regard to the positive and adverse effects on the environment associated with the activity. This policy provides guidance on the matters that are relevant to this consideration."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 4.M.6 as follows:</p> <p><i><u>"4.M.6 Identification</u></i></p> <p><i><u>The National Grid electricity transmission network will be identified on the planning maps. This will allow the other methods to be applied to manage the adverse effects of third parties on the National Grid transmission network."</u></i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 4.M.8 as follows:</p> <p><i>“4.M.8 Designations</i> <i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying, <u>developing</u> and protecting <u>nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u>. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.9 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>“4.M.9 District and regional rules</i> <i>Rules will be used to <u>provide for</u> enable activities associated with the operation, maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement <u>development of nationally and regionally significant infrastructure</u>. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities. Rules will be used to control the proximity of activities and uses <u>activities</u> in river beds, and in the coastal marine area, that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development in the vicinity of within the National Grid corridor. A buffer corridor for the National Grid transmission lines will be established through rules within which activities will be managed to reduce the risk of electrical hazard, avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and ensure that adverse effects on the structural integrity of the National Grid is not compromised. The width of the corridor will vary depending on the activity, type of National Grid assets and the sensitivity of the network to the activity. This method gives effect to <u>Policy 10 and Policy 11</u> of the NPSET. In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 contain separate rules for the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of <u>establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing National Grid transmission lines. Except as provided for by Regulations, no rules in the MEP apply to such activities.</u>”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4.M.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Method 4.M.10 to replace “Transpower NZ” with <u>“Transpower New Zealand Limited”</u>.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 6.2.1 – Avoid the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values.</i> <i>Where the natural character of the coastal environment is outstanding, Section 6(a) of the RMA indicates that this level of preservation should be retained, particularly when coupled with the similar direction in Policy 13 of the NZCPS. This means that <u>the any</u> adverse effects of <u>inappropriate activities</u> on natural character values should be avoided. That is not to say that no subdivision, use or development can occur within the coastal environment - activities may not adversely affect the natural character of the surrounding environment, or may include features or benefits that maintain the existing levels of natural character. <u>In addition there are some activities, for instance National Grid assets, which have a technical, functional or operational need to locate in the coastal environment. This is recognised by Policy 6 of the NZCPS.</u></i> <i>For freshwater bodies there is also a requirement in Section 6(a) to preserve the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins and to protect this natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Having regard to Policy 6.1.5, the Council has assessed the values of rivers and lakes and their level of significance in order to give effect to Section 6(a). In undertaking this assessment, the Council has determined that where the freshwater values are high or very high, then adverse effects on these values should be avoided, <u>except where the avoidance of adverse effects is not possible due to the technical, functional or operational requirements of nationally significant infrastructure.</u>"</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 6.2.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 6.2.2 – Avoid significant adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.</i> <i>The degree of adverse effects on coastal natural character is an important consideration under Policy 13(1)(b) of the NZCPS. Where the extent of change in the coastal environment from subdivision, use or development causes significant adverse effects on natural character, the NZCPS states those effects should be avoided. There is therefore a threshold beyond which remediation and/or mitigation of those adverse effects is not an appropriate management option. It is acknowledged that there are some activities that have a technical, functional and operational need to be located in areas identified as having natural character, such as the linear infrastructure that is nationally significant. The adverse effects of such activities cannot be avoided in all cases. That threshold will be determined on a case-by-case basis through the resource consent or plan change process. The significance of the adverse effect will depend on the nature of the proposal, the natural character context within which the activity is proposed to occur and the degree of change to the attributes that contribute to natural character in that context.</i> <i>..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows:</p> <p><u>"Policy 6.2.8 – Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character, except where nationally significant infrastructure has a locational, technical or operational need to traverse rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area.</u></p> <p><i>The proximity of land use activity to rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area has a significant influence on the potential for adverse effects on natural character. The closer the activity, the greater the potential for modification to the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character. For this reason, land use activities will be required to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area. The setback will be implemented through permitted activity standards and application can be made for resource consent to undertake an activity within the setback. The adverse effects of any such proposal will be assessed against the provisions of this chapter, <u>and Chapter 4 where the proposal is for regionally significant infrastructure.</u>"</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 7.2.5 as follows:</p> <p><u>" Policy 7.2.5 – Avoid adverse effects of inappropriate subdivision, use and development on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</u></p> <p><i>Where resource consent is required to undertake a particular activity in an outstanding natural feature or landscape, this policy provides a clear preference for avoiding adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape. This does not mean that there can be no new resource use within outstanding natural features or landscapes; rather, the use or development of natural and physical resources may be able to be undertaken in a way that the quality and significance of the values is not diminished. Alternatively, adverse effects may be able to be remedied through careful planning or remedial works. Policy 7.2.7 provides further guidance in this regard. The option of remedying adverse effects on landscape values does not apply to activities occurring within the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZCPS requires that such adverse effects are avoided. It is recognised that some activities, for instance National Grid assets, have a technical, functional or operational need to locate within an outstanding natural feature or landscape and that the adverse effects of such activities cannot be avoided in all cases. Further direction in this regard is provided by Policy 7.2.6."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 7.2.6 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 7.2.6 – Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then <u>any the adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, to the extent reasonably possible, having regard to provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</u></i></p> <p><i>(a) activities involving the <u>upgrade and development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure;</u></i></p> <p>....</p> <p><i>This policy relaxes the direction provided by Policy 7.2.5 for outstanding natural features and landscapes in limited circumstances. These circumstances are described in (a) to (c) and reflect the considerable benefits that the listed activities provide to the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of our community.</i></p> <p><i>Regionally significant infrastructure is essential to allowing our communities to function on a day-by-day basis. This infrastructure may need to be <u>developed or expanded</u> in the future and that expansion may need to occur in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes. In respect of (b), many outstanding natural features and landscapes can already be accessed for passive recreational purposes and the RMA seeks to maintain and enhance these amenity values. ...</i></p> <p><i>The policy does not allow the activities in (a) to (c) to occur without consideration of the impact they may have on outstanding natural features and landscapes. Any adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape must still be <u>remedied or mitigated</u> as much as possible. As adverse effects can occur at various scales, there should also be consideration of the impacts of the proposed activity on the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature or landscape. The policy requires that the overall quality and integrity of the landscape should be retained. This policy does not apply to activities occurring in the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZGPS requires that adverse effects of activities on outstanding natural feature or landscape be avoided."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 7.2.7 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 7.2.7 – Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(i) avoiding visual intrusion on skylines, particularly when viewed from public places;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) avoiding new dwellings in close proximity to the foreshore;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) using reflectivity levels and building materials that complement the colours in the surrounding landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) limiting the scale, height and placement of structures to minimise intrusion of built form into the landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(v) recognising that existing structures may contribute to the landscape character of an area and additional structures may complement this contribution;</i></p> <p><i>(vi) making use of existing vegetation as a background and utilising new vegetation as a screen to reduce the visual impact of built form on the surrounding landscape, providing that the vegetation used is also in keeping with the surrounding landscape character; and</i></p> <p><i>(vii) encouraging utilities to be co-located wherever possible and recognising the locational needs of utilities and regionally significant infrastructure;</i></p> <p>...."</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 8.3.1</p> <p><i>"Policy 8.3.1 – Manage the effects of subdivision, use or development in the coastal environment by:</i> <i>(a) avoiding adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010;</i> <i>(b) avoiding adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are mapped as significant wetlands or ecologically significant marine sites in the Marlborough Environment Plan; or</i> <i>(c) avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects where the areas, habitats or ecosystems are those set out in Policy 11(b) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 or are not identified as significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1 of the Marlborough Environment Plan; and</i> <i>(x) recognising that there will be situations where the operation, maintenance, development and upgrade of the National Grid will result in unavoidable adverse effects.</i></p> <p><i>Policy 11 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) defines a range of priorities so that indigenous biodiversity in the coastal environment is protected. Policy 8.3.1 of the MEP reflects the priority approach of the NZCPS to subdivision, use and development activities within the coastal environment. Policy 8.3.1 also recognises the national significance, and the on-going needs of the National Grid."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 8.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 8.3.2 – Where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i> <i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i> <i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1;</i> <i>(x) in the case of the National Grid, avoided, remedied or mitigated to the extent possible having regard to the National Grid's technical, locational and operational constraints.</i></p> <p><i>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 8.3.8, to the extent that the Policy does not compel biodiversity offsetting.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 9.1.13 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 9.1.13 – When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</i></p> <p><i>..."</i></p> <p><i><u>(x) whether there are restrictions on activities or access imposed by other legislation including the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996.</u></i></p> <p><i>These criteria provide a framework to assist decision makers in assessing the effects on public access to and along the coast, rivers and lakes arising through resource consent applications for subdivision, activities or structures."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.1.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 10.1.5 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 10.1.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources, while recognising that minimal effects, including those associated with maintenance and upgrading, may be acceptable where existing infrastructure is attached to a Category 1 heritage resource."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees | Policy 10.2.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 10.2.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 10.2.3 – Consider approving any application to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees where:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the tree or trees are dying, diseased or have otherwise lost the essential qualities for which the tree was originally identified;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the tree or trees have become, or are likely to become a danger to people or the National Grid; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) the tree or trees are significantly restricting a particular use of the site that offers greater positive effects in terms of historic heritage or amenity values.</i></p> <p><i>..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.21 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 11.1.21 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 11.1.21 – Locate new structures and works (except regionally significant infrastructure, where its location is constrained by technical and operational requirements) to:</i> <i>(a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and</i> <i>(b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause.</i></p> <p><i>Marlborough is characterised by steep terrain and in some locations, unstable geology. Combined with the potential for intense rainfall events, these factors create the potential for slope instability. Examples historically include rock/debris slumps, debris slides or flows, coastal erosion and tunnel gully erosion in various parts of the District. Establishing residential, commercial or industrial development or infrastructure supporting that development or linking our communities in locations prone to land instability will lead to unsustainable outcomes. This policy requires new structures and works to be located in environments that avoid adverse effects caused by land instability. It also addresses the situation of a structure or work exacerbating those adverse effects. The policy will primarily be implemented through the zoning of land and the scale/intensity of activity that the zone rules enable. However, the policy can also be applied in a resource consent context when an assessment of environmental effects for the structure or work identifies a risk of land instability. This includes subdivision undertaken to enable more intensive use of the land. A safe and stable building platform will have to be established for the subdivision of land in certain environments."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.1.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>" Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from <u>inappropriate</u> subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i> <i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i> <i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i> <i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</i> <i>(d) significant historic heritage value.</i></p> <p><i>Policy 13.1.1 identifies four significant matters upon which the adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> activities are to be avoided. These matters are given particular direction through the principles of the RMA (Sections 6(a), (b), (c) and (f)) and through direction provided by Policies 11, 13, 15 and 17 of the NZCPS. However, it is important to acknowledge that implementing the policy does not mean that all activities are prohibited from occurring in the areas with the identified values; it simply makes clear that any adverse effects of <u>inappropriate</u> activities must be avoided in those areas, rather than being mitigated or remedied. <u>Some activities, for instance National Grid assets such as the Cook Strait Submarine Cables and connection to them, have a technical, functional or operational need to locate in the coastal environment. This is recognised by Policy 6 of the NZCPS."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 13.2.2, and particularly clauses (a) and (g) as notified.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.10.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 13.10.1 – Enable structures to be located within the coastal marine area where these are:</i> <i>(a) necessary for the purposes of assisting with navigation of ships/vessels or are temporary in nature for scientific monitoring or research purposes; or</i> <i>(b) National Grid submarine cables within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone.</i></p> <p><i>For safety reasons it is important that navigational aids can be strategically located in Marlborough's coastal marine area. Monitoring equipment for scientific purposes or research is often temporary in nature and does not usually involve significant alteration or occupation of the coastal marine area. An enabling approach to these types of structures is provided for through the rules, subject to standards. <u>Transpower's Cook Strait Cables, located and protected within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone, are nationally significant. It is appropriate to enable the cables given the benefits derived from a safe, reliable and effective and National Grid.</u>"</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.10.10 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 13.10.10 – Coastal structures shall <u>may</u> be required to be removed from the coastal marine area in the following circumstances:</i> <i>(a) where there is no longer a need for the structure;</i> <i>(b) when a coastal permit for a structure expires and no new permit has been sought; or</i> <i>(c) where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused.</i></p> <p><i>There may be circumstances where coastal structures are no longer required or are not granted new resource consents in terms of (b) or (c). Where this is the case it is appropriate for the structure to be removed from the coastal marine area. This will help to achieve Policy 6(2)(e) of the NZCPS by promoting the efficient use of the coastal marine area. This policy will be achieved through conditions imposed on resource consents granted. <u>There are circumstances where the removal of structures in the coastal marine area is not preferred because the removal may not be practicable or the potential adverse effect of the removal may be significant.</u>"</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Objective 13.13, and associated Policies, as notified.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 14.1.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 14.1.3 – Activities and buildings in rural environments should be linked to land-based primary production of Marlborough’s rural resources and/or require a rural location.</i></p> <p><i>Policy 14.1.3 aims to ensure that the potential of rural environments for primary production options is not compromised by activities and/or buildings that do not need to be located within, traverse (such as linear infrastructure) or have an association with rural environments, or which do not rely on the use of rural resources. While a wide range of activities are provided for within rural environments, their establishment will not be allowed to occur in a manner that threatens the sustainable and economic use of rural environments. The safeguarding of rural environments for activities that genuinely require a rural location will ensure that opportunities remain available for accommodating these activities."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.1.11(c) as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(b) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</i> <i>(c) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance work for any regionally significant infrastructure."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 15.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><i>"Policy 15.4.4 – In considering any land use consent application to undertake land disturbance, regard shall be had to:</i> <i>...</i> <i>(f) any potential adverse effects on community water supplies; and</i> <i>(g) whether the land disturbance is necessary for the operation or maintenance of regionally significant infrastructure; and</i> <i>(x) the proximity to, and potential impacts on, the National Grid.</i> <i>..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Issue 18A | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the explanatory text in Issue 18A and make amendments to the PMEP address the issues set out in 18A.</p> <p>Amend the first paragraph in the explanatory text as follows:</p> <p><i>" ... electricity demand is satisfied from the <u>N</u>ational <u>G</u>rid, which runs through Marlborough."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 18.1.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>" ... improving the security of supply and reducing stress on the National Electricity Grid."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 36 | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction | 1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rules throughout Volume 2 to include non-complying activities in a manner that gives effect to Policies 10 and 11 of the NPSET.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 37 | Volume 2 | 1 Introduction | 1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the direction given in the Introduction to Volume 2 to clarify the relationship between, and within the General Rules and the Zone-based Rules as they relate to utilities.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 38 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Rule 2.2.12 as notified.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 39 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following additional Rule in 2.2 Permitted Activities:</p> <p><u>“[R] Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence, amend 2.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</p> <p><u>“Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.</u> <u>2.3.x.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</u> <u>2.3.x.2. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</u> <u>2.3.x.3 The take or damming must not be otherwise provided for by a permitted activity or a resource consent.”</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 40 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following additional Rule in 2.2 Permitted Activities:</p> <p><u>“[R] Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence, amend 2.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</p> <p><u>“Take, use, damming and diversion of water for the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.</u> <u>2.3.x.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</u> <u>2.3.x.2. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</u> <u>2.3.x.3 The take or damming must not be otherwise provided for by a permitted activity or a resource consent.”</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 41 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 2.3.11.2 as follows: <i>"2.3.11.2. The take must relate to a temporary trench excavated for the purposes of the installation or maintenance, upgrade or development of <u>utilities or infrastructure.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 42 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.7.2 as follows: <i>"2.7.2 Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing <u>utilities or structures.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 43 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.7.5 as follows: <i>"2.7.5. Construction or placement of a new structure or utility in, on, under, or over the bed of an ephemeral river."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 44 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.7.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 45 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.7.8 as follows: <i>"2.7.8. Operation, maintenance, replacement and mMinor upgrading in, on , or under the bed of a lake or river of the following utilities: (a) <u>National Grid transmission line and associated cable existing at 9 June 2016; ...</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 46 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Standards in 2.8 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 47 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the heading for Standard 2.9.2 as follows: <i>"2.9.2 Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing <u>utilities or structures.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 48 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the heading for Standard 2.9.5 as follows: <i>"2.9.5. Construction or placement of a new structure <u>or utility</u> in, on, under, or over the bed of an ephemeral river."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 49 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Standards in 2.9.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 50 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 2.9.8 as follows: <i>" 2.9.8. Operation, maintenance, replacement and mMinor upgrading in, on , or under the bed of a lake or river of the following utilities: (ea) National Grid transmission line and associated cable existing at 9 June 2016; ...</i> <i>2.9.8.1. The utility must have been lawfully established.</i> <i>2.9.8.2 The activity must not increase the plan or cross-sectional area of the utility by any more than 5% of the original utility, <u>except that this Standard does not apply to works that do not affect the hydraulic efficiency of the river, such as poles and lattice towers.</u></i> <i>2.9.8.3 There must be no significant change to the external appearance of the utility. <u>Painting a structure is not a significant change for the purposes of this Standard.</u></i> <i>2.9.8.4 No greater than 10% of the cross-sectional area of the bed of a lake or river must be disturbed."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 51 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 2.13.1 to include the following:</p> <p><i><u>"2.13.1.x Within the National Grid Yard: (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules that apply to 'Drainage Channel Network Activity' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following: [R, D] 2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.13.1.x."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 52 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 2.13.1 to include the following:</p> <p><i><u>" 2.13.1.x Within the National Grid Yard: (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules that apply to 'Drainage Channel Network Activity' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following: [R, D] 2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.13.1.x."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 53 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.21. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to 'Discharges to Air' as follows: <i>"These activities apply to <u>the National Grid</u>, roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps".</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 54 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.23. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to 'Discharges to Air' as follows: <i>"These activities apply to <u>the National Grid</u>, roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps".</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 55 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.28.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.28.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 56 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.29.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Standards in Rule 2.29.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 57 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.30.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rules for Notable Trees by including the following new restricted discretionary activity: <i>" 2.x Restricted Discretionary Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <i>2.x.1. Trimming, pruning or felling of a Notable Tree associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade or development of the National Grid that is not provided for as a permitted activity.</i> <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i> <i>2.x.1.1. Where a Notable Tree is trimmed or pruned, the impact on the health, integrity and values that make the tree significant.</i> <i>2.x.1.2. Impact on landscape, ecological, cultural, heritage and amenity values.</i> <i>2.x.1.3. Whether any replanting is proposed.</i> <i>2.x.1.4 The benefit of the work to the safety and efficiency of the National Grid."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 58 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.7. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.34.7 as follows: "2.34.7. Sign displayed on a <u>utility</u>, utility site, or public park or reserve." | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 59 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 2.35 to including the following additional standard: <i>" 2.35.1.x. A sign (except for signs associated with the National Grid) exceeding 2.5m in height: (a) must not be located within the National Grid Yard; and (b) must not restrict or prevent access to the National Grid."</i> As a consequence amend the rules that apply to 'Signage' to include the following new non-comply activity: <u>"2.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.35.1.x."</u> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 60 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 2.35 to including the following additional standard: <i>" 2.35.1.x. A sign (except for signs associated with the National Grid) exceeding 2.5m in height: (a) must not be located within the National Grid Yard; and (b) must not restrict or prevent access to the National Grid."</i> As a consequence amend the rules that apply to 'Signage' to include the following new non-comply activity: <u>"2.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.35.1.x."</u> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 61 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the heading of Standard 2.36.6 as follows: <i>"2.36.6. Sign displayed on a <u>utility</u>, utility site, or public park or reserve."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 62 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 63 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 64 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the 'Network Utilities' provisions and other related provisions in a manner that addresses the matters raised in this submission. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 65 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to the 'Network Utility' provisions to provide clear direction in relation to the way in which the PMEP provisions apply to network utility activities and particularly to structure the provisions to apply, insofar as is practical, as a standalone suite of provisions. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 66 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the introduction to the 'Network Utility' provisions to include the following: <i><u>"Notwithstanding any other rules in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the requirements of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities "NESETA") Regulations 2009 apply to the operation, maintenance, upgrading, relocation or removal of National Grid transmission line(s) that were operating or able to be operated on, or prior to, 14 January 2010 and remain part of the National Grid. In the case of conflict with any other provision of the Marlborough Environment Plan, including any provision in this section, the NESETA Regulations shall prevail."</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 67 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.38.1 to clearly distinguish regional plan and district plan provisions. Similarly amend Rule 2.38.1 to also provide for distinct regional coastal plan provisions.</p> <p>As a consequence, also amend other provisions in the PMEP (where they are relevant to network utilities) that take a similar approach to clearly unbundle to align with district and regional functions,</p> <p>and amend Rule 2.38.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.38.1 Network utility infrastructure listed as follows: (x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks. (a) an electricity distribution line or facility; (b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 68 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.38.2 as follows:</p> <p>"[R,-D] <i>2.38.2. Telecommunications and National Grid lines or cables in, on, under or over the bed of a lake or river.</i>"</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 69 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.38.4 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.38.4. Maintenance and replacement of the following network utilities existing at 9 June 2016: (x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks. (a) an electricity distribution line or facility; (b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 70 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.5. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.38.5 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.38.5. Minor upgrading of the following network utilities existing at 9 June 2016: (x) National Grid transmission lines, substations, telecommunications cables and associated access tracks. (a) an electricity <u>distribution</u> line or facility: (b) a telecommunication line or facility; ..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 71 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.38.6 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.38.6. Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility including their associated access tracks existing at 9 June 2016. No other rules in the Marlborough Environment Plan apply."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 72 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>"[D] 2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard."</i></p> <p>As a consequence, amend the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><i>"2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard 2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard. 2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001."</i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>" 2.x Non-Complying Activities Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following: [D] 2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2."</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|--------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 73 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>[D]</i> <u>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p>As a consequence, amend the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><u>2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard</u> <u>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u> <u>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>2.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 74 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rules in 2.38 to include the following:</p> <p><i>[D]</i> <u>2.38.x Network utilities within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p>As a consequence, amend the Standards in 2.39 to include the following:</p> <p><u>2.39.x. Network utilities within the National Grid Yard</u> <u>2.39.x.1 The reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes shall not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u> <u>2.39.x.2 Utility buildings and structures shall comply with NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules that apply to 'Network Utilities' to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>2.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>2.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 2.39.x.1 or 2.39.x.2."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 75 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>"2.39.1.9 A new line (excluding a National Grid transmission line), including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Open Space 1 or Open Space 2."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 76 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39.1.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standard 2.39.1.14 in its entirety.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 77 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.42.1. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standards in 2.42.1 to include the following: <i><u>"2.42.1.x Within the National Grid Yard: (a) buildings, temporary structures and activities must meet the safe electrical clearance distances set out in the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001); and (b) no explosives may be used."</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 78 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standards 3.2.1.17. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 79 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.18. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 3.2.1.8. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 80 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert the following new Rule in 3.1: <i><u>"3.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 81 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 3.3:</p> <p><i>“3.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i></p> <p><i>3.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i></p> <p><i>3.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i>3.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</i></p> <p><i>3.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</i></p> <p><i>Advice Note:</i> <i>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>“3.x Non-Complying Activities</i></p> <p><i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i></p> <p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><i>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 82 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 3.3:</p> <p><i><u>“3.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i><u>“3.x Non-Complying Activities</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>[D]</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15.”</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 83 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standard 3.2.1.8.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 84 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new rule in 3.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities:</p> <p><i><u>“[D] 3.5.x Any building or sensitive activity within 90m of the designation boundary of the National Grid Blenheim substation. Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion: 3.5.x.1. The effects on the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the substation. 3.5.x.2. The extent to which the proposed development design and layout enables appropriate separation distances between the development, or activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation. 3.5.x.3 The results of any detailed investigations to determine appropriate separation distances between activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation and any technical advice provided by Transpower New Zealand Limited. 3.5.x.4 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage.”</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 85 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 3.1.15 as follows:</p> <p><i>“ 3.1.15 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 86 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 3.3.8 to include the follows:</p> <p><i>“Advice Note: Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 87 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“ 3.3.11.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive): (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ...”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 88 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 3.3.12.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>“3.3.12.3 <u>Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification.</u>”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 89 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 3.3.15 as follows:</p> <p><i>“3.3.15-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i> <i>3.3.15.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i> <i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track;</i> <i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i> <i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i> <i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i> <i>3.3.15.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure</u> Transmission Tower Support Structure.</i> <i>3.3.15.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure</u> Transpower Tower Support Structure.</i> <i>3.3.15.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line</u> Support Structure.</i> <i>3.3.15.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 90 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the rules in Chapter 3 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>“3.x Non-Complying Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <i>3.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 3.3.x and Standard 3.3.15.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 91 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.15. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.2.1.15. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 92 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.2.1.16. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete Standard 4.2.1.16. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 93 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert the following new Rule in 4.1: | | | | | |
| | <u>"4.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 94 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 4.3:</p> <p><i><u>“4.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i><u>“ 4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>[D]</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.”</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 95 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 4.3:</p> <p><u>“4.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></p> <p><u>4.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><u>Advice Note:</u> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>“4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.”</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 96 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.14. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 4.1.14 as follows:</p> <p><u>“4.1.14 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard.”</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 97 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 4.3.7 to include the follows:</p> <p><u>“Advice Note:</u> <u>Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 98 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 4.3.10.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"4.3.10.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive): (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 99 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 4.3.11.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"4.3.11.3 <u>Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid.</u> Vvegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 100 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.14. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 4.3.14 as follows:</p> <p><i>“4.3.14-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.14.2 to 4.3.14.5 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i></p> <p><i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transmission Tower Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transpower Tower Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).”</i></p> <p>Amend the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i><u>“4.x Non-Complying Activities</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>[D]</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 101 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 4.3.14 as follows:</p> <p><i>“4.3.14 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.14.2 to 4.3.14.5 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i></p> <p><i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i>–(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transmission Tower Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure Transpower Tower Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.14.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).”</i></p> <p>Amend the rules in Chapter 4 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>“4.x Non-Complying Activities</i></p> <p><i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i></p> <p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><i>4.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 4.3.x and Standard 4.3.14.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 102 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.18. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standard 5.2.1.18.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 103 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new restricted discretionary activity rule in Chapter 5:</p> <p><u>"5.x Restricted Discretionary Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>5.x.x Any building, sensitive activity within 90m of the designation boundary of the National Grid Blenheim substation.</u> <u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u> <u>5.x.x.1. The effects on the efficient operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the substation.</u> <u>5.x.x.2. The extent to which the proposed development design and layout enables appropriate separation distances between the development, or activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation.</u> <u>5.x.x.3 The results of any detailed investigations to determine appropriate separation distances between activities sensitive to National Grid lines and the substation and any technical advice provided by Transpower New Zealand Limited.</u> <u>5.x.x.4 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 104 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standards 7.2.1.10.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 105 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standards 7.2.1.11.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 106 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new Rule in 7.1:</p> <p><u>"7.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 107 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 7.3:</p> <p><u>“7.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></p> <p><u>7.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>7.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated with an existing residential activity that is less than 10m² and under 2.5m in height.</u></p> <p><u>7.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>7.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><u>Advice Note:</u> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</u></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>“7.x Non-Complying Activities</u></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>[D]</u></p> <p><u>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10.”</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 108 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 7.3:</p> <p><i>“ 7.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i> <i>7.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i> <i>7.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</i> <i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height: or</i> <i>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated with an existing residential activity that is less than 10m² and under 2.5m in height.</i> <i>7.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>7.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</i> <i>Advice Note:</i> <i>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.”</i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>“7.x Non-Complying Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <i>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 109 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.1.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 7.1.12 as follows:</p> <p><i>“ 7.1.12-Excavation or filling Earthworks within-a the National Grid Yard.”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 110 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 7.3.7.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>“7.3.7.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 7.3.7.3 to 7.3.7.6 (inclusive):</i> <i>(x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ...”</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 111 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.8.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 7.3.8.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>" 7.3.8.3 <u>Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 112 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 7.3.10 as follows:</p> <p><i>"7.3.10 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i></p> <p><i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for reticulation and storage or water for irrigation purposes).</i></p> <p><i>(b) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge <u>of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line support structure.</u></i></p> <p><i>7.3.10.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> <p>Amend the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>"7.x <u>Non-Complying Activities</u></i></p> <p><i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i></p> <p><i>[D]</i></p> <p><i>7.x.1 <u>Any activity that does not meet the Standard in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 113 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 7.3.10 as follows:</p> <p><u>"7.3.10 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u> 7.3.10.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule: (a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track; (b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for reticulation and storage or water for irrigation purposes). (b) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire; (c) Excavation of a hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation. 7.3.10.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u> 7.3.10.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge <u>of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line tower support structure.</u> 7.3.10.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line</u> support structure. 7.3.10.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</p> <p>Amend the rules in Chapter 7 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>"7.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>7.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standard in 7.3.x and Standard 7.3.10."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 114 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standards 12.2.1.9.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 115 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.1.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Delete Standard 2.2.1.10.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 116 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new Rule in 12.1:</p> <p><u>"12.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 117 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 12.3:</p> <p><u>"12.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u> <u>12.3.x.1 Sensitive activities, buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height.</u> <u>12.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u> <u>Advice Note:</u> <u>(a) Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.</u> <u>(b) The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of structures and activities in relation to the lines. Compliance with the NZECP34:2001 is mandatory under the Electricity Act 1992. Compliance with the permitted activity status in this Plan does not ensure compliance with the NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 118 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the new Standards in 12.3:</p> <p><u>"12.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u> <u>12.3.x.1 Sensitive activities, buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height.</u> <u>12.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u> <u>Advice Note:</u> <u>(a) Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.</u> <u>(b) The New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001) contains restrictions on the location of structures and activities in relation to the lines. Compliance with the NZECP34:2001 is mandatory under the Electricity Act 1992. Compliance with the permitted activity status in this Plan does not ensure compliance with the NZECP34:2001."</u></p> <p>As a consequence amend the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 119 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.30. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.1.30 as follows:</p> <p><u>"12.1.30 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 120 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.19. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 12.3.19 as follows:</p> <p><i>"12.3.19-Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i> <i>12.3.19.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 12.3.19.2 to 12.3.19.5 (inclusive):</i> <i>(a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track:</i> <i>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</i> <i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</i> <i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</i> <i>12.3.19.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</i> <i>12.3.19.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</i> <i>12.3.19.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line Support Structure.</i> <i>12.3.19.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> <p>Amend the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <i>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 121 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 12.3.19 as follows:</p> <p><u>"12.3.19 Excavation or filling Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u> 12.3.19.1 Excavation Earthworks within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 12.3.19.2 to 12.3.19.5 (inclusive): (a) Excavation that is earthworks undertaken as part of agricultural, <u>horticultural</u> or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track: <u>(b) earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes).</u> <u>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire;</u> <u>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticultural structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation.</u> 12.3.19.2 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</u> 12.3.19.3 The earthworks excavation must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a <u>foundation of a National Grid Transmission line Tower Support Structure.</u> 12.3.19.4 The earthworks excavation must not compromise the stability of a National Grid <u>transmission line Support Structure.</u> 12.3.19.5 The earthworks filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</p> <p>Amend the rules in Chapter 12 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>"12.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>12.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 12.3.x and Standard 12.3.19."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 122 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 16.1.9 as follows:</p> <p><u>"16.1.9 Installation, operation, maintenance, repair and upgrade of National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables including the following:</u> <u>(a) occupation of the coastal marine area;</u> <u>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed and associated discharges;</u> <u>(c) the discharge of heat to coastal water; and</u> <u>(d) associated lighting, navigational aids and signs.</u>Repair, maintenance or replacement of the existing subsurface Cook Strait cable."</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 123 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 16.2 to include the following:</p> <p><i>" 16.2.x Activities in the vicinity of the National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables</i> <i>16.2.x.1 Except for works associated with the National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables, there shall be no disturbance, anchoring, mooring or occupation of the foreshore immediately adjacent to Transpower New Zealand Limited's Fighting Bay Terminal Station.</i> <i>Advice Note:</i> <i>The Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996, the associated Submarine Cables and Pipeline Protection Order 1992, and Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Amendment Order 1999 contain further restrictions on fishing and anchoring within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone. "</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 124 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 16.3.7 as follows:</p> <p><i>"16.3.7. Installation, operation, maintenance, repair and upgrade of National Grid Cook Strait submarine cables including the follows:</i> <i>(a) occupation of the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(b) disturbance of the foreshore or seabed and associated discharges;</i> <i>(c) the discharge of heat to coastal water; and</i> <i>(d) associated lighting, navigational aids and signs.</i><i>Repair, maintenance or replacement of the existing subsurface Cook Strait cable.</i> <i>16.3.7.1. No more than 500m³ of material must be disturbed in any one calendar year.</i> <i>16.3.7.12. The repair, maintenance or replacement works must not adversely affect navigational safety.</i> <i>16.3.7.32. There must be no contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 125 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><i>" [D]</i> <i>18.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 126 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><u><i>"[D]</i></u> <i>18.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 127 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><i>"18.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</i> <i>18.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</i> <i>18.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</i> <i>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height; or</i> <i>(b) an uninhabited accessory building that is less than 10m² and under 2.5m in height.</i> <i>18.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>18.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</i> <i>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 128 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><i>"18.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i> <i>18.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures the reticulation or storage of water for irrigation purposes) are exempt from the following standards:</i> <i>18.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>18.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>18.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>18.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 129 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 18:</p> <p><i>"18.x Non-Complying Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <i>18.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 18.3.x and Standard 18.3.x."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 130 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 18.3.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>" 18.3.3.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 18.3.3.3 to 18.3.3.4: (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 131 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.4.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 18.3.4.3 as follows: <i>"18.3.4.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 132 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert the following new provision in Chapter 19: <i>"[D] 19.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 133 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Insert the following new provision in Chapter 19: <i>"[D] 19.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 134 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><i><u>"19.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></i></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height;</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) an uninhabited farm or horticultural structure or building (except where they are commercial greenhouses, wintering barns, produce packing facilities, milking/dairy sheds, structures associated with the reticulation and storage of water for irrigation purposes); or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(c) an uninhabited accessory building associated that is less than 10m² and under 2.5m in height.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>(b) artificial crop protection structures or crop support structures located within 12 metres of a National Grid transmission line support structures that meet requirements of clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>19.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>Advice Note: Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 135 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><i>"19.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</i> <i>19.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures the reticulation or storage of water for irrigation purposes) are exempt from the following standards:</i> <i>19.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>19.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>19.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</i> <i>19.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 136 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 19:</p> <p><i>"19.x Non-Complying Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> <i>[D]</i> <i>19.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 19.3.x and Standard 19.3.x."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 137 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 19.3.2 to include the follows:</p> <p><i>"Advice Note: Planting in the vicinity of the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 138 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.3.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 19.3.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>"19.3.3.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 19.3.3.3 to 19.3.3.5: (x) indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. ..."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 139 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 19.3.4.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"19.3.4.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 140 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><i><u>"[D] 20.1.x Buildings, structures and activities within the National Grid Yard."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 141 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><i><u>"[D] 20.1.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard."</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 142 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><u>"20.3.x. Buildings, structures and activities in the vicinity of the National Grid</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.1 Sensitive activities and buildings for the storage of hazardous substances must not be located within the National Grid Yard.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.2 Buildings and structures must not be located within the National Grid Yard unless they are:</u></p> <p><u>(a) a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height;</u></p> <p><u>(b) an uninhabited accessory building associated that is less than 10m² and under 2.5m in height.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.3 Buildings and structures must not be within 12m of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure unless they are a fence not exceeding 2.5m in height that are located at least 6m from the foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.4 All buildings and structures must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of a conductor or otherwise meet the safe electrical clearance distances required by NZECP34:2001 under all transmission line operating conditions.</u></p> <p><u>Advice Note:</u> <u>Vegetation to be planted around the National Grid should be selected and/or managed to ensure that it will not result in that vegetation breaching the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 143 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><u>"20.3.x Earthworks within the National Grid Yard</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.1 Earthworks within the National Grid Yard undertaken as part of agricultural, horticultural or domestic cultivation or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm track, or earthworks that are undertaken by a network utility operator (excluding buildings or structures for irrigation and dams), is exempt from the following standards:</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.2 The earthworks must be no deeper than 300mm within 6m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.3 The earthworks must be no deeper than 3m between 6m and 12m of the outer visible edge of a foundation of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.4 The earthworks must not compromise the stability of a National Grid transmission line support structure.</u></p> <p><u>20.3.x.5 The earthworks must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 144 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new provision in Chapter 20:</p> <p><u>"20.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[D]</u> <u>20.x.1 Any activity that does not meet the Standards in 20.3.x and Standard 20.3.x."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 145 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Standard 20.3.5.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"20.3.5.3.3 Except when related to the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid, within, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, Pest Plants identified in Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be removed. Any vegetation removed under this Standard must only be done by non-mechanical means."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 146 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 21.2 to include the following:</p> <p><i>"21.2.x. Activities within the National Grid Yard: (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the Rules in Chapter 21 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><u>"21.x Non-Complying Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</u> <u>[R, D]</u> <u>21.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 21.2.x."</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 147 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standards in 21.2 to include the following:</p> <p><i>"21.2.x. Activities within the National Grid Yard: (a) the activity, and associated works must maintain compliance with the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001) at all times; and (b) vegetation planting shall be undertaken to ensure that plants are selected and managed to achieve compliance with the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003."</i></p> <p>As a consequence amend the Rules in Chapter 21 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p><i>"21.x Non-Complying Activities Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following: [R, D] 21.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standard 21.2.x."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 148 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Rule 24.2.1 as notified.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 149 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 24.4.3 as follows:</p> <p><i>"24.4.3 Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land located within 90m of the National Grid Blenheim Substation on Sec 1 SO 4246, Lot 1 DP 8572 and Pt Sec 1 SO 6959 (or any successor)."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 150 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.4.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 24.4.4 as follows:</p> <p>"24.4.4. Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land within the National Grid Corridor. Standards and terms 24.4.4.1 All allotments shall identify a building platform for the principal building and any dwelling/sensitive activity, to be located outside the National Grid Yard. 24.4.4.2 Access to National Grid assets shall be maintained. Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion: 24.4.4.3 The matters set out in 24.3.7.1 to 24.3.7.17. 24.4.4.4 The extent to which the subdivision may adversely affect the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. 24.4.4.5 Technical details of the characteristics and risks on and from the National Grid. 24.4.4.6 The location, design and use of the proposed building platform or structure as it relates to the National Grid transmission line. 24.4.4.7 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage, including compliance with New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001). 24.4.4.8 The nature and location of any vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid transmission lines.</p> <p>As a consequence amend the Rules in Chapter 24 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p>"24.x Non-Complying Activities <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> [D] 24.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standards and Terms in 24.4.4."</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 151 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 24.4.4 as follows:</p> <p>"24.4.4. Except as provided for by Rule 24.2.1, Subdivision of land within the National Grid Corridor. Standards and terms 24.4.4.1 All allotments shall identify a building platform for the principal building and any dwelling/sensitive activity, to be located outside the National Grid Yard. 24.4.4.2 Access to National Grid assets shall be maintained. Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion: 24.4.4.3 The matters set out in 24.3.7.1 to 24.3.7.17. 24.4.4.4 The extent to which the subdivision may adversely affect the operation, maintenance, upgrade and development of the National Grid. 24.4.4.5 Technical details of the characteristics and risks on and from the National Grid. 24.4.4.6 The location, design and use of the proposed building platform or structure as it relates to the National Grid transmission line. 24.4.4.7 The risk of electrical hazards affecting public or individual safety, and the risk of property damage, including compliance with New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001). 24.4.4.8 The nature and location of any vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of the National Grid transmission lines.</p> <p>As a consequence amend the Rules in Chapter 24 to include the following new non-comply activity:</p> <p>"24.x Non-Complying Activities <i>Application must be made for a Non-Complying Activity for the following:</i> [D] 24.x.1 Any activity that does not meet Standards and Terms in 24.4.4."</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 152 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the definition of 'Abrasive blasting' as notified.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 153 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new definition of 'earthworks'</p> <p><i>"Earthworks (when within the National Grid Yard) means any filling, excavation, deposition of or other disturbance of earth, rock or soil on a site, including, raising of the ground level or changes to the profile of the landform; in relation to the installation of services or utilities; the construction of tracks, firebreaks and landings; or root raking and blading."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 154 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of 'Maintenance and replacement' as notified or consider combining with the definition of minor upgrading (including within the rule framework). | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 155 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of 'Minor upgrading' as follows:</p> <p><i>“Minor upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) The addition of circuits and conductors;</i> <i>(b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i> <i>(c) The re-sagging of conductors;</i> <i>(d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i> <i>(e) The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i> <i>(f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading.</i> <p><i>Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 156 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new definition of 'National Grid':</p> <p><i>“National Grid means the network that transmits high-voltage electricity in New Zealand and that is owned and operated by Transpower New Zealand Limited.”</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 157 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new definition of 'National Grid Corridor' as follows:</p> <p><i>"National Grid Corridor means the area located either side of the centreline of any National Grid transmission line as follows :</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>16m for the 110kV lines on pi poles</i> - <i>32m for the 110kV lines on towers</i> - <i>37m for the 220kV transmission lines</i> - <i>39m for the 350kV transmission line."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 158 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of 'National Grid Yard' as follows:</p> <p><i>"National Grid Yard means:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>the area located 12m in any direction from the outer edge of a National Grid support structure; and</i> - <i>the area located 10m either side of the centreline of an overhead 110kV National Grid line on single poles; or</i> - <i>the area located 12m either side of the centreline of any overhead National Grid <u>transmission</u> line on pi poles or towers."</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 159 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the definition of 'National Grid Blenheim Substation' as notified.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 160 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of 'National Grid Transmission Lines' as follows:</p> <p><i>"National Grid Transmission Lines has the same meaning as 'transmission line' in the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations, 2009 as identified on the Zone Maps."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 161 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain the definition of 'NZECP34:2001'.</p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 162 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert the following new definition of 'Sensitive activities':</p> <p><i>" Sensitive activities means those activities that are particularly sensitive to National Grid transmission lines. Such activities are residential activities, retirement accommodation, visitor accommodation, worker accommodation, Marae activity, camping grounds, schools, childcare and preschool facilities, and health care activities."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 163 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the definition of 'Subsurface Cook Strait Cable' as follows:</p> <p><i>"Subsurface National Grid Cook Strait Submarine Cables means the power and telecommunications cables owned and operated by Transpower New Zealand Limited and protected by the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Act 1996 that are within the Cook Strait Cable Protection Zone established under the Submarine Cables and Pipelines Protection Order 2009."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 164 | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Schedule of Designated Land included in Appendix 14 to refer to Transpower's requiring authority name as follows:</p> <p><i>" Transpower <u>New Zealand Limited</u>"</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 165 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Appendix 22 'Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan' to include the following:</p> <p><i>"x. When harvesting in the vicinity of a National Grid transmission line, the methods to be used to ensure the operation of the National Grid is not compromised and compliance with NZECP 34:2001 is achieved at all times."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 166 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Zoning Maps to indicate the voltage of the National Grid transmission lines. | | | | | |
| | Amend the Legend to the Zoning Maps as follows: <i>"National HVDC Grid Transmission Lines"</i> | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 167 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Overlay Maps to also show the National Grid transmission lines. | | | | | |
| 1198 | Transpower New Zealand Limited | 168 | All | All | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Volume 5, inclusive of the NPSET and NESETA. | | | | | |
| 1199 | Treble Tree Holdings Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone. | | | | | |
| 1199 | Treble Tree Holdings Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone. | | | | | |
| 1199 | Treble Tree Holdings Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify both plans to reflect the unique, innovation and research values identified for this zone. | | | | | |
| 1199 | Treble Tree Holdings Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify both plans to reflect the unique, innovation and research values identified for this zone. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 745 | Graeme Tregidga | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift as far as practicable. The boundary of the property on which the application of agrichemical occurs is the point at which management applies, as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) any agrichemical should not move, either directly or indirectly, beyond the property boundary of the site(s) where it is or has been applied; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) agrichemical users will be required to utilise best practice and exercise reasonable care to achieve (a)."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.48. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 13 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.45.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (Strike out and bold) - " <i>The permitted activity zone for seasonal worker accommodation in remote locations must not be located within a Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area as is identified in Appendix 24.</i> " Note: Amend Appendix 24 map (<i>see separate submission</i>). | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 14 | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The following changes are sought - (a) amend the map to identify the area where Seasonal Worker Accommodation is a Permitted Activity instead of the area where Seasonal Worker Accommodation is not a Permitted Activity; (b) amend the heading for Appendix 24 as follows (strike out and bold) - " Permitted Activity zone for remote on-site Seasonal Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area "; (c) consequential amendment to the legend to reflect (a) and (b). (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 15 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</i> " | | | | | |
| 445 | Trelawne Farm Limited | 16 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Agricultural waste" as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, viticultural, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> " | | | | | |
| 131 | Simon Tripe | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.6. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Request that the amount be assessed on a farm size basis with realistic volumes allowed and that it would not be subject to restrictions such as we have with irrigation water. | | | | | |
| 132 | Simon Tripe | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The Prohibited status be removed. | | | | | |
| 133 | Simon Tripe | 1 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.4.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Change wording to Retail Sale | | | | | |
| 207 | Simon Tripe | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.45.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the rule. | | | | | |
| 1200 | Triple LG Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1200 | Triple LG Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1200 | Triple LG Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1200 | Triple LG Limited | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449 in Horahora Kakahu, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. The deletion of clause (e) from Policy 3.1.1. <i>"Management of natural and physical resources in Marlborough will be carried out in a manner that:</i> ... (e) recognises the right of each iwi to define their own preferences for the sustainable management of natural and physical resources, where this is not inconsistent with the Resource Management Act 1991."</p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 3.1.2 as follows: <i>"An applicant will be expected encouraged to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account."</i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Policy 3.1.3 as follows: <i>"...decision makers shall ensure:</i> (a) particular regard is had to the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained; (b) mauri is maintained or improved where degraded <i>adverse effects of activities on the integrity of mauri are avoided, remedied or mitigated, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;</i> ..."</p> <p>2. Provide explanatory material in the PMP that provides greater direction as to the elements that contribute to determining whether the integrity of the mauri of fresh and coastal waters, land and air is being maintained.</p> <p>3. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Method 3.M.4 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | 3.M.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Method 3.M.6 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 3.2 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Objective 3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 3.3 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 3.1.5 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 4.1.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.3 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 4.1.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 4.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 4.2.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 4.1.25 as follows: <i>"Enable sustainable use of natural <u>and physical</u> resources in the Marlborough environment."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: <i>"Protect Avoiding adverse effects where <u>practical on regionally significant infrastructure</u> from the adverse effects of other activities"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.1 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 5.1 as follows: <i>"Water allocation and water use management regimes reflect hydrological and environmental conditions within each water resource <u>freshwater management unit</u>."</i> 2. Amend the explanation to Objective 5.1 as follows: <i>"If the management applied to the taking and use of water does not reflect the hydrological, <u>physical</u> and environmental conditions that exist in each water resource, one of two things may happen: ..."</i> 3. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.1.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.1.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 5.2 as follows: <i>"Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the natural and human use values supported by waterbodies <u>freshwater management units</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.1 as follows: <i>"Maintain or enhance the natural and human use values supported by freshwater bodies"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 5.2.2 in its entirety. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.3 as follows: <i>“Protect the significant values of specifically identified freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity, <u>while recognising and providing for existing lawfully established activities and infrastructure.</u>”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.5 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.6 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.8 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.9 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.9 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.10 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.11 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.12 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.4 to include the following additional matter: <i>"h) provide for the human use values identified in Appendix 5"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.13 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.14 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.15 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.17 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.16 as follows: <i>"For resource consents consented water takes from the Waihopai River, Awatere River and other rivers that are a consumptive use and which utilise an upstream flow monitoring site, allocations for the taking of water will be reduced proportionally as flows fall in order to avoid any breach of an environmental flow."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 36 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.18 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.18 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.19 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.19 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 38 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.21 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.21 as follows: <i>"Ensure any new proposal to <u>permanently</u> dam water within the bed of a river provides for:..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.22 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.2.22 as follows: <i>"(a) <u>the purpose of the damming and any positive effects;</u></i> <i>(a b) ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 40 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.23 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 41 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.24 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.2.24 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 42 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 5.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 43 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.3.11 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 44 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.3.15 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 45 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 5.4 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 46 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.4.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 47 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 5.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 48 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 5.7.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 49 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 5.3.1 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 50 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 5.3.3 in its entirety. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 51 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.3.6 as follows: <i>"Allocate water within any class on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 52 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.3.8 as follows: <i>"Approve water permit applications to continue <u>damming, diverting, taking and using surface water when: ...</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 53 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.3.14 as follows: <i>"The duration of water permits to <u>dam, divert, take and use</u> water will reflect the circumstances of the take activity and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally: (a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource: (i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 or Schedule 2 of Appendix 6; and (ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and (iii) that is not over-allocated; or ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 54 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.4.1 as follows: <i>"The lapse period for water permits to take water shall be no more than two years, <u>unless a longer lapsing period is justified for significant infrastructure or due to the scale or complexity of the activity.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 55 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.4.4 as follows: <i>"Enable access to water that has been allocated but is not currently being utilised by individual water permit holders through the <u>permanent or temporary</u> transfer of water permits."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 56 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 5.4.5 as follows: <i>"(a) the respective takes are from the same Freshwater Management Unit; (b) <u>it is not a transfer of a water permit from downstream of a hydro-electric power station to upstream of that station.</u> (b <u>c</u>) the Freshwater Management Unit has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 57 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.5 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend Policy 6.1.5 as follows: <i>"Determine the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the following:</i> <i>(a) channel shape and bed morphology;</i> <i>(b) flow regime and water levels;</i> <i>(c) water quality;</i> <i>(d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel;</i> <i>(e) absence of exotic flora and fauna;</i> <i>(f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake;</i> <i>(g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin;</i> <i>(h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and</i> <i>(i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities;</i> <i>as well as the presence or absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake and riparian margin."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 58 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend the natural character classifications for the Branch River to extend the area of "moderate" natural character value upstream to the confluence with the Leatham River. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 59 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Amend Objective 6.2 as follows: <i>"Preserve the <u>characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character of the coastal environment, and lakes and rivers and their margins, and protect them from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|---------------|---------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 60 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows: <i>"Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 61 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 6.2.3 as follows: <i>"Where natural character is classified as high or very high, <u>avoid, where practicable, adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the avoid any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies lakes and rivers and their margins are protected. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</u>"</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 62 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows: <i>"to define inappropriate land use activities that should be set back from certain rivers, lakes or the coastal marine area <u>unless such a location is required for operational or technical reasons.</u>"</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 63 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Retain Objective 6.1 as notified in the PMEP. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 64 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 6.1.1 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 65 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 6.2.5 as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 66 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.1.1 as follows: <i>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's landscapes and features, the following criteria will be used: (a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements; (b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and (c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 67 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of clause (c) from Policy 7.1.3. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 68 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.1.5 as follows: <i>"Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value via the plan change process in response to: (a) landscape change over time; or (b) more detailed assessment of landscape values."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 69 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.2.1 as follows: <i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to an comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values, to the level of detail that corresponds with the scale and significance of those effects, through the resource consent process."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 70 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.2.5 as follows: <i>"Avoid, where practicable, adverse effects on the values that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied <u>or mitigated</u>."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 71 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.2.6 as follows: <i>"Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained: (a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure; <u>or</u> (b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and or (c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 72 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 7.2.10 as follows: <i>“Reduce the impact of wilding pines on the landscape <u>and other resource users</u> by: (a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines and limit their further spread; and (b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread.”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 73 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.1? | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 7.1 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 74 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Objective 7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 7.2 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 75 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 7.1.4 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 76 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 7.2.4 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 77 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 8.1 as follows: <i>"Marlborough's remaining <u>significant</u> indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 78 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.2.1 as follows: <i>"A variety of means will be used to assist in the protection, <u>maintenance</u> and enhancement of areas and habitats with <u>significant</u> indigenous biodiversity value, including partnerships, support and liaison with landowners, regulation, pest management, legal protection, education and the provision of information and guidelines."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 79 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.2.4 as follows: <i>"Priority will be given to <u>encouraging</u> the re-establishment of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments, <u>and acknowledging that many of these areas occur on privately owned land.</u>"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 80 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.2.9 as follows: <i>"<u>Encourage the maintenance or voluntary enhancement of</u> Maintain, enhance or restore ecosystems, habitats and areas of indigenous biodiversity even where these are not identified as significant in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but are important for: ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 81 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.3.2 as follows: <i>"Where subdivision, use or development requires resource consent, the adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be: (a) avoided, and otherwise remedied or mitigated, where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and (b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 82 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The deletion of Policy 8.3.6 in its entirety. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 83 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.3.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Policy 8.3.8 Oppose. Policy 8.3.8 is opposed by Trustpower as it seeks to exclude the provision of offsetting in areas of significant indigenous biodiversity value, which would mean that adverse effects in these areas would always have to be avoided (in accordance with Policy 8.3.2). In addition, Trustpower consider that offsetting should only be utilized where there are significant residual adverse effects that cannot be avoided, remedied or mitigated – rather than any residual effects. In this respect, the RMA is not a 'no effects' statute. Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 8.3.8 as follows: <i>"With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, w</i> <i>Where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual <u>significant</u> adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed by a <u>resource consent applicant</u>, the following criteria will apply: (a) the offset will only compensate for <u>significant</u> residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated; (b) the <u>significant</u> residual adverse effects on <u>indigenous</u> biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity; (c) where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity; (d) there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity; (e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and (f) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of <u>indigenous</u> ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 84 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.1 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 8.1.1 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 85 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 8.1.2 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 86 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 8.1.3 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 87 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 9.1.2 as follows: <i>"In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, where the subdivision or development may affect public access, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria: (a) the effect of the subdivision on public access; (b) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation; (c) connections between existing public areas would be provided; (d) physical access for people with disabilities would be desirable; and (e) providing access to areas or sites of cultural or historic significance is important."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 88 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 9.1.13 as follows: <i>"When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</i> <i>(a)-(i) ...</i> <i>(j) the benefits of the activity/structure that is to be located in the coastal marine area or river bed."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 89 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 9.2.1 as follows: <i>"Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the margins of lakes and rivers may be restricted to:</i> <i>(a) ensure a level of security consistent with the purpose of a resource consent or designation;</i> <i>(b) protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>(c) protect cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(d) allow for foot access only;</i> <i>(e) protect public health and safety and animal welfare and to manage fire risk;</i> <i>(f) protect significant infrastructure and network utilities;</i> <i>(g) protect heritage, natural or cultural values; and</i> <i>(h) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 90 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The deletion of Policy 9.2.2 in its entirety. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 91 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Retain Objective 9.1 as notified in the PMP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 92 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Objective 9.2 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 9.2 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 93 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 9.1.4 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 94 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 9.1.14 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 95 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain flood hazard overlays as notified in the PMEP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 96 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 14.3 as follows: <i>"Recognise and provide for activities that are not related to primary production, but which are appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 97 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 14.3.2 as follows: <i>"Where an activity is not related to primary production and is not otherwise provided for as a permitted activity, a resource consent will be required and the following matters must be determined by decision makers in assessing the impacts on primary production before any assessment of other effects is undertaken:</i> <i>(a) the extent to which the activity is related to primary production activities occurring at the site;</i> <i>(a) the functional or technical need for the activity to be located within a rural zone and why it is not more appropriately located within another zone;</i> <i>(b) whether the proposed activity will result in a loss of land with primary production potential and the extent of this loss when considered in combination with other non-rural based activities; and</i> <i>(c) the extent to which the proposed activity supports primary production activities, including the processing of agricultural, viticultural or horticultural produce."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 98 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert a new policy in section 14.3 as follows: <i>"Provide for the operation, maintenance and development of renewable energy and associated infrastructure developments that require a rural location, provided that adverse effects are avoided, remedied or mitigated."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 99 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 15.1a as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 100 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1e | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Objective 15.1e as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 101 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 102 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 103 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.23 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.23 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 104 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.33 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 15.1.33 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 105 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend policy 15.1.6 as follows: <i>"Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers <u>freshwater management units</u> to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 106 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 15.1.16 as follows: <i>"With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure the duration of any new discharge permit will be either: ..."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 107 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.17 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 15.1.17 as follows: <i>“Review, where appropriate, the conditions of existing discharge permits <u>which directly affect water quality</u>, to impose new conditions requiring the monitoring of the discharge effects to determine compliance with the water classification standards.”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 108 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Objective 18.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Objective 18.1 as follows: <i>“Optimise the use of Marlborough’s energy resources <u>by recognising and providing for the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of new and existing renewable electricity generation activities.</u>”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 109 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Policy 18.1.1 as follows: <i>“Provide for Promote and encourage the use and development of renewable energy resources.”</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 110 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Policy 18.1.2 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 111 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Policy 18.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Amend policy by adding clause (f) as follows: <i>"When considering the environmental effects of proposals to use and develop renewable energy resources, to have regard to: ... (f) the logistical or technical practicalities associated with locating renewable electricity generation infrastructure."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 112 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Objective 18.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Insert a new policy to manage reverse sensitivity effects on renewable electricity generation activities. Suggested wording is as follows: <i>"Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by not allowing subdivision, use and development to occur in a location or form that constrains the use, operation, maintenance and upgrading of consented and existing renewable electricity generation activities."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 113 | Volume 1 | 18 Energy | Objective 18.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Insert a new policy to enable the on-going operation of existing renewable energy infrastructure. Suggested wording is as follows: <i>"Provide for the on-going generation of electricity from existing renewable energy generation infrastructure by having particular regard to: 1. Maintaining the output from existing renewable electricity generation schemes; and 2. Enabling the maintenance and upgrading of existing renewable electricity generation schemes."</i> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 114 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Delete Policy 19.1.4. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 115 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | Policy 19.1.5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Delete Policy 19.1.5. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 116 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: | | | | | |
| | <p>1. Insert new rule as follows:</p> <p><u>The lawfully established:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Damming and diversion of water; and</u> • <u>Take and use of water; and</u> • <u>Discharge of water to water; and</u> • <u>Discharges of contaminants to water and to land; and</u> • <u>Use of a structure in the bed of a lake, stream or river; and</u> • <u>Excavation and disturbance of the beds of lakes and rivers, and associated clearance of vegetation in the bed of lakes and rivers</u> <p><u>Associated with a hydro-electric power scheme that existed on the date this plan becomes operative is a controlled activity where the following conditions are met:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) <u>The consent application(s) replace existing resource consents; and</u> ii) <u>There is no increase in the existing volume or rate of take or diversion; and</u> iii) <u>There is no increase in the existing volume of discharge or the nature of contaminants being discharged.</u> <p><u>Marlborough District Council reserves control over the following matters:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>The volume and rate of water taken or diverted, and the timing of the take or diversion;</u> b) <u>Intake velocities and measures to avoid or mitigate fish entrainment;</u> c) <u>The range, or rate of change of levels or flows of water;</u> d) <u>Water levels;</u> e) <u>Compliance with environmental flow and allocation limits;</u> f) <u>Measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate any adverse effects on the following:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) <u>cultural values;</u> (ii) <u>lawfully established users of the lake, river or stream;</u> (iii) <u>downstream sediment transport processes;</u> (iv) <u>aquatic ecosystems, areas of significant indigenous vegetation, and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</u> (v) <u>outstanding natural features and landscapes, and natural character;</u> (vi) <u>amenity values (including recreation), and existing public access to and along the margins of rivers and lakes;</u> g) <u>Fish passage;</u> h) <u>Measures to manage land stability and erosion;</u> i) <u>Measures to control flooding;</u> j) <u>Measures to improve technical efficiency in water use;</u> k) <u>Where contaminants are applied to water and/or land, contaminant concentrations and loading rates;</u> l) <u>Measures required to comply with s107(1) RMA;</u> m) <u>Maintenance and contingency requirements;</u> n) <u>Monitoring and information requirements;</u> o) <u>Duration of consent;</u> p) <u>Review of consent conditions; and</u> q) <u>Compliance monitoring.</u> <p><u>The re-consenting of hydro-electricity generation schemes will be processed under this rule notwithstanding any other rule in this plan.</u></p> | | | | | |
| | <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 117 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.6.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Amend Rule 2.6.4 as follows: <i>"Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i> ... <i>This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, <u>and those activities will be considered under Rules 2.4.2, 2.5.2, 2.5.3, 2.5.4, 2.5.5. including</u>†<u>The take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals will be considered under Rules 2.2.1, 2.2.2, and 2.2.4."</u></i></p> <p>2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 118 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Rule 2.7.1 as notified in the PMEP.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 119 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Rule 2.7.2 as notified in the PMEP.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 120 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Rule 2.7.7 as notified in the PMEP.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 121 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <p>1. Retain Rule 2.7.8 as notified in the PMEP.</p> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 122 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.2.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Permitted Activity Standard 2.8.2.2 as follows: "All cut or felled vegetation and associated debris must: that exceeds 100mm in diameter at any point must: be removed from the bed of the lake or river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing): <u>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area:</u> <u>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u> <u>(c) be stored on stable ground:</u> <u>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 123 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.11.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Rule 2.11.2 as follows: "Construction or alteration of a bore, excluding bores constructed for the purposes of geotechnical investigation or installation of piezometers, within the bed of the following lakes and rivers, including tributaries: ..." 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. Alternatively, Trustpower seeks the deletion of (b) Branch River from Rule 2.11.2. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 124 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 2.16.2 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 125 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Standard 2.17.2 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 126 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 2.16.9 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 127 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Delete Standard 2.17.9.1. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. <p>As an alternative, Trustpower seeks that the discharge of tracer dye to water is classified as a controlled activity with the matters of control limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>The duration of the consent;</u> <u>The nature of the tracer dye used (including type, colour, concentration); and</u> <u>The location, timing and duration of the discharge.</u> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 128 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Change the title and scope of chapter 2.38 to cover <u>Network Utilities and Renewable Electricity Generation Infrastructure.</u> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 129 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Insert new permitted activity Rule in Chapter 2.38 as follows: <u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity."</u> Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 130 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new Standards in 2.39 as follows: <u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity.</u> i) <u>The maximum height of a building must not exceed 5m.</u> ii) <u>The maximum gross floor area of a building must not exceed 65m².</u> iii) <u>A structure for a transmission line within the Rural Environment Zone must be set back a minimum distance of 15m from any road intersection and must be measured parallel from the centerline of the carriageways, at the point where the roads intersect.</u> iv) <u>A building larger than 15m² in ground floor area or over 2m in height must be set back from the road boundary by a distance of not less than half the height of the building.</u> v) <u>Excavation, filling, vegetation clearance (indigenous and non-indigenous), noise and discharge rules for the relevant zone in which the renewable electricity generation activity is located must be complied with.</u> vi) <u>A transmission line or telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, or a building or depot must not be located:</u> a. <u>In, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</u> b. <u>Within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network;</u> c. <u>On, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 131 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.40. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new discretionary activity rule to Chapter 2.40 as follows: <u>"Any work or activity associated with the on-going operation, maintenance, replacement or upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity that is not permitted by Rules in Chapter 2.38."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 132 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.38. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief form the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new permitted activity Rule in Chapter 2.38 as follows: <u>"Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of any lawfully established renewable electricity generation activity."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 133 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.39. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new Standards in Chapter 2.39 as follows: <u>"Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of renewable electricity generation infrastructure existing at 9 June 2016.</u> i) <u>Vegetation (except noxious plants under the Noxious Plants Act) must not be removed by chemical, fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or the coastal marine area.</u> ii) <u>Where clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20°.</u> iii) <u>All trees must be felled away from a river (excluding an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u> iv) <u>No tree or log may be dragged through the bed of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u> v) <u>Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.</u> vi) <u>All cut or felled vegetation and soil debris must:</u> <u>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u> <u>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</u> <u>(c) be stored on stable ground;</u> <u>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 134 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 3.1.6 as notified in the PMP. 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 135 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new Standard 3.3.6.4 as follows: <u>"An indigenous vegetation buffer zone of at least 8m must be provided between forestry planting and rivers or lakes."</u> 2. Insert a new clause to Standard 3.3.6.2 as follows: <u>"(k) 10m of the centerline of electricity transmission lines."</u> 3. Retain the remainder of Standards 3.3.6 as notified in the PMP. 4. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 136 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new rule as follows: <u>"Commercial forestry harvesting in the Branch catchment upstream of the Branch Weir and in the Waihopai catchment upstream of the Waihopai Dam is a controlled activity.</u> <u>Marlborough District Council reserves control over the following matters:</u> a) <u>Set back distances from rivers and lakes;</u> b) <u>Set back distances from transmission lines and other network utility infrastructure;</u> c) <u>Approval of Commercial Forestry Harvest Plans produced in accordance with Appendix 22 of this Plan;</u> d) <u>Approval of Erosion and Sediment Control Plans;</u> e) <u>Method of harvesting;</u> f) <u>Extent of operations;</u> g) <u>Containment of slash;</u> h) <u>Measures to address effects of water quality and riparian vegetation;</u> i) <u>Measures to address soil erosion during and after harvesting; and</u> j) <u>Measures to address effects on downstream renewable electricity generation activities."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 137 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows: <u>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</u> ... <u>(f) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of electricity generation infrastructure or transmission lines."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 138 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Standard 3.3.11.2 as follows: <u>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</u> ... <u>(f) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of electricity generation infrastructure or transmission lines."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 139 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Rule 3.1.22 as follows: <i>"Application of an agrichemical into or onto land, or application of an agrichemical by air onto land."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 140 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new standard 3.3.22.6 as follows: <i>"The agrichemical must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the agrichemical is being applied."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 141 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Rule 3.1.25 as follows: <i>"Application of compost, other vegetative matter or solid agricultural waste into or onto land."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 142 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Standards 3.3.25 as follows: <i>"Application of compost, other vegetative matter or solid agricultural waste into or onto land."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 143 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert a new rule in Chapter 3.1 to allow for the following as a permitted activity: <i>"Discharge of contaminants to air from the combustion of diesel to provide back-up power generation when an electricity connection is disrupted or unavailable."</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 144 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new standards in Chapter 3.3 as follows: <u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from the combustion of diesel to provide back-up power generation when an electricity connection is disrupted or unavailable.</u> <i>i) The maximum generating capacity of the combustion equipment is less than 1 MW; and</i> <i>ii) The discharge shall not cause noxious, dangerous, offensive or objectionable odour, particulate or smoke beyond the boundary of the property. "</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 145 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert a new Rule in Chapter 3.1 to allow for the following as a permitted activity: <u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from water blasting and from dry abrasive blasting."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 146 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Insert new Standards in Chapter 3.3 as follows: <u>"Discharge of contaminants to air from water blasting and from dry abrasive blasting.</u> <i>i. There must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant beyond the boundary of the property.</i> <i>ii. Where the discharge occurs from public land there must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant beyond 50m from the discharge point or beyond the boundary of the public land, whichever is the lesser.</i> <i>iii. There must be no discharge of water spray, dust or other contaminant into the coastal marine area.</i> <i>iv. The surface to be blasted must not contain any hazardous substances including lead, zinc, arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, asbestos, tributyl tin, thorium-based compounds, and other heavy metals including anti foul paint containing these substances.</i> <i>v. Where abrasive blasting is undertaken inside an enclosed booth, the discharge must be via a filtered extraction system that removes at least 95% of particulate matter from the discharge.</i> <i>vi. Dry abrasive blasting outside an enclosed booth shall only be undertaken when it is impractical to remove or dismantle or transport a fixed object or structure to be cleaned in a booth.</i> <i>vii. For dry abrasive blasting the free silica content of a representative sample of the blast material must be less than 5% by weight.</i> <i>viii. The discharge of particulate matter is contained within the immediate area of the abrasive blasting so that particulate matter does not escape into the environment." 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMP that stem from the submission and relief sought.</i> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 147 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.18. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 3.1.18 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 148 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.36. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Rule 3.1.36 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 149 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Standards 3.3.7 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 150 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Standard 3.3.23 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 151 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.36. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Standard 3.3.36 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 152 | Volume 3 | Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain Appendix 22 as notified in the PMEP. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 153 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend as follows: <i>"Water Resource Unit values & Water Quality Classification Standards Natural and Human Use Values of Fresh Water Management Units"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 154 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend as follows: <i>"Schedule 1 – Water Resource Unit Values Natural and Human Use Values of Fresh Water Management Units"</i> <i>"Fresh Water Management Resource Unit"</i> <i>"Natural and Human Use Values"</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 155 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend the Table for each FMU to ensure the compulsory values in the NOF are included. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 156 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend as follows: <i>Branch (including Lake Argyle)</i> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 157 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend as follows: <u>Hydro Electric Generation.</u> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 158 | Volume 3 | Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend as follows: <u>Hydro Electric Generation.</u> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 159 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 160 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend Schedule 1 footnotes as follows: <u>"The existing consented take and use of water for hydro-electric power generation within the Waihopai River is considered a non-consumptive take, and is therefore outside of this allocation framework."</u> 2. Any similar or consequential amendments to the PMEP that stem from the submission and relief sought. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 161 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 162 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 163 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 164 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Amend the table as follows: <i>"0.700 m³/s at State Highway Road Bridge 1.2 m³/s at the Branch Weir."</i> | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 165 | Volume 3 | Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 166 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 175 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 167 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 172 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 168 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 190 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|----------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 169 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 191 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. Retain as notified. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 170 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 61 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 61: W52, W53. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 171 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 165 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 165: W323. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 172 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 166 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 166: W324. | | | | | |
| 1201 | Trustpower Limited | 173 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 176 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Trustpower seeks the following relief from the Marlborough District Council: 1. The removal of the following Significant Wetlands from the zone map 176: W1382, W792, W319, W320, W321. | | | | | |
| 373 | Park, Janet and Tschepp, Mark | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 30 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| Decision Requested | To rezone the accreted land as Rural Living. This will enable us to work with MDC Rivers Department to establish a stopbank to protect the established vineyard. | | | | | |
| 1202 | Tu Jaes Trust | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delay consideration and introduction of Coastal Occupancy Charges until after notification of the Marine Farming Provisions. | | | | | |
| 1202 | Tu Jaes Trust | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.10.8. | | | | | |
| 1202 | Tu Jaes Trust | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That recognition is given (without constraint through a Restricted Discretionary process) to the existing use rights for residential activity of already consented sections and surveyed building platforms on land proposed to be classified as ONFL. | | | | | |
| 1202 | Tu Jaes Trust | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Landscapes Overlay Map 5 | | | | | |
| 1202 | Tu Jaes Trust | 5 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That, for consistency should Rule 4.5.1 above remain unchanged, areas classified as ONFL should NOT PERMIT replanting of exotic forestry once the existing forest on this land has been harvested. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 708 | Filisita Tuese | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred). | | | | | |
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We like to ask for a review of the Coastal Natural Character status on the Outer Pelorus map of several areas including Tui Nature Reserve. Based on the evidence provided by the EPA process and the Natural Character and Landscape Studies. | | | | | |
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred). | | | | | |
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred). | | | | | |
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred). | | | | | |
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred). | | | | | |
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 7 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| 179 | Tui Nature Reserve | 8 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the provision (inferred). | | | | | |
| 1164 | Tui Rosalie Elkington and Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recognise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Landscape features. | | | | | |
| 1164 | Tui Rosalie Elkington and Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recognise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Natural Character. | | | | | |
| 1164 | Tui Rosalie Elkington and Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Modify the plan to recognise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Dolphins. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1138 | Shane Turnbull | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 23 | James Turner | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone should remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 23 | James Turner | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone should remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 1081 | Rosie Turner | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1203 | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1203 | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1203 | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1203 | Turner Aquaculture New Zealand Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8530 in Wet Inlet, Pelorus Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 182 | Anna Jane Tyson | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 24 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Review the Flood Hazard Areas Overlay Map 24 as it relates to PN 140767 being Pt Sec 3 Wairau Dist. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 834 | Jarod Udy | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 8 | Ugbrooke Country Estate Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Abolition of audible bird scaring devices but in the absence of that abolition modify that standards of operation as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower the maximum decibel level to 55 decibels. Ensure that all devices are on natural ground level and not placed/elevated on hillsides or placed in natural amphitheatres such as small valleys, dams, etc. On level ground the gun should not be higher than 2.1 metres. Devices must be turned off over night. Hours of operation - no earlier than 7.30 am and not later than 7.00pm (including Daylight Saving) | | | | | |
| 8 | Ugbrooke Country Estate Limited | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Abolition of audible bird scaring devices but in the absence of that abolition modify that standards of operation as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower the maximum decibel level to 55 decibels. Ensure that all devices are on natural ground level and not placed/elevated on hillsides or placed in natural amphitheatres such as small valleys, dams, etc. On level ground the gun should not be higher than 2.1 metres. Devices must be turned off over night. Hours of operation - no earlier than 7.30 am and not later than 7.00pm (including Daylight Saving) | | | | | |
| 1204 | United Fisheries Holdings Limited | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | As set out in the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 1204 | United Fisheries Holdings Limited | 2 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | As set out in the MFIA submission. | | | | | |
| 1204 | United Fisheries Holdings Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in inner Admiralty Bay; AND Remove the northern extent of the natural character overlay from the ridgeline above Waiona Bay. | | | | | |
| 1204 | United Fisheries Holdings Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in Inner Admiralty Bay; AND Remove the northern extent of ONL overlay from the ridgeline above Waiona Bay. | | | | | |
| 1204 | United Fisheries Holdings Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1204 | United Fisheries Holdings Limited | 6 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Steep Erosion Prone Land 1 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1204 | United Fisheries Holdings Limited | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The MEP should be amended so that strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of the mapped area in Admiralty Bay, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies in Chapter 8, as set out in the MFA submission. | | | | | |
| 170 | Thomas van der Burgh | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 170 | Thomas van der Burgh | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 499 | Jean-Charles Christian and Marthe Marie Van Hove | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision I seek from council is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Modify Irricalc to calculate (as opposed to the actual blanket 2.2mm) the daily take of water that reflect soil and climate specificity. 2) Modify Irricalc to accomodate the best use of water to produce specifically the style of Marlborough Sauvignon blanc (as opposed to grapes). 3) Allow water use in early spring to bring soils to Field Capacity. 4) Allow for increased surface watter take after an event when the river is saturated with sediment. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 611 | Carla Velez | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 611 | Carla Velez | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1217 | Venture 353 Limited | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 441 | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe | 1 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> | | | | | |
| 441 | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe | 2 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.1.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> | | | | | |
| 441 | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe | 3 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> | | | | | |
| 441 | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe | 4 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> | | | | | |
| 441 | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe | 5 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Seaview Rest Home, and other similar rest homes, and possibly other similar facilities, located in Urban Residential 2 Zones could be included within Appendix 16. With appropriate notes to ensure that nearby residents are consulted prior to any significant additions or alterations - or changes to their activities. | | | | | |
| 441 | Paul Selwyn and Barbara Ann Vercoe | 6 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | We do not have the experience to propose how the Plan should be amended to incorporate our objectives and consider it appropriate for the amendments be managed by the Council planners in consultation with us. However, a couple of suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The protection could take the form of specifically referring to the Seaview Rest Home, or other similar facilities/activities of a certain size, as a "non-complying activity" and hence no significant changes can be made to the building or activities without prior consultation with owners of nearby residential properties. <u>This consultation must be before any significant planning or approval from Council is undertaken.</u> | | | | | |
| 158 | Gerard Verkaaik | 1 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Cooperative approach with Council,RSA,and local residents to continue improving the environment around the Taylor River floodway; gradually extending the recreational areas that traverse the growing urban development along either side and around the Omaka Aerodrome. | | | | | |
| 158 | Gerard Verkaaik | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 19 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Cooperative approach with Council, RSA,and local residents to continue improving the environment around the Taylor River floodway; gradually extending the recreational areas that traverse the growing urban development along either side and around the Omaka Aerodrome. | | | | | |
| 171 | Christopher and Philippa Vickers | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We oppose the changes as put forward by the council | | | | | |
| 213 | Christopher Vickers | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | I wish the proposed provision to be deleted. This idea could usefully be discussed by all affected land owners. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.4. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.2.6. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 22 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 23 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 25 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 26 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5I | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Issue 5I. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 27 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 28 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 29 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy 5.9.3. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 30 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Method of Implementation 5.M.3 be deleted in entirety. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 31 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 32 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 33 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.10. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 34 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 35 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 36 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 37 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.3. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 38 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 39 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 40 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.5. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 41 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.3.5. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 42 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 43 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 44 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 45 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.5. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 46 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.7. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 47 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 48 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 49 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.17. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 50 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 51 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 52 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 53 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 54 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 55 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.34. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 56 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.39. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.39. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 57 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 58 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 59 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.6.2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 60 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.5.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.5.5 be amended as follows: <i>Policy 5.5.5 Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding winery processing, domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.</i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 61 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i> | | | | | |
| | That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 62 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 63 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows: <i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: <i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 64 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read: <i><u>"Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u>"</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 65 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain, with an additional clause (e): <i><u>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 66 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add definition for reverse sensitivity [<i>inferred</i>].</p> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 67 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read: <i><u>Residential activity takes place <u>only within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</u></u></i></p> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 68 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows: <i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) <u>in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</u></i> <i>(v) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i> <i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 69 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point <i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 70 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.24 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point <i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds: to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 71 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read: <i><u>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</u></i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|---------------|-----------------|
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 72 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <i>Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment: "Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u>"</i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 73 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 74 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <i><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 75 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: <i><u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 76 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: <i><u>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 77 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: <i>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 78 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and <u>harvesting and processing</u> of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 79 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 - Schedule 1. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 80 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 - Schedule 2. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 81 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 82 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Villa submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u></i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 83 | All | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Villa submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries. | | | | | |
| 1218 | Villa Maria | 84 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Objective 5.9. | | | | | |
| 899 | Lin Vouch | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 900 | Long Vu | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 184 | Martin Benjamin Waddy | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 201 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Significant Wetland W49 (deleted) to be allowed to clean existing dams as a permitted activity. This would not be a permitted activity under the proposed change to the Plan. | | | | | |
| 201 | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Soil Sensitive Area 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review and ground truth all mapped Loess soil areas, and/or; 2. allow landowners to participate in the mapping process to accurately ascertain areas of at risk loess soils, and/or; 3. remove all areas of our property from the loess soils mapping overlay, apart from an area of 1-2 ha which will be detailed on maps to be provided by us at the time of hearing. | | | | | |
| 201 | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 8 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Better define the actual criteria for inclusion within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape, eg visibility from public places etc, and amend the map overlay accordingly, and/or 2. remove all areas west of the Taylor River from the WDHL, and/or; 3. remove our property from the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape overlay, and/or; 4. Change the provisions of the MEP to allow conservation and amenity planting within the WDHL (see separate submission) | | | | | |
| 201 | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.10.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove rule 3.3.10.4 in it's entirety. | | | | | |
| 201 | Vallyn & Diana Wadsworth | 4 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the words "grazed on irrigated land" from the definition. 2. Use a better method of defining, or assessing livestock situations to identify high risk factors, and achieve the desired outcomes. This may require the addition of new definitions, or provisions. | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change it to a more whole-farm view. | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 2 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change it to a more whole-farm view. | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Standard 3.3.12.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.12.1 Where clearance is by mechanical means; or blading, or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 20°.</i></p> <p>The submission also suggests that slope angle is increased but does not include a specific slope.</p> | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Non-indigenous weed control work within 8m of a river bed should not trigger resource consent. Rather there should be best practice suggestions as to how to control weeds without causing water quality issues. E.g. hand spraying best practice alongside waterways, using a root- raker rather than a digger to minimise disturbance but still pull weed trees out. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.12.7 (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.12.7 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Heading 3.3.21: 3.3.21 Live stock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river. | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 7 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 6.2.8 is deleted. | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 8 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape and Policy 7.2.2 are deleted, or Farming and rural activities are recognised as positively contributing to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills in Appendix 1, and are provided for as permitted, and Policy 7.2.2 is amended <u>Subject matter and provision in the Plan:</u> | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 9 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Objective 8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the objective be amended. | | | | | |
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 10 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 8.2.1. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|---------|
| 1121 | Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 11 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | Policy 8.2.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 8.2.9 is deleted from the Plan. | | | | | |
| 402 | Rose Waghorn | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (<i>Inferred</i>) | | | | | |
| 490 | Murray Lewis Waghorn | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Coastal Natural Character maps. | | | | | |
| 490 | Murray Lewis Waghorn | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character values (<i>inferred</i>) from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Appendix 2. ? | | | | | |
| 490 | Murray Lewis Waghorn | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Landscape maps. ? | | | | | |
| 490 | Murray Lewis Waghorn | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural feature and landscape values (<i>inferred</i>) from the vicinity of the farms or bays listed above; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. Note that no list has been provided in the submission other than reference to Landscape maps. ? | | | | | |
| 1231 | Waihopai Valley Vineyards Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as proposed. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|------------|---------|
| 1231 | Waihopai Valley Vineyards Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provision as proposed. | | | | | |
| 517 | Waihopai/Avon Residents Association | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That this notable length of historic plantation, which has been part of the Valley for over 130 years is protected. Others of same age have been fallen at night on the old Delta property. | | | | | |
| 580 | Waikawa Boating Club | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." And, if marine pollution proves to be an issue encourage (over a period of time) the obligation to have holding tanks fitted on boats and pump of facilities provided at regular distances. | | | | | |
| 580 | Waikawa Boating Club | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." And, if marine pollution proves to be an issue encourage (over a period of time) the obligation to have holding tanks fitted on boats and pump of facilities provided at regular distances. | | | | | |
| 786 | Waikawa Boating Club | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." | | | | | |
| 786 | Waikawa Boating Club | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1232 | Waikawa Boating Club | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1232 | Waikawa Boating Club | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Policy to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) public wharves, jetties, boat ramps and facilities owned by the Marlborough District Council and the Department of Conservation;</i></p> <p><i>(b) monitoring equipment</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities listed as permitted, except for moorings in a Mooring Management Area;</i></p> <p><i>(d) retaining walls; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) port and marina activities where resource consents authorised under Section 384A of the Resource Management Act 1991 are in place until such a time as those resource consent expire; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) moorings provided by boating clubs."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (bold) | | | | | |
| | <i>"Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(a) implementation of a Coastal Monitoring Strategy;</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(b) State of the Environment monitoring;</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(c) research in relation to the state and workings of the natural, physical and social aspects of the coastal marine area;</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(d) education and awareness;</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(e) habitat and natural character restoration and enhancement;</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(f) managing marine biosecurity threats;</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(g) maintaining and enhancing public access such as Council contribution towards the maintenance of Club moorings;</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>and</i> | | | | | |
| | <i>(h) formal planning in the Resource Management Act 1991 planning context and strategic planning and overview in relation to the coastal environment."</i> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - | | | | | |
| | <i>"Recognition that there are inherent rights of a coastal permit holder over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space."</i> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 4 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.6.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 5 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 6 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.17.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Marine Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</i> <i>(a) shipping activities</i> <i>(b) loading and unloading of people and goods;</i> <i>(c) transportation activities</i> <i>(d) marine fuel facilities;</i> <i>(e) commercial activities related to the operation of a marina;</i> <i>(f) ship repair and maintenance;</i> <i>(g) building and structures (including on jetties), jetties, reclamation, mooring structures (excluding swing moorings) and spillways;</i> <i>(h) maintenance dredging of navigation channels, turning basins and berths for the purposes of safe berthage and maneuvering of commercial vessels;</i> <i>(i) maintenance, repair and replacement of marine infrastructure;</i> <i>(j) placement and maintenance of navigation aids;</i> <i>(k) marine administration including security, servicing and maintenance activities; and</i> <i>(l) signage; and</i> <i>(m) boating clubhouses and associated facilities.</i> " | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 7 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | In the event that this Policy is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage from land based sources to waterbodies or coastal waters."</i> In the event that this Policy is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture."</i> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 8 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 9 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Policy. | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 10 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>If the 1000m Rule is to be retained (<i>infer Rules 16.7.2 and 16.7.3</i>), include in the list of permitted activities the following or similar:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." • "The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." • "The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." • "The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharges is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." <p>If the status quo of the MarPol 500m distance is retained, included in the list of permitted activities the following or similar:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • "The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." • "The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." • "The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." • "The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharges is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)." | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 11 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The erection or placement of a marine navigational aid (including lighting), with the exception of temporary yacht racing buoys, must be carried out by, or on behalf of, Maritime New Zealand."</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 12 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.3.6.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"With the exception of temporary yacht racing buoys, prior to installation, the GPS mapping co-ordinates and a description of the marine navigational aid must be provided to the Harbour Master."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 13 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS."</p> <p><i>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 14 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm."</p> <p><i>"The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></p> <p><i>"The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></p> <p><i>"The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998)."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1233 | Waikawa Boating Club | 15 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area from land-based sources, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 446 | Waikawa Marae Incorporated | 1 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.1.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Delete the following words (strikethrough) from Permitted Activity Rule 5.1.3: <i>Marae activity on:</i> <i>(b) Lot 1 & 2 DP 11713, Waikawa West 6 & 7 ML 6923 and Sec 47 Blk XII Linkwater SD.</i> | | | | | |
| 1234 | Waimana Marine Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8321 Wilson Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1234 | Waimana Marine Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1234 | Waimana Marine Limited | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8321 Wilson Bay and 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1234 | Waimana Marine Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8321 Wilson Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1234 | Waimana Marine Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1234 | Waimana Marine Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8321 Wilson Bay and 8203 Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to include economic development and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 1 Introduction | Guiding principles | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.3.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | 4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | 6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy subject to the matters raised in the submission (inferred). | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 27 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 28 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 29 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 926 | Wainui Green 2015 Limited | 30 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 60 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to Map 60 (map attached to submission that highlights the delineation of the township, with boundaries as described below and clearly shown with a blue line):</p> <p>East: The eastern extremities of those properties on the eastern side of Church Lane and directly fronting Church Lane but excluding the vineyard that goes down to the Wairau River with a frontage on the lower part of Church Lane.</p> <p>West: The centre of Keith Coleman Lane.</p> <p>South: The southernmost borders of the lifestyle properties that currently exist, as shown on the map.</p> <p>North: The northern boundary would include properties on Morse Street and Fishtail Vue and properties along State Highway 63 as far as Church Lane and also take in those properties on the western side of Church Lane. All the surrounding vineyards are to be excluded from being part of the township.</p> | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 61 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments are made to Map 61 (map attached to submission that highlights the delineation of the township, with boundaries as described below and clearly shown with a blue line):</p> <p>East: The eastern extremities of those properties on the eastern side of Church Lane and directly fronting Church Lane but excluding the vineyard that goes down to the Wairau River with a frontage on the lower part of Church Lane.</p> <p>West: The centre of Keith Coleman Lane.</p> <p>South: The southernmost borders of the lifestyle properties that currently exist, as shown on the map.</p> <p>North: The northern boundary would include properties on Morse Street and Fishtail Vue and properties along State Highway 63 as far as Church Lane and also take in those properties on the western side of Church Lane. All the surrounding vineyards are to be excluded from being part of the township.</p> | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the rules for the placement of frost fans and bird bangers are reviewed so that frost fans and bird bangers (<i>latter inferred</i>) are not placed within 500 metres of the boundary of the township.</p> <p>That a thorough and timely review of the rules for the use of bird scaring devices is undertaken.</p> | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.2? | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>We expect the Council to be actively monitoring the issue regarding a dangerous drop in the level of flow and not to rely on complaints or concerns before doing anything about it.</p> | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Retain Policy 5.7.8 but note that the preferred method of frost fighting in the vineyards that are contiguous with the Wairau Valley Township be by water.</p> | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 6 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Objective 12.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>To extend this objective to give a buffer zone around the township, at least where land has not already been developed for viticulture.</p> <p>The submission does not include specific details of what the buffer zone around the township would be.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 7 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.7.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | To consider the reverse sensitivity effects of the encroachment of viticulture. Noise limits, especially regarding frost machines, <u>must</u> be monitored. | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 8 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We believe consents are too easily granted. We would like tree clearance to be made a discretionary activity. The submission does not include a zone or rule to which tree clearance should be a discretionary activity. | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 9 | Volume 1 | 16 Waste | Policy 16.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Research is needed urgently into a safe way to dispose of these posts. Even if wine growers gradually change to non-treated posts over time there is a "mountain" of these posts that will require safe disposal. Clear guidelines must be available on safe methods of storing these posts until a suitable disposal method is developed. | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 10 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | We support a policy which includes ongoing review of the usage of roads and development and maintenance of efficient roads. | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 11 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Bearing the above in mind and considering proposed zone changes for some properties fronting the state highway from Township Residential or Rural 4 to Urban Residential 2 we feel there is a case to be made for lowering the speed limit to 50 kms per hour, such as is the case in Boyce Street, Renwick, which is used by many trucks. | | | | | |
| 1235 | Wairau Valley Ratepayers and Residents' Association | 12 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Objective 17.6 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | To consider the lowering of the speed limit to 50kms per hour through Wairau Valley Township and along all its side roads. We would support a programme of roadworks to raise the standard of the road surface and maintain it in a safe and tidy condition. | | | | | |
| 162 | Waitai Station | 1 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets. This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited. | | | | | |
| 162 | Waitai Station | 2 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.1.16. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets. This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited. | | | | | |
| 162 | Waitai Station | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.23. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets. This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited. | | | | | |
| 162 | Waitai Station | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.23. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | We would like the council to make the provisions we oppose (19.1.16; 19.3.14; 4.1.23; 4.3.23) Prohibited Activities on D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets. This could be done by adding an additional sub-provision to each of the opposed provisions carving out D'Urville Island and the surrounding islets as an exception, thereby making the application of vertebrate toxic agents prohibited. | | | | | |
| 486 | Waitui Holdings Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission point relates to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Outer Sounds Landscape Area 1; and Cape Jackson, Cape Lambert and Alligator Head - Area 12 Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay. | | | | | |
| 486 | Waitui Holdings Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission point relates to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Coastal Marine Area B: D'Urville Island - Northern Cook Strait Coastal Terrestrial Area 2: Cook Strait Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay. | | | | | |
| 486 | Waitui Holdings Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay. | | | | | |
| 486 | Waitui Holdings Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay. | | | | | |
| 486 | Waitui Holdings Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Change the classifications of both landscape (including seascape) and character away from outstanding values and away from high values to enable some limited aquaculture to occur on the western side of the inner part of the Bay. | | | | | |
| 1236 | Wakatu Incorporation | 1 | All | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | It is Wakatu's recommendation that the Proposed Plan is placed in abeyance pending the development of specific rules and policies dealing with marine farms which can be then be considered as part of a revised and comprehensive plan. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 677 | Daniel Walker | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 679 | David Walker | 1 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Prohibit replanting of pine plantations in the Sounds. Keep Pine plantations in the wider catchment as discretionary using 200 metre buffer zones etc to minimise runoff into the river and lessen flood risk caused by bare hillsides. | | | | | |
| 679 | David Walker | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.7. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--|--------|
| Decision Requested | Prohibit replanting of pine plantations in the Sounds. Keep Pine plantations in the wider catchment as discretionary using 200 metre buffer zones etc to minimise runoff into the river and lessen flood risk caused by bare hillsides. | | | | | |
| 679 | David Walker | 3 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Integrated management of the Marlborough environment | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Ensure the integrated management of Marlborough accounts for all the industries and that they abide by the policies set out [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 679 | David Walker | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove Port Underwood, Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound from the plan. Robertson Point to Cape Koamaru is ok. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1247 | Robert Walker | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| 763 | Harman Moanoroa Wallace | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 838 | Jim Wallace | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 951 | Michael Wallace | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 988 | Nathan Wallace | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 407 | Walnut Block Wines Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 264 | Walnut Creek Partnership | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defer imposing any groundwater take restrictions for the Northern Springs area based on water levels in monitoring well P28w/3009 and surface water take restrictions from Spring Creek based on the water Level at the Spring Creek Motor Camp until there is absolute scientific clarity about the influences on those water levels. Provide clarity to current permit holders as to when the proposed restrictions have effect while being debated through the Plan Change process. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 6 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Exclude stock from waterways. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Mapping and protection of significant wetlands. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 16 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 17 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 18 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 865 | Karen Walshe | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps. | | | | | |
| 558 | Bruce John Walton | 1 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Forestry in the Sounds area be phased out, with time frame no more planting after 2018. | | | | | |
| 558 | Bruce John Walton | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That when harvesting is undertaken, siltation stations are set up in streams to lessen siltation into rivers. That a buffer zone of 500m is made around all streams wider than 1m for harvesting and clearing of all hills. | | | | | |
| 558 | Bruce John Walton | 3 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.3.19.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 5.3.19.1 (inferred) . Standard 5.3.19.1 The continued use of the specified appliance is only permitted until 9 June 2017. | | | | | |
| 1213 | Vaughan Paul Warburton | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 902 | Lewis Ward | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 282 | Warren Forestry Ltd | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Follow NES-PF and make all rules positive to encourage best practice while still encouraging forestry as one of the best land uses in Marlborough. Do not discourage existing uses by requiring consents. If you establish good rules that are supported, then you only need to enforce them against the cowboys. The majority of users will be on your side. | | | | | |
| 282 | Warren Forestry Ltd | 2 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Include the transportation of logs within the definition of commercial forestry harvesting (inferred). | | | | | |
| 282 | Warren Forestry Ltd | 3 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Replanting should be removed from this definition. | | | | | |
| 282 | Warren Forestry Ltd | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 3.3.6.2(g) (inferred). | | | | | |
| 282 | Warren Forestry Ltd | 5 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Retain standards for Scots Pine and Contorta (inferred). Use the methodology in the proposed NES-PF to manage wilding pine spread. | | | | | |
| 282 | Warren Forestry Ltd | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Follow NES-PF and make all rules positive to encourage best practice while still encouraging forestry as one of the best land uses in Marlborough. Do not discourage existing uses by requiring consents. If you establish good rules that are supported, then you only need to enforce them against the cowboys. The majority of users will be on your side. | | | | | |
| 494 | Evaon Watkins | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| 494 | Evaon Watkins | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 495 | Bruce Watkins | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 495 | Bruce Watkins | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 989 | Natasha Watts | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 746 | Gregory Walter Webb | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 Schedule 1 - Quantity Allocations for Water Takes. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 746 | Gregory Walter Webb | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 Schedule 3 - Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes. | | | | | |
| 746 | Gregory Walter Webb | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | I suggest alternatives be explored, i.e., nutrient traps and filters where streams exit properties. | | | | | |
| 765 | Heath Webb | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1260 | Christopher Robert Webb | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The status quo until further science has been done to support change. I have no reservation with seeking to maintain clean water but believe we do not have the facilities to have pump stations in place and unless boaters install better fittings the potential for spills at pump stations is significant. I would support a change to a strip of deep water down the centre of the sounds with exclusions around marine farms as the area discharge could take place. | | | | | |
| 1260 | Christopher Robert Webb | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The status quo until further science has been done to support change. I have no reservation with seeking to maintain clean water but believe we do not have the facilities to have pump stations in place and unless boaters install better fittings the potential for spills at pump stations is significant. I would support a change to a strip of deep water down the centre of the sounds with exclusions around marine farms as the area discharge could take place. | | | | | |
| 10 | Nicholas Webby | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Keep in the plan. | | | | | |
| 14 | Nicholas Webby | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Keep 4.1 in the objectives. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 14 | Nicholas Webby | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Leave Policy 4.1.2 as is. | | | | | |
| 14 | Nicholas Webby | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Keep Policy 7.2.8 | | | | | |
| 40 | Nicholas Webby | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To allow the burial of wire, netting, broken standards and staples from old fences. | | | | | |
| 46 | Nicholas Webby | 1 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Make rule 3.3.23.2 state clearly that bunding is not required on all four sides. | | | | | |
| 165 | Nicholas Webby | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove policy 5.3.15 | | | | | |
| 175 | Welton Vineyards Ltd | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the limits for the Northern, Central and Urban Springs from Appendix 6 (inferred). | | | | | |
| 239 | Tony Westend | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full | | | | | |
| 239 | Tony Westend | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full | | | | | |
| 239 | Tony Westend | 3 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full | | | | | |
| 239 | Tony Westend | 4 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Adopt all provisions in full. | | | | | |
| 1291 | Whatamonga Farms Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 1289 | Whatamonga Forests Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.2.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | To change the allocation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 litres of water per litre of milk. • To have litres of water per hectare and not per farm. If we are required to have a Resource Consent for cowshed water that it does not get the same cut off restrictions as the Irrigation Resource Consents. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 2 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To have zero metres from the water provided a secondary containment such as a bund to prevent spillage into the water for containers over 2000 litres - which is the current rule. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To either scrap the 50 metre rule of a nesting bird or: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To reduce it to 10 metre rule of nesting bird • If all areas of the riverbed was to be treated as a nesting bird area under the current 50 metre proposal we could lose over 25 ha of land which is most productive between the months of 1 September and 31 December. In European Union there is subsidies for the lose of land for environmental protection purposes. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 4 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To remove the word Prohibited. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 5 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To have the same rules no matter how the animal is fed or farmed as long as the conditions of the water quality tests are met. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | We would like to see fences excluded from this rule. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | To leave this policy as is. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.31.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To remove the Only Biodegradable Material clause until there are subsidised Farm Skips in rural areas where there are not rubbish truck collections. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.32.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To remove the Except Intensive Farming statement in this clause. | | | | | |
| | To add that wild animal carcasses caught on the property maybe disposed of into an offal pit. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That excavation work be allowed on Flood Hazard 2 & 3 zones. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 11 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.15. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To allow farming structures to be built including small pump sheds, fences, local and national infrastructure such as power poles. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 12 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.2.1.16. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To be able to keep farming those areas which are currently in pasture or crop. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 13 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.45.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To be allowed to have employees live on the farm. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 14 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the water quality tests that are required by the Council are easy to undertake and understand. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 15 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 28 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | To be allowed to continue our livestock operation on these areas which we have borrowed money on to purchase. | | | | | |
| 93 | Spencer & Susan White | 16 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the old Class B becomes the new Class A - as well as the unclassified consents that have the restrictions of the new Class A. | | | | | |
| 952 | Matthew White | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 952 | Matthew White | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 952 | Matthew White | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| 952 | Matthew White | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1216 | Victoria White | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1216 | Victoria White | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1216 | Victoria White | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1216 | Victoria White | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 953 | Mark Whittall | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 140 | Marcus Wickham | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Abolish minimum level and rethink the proposed restrictions for the 3x springs areas. Contact anyone who has previously provided feedback for your plan so we can be informed directly rather than having to dig through mountains of paperwork to find it buried in the appendix. | | | | | |
| 144 | Wickham Family Trust | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Abolish the proposed water restrictions for the Wairau aquifer "springs area" based on water levels in nearby wells while leaving the water users west of the "springs area" unrestricted. Notify the proposed changes to people who provide feedback in the early stages, don't just expect them to dig through pages and pages to find what affects them. | | | | | |
| 740 | Geoffrey St Clair Wiffen | 1 | All | All | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | To support the submission as proposed by the Flaxbourne Settlers Association. | | | | | |
| 1015 | Wildacres Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or watered-down to suit the interests of large scale industrial or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating protections. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows (bold) - " <i>To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i> " | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a new policy be added under Objective 5.7 as follows - " <i>To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i> " | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Re-word the Policy as follows - " <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i> " | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies – " <i>Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i> " | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.28. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend all of the provisions in the MEP relating to discharges (<i>to land - inferred</i>), such as 3.1.28, to add a standard requiring an appropriate setback to the Mean High Water Springs. There is inconsistency throughout the Plan regarding setbacks. <i>(The submission related to all discharge rules of a similar nature but did not identify all the specific provisions of concern, the submission has been related to a specific relevant provision, provided as an example by the submitter, for the purposes of providing context.)</i> | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.9.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 8 | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.7.8. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone | 22.3.6.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 10 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.9.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.7.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 12 | Volume 2 | 21 Floodway Zone | 21.3.6.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 13 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.3.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 14 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.6.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 15 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 16 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.4.6. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 17 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.3.8.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 18 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.8.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 19 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.20.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 20 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.15.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 21 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 22 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.12.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 23 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.11.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 24 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 25 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 26 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.16.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 27 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 28 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 29 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.12.11 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 30 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.9.11. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 32 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 33 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.1.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 34 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.14.5.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 35 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.9.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Delete that part of the Standard that references the Munsell scale. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 36 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the Awatere River Freshwater Management Unit Class C minimum flow and level be amended to reflect the increased Class C allocation as above being 302,400 m3/day or 3500 l/s. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 37 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the minimum flows and levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, save for the proposed Class C water allocation for the Awatere Freshwater Management Unit, as proposed. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 38 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the allocation levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers as proposed except for the C Class allocation in the Awatere Freshwater Management Unit. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|------------|-----------------|
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 39 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the volume of Awatere Freshwater Management Unit Class C water available for allocation be increased from 224,640 m3/day to 302,400 m3/day. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 40 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add new standards to the proposed new Permitted Activity rule (<i>separate submission</i>) for the installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland as follows - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland. • That the installation or maintenance must be undertaken by Marlborough District Council officers or persons acting on their behalf. | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 41 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows - " <i>The installation and maintenance of hydrological and climatological monitoring equipment in, on, over or under the bed of a river, lake or wetland.</i> " | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 42 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of Farming as follows - " <i>means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit. For clarity farming includes the slaughtering and processing of animals for personal consumption but not for sale purposes.</i> " | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 43 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the definition of "Agricultural liquid waste" as follows (bold) - " <i>means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, viticultural, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i> " | | | | | |
| 359 | WilkesRM Limited | 44 | Volume 2 | 8 Rural Living Zone | 8.2.1.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | No relief sought. | | | | | |
| 780 | John A Wilkins | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1219 | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1219 | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1219 | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1219 | William Albert Trevor and Kathleen Mary Rainbow | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8155 Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 30 | Philip Pat Williams | 1 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Recognise, research and develop, then apply control measures for gorse, Old Man's Beard and banana passionfruit on road reserve in the Marlborough Sounds. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1139 | Sarah Williams | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1304 | Hannam, Kay Lucille Williams, Brian Lloyd and | 1 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.5.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Place an exemption of 10.5.1 for the Wairau Valley Township. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 543 | Alistair Willis | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| 342 | Willow Flat Farm Limited | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed. | | | | | |
| 342 | Willow Flat Farm Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain provisions as proposed. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.21 as proposed subject to amended definition of intensively farmed livestock [inferred]. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 4 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.21.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.3.21.1 as proposed subject to amended definition of intensively farmed livestock [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 5 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: <i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i> <i>(a) cattle or deer grazed contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i> <i>(a) dairy cattle on properties with milking platforms;</i> <i>(b) farmed pigs.</i> <i>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover:</i> <i>1. the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or</i> <i>2. livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</i> | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 [<i>inferred</i>]. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8. | | | | | |
| 1237 | Willowgrove Dairies Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 345 | Willowhaugh Enterprises Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The decision we seek from the Council is for the threshold for the Wairau River Class B Water Right to remain at the original 8m ³ /s. | | | | | |
| 66 | Karen and John Wills | 1 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.2.1.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>1. The 90m restriction be removed.</p> <p>2. In the event of any decision to apply the restriction; this be done in a manner that accommodates residential activities, development and subdivision.</p> <p>3. Any restrictions and discretion applied residential activities, development and subdivision not be of a nature that has the practical effect of or specifically preventing or prohibiting residential activities, development or subdivision from being carried out. Use of Controlled Activity classification is requested in the event that any standards required to be applied to Permitted Activities may not be complied with and for the matters over which control will be exercised include consideration of all methods by which the protections and purposes of the restriction can be achieved in a manner that does not prevent or make it impracticable for residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>4. Provision of a rule or rules that require the location of transmission lines and associated infrastructure to be located so they avoid preventing residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land and that include activity status, standards and objectives and policies that prescribe the matters required to be considered and that these include avoidance of adverse effects on residential use, development and subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>5. Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise effects these provisions may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes.</p> <p>6. Rules, objectives and policies be included in the Plan that prescribe that as a pre-requisite to implementation of the restriction of the 90m zone, that the operator of the substation or any line associated with it or any other line or facility presenting the requirement for this restriction, to provide means by which restrictions and costs caused by the restrictions are mitigated.</p> | | | | | |

7. Controls be placed on or volunteered by the operators of the lines and substation that will avoid, remedy or mitigate the need for the restriction.

8. The submitter is willing to discuss means by which the significant impact of this provision may be avoided, remedied or mitigated with both the Council and the line and substation operator and is open to discussion of the full range of options available pursuant to the RMA.

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------------|---|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| 66 | Karen and John Wills | 2 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1.5. | Oppose |
|-----------|----------------------|---|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Decision Requested | <p>1. The 90m restriction be removed.</p> <p>2. In the event of any decision to apply the restriction; this be done in a manner that accommodates residential activities, development and subdivision.</p> <p>3. Any restrictions and discretion applied residential activities, development and subdivision not be of a nature that has the practical effect of or specifically preventing or prohibiting residential activities, development or subdivision from being carried out. Use of Controlled Activity classification is requested in the event that any standards required to be applied to Permitted Activities may not be complied with and for the matters over which control will be exercised include consideration of all methods by which the protections and purposes of the restriction can be achieved in a manner that does not prevent or make it impracticable for residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>4. Provision of a rule or rules that require the location of transmission lines and associated infrastructure to be located so they avoid preventing residential use, development or subdivision of residential zoned land and that include activity status, standards and objectives and policies that prescribe the matters required to be considered and that these include avoidance of adverse effects on residential use, development and subdivision of residential zoned land.</p> <p>5. Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise effects these provisions may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes.</p> |
|--------------------|--|

6. Rules, objectives and policies be included in the Plan that prescribe that as a pre-requisite to implementation of the restriction of the 90m zone, that the operator of the substation or any line associated with it or any other line or facility presenting the requirement for this restriction, to provide means by which restrictions and costs caused by the restrictions are mitigated.

7. Controls be placed on or volunteered by the operators of the lines and substation that will avoid, remedy or mitigate the need for the restriction.

8. The submitter is willing to discuss means by which the significant impact of this provision may be avoided, remedied or mitigated with both the Council and the line and substation operator and is open to discussion of the full range of options available pursuant to the RMA.

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|---|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 66 | Karen and John Wills | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Objectives and policies be included in the Plan that recognise the effect that Rules 5.2.1.18 and 24.3.1.5 may have on residential activities, development and subdivision and amenities and the location of any new or replacement lines and associated equipment, installations or facilities should be such that they do not present restrictions or effects on land used for and zoned or otherwise identified or provided for use, development and subdivision for residential purposes. | | | | | |
| 139 | James Wilson | 1 | Volume 1 | 19 Climate Change | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Council a. Accepts in full, the reality of the exponential changes being wrought by Global Warming and Climate Disruption. b. Raises the profile of Global Warming and Climate Disruption within their Environmental Plan. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A change of emphasis in the MEP to enabling activities. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 2 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Either:</p> <p>a) have an over-arching statement at the start of the documents requiring the beneficial effects of any activity to be part of the consideration of every policy and rule or</p> <p>(b) include the requirement in every policy and rule concerned with avoiding, prohibiting, managing, activities and environmental impacts.</p> <p>With a preference for a) to be adopted.</p> | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 3 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.16 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add "cycleway" to the second bullet point of (a) so that it reads "used as walkway or cycleway..." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 4 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition for "passive and informal recreation" include cycling. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 5 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | 9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That cycling is included as a part of public access. That the two definitions for recreation include cycling. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend wording of Rule 3.3.14.4 so that it reads: "Excavation within a soil sensitive area identified as loess soils is permitted on slopes up to 20 degrees provided the new track or trail is built to New Zealand recognised standards." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 7 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | 17.M.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the method (inferred). | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 8 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.5.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Provide clarity around the word "high" in the policy (inferred). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 9 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Policy 17.4.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred). | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 10 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 11 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 12 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 13 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add condition (j) the benefits of the activities. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 14 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.4.4 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add condition (j) the benefits of the activities. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 15 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred). | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 16 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred). | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 17 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | No specific relief is identified. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 18 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.17 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the policy (inferred). | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.23.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 20 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.13.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 21 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.10.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 22 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.13.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 23 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.29.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|------------|--------|
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 24 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.30.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | A re-write of the listed rules with professional expertise in that field. The rule is restated to tabulate maximum discharge rates per unit area/seven day period for varying combinations of soil type and slope. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 25 | Volume 2 | 20 Open Space 4 Zone | 20.3.3.9. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 26 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.5.14. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 27 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.5.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 28 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.4.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 29 | Volume 2 | 7 Coastal Living Zone | 7.3.9.10. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 30 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.13.9. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.14.11. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained." | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 32 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.22. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 33 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.32. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.33. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rule is re-written recognising the practicalities of life-stock farming. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 35 | Volume 2 | 19 Open Space 3 Zone | 19.3.17.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 36 | Volume 2 | 18 Open Space 2 Zone | 18.3.9.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 37 | Volume 2 | 17 Open Space 1 Zone | 17.3.8.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input. | | | | | |
| 210 | Kevin Wilson | 38 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.22.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The rules are revisited with farmer and horticultural input. | | | | | |
| 231 | Jono Wilson | 1 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>a Private property owners may continue to have more than one mooring per Computer Register or Computer Unit Title Register should they have no objection from neighbours nor any conflict with the swing circle of their moorings.</p> <p>b Where the applicant does not own land in the vicinity of the proposed mooring the MDC will accept a proposal for such a mooring if the vessel has a holding tank and there are no objections from private land owners .</p> | | | | | |
| 231 | Jono Wilson | 2 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.15 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | e avoiding the use of boatlifts alongside jetties for <u>long term</u> boat storage | | | | | |
| 231 | Jono Wilson | 3 | Volume 1 | 9 Public Access and Open Space | Policy 9.1.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>a Those providers to tourists travelling thru the Marlborough Sounds and Marlborough area will provide information on there obligations during their visit. Highlighting their need to use only toilets provided for them at the camping sites.</p> | | | | | |
| 231 | Jono Wilson | 4 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.10.14 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Reword to "A jetty shall not be used for storing boating equipment, marine farming equipment or other gear." | | | | | |
| 231 | Jono Wilson | 5 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm</p> <p>All vessels used for overnight and longer cruises must be fitted with a holding tank by 2020. No vessel must overnight in QCS without a holding tank from 2017.</p> | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 1 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Insert additional condition: Any stormwater treatment and discharge system is operated and maintained in accordance with the system design specification for operation and maintenance or, if there is no design specification, the requirements of Auckland Council Technical Publication 10. | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 2 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule as it is redundant | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 3 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule as it is redundant | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 4 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule as it is redundant | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 5 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Rule revision that these discharges are permitted until 9 June 2021 and re-written to cover stormwater discharges from local authority stormwater network | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 6 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>This should be a restricted discretionary activity with the following requirements;</p> <p>(a)the resource consent application includes a stormwater management strategy.</p> <p>Matters for discretion</p> <p>1.The contents and implementation of the stormwater management strategy</p> <p>2.Development and implementation of methods, such as catchment specific stormwater management plan(s), in accordance with any relevant objectives identified in this plan, including any relevant whatua specific objectives</p> <p>3.Management of adverse effects, including cumulative effects, on aquatic ecosystem health and mahinga kai, contact recreation and Maori customary use</p> | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 7 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.9. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove this rule | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 8 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Insert rule stating: the discharge is not from contaminated land | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Adopt appropriate noise levels for nearest neighbours or ban use. | | | | | |
| 290 | David Wilson | 10 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | there should be rules regarding this activity especially for urban areas where runoff can contain fecal coliforms | | | | | |
| 438 | Richard Scott Wilson | 1 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would encourage the Council to accept these policies as written for the MEP. | | | | | |
| 438 | Richard Scott Wilson | 2 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would encourage the Council to accept these policies as written for the MEP. | | | | | |
| 438 | Richard Scott Wilson | 3 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | I would submit that the Council include these policies in the MEP re: the Omaka Valley as written, with particular emphasis on traffic flows and limitation of sub-division. | | | | | |
| 438 | Richard Scott Wilson | 4 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | I would submit that the Council include these policies in the MEP re: the Omaka Valley as written, with particular emphasis on traffic flows and limitation of sub-division. | | | | | |
| 695 | Elias I Wilson | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i> | | | | | |
| 695 | Elias I Wilson | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</i> | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 839 | John Wilson | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 6 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 16 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 17 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 839 | John Wilson | 18 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Record that existing aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 7 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 8 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 9 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 10 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 11 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 12 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 13 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 14 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 15 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 16 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 10 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 17 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 11 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 903 | Lewis Wilson | 18 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 954 | Michael Wilson | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1036 | Philip Wilson | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1036 | Philip Wilson | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1036 | Philip Wilson | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1036 | Philip Wilson | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8631 Catherine Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 17 Transportation | Issue 17D | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That transportation is removed from the commercial forest harvesting definition. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 3 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.17. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the current water quality assessment scales are until the MDC can consult widely with all land users on the appropriateness of the proposed Munsell scale.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 3.3.7.17(a):</p> <p><i>3.3.7.17. Harvesting must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 4A. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5B | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the word "take" should be defined to not include water used by growing trees. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5C | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 5C (<i>inferred</i>). | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.1. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.2. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support Policy 5.3.3. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.4. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.11. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.13. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.3.15: <i>Policy 5.3.15 Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry in flow sensitive areas.</i> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.3.16: <i>Policy 5.3.16 When considering any application for land use consent required as a result of Policy 5.3.15, have regard to the effect of the proposed forestry on river flow (including combined effects with other commercial forestry and carbon sequestration forestry (non permanent) established after 9 June 2016) and seek to avoid any cumulative reduction in the seven day mean annual low flow of more than 5%.</i> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 25 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The Munsell scale is new to us and we want further explanation of the scale and the consequences for operations in the forest. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 26 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 27 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 3.3.6.2(g) (inferred):</p> <p>Standard <i>3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;</i></p> <p>The submission does not include a clear decision requested with regards to the statement "Amend - if not amended then Oppose. unless a coppicing tree verity is used."</p> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 28 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the definitions (inferred):</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry harvesting means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i></p> <p><i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i></p> <p><i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i></p> <p><i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i></p> <p><i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</i></p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, and management and replanting of trees, and the preparation of land for planting.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Distinguish between inner (highly modified) and outer sound. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | 7. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove the word avoid and change to minimise. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 31 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to standard 2.8.1.4:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.8.1.4. Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</i></p> <p>The submission also states "Amend substituting measure of Horizontal Clarity".</p> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 32 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | If planted as a Permitted Activity or Consent, Council will not restrict any future ability to harvest with/or without supporting earthworks. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 33 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.2 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.2 Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend by <i>ensuring this policy is reflected in the rules for forestry in the Sounds and reject the 200m setback.</i> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 35 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.7.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.7.2 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(d) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 36 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.8.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.3.8.1 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 4.3.8.1 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Objective 11.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete all reference to high amenity areas Policy is to address only land designated as ONFL. Change "avoid" to "minimise". | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 7.2.9: <i>Policy 7.2.9 When considering resource consent applications for activities in close proximity to outstanding natural features and landscapes, regard may be had to the matters in Policy 7.2.7.</i> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 40 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.6.1. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment is made to Standard 3.3.6.1(a): <i>Standard 3.3.6.1 The following species must not be planted:</i> <i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 41 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.8.2 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a clear decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 42 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.9.7.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 2.9.7.4: <i>Standard 2.9.7.4 The total length of the culvert must not exceed 815m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m.</i> | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 43 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.22.1.2. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 44 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.22.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 45 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.7.18. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The submission does not include a decision requested. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 46 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Replace with Forestry NES Erosion Susceptibility Classification Mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land. | | | | | |
| 1238 | Windermere Forests Limited | 47 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 149 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That a review and clarification of Significant Wetlands with in our property as shown on page 149 of Volume Four as W820, W805 and W108 is undertaken. | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 2 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.6.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area ". | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 3 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 4 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.6.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 5 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 6 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.21. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 7 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 8 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 9 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 10 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.28.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 11 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 12 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 13 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 14 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.3.27.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 15 | Volume 1 | 8 Indigenous Biodiversity | 8.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 16 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 17 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 18 | Volume 2 | 4 Coastal Environment Zone | 4.1.30. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 420 | Windsong Orchard | 19 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 4.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6. (inferred) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.3. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.6. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.9. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14. (inferred) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.15. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.16 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.16. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.4. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 21 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.6. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 22 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.7. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 23 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2. (inferred) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 24 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.3. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 25 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 5.8. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 26 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 27 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 34 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 35 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.7. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 36 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.1.10. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 37 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy Objective 14.2. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 38 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.1. (inferred) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 39 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.2. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 40 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.2.3. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 41 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.3. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 42 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.3.2. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 43 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 14.4.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 44 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 14.5. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 48 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.1.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 49 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.2.5. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 50 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 2.3.5. (inferred) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 51 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 52 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 53 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 54 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.1.5. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 55 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.1.7. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 56 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 57 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 58 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 3.1.17. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 59 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22. (inferred) | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 60 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 61 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 62 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 63 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 64 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.34. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 65 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.39. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.39. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 66 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 68 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.6.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 3.6.2. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 69 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 70 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only: Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</p> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 71 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</p> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 72 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</p> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 73 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read: Activities that are not related to primary production are only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.”</p> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 74 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.3.2 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain, with an additional clause (e): The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 75 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Objective 14.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Redraft the objective to read: Residential activity takes place only within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 76 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing; (b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance; (ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance; (iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance; (iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water; (v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and (vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan. <p>That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</p> <p>That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</p> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 77 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Redraft the objective to read:</p> <p><i>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 78 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.3.4 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment: <i>Manage the use of agri-chemicals to avoid spray drift as far as practicable."</i> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 79 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.5.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over."</i> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 80 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. 3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the water body. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 81 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 82 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.3. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 83 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.3.13.4. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 86 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, viticultural, silvicultural and aquaculture activities."</i> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 87 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 88 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Add a policy under Objective 5.8 as follows - <i>"Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available."</i> | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 89 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Issue 5I | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Issue be deleted. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 90 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Objective 5.9 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Objective be deleted. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 91 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy be deleted. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 92 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy be deleted. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|---|---|-------------|
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 93 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.9.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Policy be deleted. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 94 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | 5.M.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Method be deleted. | | | | | |
| 431 | Wine Marlborough | 95 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 901 | Lo Wai Wing | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| 840 | John Winlove | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 840 | John Winlove | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p> | | | | | |
| 315 | Nicholas Winter | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Decision Requested</p> <p>That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal(both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flow levelcut off of 8m3/s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the currentsituation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a conditionrelating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With thecurrent management flow of 8m3/s for fullrestriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that thereare no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have asignificant negative economic and social impact on those consent holders currentlyabove The Narrows.</p> | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p> | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p> | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 5 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 6 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 955 | Moira Winter | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 337 | CP and LE Womersley | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that no further charges (<i>Coastal Occupancy Charges</i>) be added to our means of legal access to our property (<i>Lot 1 DP 18488, Lot 1 DP 311518 and Lot 1 DP 18196</i>). (<i>It has been inferred that this submission relates to Policy 5.10.4.</i>) | | | | | |
| 337 | CP and LE Womersley | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | The decision I seek from Council is that the "High Natural Character Overlay" be reviewed as it relates to, and modified so it doesn't include Lot 1 DP 18488, Lot 1 DP 311518 or Lot 1 DP 18196. | | | | | |
| 626 | Christopher Peter Womersley | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 626 | Christopher Peter Womersley | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 626 | Christopher Peter Womersley | 3 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8181 and 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 626 | Christopher Peter Womersley | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 626 | Christopher Peter Womersley | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 626 | Christopher Peter Womersley | 6 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181 and 8179; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1177 | Thien Soong Wong | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 3 | Nicola Wood | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 3 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>The decision I seek from council is:</p> <p>Identify the A and B class of water consent in MEP Vol 3 Appendix 6 for Southern Springs recognising the obligations associated with those currently on A class water and remove the restrictions for A Class consent holders associated with the limits the MEP is introducing.</p> <p>Continue to manage the A class right as best practice for the vineyard volume of water, as was implemented with us recently when we renewed our consent, with the release of water historically unused. This water should not be reallocated but used to boost the water in the Southern Springs aquifer benefiting those on B class restrictions. Because this was historically the case, any reallocation of this water will result in a net increase of water use and subsequently negatively impact on the volume of water measured in Southern Springs.</p> <p>Cancel all consents transferring water out of the Southern Springs areas. Any ongoing MDC support of these activities, while limiting those within this area, is highly questionable.</p> <p>Remove the immediate implementation effect of restrictions for A Class consent holders as identified in Vol 2 Chap 2.</p> | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p> | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p> | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p> | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.</p> | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Issue 6A | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1224 | P Wood | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 1239 | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group) | 1 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | Only include Braziers in the ban through the winter months of June, July and August, the months in which we are most likely to exceed the air standard. That outdoor rubbish burn-offs within the Blenheim Air-shed are banned, unless with a permit. Permits could be issued based on weather conditions; this also gives the opportunity for questions about what will be burnt and how dry it is etc. | | | | | |
| 1239 | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group) | 2 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 5.5.4 (inferred) : <i>Rule 5.5.4. Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from an indoor open fire, unless the fire is used exclusively for the cooking, or smoking of food for wholesale or retail sale, or is not the main source of heating.</i> That consideration is given for commercial dining premises that have fireplaces, for example, chimney filters could be required to reduce omissions. | | | | | |
| 1239 | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group) | 3 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 5.5.5 and any associated provisions are removed from the plan entirely. All wood burners should be permitted. | | | | | |
| 1239 | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group) | 4 | Volume 2 | 5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone | 5.5.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Rule 5.5.6 and any associated provisions are removed from the plan entirely. All wood burners should be permitted. | | | | | |
| 1239 | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group) | 5 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.28 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendments (bold) are added to 15.M.28 (inferred) : <i>15.M.28 Incentives Consideration will be given to assisting landowners to replace open fires and older style enclosed burning appliances and to make energy efficient improvements. This may require approaches to central government and the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority for greater financial assistance with offering incentives. Other incentives could include allowing costs to be applied to rates or providing subsidies and/or waiver of permits or free expert advise on best options to suit their dwelling.</i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|--------|
| 1239 | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group) | 6 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15D | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the Council reviews it's policy on using PM10 concentrations as a measure for ambient air quality and consider using PM2.5 instead. | | | | | |
| 1239 | Woodburners Unite (concerned Residents Group) | 7 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.30 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.30 (inferred):</p> <p><i>15.M.30 Information</i></p> <p><i>Through community engagement and public education material, Ensure that the community is well informed about:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>alternative means of managing waste and the facilities that can be used/accessed to dispose of waste that can no longer be burned;</i> <i>the choices of heating and heat conservation methods;</i> <i>alternative and/or emerging technologies that reduce emissions, e.g., chimney top filters, smartburn and retrofitted catalyst converters;</i> <i>efficient use of fireplaces is essential for all owners of new and older style woodburners;</i> <i>the incentives available to the public to change to cleaner, more efficient methods of home heating and fuel use; and</i> <i>the need to use dry firewood to reduce PM10 emissions.</i> | | | | | |
| 876 | Leonard Arthur Woodman | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i></p> | | | | | |
| 876 | Leonard Arthur Woodman | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1083 | Rowland and Malcolm Woods | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | More detailed information is required to enable those affected to be able to ensure that they are not being asked to fund activities which are properly the responsibility of someone other than the MDC. | | | | | |
| 1083 | Rowland and Malcolm Woods | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | If the MDC wishes to maintain its position it will need to quantify the public and private costs and there public and private benefits associated with each of the categories of structure on which it is contemplating imposing coastal occupancy charges. | | | | | |
| 1083 | Rowland and Malcolm Woods | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.4: <i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</i> | | | | | |
| 196 | Ian Woolley | 1 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | | Support |
| Decision Requested | I will attend the hearing when this comes up at the council | | | | | |
| 1240 | Worlds End Enterprise Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1240 | Worlds End Enterprise Limited | 2 | Volume 3 | Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|---------|
| 1240 | Worlds End Enterprise Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 1240 | Worlds End Enterprise Limited | 4 | Volume 3 | Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8303; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values. | | | | | |
| 482 | Worlds End Enterprises Limited | 1 | Volume 2 | 24 Subdivision | 24.3.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the proposal to make subdivision in these Coastal Environment Zone and the Coastal Living Zone a controlled activity. | | | | | |
| 482 | Worlds End Enterprises Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Coastal Natural Character 3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the extent of the natural character overlay on the western side of Tennyson Inlet (<i>specific amendment not provided in Submission</i>). | | | | | |
| 482 | Worlds End Enterprises Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape (ONFL) overlay map in respect of the western side of Tennyson Inlet (<i>specific amendment not provided in Submission</i>). | | | | | |
| 482 | Worlds End Enterprises Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Landscapes 4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the mapping as proposed in respect of the Coastal Living Zone in Penzance Bay/Tuna Bay. | | | | | |
| 482 | Worlds End Enterprises Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the map to, at a minimum, remove the main navigation channel in Tennyson Inlet from mapped Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.9 (<i>specific amendment not provided in Submission</i>). | | | | | |
| 482 | Worlds End Enterprises Limited | 6 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 66 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zoning as proposed. | | | | | |
| 482 | Worlds End Enterprises Limited | 7 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 112 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the zoning as proposed. | | | | | |
| 675 | Donald Wright | 1 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." | | | | | |
| 675 | Donald Wright | 2 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." | | | | | |
| 956 | Michelle Xiucin Qiu | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 1 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.9.6 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) to Policy 13.9.6(a):</p> <p><i>Policy 13.9.6 – A mooring shall be required to be removed from the coastal marine area in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p>(a) where there is no longer a need for a mooring to moor a boat;</p> <p>(b) where the existence of a commercial activity has been the justification for approving a coastal permit for a mooring and that commercial activity no longer exists or operates;</p> <p>(c) where a collective mooring is no longer to be used as a collective mooring;</p> <p>(d) when a coastal permit for the mooring expires and no new coastal permit has been sought; or</p> <p>(e) where consent is refused for an existing mooring for which a new consent has been sought.</p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 2 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.15.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 13.15.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.15.2 – Avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on water transportation by:</i></p> <p>(a) maintaining safe, clear navigation routes around headlands, unimpeded by structures;</p> <p>(a) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within Recognised Navigational Routes (both commercial and recreational) and/or port or harbour approaches significant commercial shipping routes (including navigation around headlands, and shipping routes from the Port of Picton, Havelock Harbour and from Waikawa Marina);</p> <p>(b) avoiding activities and/or locating structures within Recognised Anchorages of Refuge and Mooring Management Areas;</p> <p>(c) avoiding emissions of light that could affect the safe navigation of ships;</p> <p>(d) ensuring the safety of navigation and use of or access to mooring sites, boat sheds and ramps, jetties, wharves, ports, marinas, and water ski access lanes and areas that provide shelter from adverse weather are not affected by activities or structures in the coastal marine area; and</p> <p>(e) requiring structures to be maintained or marked in a way that protects the safety of water transportation activities.</p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 3 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|-----------------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Add the following definitions:</p> <p><i>Recognised Navigational Routes - is a safe sea passage and commonly used by ships navigating within that area. The recognised navigational route may be one used by commercial ships to and from ports, and may also include pleasure craft routes which are normally used to navigate between popular destinations.</i></p> <p><i>Recognised Anchorages of Refuge - means an anchorage which is referred to in cruising guides, pilot books and similar publications as being suitable shelter for small/larger craft in adverse weather.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 4 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Objective 15.1a | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new objective (bold) following Objective 15.1a to recognise the health and safety risks to vessels if required to discharge untreated sewage significant distances from Mean High Water Springs as follows:</p> <p><i>Objective 15.X Protect the values of the CMA and activities that rely on high water quality, from the adverse effects from the discharge of sewage from ships, while providing for the health and safety of ships and their occupants.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 5 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.18 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Policy 15.1.18:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage from land to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 6 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.19 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (bold) to Policy 15.1.19:</p> <p><i>Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage from land to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 7 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.20 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 15.1.20:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.20 Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds. Avoid the discharge of untreated sewage from ships within areas that have been identified as inappropriate due to the proximity to shore, marine farms, marine reserves, or shallow water depth while providing for the health and safety of vessels and their occupants.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 8 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.4. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.4.</p> <p>Rule 13.6.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i> (inferred).</p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 9 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.5.</p> <p>Rule 13.6.5 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i> (inferred).</p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 10 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.6.6. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 13.6.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 13.6.6.</p> <p>Rule 13.6.6 Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (02.7 NM) of a marine farm.</i></p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 13.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 11 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.4.</p> <p>Rule 14.5.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHIWS.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 12 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.5. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.5.</p> <p>Rule 14.5.5 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve (inferred).</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 13 | Volume 2 | 14 Port Landing Area Zone | 14.5.6. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 14.5.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 14.5.6.</p> <p>Rule 14.5.6 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area:</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm.</i></p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 14.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>14.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 14 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.7.4. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.4 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.4.</p> <p>Rule 15.7.4 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i> (inferred).</p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 15 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.7.5. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.5 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.5.</p> <p>Rule 15.7.5 From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i> (inferred).</p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 16 | Volume 2 | 15 Marina Zone | 15.7.6. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 15.7.6 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 15.7.6.</p> <p>Rule 15.7.6 Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i> <i>15.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm.</i></p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 15.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i> <i>15.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>15.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 17 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.2. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.2 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.2.</p> <p>Rule 16.7.2 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i> <i>16.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less (inferred).</i></p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 18 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.3. | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.3 (strike-through) and add a new Permitted Activity rule (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.3.</p> <p>Rule 16.7.3 From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.X Discharge of untreated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm, marine reserve or mataitai reserve.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.X.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i> (inferred).</p> | | | | | |
| 503 | Yachting New Zealand Incorporated | 19 | Volume 2 | 16 Coastal Marine Zone | 16.7.4. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Make the following amendment to Rule 16.7.4 (strike-through) and add two new Permitted Activity rules (bold):</p> <p>Delete Rule 16.7.4.</p> <p>Rule 16.7.4 . Discharge of untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area:</p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.X Discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.X.1 The discharge must not occur within 100m (0.27 NM) of a marine farm.</i></p> <p><i>New Permitted Activity Rule 16.1.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.Y Discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.Y.1 The discharge must not occur within 500m (0.27 NM) of Mean High Water Springs.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.Y.2 The discharge must not occur within a depth of 5m or less</i> (inferred).</p> | | | | | |
| 258 | Brent Yardley | 1 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|---|---|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.10.4 is supported without amendment provided that the equitability and transparency issues of policies 5.10.5, 5.10.7 are addressed and policy 5.10.8 is clarified. | | | | | |
| 258 | Brent Yardley | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.10.5 (e) be removed or edited so that marinas are not waived from paying coastal occupation charges. | | | | | |
| 258 | Brent Yardley | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.7 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.10.7 be amended to specify how the coastal occupation charges are to be calculated. | | | | | |
| 258 | Brent Yardley | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.10.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | That Policy 5.10.8 be amended to include stakeholder group representation in the formal management of funds raised by coastal occupation charges for promoting sustainable management of the CMA. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 1 | Volume 1 | 2 Background | Identifying regionally significant issues | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4B | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 3 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 4 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.2.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 5 | Volume 2 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 6 | Volume 1 | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 7 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Issue 4C | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 8 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Objective 4.3 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 9 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |
| 976 | Norazizah Abu Yazid | 10 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Objective 6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------|
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.1 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources | Policy 4.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 4.1.2 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.1.1 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.4 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.6 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.11 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.2.14 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.5 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Policy 5.3.6 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.7 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 11 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.8 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 12 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.10 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.12 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.3.14 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.3.14 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.3 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.4 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.4.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.4.5 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.7.2 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.1 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 5.8.2 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 21 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 22 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.4.1.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.4.1.1 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 23 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.5.2 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 24 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.1 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 25 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.5 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 26 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.13 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 27 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.14. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.14 | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 28 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.17 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 29 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.19. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.19 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 30 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.22. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.22 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.23 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 32 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.25 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 33 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.26. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.26 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 34 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.33. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.33 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 35 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.34. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.1.34 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 36 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 3.4.1 | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.3 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not <u>only</u> be approved when the rate <u>or timing</u> of use exceeds <u>is within</u> the reasonable use calculations, except <u>or where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water or need to extend the irrigation period based on property specific information.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 38 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Approve applications to take and use water for frost fighting purposes, <u>where such frost fighting infrastructure is not already in place and been exercised under previous water permits and only where there are no effective alternative methods for frost control on the property.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.10 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Avoid taking water for frost fighting purposes between during periods of peak irrigation demand (1 January to and 30 April <u>March</u> in any calendar year)-</i> | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 40 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.8.3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined): <i>Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate for irrigation purposes. <u>The exemptions to the limitation on the rate of take are:</u></i> <i><u>(a) Due to the narrow window of opportunity afforded by the higher sediment loads that can be carried in the Awatere River, higher rates of take of Class B water may be considered from the Awatere River in April and May in Class C water is not available, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised monthly rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i> <i><u>(b) Community Irrigation and water supply schemes.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 41 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.32 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Include the following under Policy 15.1.32(b): <i><u>(v) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the value to an existing consent holder of the investment that relies on the take of water through that intake;</u></i> <i><u>(vi) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water.</u></i> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|----------------|-----------------|
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 42 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>(a) Include the following or similar in the list of permitted activities under Rule 2.7:</p> <p><i>New structures in, under, or over riverbeds</i></p> <p>(b) Include the following or similar in standards under 2.9:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>The riverbed must be less than 3 metres in width;</i> - <i>There must be no increase in the velocity of flow through or downstream of the structure at the river's median flow;</i> - <i>The structure must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the structure.</i> | | | | | |
| 1242 | Yealands Estate Limited | 43 | Volume 3 | Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels | Schedule 1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete Class C allocation limits in Appendix 6, Schedule 1. | | | | | |
| 1182 | Tim Young | 1 | All | All | | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 1 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 9 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 2 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 159 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 159 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 3 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 34 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 34 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 4 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 138 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 138 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 5 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 6 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Business and Industrial zoning on Map 21 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 7 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>9.1 Permitted Activities</p> <p>Insert a new permitted activity for additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone. This could be achieved as follows:</p> <p><u>9.1.## Additions and alterations to existing service stations, including retanking.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 8 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities</p> <p>Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure</p> <p>Amend 9.2.1.1 as follows:</p> <p>9.2.1.1. A building must be located on the front boundary of the site with no setback from the street edge except that a recess of up to 0.5m within the façade of the building <u>or a service station shop where the canopy edge</u> is within 2m of the street edge is permitted.</p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 9 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.1.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure</p> <p>Amend 9.2.1.2 as follows: 9.2.1.2. The primary customer entrance must be located on, or adjoin, the front boundary of the site except for service stations where the main entrance to the shop faces the street edge or where there is a clear pedestrian connection between the shop and the street edge.</p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 10 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.1.10. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>9.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following change:</p> <p>9.2.1 Construction and siting of a building or structure Amend 9.2.1.10 as follows: 9.2.1.10. A veranda must: (a) not extend further than 2m from the front face of a building into the street; (b) not extend closer than 0.5m to the street kerb; (c) be self-supporting. Except that a service station need not provide a verandah.</p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>10.1 Permitted Activities Insert new permitted activity for additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 2 zone as follows: 10.1.## Additions and alterations to existing service stations, including retanking.</p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 12 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the permitted activity standards to recognise the functional and operational requirements of service stations and to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing service stations in the Business 2 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>10.2 Standards that apply to all permitted activities Amend 10.2.1.4 as follows: 10.2.1.4. A building, in the Business 2 Zone in Blenheim, must have a veranda, and the veranda must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) be self-supporting; (b) not extend further than 2m from the front face of a building into the street; (c) not extend closer than 0.5m to the street kerb; (d) generally conform with adjoining verandas in regards to height, width, and depth of fascia. <p><u>Except that a service station need not provide a verandah.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 13 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Industrial 1 and 2 Zones Amend the permitted activity standards to ensure that additions and alterations, including retanking, to existing truck stops within the Industrial 1 zone can be provided for as permitted activities. This can be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>12.1 Permitted Activities Amend 12.1.5 as follows: 12.1.5 Truck Stop within industrial 2 zone. OR Retain 12.1.5 as notified 12.1.5 Truck Stop within industrial 2 zone. AND Insert a new rule providing for truck stops associated with a service station development. <u>12.1.## Truck Stop where it forms part of a service station development in the Industrial 1 zone.</u> OR Insert a new rule providing for alterations and additions, including retanking, to existing truck stops as follows: <u>12.1.## Additions and alterations to truck stops existing as of the date of notification of the Plan, including retanking.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 14 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 37 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Inner and Outer Noise Control Boundary Ensure that noise and reverse sensitivity issues associated with the Port of Picton are appropriately managed through the use of the inner and outer noise control boundaries, the Port Noise rules and the noise sensitive activity provisions. This can be achieved by: Planning Maps Retain the Port Noise Inner and Outer Control Boundaries in the location depicted on the planning maps as notified. This location for the outer control boundary avoids the Z Service Station site at 101 High Street, Picton, being Lot 1 DP 10296. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 15 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Port Zone rules in 13.2.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 16 | Volume 2 | 13 Port Zone | 13.2.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the Noise Sensitive Activity provisions as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13K | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Issue 13K including the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.18.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.18.3 including the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 6 Natural Character | Policy 6.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 6.2.4 and its explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.4 and its explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 7 Landscape | Policy 7.2.7 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 7.2.7 and its explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section titled "Introduction" in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13. | Support |
| Decision Requested | tain the section titled "Subdivision, use and development activities in the coastal environment" in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Issue 13A | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the section titles 'Issue 13A - Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment" including the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 25 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.1 including the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 26 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 13.1.1, including the explanation, relating to outstanding and significant areas by including reference to the values for which the areas are scheduled as follows:</p> <p>Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on the values of areas identified as having:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) outstanding natural character (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value. <p>Policy 13.1.1 identifies four significant matters upon which the adverse effects of activities are to be avoided. These matters are given particular direction through the principles of the RMA (Sections 6(a), (b), (c) and (f)) and through direction provided by Policies 11, 13, 15 and 17 of the NZCPS. However, it is important to acknowledge that implementing the policy does not mean that all activities are prohibited from occurring in the areas with the identified values; it simply makes clear that any adverse effects of activities on those values must be avoided in those areas, rather than being mitigated or remedied.</p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 27 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.1.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.1.2 including the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 28 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Objective 13.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Objective 13.2 including the explanation as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 29 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.1 and the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 30 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.2 and the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 31 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.3 and the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 32 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 13.2.4 and the explanation in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 33 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.5 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Remove reference to avoiding the establishment of activities resulting in high traffic generation from policy 13.2.5 as follows:</p> <p>Delete policy 13.2.5(j) as follows:</p> <p>Policy 13.2.5 – Amenity values of the coastal environment can be maintained and enhanced by:</p> <p>.....</p> <p>(j) — avoiding the establishment of activities resulting in high traffic generation.</p> | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 34 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | Policy 13.2.6 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete policy 13.2.6 and its explanation in its entirety. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 35 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.1 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.1 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 36 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.2 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.2 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 37 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.3 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 38 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.4 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 39 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.5 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.5 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 40 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.M.6 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Method of Implementation 13.M.6 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1244 | Z Energy Limited | 41 | Volume 1 | 13 Use of the Coastal Environment | 13.AER.2 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>In 13.AER.2 remove monitoring effectiveness clauses that do not provide an effective means of measuring appropriate development and outcomes in the coastal area as follows:</p> <p>Delete the first and fourth clauses under the column titled Monitoring Effectiveness as follows:</p> <p>No resource consents are granted for areas identified as inappropriate for development within the coastal environment.</p> <p>New building and development in the coastal environment is consistent with the character of the area, including retaining a lower density of development in the coastal environment.</p> <p>Consistent treatment of resource consent applications for activities in the coastal environment.</p> <p>No coastal permits are granted for activities without a functional or operational need for a coastal location.</p> <p>Monitoring of resource consent conditions imposed to address the effects of activities on a particular location.</p> <p>Reassess the zonings applied to land and water to ensure that appropriate areas are identified for use and development in the coastal environment.</p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 1 | Volume 1 | 3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi | Policy 3.1.2 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Policy 3.1.2 and its associated explanation to ensure that the policy does not mandate consultation, but that it encourages it where appropriate, and to recognise that consultation with iwi will provide specialist information with the Council remaining as the decision maker. This could be achieved by making the following changes to policy 3.1.2 and its associated explanation as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 3.1.2 – An applicant will be expected <u>is encouraged</u> to consult early in the development of a proposal (for resource consent or plan change) that may affect iwi so that cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account.</i></p> <p>Only Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi are able to provide advice on how a proposal may impact on cultural heritage, in particular can identify their relationship and that of their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga. This means that iwi are in the best position to determine whether a proposal will affect areas of significance for iwi.</p> <p>It is therefore important beneficial that for consultation with iwi to occurs early in the planning of a development (either by resource consent or plan change) to ensure enable potential impacts to be are appropriately identified and addressed.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Include in the Plan, either as a new policy or a method, a process whereby it can be determined with certainty what is likely to be of significance to iwi, that identifies who should be consulted and which establishes some considerations of engagement expectations relating to such matters as contact and response times, information sharing protocols and cost recovery by Iwi.</p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 2 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.2.5 | Oppose |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.2.5 to provide an exemption for temporary and short term construction dewatering so that such activities are not prevented in over allocated areas as follows: <i>Policy 5.2.5 – With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, or animal drinking water, or temporary and short term construction dewatering, prevent the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4.</i> <i>Water users will not be able to continue taking water once in a Freshwater Management Unit flows and/or levels reach the management flows/levels established in the MEP. Any such abstraction would result in an adverse effect on the life-supporting capacity of the waterbody. The policy will be implemented by way of a condition(s) of resource consent.</i> <i>Water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water is exempt from the policy given the contribution they make to sustaining the community. <u>Water taken for temporary and short term construction dewatering purposes is exempt from the policy due to its shallow take, non-consumptive water use and almost immediate return to the catchment.</u></i> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 3 | Volume 1 | 5 Allocation of Public Resources | Policy 5.7.4 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Amend Policy 5.7.4 to provide an exemption for temporary and short term construction dewatering by inserting an exclusion for construction dewatering as follows: <i>Water taken for temporary and short term construction dewatering purposes is exempt from the policy due to its shallow take, non-consumptive water use and almost immediate return to the catchment.</i> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 4 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.8 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.8 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 5 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.9 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 6 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.10 | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.10 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 7 | Volume 1 | 11 Natural Hazards | Policy 11.1.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 11.1.11 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 8 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.3.3, in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 9 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.4 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.3.4 in its entirety as notified or, if amendments are made to it, ensure that it continues to not be relevant to the consideration of Service Stations. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 10 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.3.5 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.3.5, in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 11 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition for 'Heavy Industrial Activities' as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 12 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition for 'Light Industrial Activities' as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|---------------|---------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 13 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.5.3 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 12.5.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 14 | Volume 1 | 12 Urban Environments | Policy 12.6.1 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend policy 12.6.1 to recognise the functional design requirements of certain activities and to recognise that, for certain land uses including service stations and truck stops, it is inappropriate to seek to provide for such design requirements as continuous building frontages along the adjoining street, or for verandahs. This could be achieved by making amendments as follows:?</p> <p><i>Policy 12.6.1 – Require, except where the functional design requirements of the activity mean that it is impracticable to do so, development to maintain or enhance streetscape amenity in business zones by ensuring to the extent:</i></p> <p><i>(a) an attractive street interface is maintained through landscaping where buildings are not built to the street frontage;</i></p> <p><i>(b) service and outdoor storage areas are not visible from ground level of a public place;</i></p> <p><i>(c) architecturally-interesting façades are presented through variation in building design, scale and the use of glazing;</i></p> <p><i>(d) a continual frontage of buildings is provided along the street, where practicable, apart from pedestrian alleyways;</i></p> <p><i>(e) clear and direct visual connection is provided between the street and the building interior; (f) direct physical connection is provided to the building interior through clearly identified pedestrian entrances;</i></p> <p><i>(g) shelter is provided for pedestrians on footpaths in the form of a veranda, where practicable; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) buildings are designed to have commercial activities at the ground floor, with an adequate ground floor to ceiling height to accommodate these activities.</i></p> <p><u><i>Where functional design requirements mean that one or more of the above criteria are not met, require development to positively contribute to the streetscape.</i></u></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 15 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Issue 15A | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Issue 15A Stormwater Reticulation and Disposal to recognise in this discussion that stormwater discharges into the reticulated network are not controlled by the regional rules in the MEP, and otherwise retain the Issue. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p><i>Stormwater reticulation and disposal</i> <i>Most of Marlborough's towns are serviced by reticulated stormwater systems, with the inputs into those systems not being controlled by regional rules. Urban stormwater will pick up contaminants including sediment, solids, organic matter, nutrients, heavy metals and petroleum and product residues as it runs over impervious surfaces. Given the volume of water created by rainfall events, the stormwater receives little or no treatment prior to discharge into the receiving waters.</i></p> <p><i>Monitoring of fresh and coastal water quality has demonstrated that stormwater discharges do sometimes degrade the quality of receiving waters. Periods of contamination tend to be episodic and are associated with rainfall events. The exception is when contaminants are deliberately washed or poured into the road kerb or stormwater drains.</i></p> <p><i>Stormwater can also pick up sewage through cross-connections between sewerage and stormwater pipes. This has been a particular problem in Picton and has caused periodic contamination of coastal water during rainfall events.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 16 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.9 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.9 in its entirety as notified | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 17 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.10 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.10 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 18 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.11 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.11 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 19 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.12 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--|----------------|---------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 20 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.15 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.15 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 21 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.16 | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | <p>Q. Amend policy 15.1.16 as follows:</p> <p><i>[R, C] Policy 15.1.16 – The duration of any new discharge permit will be either:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Up to 35 years where the quality and/or nature of the discharge is such that the risk associated with the discharge is low, or</i></p> <p><i>(ab) Up to a maximum of 15 years for discharges into waterbodies or coastal waters where the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></p> <p><i>(bc) up to ten years for discharges into rivers identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5, 15.1.6 or 15.1.7 (where the water quality is to be enhanced) and the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></p> <p><i>(ed) no more than five years where the existing discharge will not comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters.</i></p> <p><i>With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, no discharge permit will be granted subsequent to the one granted under (c), if the discharge still does not meet the water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>To provide greater certainty to resource users, the policy identifies the appropriate duration for discharge permit applications if they are to be granted. The duration varies depending on a risk assessment determined by compliance water quality classification standards and the state of water quality in the waterbody or coastal waters <i>or by the standard of the discharge, which could be measured by, for example, industry codes of practice or Ministry for the environment Guidelines.</i> Longer durations are warranted where compliance with water quality classification standards will be achieved and there is currently no water quality issue, <i>or where the quality of and/or nature of the discharge presents an acceptable and low risk to water quality.</i> While short term consents will occur where water quality classification standards cannot be met. In the latter case, Policy 15.1.12 identifies that consent holders only have five years to achieve compliance with water quality classification standards, hence the requirement in (c) above.</p> <p><i>This policy gives effect to Policy A3 of the NPSFM.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 22 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | Policy 15.1.21 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy 15.1.21 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 23 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15.M.14 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 15.M.14 in its entirety as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 24 | Volume 1 | 15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) | 15. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>That the following new method is included in each of the management of resources sections within Section 15:</p> <p><u>Industry Initiatives</u> <u>In developing plans and strategies for the management of resources, and when making decisions on resource consents, Marlborough District Council will recognise and promote any relevant industry guidelines and codes of practice that represent appropriate industry practice and management approaches.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 25 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.2.12. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>2.2 Permitted Activities Permit dewatering (water take) activities associated with underground tank installation and replacement at service stations, and in respect of utilities as well as infrastructure. This could be achieved by amending Rule 2.2.12 as follows: 2.2.12. Take of water for dewatering of a trench. OR 2.2.12. Take of water for dewatering of a trench <u>and/or tank pit associated with underground fuel tanks.</u> OR By providing a definition for trench that specifically includes an excavation to enable the maintenance, replacement or installation of underground utilities, infrastructure or fuel storage tanks.</p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 26 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.1. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>2.3 Standards applying to specific permitted activities</p> <p>Amend the standards that apply to specific permitted activities to ensure that dewatering activities associated with maintenance upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure is a permitted activity. This could be achieved by making the following changes: Amend the heading of Rule 2.3.11 in accordance with the relief sought to Rule 2.2.12 or the definition of trench (see sub-point 1004.25)</p> <p>AND</p> <p>C. Amend Rule 2.3.11.1. to exempt short term, shallow, non-consumptive takes relating to the maintenance, upgrading (including replacement) or installation of existing infrastructure within a Groundwater Protection Area. This could be achieved by making the following change: <i>The take must not be within a Groundwater Protection Area unless the take is being carried out for maintenance or upgrading or installation of existing utilities, infrastructure or fuel storage tanks, is non consumptive, is from an excavation not exceeding 5m in depth and will not exceed a total of 10 days.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 27 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.3.11.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.3.11.2. to delete the reference to “trench excavated” and replace it with a reference to “excavations”, and to refer to upgrading, as well as installation and maintenance. <i>The take must relate to a temporary trench excavated excavation for the purposes of the installation or maintenance or upgrade of infrastructure.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 28 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.8.1.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rules 2.8.1.1 and 2.13.1.1 to control those activities within the vicinity of ‘surface’ water bodies, such as streams, lakes and rivers, and, specifically, not to control those activities in the vicinity of groundwater. This could be achieved as follows:</p> <p><i>2.8.1.1 No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance, including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants, must take place within 20m of surface water.</i></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 29 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.13.1.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rules 2.8.1.1 and 2.13.1.1 to control those activities within the vicinity of ‘surface’ water bodies, such as streams, lakes and rivers, and, specifically, not to control those activities in the vicinity of groundwater. This could be achieved as follows:</p> <p>2.13 Standards that apply to all permitted activities <i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of surface water.</i></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 30 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.5.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 31 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Add a new Permitted Activity to include the discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement. (Add to all zones) | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 32 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.16.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 33 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.17.3.4 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 34 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.3.9. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 2.17.3.9 to permit discharges to stormwater in accordance with the MfE Environmental Guidelines for Water Discharges from Petroleum Industry Sites and delete Rule 2.17.3.10 so that discharges into the reticulated network of stormwater from land zoned Business 1, Business 3, Industrial 1 or Industrial 2 (and meeting all other requirements) is permitted. This can be achieved by making changes along the following lines:</p> <p>2.17 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</p> <p>2.17.3.9. The discharge must not contain stormwater from an area where a hazardous substance is stored unless:</p> <p>(a) the hazardous substance cannot enter the stormwater; or</p> <p>(b) there is an interceptor system in place to collect any hazardous contaminant or diverted contaminated stormwater to a trade waste system; or</p> <p>(c) the discharge is from a Petroleum Industry Site and meets the design requirements of the Ministry for the Environment Environmental Guidelines for <u>Water Discharges from Petroleum Industry Sites.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 35 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.17. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | Support rule but suggest it should be provided for in Chapter 2 - General Rules. This applies to the equivalent rules and standards in each zone chapter. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 36 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3.1.18. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Support rule but suggest it should be provided for in Chapter 2 - General Rules. This applies to the equivalent rules and standards in each zone chapter. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 37 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.16. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Adopt new rules that permit the discharge of dewatering water from dewatering activities associated with maintenance, upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure and permit investigations in relation to sites with possible historic contamination and that provide for passive discharges associated with this ensuring that the framework enables a better understanding of the nature and characteristics of the discharge over time, facilitates the appropriate management of contaminants in ground over time and permits active management to cease when that is appropriate. These outcomes could be achieved by inserting a new section providing for the use of land and discharge of contaminants onto or into land where it may enter water as follows:</p> <p><u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u> <u>2.## Permitted Activities</u> <u>2.##.1 The discharge of contaminants from dewatering activities associated with maintenance, upgrading or installation of underground tanks at service stations, utilities and infrastructure into water or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.</u> <u>2.##.2 The use of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil/groundwater and any associated discharge to air.</u> <u>2.##.3 The discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p><u>Discharge of Contaminants Provided for In Rules 2.##.1 and 2.##.3</u> <u>2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</u> <u>2.##.1 Where the discharge may enter groundwater, the discharge is not located within 50m of a bore used for water abstraction for potable supply or stock water.</u> <u>2.##.2 If the discharge is from dewatering, the discharge is not from contaminated land, except where it is from land where the contaminant is petroleum hydrocarbons and the discharge contains no more than 15mg/l TPH.</u> <u>2.##.3 The discharge shall not cause any erosion of the channel or banks of the receiving waterbody or the coastal marine area.</u> <u>2.##.4 The discharge shall not give rise to the following effects after the zone of reasonable mixing:</u> <u>a) a change in the pH of ±0.5pH unit, or</u> <u>b) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums or foams, or floatable or suspended materials, or</u> <u>c) any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity, or</u></p> | | | | | |

- d) any emission of objectionable odour, or**
- e) the fresh water is unsuitable for consumption by farm animals, or**
- f) any significant adverse effects on aquatic life.**

AND

Introduce a rule to permit investigations and assessments of potentially contaminated land as a permitted activity along the following lines:

The use of land to assess the concentration of hazardous substances that may be present in the soil/groundwater and any associated discharge to air.

2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities:

2.##.1 The assessment is undertaken in accordance with Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 5: Site Investigation and Analysis of Soils (2011).

2.##.2 The assessment is reported in accordance with the Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 1: Reporting on Contaminated Land (2011).

2.##.3 A copy of the report is provided to the Marlborough District Council within two months of the completion of the assessment

AND

Introduce a consenting framework for passive discharges from contaminated land that provides certainty through establishment of an appropriate permitted threshold and a consent requirement (preferably a controlled activity) if the permitted threshold is exceeded. This could be achieved as follows:

The (passive) discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water.

2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities

2.##.1 There has been a detailed site investigation report supplied to Marlborough District Council in accordance with Rule 2.##.3 that:
(a) finds that the discharge of contaminants is highly unlikely to be a risk to human health or the environment at present or in the future;
or

(b) determines that the concentration of contaminants in groundwater:

(i) either 50 metres from the source (i.e. the notional boundary) or at the property boundary (whichever is the lesser distance); and

(ii) anywhere a surface water or bore used for abstraction of water (excluding monitoring bores) intersects or lies within (b)(i)

Does not breach the following standard:

• Where the discharge is to groundwater identified on the planning maps as a freshwater management unit or groundwater protection area used for water supply purposes; either the Drinking Water Standards of New Zealand 2005 (Revised 2008) or, where ambient water quality is naturally less than this standard it is not being degraded; or

• Where the discharge is to a groundwater not identified on the planning maps as a freshwater management unit or groundwater protection area for water supply or as a sensitive aquifer: the Australia and New Zealand Guidelines for Fresh and Marine Water Quality (ANZECC) Guidelines (2000) at the level of protection for 80% of species, except for benzene where the level of protection is 90% of species (i.e. 1mg/l).

2.##.2 The passive discharge of contaminants onto or into land that has previously held resource consent for discharges and where the risk has been assessed and found to be within acceptable levels without control mechanisms and that all the consent conditions have been met to the satisfaction of Council.

2##. Controlled Activities

Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:

2.##.1 The (passive) discharge of contaminants from contaminated land into water, or onto or into land where the discharge may enter water not meeting the permitted activity standards shall be a controlled activity.

Matters over which the Council has reserved control:

2.##.1.1 the adequacy of the detailed site investigation report including:

(i) site sampling;

(ii) laboratory analysis; and

(iii) risk assessment.

2.##.1.2 the need for and adequacy of a site management plan (contaminated land);

2.##.1.3 the need for and adequacy of a remedial action plan (contaminated land);

2.##.1.4 how the discharge is to be:

(a) managed;

(b) monitored, including frequency and location of monitoring; and

(c) reported on.

2.##.1.5 the physical constraints of the site and operational practicalities;

2.##.1.6 the transport, disposal and tracking of soil and other materials taken away in the course of the activity;

2.##.1.7 the effect on potable water supplies;

2.##.1.8 methods to identify contaminant risks prior to works commencing such as qualitative assessments of risk;

2.##.1.9 protocols around notifying the Council of contaminant risks;

2.##.1.10 how stormwater is to be managed;

2.##.1.11 soil management during work and at the completion of the works;

2.##.1.12 odour control;

2.##.1.13 vapour control;

2.##.1.14 groundwater management;

2.##.1.15 contingency plans;

2.##.1.16 remediation or ongoing management of the site, its timing and standard;

2.##.1.17 the nature and type of close out criteria if proposed;

2.##.1.18 the need for a financial bond;

2.##.1.19 the need for any review conditions in the event that standards to be achieved are not achieved;

2.##.1.20 the timing and nature of the review conditions;

2.##.1.21 the duration of resource consent.

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|----|----------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 38 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | A new permitted activity rule is required to facilitate discharge of Contaminants to Air from Internal Combustion Engine during disruption to the power network together with exclusions within the zone rules where necessary. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 39 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.19. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the default Rule 2.19 Discretionary Activities as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 40 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.32.4.23. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 2.32.4.23 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 41 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.33.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 42 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.33.2. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 2.33.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 43 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Add a new definition of Sign, which excludes warning signs, directional signs, instructional signs or signs required by other legislation. This could be achieved by adding a definition of sign along the following lines:</p> <p><u>Any display or device whether or not placed on land or affixed to a building, stationary vehicle or structure, intended to attract attention for the purposes of directing, identifying, informing, or advertising, and which is visible from a public place. The area of a sign shall be a measurement of that sign's face or total message visible from a public place and warning signs, directional signs, instructional signs or signs required by other legislation shall be excluded from the definition of sign.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 44 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.34.8. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 2.34.8 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 45 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 2.35.1.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 46 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.11. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.35.1.11 so that it relates to a second freestanding sign setback from the road boundary only. This could be achieved by making changes along the following lines: 2.35.1.11. Where a second freestanding sign is to be erected within 5 metres of the road boundary, the minimum distance between from freestanding signs within 5m of the road boundary on successive properties, as read from the one direction and measured parallel to the centre-line of the road, must be as shown in Table 2.12: | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 47 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.35.1.6. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.35.1.6 to delete the requirement for free standing signs in the front yard to comply with the height in relation to boundary control. This could be achieved by making a change as follows: <i>A sign must comply with the height and, where applicable, recession plane requirements for the zone in which it is located.</i> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 48 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.36.7. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 2.36.7. to specifically provide for service station signage as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One freestanding primary identification sign adjacent the road boundary with a maximum area of 14m²; • One promotional sign per street frontage with a maximum area of 2.5m²; and • Signage attached or mounted to buildings or structures, signage at the pump and signage indicating additional services available within the site to a cumulative total area of 10m². This could be achieved by including a new permitted activity standard into Rule 2.36.7 as follows: 2.36.7. Sign on any land zoned Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1 Industrial 2, Port, Port Landing Area, Marina, Lake Grassmere Salt Works or Airport. 2.36.7.X. Notwithstanding Rules 2.36.7.1 and 2, the maximum area of signs at service station sites shall not exceed the following: (a) One freestanding primary identification sign adjacent the road boundary with a maximum area of 14m²; (b) One promotional sign per street frontage with a maximum area of 2.5m²; and (c) Signage attached or mounted to buildings or structures, signage at the pump and signage indicating additional services available within the site to a cumulative total area of 10m². | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 49 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Bore as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 50 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Dewatering as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 51 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Excavation as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 52 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Fill, filling and Fill Material as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 53 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Service Station as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 54 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain the definition of Vehicle Oriented Activities as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 55 | Volume 2 | 25 Definitions | 25. | Support |
| Decision Requested | That a new definition for 'drive through facility' that excludes Service Stations is included in the MEP. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 56 | Volume 2 | 3 Rural Environment Zone | 3. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|--------|---------|-----------|------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new rule providing for discharges to air associated with the storage and use of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement and emergency power generation as permitted activities with a default to discretionary activity status where the permitted activity standards are not met as follows:</p> <p><u>Discharge to Air All Zones</u></p> <p><u>These activities apply within all zones</u></p> <p><u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p><u>2.## Permitted Activities</u></p> <p><u>2.##.1 The discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage or transfer of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement.</u></p> <p><u>2.##.2 Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine to provide emergency power generation.</u></p> <p><u>2.## Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</u></p> <p><u>2.##.1 Discharge of contaminants including odour into air from the storage or transfer of petroleum products, including vapour ventilation and displacement.</u></p> <p><u>2.##.1.1The discharge does not cause a noxious or dangerous effect beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</u></p> <p><u>2.##.2 Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine to provide emergency power generation when:</u></p> <p><u>a) the electricity network is disrupted through weather, accidents, or any unforeseen circumstances, or</u></p> <p><u>b) the person operating the equipment is undertaking necessary maintenance or testing of the device, or</u></p> <p><u>c) the electricity connection is not available.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p><u>2.## Discretionary Activities</u></p> <p><u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u></p> <p><u>2.##.1 Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</u></p> <p><u>2.##.2. Any discharge to air not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</u></p> | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 57 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2.17.2.3. | Oppose |
| Decision Requested | Delete 2.17.2.3 in its entirety. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 58 | Volume 2 | 2 General Rules | 2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Insert a new section providing for temporary structures or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes within Chapter 2, or in each zone as follows:</p> <p><u>Temporary Structure or Equipment</u> <u>2.## The following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the applicable standards in 2.##</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p><u>2.## Permitted Activities</u> <u>2.##.1 Temporary structure or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes</u> <u>2.##.1.1 The structure or equipment must not be located within any zone for longer than 31 days in any calendar year.</u> <u>2.##.1.2 The structure or equipment must not exceed 2m in length, 2m in width and 1.5m in height.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p><u>2.## Discretionary Activities</u> <u>Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following:</u> <u>2.##.1 Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards.</u></p> <p>OR</p> <p><u>Insert the above rules for temporary structures or equipment for scientific purposes into the zone rules for each zone throughout the District, other than the Port Zone.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 59 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.6. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain service stations as a permitted activity within the Industrial 1 and 2 zones. This could be achieved by retaining Rule 12.1.6 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 60 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.11. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.44 as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 61 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.12. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.12 as notified | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 62 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.29. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.29 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 63 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.1.31. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.1.31 as notified OR Include rule 12.1.31 as a permitted activity within the general rules to provide for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 64 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.2.2.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 65 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.2.2.2 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 66 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.2.2.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 67 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.2.4. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend the rules relating to night time noise in Industrial 1 and 2 zones so that the level at any time at the notional boundary of a dwelling in any other adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 zones) is 50dBA LAeq and 70dBA LAFmax, and to ensure compliance is measured against dwellings that are existing at the time of the establishment of an activity. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>12.2.2.4 An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or is within the notional boundary of a dwelling in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones):</p> <p>At any time 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq 10.0 m to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 68 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.2.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows:</p> <p>12.2.5.1 The oOdour that is not specifically provided for by any other rule, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 69 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 12.3.2 to provide an exemption for generators used for civil defence purposes or during emergency situations to sit alongside the general rule (refer sub point 1004.56) as follows:</p> <p>12.3.2. Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine (i.e. internal combustion).</p> <p>12.3.2.1. The fuel used in the engine must be gas, LPG, petrol, diesel, vegetable oils or alcohol.</p> <p>12.3.2.2. Fuel containing sulphur at levels greater than 0.05% by weight must not be burned.</p> <p>12.3.2.3. The power output of the engine must not exceed 400kW, this limit applies to the total heat output from a site.</p> <p>12.3.2.4. If the power output of the engine is between 30kW and 400kW: (a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period; and (b) if the engine is in a fixed location, the stack must comply with the requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 5.</p> <p>12.3.2.5. Where more than one fuel type is used on the property, the combined heat output must not exceed the lowest MW or kW threshold of any of the fuel types used.</p> <p><u>Exception: The above standards 12.3.2.2 – 12.3.2.5 do not apply to combustion to provide emergency power generation provided for within the general rules.</u></p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 70 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.18. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.3.18 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 71 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.3.20. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.3.20 as notified OR Include rule 12.3.20 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with sub point 1004.63. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 72 | Volume 2 | 12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones | 12.4. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain 12.4 Discretionary Activities as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 73 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.3.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 9.3.3.1 as notified. (inferred) | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 74 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.2.1. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 9.2.2.1. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 75 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.2.2. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | <p>Amend Rule 9.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 1 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within an adjoining zone or the zoning of the adjacent land changes, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes:</p> <p>9.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land that at the time of the establishment of an activity is zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfield) or Open Space 1:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</p> | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 76 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.1.9. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 9.1.9. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 77 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 9.3.4 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 78 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.1.10. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain rule 9.1.10 as notified. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 79 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.3.5. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 9.3.5 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 80 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.2.4.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 9.2.4.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows: 9.2.4.1. The odour that is not specifically provided for by any other rule , must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 81 | Volume 2 | 9 Business 1 Zone | 9.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 9.4 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 82 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.7. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 10.1.7 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 83 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.1.8. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 10.1.8 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 84 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 10.2.2.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 85 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.2.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 10.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 2 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within an adjoining zone or the zoning of the adjacent land changes, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes: | | | | | |
| | 10.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or is within the notional boundary of a dwelling within any other zone: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 86 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.2.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 10.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows: | | | | | |
| | 10.2.5.1 The odour that is not specifically provided for by any other rule , must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 87 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Standard 10.3.4 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 88 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.3.5. | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Retain 10.3.5 as notified. OR Include rule 10.3.5 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with relief sub-point 1004.83 above. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 89 | Volume 2 | 10 Business 2 Zone | 10.4. | Support |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 10.4 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 90 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.1.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 11.1.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 91 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.3.3. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain standard 11.3.3 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 92 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.1.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain and 11.1.4 as notified OR Include Rule 11.1.4 as a permitted activity within the general rules to provide for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 93 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.3.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain 11.3.4 as notified. OR Include rule 11.3.4 within the general rules to provide a standard for investigative geotechnical bore drilling within all zones as a permitted activity, consistent with relief in sub-point 1004.92 above. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 94 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.2.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 11.2.2.1 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 95 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.2.2. | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|---|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 11.2.2.2 to ensure that noise compliance is measured at the time of the establishment of an activity in the Business 3 zone, such that if a new dwelling is subsequently constructed within a newly created adjoining zone or a Business 2 zone, it does not risk making the established business activity non-compliant. This could be achieved by making the following changes: 11.2.2.2. An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the boundary of, or within, any land that at the time of the establishment of an activity is either zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or is within the notional boundary of a dwelling within any other zone (except the Business 2 zone) : 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq 10.0 m to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 96 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.2.5.1. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Amend Rule 11.2.5.1 to ensure that where rules providing for odour associated with a specific activity have been included elsewhere in the plan these activities are excluded from the Industrial zones odour rule. This could be achieved by making a change as follows: 11.2.5.1 The odour that is not specifically provided for by any other rule, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 97 | Volume 2 | 11 Business 3 Zone | 11.4. | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Rule 11.4 as notified. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 98 | Volume 3 | Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area | | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend Appendix 24 so that the worker Accommodation Exclusion area does not apply to the Business and Industrial zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 99 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 100 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 4 | Support in Part |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|--|-------|----------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 101 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 6 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 102 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 7 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 103 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Threatened Environments 8 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Threatened Environment – Indigenous Vegetation Site overlay does not apply to any of the Business and Industrial zones. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 104 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 34 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 105 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 35 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 106 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 36 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton. | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|---|--------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 107 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 38 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Picton. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 108 | Volume 4 | Zoning Maps | Zoning Map 57 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape does not apply to the Business zones of Havelock. | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 109 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Soil Sensitive Area 1 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 110 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Flood Hazard Area 3 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 111 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Soil Sensitive Area 12 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim | | | | | |
| 1004 | Z Energy Limited, Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited and BP Oil Limited | 112 | Volume 4 | Overlay Maps | Soil Sensitive Area 13 | Support in Part |
| Decision Requested | Amend the maps so that the Sensitive Soils – Impeded Soils overlay does not apply to the Business zones of Blenheim | | | | | |

| Sub No | Submitter | Point | Volume | Chapter | Provision | Type |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 31 | Sebastian Zuefle | 1 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.12 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |
| 31 | Sebastian Zuefle | 2 | Volume 1 | 14 Use of the Rural Environment | Policy 14.4.13 | Support |
| Decision Requested | Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i> | | | | | |

Submitters in support of the Marine Farming Association

(Please note these are not in alphabetical order)

| Submitter | Address |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Vincent Redwood | 16 Edinburgh Crescent Blenheim 7201 |
| Simon Pooley | PO Box 74 Rai Valley 7154 |
| Gabrielle Jane Pooley | 29 Robertson Mill Place Rai Valley 7194 |
| Luke Thompson | PO Box 69 Rai Valley 7154 |
| Allan John Climo | 6806 State Highway 6 Rai Valley 7194 |
| Sam Thompson | 6963 State Highway 6 Rai Valley 7194 |
| REM Limited (Sam Thompson) | 6963 State Highway 6 Rai Valley 7194 |
| Paul Cloridge | 52 Wiloman Road Motueka 7120 |
| Christopher Donaldson | 30 Lawrence Street Havelock 7100 |
| Jim Wallace | PO Box 28 Rai Valley 7145 |
| Graeme Henry Clarke | Private Bag 65030 Havelock 7150 |
| Martin Cunniffe | 11B Rata Place Blenheim 7201 |
| Jonathon Cameron | 72 Stephenson Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Dave Norton | 158 Battys Road Blenheim 7201 |
| Mick Norton | 60 Maxwell Road Blenheim |
| Brad Joseph McNeill | 1/126 Trafalgar Street Nelson 7010 |
| Jason McKay | 6 Park Avenue Takaka 7110 |
| Debbie Stone | 27 Rowan Place Blenheim 7201 |
| Emma Hunter | 19 Adams Lane Blenheim 7201 |
| Vaughan Hugh Ellis | 9/19 Wellington Street Picton 7220 |
| Tim Young | 17 Hampden Street Picton 7220 |
| Dale Jaquierey | 57 Abel Tasman Drive Takaka 7110 |
| Michael Holland | 3 Oak Tree Lane Renwick 7204 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--------------------------|---|
| Brian Godsiff | 20 Sunbelt Crescent Takaka 7110 |
| Johnathan Dean Arbuckle | 15A Mary Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Paul Smythe | 7 Nursery Lane Seddon 7210 |
| Belinda Allen | 120 Lord Rutherford South Brightwater 7022 |
| Daniel Boa | 46 Salisbury Road Richmond 7020 |
| John Bloomfield | 151A Quan Street Richmond 7020 |
| Vaughan Paul Warbuton | 10 Ngati Kuia Drive Havelock 7100 |
| Rebecca Floyd | 15 Joyce Place Richmond 7020 |
| Gillian Ann Powley | 72 Salisbury Road Richmond 7020 |
| Austin Carolino | 31 Exeter Street Stoke 7011 |
| Jason Khon Beo | 16A George Street Richmond 7020 |
| Audrey Craig | 37 William Street Richmond 7020 |
| Ngapeka P Rangitakatu | 19 Stratford Street Richmond 7020 |
| Nicholas James Hearn | 11 Hammond Place Blenheim 7201 |
| Joanne Rebecca Clarke | Private Bag 65030 Havelock 7150 |
| Bruce Cardwell | PO Box 213 Blenheim 7240 |
| Port Aquaculture Limited | PO Box 577 Picton 7250 |
| Aquaculture Direct | PO Box 213 Blenheim 7240 |
| Scott Archer | PO Box 29 Rai Valley 7145 |
| Johanna O'Connell | 65 Kent Street Picton 7220 |
| Tiwini Hippolite | 12 Tukuku Street Nelson 7010 |
| Gordon Smith | 151 Tipahi Street Nelson 7010 |
| Vicky Clarke | 14 Cedar Grove Blenheim 7201 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--|---|
| Wirema Rowberry | 5 Percy Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Wayne Herd | 24A Gilbert Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Lavinia Holland | 3 Oak Tree Lane Renwick 7204 |
| Rosie Turner | 33 Athol Street RD 1 Nelson 7071 |
| Bevan Payton | 10A Seaton Street Marybank Nelson 7010 |
| Brendon Carl Pedersen | 46 Speargrass Grove Timbelea Upper Hutt 5018 |
| Vivienne Forrester | C/- The Hairy Mussel PO Box 73 Havelock 7150 |
| Lynn Scaife | C/- The Hairy Mussel PO Box 73802 Havelock 7151 |
| Janice Hahn | C/- The Hairy Mussel PO Box 73 Havelock 7150 |
| Venture 353 Limited (Frank Prendeville) | 12A Crofton Road Harewood Christchurch 8051 |
| Jacob Collins | 7 Admiralty Place Waikawa Picton 7220 |
| Andrew Robertson | 12 Humffrey Street Grovetown Blenheim 7202 |
| GAL Partnership (L Bolton-Richie) | 6 Snelling Place Burwood Christchurch 8083 |
| TAB Service Limited (Kevin Primmer) | 59 Neudorf Road RD 2 Upper Moutere 7175 |
| Julie Solly | 101 Flaxmill Drive RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Liam Solly | 101 Flaxmill Drive RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Sebastian Shand | 114 Milton Street The Wood Nelson 7010 |

| Submitter | Address |
|-----------------------|--|
| Dylan Goulding | 30 St Vincent Street Nelson 7010 |
| Hayden Goulding | 33 Athol Street Glenduan Nelson 7071 |
| Mick Carter | 3A Polstead Road Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Vanessa Hyslop | 4 Lucienne Place Hillsborough Christchurch 8022 |
| Michael Wilson | 14 Aldersley Street Richmond Christchurch 8013 |
| Steven Thomas | 19 Balgay Street Upper Riccarton Christchurch 8041 |
| Steve O'Neill | 36 Portman Street Woolston Christchurch 8062 |
| Madsen Marine Limited | 120 Lindens Road RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Heath Webb | 48 Nelson Street Mayfield Blenheim 7201 |
| Jonathan Everett | 131 Caseys Road RD 1 Blind River Seddon 7285 |
| ENZAQ Aquaculture New | PO Box 696 Blenheim 7240 |
| Imelda McCarthy | 2/56 Golf Road Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Jo Noonan | 68 Leicester Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Long Vu | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Shayne Kerr | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Michelle Xiuan Qiu | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |

| Submitter | Address |
|---|--|
| Taylor Partnership (Greg Taylor) | 6A Huia Street Waikawa Picton 7220 |
| Kris Solly | 101 Flaxmill Drive RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Douglas Guy | 2/49 St Vincent Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |
| Dean Higgins | Level 4 Rangitane House PO Box 1185 Bleneheim 7240 |
| Donaghys Limited (Paul | PO Box 20449 Bishopdale Christchurch 8543 |
| Shane Bennett | 19 Cawthron Crescent Annesbrook Nelson 7011 |
| BlomVentures Limited White Gold Enterprice (Art Blom) | Nydia Bay Private Bag 65010 Havelock 7150 |
| Harmon Moanaroa Wallace | 10 Orcades Street Shirley Christchurch 8013 |
| Dean Reynish | 19 Weka Street The Wood Nelson 7010 |
| Sapphire Shand | Waterfall Bay Private Bag 65024 Havelock 7150 |
| Daryl Teale | 79 Alabama Road Redwoodtown Blenheim 7201 |
| Phoebe Shand | Waterfall Bay Private Bag 65024 Havelock 7150 |
| Carl Anthony Schluter | 56 Melcombe Street Tinwald Ashburton 7700 |
| Simon Barnett | 124 Vickerman Street Port Nelson Nelson 7010 |
| John A Wilkins | 4/95 Grove Street The Wood Nelson 7010 |
| Blair Taylor | 62 Toi Toi Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |

| Submitter | Address |
|------------------|--|
| Ian Beer | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 Mcpherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Juliet Barton | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Lim Vouch | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Chase Harrison | 1/23 Douglas Road Wakatu Nelson 7011 |
| Alistair Simmons | 31 Exeter Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Hamish Harvey | 4/21 Otterson Street Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Koherangi Pui | 1/114 Parkers Road Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Colleen Robbins | 2972 State Highway 6 RD 1 Havelock 7178 |
| Jimmy Simpson | 17 Kowhai Street Tapawera Wakefield 7096 |
| Benjamin Per | 22 St Lawrence Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |
| John Paul Tejero | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Luom Thi Kim | 1/142 St Vincent Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |
| Jerome Tejero | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Hung Nguyen | 195A Songer Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Gena Cockerell | 6 Lemari Avenue Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Rebecca Spooner | 10A Bledisloe Avenue Stoke Nelson 7011 |

| Submitter | Address |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Sam Clay | 3 Mahakipawa Road Havelock 7100 |
| Aroma New Zealand | PO Box 2683 Christchurch 8140 |
| Campbell Bowis | 3/326 Armagh Street Christchurch 8011 |
| Paul Starkey | 18 Henderson Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Quality Equipment (Jason Lovell) | PO Box 5097 Port Nelson Nelson 7043 |
| Phillip Blaylock | 104 Lord Rutherford Road South Brightwater Nelson 7022 |
| Jamie Hrstich | 2515 State Highway 6 RD 1 Havelock 7178 |
| Kyle Gribben | 1/30 Dodson Valley Road Atawhai Nelson 7010 |
| James Baker | 5654 Kenepuru Road RD 2 Picton 7282 |
| BDM Management | 2 The Wickets Hillmorton Christchurch 8024 |
| Roger Gledenning | 11 Mollymawk Place Woolston Christchurch 8023 |
| John Gallagher | 10A Chevron Place Ilam Christchurch 8041 |
| Lydia B Harvey | 43 Broadhaven Avenue Parklands Christchurch 8083 |
| Vicki Maree Evrard | 389 Marine Drive Charters Bay Governors Bay 8971 |
| Bevan Gordon Reid | 500 Mairehau Road Parklands Christchurch 8083 |
| Wayne Kelvin Benny | 1/37B Main Road Redcliffs Christchurch 8081 |
| Donald Bruce Simpson | 49A Main Road Redcliffs Christchurch 8081 |

| Submitter | Address |
|------------------------|--|
| Trung Thanh Nguyen | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Sokhom Pich | 4/74 Muritai Street Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Lisita Tangataevaha | 78 Waimea Road Nelson South Nelson 7010 |
| Lovey Filimoeatu | 42 Washington Road Washington Valley Nelson 7010 |
| Maria Hemara | 2/55 Norwich Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Alicia de Leen | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Huu Van Tang | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Trang Ngo | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Harmony Haira | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Tan Pham | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Van Nguyen | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Christine Margret | 3 Ruataniwha Place RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Trevor Brian Satherley | 3 Ruataniwha Place RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Bruce Lock | 161 Port Underwood RD 1 Picton 7281 |
| Susan Foster | 796 Wakamarina Road RD 1 Havelock 7178 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--|---|
| Ku Ra | 86 Carisbrooke Street Aranui Christchurch 8061 |
| Lily Tamaiparea | 2/37B Main Road Redcliffs Christchurch 8081 |
| Paul Dargan | 325 Wainoni Road Avondale Christchurch 8061 |
| Ross MacDonald | 70A Ryan Street Phillipstown Christchurch 8011 |
| Daniel Sandrey | 3 Greig Lane Canvastown RD 1 Havelock 7178 |
| Colin Ronald Norton Tom Ronald Norton | 237 Westdale Road RD 1 Richmond Nelson 7081 |
| Jacobson Marine Farms (Victor Jacobson) | Main Road State Highway 6 RD 2 Rai Valley 7192 |
| Michael Bourke | 312 Mt Riley Road Okaramio RD 1 Havelock 7178 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--|--|
| Matthew Emms | Level 4 Rangitane PO Box 1185 Blenheim 7240 |
| Jonathan William Hodges | Level 4 Ranitane House PO Box 1185 Blenheim 7240 |
| Robin Bruce Harris Valerie Annette Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Robbin Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Valerie Annette Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Gary Orchard Ellen Orchard | 6918 Kenepuru Road RD 2 Picton 7282 |
| Joanne Evalyn Cook | 8 Rui Street Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Transport Investments | 15 Artillery Place Richmond Nelson 7081 |
| Nolan Day | Incomplete Address |
| Alex Khadzhi | Incomplete Address |
| John Andrew McGregor | Incomplete Address |

Submitters in support of Aquaculture NZ

(Please note these are not in alphabetical order)

| Submitter | Address |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Vincent Redwood | 16 Edinburgh Crescent Blenheim 7201 |
| Simon Pooley | PO Box 74 Rai Valley 7154 |
| Gabrielle Jane Pooley | 29 Robertson Mill Place Rai Valley 7194 |
| Luke Thompson | PO Box 69 Rai Valley 7154 |
| Allan John Climo | 6806 State Highway 6 Rai Valley 7194 |
| Sam Thompson | 6963 State Highway 6 Rai Valley 7194 |
| REM Limited (Sam Thompson) | 6963 State Highway 6 Rai Valley 7194 |
| Paul Cloridge | 52 Wiloman Road Motueka 7120 |
| Christopher Donaldson | 30 Lawrence Street Havelock 7100 |
| Jim Wallace | PO Box 28 Rai Valley 7145 |
| Graeme Henry Clarke | Private Bag 65030 Havelock 7150 |
| Martin Cunniffe | 11B Rata Place Blenheim 7201 |
| Jonathon Cameron | 72 Stephenson Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Dave Norton | 158 Battys Road Blenheim 7201 |
| Mick Norton | 60 Maxwell Road Blenheim |
| Brad Joseph McNeill | 1/126 Trafalgar Street Nelson 7010 |
| Jason McKay | 6 Park Avenue Takaka 7110 |
| Debbie Stone | 27 Rowan Place Blenheim 7201 |
| Emma Hunter | 19 Adams Lane Blenheim 7201 |
| Vaughan Hugh Ellis | 9/19 Wellington Street Picton 7220 |
| Tim Young | 17 Hampden Street Picton 7220 |
| Dale Jaquery | 57 Abel Tasman Drive Takaka 7110 |
| Michael Holland | 3 Oak Tree Lane Renwick 7204 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--------------------------|---|
| Brian Godsiff | 20 Sunbelt Crescent Takaka 7110 |
| Johnathan Dean Arbuckle | 15A Mary Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Paul Smythe | 7 Nursery Lane Seddon 7210 |
| Belinda Allen | 120 Lord Rutherford South Brightwater 7022 |
| Daniel Boa | 46 Salisbury Road Richmond 7020 |
| John Bloomfield | 151A Quan Street Richmond 7020 |
| Vaughan Paul Warbuton | 10 Ngati Kuia Drive Havelock 7100 |
| Rebecca Floyd | 15 Joyce Place Richmond 7020 |
| Gillian Ann Powley | 72 Salisbury Road Richmond 7020 |
| Austin Carolino | 31 Exeter Street Stoke 7011 |
| Jason Khon Beo | 16A George Street Richmond 7020 |
| Audrey Craig | 37 William Street Richmond 7020 |
| Ngapeka P Rangitakatu | 19 Stratford Street Richmond 7020 |
| Nicholas James Hearn | 11 Hammond Place Blenheim 7201 |
| Joanne Rebecca Clarke | Private Bag 65030 Havelock 7150 |
| Bruce Cardwell | PO Box 213 Blenheim 7240 |
| Port Aquaculture Limited | PO Box 577 Picton 7250 |
| Aquaculture Direct | PO Box 213 Blenheim 7240 |
| Scott Archer | PO Box 29 Rai Valley 7145 |
| Johanna O'Connell | 65 Kent Street Picton 7220 |
| Tiwini Hippolite | 12 Tukuku Street Nelson 7010 |
| Gordon Smith | 151 Tipahi Street Nelson 7010 |
| Vicky Clarke | 14 Cedar Grove Blenheim 7201 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--|---|
| Wirema Rowberry | 5 Percy Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Wayne Herd | 24A Gilbert Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Lavinia Holland | 3 Oak Tree Lane Renwick 7204 |
| Rosie Turner | 33 Athol Street RD 1 Nelson 7071 |
| Bevan Payton | 10A Seaton Street Marybank Nelson 7010 |
| Brendon Carl Pedersen | 46 Speargrass Grove Timbelea Upper Hutt 5018 |
| Vivienne Forrester | C/- The Hairy Mussel PO Box 73 Havelock 7150 |
| Lynn Scaife | C/- The Hairy Mussel PO Box 73802 Havelock 7151 |
| Janice Hahn | C/- The Hairy Mussel PO Box 73 Havelock 7150 |
| Venture 353 Limited (Frank Prendeville) | 12A Crofton Road Harewood Christchurch 8051 |
| Jacob Collins | 7 Admiralty Place Waikawa Picton 7220 |
| Andrew Robertson | 12 Humffrey Street Grovetown Blenheim 7202 |
| GAL Partnership (L Bolton-Richie) | 6 Snelling Place Burwood Christchurch 8083 |
| TAB Service Limited (Kevin Primmer) | 59 Neudorf Road RD 2 Upper Moutere 7175 |
| Julie Solly | 101 Flaxmill Drive RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Liam Solly | 101 Flaxmill Drive RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Sebastian Shand | 114 Milton Street The Wood Nelson 7010 |

| Submitter | Address |
|-----------------------|--|
| Dylan Goulding | 30 St Vincent Street Nelson 7010 |
| Hayden Goulding | 33 Athol Street Glenduan Nelson 7071 |
| Mick Carter | 3A Polstead Road Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Vanessa Hyslop | 4 Lucienne Place Hillsborough Christchurch 8022 |
| Michael Wilson | 14 Aldersley Street Richmond Christchurch 8013 |
| Steven Thomas | 19 Balgay Street Upper Riccarton Christchurch 8041 |
| Steve O'Neill | 36 Portman Street Woolston Christchurch 8062 |
| Madsen Marine Limited | 120 Lindens Road RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Heath Webb | 48 Nelson Street Mayfield Blenheim 7201 |
| Jonathan Everett | 131 Caseys Road RD 1 Blind River Seddon 7285 |
| ENZAQ Aquaculture New | PO Box 696 Blenheim 7240 |
| Imelda McCarthy | 2/56 Golf Road Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Jo Noonan | 68 Leicester Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Long Vu | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Shayne Kerr | C/- Cloudy Bay Seafood 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Michelle Xiuan Qiu | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |

| Submitter | Address |
|---|--|
| Taylor Partnership (Greg Taylor) | 6A Huia Street Waikawa Picton 7220 |
| Kris Solly | 101 Flaxmill Drive RD 3 Blenheim 7273 |
| Douglas Guy | 2/49 St Vincent Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |
| Dean Higgins | Level 4 Rangitane House PO Box 1185 Bleneheim 7240 |
| Donaghys Limited (Paul | PO Box 20449 Bishopdale Christchurch 8543 |
| Shane Bennett | 19 Cawthron Crescent Annesbrook Nelson 7011 |
| BlomVentures Limited White Gold Enterprice (Art Blom) | Nydia Bay Private Bag 65010 Havelock 7150 |
| Harmon Moanaroa Wallace | 10 Orcades Street Shirley Christchurch 8013 |
| Dean Reynish | 19 Weka Street The Wood Nelson 7010 |
| Sapphire Shand | Waterfall Bay Private Bag 65024 Havelock 7150 |
| Daryl Teale | 79 Alabama Road Redwoodtown Blenheim 7201 |
| Phoebe Shand | Waterfall Bay Private Bag 65024 Havelock 7150 |
| Carl Anthony Schluter | 56 Melcombe Street Tinwald Ashburton 7700 |
| Simon Barnett | 124 Vickerman Street Port Nelson Nelson 7010 |
| John A Wilkins | 4/95 Grove Street The Wood Nelson 7010 |
| Blair Taylor | 62 Toi Toi Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |

| Submitter | Address |
|------------------|--|
| Ian Beer | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 Mcpherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Juliet Barton | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Lim Vouch | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Chase Harrison | 1/23 Douglas Road Wakatu Nelson 7011 |
| Alistair Simmons | 31 Exeter Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Hamish Harvey | 4/21 Otterson Street Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Koherangi Pui | 1/114 Parkers Road Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Colleen Robbins | 2972 State Highway 6 RD 1 Havelock 7178 |
| Jimmy Simpson | 17 Kowhai Street Tapawera Wakefield 7096 |
| Benjamin Per | 22 St Lawrence Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |
| John Paul Tejero | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Luom Thi Kim | 1/142 St Vincent Street Toi Toi Nelson 7010 |
| Jerome Tejero | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Hung Nguyen | 195A Songer Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Gena Cockerell | 6 Lemari Avenue Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Rebecca Spooner | 10A Bledisloe Avenue Stoke Nelson 7011 |

| Submitter | Address |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Sam Clay | 3 Mahakipawa Road Havelock 7100 |
| Aroma New Zealand | PO Box 2683 Christchurch 8140 |
| Campbell Bowis | 3/326 Armagh Street Christchurch 8011 |
| Paul Starkey | 18 Henderson Street Blenheim 7201 |
| Quality Equipment (Jason Lovell) | PO Box 5097 Port Nelson Nelson 7043 |
| Phillip Blaylock | 104 Lord Rutherford Road South Brightwater Nelson 7022 |
| Jamie Hrstich | 2515 State Highway 6 RD 1 Havelock 7178 |
| Kyle Gribben | 1/30 Dodson Valley Road Atawhai Nelson 7010 |
| James Baker | 5654 Kenepuru Road RD 2 Picton 7282 |
| BDM Management | 2 The Wickets Hillmorton Christchurch 8024 |
| Roger Gledenning | 11 Mollymawk Place Woolston Christchurch 8023 |
| John Gallagher | 10A Chevron Place Ilam Christchurch 8041 |
| Lydia B Harvey | 43 Broadhaven Avenue Parklands Christchurch 8083 |
| Vicki Maree Evrard | 389 Marine Drive Charters Bay Governors Bay 8971 |
| Bevan Gordon Reid | 500 Mairehau Road Parklands Christchurch 8083 |
| Wayne Kelvin Benny | 1/37B Main Road Redcliffs Christchurch 8081 |
| Donald Bruce Simpson | 49A Main Road Redcliffs Christchurch 8081 |

| Submitter | Address |
|------------------------|--|
| Trung Thanh Nguyen | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Sokhom Pich | 4/74 Muritai Street Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Lisita Tangataevaha | 78 Waimea Road Nelson South Nelson 7010 |
| Lovey Filimoeatu | 42 Washington Road Washington Valley Nelson 7010 |
| Maria Hemara | 2/55 Norwich Street Stoke Nelson 7011 |
| Alicia de Leen | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Huu Van Tang | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Trang Ngo | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Harmony Haira | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Tan Pham | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Van Nguyen | C/- Cloudy Bay 24 McPherson Street Richmond 7020 |
| Christine Margret | 3 Ruataniwha Place RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Trevor Brian Satherley | 3 Ruataniwha Place RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Bruce Lock | 161 Port Underwood RD 1 Picton 7281 |
| Susan Foster | 796 Wakamarina Road RD 1 Havelock 7178 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--|---|
| Ku Ra | 86 Carisbrooke Street Aranui Christchurch 8061 |
| Lily Tamaiparea | 2/37B Main Road Redcliffs Christchurch 8081 |
| Paul Dargan | 325 Wainoni Road Avondale Christchurch 8061 |
| Ross MacDonald | 70A Ryan Street Phillipstown Christchurch 8011 |
| Daniel Sandrey | 3 Greig Lane Canvastown RD 1 Havelock 7178 |
| Colin Ronald Norton Tom Ronald Norton | 237 Westdale Road RD 1 Richmond Nelson 7081 |
| Jacobson Marine Farms (Victor Jacobson) | Main Road State Highway 6 RD 2 Rai Valley 7192 |
| Michael Bourke | 312 Mt Riley Road Okaramio RD 1 Havelock 7178 |

| Submitter | Address |
|--|--|
| Matthew Emms | Level 4 Rangitane PO Box 1185 Blenheim 7240 |
| Jonathan William Hodges | Level 4 Ranitane House PO Box 1185 Blenheim 7240 |
| Robin Bruce Harris Valerie Annette Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Robbin Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Valerie Annette Harris | 260 Elaine Bay Road RD 3 French Pass 7193 |
| Gary Orchard Ellen Orchard | 6918 Kenepuru Road RD 2 Picton 7282 |
| Joanne Evalyn Cook | 8 Rui Street Tahunanui Nelson 7011 |
| Transport Investments | 15 Artillery Place Richmond Nelson 7081 |
| Nolan Day | Incomplete Address |
| Alex Khadzhi | Incomplete Address |
| John Andrew McGregor | Incomplete Address |

Submitters in support of other Submitters

(Please note these are not in alphabetical order)

| Submitter | Sub No | Submitter Supported |
|--|-------------|---|
| Forest and Bird Te Hoiere Bat Recovery Project | 496 | Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society NZ (Forest & Bird) |
| Yachting New Zealand Inc | 506 | Waikawa Boating Club |
| Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated | 1247 | Waikawa Boating Club |
| Sharon Parkes | 338 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand |
| Coatbridge Limited | 355 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand |
| Dale Hulbert | 312 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand |
| Raeburn Property Partnership | 1085 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand |
| Sally Jane and Timothy John Wadworth | 1122 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand |
| New Zealand Deer Farmers Association | 992 | Federated Farmers of New Zealand |
| Raeburn Property Partnership | 1085 | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated |
| Beal Family Trust | 619 | Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated |
| Lloyd Sampson David | 891 | Apex Marine Farm Limited |
| Apex Marine Farm Limited | 549 | Lloyd Sampson David |
| Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited | 611 | The Fishing Industry Submitters |
| PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated | 1039 | The Fishing Industry Submitters |
| Legacy Fishing Limited | 907 | The Fishing Industry Submitters |
| New Zealand Deer Farmers Association | 992 | Beef and Lamb New Zealand |
| Dennis Burkhart | 643 | Flaxbourne Settlers Association |
| The Marlborough Environment Centre Incorporated | 1194 | Climate Karanga Marlborough |
| Jo Kerry | 812 | Soil and Health Association of New Zealand Incorporated |
| Dianne Elizabeth & Kenneth George Gullery | 324 | Marine Farming Association Incorporated |
| Totaranui Limited | 232 | Marine Farming Association Incorporated |
| P H Redwood and Company Limited | 1020 & 1167 | Marine Farming Association Incorporated |
| Sanford Limited | 1141 | Marine Farming Association Incorporated |
| A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust | 517 | Marine Farming Association Incorporated |
| Totaranui Limited | 232 | Aquaculture New Zealand |
| A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust | 517 | Aquaculture New Zealand |