

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Larger setbacks from the coastal marine area, including slope consideration, i.e. 30m where the slope for a distance of 50m is less than 20 degrees, otherwise 100 metres.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	More control of planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds by requiring Resource consent by commercial entities.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	More control of planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds by requiring Resource consent by commercial entities.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>A provision that supports industry best practice.</p> <p><i>Possible provisions:</i></p> <p>1. <i>The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity.</i></p> <p>2. <i>The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.</i></p>					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A provision that supports industry best practice. <i>Possible provisions:</i> 1. The discharge must not occur when the soil moisture is at or near to field capacity. 2. The discharge rates should be matched to the available soil moisture deficit and should not result in any surface ponding.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The inclusion of identified significant wetlands in the Plan to provide certainty to landowners and protection for wetlands from drainage and indigenous vegetation clearance.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For significant natural area sites to be reasonably protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.					
<b>1066</b>	Raewyn Heta	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For significant natural area sites to be reasonably protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1067</b>	Renee Heta	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>90</b>	Tony Hewitt	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	I seek an additional provision along lines of 2.2.10 but for surface flow testing. Take water for purposes of completing a stream depletion test to determine effects on downstream users and/or values. At no time shall testing remove more than 10% of the flow or be carried out for more than 14 days in any one year. Water can only be pumped to land using approved irrigation methods.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.2					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.3					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.4					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.5					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5H					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.M.6					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	26	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 8A					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.16					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.4					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.5					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.4					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.2					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.9					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	40	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.10					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.12					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	42	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.6					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.11					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	45	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.5					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	47	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.7					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	50	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.17					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	51	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	52	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	53	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following changes (strikethrough, bold) to Policy 8.3.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 – Where subdivision, use or development <b>that</b> requires resource consent, <b>occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant</b> the adverse effects on <b>those</b> areas, habitats or ecosystems <del>with indigenous biodiversity value</del> shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that <b>significant</b> adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</p>					
455	John Hickman	54	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.					
455	John Hickman	55	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The inclusion of policy recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity from subdivision, use and development.					
455	John Hickman	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and/or explanation to recognise that food gathering will not be appropriate in all wetlands.					
455	John Hickman	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.8.1.5					
455	John Hickman	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					
455	John Hickman	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this rule.					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
455	John Hickman	64	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard as follows (bold): <i>19.3.2.4 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</i> <b>Where the Significant Wetland is fenced, other species may be planted up to the fence boundary.</b>					
455	John Hickman	65	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
455	John Hickman	66	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
455	John Hickman	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways, fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.					
455	John Hickman	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to ensure that short term breakfeeding during winter when there is low growth or in times of drought is not captured by this definition.					
455	John Hickman	69	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>455</b>	John Hickman	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>805</b>	James Higgin	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>650</b>	Dean Higgins	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support
Decision Requested	The decision we seek from Council is that planning for the effects of Disruptive Climate Change needs to be high on the list of priorities for MDC and in recognition of that importance it should be reclassified as Section 1 in the MEP rather than relegated to the bottom of the list as if it is an afterthought.					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.5 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule that requires resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds. <i>(Inferred support of this heading and associated standards.)</i>					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Commercial forestry harvesting as a discretionary activity ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.21 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.20 ( <i>inferred</i> ).?					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.9 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.10 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.28.11 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.7 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.8 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.9 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.27.10 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	16	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Retain mapping and protection of significant wetlands ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 3.3.11 and associated standards ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain heading 4.3.30 and associated standards ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.31.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>378</b>	Roger (Budyong) Edward and Leslie Janis Hill	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.31.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1119</b>	Sharon Hill	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>470</b>	Kathryn Margery Hine	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Neighbours who are required to be notified or could be affected need to be given sufficient information to understand the potential noise effects and understand that there are different pumps available.</p> <p>Information provided on pumps should outline the different pump options available and the noise levels associated with each.</p> <p>Noise should be a major consideration in the approval of septic tank sewerage systems that could affect neighbours.</p>					
<b>488</b>	Margaret and Robert Hippolite	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a. The Submitters Oppose the zoning of NL 12C/223, CFR 505902, NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 as being within Coastal Natural Character Map 3 - Symonds Hill - High Rating.</p> <p>b. The Submitters seek that NL 12C/223, CFR 505902, NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 be excluded from the Symonds Hill Coastal Natural Character area, and that the Coastal Natural Character Map 3 be amended accordingly</p>					
<b>488</b>	Margaret and Robert Hippolite	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Submitter seeks that Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Map 3 be amended to exclude Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation areas as shown on the attached plan.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>916</b>	Margaret Hippolite	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Squally Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1068</b>	Robert Hippolite	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Okiwi Bay, Squally Cove and East Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1167</b>	Tiwini Hippolite	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>80</b>	Brian Hobbs	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	4. Recommendation  The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>80</b>	Brian Hobbs	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>155</b>	Mark Hodges	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds. Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.					
<b>837</b>	Jonathan William Hodges	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>668</b>	David Quintin Hogg	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>668</b>	David Quintin Hogg	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>668</b>	David Quintin Hogg	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>668</b>	David Quintin Hogg	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167 in Pig Bay, Port Gore; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.35.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <del>3.3.35.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 5.3.16.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <del>5.3.16.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 8.3.15.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <del>8.3.15.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through) is made to Standard 19.3.9.2 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <del>19.3.9.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</del>					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	5	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Urban Residential 3 Zone.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	6	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Coastal Living Zone.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 1 Zone.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	8	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 2 Zone.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	9	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Business 3 Zone.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	10	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	11	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Open Space 1 Zone.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	12	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Open Space 2 Zone.					
<b>852</b>	Kelvin Holdaway	13	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Professional fireworks is a permitted activity in the Floodway Zone.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1120</b>	Stewart Holdem	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>883</b>	Lavinia Holland	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>931</b>	Michael Holland	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1225</b>	Wayne Hollis	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>106</b>	Val Holmes	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>106</b>	Val Holmes	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Guiding principles but test all objectives, policies and methods to ensure that the principles underpin the regulatory framework.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	2	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include definitions in Ch 25 Definitions for the following terms, based on the descriptions in Ch 2:</p> <p>Enable means that an activity is provided for through a rule.</p> <p>Avoid is to avoid an effect by undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. If an effect is to be totally avoided the activity will be prohibited in the rules.</p> <p>Control means that controls will be used in rules to manage effects of the activity.</p> <p>Manage means that the effects of an activity can be managed through a range of mechanisms such as rules or non-regulatory methods.</p> <p>Protect means to keep safe from harm from inappropriate subdivision use and development.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	3	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Amend all uses of the term 'natural and human use values' to 'values'</li> <li>Add a definition in Ch 25 of values as follows: The worth, desirability or utility of a thing, or qualities on which these depend.</li> <li>Ensure that all values that exist are included and taken into account in the MEP.</li> <li>Amend Appendix 5 to include and recognise all values of water resource units including food production values</li> </ul>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	4	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 3.1.2 An applicant is encouraged to consult with iwi in the development of resource consent or plan change where the scale and significance of the activity will impact on cultural values.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.1.1 Recognise and provide for the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land where there is a clear resource management issue that requires intervention.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.1 by adding: p) irrigation networks and reticulation infrastructure					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.1 as follows: Water allocation and water use management regimes will reflect the values identified for the water resource. Amend the Explanation to be consistent with the objective.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.1.1 Explanation by relating 'hydrological and environmental circumstances' with 'identified values'. Delete 'natural and human use'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.1.2 Or reword: Recognise that the taking of water and the uses of water are interrelated and will be managed together.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Explanation to Issue 5B by deleting paragraph 1 and 1st sentence of Para 2: Marlborough's freshwater bodies sustain a diverse range of values, including cultural and spiritual values, recreation values, habitat values, landscape values, community values such as drinking water, food production values and commercial and economic values. The water that flows in rivers or is contained in aquifers, lakes and wetlands sustains Marlborough's community and environment.  Delete all reference so to 'natural and human use' values and only use 'values'.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' from Objective 5.2 and the Explanation.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' from Policy 5.2.1 and the Explanation. Delete heading 'Natural and human use values'. Amend Appendix 5 to include wider range of values including food production.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows: Set specific environmental flows and /or levels for Freshwater Management Units based on the freshwater objectives for each FMU which are informed by the values identified for that FMU.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.5as follows:            With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, animal drinking water or water for capital root stock protection and crop survival water for drought intolerant food crops, prevent the taking.....</p> <p>Add to the Explanation:            Water for capital root stock protection and crop survival water for drought intolerant food crops to ensure that they can be maintained in the event of a drought as such crops are not able to moved in the event of a drought and the loss of the capital investment would have serious impacts on the Marlborough community.</p> <p>Include definitions as follows:            Capital rootstock protection means water required to maintain survival of permanent horticultural crops in drought, no more than the equivalent of 50% of the total allocation of the consent holder.</p> <p>Crop survival water means water for the survival of drought intolerant food crops excluding pasture, maize and animal feed crops. Water supplied for survival should be no more than 50% of the total allocation of the consent holder.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' form Policy 5.2.7					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows:            Set specific minimum levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers based on the freshwater objectives for each FMU which are informed by the values identified for that FMU            Or amend to include:            g) to provide for the identified values for the FMU.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.17            Implement water restrictions for water users serviced by municipal water supplies when the management flows/ levels are 20% above the minimum flow or level by restricting takes that are not for essential domestic use.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 'natural and human use' from Policy 5.2.23					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.1: a) Essential domestic supplies b) Values identified for the FMU c) Aquifer recharge d) Domestic and stock drinking water e) Capital rootstock and crop survival water f) Municipal water supply g) All other water takes Amend the Explanation to reflect the re-order of priorities.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.7 by adding: Except for capital rootstock where a 10/10 reliability will apply.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.9 to include provision for higher reliability for irrigation water for capital rootstock.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.1 as follows: Recognise that the following Freshwater Management Units are under pressure and undertake a process to identify limits for these FMU's that incorporate all identified values.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.4 but apply a reasonable use test for all water takes.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.5: as follows: Apply a reasonable use test to all takes in the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka FMU's to ensure that allocations reflect required amounts. Undertake a review of the limits for the aquifers to ensure that they reflect all values. If additional reductions are then required they will be applied according to the priorities set out in Policy 5.3.1.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.1 by deleting 'every proposed use will be authorised by a separate water permit'.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2 Amend Explanation by inserting after IrriCalc: 'or alternative model where IrriCalc does not include specific crops'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 5.7.3 'Or the crop grown is not provided for in IrriCalc.'					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.8 to clarify the information that would be required to justify use of water for frost fighting.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.9 as follows: Water takes for frost fighting purposes will be based on the requirements for the specific crop. Add to the Explanation A limitation of 44 cubic metres per hour per hectare may be applied unless the applicant demonstrates a greater requirement.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new method: 5.M.1A Identification of values supported by freshwater, groundwater resources. To identify, the values that the community places on freshwater bodies. These values will be used as the basis for establishing freshwater objectives and policy responses to manage the waterbodies					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1 and ensure that it is implemented throughout the Plan.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1.1 and ensure that it is implemented throughout the Plan.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete 'land based' form Policy 14.1.3.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.5					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.7 but ensure robust policies to manage reverse sensitivity effects. Add R to Policy 14.1.7					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows" Manage the potential effects of primary production on adjoining residential zones by ensuring that adequate buffer distances are established within the residential zone.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.2 Ensure that 'pests' include unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.1 And provide for the policy to be implemented through rules.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.2 but add R to the policy and ensure that it applies to unwanted organisms.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 14.3: Activities that are not related to primary production are generally not appropriate to be located in rural environments.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	49	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 14.3.2 by adding e): The potential reverse sensitivity effects arising from locating adjacent to primary production activities.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	50	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 14B by deleting 'increased' with 'potential'.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	51	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete 'and enhanced' from Objective 14.4					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	52	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.1 a) Presence of buildings and structures necessary for primary production					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	53	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.2 as follows: d) reflects the need for buildings and structures for primary production activities.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	54	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.3 b) add 'and side and rear boundaries' d) avoid potential reverse sensitivity effects  Amend Explanation so it refers to all boundaries, not just the road boundary.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	55	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.5 by adding: and enabling primary production activities to be undertaken.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	56	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.10: Control the establishment of residential and other sensitive activities within the rural environments as a means of avoiding reverse sensitivity between sensitive activities and primary production activities.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	57	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.15	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.15 by adding 'and other sensitive activities' after 'residential activity'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	58	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.2 by adding: j) avoid reverse sensitivity effects					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	59	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 'seasonal' from Policy 14.5.4. Delete 'remote' from Policy 14.5.4.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	60	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a): Add g) values identified for the water bodies are provided for.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	61	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify how waterbodies valued for primary contact recreation have been identified.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	62	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 b) potential for contact recreation 'in identified areas'. c) Add 'and food production' e) other values identified for the water body					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	63	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.2 b) all values identified for the waterbody					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	64	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.3 but add that method 15.M.1 will be used as the first stage on implementing Policy 15.1.3.ts in place a process for limits to be set. This process includes the identification of values supported by freshwater resources. (Method 15.M.1).					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	65	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.1 but amend: 15.M.1 Identification of values supported by freshwater, groundwater or coastal water resources. To identify, the values that the community places on freshwater bodies. These values will be used as the basis for establishing freshwater objectives and policy responses to manage the waterbodies.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.26					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.29 but include a default rule as Restricted Discretionary as activities not meeting the permitted activity standards can be managed through an RD process.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.3 Reduce the potential for adverse effects, including health effects, from the discharge of contaminants to air.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.4 Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid adverse effects of spraydrift by adopting best practice methods of application to minimise the potential for off-target drift. Include in the Explanation: Best practice for agrichemical use is set out in NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals. Appropriate training is required to ensure that users are competent in undertaking applications of agrichemicals to minimise potential for off target spray drift.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.4 Safeguard the life supporting capacity of Marlborough's soil resource.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.4.2: Encourage good management practices that:					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.3 but include a default rule as Restricted Discretionary as activities not meeting the permitted activity standards can be managed through an RD process. Matters of discretion should be the matters listed in Policy 15.4.4.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.5.1 but amend to include (D).					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	75	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.1 by deleting 'dwelling' and replace with 'habitable building'.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	76	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.5 by deleting 'farming' and replace with 'production land activities'  Amend to add 'or CT' after 'Computer Register.'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	77	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.7 by deleting 'Until 9 June 2017.'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	78	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.3.1 by deleting 'dwelling' and replace with 'habitable building' or 'dwellings' with 'habitable buildings'.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	79	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.3.5 by deleting 'farming' and replace with 'production land activity'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	80	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	81	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to 2.7 as 2.7.11 Vegetation removal to remove unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	82	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to 2.12 as 2.12.12 Vegetation removal to remove unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	83	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rules for application of agrichemicals as sought for Rule 2.22.1 below. (See Subpoint 769.86) Add an extra standard to 2.14.10: Meet the requirements of 2.22.1.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	84	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 2.17.2:  Discharge of an aquatic agrichemical into a waterbody</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The substances, including any adjuvants, are approved by EPA under the HSNO Act for discharge directly into or onto water and must comply with requirements covering the person in charge, training, signage, storage, emergency management and all other requirements under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and pursuant Regulations</li> <li>2. The person authorising the discharge direct to water shall notify: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Every person taking water for potable supply within 1km downstream of proposed discharge at least 12 hours prior to discharge occurring; and</li> <li>ii. Every resource consent holder for taking of water for public potable water supply purposes downstream of proposed discharge at least 1 week before commencing discharge.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. Qualifications  Discharge of agrichemicals directly into or onto water can be carried out only by persons Holding either: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate (National Certificate in Agrichemical Aquatic strand)</li> <li>or:  GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate and under direct supervision of a person holding a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicator Certificate (National Certificate in Agrichemical Aquatic strand)</li> <li>b) Aerial application –the pilot must hold a GROWSAFE® Pilots Agrichemical Rating Certificate issued by CAA and the application company must hold AIRCARE™ Accreditation</li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p>Where spraying is occurring in a public place signs shall be placed within the immediate vicinity of the spraying prior to commencing and maintained until spraying has ceased.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Records  All users must keep records consistent with Appendix C9 of NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals as evidence and information that provides an authentic record to verify that the application of agrichemical(s) directly to water has been carried out in a safe responsible manner, in particular with respect to notification of any person who may take water for their own use. Such records must be provided to Auckland Council when requested.</li> <li>5. Pest plants identified in Appendix 25, unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.</li> </ol>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	85	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain 2.17.11.1  Replace 2.17.11.2 – 8 with provisions as sought for 2.17.2 (except for clause 5) to 2.17.11. (See Subpoint 769.84)  Add additional clause:  The discharge must only be for the purpose of eradicating, modifying or controlling aquatic plants or unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity 1993.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	86	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 2.22.1 for Standards for application of agrichemicals as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The substance is approved under HSNO and the use and discharge of the substance is in accordance with all conditions of the approval.</li> <li>2) The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel that contains water unless specifically provided for in other sections of this Plan.</li> <li>3) The discharge is undertaken in a manner consistent with NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals and for specific activities compliance with the following sections of NZS8409: 2004 Management of Agrichemicals: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Storage – Appendix L4</li> <li>• Use – Part 5.3 and 5.5</li> <li>• Disposal – Appendix S</li> <li>• Records – Appendix C9</li> </ul> </li> <li>4) The discharge must be undertaken in such a way that there are no adverse effects from off target spray drift beyond the boundary property</li> <li>5) Spray plan The owner/ occupier or manager shall prepare a spray plan at least once a year including identifying sensitive areas adjacent to where discharges will occur. (Spray plan requirements to be included in Plan or refer to NZS8409:2004 5.3 and Appendix M4 and template on website);</li> <li>6) Training Where agrichemicals are applied: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) All users, other than agrichemical contractors, must hold a GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate or be under direct supervision of a person holding a GROWSAFE® Applied Certificate or Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate.</li> <li>ii) Every ground based agrichemical contractor shall hold a GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicators Certificate Or have a GROWSAFE® Introductory Certificate and under direct supervision of GROWSAFE® Registered Chemical Applicator</li> <li>iii) Every pilot undertaking Aerial application must hold a GROWSAFE® Pilots Agrichemical Rating Certificate issued by CAA and the application company or operator must hold a current AIRCARE™ Accreditation.</li> </ol> </li> <li>7) Notification The owner/ occupier or manager shall ensure that notification has occurred prior to application commencing as follows: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Sensitive areas other than amenity areas and public places: The owner/ occupier or manager of the property where agrichemicals are to be used is to ensure that any person likely to be directly affected by application and who requests notification, is notified prior to application commencing:</li> <li>ii) Amenity areas and public places The owner/ occupier or manager shall provide a public notice in a local newspaper or letter drop in the area to be sprayed at least 7 days before the proposed application and ensure that the signage below is provided: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Where spraying is occurring in a public place signs shall be placed within the immediate vicinity of the spraying prior to commencing and maintained until spraying has ceased,</li> <li>ii) Where the spraying is occurring on or alongside roads vehicles associated with the spraying shall display signs on the front and rear of the vehicles advising that spraying is occurring.</li> </ol> </li> </ol> </li> </ol>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	87	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule for agrichemicals If the conditions of the permitted activity rule cannot be met then consent as a restricted discretionary activity would be required. Matters of discretion When assessing an application for discharge of contaminants into air, or onto or into land or water from the use or application of agrichemicals, the matters to be considered are: (a) The type of agrichemical to be discharged, including its toxicity and volatility and the carrying agent (formulation); (b) The proposed method of application, including the type of spray equipment to be used, the spray volume and droplet size, the direction of spraying and the height of release above the ground; (c) The nature of any training undertaken by the operator; (d) Measures to avoid agrichemical spray drift; (e) The extent to which the use or application complies with NZS8409:2004 Management of Agrichemicals; (f) The proximity of the use or application to potable water including roof water; (g) The proximity of the use or application to waterbodies; (h) The timing of application in relation to weather conditions; and (i) Communication requirements.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	88	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new permitted activity 2.34.13 Signage required by other legislation such as HSNO or Worksafe NZ Act.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity 3.1.59 Burial, spraying, burning or removal of vegetation material infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include in 3.1 Permitted Activities: Accessory buildings for primary production including artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures Or amend the definition of farming to include accessory buildings to the activity.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.11.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend 3.2.1.11: Delete 'utilising the soils of the site' Add after greenhouse: or artificial crop protection structures					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 3.2.1.12 as follows: Habitable buildings 8 m for the front boundary 25 m for the rear boundary 25 m for the side boundary  All other buildings 8 m for the front boundary 5 m for the rear boundary 5 m for the side boundary					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add additional points to Standard 3.2.1.18: c) Artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures between 8-12 metres from a pole support structure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meet the requirements of NZECP 34: 2001</li> <li>• Are no more than 2.5 metres in height</li> <li>• Are removable or temporary to allow a clear working space 12 metres from the pole where necessary for maintenance purposes</li> <li>• Allow all weather access to the police and a sufficient area for maintenance equipment, including a crane.</li> </ul> d) An artificial crop support structure or crop support structure located within 12 metres of a tower support structure that meets the requirements of Clause 2.4.1 of NZECP34:2001.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.2.3.2. 7am – 10pm 55dBA LAeq					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 3.2.3.3 by hanging 'agricultural or horticultural activities' to primary production activities'					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.9.1					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 3.3.2.1					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.5.1 as follows: A category A or Category B device must not be operated a) After sunset and before sunrise b) Exceed 65dB SEL when measured at the notional boundary of the nearest habitable building on a site other than on which the device is located or the zone boundary					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.12 by adding an additional standard: Removal of vegetation for the purposes of managing unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Standard: 3.3.13.7 For cultivation that is undertaken for rotational cropping the activity will use mechanisms in Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for vegetable growing (Horticulture NZ 2014) to minimise sediment run-off to water.  Add to Standard 3.3.13.5: except where 3.3.13.7 applies.  Amend definition of cultivation as sought elsewhere in this submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	101	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule for cultivation: List the matters of discretionary as the matters listed in Policy 15.4.4 a- g.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 3.3.15.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add new standard to 3.3.22 Meet the requirements on 2.17.2. (See subpoint 769.84)  Provide a Restricted Discretionary Rule as sought by Horticulture NZ for where the application does not meet the permitted activity standards.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.23.2 by adding: Except during application.  Delete 3.3.23.4  Provide a Restricted Discretionary Rule for where the application does not meet the permitted activity standards. Matters of discretion When assessing an application for discharge of contaminants into air, or onto or into land or water from the use or application of fertiliser, the matters to be considered are: (a) The type of fertiliser to be discharged, (b) The proposed method of application (c) The nature of any training undertaken by the operator; (d) Measures to avoid fertiliser drift; (e) The extent to which the use or application complies with Code of Practice for Nutrient Management (Fert Assoc) (f) The proximity of the use or application to potable water including roof water; (g) The proximity of the use or application to waterbodies; (h) The timing of application in relation to weather conditions; and (i) Communication requirements.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	105	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.25.2					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.26.7					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	107	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.27.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 25 to include unwanted organisms under the Biosecurity Act 1993. (See Subpoint 769.137)					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.36.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.36.1 Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same management or ownership may be burned.  Include Permitted activity rule to provide for burning of material infected by unwanted organisms.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	109	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 8.2.1.4 by adding 15 metres for a habitable building located on a boundary with the Rural Environment Zone.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	110	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 24.3.1.2 to required minimum building platform shape factor for subdivisions and include it for all subdivisions in the Rural Area, including the Rural Environment					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	111	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add an additional matter: 24.3.1.27 Potential for reverse sensitivity effects and mechanisms to avoid such effects.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	112	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 24.4.1.13.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	113	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of accessory: Means a separate detached building the use of which is incidental to that of the principal building or buildings on the site or the activity on the site.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	114	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition by changing 'organ' to 'oral' nutrition compounds					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	115	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the relationship between 'accessory' and 'ancillary' and amend to ensure that there is clarity as to how the terms will be applied in the Plan.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	116	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add to the end of Category B: Such as sirens and high frequency devices.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	117	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a definition for artificial crop protection structures as follows: Artificial Crop Protection Structures means structures with material used to protect crops and/or enhance growth (excluding greenhouses).</p> <p>Include a definition for greenhouses as follows: Greenhouses are a totally enclosed structure where plants are grown in a controlled environment.</p> <p>Include a definition for crop support structures as follows: Crop support structures are open structures on which plants are grown.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of building by adding an exemption for artificial crop protection structures and crop support structures.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	119	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of bare ground by adding: But does not include land that is part of a rotational growing system where it is between crops.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	120	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of cultivation: Means breaking up, turning and mounding of soil in preparation for sowing and harvesting a crop, including ancillary erosion and control methods to minimise sediment runoff to water.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	121	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of farm airstrip and helipad					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	122	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend definition of intensive farming to exclude greenhouses and include in the definition of farming.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	123	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 'and mobile' from the definition of frost fan.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	124	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete greenhouses from intensive farming and provide for them as a standalone activity. Add an exclusion to the definition of intensive farming: But does not include greenhouses for the production of vegetative matter.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	125	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a definition of fertiliser as the ACVM regulations or as follows:</p> <p>A substance or biological compound or mix of substances or biological compounds that is described as, or held out to be for, or suitable for, sustaining or increasing the growth, productivity, or quality of plants or, indirectly, animals through the application to plants or soil of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) essential nutrients and</li> <li>ii) fertiliser additives; and</li> <li>iii) non-nutrient attributes of the materials used in fertiliser.</li> </ul>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	126	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of minor upgrading by adding to b): The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors but does not include an increase in voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage. Delete last sentence of the definition.</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	127	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of noise sensitive activity: Delete 'examples include' and replace with 'Noise sensitive activities are..'</p>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	128	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include a definition of sensitive activities as follows: Sensitive activities are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Habitable buildings</li> <li>b) Educational facilities</li> <li>c) Correctional facilities</li> <li>d) Public places and amenity areas where people congregate</li> <li>e) Public roads</li> </ul>					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	129	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a definition for reverse sensitivity as follows: Reverse sensitivity occurs when occupants of a new development (for example, a lifestyle block) complain about the effects of an existing, lawfully established activity (for example, noise or smell from industry or farming). This can have the effect of imposing economic burdens, operational limitations or other constraints on the existing activity thereby reducing its viability.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	130	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of ponding: Means the intermittent formation of pools of surface liquid which remain for 24 hours after the source of liquid has ceased.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	131	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of rural industry: Means an industry, constructional engineers and roading, cartage or rural contractor workshop or yards or facilities for the processing, packing and storage of primary products where either:					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	132	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance by adding: But does not include the harvesting of crops. Delete 'cultivation'.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	133	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of worker accommodation: Means the use of land and buildings for accommodating the short term labour requirement of a farming activity or rural industry where the accommodation is provided on the property on which the farming or rural industry activity occurs.					
<b>769</b>	Horticulture New Zealand	134	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for production land: Production land has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
769	Horticulture New Zealand	135	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add 'food production' as a value to the following FMU's listed on the table 'Other water resources':</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Benmorven FMU</li> <li>Brancott FMU</li> <li>Omaka Aquifer FMU</li> <li>Omaka River FMU</li> <li>Riverlands FMU</li> <li>Southern Springs FMU</li> <li>Wairau Aquifer FMU</li> </ul> <p>Add 'food production' as a value to Schedule 1: 6 Awatere Lower and other Water Resource Units where food production is undertaken.</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	136	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Withdraw Appendix 6 and develop environmental flows and levels and develop for each catchment through a robust consultation process to identify all values for a waterbody, and then set objectives and flows.</p> <p>In the interim continue to use and apply existing environmental flows and levels for each catchment.</p>					
769	Horticulture New Zealand	137	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add to Appendix 25:</p> <p>Pest plants will include plant that are unwanted organisms, or infected by unwanted organisms as declared by MPI Chief Technical Officer or an emergency declared by the Minister under the Biosecurity Act 1993.</p>					
678	David Horton	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>					
678	David Horton	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>678</b>	David Horton	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>680</b>	Delwynne Horton	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.3.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.3.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rule 5.1.11.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	4	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 6.1.4.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.1.5.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	6	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relocated buildings in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones is a permitted activity.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 3.3.3:</p> <p><del>3.3.3.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>3.3.3.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>3.3.3.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>3.3.3.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 3.3.3.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.3.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>3.3.3.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 3.2.1.1 to 3.2.1.18 (inclusive).</del></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 4.3.3:</p> <p><del>4.3.3.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>4.3.3.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>4.3.3.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>4.3.3.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 4.3.3.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>4.3.3.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>4.3.3.3.f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 4.2.1.1 to 4.2.1.16 (inclusive).</del></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 5.3.7:</p> <p><del>5.3.7.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>5.3.7.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>5.3.7.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>5.3.7.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 5.3.7.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>5.3.7.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>5.3.7.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standard 5.2.1.6.</del></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	10	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.323:</p> <p><del>6.3.2.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>6.3.2.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>6.3.2.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>6.3.2.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 6.3.2.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>6.3.2.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>6.3.2.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standard 6.2.1.4.</del></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	11	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.4.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 7.3.4:</p> <p><del>7.3.4.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>7.3.4.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>7.3.4.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>7.3.4.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 7.3.4.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>7.3.4.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>7.3.4.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 7.2.1.1 to 7.2.1.11 (inclusive).</del></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	12	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 8.3.4:</p> <p><del>8.3.4.1. A building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</del></p> <p><del>8.3.4.2. All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site. This includes providing connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The owner of the land on which the relocated building is to be located must certify to the Council, before the building is relocated, that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del></p> <p><b>8.3.4.a Any relocated building intended for use as a dwelling must have previously been designed, built and used as a dwelling.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.b A building pre-inspection report shall accompany the application for a building consent for the destination site. That report is to identify all reinstatement works that are to be completed to the exterior of the building.</b> A suggested pre-inspection report is attached as Schedule 2 in the submission.</p> <p><b>8.3.4.c The building shall be located on permanent foundations approved by building consent, no later than 2 months of the building being moved to the site.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.d All other reinstatement work required by the building inspection report and the building consent to reinstate the exterior of any relocated dwelling shall be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site. Without limiting 8.3.4.c reinstatement work is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations.</b></p> <p><b>8.3.4.e. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 12 month period.</b></p> <p><del>8.3.4.3f The siting of the relocated building must also comply with Standards 8.2.1.1 to 8.2.1.8 (inclusive).</del></p>					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is changed from discretionary to restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect):</p> <p>Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) proposed landscaping;</li> <li>ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services;</li> <li>iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.</li> </ul>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	15	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	16	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	17	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.4.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	18	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the default activity classification for any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is non-notified restricted discretionary activity subject to the following assessment criteria (or to the same or similar effect): Where an activity is not permitted by this Rule, Council will have regard to the following matters when considering an application for resource consent: i) proposed landscaping; ii) the proposed timetable for completion of the work required to reinstate the exterior of the building and connections to services; iii) the appearance of the building following reinstatement.					
<b>770</b>	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, assessment criteria, definitions, methods and reasons) regulating the removal, re-siting, and relocation of buildings in the plan.  Rewrite these provisions to reflect the reasons for this submission.  Recognise the need to provide for the coordination between Building Act and Resource Management Act, to avoid regulatory duplication.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
770	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	20	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete all provisions (including objectives, policies, rules, assessment criteria, definitions, methods and reasons) regulating the removal, re-siting, and relocation of buildings in the plan.</p> <p>Rewrite these provisions to reflect the reasons for this submission.</p> <p>Recognise the need to provide for the coordination between Building Act and Resource Management Act, to avoid regulatory duplication.</p>					
770	House Movers Section of New Zealand Heavy Haulage Association Incorporated	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following definitions are included in the MEP:</p> <p><b>Relocated Building</b> means any previously used building which is transported in whole or in parts and re-located from its original site to its destination site; but excludes any prefabricated building which is delivered dismantled to a site for erection on that site.</p> <p><b>Removal of a Building</b> means the shifting of a building off a site.</p> <p><b>Relocation of a Building</b> means the placement of a relocated building on its destination site.</p> <p><b>Re-siting of a Building</b> means shifting a building within a site.</p>					
806	Jamie Hrstich	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>					
5	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and <b>it should be re-worded to include recognition for different water needs by different crops, different varieties within those crops, and different production methods.</b></p>					
5	Dale Hulburt	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and <b>it should be re-worded to include recognition for different water needs by different crops, different varieties within those crops, and different production methods.</b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>35</b>	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore stand strongly against the current wording of Section 5, and <b>it should be re-worded to include recognition of "Prior tempore potior iure" and that "Fair &amp; Just" should take precedent over "equitable" in protecting businesses and farming families that have built our community.</b>					
<b>272</b>	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>That Section 5 be <b>amended</b> to include three passages regarding the "Portability/Transferability" of Water User rights (allocations).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There should be TWO classifications of Water Users: One termed specifically as "Portable/Transferable" to allow it to be removed to another location off the original property; the other as "Non-Removable/Non-Transferable", which cannot be removed from the property it sits on.</li> <li>• Unless a special "portable" classification of Resource Consent is sought; a Lessee of Land who seeks Resource Consent for the taking of Water, be classified as a Custodian of the Consent, with the ownership transferred automatically to the Land-Owner at the termination or scheduled end of the Lease Agreement.</li> <li>• As part of the approval process, the Land-Owner (Lessor) should be required to sign-off on the application, and sign an acknowledgement form if the Resource Consent application is for a "Portable/Transferable" Water Use permit.</li> </ul> <p>My choice of wording ("portable" / "transferable") is designed to confine any rule change to the REMOVAL of water-usage from a property without the expressed consent of the Land-Owner. It is NOT the intent of the author to prevent a well from being bored elsewhere on the same property under the same Resource Consent, which is a reasonable modification to a Water Take/Use permit. In forwarding this amendment recommendation, I think it is important to state that we are looking to improve the protections of all that benefit from our natural resources, we are not looking for any personal gain or business advantage for ourselves.</p>					
<b>313</b>	Dale Hulburt	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Acceptance of my earlier submissions and those of Keith Adams and Federated Farmers.					
<b>314</b>	Dale Hulburt	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Council should provide wording that puts greater emphasis on phasing back of water consents to those Large Corporate Vineyards in drier arid lands that are more greatly responsible for our current Water Resource conditions.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>314</b>	Dale Hulburt	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Council should provide wording that puts greater emphasis on phasing back of water consents to those Large Corporate Vineyards in drier arid lands that are more greatly responsible for our current Water Resource conditions.					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council need to take a strong precautionary approach to any activity that promotes fossil fuel burning and instead promote drastic cut backs on carbon emissions.  Marlborough District Council is urged to participate in the "Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate Change and Energy".  This is a global initiative committed to climate leadership.					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Livestock should not have access to lakes, wetlands, waterways or the coastal marine area where intensive cattle or sheep farming is practiced.					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 3.3.10.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <del>Standard 3.3.10.4 There must be no planting within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.</del>					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the clearance of indigenous forest in the Rural Environment Zone is not a permitted activity. The submission does not include what status this type of activity should be.					
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	5	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1016</b>	Philip Erwin Hunnisett	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include alternative set backs for forestry activities on steep land to reduce the sedimentation issues.					
<b>691</b>	Emma Hunter	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>807</b>	Jeremy Hunter	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>498</b>	Hura Pakake Family Trust	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 111	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Sec 1 SO 429448 (Property Number 537753) be rezoned Coastal Living, consistent with the neighbouring zoning and that Planning Map 111 be amended accordingly.					
<b>498</b>	Hura Pakake Family Trust	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Sec 1 SO 429448 (Property Number 537753):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>be removed from the Whangerae Inlet and Okiwi Bay Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape (Appendix 1 Area 8 <i>inferred</i>) and</li> <li>that Landscapes Map 4 (Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape - Outer Sounds) be amended accordingly.</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
498	Hura Pakake Family Trust	3	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 7.3.7.2(e):</p> <p><i>Standard 7.3.7.2(e) The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 7.3.7.3 to 7.3.7.6 (inclusive): (e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and that clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i></p>					
498	Hura Pakake Family Trust	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (strike-through) and add a new standard (bold):</p> <p><i>Standard 7.3.7.4. Clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species: <del>(e) coastal broadleaved shrubland;</del></i></p> <p>New standard:</p> <p><b>Rule 7.3.7.X Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: c) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</b></p>					
48	Grant Hutchings	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 15	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the flood hazard designation be removed.					
49	Grant Hutchings	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
49	Grant Hutchings	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>853</b>	Vanessa Hyslop	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision.					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i>					
	That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i>					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i>					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:  <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:  <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	21	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	22	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	23	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i></p> <p><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p>					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	29	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That the provision is retained in full.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Agricultural Waste (See subpoint 776.50).					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	42	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	43	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Indevin submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Indevin submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.  <i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</u></i>					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	45	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	46	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision.					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:  <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	48	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provision.					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of agricultural waste (See subpoint 776.50).					
<b>776</b>	Indevin Estates Limited	50	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:  <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</u></i>					
<b>274</b>	Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the standard (inferred).					
<b>274</b>	Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The "recognised professional" should be a chartered professional engineer (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>274</b>	Institution of Professional Engineers New Zealand (IPENZ)	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The "recognised professional" should be a chartered professional engineer (inferred).					
<b>777</b>	Investavine Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p>					
<b>777</b>	Investavine Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i></p>					
<b>777</b>	Investavine Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i><u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:</p> <p><i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p>					
<b>777</b>	Investavine Limited	4	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:  <i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i>  <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</u></i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i> <i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	1	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 3.1.4:  <i>Policy 3.1.4 <del>Encourage</del> <b>Require</b> iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain:</i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 3.1.5:  <i>Policy 3.1.5 <del>Ensure</del> <b>Require</b> iwi management plans are taken into account in resource management decision making processes.</i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 3M.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>3.M.2 Recognising <b>Requiring</b> statutory acknowledgements</i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 4.M.4: <i>4.M.4 Guidelines</i> <i>The Council will make extensive use of guidelines to assist resource users to carry out their activities according to best practice for environmental outcomes. Guidelines will be developed in consultation with resource users and groups that represent their interests. The Council will <del>rely on resource</del> <b>support industry good organisations and</b> user groups to implement the guidelines.</i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 4.2.1(c): <i>Policy 4.2.1 - Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i> <i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants <del>operated by the Marlborough District Council;</del></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That socio-economic human use values for each Water Resource Unit are added to <i>Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values &amp; Water Quality Classification Standards</i> .					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.2:  <del>Give priority to protecting</del> <b>Have regard to</b> <i>mauri of freshwater and freshwater flows/levels</i> .					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.3.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.4:  <b>h) maintain the socio-economic well-being of the local community</b>					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5.					
778	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.2.6:  <del>...24 hour averages (midnight to midnight).</del> <b>Any water abstraction subject to restrictions should be complied with by 9am the following morning.</b>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.7.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.10.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.12.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.16.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.18.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.19.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.21.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.22:  <i><del>(c) any downstream effects of a breach in the dam wall</del></i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.23.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.24.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.2.25:  <i>Where necessary, review the conditions of existing water permits authorising the taking of water within 24 months of the Marlborough Environment Plan (or any subsequent plan changes) becoming operative. <b>In doing this, when determining the timeframe to ensure that relevant environmental flows and levels are complied with, have regard to sunk and future investment.</b></i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.1:  <i>To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i>  <i><del>(a) Natural and human use values; then</del></i>  <i><del>(b) aquifer recharge; then</del></i>  <i><del>(c) (a) domestic, and stock water supply; then and firefighting</del></i>  <i><del>(d) municipal water supply; and then</del></i>  <i><del>(e) (b) all other takes of water</del></i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.4:  <i>... for the purpose of municipal supply.</i>  <b><i>Require municipal supplies to implement a low flow management plan once river flows or aquifer flows have dropped beyond the river management flows or minimum aquifer levels.</i></b>  Alternatively, this could be included as an additional policy.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 5.3.11: <i>Have regard to the potential for any <b>new</b> take of water (<b>this excludes resource consent renewals</b>) to adversely....</i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.14: <i>(b) not be more than <del>ten</del><b>fifteen</b> years when...</i> <i>(c) not be more than <del>ten</del><b>fifteen</b> years when...</i>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.2.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.2.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.3.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.4.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.5.5.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.6.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.6.2.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.3.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.7.4:</p> <p><i>Require water permit holders to measure <del>their water take with a pulse emitting meter, to record water take and use with a data logger, and to transfer the recorded water take information by the use of telemetry;</del> <b>record and transfer the information from their water take using a meter and data management system that is capable of recording real time information, and telemetering this to the Marlborough District Council.</b></i></p> <p><i>Alternative methods of measurement.....</i></p>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.5.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.8.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.9.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.7.10.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	80	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	81	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1 - subject to Policy 5.9.3 changes.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2 - subject to Policy 5.9.3 changes.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.9.3.:					
	<b><i>(b) an independent scrutineer will be appointed to oversee the ballot process</i></b>					
	<b><i>(c) the ballot shall be determined by lot</i></b>					
	<del><i>(b) (d) if the water permit....</i></del>					
	<del><i>(e) (e) if the subsequent water permit....</i></del>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	84	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 5.M.3.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	85	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Method of Implementation 5.M.9:					
	<del><i>Encourage water users to undertake soil moisture monitoring</i></del> <b><i>irrigation scheduling</i></b> <del><i>on irrigated properties so that irrigation occurs to maintain soil moisture levels. This will result in more responsive and efficient use of water.</i></del>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	86	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.32:					
	<del><i>(iii) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated</i></del> <b><i>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated</i></b> ; and					
	<del><i>(v) the socio-economic impacts of not undertaking the disturbance</i></del>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following method be added to Methods of Implementation:					
	<b><i>Work with water users and industry groups and other agencies to develop guidelines for working in riverbeds to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of any activities, and to assist with the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i></b>					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	88	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Hearing evidence will be provided of socio-economic human use values for each Water Resource Unit, as additions to Appendix 5.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	89	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.8.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	90	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.9.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.14.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1.					
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	93	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following New Rule will be added to the General Rules:</p> <p><b><i>The replacement of existing take and use resource consents is a restricted activity. Discretion will be limited to the following matters:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b><i>The rate, volume and timing of the take;</i></b></li> <li>- <b><i>The reasonable need for quantities of water sought;</i></b></li> <li>- <b><i>Duration of consent;</i></b></li> <li>- <b><i>Lapsing of consent;</i></b></li> <li>- <b><i>Review of consent conditions;</i></b></li> <li>- <b><i>The collection, recording, monitoring and provision of information.</i></b></li> </ul> <p><b><i>In addition for groundwater takes:</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b><i>The effects the take (on its own, or in combination with other takes) has on any other authorised takes (including well interference drawdown effects).</i></b></li> </ul>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>778</b>	Irrigation New Zealand Incorporated	94	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change activity status to non-complying.					
<b>71</b>	John Jackson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Stay with the 500m rule. Planners need to consult with main users. Planners need to be more practical with their thinking and do not need to increase cost for all parties, council included.</p> <p>John and Mary-Anne Jackson</p> <p>Residents of Waikawa Bay</p> <p>Vice Commodore Waikawa Boating Club</p> <p>Chairman of Marlborough Berthing and Mooring Association</p> <p>Owner of launch</p>					
<b>71</b>	John Jackson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Stay with the 500m rule. Planners need to consult with main users. Planners need to be more practical with their thinking and donot need to increase cost for all parties, council included.</p> <p>John and Mary-Anne Jackson</p> <p>Residents of Waikawa Bay</p> <p>Vice Commodore Waikawa Boating Club</p> <p>Chairman of Marlborough Berthing and MooringAssociation</p> <p>Owner of launch</p>					
<b>841</b>	Jacobson Marine Farms Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>193</b>	Sue James	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I agree that the water outlet should have a verified water meter at the pump. I do not agree that a datalogger is necessary for domestic users.					
<b>193</b>	Sue James	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	The minimum resource consent term should be 30 years.					
<b>273</b>	Bev James	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide explanation of how multiple takes, some affected by the policy and others not , taken through the same point/method of take are to be managed (inferred).					
<b>273</b>	Bev James	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the objective (inferred).					
<b>273</b>	Bev James	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
<b>491</b>	Peter Winston James	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. That an early warning system advises property owners restrictions are imminent and will be applied progressively.</p> <p>This will allow farmers/grape growers etc to take measures to protect the health and safety of their animals and crops.</p> <p>2. Council to provide multi well level recordings in order to achieve an accurate over view of water levels in the Northern Springs Sector.</p>					
<b>370</b>	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>370</b>	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method to include the provision of information on monitoring and policing of restrictions on water takes.					
<b>370</b>	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>370</b>	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation for this policy to clarify that the exemption does not apply to water taken for purposes other than domestic or stock, even if water for all uses is taken from the same bore. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>370</b>	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a policy under the heading "Setting of Environmental limits" that requires the Council to review the limits set in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6 as further data becomes available. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>370</b>	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>370</b>	Saville-Smith, Katherine Julie and James, Beverley Lorraine	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>808</b>	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.10.4 to exclude coastal permits for occupation of areas smaller than 500m2.					
<b>808</b>	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the proposed pricing regime is set in the MEP.					
<b>808</b>	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy so all monitoring and reports are made public.					
<b>808</b>	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and gold) are made to the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the explanation for Policy 5.10.7:</p> <p><i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (<del>25</del><b>51</b>%) as well as those benefitting from the occupation of public space (<del>75</del><b>49</b>%). The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i></p>					
<b>808</b>	Kroon, Hanneke and Jansen, Joop	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Marlborough Sounds areas.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We submit that the Landscape overlays cannot be evaluated without the notified coastal marine farming provisions and marine farming zoning maps for coastal Marlborough.</li> <li>2. We submit that as part of the submission process for the Coastal Marine Farming Provisions and Zoning Maps after their notification, another round of submissions has to be allowed for all related parts of the MEP, such as Chapter 6 Natural Character, Chapter 7 Landscape, Chapter 8 Biodiversity and Chapter 13 Use of the Coastal Environment. The whole MEP is interrelated and one part cannot be considered in isolation.</li> <li>3. We submit that large areas of the Marlborough Sounds are zoned as Outstanding Natural Feature, which is clearly done to avoid having to include the adjacent seascape. This is not in keeping with the definitions of Outstanding Natural Landscape and Outstanding Natural Feature.</li> <li>4. We submit that the landscape classification of the Outstanding Natural Features in the Marlborough Sounds should be reviewed. Many of these ONF areas should be classified as Outstanding Natural Landscape and thus include the Seascape that is left out in most places in the Inner Sounds and some locations of the Outer Sounds.</li> <li>5. We submit that the anomalies where a "not ONL water hole" is surrounded by ONFL land or sea area should be reviewed and changed to ONL.</li> <li>6. We submit that more importance should be given to the presence of ecologically significant marine sites in the classification of an area as a ONF as opposed to ONL, as they should also be protected according to the NZ CPS. A seascape is not only the watersurface. Descriptions are: "Landscapes with views of the coast or seas, and coasts and the adjacent marine environment" (Landscape Institute/ IEMA 2013, pl 7) and "An area of sea, coastline and land, as perceived by people, whose character results from the actions and interactions of land with sea, by natural and/ or human factors" (Natural England 2012, p8).</li> <li>7. As a final conclusion we submit that the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscape (including seascape) identification done for the Marlborough Sounds is falling short of what is expected by NZCPS Policy 15 (d) and should be redone completely.</li> </ol> <p>Crail Bay and the Central Pelorus.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We submit that Bobbery Bay should be added to the ONFL overlay in the MEP including the seascape of this bay.</li> <li>2. We submit that the little Bay Between Hopai Bay and Elie Bay should be classified as ONFL, including the seascape of this bay and added to the ONFL overlay in the MEP.</li> <li>3. We submit that Grant Bay should keep its classification as Outstanding Natural landscape and be added to the ONFL overlay in the MEP plan as such.</li> <li>4. We submit that the ONFL classification of Tawero Point should include the ecologically significant marine site skirting it.</li> </ol>					
<b>11</b>	Jarvie Family Trust and T M & M S Raumati	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 93	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We would like the Council to rezone our properties (Secs 1 and 3 SO 428440) Coastal Environment Zone.					
<b>302</b>	Mark Jeffries	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I submit that rules 3.3.41.1 and 3.3.41.2 be deleted.					
<b>302</b>	Mark Jeffries	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I submit that rules 3.3.41.1 and 3.3.41.2 be deleted.					
<b>38</b>	Nicky Jenkins	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike though and bold) -  "From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>38</b>	Nicky Jenkins	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike though and bold) -  "From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>593</b>	Chang-Seog Jeon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>814</b>	Jeong Lye Jeon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to the natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal water and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>809</b>	Jim Jessep	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure uses for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
<b>936</b>	Michael Jessep	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>612</b>	Jet Boating New Zealand Incorporated	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new activity (bold) is added to 2.2 Permitted Activity: <b><i>Rule 2.2.25 Minor excavations of the river bed to construct a giant slalom jet boat course.</i></b>					
<b>64</b>	Jet Boating NZ Inc	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To have the minor excavation of the river bed to form a jet boat giant slalom course be considered a permitted activity.					
<b>911</b>	M and S Johns	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>911</b>	M and S Johns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>911</b>	M and S Johns	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>911</b>	M and S Johns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8387 in Mikau Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 10	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>513</b>	Helen Johnston	18	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>653</b>	David Edward Johnstone	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From <del>9 June 2022</del>, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."</i>					
<b>653</b>	David Edward Johnstone	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From <del>9 June 2022</del>, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i>					
<b>209</b>	O'Dwyers Farm Partnership Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Our request is that common sense prevails with the removal of the 100% blanket cut off to springs sector water users.					
<b>212</b>	O'Dwyers Farm Partnership Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Our request is that common sense prevails with the removal of the 100% blanket cut off to springs sector water users.					
<b>249</b>	James Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users based on the minimum well levels.					
	Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction (example - restricted all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users to 50% allocation when the monitor wells drop below the set level). This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised and help maintain minimum flow levels in Springs.					
	Before implementing a blanket restriction further information and knowledge is required of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process. A better understanding of the long term trend of the Wairau Aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.					
<b>249</b>	James Jones	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
<b>249</b>	James Jones	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	More data and understanding is required before limits are set. All Wairau Aquifer groundwater users must take responsibility for maintaining minimum aquifer levels/Spring flows, not just Spring sector users.					
<b>249</b>	James Jones	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Make calculations specific to soil type and property location.					
<b>249</b>	James Jones	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
<b>386</b>	Shirley J Jones	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>467</b>	Gary Bruce Jones	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Wish to: (i) include chimney flue cowling devices such as The FlueCube Chimney Cowl which also eliminates smoke emissions down to the levels required (ii) extend the expiry date for installing a clean burning device to 12 or 18 months from the Plans operative date (iii) provide financial incentive assistance to residents to make the changes required.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>559</b>	Belinda Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>654</b>	David Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>654</b>	David Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>704</b>	Febe Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>731</b>	Grace Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1168</b>	Tony Jones	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>304</b>	Joocy Loocy Partnership	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone land east of Henry Street up to to the Taylor River to Business 1.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submission point 2.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>I submit</b> that an enabling policy with regards to the above would further enhance the opportunity to implement integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds marine environment.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 5.10.4 (submission points #4), Policy 5.10.5 (submission points #5), Policy 5.10.6 (submission points #6) and Policy 5.10.7 (submission points #7).					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.4 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.5 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.6 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>I submit</b> that there must be consideration <b>in Policy 5.10.7 (inferred)</b> regards the strategic vision of what world class management of the coastal marine zone would be, how this would be implemented and what that would cost.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies for Volume 1 Chapter 6 Natural Character.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies for Volume 1 Chapter 7 Landscape.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies with amendments requested in submissions related to Objective 8.2 (submission point 11) and Policy 8.3.7 (submission point 12).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>I submit</b> that there should be a corresponding policy for Marlborough Sounds marine environment. This policy should also be supported by rules in the Coastal Marine Zone to ease such restoration projects such that, for example, resource consents might not be required for agreed projects that aim to restore benthic habitats or reef environments.</p> <p>This goes further than simply waiving of the resource application fee as suggested in Method of Implementation 8.M.6 Support.</p>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>I submit</b> that the rule as worded is too generic and protection methods need to be applied on a site by site basis and address specific activities that present risk to those sites (rather than just fishing activities) are implemented, as recommended by the expert panel.</p>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Line 4 Introduction Paragraph 1 if figure is 19%.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Subdivision, use and development activities in the Coastal Environment</b> with amendments requested in submission points related to Volume 1 Use of the Coastal Environment issue and policies.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Having this type of analysis ( <i>for matters that are more intrinsic or amenity centric as done for landscape and natural character - inferred</i> ) completed in a collaborative manner outside of a resource consent application process is the best way to provide future certainty as to what is appropriate/inappropriate development.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.2.1(g) provides greater certainty regards adverse effects, these attributes need to be defined, location by location.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	18	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments to Policy 13.2.4 (strikethrough and bold) <i>Attributes that <del>may</del> <b>will</b> be considered, <b>where are known to exist</b>, when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, tranquillity and peacefulness.</i>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	19	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.2.5 with the following amendments (where requested): Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold): <i>Policy 13.2.5 (c) maintaining <del>or</del> <b>and</b> enhancing areas with indigenous biodiversity value.</i> <i>Policy 13.2.5 (d) maintaining or enhancing sites or areas of particular value for outdoor recreation. Sites or areas are of particular value for outdoor recreation should these areas be scheduled (inferred).</i> <i>No change to Policy 13.2.5 (i) clustering together of structures and activities (inferred).</i> <i>Policy 13.2.5 (m) encouraging appropriate design of new structures and other development in form, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location. This should be more than encouraged; it should be non-negotiable.</i>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	20	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.6 but these values ( <b>coastal amenity, individual and communities values - inferred</b> ) need to be agreed upfront ( <b>e.g., in a Schedule (inferred)</b> ).					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Recreational activities</b> with amendments requested in submission point #22.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain introductory explanation under Issue 13B.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Decision requested ( <i>inferred</i> ) Amend policy to provide greater guidance as to how the policy would be applied.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Decision requested ( <i>inferred</i> ) Amend policy to provide greater guidance as to how the policy would be applied.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy to recognise that depletion of wild fisheries will be, in part, also driven by loss of habitat through different land and sea-based activities. <b>I submit</b> that, in the context of this section of the MEP, those other factors should be acknowledged.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Line 1 Paragraph 2 to read (to be technically correct) <i>Although the number of commercial fishers has decreased over the years, fishers with access to quota for various species still operate from Picton, Havelock and other ports.</i>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Residential activities</b> with amendments requested in submission related to Policy 13.5.6 (submission point #28).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Append reflectivity and colour of building materials to the policy and rules for coastal living zone.					
404	Eric Jorgensen	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Boat moorings and anchoring</b> with amendments requested in submission related to Policy 13.9.4. to (submission point #30).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I submit that displacement should be a consideration also for this policy and, as such, appended to the considerations of Policy 13.9.7 – <i>In determining an application for a new consent for a lawfully established existing mooring outside of a Moorings Management Area, the matters in Policies 13.9.1(b) and (c), 13.9.2 and 13.9.4 will be considered. The extent to which the existing mooring is consistent/inconsistent with the direction in these policies and whether the effects of any inconsistencies can be avoided, remedied or mitigated will be a significant factor in determining whether a new consent is granted.</i>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Coastal structures, reclamations and disturbance to the foreshore and seabed</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.10.5 (submission point #32) and Policy 10.13.23 (submission point #34).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider adding another assessment criteria in relation to <b>(inferred)</b> whether there is a practical and not altogether inconvenient alternative structure nearby that could serve the same purpose. Note appears to be covered in <b>(inferred)</b> <i>Policy 13.10.12 -Avoid the cumulative effects of jetties on the values of the coastal environment by: (a) giving priority to the sharing of jetties or the development of community jetties; and (b) considering whether there is practical road access to an application site, practical access to another jetty and/or access to existing public launching facilities in the vicinity.</i>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	34	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Correct the following errors (strikethrough and bold) in <i>Policy 13.10.23: In determining a new consent application for a lawfully-established existing boatshed and slipway, the matters in Policies 13.2.1, 13.10.8, 13.10.19, 13.10.20(a) and (b), <del>13.9.21</del> 13.10.21 and <del>13.9.22</del> 13.10.22 will be considered. The extent to which the existing boatshed and slipway are consistent with the direction in these policies and whether the effects of any inconsistencies can be avoided, remedied or mitigated will be a significant factor in determining whether a new consent is granted.</i>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	35	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Reclamation and Drainage</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.11.4 (submission point #36).					
404	Eric Jorgensen	36	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend apparent contention between Policy 13.11.4 (b) and Policy 13.11.2 (b).  Policy 13.11.2 (b) states that <b>if</b> alternative land-based sites are available reclamation and drainage shall be avoided whereas the wording of 13.11.4 (b) suggests that even if an alternative land-based site is available reclamation or drainage could still be considered.  <i>Policy 13.11.2 – Reclamation or drainage in the coastal marine area shall be avoided, unless (b) it can be shown there are no alternative land-based sites available (above Mean High Water Springs);</i>  <i>Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered (b) if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i>					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	37	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Disposal and deposition</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to Policy 13.12.1 (submission point #38).					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	38	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.12.1 so that the disposal of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area is avoided at all costs given the already compromised nature of the coastal marine areas in terms of settled and suspended sediments ( <b>inferred</b> ).					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue definition and supporting objectives and policies relevant to those under the heading <b>Shipping Activity</b> .					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue definition and supporting objectives and policies under the heading <b>Ports and marinas</b> with amendments requested in submissions related to 13.AER.5 (submission point #41) and 13.AER.16 (submission point #42).					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
404	Eric Jorgensen	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include in 13.AER.5 that the public survey (about perspectives of values contributing to amenity values in Marlborough's Coastal environment and how activities and uses may be affecting these values) needs to be repeated at 5 yearly intervals. This allows changes in perception to be tracked and to gain a relative sense as to whether important values are better or worse off than before <b>(inferred)</b>.</p> <p>Include in 13.AER.5 about monitoring complaints (about activities and uses in the coastal environment) increased public awareness of the opportunity to note concerns about different activities and uses in the coastal environment and also liaise with harbourmaster and DoC <b>(inferred)</b>.</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.16	Support
Decision Requested	<p>In addition to MPI, on-going communication should also include other central government agencies, e.g., Ministry for the Environment, in respect of the sustainable management of natural and physical resources as it relates to fishing activities.</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Standard 4.2.1.12 should require a colour palette rather than be encouraged.</p>					
404	Eric Jorgensen	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	As per the summary recommendations in the paper <i>Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry</i> the following should be adopted in the MEP:					
	(1) Replanting setbacks from the shoreline: 200 m (a precautionary approach should be adopted). <b>Note</b> that Standard 4.3.8.1(c) uses this 200m setback for planting and harvesting where it is much less likely heavy machinery, tracking and hauling logs would occur.					
	(2) Replanting setback for permanently flowing streams directly coupled to the sea: 5 m for streams less than 3m in width; and 10 m for streams equal to, or greater than, 3m in width.					
	(3) Replanting controls on steep slopes: A mandatory Replanting Management Plan identifying areas at high risk of erosion which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies. A similar Plan would be required for afforestation.					
	(4) Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:					
	a) Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.					
	b) Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.					
	In addition to replanting controls other recommendations were also made, these were:					
	(5) Harvest controls: Remove all woody material >100 mm diameter and > 3metres in length from gullies (>5000m2 or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.					
	(6) Earthworks requirements:					
	a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.					
	b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.					
	These recommendations apply to the Coastal Environment Zone. However, the other applicable zone for forestry is the Rural Environment Zone (Volume 2: Chapter 3) and they should be equally applicable there.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.42.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include Sunday 9:00am - 4:00pm for hours of operation for home occupation.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	47	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.1.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include Sunday 9:00am - 4:00pm for hours of operation for home occupation.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	48	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain rules as published with amendments requested in submissions related to headings 16.3.9.1 (submission point #49), 16.7.2 (submission point #50), 16.7.3 (submission point #51), 16.7.4 (submission point #52) and 16.7.5 (submission point #53).					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	49	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete standard 16.3.9.1.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.2.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	51	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.3.					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	52	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.4					
<b>404</b>	Eric Jorgensen	53	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>I submit</b> that the rule as worded is too generic and protection methods need to be applied on a site by site basis and address specific activities that present risk to those sites (rather than just fishing activities) are implemented, as recommended by the expert panel.					
<b>858</b>	Kevin Peter Judd	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 7.2.2 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>858</b>	Kevin Peter Judd	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 7.2.7 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>858</b>	Kevin Peter Judd	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 14.4.12 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>858</b>	Kevin Peter Judd	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I propose that the provision 14.4.13 be adopted in full as stated in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>872</b>	Kimberley Judd	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 7.2.2 be adopted in full.					
<b>872</b>	Kimberley Judd	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 7.2.7 be adopted in full.					
<b>872</b>	Kimberley Judd	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 14.4.12 be adopted in full.					
<b>872</b>	Kimberley Judd	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I request the Marlborough Environment Plan provision 14.4.13 be adopted in full.					
<b>937</b>	Mike Just	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>937</b>	Mike Just	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
<b>937</b>	Mike Just	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
<b>937</b>	Mike Just	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Fitzroy Bay land and seascape;</li> <li>- The northwestern side of Hallam Cove;</li> <li>- The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and</li> <li>- The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet.</li> </ul> OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The Fitzroy Bay land and seascape;</li> <li>- The northwestern side of Hallam Cove;</li> <li>- The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and</li> <li>- The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet.</li> </ul> OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the natural character mapping as proposed.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the overlay map by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Removing the very high natural character overlay from the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay; and</li> <li>- Removing the high natural character overlay at Tapapa Point and in Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the overlay map by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Removing the very high natural character overlay from the seascape south of Tawero Point and in Wilson Bay; and</li> <li>- Removing the high natural character overlay at Tapapa Point and in Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reduce the extent of the ONL mapping in Hallam Cove; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</p>					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reduce the extent of the ONL mapping in Hallam Cove; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point; AND Remove ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fitzroy Bay;</li> <li>- The northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;</li> <li>- Tawero Point; and</li> <li>- Tapapa Point/ Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point; AND Remove ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fitzroy Bay;</li> <li>- The northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet;</li> <li>- Tawero Point; and</li> <li>- Tapapa Point/ Tawhitinui Bay;</li> </ul> OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Anakoha Bay.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	17	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 65	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Zoning Map 65, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.					
<b>842</b>	Just Mussels Limited and Tawhitinui Greenshell Limited	18	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 103	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Zoning Map 103, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.					
<b>844</b>	K and L Morgan Partnership	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.					
<b>844</b>	K and L Morgan Partnership	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	K & L Morgan submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i>					
<b>1316</b>	Larry Kaberry	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1318</b>	Pat Kaberry	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>773</b>	Iosua Kaisara	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1169</b>	Tom Kane	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHW/S."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1169</b>	Tom Kane	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1286</b>	Karaka Point and Environs Residents Incorporated	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposed rule be removed from the MEP.</p> <p>In any event that the proposed rule be properly notified to all property owners with riparian rights.</p>					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 24.3.1.2					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.5.2.					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type																		
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new discretionary activity rule (bold) to Discretionary Activity list 24.5 to 'Protect Large Lots' (as per Rule 27.3.3.2 of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan):</p> <p><b>Rule 27.3.3.2 Special Provision to Protect Large Lots</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.1 The Council may consent to a subdivision for the creation of residential lots to protect the integrity of lots in excess of 150 hectares. The creation of such lots must be in accordance with the formula expressed in Table 24.5.X.1).</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.2 Any subdivision undertaken in terms of this rule will render the subdivision potential of the balance area a Non Complying Activity and this status shall be the subject of a consent notice on the title of that portion.</b></p> <p><b>27.3.3.2.2 Subdivisions may be staged in accordance with Assessment Criteria 27.2.4.5.7 and the Council may consider extending the time to give effect to the consent to five years maximum for subdivision proposals of 10 Jots and over. However, in these circumstances, if the consent is not given effect to within the five years provided, a new application will be required for any further subdivision to complete the available lot entitlement prescribed in Rule 27.3.3.2.4.</b></p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b></th> <th><b>No of Residential Lots</b></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><b>150 - 200</b></td> <td><b>4</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>201 - 250</b></td> <td><b>5</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>251 - 300</b></td> <td><b>6</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>301 - 350</b></td> <td><b>8</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>351 - 400</b></td> <td><b>10</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>401 - 500</b></td> <td><b>12</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>501 - 600</b></td> <td><b>14</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>600</b></td> <td><b>+ 15</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><b>27.3.3.2.4 All residential lots are to comply with Rules 27.2.3.3, 27.2.4, 27.2.5, 27.3.1 and 27.3.2 above.</b></p>						<b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b>	<b>No of Residential Lots</b>	<b>150 - 200</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>201 - 250</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>251 - 300</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>301 - 350</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>351 - 400</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>401 - 500</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>501 - 600</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>+ 15</b>
<b>27.3.3.2.4 Subdivision of Lots (hectares)</b>	<b>No of Residential Lots</b>																							
<b>150 - 200</b>	<b>4</b>																							
<b>201 - 250</b>	<b>5</b>																							
<b>251 - 300</b>	<b>6</b>																							
<b>301 - 350</b>	<b>8</b>																							
<b>351 - 400</b>	<b>10</b>																							
<b>401 - 500</b>	<b>12</b>																							
<b>501 - 600</b>	<b>14</b>																							
<b>600</b>	<b>+ 15</b>																							
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	4	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Support																		
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 24.3.1.3																							
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 3	Oppose																		
Decision Requested	The Submitters seek that Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Map 3 be amended to exclude NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 as Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites.																							
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part																		

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.3.10.2(e):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.10.2 - The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive): (e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i></p>					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Standard 4.3.10.4 (strike-through) and add a new standard (bold) to 4.3.6.10 as per the following:</p> <p><del>Standard 4.3.10.4. Clearance of indigenous vegetation within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species:</del></p> <p><del>(e) – coastal broadleaved shrubland;</del></p> <p>New Standard: <b>4.3.10.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: c) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrubland is cleared.</b></p>					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.6.					
<b>502</b>	Karaka Projects Limited	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Submitters seek that NL 12C/224, NL 138/669 and NL37/121 be excluded from the Symonds Hill Coastal Natural Character area, and that the Coastal Natural Character Map 3 be amended accordingly.					
<b>1288</b>	Karaka Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).  Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation  Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.</p>					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.</p>					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>655</b>	Dhaneshkar Karunakaran	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>189</b>	Paul Kemp	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	None provided in submission. <i>(Inferred that the submission relates to the definition of "Meat processing".)</i>					
<b>189</b>	Paul Kemp	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Oppose
Decision Requested	None provided in submission. <i>(Inferred that the submission relates to Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone Rule 3.1.31 as no volume, chapter or rule has been identified in the submission.)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>189</b>	Paul Kemp	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Oppose
Decision Requested	None provided in submission. <i>(Inferred that this part of the submission relates to Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone Rule 3.1.32 as no volume, chapter or rule has been identified in the submission.)</i>					
<b>56</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents' Association	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the Sounds Soldier Memorial at Torea Saddle to Appendix 13.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the analysis and mapping of Natural Character [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the analysis and mapping of Landscapes [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.4 to include and natural character assessment of all Marlborough Sounds coastal areas and include the identification of areas adversely impacted by reversible effects.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to clarify that policy 6.2.7 must be applied under policy 6.2.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Define classification and how it is used for natural character. Amend policy so it applies to all natural character. Amend policy to clarify policy 6.2.7 applies. [ <i>Inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 2 to clearly identify natural elements, patterns and processes for preservation and protection in the coastal environment [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re-word policy to apply to all areas of natural character and to make assessing applicable to all types of natural character [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to make clearer that opportunities for restoration or rehabilitation include declining applications for resource consent renewals.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The following paragraphs should also be added to meet the requirements of NZCPS 7:  <i>"Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the threshold s specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international assessment standards.</i>  <i>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect threshold s then this may occur by re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</i></p> <p>For clarity, we note that there is no basis for differentiating cumulative environmental effect assessments for new activities over those for renewal applications. This is not least because there are various areas where there have been significant historical failures to consider appropriate thresholds of development or cumulative effects when granting existing consents. It should thus be made clear that policy 6.2.7 applies to the re- consenting of activities in the coastal environment as well as to the consenting of new activities in the coastal environment.</p>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Marine farming has significant adverse effects on coastal landscape values and as such marine farming must be included in paragraph (c) as well.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy to include:  The positive identification of areas where coastal marine landscape values are under threat from adverse cumulative effects; and  That for all activities requiring a resource consent in the coastal marine environment, an assessment of cumulative adverse landscape effects be undertaken considering:  (a) the effects of the existing level of activity;  (b) the result of re-consenting or allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and  (c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal marine environment in the locality.</p>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy or amend to make clear that it only has application in situations where there are existing use rights.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include marine farming [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to make it clear that marine sites not specifically identified by the MEP, but which nonetheless meet the criteria for ecologically significant marine sites, are to be treated under the MEP in the same way as if they had been identified as ecologically significant marine sites under Policy 8.1.2.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include the attainment of knowledge [ <i>inferred</i> ],					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include cumulative ecological impact thresholds for regulated activities.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy should thus be amended by adding the following to the end: <i>"and to protecting other areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects. "</i>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	In our view this policy should thus be widened to include: <i>"the facilitation or funding of professional advocates and experts to represent the interests of residents and wider public stakeholder groups in Marlborough Sounds planning and public resource consent matters of significance. "</i>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	As such, paragraph (b) of policy 8.3.2 should be corrected to record: <i>"avoided if significant and avoided , remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."</i>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include:  <i>"alteration to the abundance or composition of natural water column elements including phytoplankton, zooplankton and/or palatable detritus."</i>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new policy.</p> <p>We would submit the following policy as being appropriate (based, for a start, on that as used in Chapter 6):  <i>"In assessing cumulative effects of activities on the marine ecosystem consideration shall be given to:</i>  <i>(a) the effect of allowing more or of re-consenting the same or similar activity;</i>  <i>(b) the result of allowing more or re-consenting a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</i>  <i>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal environment in the locality."</i></p> <p>Cumulative effects are relevant in and must be accommodated within all assessments of marine environment ecological effects, including the following policies:  <i>8.1.3 (adequate information on the state of the marine environment); and</i>  <i>8.2.1 (means to assist in the protection and enhancement of areas and habitats with indigenous biodiversity value); and</i>  <i>8.2.3 (priority to protecting significant marine areas from adverse effects and to protecting all areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects) ; and</i>  <i>8.2.9 (maintenance, enhancement and restoration of indigenous ecosystems).</i>  <i>8.3.1 (avoiding significant adverse coastal environment effects)</i>  <i>8.3.2 (significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity to be avoided).</i></p> <p><i>Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international sustainability and biodiversity preservation and enhancement standards.</i></p> <p><i>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by re-consenting activities until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</i></p>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extend the policy to include the protection of wider Sounds benthic environment from being affected through fishing activities [ <i>inferred</i> ].					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to make it clear that it does not apply to marine environment.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that it be made clear that policy 13.3.1 applies to areas identified on the balance of evidence as being outstanding areas or areas of significant value irrespective of whether or not they are yet specifically identified as such in the MEP.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.2.1 (g) to be reworded to refer to: <i>"community perceptions of or expectations about"</i> .					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that paragraph (c) of Policy 13.2.2 is inappropriate policy and should be deleted.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	44	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	As such, we submit that a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows:  <i>"Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines or standards on acceptable levels or degrees of surface area structures within any particular area".</i>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	48	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that Policy 13.3.4 be amended and extended to read: <i>"Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel, and in areas of the Pelorus Sound and Kenepuru Sound with high public use or environmental value."</i>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13C [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	As such, we further submit that the following policies be added to this section: <i>"Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks"</i> And <i>"Recognise the very high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of other activities in the coastal marine area"</i> .					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include extraordinary storm surge events as well as floods.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Support
Decision Requested	As such, we submit that the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2: <i>"avoiding activities and/or locating structures that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation paths "</i>					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13I	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extend Issue 13I to include all enclosed areas of the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extend Issue 13I to include all enclosed areas of the Marlborough Sounds.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>868</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C by inserting the words: " <i>... and in the case of Commercial forestry activities in the Sounds is doing so.</i> " at the end of the current wording.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.3 to clearly reference the need to act in a precautionary way in terms of Commercial forestry operations in the Sounds.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.3.3 to clearly identify commercial forestry operations needing to be subject to the resource consent procedures [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend wording to include a statement that surveys will be both independently prepared and implemented and most importantly those members of the public surveyed have been given in advance adequate information to make informed responses.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of the Coastal Environment Zone [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13A [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify Policy 13.1.1 by inserting in line 3 after the words "... in areas with..." the phrase ", or in proximity to,...".					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.5 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain water resource quality [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit the narrative re Issue 15F needs to specifically refer, in this context, to Commercial forestry operations in the CEZ.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the last sentence of Objective 15.4 to specifically refer to limiting soil erosion as well as maintaining and enhancing soil quality.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that the list of named areas in Policy 15.4.5 be extended to cover the hill and high country of the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise and address from a policy perspective the real issues a significant increase in heavy logging truck traffic as a consequence of a significant uplift Commercial forestry harvesting activities is and will continue to have on the fragile road network in the CEZ eg Kenepuru Road.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is submitted that the MEP needs to more clearly identify the issue and develop the appropriate policy responses for the CEZ in Issue 17D.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Issue to recognise the impacts of commercial forestry harvesting on the Sounds road network [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	28	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This change could be simply achieved by amending Rule 4.1.6 to read " <i>Commercial Forestry replanting more than 300 meters from the Coastal Marine Area</i> " and we submit accordingly.					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	29	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>If our submission as to a 300m trigger zone is not followed, then we submit the no planting setback be extended to 50 meters (more than one tree length) rather than the current proposed 30 metres.</p> <p>Amend 4.3.6 to make having a Establishment Plan through a Registered Forestry Consultant a requirement.</p> <p>Insert a standard requiring that replanting be carried out within 12 months of harvesting.</p>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	30	Volume 3	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Appendix detailing a mandatory establishment plan for Commercial forestry replanting [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	31	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Develop standards for Discretionary Commercial forestry activities in the CEZ.</p> <p>That Council develops strategic expertise in coupe harvesting analysis and tactical implementation.</p>					
869	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Develop standards for Discretionary Commercial forestry activities in the CEZ. As a minimum the requirements of Chapter 4.3.8 of Volume 2 should be repeated as part of the applicable standard for Discretionary Commercial forestry harvesting activities in the CEZ.					
	That Council develops strategic expertise in coupe harvesting analysis and tactical implementation.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	34	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 22 to be clearly stated as a standard for Discretionary Commercial forestry harvesting activities and one that a Registered Forestry Consultant prepares.					
	Amend Appendix 22 with more focus on the applicant identifying key erosion prone areas such as gully heads and a clear requirement as to how they will then be protected.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to make harvesting of commercial forestry within 50 metres of the CMA a prohibited activity.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.1 as follows (strike through):					
	<i>4.7.1 Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, <del>that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry.</del> [Inferred].</i>					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.2 as follows (strike through):					
	<i>4.7.2 The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, <del>which has not been lawfully established.</del></i>					
	[Inferred].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	38	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We reserve our position to make oral submissions on this aspect.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert an express policy to review and improve waste disposal and recycling opportunities for residents and visitors in the Sounds and other more remote areas.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a clear Policy in Chapter 19 requiring Council to review existing Council infrastructure such as roads in the context of this issue and report as to what measures/ steps need to be taken to either protect it and/or replace it [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the charge to coastal permit holders of moorings, jetties and boat sheds [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	44	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Extend the list of identified plant species in Appendix 25 of Volume 3 of the MEP to include Old Mans Beard ( <i>Clematis vestalba</i> ), Banana Passionfruit ( <i>Passiflova</i> sps) and Gorse ( <i>Ulex europeans</i> ).					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 13.4.2 needs to carefully consider the well known adage of " <i>reinforce success</i> ".					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.12 needs to carefully consider the well known adage of " <i>reinforce success</i> ".					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain issues, objectives and policies of Chapter 10 Volume 1.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	48	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that the 90 year old plus grove of 4 historic Norfolk Pines in the Portage public carpark are designated as notable trees and marked as such in the MEP.					
<b>869</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.AER.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that the surveys should be carried out at 7 year intervals not 10.  We also submit that the wording concerning the ambit of the survey needs to be expanded to make it clear the survey should not only identify the condition of notable trees but also be required to identify any remedial action arising from such survey.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>870</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a Freedom Camping policy [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>870</b>	Kenepuru and Central Sounds Residents Association Incorporated	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Improve the ease of use for Chapter 25- Definitions [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>37</b>	David Kennett	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That clause is changed to reduce distance to 500 metres but adds a depth restriction of 20 metres (up from current 5 metres).					
<b>362</b>	Stuart Robert Kennington	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.					
<b>362</b>	Stuart Robert Kennington	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape.					
<b>362</b>	Stuart Robert Kennington	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the amenity and rural character values of the Omaka Valley.					
<b>362</b>	Stuart Robert Kennington	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I want the Council to retain these policies in the Marlborough Environment Plan in an effort to preserve the amenity and rural character values of the Omaka Valley.					
<b>363</b>	Angela Marion Kennington	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>363</b>	Angela Marion Kennington	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					
<b>363</b>	Angela Marion Kennington	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					
<b>363</b>	Angela Marion Kennington	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That they retain these policies and ensure they are carried out.					
<b>122</b>	Gavin Kerr	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	No immediate decision required					
<b>1123</b>	Shayne Kerr	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>811</b>	Jo Kerry	1	All	All		Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Proposed Plan be amended to include the following policies:</p> <p>a. To adopt a precautionary approach to the management of GMOs by prohibiting the field-testing or release of a GMO.</p> <p>b. To adopt a resource management framework for the management of GMOs that is District specific taking into account environmental, economic and social well-being considerations.</p> <p>c. To review the Proposed Plan provisions relating to GMOs, particularly if there is new information that becomes available on the benefits/adverse effects of a GMO activity.</p> <p>d. To make any applications for the release or introduction of GMOs into the Marlborough region to be publicly notified.</p> <p>I would like to see the Council take a similar approach on creating a policy on the subject of GMOs - as Northland - using their work in this area for guidance would be a good place to start.</p> <p>Web links to media releases are included to support the submission.</p>					
<b>811</b>	Jo Kerry	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That:</p> <p>The MEP includes new policy to safeguard our water resource from being drawn and exported out of the region.</p> <p>Industry is charge more for water.</p> <p>More controls around water than presently exist, growth and land development should not be at the expense of the viability of our long-term water security.</p> <p>There is greater diversification in land use.</p> <p>The plan is amended to ensure the water rights of individual are given priority over industry.</p> <p>The plan is amended to allow for the residents of Marlborough to override any decision regarding fluoride that the Nelson Marlborough DHB might make regarding fluoride.</p>					
<b>871</b>	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We ask that the existing cut-offs be maintained.</p>					
<b>871</b>	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended to refer to irrigation water only.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>871</b>	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be added stating that resource consent to abstract and use irrigation water includes all reasonable associated machinery and land uses (that is, associated non-irrigation purposes).					
<b>871</b>	Kerseley Vineyard Trust	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There should be an enabling policy direction for such circumstances.  In addition, there should be rights for use up to the maximum summer daily rate, at shoulder periods (spring and autumn) even where there is not the normal summer demand, but nevertheless seasonal irrigation is desirable post-harvest or otherwise.					
<b>95</b>	John Kershaw	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
<b>95</b>	John Kershaw	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
<b>95</b>	John Kershaw	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
<b>95</b>	John Kershaw	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
<b>95</b>	John Kershaw	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
<b>95</b>	John Kershaw	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
<b>619</b>	Alex Khadzhi	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	Include the transportation of trees from the land and the processing of timber on site within the definition of "commercial forestry harvesting".					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the rules and standards that apply to commercial forestry and commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the application of the policy or remove the policy (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standards relating to fire risks and preventative measures need to be included.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the standard.					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify whether the standards apply to commercial forestry harvesting (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Apply this standard to all land use activities in the Rural Environment Zone (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to enable the use of existing tracks and roads within 8 m of a significant wetland or the coastal marine area (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce a permitted activity standard enabling the deposition of trees, slash and debris in intermittently flowing or ephemeral rivers (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard (inferred).					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the standard or provide more certainty over the term "proximity" (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make it explicit that standard (a) includes earthworks (inferred). Delete standard (c) (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Exclude earthworks and other operations from the requirement for a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Review the need for or review standards f, g, h and j (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Douglas Fir and European Larch for the standards (inferred).					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide more certainty in the standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	25	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include general forestry activities, e.g. chainsaw use, within standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include general forestry activities, e.g. chainsaw use, within standard (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include the transportation of trees from the land and the processing of timber on site within the definition of "commercial forestry harvesting" (inferred).					
<b>167</b>	Killearnan Limited	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make provision for the use of temporary or permanent bridges or the use of fords.					
<b>296</b>	Kilravock Trust - Vineyards	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reconsider minimum flow levels that are set outside of subject aquifers, more information and data required.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
296	Kilravock Trust - Vineyards	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Consider a wider solution to water allocation by investigating community storage that will keep aquifer's at levels that promote a wide range of land use.					
296	Kilravock Trust - Vineyards	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re visit Irricalc, more science based approach and make the model more adaptable.					
483	Colin and Lynette King	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include in the wording (bold) for Standard 3.3.31.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.31.2. Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit <b>or such biodegradable materials that from time to time is brought onto to the properties for farming purposes.</b></i></p>					
483	Colin and Lynette King	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.32					
483	Colin and Lynette King	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33					
656	David King	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
656	David King	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
656	David King	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>656</b>	David King	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>656</b>	David King	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>656</b>	David King	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>656</b>	David King	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>656</b>	David King	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>656</b>	David King	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>656</b>	David King	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8043 and 8188; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8260, 8544, 8338 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8130 and 8148; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8260; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8260, 8544, 8338, 8043, 8130, 8148, 8188 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8043 and 8188; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8260, 8544, 8338, 8188 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8130; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8260, 8130 and 8148; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1098</b>	Sandra Ann King	10	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8204, 8573, 8260, 8544, 8338, 8043, 8130, 8148, 8188 and 8363; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1226</b>	William Kingi	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>51</b>	Kerry Kirk	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 meters to seaward of MHWS and 500 meters of a marine farm.					
<b>51</b>	Kerry Kirk	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 meters to seaward of MHWS and 500 meters of a marine farm.					
<b>268</b>	Chris Kirk	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North at well P28w/4331 but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl. Modify the aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North at well P28w/4349 from 0.25mamsl to 0.5-0.6mamsl.</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer South at well P28w/4331 but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl. Modify the aquifer minimum for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North at well P28w/3668 and/or P28w/3711 from 0.25mamsl to 0.5-0.6mamsl .</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the Wairau Aquifer South Coastal but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl.</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the Wairau Aquifer Central Coastal but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl.</p> <p>Retain a proposed aquifer minimums for the Wairau Aquifer North Coastal but would prefer to see this raised to 1.50mamsl.</p> <p>These changes take into account climate change/global warming and will help to 'future-proof' these vital water supply.</p>					
<b>268</b>	Chris Kirk	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed conductivity levels for water takes, but also add a conductivity level for the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer North (inferred).					
<b>291</b>	Chris Kirk	1	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Change the Appendices to reflect that there ARE effects from Commercial inshore fishing. Begin dialogue with the commercial operators and request 'Code of Practice' documentation and supporting scientific studies to support the MEP 'High Remote Values' status.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	2	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 6.2.8 – Require <u>new</u> land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 7.2.1 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring <u>new</u> activities and <u>new</u> structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert New Policy as follows: <i>Policy 8.3.x – Where activities associated with regionally significant infrastructure are proposed to take place in an area, habitat or ecosystem with significant indigenous biodiversity values, any adverse effects on the values of that area, habitat or ecosystem shall be remedied or mitigated, in order to retain the overall qualities and integrity of the area, habitat or ecosystem.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as necessary to classify provisions within Chapter 8 in relation to RPS, C, R and/or D.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	25	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	26	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	27	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	28	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	29	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	30	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	31	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	32	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	33	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	34	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	35	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	36	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	37	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	38	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	39	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Objective 12.7 – Reverse sensitivity effects on <del>adjoining residential zones from existing activities within business and industrial zones are avoided.</del></i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	40	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	41	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 13.2.3 – To enable periodic reassessment of whether activities and developments are affecting the values of the coastal marine area, to encourage efficient use of a finite resource and in consideration of the dynamic nature of the coastal environment: (a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and (b) the duration of coastal permits granted for <u>occupation</u> activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	42	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	43	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.2.6 – In determining the extent to which coastal amenity values will be affected by any particular subdivision, use and/or development, the following shall <del>be considered</del> form part of that determination:</i></p> <p><i>(a) individual and communities values about the area subject to application;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the amenity related attributes of the area; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) in regard to the changing nature of the coastal environment, the extent to which amenity values would be so affected by the proposed subdivision, use or development that those values could no longer be maintained or enhanced.</i></p> <p><i>(d) whether the activity is associated with regionally significant infrastructure</i></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	44	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	45	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15 – Reduce the visual impact of jetties on the coastal environment by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) limiting the width of jetties <u>located outside the Port Zone</u> to two metres; ...</i></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	47	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	48	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain policy as notified. Amend Plan Commentary in the event that submission point 156 is accepted.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	61	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	62	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	63	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	64	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	65	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance <u>or replacement</u> work for any regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 15.4.4 – In considering any land use consent application to undertake land disturbance, regard shall be had to: ... (g) whether the land disturbance is necessary for the operation or maintenance <u>or replacement</u> of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	70	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	71	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	72	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	73	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Policy 17.4.1 – Manage the density, scale and location of subdivision and/or activities to maintain the planned function of the <u>existing land transport network</u>.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	74	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	75	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.5.6 – Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the <del>road</del> land transport network by ensuring:</i></p> <p><i>(a) buildings, vegetation and activities do not reduce clear sight lines for trains and road vehicles at level rail crossings or for vehicles at road intersections;</i></p> <p><i>(b) vegetation planted on land alongside rural roads is set back so that roads are not shaded and subjected to icing in winter;</i></p> <p><i>(c) adequate formal crossing facilities are provided where high levels of pedestrian activity are generated from an activity located adjacent to an arterial road or in a Business or Industrial zone;</i></p> <p><i>(d) activities do not create distractions for any road or rail users, including from glare, inappropriate lighting, smoke, discharges or other distractions; ...</i></p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	76	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.6.2 – The development, <u>and</u> maintenance <del>and use</del> of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating:</i></p> <p><i>(a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</i></p> <p><i>(b) effects on places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i></p> <p><i>(c) loss of visual amenity in modifying the landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(d) loss of natural character in the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins;</i></p> <p><i>(e) destruction of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i></p> <p><i>(f) effects of severing communities and/or losing links between parts of settlements; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) adverse effects on local amenities, including from noise and vibration.</i></p>					
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	77	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><del>New Zealand Railways Corporation</del> <u>KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</u> will be treated as an affected party in respect of any resource consent application for land use activities or subdivisions of land adjacent to the rail line.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	78	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide certainty as to provisions applying to unzoned land, or clarify zoning of Rail Corridor.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	79	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>2.2.11. Take and use of water for road, rail or river control construction works up to 50m3 per day per construction site.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	80	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	81	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	82	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	83	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	84	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>2.3.10. Take and use of water for road, rail or river control construction works up to 50m3 per day per construction site.</i> <i>2.3.10.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemeral flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</i> <i>2.3.10.2. The take must not occur on more than 90 days within any 12 month period.</i> <i>2.3.10.3. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i> <i>2.3.10.4. Road or river control construction works must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	85	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new rule as follows: <u>[R] 2.2.x Minor damming and diversions of water associated with the maintenance or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure</u>  <u>2.3.x Minor damming and diversions of water associated with the maintenance or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure</u> <u>(1) fish passage shall not be impeded as a result of the activity;</u> <u>(2) any activity in the water shall be kept to a minimum to avoid, as much as practicable, discolouration to the river or lake. Where any sediment release occurs, it will be only temporary;</u> <u>(3) any bed disturbance shall be kept to the minimum necessary to undertake the activity and shall be returned as near as practicable to its original channel shape, area, depth, or gradient on completion of the activity (with the exception of revegetation);</u> <u>(4) no fuel storage or machinery refuelling shall occur on any area of the bed;</u> <u>(5) no contaminants, other than sediment released from the bed, shall be discharged to water during the activity unless allowed by a relevant permitted activity rule or resource consent;</u> <u>(6) before any equipment, machinery, or operating plant is moved to a new activity site it shall be effectively cleaned to prevent the spread of "pests" or "unwanted organisms" as defined by the Biosecurity Act 1993;</u> <u>(7) all equipment, machinery, operating plant and debris associated with the bed disturbance activity shall be removed from the site on completion of the activity; and</u> <u>(8) from the beginning of November until the end of May, there shall be no disturbance of the tidal river habitat up to the spring tide level.</u> <u>(9) the diversion is carried out completely within a river or lake bed (i.e. no water is diverted outside of the river or lake bed); and</u> <u>(10) the water is returned to its original course after completion of the activity, no later than one month after the damming and diversion occurs.</u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	86	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	87	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	88	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	89	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	90	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	93	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	94	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	96	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>2.13.1. General.</i> <i>2.13.1.1. No refuelling or fuel storage or the storage or placement of any hazardous substance including but not limited to oil, hydraulic fluid or other fluid lubricants must take place within 20m of water.</i> <i>2.13.1.2. The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</i> <i>2.13.1.3. The activity must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</i> <u><i>2.13.1.4. The activity must not be within 20m of regionally significant infrastructure.</i></u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	97	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>These activities apply <u>only</u> to roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps. <u>Zone provisions for discharges to air do not apply to roads and railway corridors.</u></i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	98	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert as follows: <i>2.21.2. Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within an internal combustion engine (i.e. internal combustion)</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	99	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	100	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	101	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	102	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	103	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>2.32.4.22. Where a new vehicle crossing is to be formed to a national route (as identified in Appendix 17) and the access crosses a railway and there is less than 25m separation between the national route and the railway the sight distance must be measured from a point:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at a height of 1.15m above the proposed surface level of the access;</i></p> <p><i>(b) on the access;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 5m back from the side of the railway furthest from the national route.</i></p> <p><u><i>2.32.4.22A No access shall require a new railway level crossing to be formed.</i></u></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	115	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include rail and rail related activities and assets as a network utility provided for under this Chapter.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living; ...</i> <u><i>(k) 10m of the rail corridor</i></u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living; ...</i> <u><i>(g) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	123	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	124	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	125	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>4.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, unmodified shipping container or an off-river dam (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities). ...</i> <u><i>4.2.1.17 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	126	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i> <i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) 30 metres of the coastal marine area.</i> <u><i>(d) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	127	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>4.3.7.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) 30m of a formed and sealed public road; ....</i> <u><i>(f) 10m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	128	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	129	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	130	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>5.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities). ...</i> <u><i>5.2.1.21 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	131	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>7.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities)....</i> <u><i>7.2.1.12 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i></u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	132	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	133	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	134	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>9.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <i>9.2.1.16 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	135	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	136	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	137	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>10.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <i>10.2.1.11 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	138	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	139	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	140	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>12.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure except a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container (unless any Standards listed below are specified as Standards for those activities).</i> <i>12.2.1.11 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	141	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	142	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	143	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	144	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	145	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	146	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	147	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	148	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	149	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.15.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	150	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	151	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	152	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	153	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	154	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	155	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	156	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	157	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.37.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to provide clarity as to application to moving vehicles.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	158	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	159	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	160	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as proposed:</p> <p><i>13.3.6. Maintenance, repair or replacement of a building or structure in the coastal marine area <u>except as provided for under 13.2.1.</u></i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.1. In the case of replacement of a building or structure, the original building or structure must have been lawfully established.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.2. There must be no increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.3. The effects of the activity occurring in or on the replacement building or structure must be the same intensity and scale as those occurring in or on the building or structure prior to its replacement.</i></p> <p><i>13.3.6.4. There must be no change in the location of the building or structure.</i></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	161	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	162	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>16.3.1. Use of surface coastal water by a ship in the National Transportation Route and Queen Charlotte Sound.</i></p> <p><i>16.3.1.1. A high speed ship must not exceed a ship speed of 15 knots, <u>with the exception of the MV Aratere which must not exceed a ship speed of 19 knots.</u></i></p> <p><i>16.3.1.2. A ship exceeding 500 gross registered tonnes must not exceed a ship speed of 15 knots.</i></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	163	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p><i>16.4.1. Use of surface water by a high speed ship or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots in the National Transportation Route and Queen Charlotte Sound for the purposes of undertaking measurements of Wave Energy, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i></p> <p><i>16.4.2. Use of surface water within the National Transportation Route and Queen Charlotte Sound by a high speed ship, or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i></p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	164	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.4.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>16.4.1. Use of surface water by a high speed ship or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots in the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> for the purposes of undertaking measurements of Wave Energy, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i>  <i>16.4.2. Use of surface water within the National Transportation Route <u>and Queen Charlotte Sound</u> by a high speed ship, or a ship that exceeds 500 gross registered tonnes, which is travelling at a ship speed exceeding 15 knots, including any associated disturbance of the foreshore or seabed. ...</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	165	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	166	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	167	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>17.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <i><u>17.2.1.7 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</u></i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	168	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	169	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	170	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>19.2.1. Construction and siting of a building or structure. ...</i> <i><u>19.2.1.10 A building or structure must not be within 5m of the rail corridor.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	171	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>24.3.1.4. The land being subdivided must not have direct access to or from a State Highway <u>or via a level crossing.</u></i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	172	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	173	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	174	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	175	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	176	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	177	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Has the same meaning as network utility operator in Section <u>1662</u> of the Act.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	178	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: <i>Has the same meaning as in Section <u>1662</u> of the Act.</i>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	179	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type															
Decision Requested	Retain as notified																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	180	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support															
Decision Requested	Retain as notified																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	181	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support															
Decision Requested	Retain as notified																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	182	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part															
Decision Requested	Rationalise four definitions of "Site" to provide clarity.																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	183	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support															
Decision Requested	Retain as notified (inferred)																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	184	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support															
Decision Requested	Retain as notified																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	185	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support															
Decision Requested	Retain as notified																				
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	186	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part															
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new provisions as follows:</p> <p><i>X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:</i></p> <p><i>New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.</i></p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><i>Receiving Environment</i></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>(New, relocated or altered)</i></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Residential – Bedrooms</i></td> <td><i>Laeq, 1 hour</i></td> <td><i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i></td> <td><i>35 dB</i></td> <td><i>100m</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><i>40 dB</i></td> <td><i>100m</i></td> </tr> </table>						<i>Receiving Environment</i>			<i>(New, relocated or altered)</i>			<i>Residential – Bedrooms</i>	<i>Laeq, 1 hour</i>	<i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i>	<i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i>	<i>35 dB</i>	<i>100m</i>		<i>40 dB</i>	<i>100m</i>
<i>Receiving Environment</i>																					
<i>(New, relocated or altered)</i>																					
<i>Residential – Bedrooms</i>	<i>Laeq, 1 hour</i>	<i>Compliance Distance (no less than)</i>																			
<i>Residential – Habitable Spaces</i>	<i>35 dB</i>	<i>100m</i>																			
	<i>40 dB</i>	<i>100m</i>																			

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels

of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005

Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity,

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2.:

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
873	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	187	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part

Decision Requested

Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Residential – Habitable Spaces

Teaching spaces

All other sensitive activity

Laeq, 1 hour

35 dB

40 dB

40 dB

Compliance Distance (no less than)

100m

100m

100m

building spaces e.g.:

• Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces

• Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or

ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and

iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and

iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and

v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)  
0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity,

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	188	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.	Support in Part
------------	---------------------------	-----	----------	----------------------------------	------	-----------------

Decision Requested

Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)



Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	189	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support in Part
------------	---------------------------	-----	----------	----------------------------	------	-----------------

Decision Requested Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

Residential – Bedrooms

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005

Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion: Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2.:

<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	190	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new provisions as follows:					

Decision Requested

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

L<sub>aeq</sub>, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005. Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment  
(New, relocated or altered)  
0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity,

Vw,95Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:

<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	191	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Support in Part
------------	---------------------------	-----	----------	-------------------	------	-----------------

Decision Requested

Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

L<sub>aeq</sub>, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB L<sub>aeq</sub>(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or

- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)  
0.3 mm/s

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw,95Sensitive activities/ buildings

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	192	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Support in Part
------------	---------------------------	-----	----------	--------------------	-------	-----------------

Decision Requested  
Insert new provisions as follows:

X Sensitive Activities within 100m of a Rail Network – Airborne Noise:

New, relocated and altered sensitive activities shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure the following internal design noise limits shall not be exceeded, and shall take into account future use of the rail corridor, by the addition of 3dB to existing measured or calculated sound levels.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Residential – Bedrooms

Laeq, 1 hour

Compliance Distance (no less than)

35 dB

100m

Residential – Habitable Spaces

40 dB

100m

Teaching spaces

40 dB

100m

All other sensitive activity

building spaces e.g.:

- Hospital and Dementia Care Spaces
- Commercial Spaces

To comply with

satisfactory sound

levels AS/NZS

2107:2000

(nearest specified equivalent)

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Where it is necessary to have windows closed to achieve the acoustic design requirements, an alternative ventilation system shall be provided.

A ventilation system installed shall comply with the following:

- i) Consist of an air conditioning unit(s) provided that the noise level generated by the unit(s) must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; or
- ii) A system capable of providing at least 15 air changes per hour (ACH) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and at least 5 air changes per hour (ACH) in all other habitable rooms; and
- iii) The noise level generated by the system must not exceed 40dB Laeq(30s) in the largest habitable room (excluding bedrooms) and 35dB Laeq(30s) in all other habitable rooms, when measured 1 metre away from any grille or diffuser; and
- iv) The internal air pressure must be no more than 10 Pa above ambient air pressure due to the mechanical ventilation; and
- v) Where a high air flow rate setting is provided, the system shall be controllable by the occupants to be able to alter the ventilation rate with at least three equal progressive stages up to the high setting.

Y Sensitive Activities within 60m of a Rail Network – Ground-borne Noise: Annoyance

New, relocated, or altered sensitive activities/buildings within 60 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the following levels of vibration from trains shall not be exceeded based on the procedures specified in the Norwegian Standard NS 8176E: 2nd edition September 2005 Vibration and Shock Measurement of Vibration in Buildings from Land Based Transport and Guidance to Evaluation of its Effects on Human Beings.

Receiving Environment

(New, relocated or altered)

Class C criterion:Maximum Weighted Velocity.

Vw, 95 Sensitive activities/ buildings

0.3 mm/s

(Refer to hard copy submission for table format of the above)

Z Sensitive Activities within 20m of a Rail Network – Ground borne Vibration: Building effects

All buildings within 20 metres of the rail corridor shall be designed and constructed to ensure the level of vibration from trains shall not exceed the criteria set out in the British Standard BS 7385-2:.

<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	193	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	National Transportation Route	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include Outer Queen Charlotte Sound					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	194	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 218	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>At Wharenui Beach Road and to the north of that road, there is an area of rail corridor that while designated, carries the underlying zonings of Open Space 3 and Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	195	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 234	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>At Wharenui Beach Road and to the north of that road, there is an area of rail corridor that while designated, carries the underlying zonings of Open Space 3 and Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	196	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 83	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The Spring Creek Depot has an underlying zoning of Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	197	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 149	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The Spring Creek Depot has an underlying zoning of Rural.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	198	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 31	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>North of the Elevation in Picton, a section of the corridor carries Rural as the underlying zoning.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	199	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 138	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>North of the Elevation in Picton, a section of the corridor carries Rural as the underlying zoning.</p> <p>The underlying zoning at these sites is requested to be changed to be consistent with the remainder of the rail corridor – e.g. unzoned.</p>					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	200	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 37	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	201	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 138	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	202	Volume 3	Appendix 12 Determination of Wave Energy		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>873</b>	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	203	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend as follows"					
	<del>New Zealand Railways Corporation KiwiRail Holdings Ltd</del>					
	ID No. K1	Map No. Numerous	Site Description Picton Terminal and Main North <u>Line</u> Railway	Legal Description Railway Land	Designation Railway Purposes	
	Explanation: Railway Purposes : The operation, enhancement and maintenance of the railway network through the Marlborough District to support rail passenger services and freight logistics. The rail corridor consists of the Main North Line. New Zealand					
<b>847</b>	KJB Marine Farms Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>847</b>	KJB Marine Farms Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>847</b>	KJB Marine Farms Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>847</b>	KJB Marine Farms Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8201 in Camel Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1269</b>	KMS Mining Limited	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new permitted activity:  <u>2.7.0. Small-scale suction dredging where engines are no more than 7 kilowatts power.</u>					
<b>1269</b>	KMS Mining Limited	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add new standards to support new permitted activity: <b><u>2.9.0. Small-scale suction dredging where engines are no more than 7 kilowatts power.</u></b> <u>2.9.0.1 (a) The internal diameter of the nozzle does not exceed 150mm; and</u> <u>(b) The mining activity is not carried out within 20 metres of any structure which has foundations in the river bed, or any ford or pipeline; and</u> <u>(c) The activity does not cause any flooding or erosion; and</u> <u>(d) No refuelling is carried out while the dredge is within the wet bed of the river; and</u> <u>(e) The area dredged lies within the wet bed of the river, and no material is removed from within or under the banks of the river; and</u> <u>(f) No suction dredge is operated within 50 metres of another dredge; and</u> <u>(g) No explosives or earthmoving machinery apart from the dredge is used to move material in the river bed; and</u> <u>(h) Any rocks moved to allow suction dredging to occur are returned as close as possible to the site from which they were removed; and</u> <u>(i) There is no conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of the water body beyond a distance of 100 metres downstream of the point of discharge; and</u> <u>(j) No lawful take of water is adversely affected as a result of the bed disturbance; and</u> <u>(k) No dredging is to take place between the dates 1st May and 30th September to protect fish spawning; and</u> <u>(l) Dredging is only to be carried out between the times of 7.00am and 7.00pm on any day with noise levels not exceeding 85dBL.</u>					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>812</b>	Jungmin Ko	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>412</b>	Kotare Vineyard	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	In the absence of clarity, delete Marine Mammal (Dolphin) Map 18.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed mapping in respect of: - Inner Admiralty Bay; and  - Port Ligar;  AND  Remove the natural character overlay from Horseshoe Bay and Beatrix Bay;  OR  The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed mapping in respect of: - Inner Admiralty Bay; and  - Port Ligar;  AND  Remove the natural character overlay from Horseshoe Bay and Beatrix Bay;  OR  The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the natural character mapping as proposed in respect of Anakoha Bay, save for reducing the extent of the high natural character overlay on the northeastern headland;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the natural character overlay from Orchard Bay and Beatrix Bay;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the natural character mapping as proposed for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound; and</li> <li>- The eastern side of Crail Bay.</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the overlay mapping by removing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the high natural character overlay in Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- The very high and outstanding natural character in Fairy Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in South East Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay on the point between Hopai Bay and Grant Bay; and</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in Kaiuma Bay.</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	8	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the natural character mapping as proposed for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound; and</li> <li>- The eastern side of Crail Bay.</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the overlay mapping by removing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the high natural character overlay in Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- The very high and outstanding natural character in Fairy Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in South East Bay;</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay on the point between Hopai Bay and Grant Bay; and</li> <li>- The high natural character overlay in Kaiuma Bay.</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the natural character mapping as proposed in Waitaria Bay and Fish Bay.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed for inner Admiralty Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from: - The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and - Port Ligar. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed for inner Admiralty Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from: - The southeastern entrance to French Pass; and - Port Ligar. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay from: - Orchard Bay; and - The northeastern headland of Anakoha Bay.  OR  The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay from: - Orchard Bay; and - The northeastern headland of Anakoha Bay.  OR  The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the ONL mapping as proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In Beatrix Bay;</li> <li>- At Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound;</li> <li>- In South East Bay; and</li> <li>- In Crail Bay;</li> </ul> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove ONL overlay from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Horseshoe Bay;</li> <li>- Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- Grant Bay;</li> <li>- Fairy Bay; and</li> <li>- Kaiuma Bay;</li> </ul> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 1, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.</p>					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	15	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In Beatrix Bay;</li> <li>- At Rams Head, Tawhitinui Reach, Middle Pelorus Sound;</li> <li>- In South East Bay; and</li> <li>- In Crail Bay;</li> </ul> AND Remove ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Horseshoe Bay;</li> <li>- Kauauroa Bay;</li> <li>- Grant Bay;</li> <li>- Fairy Bay; and</li> <li>- Kaiuma Bay;</li> </ul> OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 1, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping proposed in Beatrix Bay, Waitaria Bay and Fish Bay; AND Reduce the extent of the ONL overlay at the northeastern headland of Anakoha Bay (as above).					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that existing marine farms do not adversely affect Piripaua Reef.					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that existing marine farms do not adversely affect: - The reef extending from the headland in Grants Bay, or Blue maomao (site 3.15); - The horse mussel beds in Crail Bay (site 3.16).					
<b>874</b>	KPF Investments Limited and United Fisheries Limited	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MEP should be amended, so that a strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of the mapped area in Admiralty Bay, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies at Chapter 8, as set out in the MFA submission.					
<b>190</b>	Kurt Flowerday Family Trust	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like to see our property be included in the Urban Residential 3 Zone.					
<b>1061</b>	Kurt Flowerday Family Trust	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That 6 Blicks Road (Property Number 138093 Lot 2 DP 613) is rezoned from Rural Environment Zone to Urban Residential 3 Zone.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1117</b>	Steven Guy La Plante	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>1117</b>	Steven Guy La Plante	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>379</b>	LA Smith and BJ Green Partnership	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>379</b>	LA Smith and BJ Green Partnership	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.12 (b) as follows (bold and strike out) - " <del>limited</del> <b>allow</b> building on ridgelines".					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>753</b>	Hope Lagden	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>107</b>	Peter Lamb	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
<b>107</b>	Peter Lamb	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all Provisions in Full					
<b>107</b>	Peter Lamb	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
<b>107</b>	Peter Lamb	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.21.1:  <i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river, <b>excluding intermittent rivers</b>, of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.1:  <i><del>Standard 3.3.28.1 The discharge must not occur into or onto a Soil Sensitive Area.</del></i>					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.3:  <i>Standard 3.3.28.3 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, <del>and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</del></i>  The submission states "The decision we seek from Council is to change Rule 3.3.28.3. to exclude the upper limit but subject to performance standards." The submission does not include what performance standards that Standard 3.3.28.3 would be subject to.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.1.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.6.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.7.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.					
<b>904</b>	Land Vision Limited	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.10.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
904	Land Vision Limited	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.11.					
904	Land Vision Limited	14	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following definition of <i>Impeded Drainage</i> is included is included in the MEP <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><b><i>Impeded Drainage means soils that have either i) between the 30cm and 60cm of the soil surface, but not within 15cm of the base of the A horizon, 50% or more low chroma mottles on cut faces or ped faces or ii) that have within 15cm of the base of the A horizon or within 30 cm of the mineral soil surface, 2% or more redox segregations or &lt;50% low chroma colours on cut faces or ped faces.</i></b></p>					
904	Land Vision Limited	15	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of <i>High rate discharge system</i> <b>(inferred)</b> .					
904	Land Vision Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the application limit of 200 kg N/ha/yr is increased (note that no alternative limit is provided in the submission) as a controlled activity provided that the landowner can prove:</p> <p>(i) it is required for crop growth,</p> <p>(ii) not more than say 100 units are applied at any one time, and</p> <p>(iii) they can demonstrate the losses out the bottom are limited (by use of Overseer or lysimeters) <b>(inferred)</b>.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
904	Land Vision Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.8.2(c) and (f):</p> <p><i>3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake, <b>except for plantings used for stream bank erosion control and riparian plantings;</b></i></p> <p><i>(e) 200m of the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(f) <del>Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested woodlot forest lawfully established.</del></i></p> <p>If (f) cannot be omitted then a better definition of <i>Steep Erosion Prone Land</i> needs to be identified - see submission point 904.18.</p>					
904	Land Vision Limited	18	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>If <i>Standard 3.3.8.2. Planting must not be in, or within: (f) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested woodlot forest lawfully established</i> cannot be omitted, then a better definition of <i>Steep Erosion Prone Land</i> needs to be identified that is not based on a map generated from 1:50,000 scale map. This definition needs to be opened for discussion. The submission does not include amendments to be made to the definition of <i>Steep Erosion-Prone Land</i>.</p>					
904	Land Vision Limited	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.1. On all slopes greater than 20°, cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour <b>a suitable riparian zone with adequate vegetation cover to prevent sediment runoff into the waterways must be in place.</b></i></p>					
904	Land Vision Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.5 (<b>inferred</b>):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.5 On completion of the cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months <del>the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20%</del> <b>the level of vegetation cover is at least equal to the vegetation cover</b> prior to the cultivation taking place.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>294</b>	Landcorp	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the definition of intensively farmed animals to specifically exclude extensively farmed animals that may be mustered at infrequent times of the year and cross rivers as part of the mustering process.					
<b>294</b>	Landcorp	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	support 3.7.4 with the addition of the following words "with the exception of mustering, droving and processing of cattle through yards"					
<b>294</b>	Landcorp	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support 3.7.5 with the addition of the following words "with the exception of mustering, droving and processing of cattle through yards"					
<b>294</b>	Landcorp	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions as proposed with the description widened to wilding conifers					
<b>33</b>	Russell Lane	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
<b>33</b>	Russell Lane	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
<b>815</b>	Jonathan Large	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>815</b>	Jonathan Large	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>815</b>	Jonathan Large	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>815</b>	Jonathan Large	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>489</b>	Larges Rose Nursery	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	No setting of groundwater take restrictions for the Spring Creek Motor Camp ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to require the prioritisation of roading infrastructure to meet the needs of the existing Rarangi residents, particularly relative to evacuations in the event of a tsunami warning. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the minimum level limit for the Rarangi Shallow Freshwater Management Unit to raise the level at which restrictions would apply. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	3	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the allocation limit for the Rarangi Shallow Freshwater Management Unit to reduce the allocation. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.37.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standards under heading 3.3.28, which apply to Rule 3.1.28.					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standards under heading 3.3.26, which apply to Rule 3.1.26.					
<b>357</b>	Trudie Lasham	8	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 79	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the zoning as proposed.					
<b>270</b>	Cameron Lawes	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove coastal occupancy charges for jetties (inferred).					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>658</b>	Dan Lawrence	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>135</b>	Allister Leach	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.2.2 and Rule 15.3.19.1 and focus on education, compliant dry wood sales and replacement with low emission log burners only as required, not with the date of 9 June 2017 as the requirement.					
<b>135</b>	Allister Leach	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.2.2 and Rule 15.3.19.1 and focus on education, compliant dry wood sales and replacement with low emission log burners only as required, not with the date of 9 June 2017 as the requirement.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>734</b>	Gail Learmonth	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1279</b>	William Frank Waterhouse Leckie	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>81</b>	Paul Lee	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>81</b>	Paul Lee	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>560</b>	Brian Lee	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
905	Lee Street Residents	1	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Flood hazard Level 3 is overstated in the residential properties.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We seek to have the hazard level reviewed and reduced to Level 1.</li> <li>2. To create a more appropriate and better boundary between floodway, recreation and residential properties by relocating the floodway stopbank to outside the residential properties. This would then allow the Designated Land boundary to be outside the residential properties.</li> </ol>					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 8.3.7 be rewritten to clarify that commercial potting, long lining, drop lining and set netting do not constitute bottom impacting methods.</p> <p>That all references as to what constitutes 'seabed disturbance' be positively defined so as not to impact on industry operators fishing by potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods, hand harvest or any other methods employed by the fishing industry.</p> <p>Should bottom impacting fishing methods be considered to include prohibition of potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods and hand harvest then I have identified the following sites of significance as identified in the MEP will cause a particular problem for my business and also for the sustainability of some surrounding fisheries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Glasgow Island (Sites of Significance Map 14)</li> <li>b. West Head Site - Tory Channel (Sites of Significance Maps 11 and 15)</li> <li>c. The Brothers and Awash Rock (Sites of Significance Map 11)</li> <li>d. Cape Campbell (Sites of Significance Map 16)</li> <li>e. Marine Mammal whale and Marine Mammal Dolphin (Sites of Significance Maps 17 and 18)</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If any of the areas listed above are prohibited to the use of potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods this will affect our ability to fish these areas and will create areas of closed fishery for the target species. This in turn will move fishing effort into neighboring areas within the fishery, impacting on the sustainable balance of the remaining fishery.</li> <li>• In creating this situation, the Council may well, even if inadvertently, upset the sustainable biodiversity of the species populating the surrounding closed areas. Any change made to the space allocated to a fishery, such as by closing a portion of productive area within that fishery, will impact on the management and performance of that fishery. This is because existing commercial, recreational and customary catch allocations are set on many years of scientific reporting and monitoring taken across the full fishing area.</li> <li>• If the Council's decision is that the rocky outcrops listed in the 129 Sites of Significance should be protected from all trawling and dredging I recommend these Sites be kept open to potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods as these methods do not have an adverse bottom impact effect, nor do they adversely affect biodiversity values. However, the impact of closing many of these areas will have an adverse effect on the sustainability of the remaining fishery and so on biodiversity values of balanced and managed fish populations.</li> </ul>					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 16.7.5 be rewritten to clarify that commercial potting, long lining, drop lining and set netting do not constitute bottom impacting methods.</p> <p>That all references as to what constitutes 'seabed disturbance' be positively defined so as not to impact on industry operators fishing by potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods, hand harvest or any other methods employed by the fishing industry.</p> <p>Should bottom impacting fishing methods be considered to include prohibition of potting, long lining, drop lining, set netting methods and hand harvest then I have identified the following sites of significance as identified in the MEP will cause a particular problem for my business and also for the sustainability of some surrounding fisheries:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Glasgow Island (Sites of Significance Map 14)</li> <li>b. West Head Site - Tory Channel (Sites of Significance Maps 11 and 15)</li> <li>c. The Brothers and Awash Rock (Sites of Significance Map 11)</li> <li>d. Cape Campbell (Sites of Significance Map 16)</li> <li>e. Marine Mammal whale and Marine Mammal Dolphin (Sites of Significance Maps 17 and 18)</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If any of the areas listed above are prohibited to the use of potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods this will affect our ability to fish these areas and will create areas of closed fishery for the target species. This in turn will move fishing effort into neighboring areas within the fishery, impacting on the sustainable balance of the remaining fishery.</li> <li>• In creating this situation, the Council may well, even if inadvertently, upset the sustainable biodiversity of the species populating the surrounding closed areas. Any change made to the space allocated to a fishery, such as by closing a portion of productive area within that fishery, will impact on the management and performance of that fishery. This is because existing commercial, recreational and customary catch allocations are set on many years of scientific reporting and monitoring taken across the full fishing area.</li> <li>• If the Council's decision is that the rocky outcrops listed in the 129 Sites of Significance should be protected from all trawling and dredging I recommend these Sites be kept open to potting, long lining, drop lining or set netting fishing methods as these methods do not have an adverse bottom impact effect, nor do they adversely affect biodiversity values. However, the impact of closing many of these areas will have an adverse effect on the sustainability of the remaining fishery and so on biodiversity values of balanced and managed fish populations.</li> </ul>					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That this issue is rewritten as set out in the submission of 'the fishing industry submitters' to reflect the appropriate roles of the Council in relation to fishing - i.e., to achieve integrated management and to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on fisheries resources.</p> <p>That this clause be extended to be more balanced and to also include a reference to the importance of the commercial fishing industry and commercial fishing exporters to the local economies, employment and communities of Picton, Port Underwood, French Pass, D'Urville Island and Ward. In order to acknowledge the economic contribution of this significant industry and to balance the incorrect messaging perpetuated throughout this clause and document that the importance of fishing is limited to its role as a "recreational pursuit."</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	That Council should also remove itself from a direct advocacy role in this area.					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 13.4.1: <i>Policy 13.4.1 Support and advocate for intensive management of recreational and commercial fishing within the enclosed waters of the Marlborough Sounds.</i>					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.4.2 is amended to make it clear that Council support will only be given to fishery groups that can satisfactorily prove a Marlborough Province led, multi stakeholder mandate focused on achieving integrated management in line with the legal obligations of the Council.  If Council chooses to leave this clause in the MEP as it stands then it should define the definition of sustainability to which it is willing to fund community groups to work towards. If the definition is the same as the Ministry of Primary Industry scientific definition (Bmsy - biomass that can support harvest of the maximum sustainable yield) then the Council does not need to use ratepayer funds to fund community groups to work towards something already funded and managed at Government level.					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.1.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.2.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
906	Legacy Fishing Limited	9	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 11.1.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.8.2 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.5 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	12	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.11.4 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.16.3 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.18.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the impacts of degraded water quality (including water with elevated sediment loadings) on fisheries and fisheries habitat are explicitly considered in relevant policies in Chapter 15 Resource Quality (Water), as recommended by the fishing industry submitters.					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.14 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business.					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.16 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business.					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	18	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.10.19 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. Specific to my business are the wharf at Te Awaiti Bay, Tory Channel which is used as a point of commercial load and unload of fishing equipment and therefore is essential for the continued operation of my fishing business.					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	19	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Map 17 Marine Mammal (Whale) is deleted from the MEP at this time.					
<b>906</b>	Legacy Fishing Limited	20	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Map 18 Marine Mammal (Dolphin) is deleted from the MEP at this time.					
<b>125</b>	Fiona Leov	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions (inferred).					
<b>125</b>	Fiona Leov	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions (inferred).					
<b>126</b>	Mike Leov	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions (inferred).					
<b>126</b>	Mike Leov	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions (inferred).					
<b>320</b>	Graham Leov	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) and for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.					
<b>320</b>	Graham Leov	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) and for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.					
<b>320</b>	Graham Leov	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of River in Chapter 25 be altered to read "River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act, except for the purposes of 2.9.9 (including provisions 2.9.9.1, 2.9.9.2 and 2.9.9.3) and for the purposes of 3.3.21 (including 3.3.21.1, 3.3.21.2 and 3.3.21.3) where river means a river whose bed has an average width of 3 metres or more.					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that supports sustainability and allows primary production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that supports sustainability and allows primary production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend removing the term river from the listed policies and replacing it with Fonterra's definition of an active bed. This is a standard that dairy farmers have been working with for many years. It means animals are excluded from all major rivers and streams but allows farmers to continue to use their land productively. This is a definition that supports sustainability and allows primary production to continue to operate as per Objective 14.1 "Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic well being whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, <del>or passing across</del> <u>an active bed</u> of a <del>river</del> <u>waterway</u>.</p> <p>Definition of <i>active bed</i>:</p> <p>"The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</p> <p>We also recommend extending the period of time allowed for compliance with <b>2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1</b> to <u>9 June 2022</u>. This is to allow farmers to recover from the financial pressure put on their businesses during recent years when the milk price has been below the cost of production. While fencing materials may seem an insignificant cost, the accumulation of these materials plus concrete and culverts for river crossings, the necessary consents for these crossings and the labour required to complete the work will make compliance a significant expense.</p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend the removal of both 2.11.4 and 2.11.5. The appropriate application of policies 2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1 should render them unnecessary for day to day farming practice. Farmers must be able to move their animals without restriction in extenuating circumstances and must be trusted to do so responsibly.</p> <p><del>2.11.4 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del></p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend the removal of both 2.11.4 and 2.11.5. The appropriate application of policies 2.9.9, 3.3.21 and 4.3.20.1 should render them unnecessary for day to day farming practice. Farmers must be able to move their animals without restriction in extenuating circumstances and must be trusted to do so responsibly.</p> <p><del>2.11.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</del></p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend bringing compliance dates for major infrastructure upgrades into line with the proposed compliance date for prohibited activities. That is, <u>9 June 2022</u>. This will allow farmers to work towards all major infrastructure changes required over a period of time that is more likely to be financially sustainable.</p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	7	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We recommend re-writing the definition of Meat Processing to explicitly exclude the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption.</p> <p>means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. It does not include the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption.</p> <p>Alternatively, MDC could explicitly exclude the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption from Heavy Industrial Activity.</p> <p>means activities that process raw materials to finished products; materials that have generally been processed at least once; meat processing (<u>excluding the slaughter of animals on farm for home consumption</u>); heavy fabrication; making and assembling parts that are, in themselves, large and heavy.</p>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We recommend removing policy 3.3.13.1 all together as it poses too big a health and safety risk. <del>On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</del>					
<b>326</b>	Steven and Sarah Leov	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Definition of <i>active bed</i> : "The bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse that is permanently flowing and where the bed is more than a metre wide, permanently un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material." We also recommend the 8m buffer zone be reduced to 5m for cultivation of slopes above 10 degrees. We believe a 5m buffer zone will be sufficient to retain clarity of waterways while allowing more effective use of productive land. <del>On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m 5m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing),</del> and Active Bed, lake or coastal marine area.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u> . We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u> . We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Must not involve intensively farmed <u>adult dairy livestock</u> . We suggest that there needs to be some distinction between ages of cattle recognised, as young stock have a much smaller impact on the environment than aged cows.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	With a minimum of <del>3 months</del> <u>2 months</u> storage. We believe that 60 days would be a far more appropriate timeframe.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (-Larix deciduas)</del> . It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.  Note that the "Name of policy or rule" included in the hard copy submission document for rule 3.3.6.1 is referred to as "Woodlot forestry planting".					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (-Larix deciduas)</del> . It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The following species must not be planted <del>European larch (-Larix deciduas)</del> . It would be better to look at each area and research the history. Have a policy for each area as Marlborough has many differing climatic areas.					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	that are, in themselves, large and heavy. Excludes homekill					
<b>340</b>	B L and C F Leov Bulford	9	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Storage of meat and associated products <u>excluding homekill</u> .					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.3. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.3. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. Rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.1 and introduce other policies where necessary to recognise the status and importance of existing water allocations, and relative importance of permanent crops such as viticulture and horticulture.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:</i></p> <p><i>(a) natural and human use values; then</i></p> <p><i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i></p> <p><i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i></p> <p><i>(d) municipal water supply; <del>and</del> then</i></p> <p><b><i>(e) permanent crops such as viticulture and horticulture; and then</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(ef) all other takes of water.</i></b></p>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.4 to include specific reference to the Riverlands Irrigation Scheme; being by definition a "municipal water supply" and a protected class of water user, in addition to residential, commercial and industrial activities.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the wording of Policy 5.3.6 is updated such that it specifically mentions the allocation of municipal water supply to users on a first come, first serve basis. Create new Policies and Rules if required to implement this policy.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11 to confirm that existing water use allocation is not affected by the proposed allocation model; these should apply only to new applications.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.4. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.4. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
907	Levide Capital Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not provide a clear <i>Decision Requested</i> for Objective 5.7. There are general comments about adding policies and rules, which have been included under Objective 5.7. It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> that these are relevant to this objective.</p> <p>Introduce policies (and rules) to provide for a grandfathering provision to recognise contracts for the full period of the water allocation consent; and which provides for further renewals taking into account commitments and dependence of particular users and industries.</p> <p>Introduce policies/rules/methods relating to water conservation measures and supply integration where feasible to maximise resource use efficiency.</p> <p>Add policies and rules stating that new Industrial subdivisions in water restricted areas (i.e. Riverlands), should be supported and encouraged to supply potable and nonpotable (grey or river water) water connections in order to reduce the use of limited potable water for activities such as watering landscapes, washing down trucks etc.).</p> <p>Add policies and rules which reward sustainable and wise use of Municipal water. rewards could include giving responsible water users priority to Municipal water in the event that there are water restrictions due to availability issues. This type of tangible incentive would encourage businesses to invest in more expensive water conservation practices on their properties.</p> <p>Ensure that in managing the freshwater resource, the Council properly separates its RMA functions from its service delivery functions, and that the allocations of water take and water use is based on RMA principles and appropriate objectives and policies.</p>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support in Part

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.5 to remove the unnecessary confusion and possible ambiguity arising from the separate reference to "municipal" water use in addition to other activities, but with the definition of 'municipal supply' including all of these activities when the supply is administered by Council.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	A review of all objectives policies and rules that may impact future land use and create, amend or delete the objectives, policies and rules such that when viewed holistically the objectives, policies and rules apply restrictions, if any, proportional to the risks to life and property associated with the identified natural hazards.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	14	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.17	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MEP should identify the land that lies over the Dillons Point Formation so that suitable planning rules can be applied to mitigate potential adverse effects of development on this land.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	15	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.1	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following are mapped on the Soil Sensitive Area Overlay:</p> <p>The Dillons Point Formation and any other liquefaction prone soils in Marlborough should be identified and mapped as Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Liquefaction.</p> <p>Soils subject to Slope Failure in a seismic event should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Seismic Slope Failure.</p> <p>Ground shaking potential in a seismic event should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Ground Shaking.</p> <p>Known Faults should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Known Faults.</p> <p>Tsunami risk areas should be identified and mapped in a Soil Sensitive Area Overlay - Tsunami.</p> <p>New policies and rules be written such that any land identified in the Soil Sensitive Areas - Loess, Liquefaction, Seismic Slope Failure, Ground Shaking be suitable for subdivision and development if a comprehensive geotechnical report, carried out by a geotechnical expert accredited by the Council deems the land to be suitable.</p> <p>Policies such as <i>Policy 11.1.19 - Control the erection and placement of structures within areas prone to tunnel gully erosion</i> and <i>Policy 7.1.7.21 - Locate new structures and works to: (a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and (b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause</i>, should be replicated for areas identified in the new overlays created for seismic risk potential.</p>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	16	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That new objectives and policies are included in order to encourage land owners to mitigate the effects of tunnel gully erosion. This should include Council drafting best practice guidelines for the construction of new swales or cutoff drains etc. and have this information disseminated to all property owners Council has identified.</p> <p>That new objectives and policies are included to ensure that the continued operation of existing vineyards as well as the creation of new vineyards remains a permitted activity on loess soil.</p>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	17	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 12.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
907	Levide Capital Limited	18	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.4.1.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	19	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 12.5.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	20	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.5.6, and the explanation to this policy, in particular paragraph 2.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	21	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.8.1.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	22	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.8.2.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	23	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.8.3.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5.					
907	Levide Capital Limited	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the last sentence of the explanation of Policy 14.5.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 14.5.1 – Identify areas within rural environments where residential activity is appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>A number of locations within rural environments have been determined as appropriate for residential activity. These include areas zoned as Rural Living, the Coastal Living Zone (which recognises the need and demand that exists for residential activity in Marlborough's coastal environment), the Urban Residential Zone at Marlborough Ridge and along the western periphery of Blenheim, where there is a transition from urban to rural space. These areas <del>have been recognised historically as providing</del> <b>provide a</b> rural lifestyle on a range of allotment sizes in a range of locations.</i></p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.2.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.5.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.6.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	29	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 12.5.1 so that it also provides for multi-level apartments above businesses.</p> <p>Review and amend all pertinent objectives, policies and rules within Chapter 12 (Urban Environments) so as to encourage rather than discourage multi-level apartment style living in the Blenheim CBD.</p>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	30	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 160	Oppose
Decision Requested	Apply an Industrial 2 zoning to part of the submitter's site adjoining the existing Industrial 2 Zone, as shown in APPENDIX A (attached to submission).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
907	Levide Capital Limited	31	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 172	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>INDUSTRIAL ZONING</p> <p>Apply an Industrial 2 zoning to part of the Submitter's site adjoining the existing Industrial 2 Zone, as shown in APPENDIX A (attached to submission).</p> <p>RURAL LIVING ZONING</p> <p>Apply the Rural Living Zone to part of the Submitter's site as shown in APPENDIX B (attached); or alternatively;</p> <p>Include that part of the Submitter's site shown in Appendix B in Volume 3 Appendix 16 register of scheduled sites to provide specifically for a defined location and/or density and layout of rural living sites and activities.</p>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	32	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape overlay to exclude those areas of the Submitter's property that are not visible to a wide viewing where such areas are currently included.</p>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	33	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Soil Sensitive Area 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amend the Soil Sensitive Area Overlay applying to the Submitter's sites to remove the areas identified as not meeting the criteria for a soil sensitive area in the ENGEO report.</li> <li>2. Apply rules to encourage landowners to mitigate the effects of tunnel gully erosion. This should include Council drafting best practice standards which should be followed in the creation of new swales or cutoff drains and have this information disseminated to all property owners Council has identified.</li> <li>3. The maintenance of existing swales and cutoff drains be a permitted activity when meeting the prescribed (best practice) standards.</li> <li>4. Excavation and such remedial actions as may be required to repair or remove Tunnel Gully Erosion on slopes less than 25 degrees be a permitted activity.</li> <li>5. Create new rules to ensure the continued operation of vineyards and the creation of new vineyards remain a permitted activity on loess soil.</li> </ol>					
907	Levide Capital Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That <i>2.2 Permitted Activity Rules</i> are amended to include the take and use of water consented for viticulture and horticulture prior to the date of notification of the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	35	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 9.2.1.5:</p> <p><i>Standard 9.2.1.5 The height of a building or a structure must not exceed <del>42</del><b>20</b>m.</i></p> <p>That a new standard is included for an additional height for plant room and like structures of a further 3m over a maximum of 10% of the floor area of the building.</p> <p>Otherwise amend, delete or add additional rules to enable multi-level apartment style dwellings in the CBD, and in particular, ensure their economic viability is not held back through unnecessarily restrictive height restrictions.</p>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	36	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 9.2.1.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 9.2.1.6. A building must only differ by one storey in height from immediately neighbouring buildings, unless additional storeys are set back from the front boundary by at least 3m.</i></p>					
<b>907</b>	Levide Capital Limited	37	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Based on the submission above, no decision requested is included for Objectives 12.6 and 12.8 and Policies 11.1.2.1 and 12.6.3.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>618</b>	Brad Lewis	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>129</b>	Rebecca Light	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 24	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					
<b>129</b>	Rebecca Light	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
129	Rebecca Light	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					
129	Rebecca Light	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>129</b>	Rebecca Light	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Prior to imposing the overlays and the associated compliance costs, increased insurance premiums, reduced property values, and social perceptions of the community, the Committee should consider the following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A visit the community and look at the overlays on the ground. Specifically look at the edges of the overlays and check if simple justification for resource consent triggers can be identified in the actual contours of the land. Check if accurate triggers are present and confirm the overlays are not prone to sweeping generalisations.</li> <li>• A review the references in the section 32 reports to understand the level of consultation undertaken and the generic nature of this outdated consultation. That a thorough MDC report be prepared and circulated for the residents to review.</li> <li>• Look at the interaction between the overlays, what is the difference between Level 1, Level 2 and extreme explained to the community.</li> <li>• That the MDC report consider a range of methods to improve flood protection including inspection and maintenance of stop banks, increased pumping capacity.</li> <li>• Following this that decisions be made in a transparent manner including pre circulation of information a community meeting and discussion of the options.</li> <li>• Overlays should reflect ground levels and calculated catchment risk.</li> </ul> <p>Until this background work is complete I request that the Council continue with the rules and maps of the WARMP.</p>					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>888</b>	Pang Lily	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1130</b>	Sook Peng Lim	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>124</b>	Russell Lindsay	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the minimum flows as proposed.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1170</b>	Tama Lindsay	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>136</b>	Clayton & Wendy Lindstrom	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Change our land from Rural to Residential.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>620</b>	Brook Lines	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3 and supporting policies, other than Policy 5.3.7, which should be deleted.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.7.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.4 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.5 and the supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for reducing over-allocation over time.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.6 and supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for managing significant adverse effects on river flows.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.7 and supporting policies to provide more appropriate and considered method for allocating water for given uses.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.8 and supporting policies to provide a more appropriate and considered method for providing reasonable availability.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objectives 5.9 and supporting policies, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1 as notified.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.4 as notified.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Lion proposes an amendment to Policy 14.4.4 as follows:</p> <p><i>Ensure subdivision in rural areas:</i></p> <p><i>(a) does not lead to a pattern of land uses that will adversely affect rural character and/or amenity values; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) creates allotments of sufficient size for rural activities to predominate in rural areas; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) avoids reverse sensitivity effects on existing land uses.</i></p>					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.10 as notified.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	16	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5 as notified.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.3 as notified.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions, subject to any consequential amendments required as a result of Lion's other submissions and relief.					
908	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.2.1.1 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	21	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the provisions of Table 24.3.1.2 as they relate to subdivision within Rural Environment zone in the Omaka Valley Area.					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	22	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 159	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the zoning of the area shown in the map attached to this submission as Schedule 2 as notified.  <i>[Schedule 2 map zone has been inferred as Zoning Map 159 and 171].</i>					
<b>908</b>	Lion - Beer, Spirits and Wine (NZ) Limited	23	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 171	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the zoning of the area shown in the map attached to this submission as Schedule 2 as notified.  <i>[Schedule 2 map zone has been inferred as Zoning Map 159 and 171].</i>					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Subject to the definition of intermittently flowing being amended, retain the policy (inferred).					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard so that it reads: "Loose bulk fertiliser must be stored on an impermeable bunded surface, and covered at all times. Bagged product must be stored in original transport packaging and covered at all times.".					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend definition of "intermittently flowing" as requested elsewhere in the submission.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Excavation on soil sensitive areas identified as loess is restricted by conditions as to scale, development of land management plans to identify best management practices.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a list of plant species classified as indigenous vegetation in threatened environments.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 3.3.6.2(b).					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 19.1.5(b).					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	8	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove "resource consent applications"; and...'local'; or make it clear that the policy does not need to apply to controlled and all discretionary activities, as well as permitted activities.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	9	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Seeks further detail on methods to respond to pest incursions (inferred).					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include "...developing best practice guidelines in conjunction with community groups..." within the method.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Pests of national and regional importance are identified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
255	Warwick Lissaman	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to read: "...the Marlborough District Council <u>in collaboration with community groups</u> will use a range of..."					
255	Warwick Lissaman	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
255	Warwick Lissaman	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Edit to read: A strategic approach will be developed, <u>in conjunction with landowners and recognising economic impact and resources community bring to the collective table</u> , and maintained to manage the containment/eradication of pests impacting on Marlborough's primary production sector in rural environments.					
255	Warwick Lissaman	16	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the objective (inferred).					
255	Warwick Lissaman	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the objective (inferred).					
255	Warwick Lissaman	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword the third paragraph of the explanation that currently reads "Land use, subdivision and development activities...can have adverse effects on a range of..." to "Land use, subdivision and development activities...can both enhance and have adverse effects..."					
255	Warwick Lissaman	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword the 7th paragraph of the Introduction that currently reads "In Marlborough's high country...means pastoralism is likely to remain the major land use activity of the future" to read "...means pastoral agriculture is likely to remain..." and add the following text:  "and should be enabled to remain. In the absence of sheep farming in Marlborough's high country , cattle and deer would be the only livestock options, or forestry; all three have huge ramifications on environmental footprint on the freshwater resource, both in terms of catchment yield and water resource unit values."					
255	Warwick Lissaman	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include an exception for properties and existing schemes that cross FMU boundaries.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	21	Volume 3	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new appendix is required that identifies the rivers that are ephemeral and other rivers as defined under Section 2 of the RMA.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	22	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Oppose
Decision Requested	Include Chilean Needles grass in the appendix.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	23	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the intent of the Appendix (inferred).					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	24	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the definition of "offal pit" to read:</p> <p>"means a hole <u>greater than 3m deep</u>, excavated on a rural property to be used on an ongoing basis for the purpose of disposing of offal or dead animals generated on that property."</p>					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the definition of "Intermittently flowing" to read:</p> <p>"Means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that exists or flows for weeks, or months each year <u>to the extent that the waterbody bed is prevented from grassing over each year.</u>"</p>					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	26	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the definition of "indigenous vegetation" to:</p> <p>"means naturally occurring <u>woody</u> vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the district" or</p> <p>"means naturally occurring vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the district. <u>Refer to Appendix XYZ for list of plants for each specific sub region.</u>"</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarification of why the standard is required along with a definition of offal pit.					
<b>255</b>	Warwick Lissaman	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove standard and utilise farm management plans (inferred).					
<b>9</b>	Ryan Lock	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Any review of allotment/subdivision size should be set the size at a lot less than 7500 square metres. Introduce a controlled activity standard for properties with reticulated sewerage of normal town-size.					
<b>561</b>	Bruce Lock	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	4	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	5	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
454	Kevin Francis Loe	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - " <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site, <b>except Ecologically Significant Marine Site 9.1</b>, fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> "  (Inferred)					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	12	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Objective is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	13	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	14	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	15	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	16	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	17	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	18	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Objective is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	19	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	20	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	21	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Method as follows (bold) - "The Council <b>and coastal landowners</b> will liaise with the Department of Conservation to identify areas along Marlborough's coastline where the use of vehicles on the foreshore and seabed is not appropriate.</p> <p>The Council will liaise with the Department of Conservation <b>and coastal landowners</b> to assess the need for additional or upgraded public facilities for areas identified in Policy 9.1.1 as having a high degree of importance for public access."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	29	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	30	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	43	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " <i>(see separate submission)</i> . <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	44	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule; and Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows - " <i>The catchment in which the water is being dammed must not exceed 100 hectares.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	58	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Ephemeral</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " <i>(see separate submission)</i> . <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	62	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	63	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>River</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	64	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " as follows (strike out) - "means: (a) <del>cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</del> (b) dairy cattle; (c) farmed pigs.					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " ( <i>see separate submission</i> ). <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	66	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	67	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " ( <i>see separate submission</i> ). <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	68	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " ( <i>see separate submission</i> ). <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Commercial Forestry Planting</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	74	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent)</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	78	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.3.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of "Woodlot forestry".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	88	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Cultivation</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.6.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	101	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Excavation</i> ".					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendment sought to the definition of " <i>Intensively farmed livestock</i> " (see separate submission). (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	105	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	107	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	109	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
454	Kevin Francis Loe	110	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	111	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	112	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	113	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.44.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support subject to amendment to Standard 3.2.1.1 <i>(see separate Submission)</i> .					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <b><i>No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, unless the Computer Register is 40 hectares or greater in area, then no more than two residential dwellings must be constructed or sited per Computer Register.</i></b> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.48.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	117	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Worker accommodation</i> ".					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of " <i>Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area</i> ".					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule and replace with a Controlled Activity Rule as follows - " <b><i>Intensively farmed livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</i></b> <b><i>Standards and Terms</i></b> - <b><i>Crossings must be infrequent</i></b> ( <i>Submitter did not specify frequency</i> ); - <b><i>Crossings must be in particular circumstances</i></b> ( <i>Submitter did not define particular circumstances</i> ); - <b><i>Crossings must be to enable a continuation of farm operations.</i></b> ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule and replace with a Controlled Activity Rule as follows - <p><b>"Intensively farmed livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</b></p> <p><b><u>Standards and Terms</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <b>Crossings must be infrequent</b> (Submitter did not specify frequency);</li> <li>- <b>Crossings must be in particular circumstances</b> (Submitter did not define particular circumstances);</li> <li>- <b>Crossings must be to enable a continuation of farm operations.</b>"</li> </ul> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	123	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - "Fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except <b>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 9.1</b> , Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9." <p>(Inferred)</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	124	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To the landholders generally it is of concerned that freedom campers, if allowed to camp anywhere in the open space 3 zone in an unrestricted manner. <p>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</p>					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	125	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Meat processing</i> " as follows (bold) - " <i>means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</b></i> "					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	126	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add " <b>Ward Beach Road</b> " to the list of Secondary Arterials.					
454	Kevin Francis Loe	127	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Overlay. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>No more than 5,000m<sup>3</sup> <b>20,000m<sup>3</sup></b> of water is dammed at any time.</i> "					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	129	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	130	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	131	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	132	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	133	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	134	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (Inferred)					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	135	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	136	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water, <b>unless storing water in a remote location</b> (not defined by Submitter) <b>where the annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two</b> (alternative not specified by Submitter) <b>irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water.</b></i> "  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	137	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add an additional policy under this Objective as follows - " <b>Recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20 hectares may be a more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain situations and that consent may be granted for this.</b> "  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - " <b>Clearance of flood debris from in, on, over or under the bed of a river.</b> " <i>(Inferred)</i>  Add new Standards to the new Permitted Activity above as follows - <i>(Details of new Standards not provide by Submitter)</i>  Add new Policies relating to the new Permitted Activity above as follows - <i>(Details of new Policies, and the appropriate Objective and Issue to relate them to, not provide by Submitter).</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	143	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	144	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	145	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	146	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	147	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Standard is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	148	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	149	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	150	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	151	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>454</b>	Kevin Francis Loe	152	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek that any use of the word 'prohibited' (related to ONFLs) be limited to use only where absolute protection is agreed by all parties engaged in responsible stewardship and is essential to achieve a desired outcome. <i>(Specific decision requested on this Rule is not clear in the Submission.)</i>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 51	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use. That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows: <u>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u>  That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: <u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	63	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read:  Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	64	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain, with an additional clause (e):  <i>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	65	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read:  <i>Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:  <i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i>  <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) <u>in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water:</u></i> <i>(v) <u>the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from</u> such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i> <i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to add the following bullet point  <i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to add the following bullet point  <i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds: to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read:  <i>Where necessary, reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment:  <i>Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u></i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:  <i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <b><u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></b></i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2, be amended to read: 3.3.13.2 <i>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: <i>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	74	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: <i>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</i>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <i>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</i>					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	78	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Agricultural Liquid as notified.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that <del>farmers</del> producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, viticultural, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
909	Longfield Farm Limited	80	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	81	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	82	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Longfield submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u></i>					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Longfield submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	85	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	86	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
909	Longfield Farm Limited	87	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	88	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	89	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	90	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete method in its entirety.					
<b>909</b>	Longfield Farm Limited	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.					
<b>338</b>	Gwyneth Lowe	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.					
<b>338</b>	Gwyneth Lowe	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.					
<b>338</b>	Gwyneth Lowe	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support
Decision Requested	1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways. 2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>338</b>	Gwyneth Lowe	4	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	<p>1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways (<i>Blenheim Springs</i>).</p> <p>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.</p>					
<b>338</b>	Gwyneth Lowe	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways.</p> <p>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.</p>					
<b>338</b>	Gwyneth Lowe	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>1. Re-allocation of irrigation permits to ensure water levels stay at original/natural levels to retain habitat and aesthetic values on all waterways.</p> <p>2. Strict monitoring of bores to ensure the above.</p>					
<b>910</b>	Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.  <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:  <u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					
<b>910</b>	Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:  <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following:  <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
<b>910</b>	Lower Waihopai Irrigation Company	3	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>562</b>	Brendon Lucas	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>934</b>	M J H and R L Davison Family Trust	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Introduction. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Introduction.)</i>					
<b>934</b>	M J H and R L Davison Family Trust	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to "high remote values" from the property at 243 Renners Road.					
<b>685</b>	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects.</li> <li>2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries.</li> <li>3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve he visual aspects.</li> <li>4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used.</li> </ol>					
<b>685</b>	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects.</li> <li>2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries.</li> <li>3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve he visual aspects.</li> <li>4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used.</li> </ol>					
<b>685</b>	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects.</li> <li>2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries.</li> <li>3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve he visual aspects.</li> <li>4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used.</li> </ol>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
685	Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That the proposals in the draft plan be implemented - 1. Prevent inappropriate levels of quarrying, industrial and forestry development/expansion within the Omaka Valley and thereby prevent increased traffic, soil erosion, noise and other adverse environmental effects. 2. Protect the valley's safe roading network to facilitate cycle tourism of vineyards and wineries. 3. Limit (prevent) ridgeline building to preserve the visual aspects. 4. Control the planting of exotic forest and require that, to prevent the spread of wilding pines, only sterile stock be used.					
774	Ian Murray and Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
774	Ian Murray and Elizabeth Ann MacDonald	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>4000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
939	Murray MacDonald	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
939	Murray MacDonald	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
939	Murray MacDonald	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
939	Murray MacDonald	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Council recognises the special nature of the Omaka and Wairau Valleys and the Marlborough Sounds, and that they should be preserved for future generations to enjoy and appreciate.					
<b>1058</b>	Ross MacDonald	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>579</b>	Craig and Sherald MacDonnell	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>579</b>	Craig and Sherald MacDonnell	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>579</b>	Craig and Sherald MacDonnell	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>579</b>	Craig and Sherald MacDonnell	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8415 in Opihi Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1072</b>	Rob MacGibbon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>254</b>	Scott MacKenzie	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal(both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flowlevel cut off of 8m <sup>3</sup> /s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the currentsituation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a conditionrelating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With thecurrent management flow of 8m <sup>3</sup> /s for fullrestriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that thereare no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have asignificant negative economic and social impact on those consent holderscurrently above The Narrows.					
<b>531</b>	Alastair MacKenzie	1	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Sounds Soldiers' Memorial is granted Heritage Resource status and is included in Appendix 13 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the flow restriction on Class A water for the Wairau River (above the narrows) FMU to be fully restricted at 8m <sup>3</sup> /s according to the Barnetts Bank monitoring site, and delete the restriction at Dip Flat for class A water in the same FMU.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3 as an appropriate and efficient use of water.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4 and establish an efficient and simplistic system for enabling this to occur.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5 and establish an efficient and simplistic system for enabling this to occur.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.8 be deleted.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.79 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.10 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.3 with confirmation that water can be used from storage dams between 1st January and 30th April [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision relating to dam size.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	11	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following: <i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> <i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following: <i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> <i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i>  That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following: <i>1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i> <i>2. After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i>  That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That standards relating to stock crossings are amended to delete all provisions except for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <i>The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></li> <li>2. <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or clarity of a flowing river.</i></li> </ol> <p>That prohibited rules relating to stock crossings be provided for as a controlled activity that would allow for infrequent crossings in appropriate circumstances.</p>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	20	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: <i>Intensively farmed livestock means:</i> <b>a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter crops;</b> b) dairy cattle; c) farmed pigs.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of meat processing be amended as follows: <i>Meat Processing - Means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <b>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</b></i>					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standards for planting of commercial forestry and planting woodlots does not include an exclusion for Douglas Fir or Corsican pine.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standards for planting of commercial forestry and planting woodlots does not include an exclusion for Douglas Fir or Corsican pine.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 3.3.16.1 as it is not Council's role to manage the commercial or otherwise nature of clean fill, but rather the ensure adverse effects arising from clean fill activities are no more than minor.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of non-commercial clean fill as it is unnecessary.					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	26	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.24 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.25 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	34	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.8 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.16 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.11 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1124</b>	Steve MacKenzie	62	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 6 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1063</b>	Riley George Barnes MacPherson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1171</b>	Tim Madden	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8532; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1171</b>	Tim Madden	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1171</b>	Tim Madden	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8532 and 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1171</b>	Tim Madden	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8532; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1171</b>	Tim Madden	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1171</b>	Tim Madden	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8532 and 8457; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>855</b>	Kyra Madsen	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>855</b>	Kyra Madsen	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>855</b>	Kyra Madsen	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>855</b>	Kyra Madsen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>940</b>	Michelle Madsen	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>940</b>	Michelle Madsen	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>940</b>	Michelle Madsen	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>940</b>	Michelle Madsen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8439; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8333; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8628; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8333, 8628 and 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8333; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8628; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1125</b>	Scott Madsen	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8333, 8628 and 8302; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>957</b>	Madsen Marine Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>219</b>	Francis Maher	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 171	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Suitable parts of the property described above be zoned RURAL LIVING ZONE.  That the MDC work towards a plan for the future with the owners of the Maxwell Pass property for the best outcome for the property and the people of Marlborough.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
<b>506</b>	Mainland Residential Homes Limited	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>660</b>	Daniel Manson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>856</b>	Karen Mant	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.2.1.11: 4.2.1.11. <i>On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i>  (a) a building or structure must not exceed <del>40</del> <b>50</b> m <sup>2</sup> ;					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Outstanding Coastal Natural Character on page App 2-29 - blocks 11, 9 and 12 Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high".					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high".					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include land on southern side of Port Gore to the sea, the ridge and eastern side of it between Puzzle peak and Cape Lambert (and back to Hunia), the eastern side of the Alligator headland, all the waters of Waitui Bay and Port Gore except Melville Cove, all of East Bay and northern Arapawa Island as Outstanding Natural Character. Melville Cove should be "very high".					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include all the waters of East Bay as ONFL.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay.					
<b>493</b>	Karen Marchant	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Do proper on site assessment and redraw overlay.					
<b>67</b>	Anne Marett	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>67</b>	Anne Marett	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>661</b>	Denis Marfell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>941</b>	Marion Marfell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8452; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8297; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8399; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8402; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8194; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8083; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8287; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8144; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8447; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8455; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8529; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8553; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	21	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8559; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	22	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8264; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	23	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8263; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	24	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	25	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	26	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	27	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8452, 8297, 8397, 8399, 8402, 8403, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8194, 8081, 8083, 8287, 8144, 8447, 8449, 8455, 8529, 8553, 8559, 8264, 8263, 8248, 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	28	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8452; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	29	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8297; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	30	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8397; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	31	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8399; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	32	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8402; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	33	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8403; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	34	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8404; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	35	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8420; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	36	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8425; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	37	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8435; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	38	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8194; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	39	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8181; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	40	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8083; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	41	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8287; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	42	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8144; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	43	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8447; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	44	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8449; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	45	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8455; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	46	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8529; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	47	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8553; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	48	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8553; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	49	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8559; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	50	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8559; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	51	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8264 or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	52	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8264; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	53	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8263; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	54	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8263; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	55	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	56	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8248; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	57	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>958</b>	Marine Farm Management Limited	58	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8452, 8297, 8397, 8399, 8402, 8403, 8404, 8420, 8425, 8435, 8194, 8081, 8083, 8287, 8144, 8447, 8449, 8455, 8529, 8553, 8559, 8264, 8263, 8248, 8193; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary. In the event that the s 32 evaluation reveals significant alternatives that have not been appropriately considered, it may be necessary to re-notify aspects of the proposed MEP.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>There is a statement under "A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment" that it is not the role of the MEP to directly address economic matters. That is incorrect. The definition in s2(1) of the RMA of "environment" includes the economic conditions that affect people and communities. Sustainable management includes enabling people to provide for their economic and social wellbeing (s 5(2) RMA).</p> <p>The guiding principles seem to ignore the fact that the farming, viticulture, aquaculture, forestry and tourism sectors all rely on resource use.</p> <p>It is an omission not to include a guiding principle to promote economic development. The approach taken does not reflect the RMA, and does not reflect the views of the Marlborough community.</p> <p>A guiding principle to that effect should be added, along with consequential changes to the commentary.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The guiding principles should recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses. Its values include economic values. A set of guiding principles that make no reference to that has failed to properly capture the needs of Marlborough.</p> <p>The guiding principles should be amended to reflect this.</p> <p>The clique "jewel in the crown" should be deleted, and replaced with something more reflective of Marlborough and the discussion above.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Support the guiding principle "Providing the community with a streamlined and simplified resource management framework to make it easier for resource users and other interested parties to use."</p> <p>Submit that this philosophy should extend to the application of the MEP provisions, not simply to integrating the regional policy statement with the regional coastal, regional and district plan provisions.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Avoid should have only one meaning. Where a different meaning is preferred, this should be clear from the specific provision.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Oppose the approach where "protect" can be interpreted in a number of different ways. This makes the MEP unclear. A single meaning should be adopted, consistent with the Supreme Court's approach in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i> .					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The economic interests of iwi should be expressly recognised.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support
Decision Requested	a) Add new Issue 4D - Recognise that the choice whether or not to use natural and physical resources has consequences; b) Add new Objective 4.4 - Recognise that limiting development has a trade-off; and c) Add new Policy 4.4.1 - Identify the consequences of not allowing development in terms of: (I) Substitution (ii) Adverse effects from other alternative activities in the area; and (iii) Loss of environmental, economic and social benefits.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be express reference to related servicing and processing industries in objective 4.1. The tourism and primary production sectors cannot operate in the absence of related services.</p> <p>The commentary of objective 4.1 should refer to aquaculture's need for water space, and high quality water. Recognition should be given to the fact that different water space and site characteristics are necessary for different forms of aquaculture. For example, cool fast flowing water is required for salmon farming, whereas access to high nutrient laden water is necessary for effectively farming mussels. Logistical considerations are important for all types of aquaculture.</p> <p>a) Amend objective 4.1 to include express reference to related servicing and processing industries; and</p> <p>b) Amend commentary as suggested.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Support, so long as new objective 4.3A is added (see separate submission). Alternatively, Objective 4.3 should be amended to reflect the fact that social and cultural uses are part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new Objective 4.3A - Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Marlborough Sounds have been altered by cultural and social use and those uses have become part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds and do not detract from it.</p> <p>Note - New policy 4.3.6 should be added to support this Objective. Industry supports policies 4.3.1 - 4.3.5, provided this proposed objective and policy are added (as outlined below).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 4.1.1A to recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 4.1.2 to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Enable sustainable use <b>and development</b> of natural resources in the Marlborough environment."</i></p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new Policy 4.1.2A - allow for experimentation and innovation where there are sufficient controls to appropriately manage adverse effects.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 4.1.2B - Allow for development where it will achieve a net improvement in sustainability or efficiency by: a) Offsetting effects; b) Compensating for effects; or c) Substituting one use for another.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy subject to a new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 being added (see separate submissions).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	New Policy 4.3.6 should be added to give effect to proposed new Objective 4.3A.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	28	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	30	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	31	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Support Method.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	32	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support the Anticipated Environmental Result.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	33	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Submit that, in order to manage biosecurity threats, the deliberate introduction of exotic or introduced plants into the coastal marine area should require a resource consent (as per rule 35.5 in the current Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP)).  A policy should be added to this effect.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>Equitable</del> <b>Efficient</b> and sustainable allocation of public space within Marlborough's coastal marine area."  And, in the last sentence of the explanation to the Objective amend as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>...avoids conflicts</del> <b>manages conflicts between users</b> ....".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The commentary to Policy 5.10.1 should note sections 124A, 124B and 124C of the RMA, as well as sections 165ZH, 165ZI and 165ZJ.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the first sentence (default method) of Policy 5.10.2, but delete the second sentence (alternative regime). An alternative regime could be referred to in the commentary.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -  " <i>Where a right to occupy the coastal marine area is sought, the area of exclusive occupation should be minimised to that <del>necessary and</del> reasonable to undertake the activity, having regard to the public interest.</i> "					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;  b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and  c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.5 if the following relief if granted: a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable; b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.6 if the following relief if granted: a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable; b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.7 if the following relief if granted: a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable; b) Appropriate provision I made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and c) The formula for determining charges is written in to the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>a) The MFA is represented on the oversight body to an extent commensurate with the levy on the industry;</p> <p>b) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>c) Appropriate provision is made for the aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP);</p> <p>d) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's annual Plan. the level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013); and</p> <p>e) Amend Policy 5.10.8 to read "... will be used on the following in accordance with a research priority strategy to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area. The research priority strategy will be determined in conjunction with the Marlborough District Council, central government, science providers, industry, and the community."</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consequential amendments are made to the methods of implementation where needed, as a result of the submissions in relation to Issue 5J and Policies 5.10.1 - 5.10.8.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Issue as follows -</p> <p><i>"Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the <del>degradation</del> <b>modification</b> of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins."</i></p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new sentence to the Objective as follows -</p> <p><i>"Establish the extent of acceptable modification."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a) Delete policy 6.1.1 and replace with "Natural character is natural, physical and biological processes, and how those processes are perceived"; or</p> <p>b) 6.1.1(b) - delete "and landscapes (including seascapes)." This is a confusing use of terminology in the context of the natural character policies; and</p> <p>c) 6.1.1(e) - Amend to read "biological processes and biological patterns." (As compared with perceptual patterns); and</p> <p>d) Include in the discussion a record that the intent of this policy is to provide for a biological definition of natural character, overlaid with perceptions of biology.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the seaward extent of the coastal natural character mapping be reduced to snorkelling or recreational diving depth, and the maps amended to reflect this (or relief securing same outcome). This approach is supported by the commentary in Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast (Boffa Miskell, 2014) at Appendix 6, page 316.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	48	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment <del>by assessing:</del></i>  <del>(a) the degree of human induced modification on abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities; and</del>  <del>(b) natural character at a range of scales."</del></p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a) Delete 6.1.4, as this is addressed by Policy 6.1.3;</p> <p>b) Define "natural character" as per submission on 6.1.1; and</p> <p>c) Define "outstanding" as per submission on Vol 2, Chapter 25, Definitions</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Policy as follows –</p> <p><b><i>"Identify the biological characteristics and the values inherent in the perception of those biological characteristics for each area mapped under Policy 6.1.3."</i></b></p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the Policy and replace as follows -  New Policy 6.2.1 - In the coastal environment:  <i>"a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural character.</i>  <i>b) Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and void, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision use and development on natural character. Methods which may achieve this include:</i>  <i>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</i>  <i>(ii) In areas of high natural character, minimising to the extent practicable indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks/ disturbance, structures, discharges and extraction of water) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area and their margins; and</i>  <i>(iii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural character has already been compromised."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Policy and replace as follows -  New Policy 6.2.2 -  <i>"Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of freshwater bodies. A method which may achieve this includes minimising indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance and structures) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and their margins."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policies 6.2.1 - 6.2.3 and replace with:  New Policy 6.2.3 -  <i>"When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character values in terms of 6.2.1(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 6.2.1(b) and 6.2.2, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:</i>  <i>a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</i>  <i>b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:</i>  <i>(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established</i>  <i>(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</i>  <i>c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;</i>  <i>d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement on the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural character;</i>  <i>e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on natural character to result in no net loss and preferably a net character gain. a natural character offset should be developed in a manner consistent with the principles contained in Policy 6.2.6;</i>  <i>f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicable avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and</i>  <i>g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term."</i>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.3.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 6.2.4.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace the Policy to read as follows -  <b>"Recognition should be given to the extent of cumulative effects from existing modifications in the environment."</b>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to read as follows (bold) -  <b>"Encourage and support private landowners, community groups, businesses and others in their efforts to restore the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes and rivers."</b>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new 6.M.2A – <b>"Natural Character Assessment Method."</b> New Appendix 2A should be included in the MEP, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Anticipated environmental results - focus is on retaining natural character. This is consistent with the wording in the issues and objectives (focus on maintenance/preservation). Supports approach where existing activities are allowed.  Support, on the basis that natural character can be retained while allowing for existing activities, including existing aquaculture.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	General opposition to use of the word "degree" throughout policies, if that is intended to refer to the scale outstanding - very low. The focus should be on the magnitude of the change, not whether the classification is affected.  Amend the natural character policies to make it clear that "degree" refers to the magnitude of change, not the classification.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Alternative submission:</p> <p>Delete Natural Character chapter 6 altogether. Reference to natural character can be made in the indigenous biodiversity chapter (biophysical elements) and in the landscape chapter (experiential elements).</p> <p>Or consider whether all three topics (landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity) could be dealt with under one category "Natural Heritage," which is the approach taken in the proposed Bay of Plenty Regional Coastal Plan and the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	64	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) -  <i>"Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes <del>and landscapes with high amenity value.</del>"</i></p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	65	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) -  <i>"Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain <del>and enhance landscapes with high amenity value.</del>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	66	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 7.1.1. (inferred)</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	67	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Amend policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (I.e. a bay, reach or valley approach); and</p> <p>b) Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	68	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a) Amend policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (I.e. a bay, reach or valley approach); and</p> <p>b) Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	69	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Add new Policy 7.1.2A - "Define boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type"; and</p> <p>b) Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	70	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a) Add new Policy 7.1.2A - "Define boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type"; and</p> <p>b) Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>a) 7.1.3(b) - delete reference to "high"; and</p> <p>b) Delete subparagraph 7.1.3(c).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Support the identification of outstanding natural landscapes (ONL), but oppose the methodology in the MEP.</p> <p>Delete reference to "high" amenity values;</p> <p>Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and</p> <p>In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, Volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1. This methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	73	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Support the identification of outstanding natural landscapes (ONL), but oppose the methodology in the MEP.</p> <p>Delete reference to "high" amenity values;</p> <p>Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and</p> <p>In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, Volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1. This methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping.</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Delete Policy 7.2.3 - amenity should not be in the landscape policies chapter; and b) Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	76	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Delete Policy 7.2.3 - amenity should not be in the landscape policies chapter; and b) Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	77	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	78	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:  a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.  b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:  (i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and  (ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.  New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:  a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and					

b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
  - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
  - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	79	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
------------	---	----	----------	-------------	--------------	--------

Decision Requested	<p>Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.</li> <li>b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</li> <li>(ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:</p>					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

- a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and
- b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
  - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
  - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	80	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
------------	---	----	----------	-------------	--------------	--------

Decision Requested	<p>Delete 7.2.1 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - in the Coastal Environment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes.</li> <li>b) Where a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and</li> <li>(ii) Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including</p>					
--------------------	---	--	--	--	--	--

cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:

- a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and
- b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

- a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;
- b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:
  - (i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established
  - (ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;
- c) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;
- d) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;
- e) Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss or preferably a net landscape gain;
- f) Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and
- g) Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	81	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 7.2.6 by adding 7.2.6(d) - "aquaculture activities where the method and effects of farming are reversible."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	82	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Amend Policy 7.2.7(a)(ii) by adding after "to the foreshore" - ",excluding barges used for aquaculture." (NB. These are not covered by the workers' accommodation in the definition of Dwelling, as that applies only to land-based farming); and</li> <li>b) Remove reference to amenity.</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	83	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy if the following relief is provided: a) Reference to amenity is deleted; and b) Specific recognition is given to aquaculture in this context, as an existing primary production activity. Explanation currently focuses on land use.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	84	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.9.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	85	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10. (inferred)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	86	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.12.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	87	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	All reference to amenity should be removed from Chapter 7.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	88	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new 7.M.3A – “Landscape Assessment Method.” New Appendix 1A should be included in the MEP at volume 3, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	89	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the anticipated environmental result - landscapes are protected from degradation (rather than enhanced). a) Policies, issues and objectives should be consistent with this intended outcome; and b) Recognition that landscape is not degraded by allowing for the continuation of existing activities, such as aquaculture.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	90	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The s 32 analysis should be redone to take account of reconsementing costs, using publicly available information where possible. The NZIER reports commissioned by the MFA should be referenced. Where existing marine farms are at risk, the cost of loss of farming space should be acknowledged.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MEP should better address the concepts of "avoid" and risk.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 8.1 to read "Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments are protected."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support objective 8.2, provided other relief sought in respect of chapter 8 is granted.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Rewrite Policy 8.1.3 to read "Recognise that increased information is an intrinsic good. Where there is uncertainty and real risk of a significant adverse effect, use adaptive management techniques to address that risk."; and</p> <p>b) Add to the commentary the importance of Council partnering with industry to increase knowledge.</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.1 should be amended to refer to "resource users", not simply landowners.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners; b) Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity"; and c) As a result: delete Policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Delete Policy 8.2.3; or b) Amend to expressly limit this policy to the terrestrial environment.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats." Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats." Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	105	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	a) Delete Policy 8.2.5; or b) Amend to expressly limit the policy to the terrestrial environment.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	106	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to say "will be developed and maintained in partnership with MPI and affected industries and communities".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	107	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.8.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	108	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.9.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	109	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 8.3.1 and 8.3.2 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.1 - In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists;</li> <li>(b) Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and</li> <li>(c) Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, inter-tidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</li> <li>(b) Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established</li> <li>(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor;</li> <li>(d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects;</li> <li>(e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and</li> <li>(f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	110	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 8.3.1 and 8.3.2 and replace with:</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.1 - In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists;</li> <li>(b) Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and</li> <li>(c) Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, inter-tidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</li> <li>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</li> <li>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</li> <li>(b) Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established</li> <li>(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor;</li> <li>(d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects;</li> <li>(e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and</li> <li>(f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements.</li> </ul> <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	111	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Delete Policy 8.3.5; or</li> <li>(b) Replace with a far shorter list containing more targeted effects.</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	112	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.3.8 and replace with the following: Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply [taken from Chapter M, Appendix 8 proposed AUP Independent Hearing Panel's Recommendations]: (a) Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where it is used to offset the significant residual effects of activities after the adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated. (b) Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any avoidance, remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity. (c) Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome. (d) The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity, which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Where possible the overall result should be no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values. (e) The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	113	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Annotate provisions in the entire chapter with RPS / C / R / D.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	114	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new policy – <i>"Risk of an effect occurring will be considered appropriate if one or a combination of the following criteria can be met:</i> (a) <i>The effects of an activity are likely to be reversible;</i> (b) <i>Adverse effects are likely to be reversible before they reach a significant level;</i> (c) <i>The normal state of the environment can be adequately defined;</i> (d) <i>The development could occur on a staged basis; and/or</i> (e) <i>The temporal and spacial scale does not impact on the full range of the species or relevant habitat or area."</i>					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	115	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Consequential amendments may be necessary to the Methods of Implementation and Anticipated Environmental Results in light of MFA submissions.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	116	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 9.1.5 should specifically state that the existing aquaculture industry does not impede public access to and along the coast. Support Policy 9.1.13, so long as the proposed change to 9.1.5 is made.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	117	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.1.7 to read "...and launching ramps (for example, at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay) that make a significant contribution..."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	118	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new Policy 9.1.14A to state that the 2005 MNZ Guidelines do not need to be considered in the Marlborough Sounds context.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	119	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	An additional sub-section (h) should be added to policy 9.2.1 to allow access to and along the coastal marine area to be restricted to manage threats to biosecurity.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	120	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.2.2. Subsection (a) should be replaced with "the constraint in reasonable".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	121	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 9.3.2(d) should be amended to read "recognising the value of open space in the coastal marine area..."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13H. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13J. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13K	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 13K to read "...and maintenance of existing ports at Picton, Havelock, Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, port landing areas at Okiwi Bay and existing marinas at Picton, Waikawa and Havelock."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Objective 13.10 and associated policies should expressly exclude aquaculture.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.14. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.15. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the word "minimises" and replace with "takes reasonable steps to minimise."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	134	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 13.2.1 in its entirety (duplication); or 13.2.1(a) - either: (a) Delete entire sub-paragraph; or (b) Delete "the characteristics and qualities that contribute to", or substitute "values" for reference to "characteristics and qualities."; and 13.2.1(g) remove reference to "individual and".					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2. (inferred)					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.3(b) to read "will generally be granted for a minimum period of 20 years."					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Put a full-stop after "in a particular location". Delete the rest of the policy.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 13.2.5.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	In conjunction with amendment suggested to policy 13.2.4, delete this policy.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add new sub-section (g) "may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add "excluding Tory Channel and East Bay" (NB. Delete "including Tory Channel").					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 13.5.10 - "Protect aquaculture from reserve sensitivity effects arising from residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes in the Coastal Environment"					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.11A - Add a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.17A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 13.7.2 should be amended to expressly record that barges used in aquaculture are excluded from the ambit of the policy.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace the word "necessary" in policy 13.10.3 with "reasonable."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete "the landscape and".					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Sub-section (c) should read "where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused or any appeals have been exhausted."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new, altered or extended jetty may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new or extended boatshed and/or slipway may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.12.1(a) to read "where the dredged or other material is derived from the land, no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.12.2.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the word "significant" before "adverse effect".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The National Transportation Route overlay in volume 4 MEP should be redrafted to exclude the side bays.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.2. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to read "of ships transiting this route are appropriately managed."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) 13.15.2(a) - delete "unimpeded by structures"; (b) 13.15.2(b) - commercial shipping routes is not a clear definition. "Avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; (c) 13.15.2(c) - "avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; and (d) 13.15.2(d) - Amend to read "are not significantly affected by activities or structures..."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>(b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boatramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or (b) Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.1 to read - "Ensure any substantial change to the intensity, character..." The commentary should reference Policy 9 (Ports) of the NZCPS. Policy 6(2)(c) NZCPS is not, by itself, enough to override the avoidance policies in the NZCPS.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.2 to read "do not inappropriately affect water, air or soil quality..."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	173	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.3. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	174	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.4 to read "Inappropriate environmental effects from activities..."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	175	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.5, (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	176	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.18.7.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	177	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the comment that "This chapter does not contain provisions managing marine farming." However, this should be reworded to say "This chapter does not apply to marine farming or structures and activities associated with marine farming."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	178	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Make consequential amendments where amenity policies are changed.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	179	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Any relevant consequential changes to methods of implementation 13.M.19 - 13.M.23.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	180	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Consequential changes should be made to methods of implementation 13.M.24 - 13.M.27 and to the anticipated environmental results in light of MFA submissions.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	181	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15A. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	182	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	(a) Add new Policy 15.1.19A - "Avoid the discharge of human sewage to land where it may contaminate coastal water within the marine farm protection overlay, or areas used for fishing or shellfish gathering"; (b) Add new Policy 15.1.19B – "Require any accidental discharge to be notified to the Marlborough District Council immediately. The Marlborough District Council will then advise potential affected persons"; and (c) Add new Method of Implementation 15.M.15A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	183	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.5(a) to read "into air from industrial, trade or primary production premises or industrial, trade or primary production processes that have..."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	184	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.3. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	185	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	186	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the water quality provisions in the MEP so far as they protect the quality of coastal water for shellfish gathering. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	187	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 19.1 to read "...effects on the environment arising from climate change and ocean acidification."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	188	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.2 to read "...potential effects of climate change and ocean acidification..."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	189	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.3 to read "Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change and ocean acidification."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	190	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend chapter 19 title to read "Climate Change and Ocean Acidification."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	191	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the title "Marlborough Environment Plan." Change the title to "The Sustainable Management Plan for Marlborough."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	192	All	All		Support
Decision Requested	Enable primary production in Marlborough, as set out in the submission of Federated Farmers of New Zealand, save for where the submission or intended outcomes are inconsistent with the MFA's submission.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	193	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.4. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	194	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.5. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	195	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type												
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.17.4. (inferred)																	
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	196	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.10.	Support in Part												
Decision Requested	Amend rule 2.34.10 to read "Sign required for, or established by statute, rule, regulation or resource consent."																	
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	197	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Support												
Decision Requested	Retain Rules in Section 13.1. (inferred)																	
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	198	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support in Part												
Decision Requested	<p>The standard implies that noise measurement could be taken at the noise source, rather than at the notional boundary of a property. The standard is marginally more restrictive than the current limits under the operative MSRMP, even allowing for the different measurement.</p> <p>(a) Amend standard 13.2.3.1 to read:            "For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night (Long term)</th> <th>Night-time (Short term)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond, the Inner Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>65 Ldn (5 days) 68 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>60 dB LAeq (9 hours) 65 LAeq (15 min) 85 dB LAFMax";</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>(b) Amend standard 13.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:            "For port operations in Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night (Long term)</th> <th>Night-time (Short term)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)	At any point on land at, or beyond, the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	65 Ldn (5 days) 68 Ldn (1day)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours) 65 LAeq (15 min) 85 dB LAFMax";	Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)	At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)
Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)																
At any point on land at, or beyond, the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	65 Ldn (5 days) 68 Ldn (1day)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours) 65 LAeq (15 min) 85 dB LAFMax";																
Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)																
At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)																
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	199	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.1.	Support in Part												
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.2.4.1 to read "...at the port in Picton, Shakespeare Bay, Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are adequately insulated from port noise."																	
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	200	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.21.	Support in Part												
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.3.21 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."																	

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	201	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	202	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>(a) So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(b) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>(c) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>(d) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	203	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.4.4. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	204	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.6.4 be deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	205	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.6.5 be deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	206	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 13.6.6. (inferred)					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	207	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules in Section 13.6. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	208	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules under Section 14.1. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	209	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.2.3.1 to read: "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of dwellings as they exist at 9 June 2016 outside the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	210	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.1.2 to read - "There must be no more than a minor increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure being replaced."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	211	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended: (a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (14.3.5.1); (b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (14.3.5.2); and (c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (14.3.5.5).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	212	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.11.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	213	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	214	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	215	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules in Section 14.5. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	216	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules in Section 15.1. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	217	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read "Use of a marine recreation group clubroom".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	218	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) Amend 15.2.3.1 to read:            "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of the Marina Zone does not exceed the following limits:            7.00 am to 10.00 pm 60 dB LAeq            10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"; and</p> <p>(b) Amend 15.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:            7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq            10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax."</p>					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	219	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the following Standards under Heading 15.3.9:					
	(a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (15.3.9.1);					
	(b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (15.3.9.2); and					
	(c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from the background sedimentation. (15.3.9.5)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	220	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.19.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	221	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 15.7 - Prohibited activities. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	222	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	223	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.5 by deleting 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	224	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rules under Section 16.1 - Permitted Activities. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	225	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Add new Permitted Activity 16.1.24 - "Restoration of shellfish reefs in the Marlborough Sounds and associated activities in appropriate areas"; and					
	(b) Consequential changes should be made to the Permitted Activity Standards at 16.2, allowing for restoration to be achieved using a variety of techniques, including, but not limited to, depositing natural fibre substrate, placing waste shell or old mooring blocks on the seabed, or undertaking other activities to kick start reef development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	226	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 16.2.1.4 to read "Take practical steps to minimise or eliminate contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity." This recognises that a motor may be needed to drive equipment, which will run off petrol or diesel.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	227	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Amend standard 16.2.3.1 to read: "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of any dwelling existing at 9 June 2016 does not exceed the following noise limits: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dB LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"; and (b) Add new 16.2.3.2(d) "noise ordinarily generated by commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting ships."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	228	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 16.3.2.1, so it expressly does not apply to any ship or barge used in aquaculture.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	229	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: (a) To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1); and (b) To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2); and (c) To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	230	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 16.3.16.1 should be amended so that it does not apply to the take and use of coastal water for the ordinary operation of vessels.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	231	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 16.7.6 accordingly and add a new permitted activity rule to 16.1 to secure this relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	232	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.1. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	233	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 16.7.2 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	234	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 16.7.2 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	235	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.4. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	236	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Non-consumptive uses" to read "...For example, fishing, swimming and cooling of vessels."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	237	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Primary Production" to read "All forms of agriculture, horticulture, silviculture and aquaculture, whether on land or on sea, and includes the processing, preparation for market and sale of those products."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	238	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 25 - Definitions. (inferred)					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	239	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Outstanding" to read "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	240	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	(a) The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 7.1.4; (b) This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the landscape overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and (c) Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect landscape values. This reflects the approach taken in Northland, the Bay of Plenty and Auckland.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	241	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) The entirety of Appendix 2 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 6.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 6.1.4; (b) This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the coastal natural character overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and (c) Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect natural character values. This reflects the approach taken in Northland, the Bay of Plenty and Auckland.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	242	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Oppose
Decision Requested	A note should be added at the beginning of Appendix 3 stating "These criteria are intended to be applied by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists." (This is modelled off the approach in the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016), at Appendix 5); and  (a) Delete Appendix 3 and replace with approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 – 178; (b) The MEP should clearly distinguish between areas of national significance and areas of regional significance; and (c) A cascading approach to managing effects on these different areas should be included in the Chapter 8 Policies, consistent with Policy 11 of the NZCPS, rather than a straight avoidance approach (this is reflected in the submissions in respect of the Policies in Chapter 8).					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	243	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) Delete Appendix 4; or (b) Use appropriate quantitative measure to define significance.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	244	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Water Quality Classification of SG for all coastal water in respect of the value of food gathering (page 5-17). Support the interpretation of the temperature, dissolved oxygen and suitability of fish for human consumption standards/parameters for SG classification on pages 5-21 and 5-22.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	245	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Add new item 24 under "Other Relevant Site Details" - "The location of any relevant marine farm protection overlay"; and (b) Under heading "Sewerage" add - "Any subdivision of land within the marine farm protection overlay must assess the potential for contamination of coastal water."					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	246	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The 2014 Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast study does not separate characteristics from values. It uses a different set of definitions than that contained in the MEP at Policy 6.1.1. Adoption of a different methodology means that the maps contained in the MEP (derived from the 2014 Study) are inconsistent with the policy approach in the MEP. The Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) and/or the policies in the MEP need to be redrafted accordingly.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	247	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	248	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the natural character mapping in respect of Waihinau Bay. Oppose the mapping of the waters of Fitzroy Bay as high natural character and the surrounding land as very high natural character. (a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or (b) If Fitzroy Bay rating is correct, the MEP should expressly recognise that the presence of marine farming does not affect the values that lead to that classification.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	249	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support the mapping of the seascape in Beatrix Bay and Anakoha Bay has not having high, very high or outstanding natural character. Oppose the mapping of the land in Beatrix Bay and the western headland of Anakoha Bay as having high natural character. (a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or (b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	250	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MFA notes that Natural Character Map 3 does not in correspond with the Natural Character Index. Squally Cove is not included in the map. Support the natural character mapping at the head of Crail Bay. Oppose the mapping of the land on the southern side of Whakitenga Bay has having high natural character (according to the online overlay map). (a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or (b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	251	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the natural character mapping in respect of Clova Bay and Whangatoetoe Bay, Port Underwood. Oppose the mapping of the headlands between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as having high natural character. (a) Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or (b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	252	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1. This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping. The landscape maps (1-11) should be amended accordingly.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	253	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding natural landscape (ONL) extending so far offshore into Cook Strait. Reduce the seaward extent of the ONL.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	254	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and half of Waihinau Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape. (a) Amend the mapping of the ONL; or (b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	255	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the mapping of Squally Cove, Crail Bay and Clova Bay. Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape. (a) Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where it is opposed; or (b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	256	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Support the mapping of Anakoha Bay, inner Beatrix Bay and Clova Bay. Oppose the mapping of the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay, and Whangatoetoe Bay (Port Underwood) as areas of outstanding natural landscape. (a) Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where that is opposed; or (b) The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	257	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	258	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	259	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	260	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	261	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	262	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	263	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	264	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	265	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	266	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	267	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	268	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	269	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	270	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	271	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	272	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	273	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	The map should be redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Significant Marine Sites report, or it should be expressly recognised that marine farms do not have an adverse effect on whales.					
426	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	274	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The MEP should be amended:</p> <p>(a) So that a strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of these sites, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies at Chapter 8;</p> <p>(b) To specify which species of dolphin are relevant to each of the mapped areas; and</p> <p>(c) Maps should be updated in light of recent population research: <a href="http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82818673/research-shows-hectors-dolphin-population-bigger-than-previously-realised">http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82818673/research-shows-hectors-dolphin-population-bigger-than-previously-realised</a>.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	275	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	276	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	277	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	278	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the gannet colony at the Waimaru Peninsula.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	279	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MEP should expressly recognise that the spat catching site in Clova Bay does not adversely affect the estuarine fringe and subtidal habitat inshore of the farm.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	280	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any area used for navigation and Havelock Port from mapped site 3.20. The effect of the mapping and proposed rule 16.13.16 is to require all boats using the channel to have a resource consent to take coastal water.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	281	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the red algae bed in Cutters Bay.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	282	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	National Transportation Route	Oppose
Decision Requested	The National Transportation Route in Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound should not be mapped to extend into all of the side bays. The National Transportation Route map should show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	283	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 64	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Amend zoning map 64 to zone the commercial wharf and the boatramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and (b) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	284	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 111	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Amend zoning map 111 to zone the commercial wharf and the boatramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and (b) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	285	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 65	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	(a) Amend zoning maps 65 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone; (b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and (c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	286	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 103	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Amend zoning map 103 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone; (b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and (c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	287	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 77	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Amend zoning map 77 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone; (b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and (c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	288	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 139	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) Amend zoning map 139 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone; (b) Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and (c) Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	289	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	290	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	291	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.					
<b>426</b>	Marine Farming Association Incorporated	292	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps (1-5) to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.2.1					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	4	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	5	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The runway protection area should be shaped like a contour rather than a thin strip. Amend the Plan accordingly (annex attached).					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rule 3.7.13 should refer the runway protection area. Amend the Plan accordingly.					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	7	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.12.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add an appropriate standard to activities relying on Rule 23.1.12. The submission does not indicate what the appropriate standard should be.					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	8	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert into the Plan the annexed (attached to submission) contour (or similar) with the red area being labelled Air Noise Boundary and the green area being labelled the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary.					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b><i>Inferred that the new rule is included in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Section 3.2 Permitted Activities.</i></b> Add a new rule that noise sensitive activities within the Noise Control Boundary should be prohibited unless specifically addressed elsewhere.					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b><i>Inferred that the new policy is included in Volume 1 Chapter 17 Objective 17.2.</i></b> <b><i>A new policy should require the education of people who live and work within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary through notations on LIMs and at other relevant opportunities to ensure that those people who purchase or live within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary are aware that over time a greater level of noise will be experienced in that area. Further consequential amendments to the Plan may be required.</i></b>					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b><i>Inferred that the new rule is included in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Section 3.2 Permitted Activities.</i></b> <b><i>Add a new rule that requires the creation of new titles within the Air Noise Notification Area/Outer Control Boundary (including the Colonial land and the MDC subdivision at Taylor Pass) must trigger a requirement to place covenants on the land consistent with that required of the Colonial land in resource consent decision Colonial Vineyards Limited v Marlborough District Council (2014] NZEnvC 55.</i></b>					
<b>474</b>	Marlborough Aero Club Incorporated	12	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 14 pages 4 and 5.					
<b>959</b>	Marlborough Aquaculture Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	(a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified. (b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.					
<b>959</b>	Marlborough Aquaculture Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified. (b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.					
<b>959</b>	Marlborough Aquaculture Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified. (b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.  (c) Modify the maps in Volume 4 to recognise the appropriate changes.					
<b>959</b>	Marlborough Aquaculture Limited	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	(a) Defer dealing with these matters until the aquaculture provisions have been notified. (b) Recognise existing levels of activity and modification and allow those not to be threatened by an overly broad brush and an overstated assessment of the relevant values. Reassess and modify the classifications of outstanding and high for the identified areas.  (c) Modify the maps in Volume 4 to recognise the appropriate changes.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the explanation of Policy 5.10.7:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.7 – The manner in which the level of coastal occupancy charges has been determined is as follows:</i></p> <p><i>In deciding how to set charges, the Council has used as its starting point the actual expenditure considered necessary to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area <b>in as much as it may be affected by the identified effects of coastal occupiers</b>. The budgeted expenditure for this is described year to year in the Council's Annual Plan for the Environmental Science and Monitoring Group, Environmental Policy Group and Environmental Compliance and Education Group.</i></p> <p><i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (25%) as well as those benefiting from the occupation of public space (75%). <b>The balance of funding required to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area in the wider sense will be sourced from elsewhere</b>. The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i></p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.10.8:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will <del>be used on</del> <b>contribute towards</b> the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i></p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new policy (bold) is included in this section of the MEP that states the following or similar. Ideally such a policy would be located below the existing Policy 5.10.1:</p> <p><b>Policy 5.10.X Recognition that there are inherent rights of a coastal permit holder over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space.</b></p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.6.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.6.1.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.1.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.1.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.2.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.3.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.9.					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>In the event that Policy 15.1.18 is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, MBMA seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to the policy for clarification:  <i>Policy 15.1.18 Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage <b>from land based sources</b> to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>In the event that Policy 15.1.18 is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, MBMA seeks the following amendment to the policy:  <i>Policy 15.1.18 Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters <b>where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture.</b></i></p>					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.19:  <i><del>Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</del></i></p>					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.20:  <i><del>Policy 15.1.20 – Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds.</del></i></p>					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	15	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the 16.1 Permitted Activities list includes the following, if the 1000m rule is to be retained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 1000m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul> <p>That the 16.1 Permitted Activities list includes the following, if the status quo of the MarPol 500m distance is retained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 500m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	16	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) are made to Rule 16.7.2 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><del>Rule 16.7.2. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	17	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) are made to Rule 16.7.3 (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <p><del>16.7.3. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	18	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new prohibited activity rules (bold) are included under 16.7 Prohibited Activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul>					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	19	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 16.7.4:</p> <p><i>Rule 16.7.4 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p>					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	20	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) are made to Rule 15.7.4:</p> <p><del><i>Rule 15.7.4. From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</i></del></p>					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	21	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.5:</p> <p><del><i>Rule 15.7.5 From 6 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</i></del></p>					
960	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	22	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new prohibited activity rules (bold) are included under 15.7 Prohibited Activities:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> <li><b><i>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm or MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</i></b></li> </ul>					
<b>960</b>	Marlborough Berth and Mooring Association Incorporated	23	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 15.7.6:					
	<i>Rule 15.7.6 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources.</b></i>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	11	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	12	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	ADD: New policy 6.2.10 Promote the integration of subdivision, use or development with the protection, enhancement or establishment of natural features, landscape, vegetation and open space.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	ADD: New policy 6.2.11- Ensure that any development also takes into account the welfare and wellbeing of the community					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	20	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	21	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	22	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	23	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	24	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Support
Decision Requested	Support Chapter 11 as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	25	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	26	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	<u>Add</u> (m) Retirement villages, worker accommodation, medium low rise density housing					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	27	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 12D- The Marlborough District is recognised as an area with an aging population growth, and a desirable place to retire to and live. Meeting the needs of the elderly and supporting their well-being needs to be considered to allow the integration and assimilation in both terms of housing and support services.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	28	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 12E- There is an increasing reliance on seasonal workers and migrants to provide the work force in the Marlborough District. This will be across the vineyards, wineries, home and elderly person care. Accommodation in non-traditional or conventional housing needs to be evaluated to be able to assimilate this into the Urban Environment.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	29	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 12F - The provision of affordable housing in Marlborough needs to be addressed through Council and private developers, together with Central Govt incentives for infrastructure.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	30	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 12B - A loss in the vitality, viability and/or identity of Marlborough's business environments may result either where inappropriate activities are located within these environments or where fragmentation of business area occurs (no comment)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The negative emphasis of this chapter like many others in this plan is discouraging and does not reflect the desire of the community to develop within reasonable guidelines and resource restrictions.  We recommend a full review and rewrite of this Chapter to ensure balance and proactive language is used to ensure participation.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend to:</b> To encourage innovative development and integrated management of effects between subdivision and land use which results in better environmental outcomes than more conventional or traditional subdivision, use or development.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.2 (c).					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.3.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.4.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.6.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.10.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 14.4.11.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.5 By allowing greater intensity of subdivision or development in the Rural zone where this is offset by protection, restoration, enhancement or establishment of natural features, vegetation and open spaces, where they significantly contribute to the natural environment values, coastal environment and amenity.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.6 By providing for more intensive and innovative site specific subdivision where this results in better environmental outcomes.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.7 By avoiding, remedying or mitigating the adverse effects of subdivision (including ribbon development) on the natural environment values of the rural area.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Objective 14.8 By requiring all subdivision to contribute to the retention of rural character and amenity					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.9 By promoting the integration of subdivision, use or development with the protection, enhancement or establishment of natural features, vegetation and open space					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new objective 14.10 To ensure that the servicing of new subdivision and development does not adversely affect the environment, in particular sensitive receiving environments.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 14D – To recognise that farming, forestry, mineral extraction and processing, renewable energy generation, industrial and commercial activities and network utilities that enable people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 14E – To provide for a range of activities in the Rural zone which are located, designed and operated in such a way to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects on existing land use in the vicinity.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Issue 14F - Recognition that the growth of vineyards and /or other agricultural activities rely predominantly on seasonal workers. As such seasonal worker accommodation needs to be provided where it can be integrated into the rural character.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	49	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend: by removing “in remote locations”					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	50	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	51	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	52	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	53	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	54	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	55	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	57	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	58	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· For those permits already in place should this policy not be used to require the consent holder to commence monitoring the effects of the discharge?</li> <li>· Any new discharge permit applications to continue discharging the contaminants, should then be able to provide monitoring records on the effects with the application, rather than starting the monitoring process from time of application being granted.</li> </ul>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	59	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	60	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	61	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	62	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	63	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	64	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	65	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Provide rates relief and the provision of plant material and fencing at low cost to landowners for fencing of waterways by farmland owners. (Inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.20	Support
Decision Requested	Provide method to collate information to be able to impose rules and policies on landowners. (inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.21	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	75	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Provide incentives to enable it affordable for homeowners to make the transition.					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Rates relief</li> <li>o Provision of heating sources at low cost</li> </ul>					
	(inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Provide incentives to enable it affordable for homeowners to make the transition.					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>o Rates relief</li> <li>o Provision of heating sources at low cost</li> </ul>					
	(Inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.30	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	79	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.37	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	80	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	81	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	82	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.39	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	83	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.40	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.43	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	85	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	86	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>ADD</u>: <u>New Objective 17.3</u></p> <p>Recognise that the Blenheim airport is a central component in urban and regional economic development. The airport will play a role in the regions "connectedness" much as the road transport network did previously. Development within industrial and airport zone needs to be encouraged to ensure ready access to global markets.</p>					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	87	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete: Policy 17.2.2</p> <p>REWORD</p> <p>Noise effects of aircraft should be reasonable, in the context of the neighbourhood surrounding the Blenheim Airport, and should not exceed levels at, or outside, the Air Noise and Outer Control Boundary projected for the year 2026.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	88	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>ADD</u> New policy 17.2.4</p> <p>The potential exposure of communities to Airport noise should be managed to ensure that a reasonable balance is achieved between the operational needs of the Blenheim Airport, over time, and the amenities and well-being of the community.</p>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	89	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>ADD</u> New Policy 17.2.5</p> <p>The operational area of the Blenheim airport should be recognised and considered as an important community resource.</p>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	90	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>ADD</u> new Policy 17.3.2</p> <p>Under Local routes in the table add "Cycle Ways"</p>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	91	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>ADD: new Objective 17.6 – Ensure that there has been sufficient traffic planning and modelling to determine a good hierarchy of roads.</p>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	92	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>ADD: new Objective 17.7 - Ensure that there is strong interface between all land use development and all transport infrastructure and activities.</p>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	93	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.</p>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	94	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.</p>					
<b>961</b>	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	95	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as notified. (inferred)</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	96	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	97	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Appendix 24.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	98	Volume 4	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested - none able to be inferred from submission.					
961	Marlborough Chamber of Commerce	99	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Marlborough District Council to withdraw this version of the plan; to work on the balance required to ensure support from those involved in the region. Without their support the region is destined to be held back by over bureaucratic environmental pressure supported by the language of negativity expressed in areas this document.					
91	Marlborough District Council	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.40.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.40.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.41.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.2.2.3(b) - " <del>(b) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes.</del> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.2.3.3(c) - " <del>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.41.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.41.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.40.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.2.40.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.39.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.39.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.40.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.40.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.17.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.17.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	11	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.18.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	12	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.10.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	13	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.10.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	14	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.11.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	15	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.15.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.15.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	16	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.15.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	17	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.16.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	18	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.17.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	19	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.17.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	20	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.18.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	21	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.8.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 - Schedule 1.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	22	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.8.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	23	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.9.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	24	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.8.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	25	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.8.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	26	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.9.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	27	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 11.3.6.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	28	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 11.3.6.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	29	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 11.3.7.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	30	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.12.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	31	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.12.2 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	32	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.13.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	33	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 13.3.28.1 - " <i>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	34	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.29.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 13.3.29.1 - " <i>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	35	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.29.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 13.3.29.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	36	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 16.3.18.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	37	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 16.3.19.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	38	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 16.3.19.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	39	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 20.3.8.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	40	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 20.3.8.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	41	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 21.2.2.3(b) - " <del>(b) a fixed motor or equipment, frost fan or gas gun, milling or processing forestry activity, static irrigation pump; or motorbike that is being used for recreational purposes.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	42	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 20.3.9.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy 5.3.15 as follows (in bold) - "<i>Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry <b>or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent)</b> in flow sensitive areas.</i>";</p> <p>and amend the associated explanation (last para) as follows (in bold) - "<i>The policy does not apply to existing commercial forestry <b>or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent)</b>, or the replanting of that forest following harvest, as the effects of this forestry on water yield are part of the existing environment.</i>"</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanation associated with Policy 5.3.16 (last sentence, last para) as follows (in bold) - "<i>Any reduction in flow shall be measured against the seven day mean annual low flow at 9 June 2016, being the date of notification of the MEP, and any assessment of cumulative effects should only consider commercial forestry <b>or carbon sequestration forestry (non-permanent)</b> established after 9 June 2016.</i>"</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	<p>In Policy 5.3.9, change the heading for column 2 in the table as follows (strike through and bold) - "<i>Take of <del>surface</del> water, <b>except from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit, Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit, Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit or Southern Springs Freshwater Management Unit.</b></i>";</p> <p>and change heading for column 3 as follows - "<i>Take of <del>ground</del>water <b>from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit, Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit, Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit or Southern Springs Freshwater Management Unit.</b></i>"</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.4.5(c) as follows (bold) - "<i>the take is not from the Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit, <b>Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit</b> or the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit;</i>"</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Amend para 5 of 5.M.1 as follows (strike through) - "<i>Prohibit the taking, use, damming or diversion of water where those activities would adversely affect the significant values of <del>outstanding</del> water bodies.</i>"</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.22.1.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.22.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	50	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.21.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	51	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 5.3.13.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	52	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 6.3.8.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	53	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.11.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	54	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 8.3.12.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	55	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 9.3.6.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	56	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 10.3.6.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	57	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 11.3.5.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	58	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 12.3.21.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	59	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 17.3.7.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	60	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 18.3.8.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	61	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.15.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 19.3.15.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	62	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.4.1 - " <del>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	63	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Heading for "<b>Sediment removal.</b>"; and a new set of standards as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The removal must be necessary for maintaining the drainage carrying capacity of the drainage channel, or for the stability of the banks of the channel banks.</b></li> <li>• <b>Excavators must operate from the bank of the drainage channel where possible.</b></li> <li>• <b>The removal must not be carried out in water greater than 2m average depth.</b></li> <li>• <b>The sediment removed must be retained on adjacent drainage channel banks for a period not less than 12 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel.</b></li> <li>• <b>The removal must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April in any year.</b></li> <li>• <b>The removal must not limit fish passage.</b></li> <li>• <b>Any discharge of sediment into water associated with the removal must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a change in colour of the receiving water of more than 5 Munsell units or a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.</b></li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity within the Drainage Channel Network rules under 2.12 for " <b><i>Sediment removal.</i></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules		Support
Decision Requested	Add the following Permitted Activity rule under 2.1 - " <b><i>Use of water from the Barnes Dam on a tributary of the Waitohi Stream by the Marlborough District Council for municipal supply purposes.</i></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	66	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the second Indicator associated with 15.AER.9 as follows - " <del>The state of Marlborough's soil resource is reported on an annual basis.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	67	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.7	Support
Decision Requested	Delete the first bullet point of the Indicator associated with 15.AER.7 as follows (strike through) - " <del>Ambient monitoring of air pollutants throughout Marlborough, including: → background concentrations of PM10 in Picton are established;</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the second Indicator for 15.AER.5 as follows - " <del>The average winter concentration of PM10 at Middle Renwick Road is 27 mg/m3 or less.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the first Indicator for 15.AER.5 as follows - " <del>The average winter concentration of PM10 at Redwoodtown is 37 mg/m3 or less.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 15.AER.1 (although partly an amendment of deleted part of previous indicator) as follows - " <b><i>Increase in the number of catchment enhancement plans developed and implemented for waterbodies deemed degraded.</i></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 13.AER.9 as follows - " <b>Monitor erosion of coastal areas caused by ships.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 13.AER.9 as follows - " <b>No adverse change to the shoreline or benthic communities as a result of waves from the shipping activity.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	73	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 8.AER.2 as follows - " <b>Measured against baseline monitoring programmes established for ecologically significant marine sites in 2015/2016, there is no loss of values over the life of the MEP.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator associated with 7.AER.1 as follows - " <b>Number of programmes in the community to control wilding pines.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the 5th indicator associated with 7.AER.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The area of land vegetated by wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds decreases</del> <b>does not increase.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	76	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.12.11 as follows - " <del>Discharge of an agrichemical to water for the control of aquatic vegetation.</del> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	77	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the Indicators as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The areas identified as having a high priority for enhanced public access have an improved level of access as measured against a 2011</del> <b>2016</b> baseline."; and " <del>The number of esplanade reserves/strips available for access purposes is increased as measured against a baseline of esplanade reserves/strips available for access existing as in 2011</del> <b>2016.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Indicator for 8.AER.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>Measured against a baseline monitoring programme established for wetlands in 2010</del> <b>2016</b> , there is no loss in the overall area of wetlands in Marlborough."					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Support
Decision Requested	Add the following new Anticipated Environmental Result - " <b>No occurrence of sea water intrusion into aquifers.</b> "; and the following associated new Indicator " <b>Conductivity levels as measured by Council's sentinel wells.</b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	80	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.14.1 - " <del>The appliance must comply with the emission, operational and other requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 1.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	81	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.14.2 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	82	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.15.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 23.3.15.1 - " <del>The burner must comply with the stack requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 2.</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	83	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete definition - " <del>Setback – has the same meaning as “yard”:</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	84	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition for Solid waste as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>means waste that has a moisture content of more</del> <b>less</b> than or equal to 75% and exhibits the properties of a solid, e.g. it can be stacked and hold a definite angle of repose."					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	85	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Waihopai River C class allocation limit in Schedule 1 (page 6-3) is amended from " <del>241,920</del> " to " <b>271,000</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	86	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Oppose
Decision Requested	The Are Are Freshwater Management Unit allocation limit in Schedule 1 (page 6-1) is amended from " <del>43,200</del> " to " <b>4,320</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	87	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the zoning of Lot 1 DP 8533 (PN401154) from Urban Residential 2 to Urban Residential 1.					
91	Marlborough District Council	88	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.1.2 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
91	Marlborough District Council	89	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.1.4 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
91	Marlborough District Council	90	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.1.5 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	91	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.6 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
91	Marlborough District Council	92	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.13 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
91	Marlborough District Council	93	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.15 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
91	Marlborough District Council	94	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.15 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
91	Marlborough District Council	95	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 219	Support
Decision Requested	Rezone parts of property number 182692 on Ward Beach Road from Open Space 3 Zone to Rural Environment Zone.					
91	Marlborough District Council	96	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add Ecologically Significant Marine Site no. 1.9 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	97	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.12 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	98	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.12 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	99	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.20 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	100	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of Ecologically Significant Marine Site no.2.18 as mapped in Davidson, R.J. and Richards, L.A. 2016. Significant marine site survey and monitoring programme: Summary report 2015-2016. Prepared by Davidson Environmental Limited for MDC. Survey and monitoring report number 836.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	101	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The following amendment is requested to the Indicator for 14.AER.7 (strike through and bold) - " <i>The <b>extent and distribution</b> status of pest numbers and location(s) is reported.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a(b) to read as follows (bold) - " <i>(b) water quality at beaches <b>and in rivers</b> is suitable for contact recreation;</i> ".					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	103	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	In Schedule 1, Appendix 6 amend the Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit description as follows (bold)- " <i>Wairau River upstream of the Hamilton River confluence, <b>and including the Hamilton River</b></i> ".					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	104	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.9.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.9.6 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.8.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.14.8.3 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.14.7.2 as follows (strike through) - " <i>The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.8.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity within the wetted area of a riverbed must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, <del>and 1 August and 30 November</del> in any year.</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Amendment of rule 2.2.12 is requested as follows (bold) - " <i>Take of water <b>by a network utility</b> for dewatering of a trench.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the following text under heading 2.14 - " <i><del>Unless expressly limited elsewhere by rule a in the Marlborough Environment Plan (the Plan), the following activities shall be permitted without resource consent where they comply with the standards:</del></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Heading 2.14.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.12.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	112	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Freshwater Management Unit 1	Support
Decision Requested	That the northern boundary of the Omaka River Freshwater Management Unit be re-examined to ensure that the Freshwater Management Unit accurately reflects the influence of the Omaka River but also the Wairau Aquifer on groundwater levels in the northern part of the Freshwater Management Unit. Amendments to the Freshwater Management Unit boundary and therefore and environmental flow limits in Appendix 6 may need to be made as a result of this work.					
91	Marlborough District Council	113	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 55	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the zoning of right of way between property number 253837 and property number 529645 that runs from the Opaoa River to Hardings Road from Rural Environment Zone to unzoned road reserve.					
91	Marlborough District Council	114	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the zoning of Seymour Square on Lot 1 DP 6917 from Open Space 1 Zone to Open Space 2 Zone.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	115	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the Clearwater Reserve on Lot 33 DP 372968 (PN530180) from Urban Residential 2 Zone to Open Space 1 Zone.					
91	Marlborough District Council	116	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Change the definition of " <del>Wastewater</del> " to be the definition of " <b>Human effluent</b> ", and change the definition as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"in relation to on-site wastewater management systems, means <del>wastewater</del> <b>human effluent</b> originating from household or personal activities including toilets, urinals, kitchens, bathrooms (including shower, washbasins, bath, spa bath but not spa) and laundries. Includes such wastewater flows from facilities serving staff, employees, residents, students, guests in institutional, commercial and industrial establishments, but excludes commercial and industrial wastes, large scale laundry activities and any stormwater flows."</i>					
91	Marlborough District Council	117	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Addition of the following definition for " <b>Grade A treated sewerage</b> " as follows - " <b>Grade A treated sewerage has the same meaning as the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Addition of the following definition for " <b>Grade B treated sewerage</b> " as follows - " <b>Grade B treated sewerage has the same meaning as the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	119	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.4.4 as follows (bold) " <i>Any discharge of contaminants into or onto land, or to air <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	120	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.4.3 as follows (bold) " <i>Any use of the bed of a lake or river <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	121	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.4.2 as follows (bold) " <i>Any use of land <b>by any person</b> not provided for as a Permitted Activity.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	122	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 21.3.11.1 as follows- " <del><i>Crack willow must not be planted on any floodway, except for the Wairau River downstream of the Wye River confluence.</i></del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	123	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the eight indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows (strike through) - " <del><i>Water quality which was degraded is enhanced so that the waterbodies can support natural and human use values. Catchment enhancement plans are developed and implemented.</i></del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	124	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 21.3.15.2 - " <del><i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the use and discharge of the substance is in accordance with all conditions of the approval.</i></del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	125	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Heading 21.3.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	126	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.1.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Rock, <b>concrete block</b> or gabion structural bank protection works.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	127	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment to Standard 18.2.2.1 requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service, <b>or noise associated with recreational events or special events provided the noise does not exceed a level of 60 dBA Leq between the hours of 11.00 pm and 9.00 am at the boundary of any property zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3.</b></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	128	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.5.4.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add " <b>M2643</b> " to the table in Standard 15.5.4.1.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	129	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment to Standard 9.2.1.11 is requested is as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>A building or structure in the Business 1 Zone in Blenheim must landscape 10% of the <del>site</del> <b>road frontage</b> with permanent plantings of grasses (except lawn grasses), shrubs and trees or any combination thereof, unless the land adjoins a Landscape Exclusion Street in Appendix 18.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.7.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.7 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	131	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.1 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	132	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following Standard for Rule 2.16.3 is requested - " <b><i>The discharge must not be into a Significant Wetland.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	133	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amendment to Rule 2.38.2 is requested as follows (bold) - " <i>Telecommunication <b>or electricity</b> line or cable over the bed of a lake or river.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following sentence at the end of the paragraph in 8.M.2 is requested - " <b><i>This includes clearance of indigenous vegetation in areas that have 20 percent or less remaining in indigenous cover, as identified in the Threatened Environments Overlay Maps.</i></b> "					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	135	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	The requested amendment to the second Indicator associated with 16.AER.3 is as follows (bold) - " <i>There is no major non-compliance with permitted activity rules or discharge permit conditions for dairy shed effluent and winery wastewater discharges <b>that cause significant adverse effects</b> in any year.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	136	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Amendments to 14.M.7 are requested as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>14.M.7 Council works - The Council has historically maintained the <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del> on the Wairau Plain in a hydraulically efficient state to ensure primary production activities can continue to occur. The works involved include the following: (a) establishing a range of acceptable water levels for the drains and small rivers that make up the Council administered <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del>; (b) as necessary, removing aquatic vegetation and sediment from the drains and small rivers to achieve the acceptable water levels; and (c) where necessary, installing and using pumps to assist with the removal of excess water. The determination of acceptable water levels for each of the drains and small rivers allows for more efficient control of water levels. This will effectively provide triggers for active intervention and in doing so minimise the cost of drainage maintenance work. The use of acceptable water levels will also provide criteria for determining when further intervention such as pumping is required to control water levels. The drains and small rivers that make up the <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del> also provide habitat for indigenous flora and fauna and provide opportunities for the development of ecological corridors. The development and use of triggers for drain maintenance will help to mitigate the impact of the works on the habitat that the <b>Drainage Channel Network</b> <del>drainage network</del> provides. It may also be appropriate to undertake drain maintenance works in a certain manner to further mitigate any adverse effect on habitat values; see Chapter 8 - Indigenous Biodiversity for further details.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.13	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment of this Indicator for 13.AER.13 is requested as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i><del>Few</del> <b>A decrease in the number of</b> resource consents <del>are</del> required for port and marina related activities.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment of the Indicator for 13.AER.9 is requested as follows (strike through) - " <i>A five yearly assessment is carried out to determine the need to undertake monitoring specified in Policy 13.16.4 and the monitoring method (13.M.<del>4922</del>) set out for water transportation.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	139	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	That a new paragraph be added between the existing first and second paragraphs of 11.M.7 as follows - " <i><b>The Council may utilise the emergency provisions provided under Section 330 of the RMA to respond to foreseeable or actual hazard events in order to achieve Objective 11.1.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	140	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	An amendment is sought to the second Indicator for 8.AER.2 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Baseline monitoring programmes established <del>in 2010</del> for a representative sample of terrestrial, river and wetland <b>sites</b> and <del>in 2014/15</del> for ecologically significant marine site shows no loss of these <b>indigenous biodiversity</b> values over the life of the MEP.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	141	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	An additional allocation limit is requested under the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit for " <b>Municipal Supply</b> " of " <b>2,079,900</b> " and, by association, a reduction in the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit allocation limit from " <del>4,234,000</del> " to " <b>2,154,100</b> ".					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	142	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	An additional allocation limit is requested under the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit for " <b>Municipal Supply</b> " of " <b>17,789,500</b> " and, by association, a reduction in the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit allocation limit from " <del>73,006,000</del> " to " <b>55,216,500</b> ".					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	143	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment of the definition for run off requested is as follows (strike through) - " <i>means water moving over the ground surface <del>and into a river, lake or the sea.</del></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	144	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Add definition of LAE as follows - " <b>LAE - sound exposure level. Ten times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ration of the A-frequency-weighted sound exposure to the square of the reference value.</b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	145	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested to the definition of dairy farm effluent is as follows (bold) - " <i>Dairy farm effluent means all dairy effluent and contaminated washwater generated on the site of the farm dairy and associated yard areas. This includes machine washwater, pit washings, faecal matter, and washwater deposited on hard stand areas <b>and sealed feed pads.</b></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	146	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This submission seeks to amend the definition of agricultural solid waste as follows (bold) - " <i>Agricultural solid waste means organic agricultural waste that has a moisture content of less than or equal to 75% and exhibits the properties of a solid, e.g., it can be stacked and hold a definite angle of repose. <b>For the purposes of the Plan, if any waste does not meet the definition of agricultural liquid waste it is treated as agricultural solid waste.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	147	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	This submission seeks to amend the definition of agricultural liquid waste as follows (bold) - " <i>Agricultural liquid waste means agricultural waste that has a moisture content more than or equal to 95%. <b>For the purposes of the Plan, if any waste does not meet the definition of agricultural liquid waste it is treated as agricultural solid waste.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	148	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>Community activity means the use of land and buildings for the purpose of supporting the health, <b>safety</b>, welfare, education, culture and spiritual well-being of the community including not for profit childcare facilities, active and passive recreation.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	149	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	It is requested that the definition for " <i>Municipal water supply</i> " is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>means any water supply, <b>other than a supply exclusively providing an irrigation water</b>, owned, managed or administered by the Marlborough District Council.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	150	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	The addition of a definition for commercial cleanfill is requested as follows - " <i><b>Commercial cleanfill</b></i> " - " <i><b>Commercial clean fill - means the placing or dumping of fill that involves remuneration paid, or any other form of consideration provided, to the contractor or person(s) bringing the fill into the site.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	151	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The deletion of the definition for non-commercial cleanfill, as follows, is requested. " <i><del>Non-commercial clean fill means the placing or dumping of fill that does not involve remuneration paid, or any other form of consideration provided, to the contractor or person(s) bringing the fill into the site, whether or not consideration provided to the owner is the sole reason for the placing or dumping of the fill.</del></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	152	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amendments requested to Standard 23.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Noise from a source other than an aircraft movement, aircraft engine testing, or a national or international gliding event, must comply with the following noise limits measured at or within the boundary of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or at or within the notional boundary of any noise sensitive activity on any land zoned Rural Environment:</i> <p><b>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dBA LAeq</b></p> <p><b>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</b></p> <p><del>Monday to Saturday 7.00 am to 6.00 pm 55dBA LAeq</del></p> <p><del>Monday to Saturday 6.00 pm to 10.00 pm 50dBA LAeq</del></p> <p><del>Monday to Sunday 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45dBA LAeq</del></p> <p><del>Monday to Sunday 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 70dBA Lmax</del>"</p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	153	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone		Support
Decision Requested	Add new heading under 19.3 as follows - " <b><i>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of any river.</i></b> " and standards as follows - <b>1. "The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of livestock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river." 2. " After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale; (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site; (c) the change in reflectance must be &lt;50%." 3. "After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following: (a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter); (b) dissolved reactive phosphorus; (c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen; (d) Escherichia coli (E. coli)."</b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	154	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone		Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity rule under 19.1 to as follows - " <b><i>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of any river.</i></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	155	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone		Support
Decision Requested	The addition of the following Prohibited Activity rule is requested - " <b><i>Rafting of logs as a means of transportation through the Coastal Marine Zone.</i></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	156	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - "16.7.4. - Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage <b>from land based activities</b> into the coastal marine area, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure."					
91	Marlborough District Council	157	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested is as follows (bold) - "Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage <b>from land based activities</b> to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure."					
91	Marlborough District Council	158	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	To provide greater clarity to Plan users, an amendment is requested to the Indicator for 15.AER.2 as follows (bold) - "With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, there are no discharges of human sewage <b>from land-based activities</b> into the coastal waters of the Marlborough Sounds."					
91	Marlborough District Council	159	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	An amendment is requested to the Indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows (bold) - "Stormwater Management Area Plans are developed <b>and implemented</b> for all stormwater catchments that discharge into waterbodies and coastal waters with degraded water quality."					
91	Marlborough District Council	160	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	A new Indicator for 14.AER.3 is requested as follows - " <b>Land use change to alternative land uses as recorded in the Land Cover Database and resource consents.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	161	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Indicator for 15.AER.1 as follows - " <b>The number of complaints about unlawful discharges of contaminants to water or discharges to land in circumstances where contaminants may reach water.</b> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	162	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment requested to Policy 12.9.2 is as follows (bold) – " <i>Ensure that in an area with public water supply and/or sewerage infrastructure <b>or stormwater management</b>, subdivision and development activities only occur where they will not exceed the current or planned capacity of that public infrastructure or compromise its ability to service any activities permitted by rules within a relevant urban environment zone.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	163	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	The amendment to 8.M.10 requested is as follows (bold) - " <i>The Council will undertake planting of riparian margins <b>and other land</b> with indigenous species on land owned or administered by the Council where appropriate.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	164	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 23.1.13 as follows - " <i><b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	165	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 22.1.8 as follows - " <i><b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	166	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 22.1.7 as follows - " <i><b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	167	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 20.1.5 as follows - " <i><b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	168	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 19.1.8 as follows - " <i><b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b></i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	169	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 19.1.17 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	170	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 18.1.10 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	171	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 18.1.9 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	172	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 17.1.7 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	173	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 17.1.6 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	174	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.27.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 15.1.27 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	175	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 15.1.26 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	176	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 14.1.14 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	177	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 14.1.13 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	178	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.29.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 13.1.29 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	179	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 13.1.28 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	180	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.29.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 12.1.29 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	181	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 11.1.3 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	182	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 10.1.7 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	183	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 9.1.9 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	184	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.12.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 8.1.12 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	185	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 7.1.11 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	186	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 6.1.8 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	187	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 5.1.15 as follows - " <b>Excavation or filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	188	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 4.1.15 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 4.1.13 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	190	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 3.1.16 as follows - " <b>Filling must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	191	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Rule 3.1.14 as follows - " <b>Excavation must not cause water to enter onto any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	192	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 5.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	193	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Coastal Environment</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	194	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Rural Environment</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	195	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.2.3.3(b) as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <del>or horticultural</del> <b>or forestry</b> activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	196	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.35.1.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>The signage must relate to or be associated with a service, product or event available or occurring on the site on which the sign is located, <b>except for signs subject to Rule 2.34.11.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	197	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.2.2.3(a) as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <del>or horticultural</del> <b>or forestry</b> activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	198	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 5.2.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>On a site, no</b> <del>no</del> <i>part of a building must exceed a height equal to the recession plane angle determined by the application of the Recession Plane and Height Controls in Appendix 26. The recession plane angle must be measured from a starting point 2m above ground level at the property boundary.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	199	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.14.7.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>For drainage channels with a width greater than 2m, the</b> <del>The</del> <i>cutting must not be carried out over more than 90% of the channel width by leaving an uncut strip on each side of the channel.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	200	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.8.2.2 as follows (strike through) - " <i>All cut or felled vegetation that exceeds 100mm in diameter at any point must be removed from the bed of the lake or river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	201	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Amend point Policy 9.1.3(a) as follows (bold) - " <i>Policy 9.1.3 – Where public access is enhanced in priority locations, steps shall be taken to ensure this does not result in:</i> <i>(a) adverse effects on the wider environment of that location, <b>where necessary</b>, from littering, unsanitary disposal of human waste or damage to vegetation; or</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	202	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend paragraph 1 of the explanation to Policy 9.3.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Accessibility and distribution of open spaces and recreational facilities around the District is important. An equitable distribution <b>to reflect the needs of the local community</b> is important in achieving convenience of access to open space and recreational opportunities, recognising the particular role or function of the open space or recreational facility in meeting the differing needs of the community.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	203	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the second Indicator for 8.AER.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The number of private properties over which ecological assessments to determine if there are ecosystems, habitats or areas present with significant indigenous biodiversity value; <b>continues to</b> increases (albeit at a low level) <b>even though</b> as the active SNA survey has been completed. Any increase in properties surveyed is most likely to arise through resource consent processes.</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	204	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under heading 7.2.1 – " <b>A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	205	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Immediately preceding, and in relation to, Rule 19.4.2 replace <del>{D}</del> with <b>[R, D]</b> .					
91	Marlborough District Council	206	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of vegetation clearance as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of all forms of vegetation including indigenous and exotic plant vegetation by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment. <b>For clarity, it does not mean commercial forestry harvesting, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) forestry harvesting and woodlot forestry harvesting.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	207	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity rule under section 6.1 and a new heading under section 6.3 – " <b>Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from outdoor burning exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for non-commercial purposes.</b> " And add two new standards under the new heading in section 6.3 as follows - Standard 1 – " <b>The appliance must only burn fuels approved for use in the appliance.</b> " and Standard 2 – " <b>The appliance must be operated so that all reasonable steps are taken to minimise the amount of smoke discharged.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	208	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 6.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	209	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 6.2.1.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>On a site, no <del>no</del> part of a building must exceed a height equal to the recession plane angle determined by the application of the Recession Plane and Height Controls in Appendix 26. The recession plane angle must be measured from a starting point 2m above ground level at the property boundary.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	210	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity rule under section 5.1 and a new heading under section 5.3 – “ <b>Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from outdoor burning exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for non-commercial purposes.</b> ” And add two new standards under the new heading in section 5.3 as follows - Standard 1 – “ <b>The appliance must only burn fuels approved for use in the appliance.</b> ” and Standard 2 – “ <b>The appliance must be operated so that all reasonable steps are taken to minimise the amount of smoke discharged.</b> ”					
91	Marlborough District Council	211	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.6.2. as follows (bold) – “Planting must not be in, or within: (a) .... (g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, <b>unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established;</b> ”					
91	Marlborough District Council	212	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.1.1 as follows (bold) - “ <b>The farming must not include a dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, this includes the expansion of an existing dairy farm where there is an increase in the area or intensity of the farming operation resulting in an additional area of dairy shed.</b> ”					
91	Marlborough District Council	213	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.17.2.4 as follows (strike through and bold) – “ <b>At least one week before commencing the application, the</b> <del>The</del> <i>applicant must notify in writing details of the location, timing and agrichemical to be used in the application to:</i> (a) every person taking water for domestic supply within 1km downstream of the proposed discharge; (b) every holder of a resource consent for the taking of water for water supply purposes within 1km downstream of the proposed discharge; <del>at least one week before commencing the application.</del> (c) the Council.”					
91	Marlborough District Council	214	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Add the following standard to Rule 2.12.10 - “ <b>The application must be carried out in accordance with Sections 5.3 and 5.5 of NZS 8409:2004 Safe Use of Agricultural Compounds and Plant Protection Products – Management of Agrichemicals.</b> ”					
91	Marlborough District Council	215	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.1 as follows - " <b><del>Standards for the Industrial 1 Zone only:</del></b> ", and delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.3 as follows - " <b><del>Standards for the Industrial 2 Zone only:</del></b> " and delete heading immediately above 12.2.2.4 as follows - " <b><del>Standards for both Industrial 1 and 2 Zone:</del></b> " and delete Standard 12.2.2.3 as follows - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at the zone boundary or within the zone: At any time 75 dBA LAeq 85dB LAFmax.</del></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	216	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.2.2.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or within the notional boundary of a dwelling on any property zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living or Rural Environment in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones).</del></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	217	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Industrial 1 or Industrial 2 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	218	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 11.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	219	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 10.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	220	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 8.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property at the Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	221	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 8.2.1.4 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><i>On a site, the</i></b> <del>The minimum setbacks from property boundaries must be:</del> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	222	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under heading 8.2.1 – " <b><i>A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	223	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 7.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits</del> <b><i>at or within the boundary of any other property at the Zone boundary or within the Zone:</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	224	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 16.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An activity must</del> <b><i>not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	225	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>Amend Standard 15.2.3.1 as follows -</del> " <b><i>An activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within the boundary of any other property zoned Marina be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Zone does not exceed the following noise limits.</i></b> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	226	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 14.2.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>An activity must</del> <b><i>not cause noise that exceeds the following noise limits at or within the boundary of any other property be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of, or within, the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</i></b> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	227	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under heading 12.2.1 as follows - " <b>At least 10% of the road frontage must be landscaped with permanent plantings of grasses (except lawn grasses), shrubs and trees or any combination thereof.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	228	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.9.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Gravel or sediment removal must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period <b>in any reach</b> of any floodway.</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	229	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.1.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Works must be undertaken outside of the wet part of the riverbed, <b>where possible.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	230	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.2.2.3(a) as follows (strike through) - " <i>The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits: (a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration <del>as part of farming activity occurring in the Floodway Zone.</del></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	231	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend heading 21.3.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>Replacement or M</del>aintenance of a culvert or floodgate.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	232	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b><del>Replacement or M</del>aintenance of a culvert or floodgate.</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	233	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The <del>An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any a zone other than Floodway Zone</b> at the <del>Zone boundary or within the Zone.</del></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	234	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.1.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 19.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	235	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 18.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	236	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 17.2.2.1 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>The An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits <b>at or within the boundary of any other property</b> at the zone boundary or within the zone."					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	237	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 15.2.3.2 as follows (bold) - "An activity undertaken within the Marina Zone must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured <b>at or</b> within an Urban Residential 2 or Open Space 1 Zone does not exceed the following limits:"					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	238	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Steep Erosion-Prone Land Overlay from any property zoned Urban Residential 2.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	239	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.3.10 as follows (bold) - "Gravel and sediment stockpiling, <b>and gravel processing facilities</b> , within a dry part of a riverbed."					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	240	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 21.1.10 as follows (bold) - "Gravel and sediment stockpiling, <b>and gravel processing facilities</b> , within a dry part of a riverbed."					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	241	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.5.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 6.3.5.5 as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 10m3 within a Groundwater Protection Area, <b>unless the excavation is to establish a foundation for a building permitted in this zone.</b></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	242	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 5.3.10.6 as follows (bold) - " <i>There must be no excavation in excess of 10m3 within a Groundwater Protection Area, <b>unless the excavation is to establish a foundation for a building permitted in this zone.</b></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	243	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.20.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> <del>a change in concentration of following:</del> (a) <del>daily average 2mg/l carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> (b) <del>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del>(c) <del>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> (d) <b>260 Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml.</b></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	244	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.21.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> <del>a change in concentration of following:</del> (a) <del>daily average 2mg/l carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> (b) <del>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del>(c) <del>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> (d) <b>260 Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml.</b></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	245	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.9.9.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in <b>the water quality of the river exceeding the</b> <del>a change in concentration of following:</del> (a) <del>daily average 2mg/l carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</del> (b) <del>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</del>(c) <del>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</del> (d) <b>260 Escherichia coli (E. coli)/100ml.</b></i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	246	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.14.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.14.2 as follows (bold) - " <i>Vegetation greater than 100mm in diameter must be removed from a riverbed wider than 3m, <b>except in the Floodway Zone in the Upper Wairau River.</b></i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	247	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.12.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.12.3 as follows (bold) - " <i>The excavator must not enter flowing water, <b>where possible.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	248	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.12.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 21.3.12.1 as follows (bold) - " <i>Cutting must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period on any river reach, except that the Lower Opaoa River may be cut up to four times per year <b>and the Taylor River may be cut up to two times per year.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	249	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Taylor River in Schedule 3 on p 6-6 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through) - " <i>Taylor River (below Doctors Creek confluence).</i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	250	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Insert a new table row for in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 of Appendix 6 as follows (bold) - Freshwater Management Unit (FMU) column " <b>Opaoa (below the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</b> "; Class column " <i>n/a</i> "; Allocation column - " <b>24,000</b> "; Allocation column " <i>n/a</i> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	251	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Opaoa (from below O'Dwyers Mills and Ford Road to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers).</i> " And, amend the allocation in table column 3 in the row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 from " <del>25,000</del> " to " <b>1000</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	252	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 9.2.2.1 as follows - " <i>The <del>An</del> activity must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at <b>or within the boundary of any other property zoned Business 1, Business 2 or Business 3 at the zone boundary or within the zone.</b></i> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	253	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.12.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.3.12.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed <del>20</del>/s <b>100</b>/s.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	254	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.3.22.3 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed <del>100</del>/s <b>20</b>/s.</i> "					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	255	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 35	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For the parts of the following properties that are zoned Business 1, change the zoning to Open Space 2 - Pt Sec 1244, Sec 1260 & Sec 1258 TN of Picton, Lot 4 DP 3342.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	256	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 37	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For the parts of the following properties that are zoned Open Space 2, change the zoning to Business 1 - Secs 1180 & 1181 TN of Picton, Lots 1, 2 & 3 DP 7913, Pt Lot 3 DP 1682, Lot 4 DP 3342 and Lot 1 DP 1972.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	257	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Taylor River FMU in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) - Class column "A"; Minimum Flow column - " <i>Minimum of 1.000m<sup>3</sup>/s at Hutcheson Street</i> "; Monitoring Site column " <i>Hutcheson Street</i> "; Management Flow column " <i>Minimum of Fully restricted below 1.000m<sup>3</sup>/s</i> ". Class column " <b>C</b> "; Minimum Flow column - " <b>Minimum of 0.300m<sup>3</sup>/s at Borough Weir</b> "; Monitoring Site column " <b>Borough Weir</b> "; Management Flow column " <b>Fully restricted below 0.300m<sup>3</sup>/s</b> ".					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	258	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 3 on p 6-5 of Appendix 6 to add the following line - FMU column " <b>Opaoa (below Mills and Road to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</b> "; Class column " <b>n/a</b> "; Minimum flow column " <b>Minimum of 0.500m<sup>3</sup>/s at Opaoa River immediately below the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers</b> "; Monitoring Site column " <b>Hutcheson Street</b> "; Management Flow column " <b>Fully restricted below 1.000m<sup>3</sup>/s</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	259	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 3 on p 6-5 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>Opaoa (from <del>below O'Dwyers Mills and Ford Road</del> to the confluence of the Opaoa and Taylor Rivers)</b> "; and replace " <b>A</b> " with " <b>n/a</b> ".					
91	Marlborough District Council	260	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Freshwater Management Unit 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the boundary between Flaxbourne (Central) FMU and Flaxbourne (Lower) FMU as shown by the heavy black line on the map.					
91	Marlborough District Council	261	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the A allocation for the Flaxbourne - Central FMU from 495 to 275 and amend the A allocation for the Flaxbourne - Lower FMU from 1850 to 2070.					
91	Marlborough District Council	262	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend " <b>Rural Living</b> " under zone column of table to read as follows (bold) - " <b>Rural Living (except land within the Brancott or Benmorven Freshwater Management Units)</b> "					
91	Marlborough District Council	263	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the phrase " <b>1 July 1972 to 30 June 2014</b> " referred to in the row headed "Method of determination" to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <b>1 July 1972 to <del>30 June 2014</del> to the most recent year ending 30 June</b> ".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	264	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	265	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	266	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558;</i></b> <b><i>Reservoir Stream (to Fyffes Street Drain, to SW and to Wither Stream Drain) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680044 5398965; Downstream co-ordinates 1680002 5400741.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	267	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 28	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Sutherlands Stream (to Sutherlands Stream Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680579 5399683; Downstream co-ordinates 1680346 5400495;</i></b> <b><i>Dungys Gully (to Mapps Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680736 5400391; Downstream co-ordinates 1681036 5401296.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	268	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 29	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Mapps Stream (West) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681505 5399730; Downstream co-ordinates 1681176 5400586;</i></b> <b><i>Mapps Stream (East) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681718 5399991; Downstream co-ordinates 1681221 5400690;</i></b> <b><i>Simmons Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1681954 5399868; Downstream co-ordinates 1681605 5400872.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	269	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 31	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Elevation Stream (alongside SH1 (Wairau Road) near Angle Street) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683309 5427245; Downstream co-ordinates 1683443 5427377.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	270	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 33	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Kent Street Drain (Scotland Street to Waitohi Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683267 5427874; Downstream co-ordinates 1683760 5428387;</i></b> <b><i>Elevation Stream (alongside SH1 (Wairau Road) near Angle Street) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683309 5427245; Downstream co-ordinates 1683443 5427377.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	271	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Kent Street Drain (Scotland Street to Waitohi Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683267 5427874; Downstream co-ordinates 1683760 5428387.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	272	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 37	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Hampden Street Drain and tributary (above Leicester Street to Picton Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685469 5429619; Downstream co-ordinates 1684911 5428783.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	273	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 38	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Milton Street Drain (above Milton Street to Stormwater Service) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685474 5429034; Downstream co-ordinates 1685312 5429200;</i></b> <b><i>Hampden Street Drain and tributary (above Leicester Street to Picton Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685469 5429619; Downstream co-ordinates 1684911 5428783.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	274	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 40	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Edes Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687322 5430483; Downstream co-ordinates 1687257 5430944;</i></b> <b><i>Waimarama Street Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687202 5430569; Downstream co-ordinates 1687123 5430925;</i></b> <b><i>Turner Street Drain to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686928 5430329; Downstream co-ordinates 1686881 5430758;</i></b> <b><i>Waikawa Stream to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686444 5430134; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5430382;</i></b> <b><i>Endeavour Stream and tributary (Admiralty Place to Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685843 5430030; Downstream co-ordinates 1686895 5430903.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	275	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 41	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Edes Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687322 5430483; Downstream co-ordinates 1687257 5430944;</i></b>  <b><i>Waimarama Street Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687202 5430569; Downstream co-ordinates 1687123 5430925;</i></b>  <b><i>Turner Street Drain to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686928 5430329; Downstream co-ordinates 1686881 5430758;</i></b>  <b><i>Waikawa Stream to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686444 5430134; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5430382;</i></b>  <b><i>Endeavour Stream and tributary (Admiralty Place to Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685843 5430030; Downstream co-ordinates 1686895 5430903.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	276	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 42	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Arthur Street Drain to Stormwater Service - Upstream co-ordinates 1687911 5430898; Downstream co-ordinates 1687830 5431101.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	277	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 47	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Graham River to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1690126 5429841; Downstream co-ordinates 1689959 5430960.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	278	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 57	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Takorika Stream (Township extent to Havelock Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1663902 5429845; Downstream co-ordinates 1664472 5430015;</i></b>  <b><i>Wilson Street Drain (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664167 5429042; Downstream co-ordinates 1664432 5429385;</i></b>  <b><i>Kaituna Place Stream (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664280 5428924; Downstream co-ordinates 1664693 5429117.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	279	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 64	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Kaimiko to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1654824 5448443; Downstream co-ordinates 1655021 5448798;</i></b> <b><i>Trailer Park Drain (tributary of Kaimiko Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655090 5448574; Downstream co-ordinates 1655016 5448751;</i></b> <b><i>Harbour View Road Drain (alongside Harbour View Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655399 5448558; Downstream co-ordinates 1655402 5448652;</i></b> <b><i>Okiwi Crescent Stream (Right of Way to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655528 548424; Downstream co-ordinates 1655521 5448680;</i></b> <b><i>Ruataniwha Stream (Croisilles-French Pass Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655818 5448348; Downstream co-ordinates 1655727 5448718;</i></b> <b><i>Field Terrace Stream (Tributary of Ruataniwha Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655605 5448247; Downstream co-ordinates 1655770 5448449.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	280	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 72	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Te Awa Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1676831 5431968; Downstream co-ordinates 1676830 5431508;</i></b> <b><i>Tirimoana Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675928 5431167; Downstream co-ordinates 1676257 5430978;</i></b> <b><i>Anakiwa Road Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675988 5430878; Downstream co-ordinates 1676158 5430853.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	281	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 74	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Ngakuta Stream (West) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680258 5429935; Downstream co-ordinates 1680747 5430488;</i></b> <b><i>Ngakuta Stream (East) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680787 5429907; Downstream co-ordinates 1680882 5430477.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	283	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 86	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Golf Course Creek (50m above New Renwick Road to Golf Course Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1673467 5402025; Downstream co-ordinates 1674252 5402198.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	284	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 111	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Kaimiko to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1654824 5448443; Downstream co-ordinates 1655021 5448798;</i></b> <b><i>Trailer Park Drain (tributary of Kaimiko Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655090 5448574; Downstream co-ordinates 1655016 5448751;</i></b> <b><i>Harbour View Road Drain (alongside Harbour View Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655399 5448558; Downstream co-ordinates 1655402 5448652;</i></b> <b><i>Okiwi Crescent Stream (Right of Way to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655528 548424; Downstream co-ordinates 1655521 5448680;</i></b> <b><i>Ruataniwha Stream (Croisilles-French Pass Road to Okiwi Bay) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655818 5448348; Downstream co-ordinates 1655727 5448718;</i></b> <b><i>Field Terrace Stream (Tributary of Ruataniwha Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1655605 5448247; Downstream co-ordinates 1655770 5448449.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	285	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 125	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Te Awa Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1676831 5431968; Downstream co-ordinates 1676830 5431508;</i></b> <b><i>Anakiwa Road Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675988 5430878; Downstream co-ordinates 1676158 5430853.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	286	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 127	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Arthur Street Drain to Stormwater Service - Upstream co-ordinates 1687911 5430898; Downstream co-ordinates 1687830 5431101.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	287	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 135	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Takorika Stream (Township extent to Havelock Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1663902 5429845; Downstream co-ordinates 1664472 5430015;</i></b> <b><i>Wilson Street Drain (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664167 5429042; Downstream co-ordinates 1664432 5429385;</i></b> <b><i>Kaituna Place Stream (Township extent to Coast) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664280 5428924; Downstream co-ordinates 1664693 5429117.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	288	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 137	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Tirimoana Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675928 5431167; Downstream co-ordinates 1676257 5430978;</i></b> <b><i>Anakiwa Road Stream to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1675988 5430878; Downstream co-ordinates 1676158 5430853;</i></b> <b><i>Koromiko Stream (225m above SH1 to Tuamarina confluence) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680090 5421515; Downstream co-ordinates 1679577 5421466;</i></b> <b><i>Tuamarina River and tributary (Lindens Road to Tuamarina Floodway Zone (plus Koromiko and tributary)) - Upstream co-ordinates 1681129 5425870; Downstream co-ordinates 1680398 5414583.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	289	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 138	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone -					
	<b><i>Ngakuta Stream (West) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680258 5429935; Downstream co-ordinates 1680747 5430488;</i></b>					
	<b><i>Ngakuta Stream (East) to the Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1680787 5429907; Downstream co-ordinates 1680882 5430477;</i></b>					
	<b><i>Milton Street Drain (above Milton Street to Stormwater Service) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685474 5429034; Downstream co-ordinates 1685312 5429200;</i></b>					
	<b><i>Hampden Street Drain and tributary (above Leicester Street to Picton Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685469 5429619; Downstream co-ordinates 1684911 5428783;</i></b>					
	<b><i>Kent Street Drain (Scotland Street to Waitohi Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683267 5427874; Downstream co-ordinates 1683760 5428387;</i></b>					
	<b><i>Elevation Stream (alongside SH1 (Wairau Road) near Angle Street) - Upstream co-ordinates 1683309 5427245; Downstream co-ordinates 1683443 5427377;</i></b>					
	<b><i>Koromiko Stream (225m above SH1 to Tuamarina confluence) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680090 5421515; Downstream co-ordinates 1679577 5421466;</i></b>					
	<b><i>Tuamarina River and tributary (Lindens Road to Tuamarina Floodway Zone (plus Koromiko and tributary)) - Upstream co-ordinates 1681129 5425870; Downstream co-ordinates 1680398 5414583.</i></b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	290	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 139	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Graham River to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1690126 5429841; Downstream co-ordinates 1689959 5430960;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Arthur Street Drain to Stormwater Service - Upstream co-ordinates 1687911 5430898; Downstream co-ordinates 1687830 5431101;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Edes Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687322 5430483; Downstream co-ordinates 1687257 5430944;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Waimarama Street Drain to Coast - Upstream co-ordinates 1687202 5430569; Downstream co-ordinates 1687123 5430925;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Turner Street Drain to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686928 5430329; Downstream co-ordinates 1686881 5430758;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Waikawa Stream to Waikawa Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686444 5430134; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5430382;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Endeavour Stream and tributary (Admiralty Place to Marina) - Upstream co-ordinates 1685843 5430030; Downstream co-ordinates 1686895 5430903.</i></b></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	291	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 146	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819.</i></b></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	292	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 147	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Are Are Creek (Leslies Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664111 5413249; Downstream co-ordinates 1668980 5409028;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819.</i></b></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	293	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 148	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Waikakaho River (to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1671132 5418045; Downstream co-ordinates 1675483 5411667.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	294	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 149	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Tuamarina River and tributary (Lindens Road to Tuamarina Floodway Zone (plus Koromiko and tributary)) - Upstream co-ordinates 1681129 5425870; Downstream co-ordinates 1680398 5414583;</i></b> <b><i>Waikakaho River (to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1671132 5418045; Downstream co-ordinates 1675483 5411667.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	295	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 154	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Timms Creek (75m above Northbank Road to Upper Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1642871 5400647; Downstream co-ordinates 1643110 5400510.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	296	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 155	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Bartletts Creek (100m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1649733 5403518; Downstream co-ordinates 1650650 5403236;</i></b> <b><i>Timms Creek (75m above Northbank Road to Upper Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1642871 5400647; Downstream co-ordinates 1643110 5400510;</i></b> <b><i>Pine Valley Stream (600m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1645132 5402338; Downstream co-ordinates 1646369 5401797.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	297	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 156	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Bartletts Creek (100m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1649733 5403518; Downstream co-ordinates 1650650 5403236;</i></b> <b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	298	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 157	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Are Are Creek (Leslies Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664111 5413249; Downstream co-ordinates 1668980 5409028;</i></b> <b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819;</i></b> <b><i>Langley Dale Stream (50m above Northbank Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1661474 5406425; Downstream co-ordinates 1661709 5406442.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	299	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 158	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Golf Course Creek (50m above New Renwick Road to Golf Course Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1673467 5402025; Downstream co-ordinates 1674252 5402198;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Are Are Creek (Leslies Road to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1664111 5413249; Downstream co-ordinates 1668980 5409028;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Lamberts Creek (to Wairau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1666082 5409583; Downstream co-ordinates 1667541 5408262;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ruakanakana (Gibson) Creek and all tributaries (west to east to Opaoa Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1661496 5402816; Downstream co-ordinates 1670975 5405486;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ohinemahuta (Onamalutu) River (Nutmeg Creek to Wairau Floodway Zone and Ohinemahuta Overflow) - Upstream co-ordinates 1657432 5409803; Downstream co-ordinates 1666199 5407819;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>School Creek (SH63 to Ruakanakana (Gibsons) Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1669064 5403839; Downstream co-ordinates 1669880 5405335;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Terrace Creek (3A Nelson Place to Ruakanakana (Gibsons) Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1668646 5404498; Downstream co-ordinates 1669223 5404928.</i></b></p>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	300	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 159	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Doctors Creek to Taylor Confluence - Upstream co-ordinates 1675581 5401858; Downstream co-ordinates 1678423 5403568;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Golf Course Creek (50m above New Renwick Road to Golf Course Creek) - Upstream co-ordinates 1673467 5402025; Downstream co-ordinates 1674252 5402198;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Reservoir Stream (to Fyffes Street Drain, to SW and to Wither Stream Drain) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680044 5398965; Downstream co-ordinates 1680002 5400741;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Sutherlands Stream (to Sutherlands Stream Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680579 5399683; Downstream co-ordinates 1680346 5400495;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Dungys Gully (to Mapps Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680736 5400391; Downstream co-ordinates 1681036 5401296.</i></b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
91	Marlborough District Council	301	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 160	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Mapps Stream (West) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681505 5399730; Downstream co-ordinates 1681176 5400586;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Mapps Stream (East) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681718 5399991; Downstream co-ordinates 1681221 5400690;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Simmons Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1681954 5399868; Downstream co-ordinates 1681605 5400872;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Cathcarts Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682024 5400427; Downstream co-ordinates 1681882 5401132;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>McCormacks Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682239 5400777; Downstream co-ordinates 1682049 5401215;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Eastern Boundary Gully/Airfields Drain to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682249 5400432; Downstream co-ordinates 1683165 5401390;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Snake Gully to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1682987 5400523; Downstream co-ordinates 1683222 5401416;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Hidden Valley Channel to Mapps Waterway Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1683707 5401057; Downstream co-ordinates 1683537 5401671;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Ryans Waterway to Riverlands Co-op Drain Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1683800 5400794; Downstream co-ordinates 1684180 5401476;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Fifteen Valley Stream to Fifteen Valley Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1684640 5400235; Downstream co-ordinates 1685270 5400367;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Sixteen Valley Stream to Riverlands Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686021 5399475; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5400157.</i></b></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	302	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 165	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following to the Floodway Zone -</p> <p><b><i>Wye River (500m above SH63 to Wairau River) - Upstream co-ordinates 1626867 5390234; Downstream co-ordinates 1627559 5392329.</i></b></p>					
91	Marlborough District Council	303	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 166	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Top Valley Stream (180m above Northbank Road to Upper Waiau Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1638662 5398786; Downstream co-ordinates 1639132 5398825;</i></b> <b><i>Powells Island Channel (approx. 4.0km length of breakout channel) - Upstream co-ordinates 1638162 5397752; Downstream co-ordinates 1641524 5399174;</i></b> <b><i>Tiresh Channel (approx. 1.8km length of breakout channel) - Upstream co-ordinates 1634100 5395290; Downstream co-ordinates 1635613 5396169.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	304	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 167	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Powells Island Channel (approx. 4.0km length of breakout channel) - Upstream co-ordinates 1638162 5397752; Downstream co-ordinates 1641524 5399174.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	305	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 171	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Taylor River above Dam - Upstream co-ordinates 1677800 5396262; Downstream co-ordinates 1677703 5397324;</i></b> <b><i>Rifle Range Creek to Taylor Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1679878 5398274; Downstream co-ordinates 1679093 5399807.</i></b>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	306	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 172	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Wither Stream and minor tributary - Upstream co-ordinates 1679383 5399654; Downstream co-ordinates 1680442 5401558;</i></b> <b><i>Reservoir Stream (to Fyffes Street Drain, to SW and to Wither Stream Drain) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680044 5398965; Downstream co-ordinates 1680002 5400741;</i></b> <b><i>Sutherlands Stream (to Sutherlands Stream Floodway Zone) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680579 5399683; Downstream co-ordinates 1680346 5400495;</i></b> <b><i>Dungys Gully (to Mapps Stream) - Upstream co-ordinates 1680736 5400391; Downstream co-ordinates 1681036 5401296;</i></b> <b><i>Mapps Stream (West) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681505 5399730; Downstream co-ordinates 1681176 5400586;</i></b> <b><i>Mapps Stream (East) to Mapps Stream - Upstream co-ordinates 1681718 5399991; Downstream co-ordinates 1681221 5400690;</i></b> <b><i>Fifteen Valley Stream to Fifteen Valley Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1684640 5400235; Downstream co-ordinates 1685270 5400367;</i></b> <b><i>Sixteen Valley Stream to Riverlands Floodway Zone - Upstream co-ordinates 1686021 5399475; Downstream co-ordinates 1686548 5400157;</i></b> <b><i>Seventeen Valley Stream to Vernon Lagoon - Upstream co-ordinates 1687034 5399608; Downstream co-ordinates 1687368 5400292.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	307	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 180	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Waihopai River (above Benhopai Dam to above Maori Ford Bridge) - Upstream co-ordinates 1645512 5387062; Downstream co-ordinates 1647572 5387308.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	308	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 181	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Waihopai River (above Benhopai Dam to above Maori Ford Bridge) - Upstream co-ordinates 1645512 5387062; Downstream co-ordinates 1647572 5387308.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	309	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 186	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Starborough Creek (above Foster Street to Awatere River) - Upstream co-ordinates 1689021 5385928; Downstream co-ordinates 1690319 5387459.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	310	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 58	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following to the Floodway Zone - <b><i>Starborough Creek (above Foster Street to Awatere River) - Upstream co-ordinates 1689021 5385928; Downstream co-ordinates 1690319 5387459.</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	311	Volume 2	2 General Rules		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the introductory statement under the heading "Activity In, On, Over or Under the Bed of a Lake or River" on page 2-11 as follows (bold) - <b><i>"Activities in, on, over or under the beds of lakes and rivers do not cover the taking, use, damming or diversion of water controlled under Section 14 of the RMA. These rules do not apply to the Floodway Zone."</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	312	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Opaoa Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-2 of Appendix 6 as follows (strike through and bold) - <b><i>"Opaoa (above <del>O'Dwyers</del> Mills and Ford Road)."</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	313	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the table row for the Roses Overflow Freshwater Management Unit in Schedule 1 on p 6-3 of Appendix 6 as follows (bold) - <b><i>"Roses Overflow (below control weir)."</i></b>					
91	Marlborough District Council	314	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Freshwater Management Unit 2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The boundaries of the Lower and Upper Pelorus Freshwater Management Units need to be adjusted to correct a mapping error (see attachment - black line is new proposed boundary). The amended boundaries will be consistent with the description of the boundaries in Appendix 6.					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	315	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Freshwater Management Unit 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the boundaries of the Boundary Freshwater Management Unit to reflect the following (see attachment - black line is new proposed boundary) -  <i>"The north-eastern extent of the Boundary Creek FMU was intended to include all areas where groundwater takes were likely to cause stream depletion from the spring derived streams such as Excell Stream, Huddleston Stream, and Mill Creek. However the northern boundary was placed too far north, and captured a number of takes which are closer to the Wairau River, and more correctly placed in the Wairau River FMU. The boundary between the two FMUs has been redefined slightly south, generally following the main terrace, below which the Wairau river is the predominant influence. At the same time slight corrections have been made on the southern edge of the Mill Creek catchment to better reflect the hydrology of this area."</i>					
<b>91</b>	Marlborough District Council	316	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A slope factor has not been applied to the mapping of the Steep Erosion Prone Land therefore Class 8 and 7e land that is not on a slope but is unproductive land, e.g. estuaries have been inappropriately picked up. Two examples are attached, it is requested that these and similar features are removed from the Steep Erosion Prone Land Overlay.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale of regional alignment.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure that any regulation, including any permitted activity standards are in line with the guiding principle of scale minimal intervention in the exercise of property rights. Make plantation forestry activities controlled activities.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain guiding principle <b>It is important that people live and work in locations and in situations that have a minimal risk of being adversely affected by a hazard event.</b>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	The regulation for plantation forestry be removed from the Plan.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that any regulation for plantation forests are an appropriate mix of regulatory and non regulatory controls.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Issue 4A with the following amendments.</p> <p>The ecosystem services benefits of commercial forestry should also be recognised.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Habitat</li> <li>• Recreational access</li> <li>• Reducing flooding</li> <li>• Carbon sequestration</li> <li>• Other non-wood values</li> </ul>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity of regulation in line with the guiding principle of scale. Insert commentary about the minimal use of water by plantation forests.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	The resultant regulation should be in alignment with the policy.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The word "take" should be defined to not include water used by growing trees.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5C.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue 5.3.4.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.13.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this policy.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this policy.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Equally state that hill country is best suited to commercial forestry.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure that there is equitable allocation of the water resource, recognising passive and abstractive use.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for short term and minor diversions as permitted activities.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for consideration of the duration of the activity.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Enable minor and small scale in-stream damming.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include recognition of plantation forests lack of abstractive use of water, therefore does not rely on water in comparison to other primary industries.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that plantation afforestation is not controlled as a relief to provide water to be made available for abstractive use.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete any provisions which regulate plantation afforestation or replanting in flow sensitive sites.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete any provisions which regulate plantation afforestation or replanting in flow sensitive sites.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	40	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Only apply the objective to identified rivers.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	41	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure that the coastal environment reflects truly respects that natural character of the coastal environment.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	42	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the assessment criteria.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	43	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limitations to only apply to a set of identified rivers – not all rivers. Clarify what “adjacent to” means or delete it.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limitations to only apply to a set of identified rivers - not <u>all</u> rivers.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for the appropriate use of natural and physical resources.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for the appropriate use of natural and physical resources.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	47	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the riparian natural character overlay and ensure provision is made for appropriate use of natural and physical resources.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	48	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the policy or ensure it only has limited application where appropriate.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity of setbacks dependant on adverse effects and provide justification for any lineal setback control.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity and clarity of the method and regulation.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	52	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Pragmatic reassessment of the Marlborough Sounds ONL's.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	53	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure provision is provided for working rural environments, which do change.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	54	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provisions in relation to plantation forest.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	55	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review Appendix 1 to ensure it can be meaningfully applied.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	56	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify the policy and its interpretation (in light of the word "avoids" definition in Section 2 of the MEP).					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	57	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy and ensure legally established plantation forest is not captured by the policy.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	58	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equality for all primary land use.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	59	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	This policy is deleted.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	60	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure planning controls are warranted, based on risk analysis.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	61	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity and clarity of the method and regulation.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	62	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Develop meaningful incentives to drive land use change.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	63	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise the role plantation forests have in maintaining indigenous biodiversity.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	64	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that these areas, while significant, may be affected legitimate adjacent activities.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	65	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that these areas, while significant, may be affected legitimate adjacent activities.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	66	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any identified wetlands that have not been verified and included without landowner consultation.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	67	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any identified wetlands that have not been verified and included without landowner consultation.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	68	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure that this policy is restricted to specific and identified sites.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	69	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this policy.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	70	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy so that it is restricted to specific and identified sites.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	73	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure public safety is provided for.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	74	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that policy 9.1.15 is linked to this policy.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	75	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a clear requirement of organiser(s) being responsible for their effects e.g. fire risk etc.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	76	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that fire risk is adequately considered and provided for.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	77	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a further consideration – fire danger and risk both on-site and to adjacent property/people.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	78	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Widen the policy to include the impacts of other natural events and hazards.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	79	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword the objective to ensure it is meaningful.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	80	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The risks of fire to property including plantation forest and life need to be considered and provided for in the assessment of adverse effects.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	81	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarity that roads are not included.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	82	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Actively remove animals from water catchments.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	83	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Actively remove animals from water catchments.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the word 'occasionally' from Natural Process text (first word of paragraph 2).					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	85	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include use of non-regulatory methods.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	86	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	88	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Stakeholders need to be involved in this process.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	89	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Clear statement of involvement of landowners and other stakeholders.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	90	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Working with landowners is a positive approach and could result in no requirement to control resource use. This outcome should be provided for – not just a regulation outcome.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	91	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification of promote v rules.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	92	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make this a controlled activity if consent is required.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	93	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow short term and/or minor discharges.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	94	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Munsell scale. Allow minor and/or short term discharges where appropriate. Define proximity. Provide clarity in consideration of existing levels. If there is high suspended sediment a bit more won't matter or enough is enough?					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	95	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Define use of "potential" and how is this identified.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	96	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Air quality monitoring of ground concentrations is undertaken at the notional boundary of the nearest dwelling.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	97	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide clear statement as to 'dust' being in or out.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	98	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification required for dust. Silent in the use of shelterbelts or physical barriers.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	99	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide clear statement as to 'dust' being in or out.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	100	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	It should be avoided except for in designated tracks, roads, skids, culverts or where required for built infrastructure.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	101	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	A controlled activity rule would deliver the required outcomes. Allow controlled activity status. Need to promote use of shelterbelts or minimise their removal.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for a controlled activity or limited discretionary activity.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	103	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for machinery use in erosion control as a controlled or limited discretionary activity.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	104	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.38	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for machinery use in erosion control as a controlled or limited discretionary activity.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	105	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.39	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Note that forest land should not be penalised for low fertiliser use should there be a land use change.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	106	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.45	Oppose
Decision Requested	Monitor all land users to obtain information.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Clarify as to what is/is not significant.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	108	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide policies or methods to address issue on private and public land.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	109	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.4	Support
Decision Requested	There could be some better clarity as to implementing the objectives.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	110	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Re-classify public roads to forest boundaries as arterial roads in the 5 year before and during harvest.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	111	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Setbacks will be considered on merits relative to activity and will be permitted where possible.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	112	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support
Decision Requested	No decision requested.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	113	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reclassify local roads to forest boundaries to arterial in the 5yr before and during harvest.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	114	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite to provide greater clarity.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	115	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide clarity of intention.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	116	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	117	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (Inferred)					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	118	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to include management as an activity.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	119	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to include maintenance of infrastructure post harvest, by including the following (or words with similar effect): <b>d) maintenance of infrastructure post harvest.</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	120	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the words: <del>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</del>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	121	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to replanting in the definition.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	122	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the second sentence of the definition as follows (or words with similar effect): <b>Includes the planting, management and preparation of land for planting, including excavation.</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	123	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the last sentence of the definition: <del>This definition only pertains to the Coastal Environment Zone.</del>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	124	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of excavation by including (or words to similar effect): This definition does not pertain to Commercial Forestry Harvesting.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	125	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of slash and replace it with the following (or words with similar effect): <b>Slash: means wood waste (slovens, cull logs, uprooted stumps, broken trees, chunks, branches and tops) greater than 100 mm in diameter and 1 metre in length, resulting from the activities of vegetation removal (including commercial harvesting) and earthworks.</b>					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	126	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	127	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	129	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend the rule for it to also apply to intermittently flowing watercourses.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	131	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this rule.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	132	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend in accordance with Land and Water Forum recommendation.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	133	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend in accordance with Land and Water Forum recommendation.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	134	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on or over a river.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on, under or over an ephemeral watercourse and intermittently flowing watercourse when there is no surface flow.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule, ensuring that the methods of measurement is useable and meaningful.  This applies to all other references to the Munsell scale in the MEP.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this rule and replace it with the following (or words to similar effect): <b>The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the significant effects of the discharge of dust.</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Either: Delete the requirement for the culvert to be no greater than 8 metres in length  Or Rewrite the rule as follows (or with words of similar effect): <b>The total length of the culvert must not exceed 15m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m.</b>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Establish controlled activity rules for minor non-compliance, where the effects of the activity are known and the impacts are minor. For example the length of a culvert pipe.  Only default non-compliance of permitted activity standards to full discretionary if the effects are unknown, difficult to quantify or when there is a risk of significant adverse effect.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	140	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain clauses 2.17.1.1 – 2.17.1.4 and: Remove from clause 217.1.5 a) the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in hue and rewrite clause 217.1.5 a) ensuring that the methods of measurement are useable and meaningful.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	141	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to state: The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited <b>on in water</b> in a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	142	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity rule for the discharge of dust to air and the standard for the discharge being (or words to similar effect): The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the significant effects of the discharge of dust.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	143	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the words: <i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land from the definition of Commercial Forestry Harvesting, (refer submission point 962.120)</i> AND Delete rule 2.33.2.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	144	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.6 for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) to align with the Proposed Forestry NSE. i.e. "Front-load" the Plan provisions that control to Afforestation, making the subsequent harvest of the plantation, and the supporting earthworks a Permitted Activity.  Amend rule set 3.3.6 to afford permitted activity (PA) status for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) based on the terms and conditions and exceptions in the proposed Forestry NES; ie default out of PA into Controlled activity status (ie a consenting regime) when the effects on the environment are judged significantly adverse.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	145	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.7 to make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity, on all but land zoned Red or Dark Orange in the updated Erosion Susceptibility Classification (ESC) mapping produced by LandCare Research for the proposed Forestry NES.  On all other land (Green, Yellow & Orange zoned in the ESC) make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity subject to the preparation of a Harvest Plan and an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as per Rule 3.3.7.1.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	146	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.2.1.7 Create an ability to vary easily where adjoining property is also commercial forestry.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	147	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause b) to also include forestry activities.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	148	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this standard to apply equally to forestry land and operations.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	149	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Separate the activities of planting and replanting for all applicable rules.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	150	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause a) from standard 3.3.6.1 A general restriction on other species may impact on erosion control and stability plantings – including MDC own requirements on the Wither Hills.  Amend the definition of Commercial forestry Planting in Section 25 (Definitions) to exclude replant. (refer submission point 962.121)					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	151	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete clause (c) and replace it with (or with words of similar effect): <i>Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading.</i>					
	Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	152	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (d) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	153	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (e) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	154	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (f) and replace it with the setbacks as specified in the NES-PF					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	155	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause (g) to not apply to the replanting of an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	156	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause (g)					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	157	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	158	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the notification standard as follows (or with words of similar effect):  Notification must be given to council:  (a) at least 10 and no more than 60 working days prior to planned commencement of harvesting, or  (b) a minimum of 2 days before commencement of harvesting required for salvage operations, or  (c) annually in the case of ongoing harvesting operations.  The Harvest Plan must be made available to the council on request, at least 20 working days before operations start, or provided annually on written agreement with the council.</p> <p>The notification requirement can be waived, in writing by the council. The council may also at their discretion reduce the notice period upon request of the Forest Manager.</p>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	159	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete the notification period from this standard and amend it to read (or with words of similar effect):  <b>The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that will result in trees entering a permanently flowing river.</b></p>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	160	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the following from clause a):  <i>8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	161	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause a) to state (or with words of similar effect): <i>8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings);</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	162	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause b) to read (or with words of similar effect): <b>8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);</b>  Include any identified significant wetlands only if they meet the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	163	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause b) to read (or with words of similar effect): 30m of the Coastal Marine area, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016;					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	164	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the NES-PF.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	165	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a permitted activity on all slopes/land classes.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	166	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.7.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Rewrite this standard as follows (or with words with similar effect): Design and construct batters to be stable.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	167	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this clause.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	168	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	169	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	170	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this standard.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	171	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this clause and replace it with (or words to similar effect): <b>Trees and slash must:</b> <b>(a) be removed from within a river wherever practicable (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</b>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	172	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this standard					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	173	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the clause to state (or with words of similar effect): <i>Trees and slash must:</i> <i>(c) be stored on stable ground</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	174	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword this clause to make it clear and meaningful as, if the intent is to ensure slash is stable, that is good practice.  Suggested wording (or words with similar effect): <i>(d) Slash piles are to be stable.</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	175	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new commercial forestry harvesting standard which states (or words to similar effect): <i>Trees and slash may be deposited into and remain in ephemeral and intermittent (when not flowing) rivers.</i>					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	176	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	177	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	178	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	179	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the rule to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, AND delete the reference to soil debris and the word "tree".					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	180	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the clause as follows (or with words of similar effect): Council will be notified, preferably 2 working days prior to the use of machinery, but will accept notification on the day of use.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	181	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	182	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in hue, AND Rewrite clause (a) ensuring that the methods of measurement are useable and meaningful					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	183	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword clause (b) as follows (or with words to similar effect):  <b>(b) A change of less than 40% in visual clarity</b>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	184	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clearly show that there will not be any self incrimination and make applicable to all lands and land uses.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	185	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete "all" from harvesting tracks and allow tracks identified for replant access to remain subject to 3.3.7.20.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	186	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	187	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Requirement for stability and water & sediment control to remain effective after completion of land disturbance - very open and subjective. <i>(The submission does not include a decision requested.)</i>					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	188	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	189	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Treat in the same manner as 2.22.1.2 Air Application for Agrichemical.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	190	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure event operator has fire controls in place. Speaks with forest neighbour.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	191	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include forestry activities.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	192	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	193	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	194	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
<b>962</b>	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	195	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re-write Rule 4.3.7.1 so that it applies only to species known to spread rapidly in Coastal environment, that also are likely to be planted there. Apply the rule to all land uses.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	196	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the available science to decide what an acceptable temporary discoloration from acceptable construction and forestry practices should be, bearing in mind the greatly reduced sediment transport for most of the forest rotation, and re-write Rule 4. 3.13.10 to reflect this.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	197	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
962	Marlborough Forest Industry Association Incorporated	198	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
390	Marlborough Hort	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
963	Marlborough Kindergarten Association	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the row in the table for "Educational facility" as it relates to Early Childhood Education as follows (strike through) - " <i>Early Childhood Educational or day-care facility – 1 per 10 children the facility is designed to accommodate, plus 1 per FTE employee, plus 1 drop-off space per 5 children the facility is designed to accommodate.</i> "					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the Marlborough Lines Limited 33kV Network to the Zoning Maps <i>(specific Zoning Maps not identified in Submission but a map of Network attached).</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	2	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows - <b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows - <b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows - <b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows - <b>"Planting must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	6	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (g) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b>(g) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows - " <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i> (a) ..... <b>(f) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (g) to the Standard as follows - " <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i> (a) ..... <b>(g) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - " <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i> (a) ..... <b>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit.</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
232	Marlborough Lines Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (k) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(k) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add an additional matter to be addressed in the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan as follows - <b><i>"Provide written approval from Marlborough Lines Limited if there any harvesting proposed where distribution circuits are present."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	12	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 22.3.8.1) as follows - <b><i>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	13	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 19.3.3.1) as follows - <b><i>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	14	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 18.3.3.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	15	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 17.3.2.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	16	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	17	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	18	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 13.3.20.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	19	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 7.3.7.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the Standard 4.3.10.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard under this heading (by association this also adds this to the to Standard 3.3.11.1) as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance must not be within 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	22	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <i>"Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) .....</i> <b><i>(d) 40m of a Marlborough Lines Limited distribution circuit."</i></b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	26	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new policy or method under this Objective requiring consultation to be undertaken with the Network owner (MLL) before undertaking any new planting within 40m of a MLL distribution circuit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	27	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.8.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	28	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	29	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (d) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	30	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (e) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	31	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add (f) to the Standard as follows - <b>"Vegetation clearance when undertaking maintenance of existing infrastructure by a an electricity network utility operator."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	34	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following Designated Land to the table for Marlborough Lines Limited in Appendix 14 - ID No. - <b>(Old ref 168)</b> Map No. - <b>159</b> Site Description - <b>287 Hammerichs Rd, Blenheim</b> Legal Description - <b>Lot 1 DP 2323</b> Designation - <b>Substation</b>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	35	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 135	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add Designated Land number E29 to the Map.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	36	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the following rows in the table of Designated Land for Marlborough Lines Limited in the Appendix to provide more detail. The specific detail is described in the Submission. Rows - E5, E6, E7, E9, E11, E12, E13, E14, E17, E18, E19, E20, E22, E24, E26, E29, E30, E31, E33.					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	37	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <b>Maintenance, as it relates to electricity network utility infrastructure</b> " as follows -  " <b>has the same meaning as in Section 23(3) of the Electricity Act 1992.</b> "  (Inferred)					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	38	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <i>means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes:</i> <i>(a) The addition of circuits and conductors;</i> <i>(b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors;</i> <i>(c) The re-sagging of conductors;</i> <i>(d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators;</i> <i>(e) The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines, earthpeaks and lightning rods;</i> <i>(f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading.</i> Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage ( <b>this does not apply to line upgrades up to 110kv.</b> )"					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	39	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of NZECP34:2001 as follows (bold) -  " <i>means the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances. <b>Where there are references to NZECP34:2001 in the Plan, this should be read to include any subsequent amendments to NZECP34:2001.</b></i> "  (Inferred)					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	40	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.19.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) –  " <i>The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001).</i> "					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	41	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.10.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) – <i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.14.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) – <i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>					
<b>232</b>	Marlborough Lines Limited	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.15.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) – <i>" The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances as required in Table 4 <b>and Figure 1</b> of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>					
<b>267</b>	Marlborough Motor-Cycle Club Inc	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a Permitted Activity be added under Provision 2.2 for the taking of water for dust control.  We suggest an allowance of 50 cubic metres per site per day for up to 50 days per year would be sufficient for the Club, most construction sites, haul roads and the like. Larger-scale construction operations would still need a water permit for large volume and/or longer duration takes.  We anticipate that conditions to be added under Provision 2.3 would include aspects such as a maximum proportion of take of surface flow and restrictions in resource areas that are over-allocated or under restriction. It may also be desirable for records to be kept and for those to be provided to Council upon request.					
<b>237</b>	Marlborough Mountain Bike Club	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rule 3.3.14.4 to read: Excavation within a soil sensitive area identified as loess soils is permitted on slopes up to 20 degrees provided the new track or trail is built to New Zealand recognised standards.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>237</b>	Marlborough Mountain Bike Club	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The wording is changed in the listed rules to "The diameter of any culvert used to drain excavation must be appropriate having regard to the expected volume of water to be drained."					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing users of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use an development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use an appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the coastal natural character overlay over Squally Cove.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the landscape overlay over Squally Cove.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Ecologically Significant Marine Sites overlay over Squally Cove.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
<b>964</b>	Marlborough Oysters Limited	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 16.7.5: <i>Rule 16.7.5. Fishing activity <del>Dredging, trawling or anchoring, or any other non-destructive fishing method</del> that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9.</i>					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1: <i>Standard 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(a) <del>8</del> <b>10</b> metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake <b>permanently flowing water course more than 3 metres in width and 20 metres for those greater than 3 metres in width</b>;</i> <i>(b) 8 metres of a Significant Wetland;</i> <i>(c) <del>200</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area.</i>					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Planting on steep slopes. MRFA would support a mandatory Replanting and Afforestation Management Plan which would identify areas at high risk of erosion and require steps to mitigate that risk.					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following addition (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.8.9: <i>Standard 4.3.8.9. Trees, slash and soil debris must:</i> <b><i>(e) be removed within one month.</i></b>					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.11.8: <i>Standard 4.3.11.8 On completion of a vegetation clearance, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, is to be restored on the site so that, within <del>24</del> <b>12</b> months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the vegetation clearance taking place.</i>					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following addition is made to Standard 4.3.11.10: <i>Standard 4.3.11.10 Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris must:</i> <b><i>(e) be removed within 1 month.</i></b>					
<b>965</b>	Marlborough Recreational Fishers Association	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.5: <i>Standard 4.3.12.5. On completion of cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within <del>24</del> <b>12</b> months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>					
<b>966</b>	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i>  That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i><u>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i>					
<b>966</b>	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i>					
<b>966</b>	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	3	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.					
<b>966</b>	Marlborough Research Centre Trust	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	MRC submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the criteria for determining whether an issue is regionally significant within Chapter 2 Background.					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B issue statement. Amend the Issue 4B reason as follows: <i>The ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect.</i>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 as follows: <i>Efficient, effective, safe <u>and resilient</u> operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i> <i>The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population <u>and economy</u>. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.</i>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</p> <p><i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative <u>or authorised as a permitted activity, resource consent, or notice of requirement</u>, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(k) <del>district</del> roading network;</i></p> <p>...</p> <p>Add a definition for road network as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Means all local roads and State Highways</i></u></p> <p>Add a definition for local road as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Means a road for which Council has financial responsibility for.</i></u></p>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities, <u>including reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects.</u></i></p>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</p> <p><i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, <u>operation</u>, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities. Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i></p> <p>...</p>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	7	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method 10.M.2 as follows:</p> <p><i><del>District and regional</del> rules will be used to ensure that identified heritage resources and/or notable trees are appropriately protected...</i></p>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5.					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert an additional permitted activity water take pursuant to Rule 2.2 as follows: <u>Rule 2.2X Take and use water for the purpose of dust suppression on gravel roads up to 20m<sup>3</sup> per water body per day.</u>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert an additional standard within Rule 2.3 as follows: <u>Take and use water for the purpose of dust suppression on gravel roads up to 20m<sup>3</sup> per day.</u> <u>2.3.X.X Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time.</u> <u>2.3.X.X The take must not occur on more than 90 days within any 12 month period.</u> <u>2.3.X.X The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</u> <u>2.3.X.X Dust suppression on gravel roads must be undertaken by, or on behalf of the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</u>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert an additional permitted activity rule within Chapter 2 General Rules as follows: <u>Rule 2.21.2 Application of dust suppressant substances (excluding waste oil) to gravel road surfaces for the purpose of dust suppression.</u>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert an additional standard within Chapter 2 General Rules as follows:</p> <p><i>Standards that apply to specific permitted activities as follows:</i></p> <p><i>Rule 2.22.2 Application of dust suppressant substances (excluding waste oil) to gravel road surfaces for the purposes of dust suppression.</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.1 If the dust suppressant is a hazardous substance or if the water or dust suppressant contains hazardous substances it shall be licensed for use as a dust suppressant under the provisions of the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act (1996).</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.2 The dust suppressant substance shall not be applied at a rate or in weather conditions that result in ponding or surface run-off of contaminants into surface water.</i></p> <p><i>2.22.2.3 The application of dust suppressants must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i></p>					
<b>967</b>	Marlborough Roads	13	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 14: Schedule of Designated Land in respect of Marlborough District Council - Roads, and the New Zealand Transport Agency designations.					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.					
<b>968</b>	Marlborough Violence Intervention Project	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.37.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Implementation of rules prohibiting signs containing specified content, district wide.</p> <p>That <i>Prohibited Activities</i> is included under the heading <i>Signage</i> for the following activity:</p> <p><b><i>Prohibited Activities</i></b></p> <p><b><i>A sign displaying content that:</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(a) Is discriminatory or advocates discrimination based on one or more of the prohibited grounds of discrimination in the Human Rights Act 1993;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(b) Is objectionable within the meaning within the meaning of the Films, Videos and Publication's Classification Act 1993;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(c) Incites or counsels any person to commit any offence;</i></b></p> <p><b><i>(d) Is sexually explicit, lewd.</i></b></p>					
<b>137</b>	Tim Marshall	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I would like to see the definition of "woodlot forestry harvesting" altered to reflect minimalist <b>non commercial</b> activity so that small scale thinning for private use ie firewood within the coastal zone is a permitted activity. The proposed plan as it stands is written for commercial forestry and doesn't take into account private use scenario's.</p> <p>This may entail adding exceptions to <b>4.3.8.1 (a)(b)(c)</b> so for example <b>4.3.8.1</b> might read "Harvesting must not be in or within:</p> <p><b>(c)</b> 200m of the coastal marine area (unless the silvicultural treatment is thinning for woodlot health / maintenance or for non commercial use ie firewood for personal use)</p>					
<b>137</b>	Tim Marshall	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 57	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Please de-classify the W363 wetlands either side of my property from "<b>significant wetland</b>" to "<b>coastal marine zone</b>"</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>564</b>	Belinda Materoa	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1172</b>	Tyler Materoa	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>565</b>	Brent Mathews	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>705</b>	Fay Mathews	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>816</b>	Janine Merie Mayson	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 7.2.2 in its entirety and unchanged.					
<b>816</b>	Janine Merie Mayson	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 7.2.7 in its entirety and unchanged.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>816</b>	Janine Merie Mayson	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 14.4.12 in its entirety and unchanged.					
<b>816</b>	Janine Merie Mayson	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I seek ratification, by council, of the draft Policy 14.4.13 in its entirety and unchanged.					
<b>153</b>	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full.					
<b>153</b>	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions					
<b>153</b>	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full.					
<b>153</b>	Glenis & Ian McAlpine	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites. Protection of rare and endangered habitats and marine life.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>594</b>	Corinne McBride	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I support the maintenance of these values and SEEK that a policy be adopted that regular testing be carried out for herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, insecticides and other chemicals such as copper chromium arsenic used to threat vineyard posts. This testing should be paid for by industries using these chemicals rather than ratepayers.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>662</b>	Donald McBride	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I support the maintenance of these values and SEEK that a policy be adopted that regular testing be carried out for herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, insecticides and other chemicals such as copper chromium arsenic used to threat vineyard posts. This testing should be paid for by industries using these chemicals rather than ratepayers.					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community <b>and regionally significant sectors</b> are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " (Inferred)					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure <b>and regionally significant sectors.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) – <i>"Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i> <i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i> <i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i> <i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i> <i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i> <i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i> <i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i> <i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i> <i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i> <i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i> <i>(j) main trunk railway line;</i> <i>(k) district roading network;</i> <i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i> <i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i> <i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</i> <i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain; and</i> <b>(p) areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission).</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " <b>Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</b> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <b>The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture are recognised and provided for.</b> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, <b>while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>641</b>	Dan McCall	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> " (Inferred)					
<b>586</b>	Christopher Fenton McCallum	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS.</del> "					
<b>586</b>	Christopher Fenton McCallum	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " <del>From 9 June 2022, the <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm.</del> "					
<b>775</b>	Imelda McCarthy	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>1118</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to recongise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Landscape features.					
<b>1118</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to recongise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Natural Character.					
<b>1118</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to recongise the presence of aquaculture is the area and that there is no impact of the farms on Dolphins.					
<b>1118</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as present in the area as they are culturally and economically significant.					
<b>1118</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as present in the area as they are culturally and economically significant.					
<b>1118</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as present in the area as they are culturally and economically significant.					
<b>1126</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and are culturally and economically significant.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1126</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and are culturally and economically significant.					
<b>1126</b>	Shane Gerard Thomas McCarthy	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan to reflect the presence of aquaculture as they are present in the area and are culturally and economically significant.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>663</b>	Dion McCauley	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>885</b>	Les McClung	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>32</b>	Kathryn McConnell	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>32</b>	Kathryn McConnell	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>817</b>	Jemma McCowan	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>985</b>	Niki McCulloch	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>54</b>	John McCully	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I propose that the Marlborough District Council leave the current rules and regulations, i.e. not within 500 meters, in place.					
<b>706</b>	Forrest McDougall	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>706</b>	Forrest McDougall	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>259</b>	Bill McEwan	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>The decisions I seek from Council are:</b>					
	1. Replace Section 19 with 'Section 1' and place it at the beginning of Vol !					
	2. Issue19A on page 1. Replace the heading "Climate change has the potential to affect..." with "Climate change will affect..."					
	3. In the 6 paragraphs following the above heading insert "will" in place of "could"and "may".					
	4. Issue19B (page 5): Replace the heading "Climate change could affect..." with "Climate change will affect..."					
	5. Create a MDC permanent full time Climate Change Advisor and establish a Climate Change Advisory Group as outlined in the Climate Karanga Marlborough submission.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>886</b>	Linda McGee	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>818</b>	John Andrew McGregor	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>316</b>	McGuinness Institute	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	To meet with the committee.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>737</b>	Gareth McIlroy	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>595</b>	Clayton McIntyre	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>819</b>	Jason McKay	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>477</b>	John Malcolm McKee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>477</b>	John Malcolm McKee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community <b>and regionally significant sectors</b> are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> "  (Inferred)					
<b>477</b>	John Malcolm McKee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - "Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure <b>and regionally significant sectors.</b> "  (Inferred)					
<b>477</b>	John Malcolm McKee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) –</p> <p><i>"Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p><i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i></p> <p><i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i></p> <p><i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i></p> <p><i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i></p> <p><i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i></p> <p><i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i></p> <p><i>(j) main trunk railway line;</i></p> <p><i>(k) district roading network;</i></p> <p><i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i></p> <p><i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i></p> <p><i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain; <b>and</b></i></p> <p><b><i>(p) areas of significant aquaculture and wine development (areas not specified in Submission).</i></b>"</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
<b>477</b>	John Malcolm McKee	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - <i>"The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b>"</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
477	John Malcolm McKee	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " <b>Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.</b> "  (Inferred)					
477	John Malcolm McKee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, <b>while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.</b></i> "  (Inferred)					
477	John Malcolm McKee	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. <b>However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</b></i> "  (Inferred)					
477	John Malcolm McKee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins, <b>while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.</b></i> "  (Inferred)					
664	Dellae McKenzie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>664</b>	Dellae McKenzie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>214</b>	Bruce Mclauchlan	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Water Rights do not become a tradable asset					
<b>187</b>	Ronald Fredric McLean	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To allow building on the ridge but make sheds etc dug into the hill and colour etc so it is not as visible also plan trees to hide it. <b>Inferred that submission relates to Volume 2 Rule 3.2.1.13(a) as no reference to rule number included in submission.</b>					
<b>187</b>	Ronald Fredric McLean	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	To allow building on the ridge but make sheds etc dug into the hill and colour etc so it is not as visible also plan trees to hide it. <b>Inferred that submission relates to Volume 2 Rule 3.2.1.14(a) as no reference to rule number included in submission.</b>					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>598</b>	Carol Raewyn McLean	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>393</b>	Barry and Lila McLeod	1	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 19.1.16 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>665</b>	Dorothy McManaway	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>556</b>	Brad Joseph McNeill	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek for individual property owners to have the opportunity for Afforestation up to 10% of their property with a maximum limit of 15 ha.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	7	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek clarification as to what is considered close proximity to Ward as it relates to the Flaxbourne River some distance up-stream of SH 1 Bridge.  Public access would alienate fire risk, stock disturbance and general safety issues.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	8	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	9	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>I seek clarification as it relates to <i>Policy 9.1.1 – The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas: (b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay</i>; - Risks include fires, animals, electric fences and general safety issues.</li> <li>I seek clarification as does this relate to rivers which only flow intermittently.</li> <li>I seek clarification as to who is liable for damages caused by fire and its control, animal disturbance and general safety issues.</li> </ul>					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	10	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting thru causing further havoc downstream.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	11	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.2					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.3					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek clarification as to what this may entail or what activities may be restricted.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to use these products in the winter period when there are no leaves on grapes.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	19	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek for Ward Beach Road/Seddon Street as Secondary Arterial route. I seek to create an Awatere Area Local A Roads to include tar-sealed roads where there is no Rural Delivery (i.e. Ward Mail Area ) including Kaka Road, Ward Street, Carroll Street, Duncan Street, Grassmere Road and other tar-sealed roads with more than three residences.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	20	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.3.3					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to build dams larger than 5000 m3					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to cross cattle which are not farmed intensively across a river bed as part of a sound management rotational grazing process.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek clarification of 'Intensively farmed livestock'.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek clarification of 'Intensively farmed livestock'.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	26	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting through causing further havoc dpwnstream.					
<b>472</b>	ME Taylor Limited	27	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek to be able to carry out regular River Channel clearing, where the Channel becomes restricted by the excess growth of young willows and other vegetation. This seriously effects the river to be able to flow freely in times of flooding causing major damage and also a high risk as a large wall of water builds up before suddenly bursting through causing further havoc dpwnstream.					
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>820</b>	Jeffrey Meachen	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>854</b>	Kathleen Mary Mead	10	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.2					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.3					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.4					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.5					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5H					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.M.6					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	26	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 8A					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.16					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.4					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.6.5					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.4					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.2					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.9					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	40	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.10					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.12					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	42	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.6					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.11					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	45	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.5					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	47	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.7					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.21					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	50	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.17					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	51	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	52	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	53	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following changes (strikethrough, bold) to Policy 8.3.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 - Where subdivision, use or development <del>that</del> requires resource consent, <b>occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant</b> the adverse effects on <del>those</del> areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that <b>significant adverse effects are avoided</b>. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</p>					
456	George Mehlhopt	54	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.					
456	George Mehlhopt	55	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The inclusion of policy recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity from subdivision, use and development.					
456	George Mehlhopt	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and/or explanation to recognise that food gathering will not be appropriate in all wetlands.					
456	George Mehlhopt	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.8.1.5					
456	George Mehlhopt	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					
456	George Mehlhopt	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule and/or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that:</p> <p>routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
456	George Mehlhopt	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this standard.					
456	George Mehlhopt	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
456	George Mehlhopt	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
456	George Mehlhopt	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete or amend this standard so that dams of 5000m3 are exempt from this requirement.					
456	George Mehlhopt	64	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard as follows (bold): <i>19.3.2.4 Only indigenous species must be planted in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland. <b>Where the Significant Wetland is fenced, other species may be planted up to the fence boundary.</b></i>					
456	George Mehlhopt	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways, fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.					
456	George Mehlhopt	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to ensure that short term breakfeeding during winter when there is low growth or in times of drought is not captured by this definition.					
456	George Mehlhopt	69	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.19					
<b>456</b>	George Mehlhopt	72	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent and</li> <li>• access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>434</b>	Michael Patrick Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Floodway zoning from the property located at 8 Market Street, Picton.					
<b>434</b>	Michael Patrick Limited	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 138	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the property at 6 Market Street, Picton be rezoned to Industrial 1; or alternatively, that 8 Market Street is exempt from those additional restrictions that apply where an Industrial 1 zoned property adjoins an Urban Residential 2 zone, as contained in Rule 12.2 Standards for Permitted Activities.					
<b>168</b>	Georges Michel	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the 100% blanket cut off to Spring Creek sector water users.					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><u><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></u></p>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><u><i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></u></p>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</i></p> <p><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	19	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision as notified. (inferred)					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Farming - refer submission point 970.21.					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of farming be amended as follows:</p> <p>Farming means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit. <u>For clarity farming includes the slaughtering and processing of animals for personal consumption but not for sale purposes.</u></p>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Intensively farmed livestock - refer submission point 970.24.					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Intensively farmed livestock - refer submission point 970.24.					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	24	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: <i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i> <i>(a) cattle or deer grazed contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i> <i>(a) dairy cattle on properties with milking platforms;</i> <i>(b) farmed pigs.</i> <i>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or</i></li> <li><i>livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</i></li> </ul>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: 3.3.13.2 <i>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	26	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: 3.3.13.3 <i>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</i>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No specific amendment provided in submission.					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. 3.3.13.1 <i>On land which slopes down and away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</i>					
970	Middlehurst Station Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete standard 3.3.31.1. (Refer submission point 970.30.) That the MDC commit to providing a bulk funded monthly or bi-monthly rubbish collection service for isolated rural Marlborough properties.					
<b>970</b>	Middlehurst Station Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Standard 3.3.31.1 be deleted in entirety and the remaining standards be re-numbered accordingly.					
<b>53</b>	Neil Middleton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>159</b>	Richard Middleton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Recommendation</b></p> <p>The limits applying to discharge of sewerage in the coastal marine zone are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clause 16.7.2 reads that in the Coastal Marine Zone discharge of sewage may only take place at a distance at least 500 metres to seaward of MHWS.</li> </ul>					
<b>971</b>	Mike Edridge Contracting and Civil Contractors NZ	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That management of the extraction of gravel from the Marlborough river systems continues as per the current Plan (Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan 27.1.8.1 Permitted Activities - River Control Works <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>971</b>	Mike Edridge Contracting and Civil Contractors NZ	2	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>It is not clear what the decision requested is for Rule 21.1.8 but it is inferred that the Submitter wants the same rule added to the Riverbed Activity rules in Chapter 2.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>857</b>	Kowhai Millan	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>532</b>	Anthony Patrick Vincent Millen	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support this but proposed rule does not go far enough. Should be clear in stopping dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds that is greater than 5 hectares. This needs to be defined in the plan.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds that is greater than 5 hectares. This needs to be defined in the plan.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I don't support the requirement for Commercial Harvesting Plan without there being a requirement for other agricultural land uses to also have effective management plans for their activities, for example dairy farming that can have significant effects on water quality.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support excluding stock from waterways and that there needs to be commitment by MDC to enforce this rule so that it is effective.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support excluding stock from waterways and that there needs to be commitment by MDC to enforce this rule so that it is effective.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the requirement for dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms by 2019.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Support the mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the new rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environment.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support the new rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environment.					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I support this rule provided that MDC support the rural community in education and an effective recycling programme for large, difficult to dispose of material e.g. old farm machinery; broken CCA treated posts; large quantities of plastics and other non degradable rubbish!					
<b>972</b>	Millen Associates Limited	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I support this rule provided that MDC support the rural community in education and an effective recycling programme for large, difficult to dispose of material e.g. old farm machinery; broken CCA treated posts; large quantities of plastics and other non degradable rubbish!					
<b>22</b>	David Miller	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The clause/s be amended to read " Planting must not be,or within, 50m of the coastal marine area"					
<b>24</b>	David Miller	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 3.3.7.9 to read " All trees must be felled away from a river( -----etc ) ,lake or significant wetland . I suspect clause 3.3.7.10 will act to virtually enforce my suggested change in any event.					
<b>25</b>	David Miller	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I believe there should be conditions placed on methods of positive sediment control in the form of detention dams , filter systems or other appropriate measures to arrest the deposition of sediments into receiving waters in sensitive areas.					
<b>123</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is					
	1. Change the provisions in Volume 2: Chapter 4 Coastal Environment Zone. Section 4.3.6.1, to incorporate the recommendations in the December 2015 Ulrich Report "Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds". MDC Technical Report No: 15-009. and to consider my additions to those recommendations as outlined in my submission.					
	2. Consider my comments in relation to Carbon Sequestration Forests					
	43 Consider the cessation of all production forestry in the Coastal Environment Zone that threatens the ecosystems of the waters of The Marlborough Sounds, as the desirable <b>long term goal</b> of the MEP.					
<b>238</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Strengthen the Land Instability Policy 11.1.21 and 11.M.9, Geotechnical Reporting Standards to reflect the points I have made in my submission					
<b>238</b>	Don Miller	2	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Strengthen the Land Instability Policy 11.1.21 and 11.M.9, Geotechnical Reporting Standards to reflect the points I have made in my submission.					
<b>241</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is that Rule 16.7.5 be amended to include the two points I have made above, as suggested in Research, survey and monitoring report number 824					
	I also seek that concepts such as artificial reef structures be discussed in relation to the enhancement and protection of significant marines sites.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>244</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is to see provision 4.3.10 retained but to have an addition provision providing for appropriate exemptions for pest monitoring and control activities.					
<b>245</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is that Intensively Farmed Livestock be defined as livestock on land that is Intensively Farmed					
<b>250</b>	Don Miller	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	The MDC must make the actions of Policy 19.1.2 of the utmost importance now to overcome past misconceptions of the impacts of climate change					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>MPI would like to work with MDC to ensure that we can jointly pursue a more integrated approach to biodiversity protection, and to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. MPI already has various projects underway (including within the Marlborough Sounds) looking at fishing activities that adversely impact the benthos.</p> <p>MPI therefore asks that MDC conduct additional section 32 analysis on proposed Rule 16.7.5 to address the matters raised in this submission.</p> <p>MPI asks that MDC, pending outcome of the additional Section 32 analysis, either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Does not proceed with Rule 16.7.5 (and associated policies at this time), or</li> <li>2. Includes a new policy for Rule 16.7.5, as follows:</li> </ol> <p><i>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of ecologically significant marine sites.</i></p> <p>MPI would prefer to work with MDC to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. Alternatively, and if a new Marine Protected Areas Act is passed by Parliament, Council objectives in future could potentially be achieved under that legislative framework . Protected areas created in this way would contribute to a representative MPA network and New Zealand's commitments for marine protection under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).</p> <p>The Fisheries Act provides a range of tools to achieve a balance between use and sustainability, and decisions on dealing with environmental impacts must be made while regarding relevant costs, benefits and risks. It ensures that potential environmental impacts of fishing are weighed up against benefits derived from fishing, leading to good fisheries management decisions.</p> <p>Aligning the different pieces of legislation will avoid duplication and result in an integrated, efficient and strategic way to manage marine protection in New Zealand.</p> <p>At a regional level, MPI would prefer to work in partnership with respective authorities to understand key environmental issues and to manage the impacts of fishing utilising the Fisheries Act.</p>					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>MPI would like to work with MDC to ensure that we can jointly pursue a more integrated approach to biodiversity protection, and to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. MPI already has various projects underway (including within the Marlborough Sounds) looking at fishing activities that adversely impact the benthos.</p> <p>MPI therefore asks that MDC conduct additional section 32 analysis on proposed Rule 16.7.5 to address the matters raised in this submission.</p> <p>MPI asks that MDC, pending outcome of the additional Section 32 analysis, either:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not proceed with Rule 16.7.5 (and associated policies at this time), or</li> <li>Includes a new policy for Rule 16.7.5, as follows:</li> </ol> <p><i>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of ecologically significant marine sites.</i></p> <p>MPI would prefer to work with MDC to protect areas from the impacts of fishing under the Fisheries Act. Alternatively, and if a new Marine Protected Areas Act is passed by Parliament, Council objectives in future could potentially be achieved under that legislative framework . Protected areas created in this way would contribute to a representative MPA network and New Zealand's commitments for marine protection under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).</p> <p>The Fisheries Act provides a range of tools to achieve a balance between use and sustainability, and decisions on dealing with environmental impacts must be made while regarding relevant costs, benefits and risks. It ensures that potential environmental impacts of fishing are weighed up against benefits derived from fishing, leading to good fisheries management decisions.</p> <p>Aligning the different pieces of legislation will avoid duplication and result in an integrated, efficient and strategic way to manage marine protection in New Zealand.</p> <p>At a regional level, MPI would prefer to work in partnership with respective authorities to understand key environmental issues and to manage the impacts of fishing utilising the Fisheries Act.</p>					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	3	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>In-water cleaning of vessel hulls and structures is an important tool for both routine maintenance of early stage biofouling and emergency management of significant biosecurity risk (when using approved recapture technologies and suppliers). It is recognised under the Guidelines that the acceptability of in-water cleaning risk is dependent on factors such as vessel type, level and type of fouling (whether it is established in the location), and whether the capture of biofouling discharge will be achieved to an acceptable extent.</p> <p>MPI therefore requests that Marlborough District Council amend the provisions relating to the removal of biofouling to include provisions for in-water cleaning, with standards that take into consideration the guidance in the Australia and New Zealand Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning Guidelines, specifically relating to the level, type and origin of fouling (including restricting in-water cleaning of vessels that have become fouled whilst overseas) and the method of in-water cleaning.</p>					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	4	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>In-water cleaning of vessel hulls and structures is an important tool for both routine maintenance of early stage biofouling and emergency management of significant biosecurity risk (when using approved recapture technologies and suppliers). It is recognised under the Guidelines that the acceptability of in-water cleaning risk is dependent on factors such as vessel type, level and type of fouling (whether it is established in the location), and whether the capture of biofouling discharge will be achieved to an acceptable extent.</p> <p>MPI therefore requests that Marlborough District Council amend the provisions relating to the removal of biofouling to include provisions for in-water cleaning, with standards that take into consideration the guidance in the Australia and New Zealand Anti-fouling and In-water Cleaning Guidelines, specifically relating to the level, type and origin of fouling (including restricting in-water cleaning of vessels that have become fouled whilst overseas) and the method of in-water cleaning.</p>					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. MPI recommends that the rule is updated to make it explicit that resource consent is not required for replanting existing forests where these exist within one of the afforestation flow sensitive sites. This could be done by inserting a new definition for afforestation which includes new commercial, woodlot and farm plantings but does not include replanting of forests established before the commencement date of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>2. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.15 be clarified by indicating whether the Council would consider that the threshold has been reached in these sensitive areas through a small number of applications for larger- scale commercial forestry (i.e. whole property planting), or whether they will be looking to have only a proportion of each property planted (i.e. woodlot planting).</p>					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.16 be clarified by citing the supporting council research on the threshold calculations and indicating what the threshold would translate to (in forestry planting) for the respective sensitive areas. This information could be placed in the Policy or in one of the meta data fields for the map layer.</p> <p>2. MPI recommends that Policy 5.3.16 be clarified by indicating whether the Council would consider that the threshold has been reached in these sensitive areas through a small number of applications for larger- scale commercial forestry (i.e. whole property planting), or whether they will be looking to have only a proportion of each property planted (i.e. woodlot planting).</p>					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>1. MPI seeks changes to the environment plan (section 3.3.11) that recognizes sustainable indigenous forest management as an activity distinct from vegetation clearance resulting in total forest removal.</p> <p>2. MPI seeks clarity on the interpretation of the vegetation clearance area rule as it pertains to the harvesting of single trees and/or groups of trees from intact indigenous forest. This will assist landowners in more accurately identifying what forest management activities, including indigenous timber harvesting under approvals issued pursuant to Part 3A of the Forests Act 1949, require a discretionary resource consent. The current rules and in fact the proposed rule do not provide clear guidance.</p> <p>3. MPI seeks a review of the amended rule for indigenous forest clearance over 6 metres in height as it applies to the Marlborough Sounds area, which by Council's own admission (Policy 8.3.2), does not have extent of habitat loss evidenced in southern Marlborough.</p> <p>4. Sustainable indigenous forest management should be permitted on forests that lie outside those areas recognised as Significant Natural Areas.</p>					
<b>973</b>	Ministry for Primary Industries	8	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	To consider future implementation of audited Self-management programmes in the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 9.1.9.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support Policy 9.3.7.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	3	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 17.3.2.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	4	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 17.5.2.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 12.3.2.					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support Policy 12.3.5.					
974	Ministry of Education	7	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 12.7.1.					
974	Ministry of Education	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 15.3.4.					
974	Ministry of Education	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.3 to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community.					
974	Ministry of Education	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.3.1 to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community.					
974	Ministry of Education	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.3.2 Amend to recognise educational and community facilities serving the rural community have a functional need to be located in the rural zone.					
974	Ministry of Education	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 14.5.1.					
974	Ministry of Education	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 14.52.					
974	Ministry of Education	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the rule as follows: <b>Early Childhood Educational or day-care facility – 1 per 11 children, + 1 per FTE employee.</b>					
974	Ministry of Education	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	17	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	18	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	19	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	20	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new clause to the permitted rule, as follows <b>Early Childhood/Daycare facilities for up to and including 10 children.</b>					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Support Rule 2.28.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	22	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend – Remove Section 229 Omaka District from the designated area as shown on the Planning Map 14 under Annexure 2 (of the Ministry of Education submission).					
<b>974</b>	Ministry of Education	23	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new definition of Early Childhood/Daycare facilities as follows:  <b>Land or buildings used for the care during the day of pre-school aged children other than those residing on the site.</b>					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.1.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.1.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.1.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.9					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.11					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	39	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	40	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	41	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	42	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	43	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	44	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	45	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	46	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	47	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	48	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	49	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	50	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	51	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.9					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	52	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.10					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	53	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.1.11					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	54	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	55	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	56	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	57	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 10.2.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	58	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	59	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	60	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	61	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	62	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	63	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	64	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	65	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	66	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.9					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	67	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.10					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	68	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.11					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	69	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.12					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	70	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.13					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	71	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.14					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	72	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.15					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	73	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.16					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	74	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.17					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	75	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.18					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	76	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.19					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	77	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.20					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	78	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.21					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	79	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.22					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	80	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	81	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	82	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	83	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	84	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	85	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	86	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.2.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	87	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	88	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	89	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	90	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	91	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	92	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	93	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	94	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	95	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	96	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	97	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	98	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	99	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	100	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	101	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	102	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	103	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	104	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.9					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.8.					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	121	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.9					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.10					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.11					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.12					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.13					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.14					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.15					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.16					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.17					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.18					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.19					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.20					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	134	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.21					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.22					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.23					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.27					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.12a	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.12a					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.12b	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.12b					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.12.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.12.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.7					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.8					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.9					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.2					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.3					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.4					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.6					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	162	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 14.4.12 – The Omaka Valley is characterised by the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) low, broad ridges, parts of which have been identified as having high amenity value and are included in the mapped Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;</li> <li>(b) limited building on ridgelines;</li> <li>(c) open character due to a lack of tall vegetation within the valley;</li> <li>(d) meandering watercourse patterns and topographical variation in the upper valley;</li> <li>(e) viticulture is a dominant land use;</li> <li>(f) with the exception of times around grape harvest, it is generally a low volume traffic environment;</li> <li>(g) lack of through roads;</li> <li>(h) a mix of land uses towards the lower valley where a more domesticated rural character is evident;</li> </ul>					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	164	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13 – The Omaka Valley has been recognised as having specific amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced as follows:					
	(a) enabling primary production activities as provided for in the underlying Rural Environment Zone;					
	(b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry, to enable an assessment of this activity on the confined nature of the valleys in the Omaka Valley Area;					
	(c) including the ridgelines along the valleys within the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape;					
	(d) avoiding development in the form of buildings on the ridgelines surrounding the valleys;					
	(e) reducing the potential for 'industrialisation' within the Omaka Valley Area through controls on the height and scale of buildings associated with primary production activities;					
	(f) other than as provided for in Policy 14.3.1 and Policy 14.5.4, other activities not related to primary production in the Omaka Valley Area are to be avoided;					
	(g) maintaining a low volume traffic environment to maintain a peaceful and quiet environment within the Omaka Valley Area; and					
	(h) avoiding subdivision below eight hectares to help retain primary production options and a sense of openness within the Omaka Valley Area.					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	165	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:					
	(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and					
	(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers.					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	166	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.5					
<b>364</b>	Ian Balfour Mitchell	167	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.6 – Manage the erosion risk associated with loess soil by: (a) continuing to maintain the Wither Hills Soil Conservation Reserve; (b) controlling the discharge of liquid waste onto or into loess soils; and (c) controlling the excavation of loess soil on slopes.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>887</b>	Lauren Mitchell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>942</b>	Marie Mitchell	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>884</b>	Laura Jillian Moleta-Bentham	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1313</b>	Francis Monopoli	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (inferred).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>395</b>	Moore Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit so that restrictions are staged. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.7					
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.20					
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We would like to see this part of the rule deleted and just have the rule as " <i>the pit must be designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff at all times</i> ". We definitely support it as a permitted activity.					
<b>367</b>	Nigel and Christine Morrison	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like to see this amended to say that in these circumstances, once the flooding has receded then it is permitted to enter to creek for the sole purpose of removing the particular hazard.					
<b>43</b>	Tony Mortiboy	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete guiding principles. (inferred)					
<b>43</b>	Tony Mortiboy	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Oppose
Decision Requested	Commence monitoring air quality in Picton. (inferred)					
<b>43</b>	Tony Mortiboy	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Open the MEP with a statement such as:</p> <p>"Marlborough's citizens live in a fragile environment. while it presently has the country's highest annual sunshine hours, it's main town, Blenheim, sits on a major earthquake faultline and was historically known as Beavertown because, located in the bottom of a large river valley, it was prone to severe and regular flooding.</p> <p>In projecting Marlborough's future, Council believes that it is the Marlborough Sounds and tourism - not grape growing, land or fish farming, or forestry - which best uses our natural resources. Properly managed, tourism produces minimal environmental damage and provides best employment and income prospects for future generations of our citizens. "</p>					
<b>43</b>	Tony Mortiboy	4	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Establish a review board consisting of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Marlborough's most senior agency after Council itself.</li> <li>• Serviced by a non-Council volunteer administrator.</li> <li>• Tasked with reviewing annually the environmental plan.</li> <li>• Empowered to register it's views directly with the Mayor and Chief Executive.</li> </ul> <p>It's membership should be voluntary and drawn from permanent residents and could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The highest polling unsuccessful candidate at the most recent Council election.</li> <li>• A senior graduate structural engineer with current career experience of Marlborough or , if retired, within the last five years.</li> <li>• A primary or secondary school teacher within five years of retirement.</li> <li>• A senior tourism industry chief executive residing in Marlborough.</li> <li>• A representative from youth leadership training organisations (e.g., Outward Bound).</li> </ul>					
<b>68</b>	Belinda Moss	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the current rule: Sewage may be discharged in the Coastal Marine Zone at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>Amend the rule to stipulate that sewage from boats be macerated to reduce visual pollution and increase the speed of natural decomposition.</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>68</b>	Belinda Moss	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the current rule: Sewage may be discharged in the Coastal Marine Zone at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>Amend the rule to stipulate that sewage from boats be macerated to reduce visual pollution and increase the speed of natural decomposition.</p>					
<b>13</b>	Rob Mounsey	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In accordance with Council's policy and objectives I proposed that a Marlborough Sounds Land Management Plan be established. The reclassification of the Marlborough Sounds land together with Council's obligations under Part XII of the RMA 1991 would redefine permitted uses. Detailed management plans for each permitted and other land based activities would be established. This would bring about current and up-to-date management practices to commence the path to sustainability and deal with sedimentation issues.</p>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	1	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 16.M.20.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 106	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Change Open Space 3 Zoning for Sec 7 SO 448 to.... (Submitter did not specify alternative zone).</p> <p><i>(Relevant property unclear as cannot identify land with the legal description Sec 7 SO 448.)</i></p>					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission, particularly as the provision that the Submission relates to has not been identified by the Submitter.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Heavy Industrial Activity" as follows (bold) - <i>means activities that process raw materials to finished products; materials that have generally been processed at least once; meat processing; heavy fabrication; making and assembling parts that are, in themselves, large and heavy. <b>For clarity, in this context meat processing does not include home kill for private purposes.</b></i>  (Inferred)					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for "Farm Quarry". (Inferred)					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	6	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 106	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change land zoned Coastal Environment back to Rural 1 Zone and land zoned Coastal Living back to Sounds Residential Zone.					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Chapter 19.					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. (Inferred)					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. (Inferred)					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  "No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per <del>Computer Register</del> <b>Unit Title.</b> "  (Inferred)					
515	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	11	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete all Prohibited Activities (Rules 7.5.1 to 7.5.6).					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	12	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Discretionary Activities (Rules 7.4.1 to 7.4.7).					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	13	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all the standards that apply to specific permitted activities.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	14	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Prohibited Activities (Rules 4.7.1 to 4.7.9).					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Discretionary Activities (Rules 4.6.1 to 4.6.13).					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Restricted Discretionary Activities (Rules 4.5.1 and 4.5.2).					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	25	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Steep Erosion Prone Land overlay from this Map.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	26	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature an Landscape overlay from this Map.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	27	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature an Landscape overlay from this Map.					
<b>515</b>	Mt Zion Charitable Trust	28	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature an Landscape overlay from this Map.					
<b>975</b>	Mufaletta Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Mufaletta Limited submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <u><i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</i></u>					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	1	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <b><i>inferred</i></b> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	7	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	8	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	9	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That relevant objectives and policies are amended to reflect the intent of the decision requested submission points 11 to 16 ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is for Rule 5.1.1.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	11	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules and standards relating to outdoor amenity be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone, with smaller outdoor amenity areas and dimensions provided.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	12	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules and standards relating to outdoor amenity be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone, with smaller outdoor amenity areas and dimensions provided.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	13	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the rules and standards relating to the location of garages be amended to reflect the actual demand for sections in this zone.</p> <p>That standards restricting the location of garages within a property be removed to enable the individual landowners the discretion to place garages within their property (subject to appropriate setback rules).</p>					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	14	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is for Rule 5.3.10.4.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	15	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 5.3.10.5, relating to the filling and excavation of sites not occurring on slopes of greater than 10 degrees.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	16	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide a restricted discretionary activity status for minor non-compliance's relating to potential amenity effects, including non-compliance s with outdoor amenity, building locations and excavation and filling of residential sites.					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	17	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the minimum allotment size for the Urban 2 Zone in Blenheim be reduced to 400m2, as a controlled activity.</p> <p>That objectives and policies be amended to reflect the intent of this change. The submission does not identify the relevant objectives and policies.</p>					
<b>1021</b>	Phil Muir	18	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the minimum width of accessways for one residential unit be reduced to 3m, as a controlled activity.</p> <p>That objectives and policies be amended to reflect the intent of this change. The submission does not identify the relevant objectives and policies.</p>					
<b>34</b>	Dion and Rosalind Mundy	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 24	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from the Council is to review flood hazard risk levels and explain why the flood risk has increased or remove the changes to the flood risk plan for the Tuamarina West area. If the flood risk can be proven to be substantially higher the plan should include how to decrease this risk and outline the resources that would be needed to do this.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1073</b>	Robert Murdoch	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>417</b>	Patrick John Murphy	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>226</b>	Murphy Horticulture	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. There are significantly better options available to protect water than a 100% cut-off. Nelson has a significantly better system that includes stepped reductions in water use rather than the sledge hammer approach of 100% cut-off. This would allow the people of Marlborough to manage their water use in times of drought to ensure their businesses can survive</p> <p>Water users west of the 3 proposed areas use significantly more water per hectare than the 3 proposed area's so need to be included in any water protection measures. A better understanding of how water restrictions on certain areas will affect the aquifer is needed. We also need a better understanding of long term trends of the aquifer levels before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p>					
<b>215</b>	Musco Seafoods Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Overall, we oppose the new overlays as notified until we are able to consider them alongside the provisions that relate to marine farming.</p> <p>We wish to be heard in support of these submissions.</p>					
<b>1287</b>	William P Musgrove	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions.</li> <li>• Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights.</li> <li>• Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each.</li> <li>• Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect.</li> <li>• Offer financial compensation at market rates.</li> </ul>					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>943</b>	Martina Naplawa	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>596</b>	Corina Naus	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>596</b>	Corina Naus	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>596</b>	Corina Naus	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>596</b>	Corina Naus	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>944</b>	Michael Naus	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>944</b>	Michael Naus	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the Amenity Values of the Wairau Dry Hills by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>944</b>	Michael Naus	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>944</b>	Michael Naus	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Maintain the special character of the Omaka Valley by adopting the Plan as drafted.					
<b>331</b>	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like to see the MDC reduce the minimum limit to 100 metres ( <i>between a category A &amp; B bird scaring device and a boundary</i> ), the same distance as a Category A device has to be from a public road.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>331</b>	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Keep the status quo - I support the council in the minimum flow levels for water take in all aquifers.					
<b>331</b>	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
<b>331</b>	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
<b>331</b>	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
<b>331</b>	Phillip Geoffrey Neal	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide scientific proof and fact to back up their case that the Wairau aquifer is over allocated.					
<b>44</b>	Lynda Neame	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>An additional objective added to the Biodiversity Chapter such as the one that was in the 1994 RPS</p> <p>New</p> <p>Objective 8.x "The integrity of freshwater habitats and natural species diversity be maintained or enhanced".</p> <p>A new policy under the new objective</p> <p>Policy 8.x.1 "Avoid habitat disruption from activities occurring within wetland, lake or river ecosystems"</p>					
<b>45</b>	Lynda Neame	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Objective 15.1a (f) be amended with the words coastal waters being removed.</p> <p>(f) they support healthy ecosystems.</p>					
<b>45</b>	Lynda Neame	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the word wetlands from 15.1.14 (c) or reduce the mixing zone to 10m</p>					
<b>330</b>	Malcolm and Helen Neame	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Soil Sensitive Area 20	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We ask that you review this decision and be more precise about the areas affected. <i>(Infer referencing Soil Sensitive Areas identified as Loess Soils on submitters property)</i>					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1227</b>	Warwick Neame	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>651</b>	David James Nelson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To keep the status quo as the dilution rate of pollution in the Sounds is a lot higher than in other parts of the country. We are talking 40 - 60 metres of water with a good tidal flow.					
<b>651</b>	David James Nelson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To keep the status quo as the dilution rate of pollution in the Sounds is a lot higher than in other parts of the country. We are talking 40 - 60 metres of water with a good tidal flow.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>875</b>	Liz Ann Nelson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Leave the rules as they are as there is no evidence of pollution in the Sounds due to emptying of holding tanks. The dilution rate in the sounds is huge due to the depth (30 - 60 metres) and tidal flow in the Sounds.					
<b>875</b>	Liz Ann Nelson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Leave the rules as they are as there is no evidence of pollution in the Sounds due to emptying of holding tanks. The dilution rate in the sounds is huge due to the depth (30 - 60 metres) and tidal flow in the Sounds.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Review all activities that are identified as full discretionary activities and establish which can be controlled activities.</p> <p>Establish a controlled activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The controlled activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet permitted activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>If there remains any justification for discretion in granting consent, ensure that the activity can be assessed as restricted discretionary activity in preference to full discretionary activities. Full discretionary consents should only be required where the adverse effects are significant.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	2	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP to include the following for all controlled activities (or with words of similar effect): <i>Applications may, at the discretion of the Council, be considered without notification and without the need to obtain the written approval of affected persons in accordance with section 94(1A) of the Act. Applications may however be notified if special circumstances exist in terms of section 94(5) of the Act.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	3	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP to include the following for all restricted discretionary activities (or with words of similar effect): <i>Applications may, at the discretion of the Council, be considered without notification and without the need to obtain the written approval of affected persons in accordance with section 94(1A) of the Act. Applications may however be notified if special circumstances exist in terms of section 94(5) of the Act.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	4	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Suspend all rules with regards to commercial forestry in the MEP. Default forestry activities to the rules in the Wairau-Awatere and Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plans until the proposed NES-PF is enacted.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Definition of Commercial forestry. Change all references to commercial forestry (plantation forests) to Plantation Forestry (plantation forests).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	6	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Default forestry activities to the rules in the Wairau-Awatere and Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plans until the proposed NES-PF is enacted.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	7	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add in a definition of Plantation forestry as follows (or with words of similar effect): Growing trees and removing them from the land, to produce timber and/or fibre, or where the land cover is principally timber tree species. Forest has a corresponding meaning. It includes:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Accessory land preparation</li> <li>• Accessory tracking or roads, landings or other accessory earthworks</li> <li>• Clearing understorey</li> <li>• Harvesting trees (including de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees</li> <li>• recovery of windfall and other fallen trees</li> <li>• Planting trees</li> <li>• Replanting trees</li> <li>• Tree alteration</li> <li>• Replanting trees</li> <li>• Thinning trees</li> <li>• Accessory vegetation removal</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Commercial forest harvesting to include management as an activity.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	9	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to also state (or with words of similar effect): The activity of plantation forest harvesting (the land disturbance activities) is provided for by regional function rules.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	10	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Commercial forest harvesting to include maintenance of infrastructure post harvest, by including the following (or words with similar effect): d) maintenance of infrastructure post harvest.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	11	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the following words from the definition of Commercial Forest harvesting (strike through) -  <i>" means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:  (a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);  (b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;  (c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;  but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land."</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	12	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Definition of Commercial forest planting. Amend the definition as follows (or words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <i>" means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, <del>management and replanting,</del> <b>management of trees,</b> and <del>the preparation of land for planting,</del> <b>including excavation and land disturbance."</b></i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	13	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Definition of Commercial forest replanting.  Delete the last sentence of the definition: This definition only pertains to the Coastal Environment Zone. Ensure the activity of replanting a commercial forest is a permitted activity throughout the region.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	14	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of excavation to read as follows (or words to similar effect) (bold) -  <i>" means to dig out <b>and infill</b> soil or natural material from the ground such that the surface contour of the land is permanently altered."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	15	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Slash</i> " as follows (or words with similar effect) (bold) -  " <i>includes means wood waste (slovens, branches, tops, chunks, cull logs, uprooted stumps, slovens, broken trees) and other waste wood, greater than 100mm in diameter at any point and 1 metre in length, resulting from the activities of vegetation removal (including commercial harvesting) and earthworks.</i> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	16	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of Soil debris.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	17	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Definition of Slope.  Replace 50 m with 200m for slope measurement within a rural or coastal environment zone.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Rule.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Rule.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain this Rule, but add permitted activity standards for the height of the dam and the volume of impounded water.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend the Rule for it to also apply to intermittently flowing watercourses.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - "Culvert installation <b>and use in, on, under or over the bed of a river.</b> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities for the installation and use of bridges in, on or over a river.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include new rules as permitted activities, for the installation and use of bridges and fords in, on, under or over an ephemeral watercourse and intermittently flowing watercourse when there is no surface flow.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect): Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect): Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to the Munsell Scale as the measure to record a change in colour. Rewrite the rule to read (or with words of similar effect): Any discharge of sediment into water must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a decrease in clarity of more than 20% for more than 8 hours in any 24 hour period and more than 40 hours in total in any calendar month.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	28	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The best practical method must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor</b> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	29	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	30	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either: Delete the requirement for the culvert to be no greater than 8 metres in length Or Rewrite the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8m</del> <b>12m</b>, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no <b>more than minor</b> erosion or scour <del>downstream of</del> <b>at</b> the culvert <b>outlet.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Establish Controlled Activity rules for minor non-compliance with Permitted Activity standards, where the effects of the activity are known and the impacts are minor. For example, the length of a culvert pipe.</p> <p>Only default non-compliance of Permitted Activity standards to full discretionary if the effects are unknown, difficult to quantify or when there is a risk of significant adverse effect.</p> <p>Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The controlled activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet permitted activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new permitted activity as follows (or with words of similar effect):</p> <p><b>"Discharge of contaminants to water, or to land where it may enter water."</b></p> <p>and have the standards as per 2.17.1.1 – 2.17.1.3.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited <b>in water</b> in <del>on</del> a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network <del>that contains water.</del>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new Permitted Aactivity rule for the discharge of dust to air and the standard for the discharge being (or words to similar effect) - <b><i>"The best practical method must be adopted to mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i></b>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert Plantation Forestry as a permitted district activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all provisions that apply to commercial forest harvesting. Provide for the commercial forest harvesting activities, where relevant, as specific rules and standards under land disturbance. Insert industry specific rules, only where there is no alignment with the land disturbance rules.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (b) of this Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <b>forestry</b> or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (bold) -  " <i>The airstrip or helipad must be integral to the use of the land on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming <b>or forestry land and operations.</b></i> "					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Separate the activities of planting and replanting for all applicable rules.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) from the Standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of this Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - " <i>Planting must not be in, or within:</i>  <i>(c) <del>30m of</del> <b>an area where vegetation could shade</b> a formed and sealed public road <b>between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading;</b>"</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation. (i.e.; or with words of similar effect) - " <b>Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading.</b> "					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a new rule for other vegetation planting in the Rural Environment and Coastal Environment to equally protect formed and sealed public roads from shading effects of vegetation. (i.e.; or with words of similar effect): <b>"Planting must not occur where vegetation could shade a formed and sealed public road between 10 am and 2 pm on the shortest day of the year and icing is likely to occur, except where topography already causes shading."</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (d) of the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -  "Plantings must not be in, or within: (d) <del>8 m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</del>  <b>within 5 metres of-</b>  <b>(i) a perennial river or stream with a bank full channel width less than 3 metres;</b> <b>within 10 metres of-</b> <b>(i) a perennial river or stream with a bank full channel width of 3 metres or more</b> <b>(ii) a lake larger than 0.25 ha;</b> <b>(iii) an outstanding freshwater body (as defined in the NPS-FM) or surface water bodies subject to a water conservation order."</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (e) in the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Plantings must not be in, or within: (e) <del>8 m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification</del> <b>5 metres of a wetland larger than 0.25 ha or within 10 metres of an outstanding freshwater body (as defined in the NPS-FM) or surface water bodies subject to a water conservation order."</b>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (f) of the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Plantings must not be in, or within: (f) <del>200m</del> <b>30m</b> of the coastal marine area;"					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (g) of the Standards as follows (bold) - " <i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, <b>unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established</b>;</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (g) of the Standard as follows - " <del>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site;</del> "					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain (h) of the Standard, but ensure that it aligns with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - " <del>Notification must be given to Council not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before planned harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22.</del> <b>Notification must be given to council:</b> <b>(a) at least 20 and no more than 60 working days prior to planned commencement of harvesting, or</b> <b>(b) a minimum of 2 days before commencement of harvesting required for salvage operations, or</b> <b>(c) annually in the case of ongoing harvesting operations.</b> <b>The Harvest Plan must be made available to the council on request, at least 20 working days before operations start, or provided annually on written agreement with the council.</b> <b>The notification requirement can be waived, in writing by the council. The council may also at their discretion reduce the notice period upon request of the Forest Manager.</b> "					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <del>"Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented. The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring, whenever practicable. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of: the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its original planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that would potentially result in an increase in adverse environmental effect."</del> <b>The council must be notified of any significant change to the Harvest Plan within 10 working days of it occurring, whenever practicable. As guidance, a significant change would be in the order of: the relocation of a landing or road more than 100 metres from its original planned location, or a change in harvesting technique that would potentially result in an increase in adverse environmental effect."</b>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <del>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);"</del> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <del>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation</del> <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings);"</b> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (b) of this Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <del>"(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification</del> <b>except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);"</b> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF. Delete significant wetlands: W972-Storeys Creek, W92-Langley Dale, W1368 & W1369-Bartletts, W87 & W779-Pine Valley, W777-Top Valley, W203-Glengyle, W989-Arnotts, W377-Denckers Include any of the above identified significant wetlands only if they meet the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	58	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 158	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W972-Storeys Creek. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	59	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 157	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W92-Langley Dale. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	60	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 156	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W1368 - Bartletts. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	61	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 156	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W1369-Bartletts. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	62	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 155	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W87- Pine Valley. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	63	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 155	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W779 - Pine Valley. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	64	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 166	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W777 - Top Valley. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	65	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 165	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W203 - Glengyle. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	66	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 134	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W989 - Arnotts. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	67	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 121	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete significant wetland W377-Denckers. Include the above identified significant wetland only if it meets the criteria for significance with on-site verification.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - " (c) <del>200m</del> <b>30m</b> of the coastal marine area, <b>except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b> " Any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a Permitted Activity on all slopes/land classes.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"<del>Batters and filled areas must be designed and constructed to ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of harvesting</del> <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of the Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"(c) such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are <del>stable</del> <b>at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	74	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard as a land disturbance rule.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	77	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <b>"Felled trees, and slash and soil debris</b> must: (a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river</del> <b>be removed from within a river wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;"					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	78	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete (b) of this Standard as follows -  " <i>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</i> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - " <i>Trees, slash and soil debris</i> <b>Slash</b> must: (c) <i>be stored on stable ground</i> <b>with low risk of instability.</b> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (d) of the Standard to read as follows (or words with similar effect): " <del>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of</b> instability of the land."					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new standard which states (or words to similar effect): <i>Trees and slash may be deposited into and remain in ephemeral and intermittent (when not flowing) rivers.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of harvesting and earthworks; and Amend (c) of the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>"(c) <del>tree slash or soil debris</del> must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for commercial forestry harvesting."</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where: (a) access is essential to assisting in the directional felling of trees away from the river or lake; (b) crossing the bed of a river to enable access; (c) tree slash or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for commercial forestry harvesting. In all cases, the Council must be notified <del>at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery</del> <b>as soon as practicable if the activity will result in discolouration of the river.</b>"</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide an exclusion to the Standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	88	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.15.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or with words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Trees <del>must be fully suspended when being pulled across a river (except</del> <b>may be dragged through the bed of</b> an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) – if the intermittent river commences to flow during harvesting, harvesting may continue until its conclusion."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting <del>or associated land disturbance,</del> <b>or the tracks are to have industry appropriate water controls installed if they are intended for further use.</b></i>  <b><i>If this cannot be achieved within 30 days due to weather or soil conditions, this must be reported to Council and a new timeframe will be established.</i></b>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <b>"Except for trees felled in accordance with 3.3.12., no tree or log <del>must</del> may be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area."</b> (Inferred)					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - "Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river <b>when not flowing</b> , or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake <del>or the coastal marine area</del> , <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b> " Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - "Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b> " Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following clause to this Standard or make it a new standard for vegetation clearance (or with words of similar effect): <i>Notwithstanding 3.3.12.5, where trees are leaning over a river, lake, significant wetland or coastal marine area, they must be felled in accordance with industry safety practices.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	101	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of vegetation clearance, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <del>"Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris</del> <b>Cleared vegetation that meets the definition of slash</b> must: (a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in,</del> <b>be removed from within</b> a river <b>wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; <del>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</del> (c) be stored on stable ground <b>with low risk of instability</b> ; <del>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion of</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of instability of the land.</b> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	105	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <b>"Excavation (including filling)."</b> And/or amend the definition of Excavation (see separate submission).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this Standard to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a permitted activity on all slopes/land classes.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	107	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend clauses (a) and (b) to state (or with words of similar effect) as follows (bold) - <i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i> <i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The excavation must not occur on a slope greater than 7.5° if the activity is within a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils, <b>unless the excavation is for plantation forestry infrastructure or other engineered roading infrastructure.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	109	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of excavation, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area, and that direct approaches to stream crossings can be constructed. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	110	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Batters must be designed and constructed to <del>ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of the excavation</del> <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	111	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	112	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	113	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in fertiliser being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Permitted Activity standards to provide for fire risk to the rural environment. Tasman District Council has recently held hearings in association with this issue. Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows: <i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i> <i>(iv) should the event be located in the high fire risk area (as shown on the TRMP planning maps) &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977, &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i> <i>(a) the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i> <i>(b) risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbecues); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i> <i>(c) fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Provide for commercial forestry planting and commercial forest harvesting to be Controlled Activities if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The Controlled Activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet Permitted Activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Provide for excavation to be a Controlled Activity if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Provide for commercial forestry planting and commercial forest harvesting to be Controlled Activities if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. Establish a Controlled Activity level with clear, focussed matters for control.</p> <p>The Controlled Activities would include, but not be limited to, the following activities (where they do not meet Permitted Activity standards):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial forest harvesting</li> <li>• Woodlot forest harvesting</li> <li>• Non-indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Indigenous clearance</li> <li>• Cultivation</li> <li>• Excavation</li> <li>• Land disturbance to create and maintain a firebreak</li> <li>• Application of agrichemical into or onto land</li> <li>• Application of fertiliser into or onto land</li> <li>• Discharge of contaminants to air from burning for the purposes of vegetation clearance</li> <li>• Forestry planting</li> <li>• Installation and use of culverts</li> <li>• Installation and use of fords</li> <li>• Installation and use of minor bridges</li> </ul> <p>The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul> <p>Stream crossings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the timing of riverbed disturbance</li> <li>• capacity to convey flow</li> <li>• fish passage</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Allow for this activity as a Permitted or Controlled Activity in alignment with (commercial forestry) land disturbance rules.</p>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete (a) from this Rule as follows (strike through) -  <i>"Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of any of the following materials:  <del>(a) wood having a moisture content of more than 25% dry weight;</del>"</i>  And, allow for the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of wood having a moisture content of more than 25% dry weight as a Restricted Discretionary Activity. Insert the following matter for discretion (or with words of similar effect): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Climatic conditions</li> </ul> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	122	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert Plantation Forestry as a permitted district activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	123	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	124	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"(a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural, <b>forestry</b> or horticultural activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone;"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	125	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard and replace it with the following (or words to similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The best practicable method must be adopted to <del>avoid</del> <b>mitigate the discharge of dust to be no more than minor</b> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	126	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	127	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	128	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (bold) -  " <i>The airstrip or helipad must be integral to the use of the land on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming <b>or forestry land and operations.</b></i> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	129	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard or replace it with evidence based setbacks as provided by the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	130	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	131	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	132	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  " <b><i>Except for trees felled in accordance with 4.3.11., no tree or log <del>must</del> may be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.</i></b> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	133	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Vegetation must not be removed by fire or mechanical means within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river <b>when not flowing</b>, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake <del>or the coastal marine area</del>, <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	134	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Vegetation clearance must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland <b>except where plantation forest trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016.</b>"</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	135	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	136	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following clause to this Standard or make it a new standard for vegetation clearance (or with words of similar effect): <i>Notwithstanding 4.3.11.5, where trees are leaning over a river, lake, significant wetland or coastal marine area, they must be felled in accordance with industry safety practices.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	137	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of vegetation clearance, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	138	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (or words to similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <del>"Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter and soil debris</del> <b>Cleared vegetation that meets the definition of slash</b> must: (a) <del>not be left within 8m of, or deposited in,</del> <b>be removed from within</b> a river <b>wherever practicable and safe</b> (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; <del>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</del> (c) be stored on stable ground <b>with low risk of instability</b> ; <del>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or</del> <b>when accumulated, be managed to present low risk of instability of the land.</b> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	139	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - " <b>Excavation (including filling).</b> " And/or amend the definition of Excavation (see separate submission).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	141	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review this clause to align it with the provisions of the proposed NES-PF. Provide for maintenance excavation as a Permitted Activity on all slopes/land classes.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	143	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend clauses (a) and (b) to state (or with words of similar effect) as follows (bold) - <i>"(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);</i> <i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland, <b>except for the direct approaches to permitted activity or consented stream crossings</b>);"</i> Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	144	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit the Standard to only apply to the actual activity of excavation, and provide an exclusion to the standard to ensure that existing infrastructure in this location (as at 9 June 2016) can continue to be used for all purposes and that all traffic can access stream crossings via a direct approach through this area, and that direct approaches to stream crossings can be constructed. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	145	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Standard as follows (or with words with similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"Batters must be designed and constructed to <del>ensure they are stable and remain effective after completion of the excavation</del> <b>be at low risk of instability.</b>"</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	146	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	148	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>" The application must not result in the agrichemical being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel that contains water."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	150	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>" The application must not result in fertiliser being deposited in or on a river (<b>except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing</b>), lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel that contains water."</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.46.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Permitted Activity standards to provide for fire risk to the coastal environment. Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows: <i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i> <i>(iv) should the event be located in the high fire risk area as shown on the TRMP planning maps &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977 &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i> <i>(a) the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i> <i>(b) risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbeques); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i> <i>(c) fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	152	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry replanting to be a Controlled Activity if the Permitted Activity standards cannot be met. The matters for control could include, but not be limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> </ul>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Rule. Replace it with a rule (preferably a permitted or controlled activity rule) that fairly reflects the impacts of all land use activities associated with excavation , not one based on an arbitrary decision as to which types of land use the rule would apply to.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	154	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide for commercial forestry harvesting as a Permitted Activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	155	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Rule. Provide for this activity as a Restricted Discretionary Activity, with the discretion restricted to the following, including but not limited to, matters (or with words of similar effect):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The natural clarity of a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The entry of woody organic material into a permanently flowing river, lake, wetland or the sea.</li> <li>• The restoration of vegetation on any excavation site.</li> </ul>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	156	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Allow for this activity as a Restricted Discretionary Activity. Insert the following matter for discretion (or with words of similar effect):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Climatic conditions</li> </ul>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	157	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the Permitted Activity standards and provide for Controlled Activities to ensure the MEP aligns with this principle.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	158	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the rationale and justification for having separate commercial forestry rules as compared to general activity rules. Delete the specific commercial forestry rules and re-instate the rules for land disturbance as per the previous plans as a measure to manage forestry operations before the proposed NES-PF is passed into law (April 2017).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	159	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the rationale and justification for having separate commercial forestry rules as compared to general activity rules. Delete the specific commercial forestry rules and re-instate the rules for land disturbance as per the previous plans as a measure to manage forestry operations before the proposed NES-PF is passed into law (April 2017).					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	160	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Ensure the MEP provides for controls on the siting of houses and essential infrastructure below steep land, with natural hazards being a key driver.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	161	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise this section of the MEP to fairly reflect the history of consultation.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	162	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the manner in which commercial forestry is treated in the MEP to ensure that there is equity between land users.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	163	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this paragraph as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The value of <b>the tall vegetation (eg areas of the conservation estate, which makes up 45% of Marlborough's land area, and commercial forests – 8%)</b> should not be underestimated. For example, the use of the Queen Charlotte Track, part of which occurs in the conservation estate, adds approximately \$10 million to the Marlborough economy annually. There are other ecosystem services provided by <b>the commercial forestry and the conservation estate</b> that, although not quantified in a monetary sense, contribute to social wellbeing, such as reducing flood risk, sustaining whitebait catches and other fish and game, <b>providing habitat, recreational opportunities and carbon sequestration.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	164	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the manner in which commercial forestry is treated in the MEP to ensure that there is equity between land users where the environmental effects of the activity are the same or less than other permitted activities (eg excavation and stock access to riverbeds / setbacks).					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	165	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Greater recognition needs to be given to the difference between the inner and outer Sounds areas based on land use, and regulation for commercial forestry needs to be reviewed and amended in light of this.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	166	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the reference to viticulture – it infers that the council has picked this industry as being more important than others.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	167	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Delete the provisions in the MEP controlling commercial forest planting and replanting in an afforestation flow sensitive site.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	168	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit this Policy and Permitted Activity rules to provide for short-term, minor diversions of water as Permitted Activities.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	169	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the rules for diversion that are captured by the full discretionary consent activity to be restricted discretionary consents (higher limit) or controlled activities (at the lower limit) with the matters of discretion/control as provided by this policy and the addition of timeframe.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	170	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to provide a wider context of the purposes of dams.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	171	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the provisions in the MEP controlling commercial forest planting and replanting in an afforestation flow sensitive site.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	172	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that this Policy does not have any impact on the establishment and replanting of plantation forests in the region.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	173	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy and associated rules.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	174	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure that this Policy does not have any impact on the establishment and replanting of commercial forests in the region.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	175	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Limit this Objective (and resultant policies) to only selected rivers, lakes and the coastal environment. Replace "establish the degree of natural character" with "identify areas of high natural character" (or with words of similar effect).					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	176	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to provide certainty as to when management will be applied and ensure that it will not be applied to existing commercial forest, OR Amend the Coastal Environment Zone to exclude NFL's commercial forestry blocks.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	177	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Exclude existing commercial forests from the coastal terrestrial zones and accurately reflect the detail of the rankings in Appendix 2.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	178	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reword the Policy to provide certainty as to extent (replace "adjacent") and focus on a list of rivers (rather than all watercourses).					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	179	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Objective to apply to rivers that have natural character, not all rivers, as well as the coastal environment and lakes.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	180	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the policy explanation to reflect how "avoid" is to be applied to rivers with high or very high natural character values where they are part of a working rural environment. Avoid in this context should still provide for access, crossings and minor adverse effects associated with these uses and adjacent land use activities.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	181	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all references to freshwater bodies from this Policy and policy explanation.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	182	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the policy direction to enable non-regulatory methods to be used primarily, and only use regulatory methods where the adverse effects will be significant and long term.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	183	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to read (or with words of similar effect): <i>In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments with Outstanding Natural Features or Landscapes, regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the affected area.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	184	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Policy.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	185	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure equity, based on the adverse effects of the land use, for all setbacks in the region. Rules also need to be consistent with Policy 8.2.9(d). Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	186	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure equity, based on the adverse effects of the land use, for all setbacks and levels of regulation in the region. Notwithstanding this, any setbacks for plantation forestry should be in alignment with the proposed NES-PF.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	187	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either: Remove commercial forests from the High Amenity Value Landscape - Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape. Or Provide for the change in landscape as a normal function of this land use.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	188	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	This Policy will not apply to commercial forests within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	189	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i>  <i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living;</i> <i>(b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and</i> <i>(c) requiring <b>Controlled Activity</b> resource consent for commercial forestry activities <b>and Restricted Discretionary resource consent for new commercial forestry activities.</b>"</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	190	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the application of this policy for commercial forestry.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	191	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	192	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	193	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	194	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure that the rules that are developed from this Policy apply to all land uses and areas of the region, not just in relation to forestry type planting. Employ the Wilding Risk Calculator rather than have a blanket ban on particular tree species.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	195	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete commercial forestry and the planting of certain species of exotic tree from the list of activities to be regulated.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	196	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete any reference to forestry in this Method, as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"The Council will provide guidelines to help landowners and resource users to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse visual effects of development on landscape values. Guidelines for <del>forest harvest activities</del> and new structures will be priorities for development. These guidelines are intended to encourage landowners and resource users to consider landscape qualities when using or developing natural and physical resources. This may result in improved recognition of the landscape within which the resource use or development is proposed to occur and therefore improved <del>(harvest or structure)</del> design from a landscape perspective. In this way, the guidelines will assist with the implementation of the regulatory methods and are complimentary to these methods.</i> <b><i>It is not proposed to develop guidelines for forestry as the NESPF will become the eminent regulation for the industry.</i></b> "					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	197	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete paragraph 3 as follows -  <i>"Provide the community with information on effective control practices for wilding pines:"</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	198	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to require that on-site verification is a key component of the identification sites, areas and habitats with significant indigenous biodiversity values.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	199	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W203</li> <li>• W777</li> <li>• W87</li> <li>• W779</li> <li>• W1369</li> <li>• W1368</li> <li>• W92</li> <li>• W989</li> <li>• W972</li> <li>• W377</li> </ul> (Refer submission points 990.200 to 900.209)					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	200	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 165	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetland from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W203</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	201	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 166	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetland from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W777</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	202	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 155	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W87</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	203	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 155	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W779</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	204	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 156	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W1369</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	205	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 156	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W1368</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	206	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 157	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W92</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	207	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 134	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W989</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	208	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 158	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W972</li> </ul>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	209	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 121	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>W377</li> </ul>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	210	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy, so that not only will be priority be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, but also to those at threat from surrounding land use.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	211	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy. OR Review and rewrite this Policy to be a policy. The assessment criteria should be attached as matters for control or discretion for resource consent applications.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	212	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review and rewrite this Policy to be a policy. The assessment criteria should be attached as matters for control or discretion for resource consent applications.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	213	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method to reflect that identified significant sites are validated on-site and not through an aerial photo based identification project. <i>(Inferred)</i></p> <p>Remove the following SNA wetlands from the MEP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• W203</li> <li>• W777</li> <li>• W87</li> <li>• W779</li> <li>• W1369</li> <li>• W1368</li> <li>• W92</li> <li>• W989</li> <li>• W972</li> <li>• W377</li> </ul> <p><i>This relief sought has been set out in sub-points 990.200 to 900.209.</i></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	214	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this Policy to read as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -  <i>"Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land <b>where public safety can be maintained.</b>"</i></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	215	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a further clause (e) as follows (or with words of similar effect):  <b><i>"(e) recognising and managing the risks to the wider environment/community from temporary events, such as wild fire."</i></b></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	216	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the policy explanation to include adverse effects such as wild fire, fly tipping and trespass.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	217	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy and insert its matters for assessment, as well as: 1. The type of activity 2. The time of the year it takes place 3. The scale of the event as assessment criteria for a Restricted Discretionary Activity. Refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, as follows: <i>An event which is advertised for general public admission meets the following conditions:</i> (iiv) <i>should the event be located in the high fire risk area as shown on the TRMP planning maps &amp; occurs between 1 October and 30 April, a fire preparedness plan is provided to the Rural Fire Authority for management in terms of its powers under the Forest Rural Fire Act 1977 &amp; adjacent landowners fourteen working days before the activity commences, which provides sufficient detail to satisfy the purposes for which it is required including:</i> (a) <i>the location, time &amp; duration of the event and the number of people expected to attend the event;</i> (b) <i>risk reduction measures including: briefing information for participants; management of spark hazardous activities (including smoking, lighting of fires &amp; barbecues); length &amp; dryness of grass; &amp; a cancellation procedure for the event if the Build Up Index (BUI) of the nearest remote access weather station forecasts or has a BUI reading of 80 or more, or a Fire Weather Index forecasts or reads 24 or higher;</i> (c) <i>fire readiness measures including water and equipment for firefighting; number of people on site trained in firefighting to NZQA or NZ Fire Service TAPS module standards; location of safe site areas; an evacuation plan with a stay/go procedure &amp; at least two escape routes to safe areas; a plan of how emergency services will access the site; an emergency notification process for organisers &amp; attendees &amp; a tested communication plan for phone or radio for communication with emergency services.</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	218	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to explicitly state the following (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Locate new structures and works to:</i> (a) <i>avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability <b>both from within and external to the site</b>; and</i> (b) <i>avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	219	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The strategic importance of areas of the Marlborough Sounds as a transportation route for inter-island <b>&amp; international</b> shipping will be recognised as a 'National Transportation Route'."</i>  And, amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The use of areas within the Marlborough Sounds for shipping provides a particularly important transport link between the North and South Islands <b>and internationally</b>."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	220	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this (a) of the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Ensure the following existing ports, marinas and community/commercial Jetties/landing areas continue to provide links between land transport modes and water transport to the Marlborough Sounds and beyond:  (a) ports of Picton (<b>including the port at Shakespeare Bay</b>) and Havelock;"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	221	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	222	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend paragraph 3 of the introduction as follows ((or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"The deep water port of Picton, which includes Shakespeare Bay, plays a critical national role in the transportation of people and goods between the North and South Islands <b>and internationally</b>. The passage of vehicles and people through the port is closely related to the economic activity of the town's commercial and accommodation activities. Picton is an export/import port that acts as a base for commercial fishing vessels, marine farming and fishing activities. It provides facilities that enable people to access the Marlborough Sounds. <b>Shakespeare Bay is Marlborough's hub for the export of forestry products – predominantly logs, but also lumber</b>. Recently it has also become a popular port of call for cruise ships."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	223	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy and Port zoning.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	224	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	225	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	226	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (strike through) - <i>"Activities that are <del>not</del> related to primary production are appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	227	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (bold) -  <i>"Some activities, while not relying on the primary production potential of rural resources, are nonetheless closely linked with the rural environment. This includes outdoor recreation that frequently takes place in rural environments, whether organised through clubs or informally by individuals. Additionally, there are occasionally events of a limited duration that can occur within rural environments. Some flexibility is needed for the operation of these activities as they can provide for an important element in the economic and social wellbeing of the community. In both cases permitted activity standards will establish a framework to enable these activities to occur <b>while managing the risks associated with the activities.</b>"</i>  Also refer to the direction taken by the Tasman District Council, which has recently held hearings in association with this issue.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	228	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy so that intent is clear and does not require interpretation.  Amend the Permitted Activity standard so that it aligns with the policy.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	229	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the paragraph 2 to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) -  <i>"The contribution that these uses and values make to the community's social and economic wellbeing and to public health means that maintaining the quality of water in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers is essential. <del>Any reduction</del> <b>Reduction</b> in water quality is therefore a significant issue in Marlborough."</i></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	230	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the first paragraph of the explanation for the Issue as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"The good state of water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers makes them more vulnerable to point source and non-point source discharges. <del>Any deterioration</del> <b>Deterioration</b> in water quality would have dramatic implications for Marlborough's social, economic and cultural wellbeing, as good water quality is essential for a wide range of consumptive and non-consumptive uses. A reduction in water quality could also adversely affect freshwater and marine habitats. The main threats to water quality in Marlborough are described below."</i></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	231	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the first and second paragraphs under the heading " <i>Natural processes</i> " as follows - <i>"In the context of the above, it is also important to note that natural processes may influence water quality. For example, groundwater quality often reflects the mineralogy of the aquifer it originated from, especially if the groundwater has high residence time. This means that some Marlborough groundwaters have high levels of naturally occurring contaminants such as salt, iron and arsenic. There is also potential for bacteria from the faeces of feral animals (e.g. goats, pigs and possums) and other wildlife to contaminate fresh and coastal waters.</i>  <del>Occasionally,</del> <i>Natural processes will result in sediment reaching both fresh and coastal water, particularly during rainfall events. This affects the clarity and turbidity of water and the resulting <del>dirty</del> <b>discoloured</b> waters can have an impact on freshwater and marine life."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	232	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Issue. If the issue is non-point source discharges, then make this clear. If it is not an issue of no-point source discharges, then delete this sentence and review the provisions that apply to the non-issue.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	233	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the first paragraph of the explanation to the Objective to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) - <i>"Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers contain a diverse range of natural and human use values and are used extensively by the community. The existing water quality in the majority of our waterbodies is sufficient to support these values, but it is important that no <b>long term</b> degradation of water quality is allowed to occur. In addition....."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	234	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation for this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"This policy establishes a minimum expectation of water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters. The policy will be primarily implemented through the application of water quality classifications, against which the impact of point source discharges on water quality can be assessed in the preparation of permitted activity rules and the consideration of resource consent applications. The use of "potential" in the criteria reflects a community expectation that contact recreation and/or food gathering should <del>always</del> <b>generally</b> be able to be undertaken safely in coastal waters, rivers, lakes and wetlands. This policy assists to give effect to Policy A1, CA2 and D1 of the NPSFM and Policy 8 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS)."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	235	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this Policy.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	236	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	237	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the final paragraph of the explanation for the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"In time <del>and as signalled in Policy 15.1.3</del>, the Council <del>will</del> <b>may</b> establish cumulative contaminant limits to assist with the effective management of the adverse effects of all discharges to freshwater within a catchment. These limits will be established as regional rules and will establish a maximum amount of resource use within a catchment for water quality outcomes. <b>If the adoption of good management practices provides sufficient management of non-point source discharges, regulation may not be required.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	238	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to apply to all of the Marlborough region. Amend the Policy description to provide commentary on the effectiveness of riparian planting and the need for a practical planned approach to any planting to ensure that unintended adverse consequences are avoided.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	239	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to fairly reflect the issues and ensure equity of application for all land uses. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	240	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to the Policy to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) - <i>"Sections 12 and 13 of the RMA regulate the activity of disturbing the seabed and the bed of lakes and rivers, respectively. This disturbance usually releases sediment into water, effectively a nonpoint source discharge of contaminants. To ensure integrated management of the effects of bed disturbance, this policy signals that any water quality effects caused by such a discharge also need to be managed. <b>It is also accepted that some short term and minor discharges may occur in conjunction with an activity that aims to avoid further or sustained bed disturbance.</b>"</i>  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	241	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to ensure: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No interpretation is required</li> <li>2. Any clarity standards are meaningful and measurable</li> <li>3. Acknowledgement and acceptance of short term and/or minor bed disturbances</li> <li>4. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.</li> </ol>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	242	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the second paragraph of the explanation to the Method to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Apply permitted activity standards to require rural land uses, with the potential to adversely affect water quality through non-point source discharges, to be setback from rivers, lakes, significant wetlands and coastal waters. <b>The required setbacks will be commensurate with the effects of the activity.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	243	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Control emissions from <b>newly consented (post 9 June 2016) large scale fuel burning devices outside the Blenheim airshed &amp; approve discharge permit applications, where the discharge will not be dangerous or noxious, or cause an offensive or objectionable effect beyond the boundary of the site(s) from where the discharge originates. Control emissions from existing (prior to 9 June 2016) large scale fuel burning devices outside the Blenheim Airshed &amp; approve discharge permit applications, where the discharge will not be dangerous or noxious, or cause an offensive or objectionable effect at the notional boundary of the nearest dwelling.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	244	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) - <i>"Encourage land management practices that:</i> <i>(a) maintain soil structure by:</i> <i>(i) avoiding or remedying soil compaction (<b>unless it is required for infrastructure stability</b>);</i> <i>(ii) avoiding the loss of soil organic matter; and</i> <i>(iii) avoiding or remedying the effects of increased sodium levels;</i> <i>(b) maintain nutrients at appropriate levels; and</i> <i>(c) retain topsoil in situ."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	245	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rewrite the Policy to fairly address the issues and ensure equity of application for all land uses. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	246	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity. The rainfall event return period should be stated and practical. Direction should be provided to determine how close a land use needs to be to any fresh waterbody or coastal water in the application of this Policy.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	247	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reword the Policy to provide clear guidance and expand it to require significant focus / regulation on the eroding hill country around Blenheim and the Weld Pass. Any rules resulting from this Policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	248	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.39	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the first paragraph under the Method to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"To work with <del>established</del> rural industry groups to develop and implement sustainable land management programmes. The initial focus will be on viticulture, pastoral farming (especially dairy and beef farming), arable farming and forestry, and <del>may</del> <b>will</b> extend to other rural activities if the need arises."</i> And, amend the third paragraph under the Method to read as follows (or with words of similar effect) (strike through and bold) - <i>"<del>Farm</del> <b>Sustainable land</b> management plans may assist rural property owners to identify appropriate responses to soil erosion issues on their land. The Council will help to develop such plans if requested."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	249	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.45	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the second paragraph under Method 15.M.45 as follows (strike through) - <i>"Undertake monitoring of the effect of specific land disturbance activities and land use changes on the soil resource. This can be implemented through monitoring required as a condition of resource consent or through state of the environment monitoring. <del>Monitoring the effects of forest harvest activities in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds is a priority.</del>"</i> And, amend the description of the Method to focus on monitoring the effects of land use in the highly erodible loess soils in the Wither Hills and Weld Pass area.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	250	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through) -  <i>"The Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 (HSNO) states the minimum controls for the use, storage, transportation and disposal of all hazardous substances throughout New Zealand. Although the Council is able to impose additional and/or more stringent requirements, it is satisfied that the requirements imposed by HSNO regulations are sufficient to minimise the potential for inadvertent release of hazardous substances into the environment.</i>  <i>Exceptions to this policy include:</i> <i>(a) the use and storage of hazardous substances in groundwater protection areas and on river beds, due to the vulnerability of the aquifers and rivers to contamination; and</i> <i>(b) the discharge of hazardous waste to land or water.</i>  <i>In these circumstances, the Council will use its powers under the RMA to impose controls more stringent than the HSNO regulations."</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	251	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -  <i>"A majority of the sites on the Register are identified as potentially contaminated and are included on the basis of HAIL. However, the risk of human health effects or adverse effects on the environment is unclear. For this reason, the Council will <b>engage and work with affected parties to progressively screen those sites on the Register to determine the likely risk that the contaminants pose to human health and/or the surrounding environment. The degree of risk and the reasons will be recorded on the Register and shared with the affected parties.</b>"</i>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	252	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Develop policies and methods to address the issue of illegal dumping of solid waste – whether it is on public or private land.					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	253	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (or with words of similar effect) (bold) -  <i>"A major method in the MEP for managing the efficiency of the road network is through identification of a road's function, which is established by the road hierarchy. It is important that subdivision or activities that generate traffic (whether on land or in the coastal marine area) are managed so that their location, density and/or scale does not impair the function of a particular road. <b>The functions of the roads (and hierarchy) must equally provide for expected rural/coastal industry transport needs.</b> Management will occur through district rules that describe where there is a need to consider the impacts of activities on the function of a road through <del>the</del> <b>a Controlled Activity</b> resource consent process."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
990	Nelson Forests Limited	254	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the road network by ensuring:</i></p> <p><i>(a) buildings, vegetation and activities do not reduce clear sight lines for trains and road vehicles at level rail crossings or for vehicles at road intersections;</i></p> <p><i>(b) vegetation planted on land alongside rural roads is set back so that roads are not shaded and subjected to icing in winter;</i></p> <p><i>(c) adequate formal crossing facilities are provided where high levels of pedestrian activity are generated from an activity located adjacent to an arterial road or in a Business or Industrial zone;</i></p> <p><i>(d) activities do not create distractions for any road or rail users, including from glare, inappropriate lighting, smoke, <del>discharges</del> or other distractions;</i></p> <p><i>(e) vehicle crossing places and entrances from roads are constructed and maintained to standards appropriate to the circumstances of traffic volume, pedestrian and cycle movement and local traffic speed; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) new urban subdivisions and developments incorporate facilities for nonmotorised transport users, including:</i></p> <p><i>(i) footpaths or access ways intended to be used by both cyclists and pedestrians and their separation for safety reasons where practicable;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) provision for cycle traffic within road carriageways in such a way that lane width, design and surface finish are adequate to safely accommodate both motorised vehicles and cycles; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) pedestrian access routes connecting residential areas, schools, shopping centres, recreation reserves and public transport collection points and terminals where appropriate."</i></p> <p>And, clarify what is mean by "<i>other distractions</i>" in clause (d).</p> <p>Amend the setback from roads for vegetation planting rule to align with the policy direction.</p> <p>Any rules resulting from this policy should either be a Permitted Activity (subject to performance standards) or a Controlled Activity.</p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	255	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, <b>when they do not need to use these roads to access their freight/produce</b>, particularly where these pass through residential areas."</i></p> <p>And, amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities, <b>ports or customers</b>."</i></p>					
990	Nelson Forests Limited	256	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of the Policy as follows (strike through) - " <del>(a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</del> " (Inferred) And, amend the explanation to the Policy to state as follows (or with words with similar effect) (bold) - " <i>It is important that where new roads or extensions or upgrading of existing roads are proposed that the effects identified in this policy are avoided, remedied or mitigated, <b>and that the improved safety and wellbeing for communities when roads are upgraded is recognised.</b></i> "					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	257	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Objective.					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	258	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain this Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	259	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert the following policy (or words with similar effect) into the Climate Change Section - " <b>Establishing both plantation forests and carbon sequestration forests is a matter of national priority and will be supported by rules and other methods in the MEP.</b> "					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	260	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Significant Wetlands: W972-Storeys Creek, W92-Langley Dale, W1368 & W1369-Bartletts, W87 & W779-Pine Valley, W777-Top Valley, W203-Glengyle, W989-Arnotts, W377-Denckers. <i>This subpoint has been covered in subpoints 990.200 to 990.209.</i>					
<b>990</b>	Nelson Forests Limited	261	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Coastal Environment Zone to exclude NFL's plantation forestry blocks.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Please see attached submission.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	2	Volume 1	All		Support
Decision Requested	It is considered that the MEP would be more workable and user-friendly through merging and simplifying a large number of objectives and policies which seek overlapping outcomes, both within and between chapters. In achieving this, the style of the earlier part of Chapter 15 (relating to water) whereby Objectives are grouped together with a suite of policies below to collectively achieve those aims may be a good option. This section of the chapter avoided duplication and was found to be well set out and easy to follow.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide definitions for the different terms used for water supplies which are consistent with other existing legislation.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	4	Volume 1	2 Background	Integrated management of the Marlborough environment	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the wording of the definition of integrated management to the following:  <i>'Integrated management is an active process of managing the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources as a whole and recognises the following:</i>  ...  <i>(d) That natural and physical resources cannot be managed without having regard to the social, economic, and cultural <b>and health and safety</b> interests of the community.</i>  ...'</p> <p>Amend the first sentence of the explanation to the following:  <i>'The social, economic and cultural wellbeing <b>and health and safety</b> of our community relies on the use, development and protection of our land, water, air, soil, mineral and energy resources, plants and animals and structures.'</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	5	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Nelson Marlborough District Health Board Public Health Service is identified under the 'Statutory agencies' section.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanation to Issue 4B recognises healthcare services and facilities as regionally significant infrastructure. That a definition is included in the MEP for 'healthcare services and facilities' which provides for physical and mental health facilities and services including, but not necessarily limited to, the Wairau Hospital, emergency services, general practices, and community support services.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the wording of the explanation to Objective 4.2 is amended to the following:  <i>'... This includes the ability to <b>operate</b>, maintain, upgrade, and replace <b>and expand</b> existing infrastructure.'</i></p> <p>That an objective is included which recognises the importance of the establishment of regionally significant infrastructure.</p> <p>That policies are provided which give effect to Objective 4.2 in relation to existing regionally significant infrastructure (including the above recommended amendments) and the above recommended objective relating to the establishment of regionally significant infrastructure.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.1 so that 'healthcare services and facilities' is explicitly listed and provided for, as defined in point 5. above.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of the explanation to Policy 4.2.2 to the following: 'The effective and efficient operation of regionally significant infrastructure can be protected by avoiding the establishment of incompatible activities in locations where reverse sensitivity effects may arise close proximity to the infrastructure in the first place.'					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the chapter is renamed so that it explicitly relates to the allocation of freshwater resources and coastal space (or occupation).					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the second paragraph of the introduction recognises that the allocation of public resources is integral to the health and safety of people and communities, including the provision of an example for the reader.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of the explanation to Objective 5.2 to the following: 'The natural and human use values supported by Marlborough's freshwater bodies are important to retain given their contribution to the social, economic and cultural wellbeing and health and safety of the community.'					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the consumptive uses and order of priority for water allocation are reviewed and that municipal water supply is given a higher priority which recognises its importance as a critical use and provides consistency with Policy 5.3.4 of the MEP.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider expanding Policy 5.3.4 to also include networked community water supplies not administered by the MDC.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 5.3.11 so that it provides for reverse sensitivity effects on other activities including the effects of a water take on existing lawful point source discharges and the ability to maintain adequate assimilative capacity within receiving waters to ensure adverse effects on people's safety or the environment do not arise.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	16	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanation to Policy 9.3.7 to recognise the importance of walking and cycling linkages in supporting connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and reducing vehicle and fossil fuel usage.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	17	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments ( strike through bold and bold) are made to Policy 9.3.9:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.3.9 – In assessing the impacts of subdivision or development through resource consent applications, consideration shall be given to the need for public open space and recreation areas to provide for:</i></p> <p><i>(a) additional neighbourhood parks needed as a result of additional residential and visitor accommodation across Marlborough;</i></p> <p><i>(b) additional open space necessary for visual relief and plantings amongst the built environment; <del>and</del></i></p> <p><i>(c) the development of neighbourhood parks and open space areas that are <b>safe, useable and enjoyable</b> <del>and</del></i></p> <p><b><i>(d) the development of walking and cycling linkages to support connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and recreational opportunities.</i></b></p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	18	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 12.1 to recognise and provide for compatible and appropriately managed mixed use developments within residential zones.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	19	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Re-consider the potential policy outcomes of not providing for infill development, smaller lot sizes and greater density in Urban Residential 2 Zone (in addition to providing for intensification development) in relation to addressing Issue 12A of the MEP (providing for flexibility in the size and type of dwelling options available including in relation to an aging population and increase in single person households) and providing for consolidated development (e.g. Policy 17.6.3)					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	20	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 12.2.1 to the following: <i>'The character and amenity of residential areas within Marlborough's urban environments will be maintained and enhanced by:</i> (a) <i>providing for a range of areas with different residential densities and lot sizes, including for infill, greenfield and large lot developments</i> (b) <i>ensuring there are residential areas within walkable distance to community, social and business facilities</i> (c) <i>providing for sufficient <b>open spaces and parks</b> that are <b>equitably distributed</b>, and integrated, <b>accessible and safe, and vary in size, form and their use including through incorporating diverse aspects such as streets, walkways, vegetation and views</b> <del>open spaces and parks to meet people's recreational needs</del></i> (d) <i><b>providing for walking and cycling linkages to support connected neighbourhoods and communities, active transport options, and recreational opportunities</b></i> (e) <i>higher standards of <b>urban design that positively contributes to public space amenity and safety</b>, visual interest and <b>amenity and activity</b></i> (f) <i>ensuring people's health and wellbeing through good building design, including energy efficiency and the provision of natural light; and</i> (g) <i>effective and efficient use of existing and new infrastructure networks.</i>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	21	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.3.3 to recognise and provide for compatible and appropriately managed mixed use developments within all residential areas.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	22	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.4.2 to recognise residential living as an accepted activity within the central business areas of Blenheim and Picton.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	23	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide separate policies for amenity outcomes sought for business and industrial zones and amend the wording of Policy 12.6.2 to the following: <i>'Development <del>of activities in business or industrial</del> zones will provide good amenity outcomes through the following:</i> (a) <i>ensuring people's health and wellbeing are maintained and enhanced through good building design</i> (b) <i>requiring a high standard <b>of design that positively contributes to amenity, public safety and</b> visual interest and <del>amenity qualities</del> (noise levels, minimal dust and odour, privacy, overall volumes of traffic movements, building bulk and density and access to daylight, <b>street lighting and visibility</b>)</i> (c) <i><b>ensuring a layout that allows easy access for people of all ages and abilities, is convenient and safe for pedestrians and cyclists, and includes connections within the development and/or with surrounding areas and services</b></i> (d) <i>providing planting on road reserve</i> (e) <i>requiring integration of landscaping on individual allotments to soften the appearance of buildings fronting the road in areas outside of the streets identified in Appendix 18.</i>					
	That the permitted activity standards for commercial activity (rules 9.3.1 and 10.3.1.1), and large format retail (rule 11.3.1) reflect the above changes					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 14.1.2 to the following: 'Subdivision <b>location, density and</b> parcel size in rural environments shall ensure there is adequate choice for primary production and avoids the fragmentation of land for primary productive use.'					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.4 to address potential adverse effects on the health and safety of people and the ability of adjacent landowners to enjoy their land.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the volume of water required to be of a drinking water standard to ensure that it is both reasonable and feasible.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a policy that requires operators to appropriately locate, design, construct and manage treatment and/or spill response facilities (where appropriate) for hazardous substances.  That the same or similar policy is required in relation to preventing or mitigating the contamination of soils (for instances where activities do not occur on impervious surfaces where stormwater contamination is of more concern).					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	28	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Method 15.M.11 is amended to include the Nelson Marlborough District Health Board as one of the parties to liaise with in determining uses and values of waterbodies.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	29	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.32 to include a criterion for considering the effects of sedimentation on the aquatic/marine environments and associated social, cultural and economic values of areas not identified within Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 or Appendix 2 of the MEP.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	30	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 15.2.3 to the following: 'Require all new <b>and replacement</b> multi-fuel <b>and wood</b> burning appliances to comply with the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality design standard for wood burning appliances'					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	31	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 15.2.3 to the following: 'Require all new and replacement multi-fuel and wood burning appliances to comply with the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality design standard for wood burning appliances'					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	32	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording of Policy 15.3.5 to the following: 'Manage the discharge of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by: ... (c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values <b>including as a result of cross media effects between air and other receiving environments</b> .					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.30	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method 15.M.30 so that the community is well informed about how to operate their wood burners correctly to ensure more efficient heating and less pollution. This includes burning the right wood (dry, seasoned hardwood; no chemical treatment or rubbish) the right way (hot and not smouldering fire, not overloading the appliance).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	34	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the wording of Policy 16.2.6 to the following:  <i>'In deciding whether to grant resource consent for any discharge of solid waste to land and the need to impose consent conditions to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects, decision makers need to determine whether there will be:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(a) soil or groundwater contamination from the accumulation <b>or leaching</b> of heavy metals <del>and other</del>, hazardous substances <b>or other contaminants</b></i></li> <li><i>(b) contamination of waterbodies through runoff of sediment or leachate</i></li> <li><i>(c) erosion, land instability and/or run-off of sediment into waterbodies due to land disturbance activities associated with the activity</i></li> <li><i>(d) <b>adverse effects on public health or amenity</b></i></li> <li><i>(e) <del>reduced amenity values due to disposal of unauthorised material resulting in odours, rubbish accumulation and vermin</del></i></li> <li><i>(f) adverse effects to the mauri of ecosystems, waahi tapu sites and other sites of cultural significance by discharges of sediment or leachate onto or into land.</i></li> </ul>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	35	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 17.6.3 to reflect other measures in reducing vehicle and fossil fuel usage, including through incorporating the intent of Policy 17.6.4.  Suggested amendment:  <i>'Mitigate the adverse effects of vehicle and fossil fuel usage where practicable <b>and foster improved community health by:</b></i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>(a) reducing potential travel times <del>to and from home, work community and business places</del>, through consolidated development of Marlborough's towns</i></li> <li><i><b>(b) providing active transport routes such as walkways and cycleways;</b></i></li> <li><i><b>(c) providing an integrated public transport, walking and cycling network</b></i></li> <li><i><b>(d) providing walkable and connected neighbourhoods and communities; and</b></i></li> <li><i><b>(e) promoting local economies for food, produce and other production.</b></i></li> </ul>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	36	Volume 1	18 Energy	Policy 18.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the explanation to Policy 18.2.1 is amended to recognise the importance of retrofitting insulation into existing housing stock in terms of increasing energy efficiency (alongside behavioural change).</p> <p>That a non-regulatory method is included whereby MDC will consider supporting programmes that retrofit insulation into existing homes and/or other initiatives aimed at improving thermal efficiency and home heating.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	37	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Introduction to Chapter 19 recognises that climate change is the biggest global health threat of the 21st century and that MDC encourages action being taken towards decreasing carbon emissions.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	38	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanation to Issue 19A is expanded to recognise other serious public health effects such injury or stress related mental health effects from extreme weather events (e.g. floods, droughts or fires) and changing insect born disease patterns such as certain mosquito species becoming established.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	39	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanation to Objective 19.1.1 is expanded to include that MDC will record and report on the carbon emissions of its own activities and encourage other local businesses and organisations to do the same. Also that current activities that MDC undertakes, such as its role in planting trees, be recognised.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards  That all other permitted activity standards for filling of land with clean fill also contain the same criteria as above (including 4.3.15, 13.3.18, 14.3.9, 15.3.17, 17.3.5, 18.3.6, 19.3.6 and 22.3.7).					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	41	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	42	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	43	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	44	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	45	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	46	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	47	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	48	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a permitted activity standard is added which specifies acceptable clean fill materials in accordance with the Ministry for the Environment's 'A guide to the management of cleanfills' (2002) or other best practice standards					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	49	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That MDC and NMH discuss and explore the options and merits of expanding the provisions of Appendix 16 of the MEP including by providing a specific zone and associated provisions for the Wairau Hospital and associated facilities/services.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	50	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rule 5.2.2.1 includes the following advice note: <b><i>"Exception: This noise limit does not apply to activities of the Wairau Hospital and/or other medical emergency services including, but not limited to, sirens and the operation of helicopters."</i></b>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	51	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Replace "noise levels with "sound levels" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	52	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.3	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	53	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	54	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.6	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	55	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.2	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels'</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	56	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>At sub-clause (c)replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels'</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	57	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	58	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.7	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	59	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert "unreasonable or" before "excessive."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Delete "public" where used in the two occurrences of "public nuisance."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace in sub-clause (b) "background noise levels" with "background sound levels," or in the alternative delete the word "levels".             Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Amend sub-clause (a) by insertion of "unless dwellings are located, oriented and designed to be adequately acoustically isolated from such zones"</p> <p>Amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy with, "Generation of noise arising from the operation of ports, port landing areas, and marinas has the potential to have adverse noise effects upon the health and amenity values of people and communities in the vicinity."</p> <p>Amend the second sentence of the explanation to the Policy by replacing "potential for noise to be an issue for" with "potential for adverse noise effects upon".</p> <p>Amend the third sentence of the explanation to the Policy by inserting after "protecting", "health and"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace 'noise levels with 'sound levels'</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	66	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	67	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provisions.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	68	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provisions.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	69	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provisions.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	70	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	71	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	72	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Replace in sub-clause (c) "background noise levels" with "background sound levels," or in the alternative delete the word "levels".</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	73	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	74	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	75	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	76	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	77	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: Replace "maximum acceptable levels of aircraft noise exposure", with, "appropriate noise limits" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	78	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	79	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	80	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	81	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	82	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	83	Volume 1	18 Energy	18.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	84	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace "a frequency weighted" with A-Frequency-weighted"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	85	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision. if NZS 6809:2010 is incorporated into the plan, otherwise delete the definition of L10 Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	86	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace the definition with            "means the day-night average sound level over a 24 hour period obtained after the addition of 10 decibels to sound levels at night, where night is 10.00 pm to 7.00 am the following day."             Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	87	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace the term "LAEQ (Leq) with "LAeq (LAeq)"            Replace in the text the term "(dBA Leq)" with "(dB LAeq)" which is the commonest usage (and the correct usage) in the plan. AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT THE PLAN WHERE REQUIRED.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	88	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace the term "LMAX (LAMAX)" with "LAFmax (LAFmax)" note the first term incorporates subscript text and the alternate term in brackets does not.            Insert ", F-time-weighted" before "sound level"            Delete "(dBA Lmax) "</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	89	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	90	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	91	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	92	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.            Add "or (LAE)" after "(SEL)"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	93	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Add new definition  “Wind turbine” a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm, but excludes “small wind turbines” as described in section 1.6 of NZS6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	94	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT VOLUMES 2 AND 3 OF THE PLAN  Replace in all rules occurrences of the term “dBA LAeq” with “(dB LAeq)”  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	96	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Substitute LAeq for L10 metric, delete L95 noise limits. Note the assessment location should “at any point within the notional boundary of..”  Replace “DBA with dBA” replace (except where dB LAeq is to be adopted based on other submissions.)  Other details should be as submitted by NZ Defence Force.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 3.2.3.1, insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,            In 3.2.3.1, Replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point within the Zone"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	98	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 5.2.2.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,            In 5.2.2.1 Replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point within the Zone"</p> <p>In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	99	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 6.2.2.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,            In 6.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In all sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	100	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 7.2.2.1 , replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>In all sections, replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	101	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 8.2.2.1, Replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point within the Zone”</p> <p>In all sections, replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	102	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 9.2.2.1 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	103	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 9.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	104	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 10.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	105	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 10.2.2.2 replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	106	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 11.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	107	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 12.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	108	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 12.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary with, " with "on another site within the Zone " and remove the comma after last occurrence of "within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	109	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 12.2.2.3 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	110	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 12.2.2.4 replace “at or within” with “at any point within” and replace “within the notional” with, “at any point within the notional”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	111	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 17.2.2.1 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	112	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In all sections replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	113	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 18.2.2.1 replace “at the Zone boundary or within the Zone” with “at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone”</p> <p>Replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	114	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In all sections, replace “dBA LAeq” with “dB LAeq” here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	115	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 19.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	116	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 20.2.1.1, replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at any point outside the Zone or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	117	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 22.2.2.2. replace "at the boundary ", with "on another site within the Zone" and remove the comma after last occurrence of "within".</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	118	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 22.2.2.1. replace "at the Zone boundary ", with "outside the Zone"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	119	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 22.2.2.2. replace "when measured at or", with "when assessed"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	120	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 23.2.2.1 replace both occurrences of "at or within" with " at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	121	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 3.2.3.1,insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>Replace "at or within" and "within the" with "at any point within"</p> <p>Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Add "in Rule 3.2.3.2 after "noise limits"</p> <p>Replace in 3.2.3.3 (a) and 5.2.2.1 "the New Zealand Fire Service" with "emergency services."</p> <p>Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	123	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>Add "in Rule 3.2.3.2 after "noise limits"</p> <p>Replace in 3.2.3.3 (a) and 5.2.2.1 "the New Zealand Fire Service" with "emergency services."</p> <p>Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	124	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Add sub-headings for two sub-clauses" Electrical generators" and "Wind turbines."            Replace 3.2.3.3 with            "3.2.3.3            (a) Electrical generators Noise emissions from any generator used for electricity generation must be operated so that noise emissions at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling in any zone must not at any time exceed 55 dB LAeq(15 min) when measured and assessed in accordance with Rule 3.2.3.5.            (b) Wind turbines Wind turbine sound must be measured and assessed in accordance with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise and the noise at any point within the notional boundary of any residential            Dwelling must not exceed 40 dB LA90(10min) or the background sound level LA90(10 min) plus 5dB, whichever is higher."            Consequentially add a new definition to the plan as submitted above in 0            "Wind turbine" a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	125	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of clause 3.2.3.5. "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	126	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	127	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows:. AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3.            Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	128	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows:. AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3.  Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	129	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend (3.2.4) as follows:. AND ELSEWHERE IN THE PLAN in 4.2.3. and 8.2.3.  Amend section headings to "Noise sensitive activity and frost fans"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	130	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.  Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	131	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.  Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	132	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.  Replace "ISO 717.1:2004" with "ISO 717.1:2013"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	133	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	134	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	135	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"            Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	136	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.3.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	137	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	138	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Either define "acoustic insulation" as including "acoustic isolation" OR (preferred decision) in 3.2.5.1.and 3.2.5.2. 23.2.3.1. 23.2.3.2.replace all instances of "insulation to" with "isolation of" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>In 3.2.6.1. , 3.2.6.2, 23.2.5.1 and 23.2.5.2.replace all instances of "insulation installed" with "isolation" and "Such insulation" with "Such Isolation"</p> <p>Here as elsewhere in the plan replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	139	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace "measured at or within" with "at any point within"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Replace "measured at or within" with "at any point within"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	141	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	143	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	144	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	145	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	146	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Allow the provision.. Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: In 4.2.2.1. and 16.2.3.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section," In 4.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at point beyond the Zone" In 16.2.3.1. replace "measured at the boundary of, or within" with "assessed at any point outside the Zone, or on another site within the Zone" In 4.2.2.1, .2 and .4 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN. Add additional sub-clause " Except as provided in Rule 3.2.3.2" Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	148	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1. and 16.2.3.1 insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1 replace "at the Zone boundary or within the Zone" with "at point beyond the Zone"</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace "measured at the boundary of, or within" with "assessed at any point outside the Zone, or on another site within the Zone"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.1, .2 and .4 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Add additional sub-clause " Except as provided in Rule 3.2.3.2"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 4.2.2.2. insert at the beginning, "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,"</p> <p>Replace "at or within" and "within the" with "at any point within"</p> <p>In 4.2.2.2 replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	150	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace in (b) "recreational" with "primary industries"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Add sub-headings for two sub-clauses" Electrical generators" and "Wind turbines."            Replace 3.2.3.3 with            "3.2.3.3            (a) Electrical generators Noise emissions from any generator used for electricity generation must be operated so that noise emissions at any point within the notional boundary of any dwelling in any zone must not at any time exceed 55 dB LAeq(15 min) when measured and assessed in accordance with Rule 3.2.3.5.            (b) Wind turbines Wind turbine sound must be measured and assessed in accordance with NZS 6808:2010 Acoustics - Wind Farm Noise and the noise at any point within the notional boundary of any residential            Dwelling must not exceed 40 dB LA90(10min) or the background sound level LA90(10 min) plus 5dB, whichever is higher."            Consequentially add a new definition to the plan as submitted above in 0            "Wind turbine" a device used to extract kinetic energy from the wind for electrical generation and includes any wind farm.            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	152	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of clause 3.2.3.5. "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.6.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	154	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	155	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	156	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	157	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	158	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	159	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	160	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	161	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	162	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	163	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	164	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	165	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	166	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	167	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	168	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	169	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	170	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	171	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	172	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	173	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	174	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	175	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	176	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	177	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	178	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	179	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	180	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	181	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	182	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	183	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	184	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.2.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	185	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.4.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	186	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	187	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	188	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	189	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"            Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	190	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Insert at the beginning of first clause in these sections "Except as provided elsewhere,"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	191	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  Delete "Exception" and substitute "Note"  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	192	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances "when measured at the boundary of, or within," with "from", and replace "at, or beyond," with "at any point beyond"  In 13.2.3.4. replace "NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise" with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008."  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	193	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:  In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances "when measured at the boundary of, or within," with "from", and replace "at, or beyond," with "at any point beyond"  In 13.2.3.4. replace "NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise" with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008."  Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	194	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 13.2.3.1 and 13.2.3.2 replace in both instances “when measured at the boundary of, or within,” with “from”, and replace “at, or beyond,” with “at any point beyond”</p> <p>In 13.2.3.4. replace “NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise” with . NZS 6809:1999 Acoustics Port noise management and land use planning provided references therein to NZS6801:1999 shall be taken as references to NZS 6801:2008.”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	195	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace “adequately insulated” with “adequately acoustically isolated”</p> <p>In 13.2.4.2.replace “insulation “ with “acoustic isolation”</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace “or within” with “at any point outside”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	196	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>Replace “adequately insulated” with “adequately acoustically isolated”</p> <p>In 13.2.4.2.replace “insulation “ with “acoustic isolation”</p> <p>In 16.2.3.1. replace “or within” with “at any point outside”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	197	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In sub-clause (a) replace in “Measured at” with “assessed at any point within”</p> <p>In sub-clause (d) replace “noise levels at” with “noise limits at any point within”</p> <p>Replace “noise levels with “noise limits”</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	198	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	199	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 24.4.1.13. Replace "(a)" with "Adequate acoustical isolation of dwellings from noise sources.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	200	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Noise Control Boundaries 1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	201	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Noise Control Boundaries 2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	202	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Noise Control Boundaries 3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	203	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Noise Control Boundaries 4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision..</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	204	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Noise Control Boundaries 5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision.</p> <p>Noting thickness of lines may cause uncertainty and care should be taken in the representation of the lines to follow cadastral boundaries on smaller lot sizes where possible.</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	205	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:.</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"</p> <p>In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	206	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"</p> <p>In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	207	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site" In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	208	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	1.2.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:</p> <p>In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,</p> <p>In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"</p> <p>In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
280	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	209	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	3.2.5.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,            In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"            In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	210	Volume 3	Appendix 16 Specifically Identified Activites/Areas	3.2.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In 1.2.5.1 and 1.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 insert at the beginning of each sub-clause , "Except as provided elsewhere in this section,            In 1.2.5.11.2.5.2 and 3.2.5.1 replace "at the scheduled site boundary with "at any point outside the scheduled site boundary the Zone" and delete "or within the scheduled site"            In both sections, replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq."</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	211	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Allow the provision in part and amend as follows:            In all sections, replace "Leq (number in decibels) dBA" with "(number in decibels) dB LAeq" where number in decibels is the numerical value of the noise limits stated in each section            After the heading "Conditions" on page 14-16, and before "Rahotia Microwave ..etc"            add a new clause as follows            "In the conditions listed below noise must be measured in accordance with NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics – Measurement of Environmental Sound, and assessed in accordance with NZS 6802:2008 Acoustics – Environmental Noise.            In all sections delete "at a notional boundary 20m from the façade of any dwelling, or the site boundary, whichever is the closer to the dwelling:" with "at any point within the notional dwelling of a dwelling outside the scheduled area"</p> <p>Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.</p>					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	212	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	In 11.2.2.2 replace "at the boundary of, or within" with "at any point within".					
	Replace "dBA LAeq" with "dB LAeq" here and THROUGHOUT THE PLAN.					
	Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>280</b>	Nelson Marlborough District Health Board	213	Volume 3	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Allow the provision in part and amend as follows: AND CONSEQUENTIALLY AMEND THROUGHOUT VOLUMES 2 AND 3 OF THE PLAN Replace in all rules occurrences of the term "dBA LAeq" with "(dB LAeq)"					
	Note: The scope of relief sought is intended to include amendments to the like effect arising from consolidation, re-ordering or expansion of like provisions in this section or elsewhere in the plan, or consequential amendments to this proposed section, as a result of decisions about other parts of the plan.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition to enable planting for the purposes of environmental enhancement to occur.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amalgamate the definitions to allow for excavation and filling of land as a single definition.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amalgamate the definitions to allow for excavation and filling of land as a single definition.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the definition with amendment to include all cattle on low-land farms (excluding high country farmed cattle) not just cattle on irrigated land or contained for break-feeding of winter feed crops in recognition that all cattle farmed on lowland areas have the same impacts, particularly when entering onto or passing across the bed of a river.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the definition of intensive farming to remove (e) land based aquaculture from the definition and to specifically exclude the fish farm operated by Ormond Aquaculture Ltd on Keith Coleman Lane as effects from land based aquaculture activities are most appropriately addressed through discharge to water consents.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Passive Recreation to include outdoor recreation, and to better reflect the nature of these activities that require minimal facilities or development and as a result, have negligible impact on the surrounding environment.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	7	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of wetland to remove the wording "but this does not include these areas where they are entirely man made" and amend definition to ensure that improved pasture/crop areas are considered as wetlands.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to ensure that all remaining wetlands in the Marlborough Region be identified as significant wetlands given their global rarity and to recognise the diverse, complex and productive nature of these ecosystems. In particular, Fish and Game also seek to ensure that Lake Elterwater is recognised as a significant wetland due to its local significance as game bird and waterfowl habitat.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	9	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Sections 6 and 7 should be either removed altogether or quoted in full.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	10	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>This section of the Plan needs to be amended to better reflect the full range of policy settings and stances available under the RMA, from protection through to enabling. The RMA is not only about enabling. While Section 5 of the RMA enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic and cultural well-being this enabling is undertaken in the context of sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources, safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil and ecosystems and avoiding, remedying or mitigating any adverse effects on the environment. For freshwater matters, an environmental bottom line approach applies, in order to give effect to the National Policy Statement on Freshwater Management 2014</p> <p>Clarification should be made that the "Sections 12, 13, 14 and 15" referred to are in fact sections of the Resource Management Act and not sections of the PMEP.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	11	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the description of what it means to "avoid" adverse effects to reflect the meaning of "not allow" or "prevent the occurrence of" clarified through the King Salmon Supreme Court decision. Consequently, those policies using the term 'avoid' should be amended and interpreted to reflect this same meaning and not remediation or mitigation as suggested in the existing explanation.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	12	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the description of what it means to "protect" to reflect the meaning that the adverse effects to be avoided, and what is inappropriate should be assessed with reference to what is being 'protected' clarified through the King Salmon Supreme Court decision. Consequently, those policies using the term 'protect' should be amended and interpreted to reflect this same meaning and not in the "number of ways" as the Plan suggests.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	13	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Clarify the relationship between water resource availability and the allocation limits set to ensure that limits set are actually within a realistic standard and align with the requirements of the draft National Environmental Standard on Flow Setting (2008). Introduce new objectives, policies, and rules to underpin freshwater management, environmental flow and level setting, and surface and groundwater allocation in the Marlborough Region.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the issue to reflect the importance of the "sustainable management" of "natural and physical resources".</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the objective as currently worded and replace it with something that provides clear guidance on how success of the primary production and tourism sectors will be measured.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to better reflect the intent of the explanation or include an additional separate policy as currently there is disconnect between the policy and its explanation. Fish and Game also seek that the wording of the policy be updated to refer to both natural and physical resources					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to ensure that both natural and physical resources are maintained or enhanced.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	24	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	25	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction to better reflect Objective B1 of the NPSFM and the protection of the habitat of trout and salmon and safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of water and ecosystems as required in section 5 of the RMA.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game support the policy in its direction to establish freshwater management units but seeks amendment to provide greater clarity of the relationship between freshwater management units and water resource units.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that clarify the natural and human use values of freshwater management units and the relationship between freshwater management units and water resource units.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that take, use, damming or diversion of water is prohibited from all waterbodies identified as having at least high natural character.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the policy with amendments that apply a consistent approach to the setting of environmental flows/levels which takes into account the values of the particular waterbodies as well as the desire to protect the specific attributes identified in Policy 5.2.4.</p> <p>Amend Policy 5.2.4 as follows (additions underlined):</p> <p>(b) protect or enhance instream habitat and ecology, <u>including the habitat of trout and salmon</u></p> <p>(c) maintain <u>or enhance</u> fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</p> <p>(e) maintain water quality <u>and enhance it where this has been degraded</u>;</p> <p>(g) maintain <u>or enhance the following values</u>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Amenity values</li> </ul> <p><u>Recreational values</u></p> <p><u>Riparian vegetation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Public access to and along the margins of waterways</u></li> </ul>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that amend Policy 5.2.5 to replace the use of the term prevent with avoid.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that 24-hour averaging is replaced with "on an instantaneous basis by way of a hydrological model." The use of 24-hour daily flow averaging to assess when irrigation restrictions are triggered is problematic due to fluctuations in flow, sometimes large, over a 24 hour period, due to natural variance, abstraction and/or hydro generation. This is particularly problematic during periods of low flow and when large volumes of water have been allocated for abstraction. Using a 24 hour average flow can enable abstractive use to manipulate flows substantially below the minimum for significant periods of time. An instantaneous minimum flow can be implemented as a synthetic flow at particular points on the river through the adoption of a hydrological model that filters out the effect of fluctuating inputs into the main stem Wairau from the Branch River hydro scheme, taking into account transit time, inputs from higher catchment recorders, and the existing recorder. The rules for this model should be written into the MEP by way of an Appendix, to ensure clarity, transparency, and consistency for all users.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy and replace it with a policy that ensures that limits cannot be changed without a plan change through the First Schedule process.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Having regard to adverse effects does not provide any protection or mitigation to waterbodies from the instantaneous rate of take. The policy does not provide sufficient direction for decision makers. It also potentially sets up two classes of river, permanently flowing rivers and those that are ephemeral, and creates the risk of a more lax environmental management regime for those rivers. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that avoid adverse effects on any waterbody from an instantaneous rate of take.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy takes into account the connectivity of waterbodies and the contribution that intermittently flowing rivers make to hydrology in other waterbodies. However, the policy requires amendment to ensure that the values of the intermittently flowing rivers are also recognised and protected. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the values of the intermittently flowing rivers are recognised and protected.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the intent of this policy be more clearly outlined to clearly explain how the limit will be set to maintain biodiversity and the values identified for the FMU. The policy needs to be amended in a manner that splits the policy to deal with the setting of limits and the avoidance of over allocation.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed, or where studies indicate a higher or lower (than that proposed in the NES) percentage allocation is necessary to preserve values, this should instead be adopted.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that provide clarity on the situations where it is "identified as necessary" that the flow variability of rivers be protected. Where flow sharing is identified as appropriate, the plan needs to signal how this will be implemented.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Greater specificity is required in the policy about how the takes will be proportionately reduced. Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that specify the hydrological parameters that govern them, how takes will be proportionately reduced, and that the policy be applied to both permitted takes and those granted through resource consents.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include a new policy which states that the measurement of the flow or level of a Freshwater Management Unit is undertaken at the monitoring site specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to make it clear how the adverse effects from the diversion of water are to be addressed through the resource consent process and to ensure that the requirements of the RMA are met to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the environment.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure it sets out the how diversions will be managed to meet the objective, meets the requirements of an effective policy and not as matters of discretion.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that remove the wording "have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water" as this wording is unnecessary in the policy. Fish and Game also seek that the maintenance of water quality downstream of the dam is specifically considered by decision makers and that this be included in the policy.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that ensure it clearly states how the objective is going to be met, meets the requirements of an effective policy and not as matters of discretion.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that remove the "where necessary" at the beginning and provide greater certainty to decision makers and plan users when a review of the conditions of water permits will be carried out.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the Objective to refer to the sustainable management of freshwater resources and ensure that access to freshwater is only enabled where the FMU is sustainably managed to align with the purpose of the RMA.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend the policy to clearly explain the natural and human use values relating to Freshwater Management Units in Appendix 6 and the relationship between these values and the Freshwater Management Units in Appendix 6.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety due to the provisions for water allocation over and above those allocations provided for in Schedule 1 of the Plan.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety as municipal water takes, as with all other water takes, need to be managed within sustainable limits.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety due to the provision in the policy to enable the take and use of water without appropriate consideration of cumulative effects.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety and replace it with a policy that ensures both instantaneous take and specific allocation amounts are considered in achieving policies relating to maximum instantaneous rate of takes being a percentage of flow, as well as protection for the ecological values of waterbodies.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy in its entirety and replace it with a policy that ensures both instantaneous take and specific allocation amounts are considered in achieving policies relating to maximum instantaneous rate of takes being a percentage of flow, as well as protection for the ecological values of waterbodies.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that reword the policy to remove "have regard to" and provide greater direction to plan users and decision makers and ensure it meets the principles of sound policy drafting.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove the policy and replace it with one that implements shorter duration water permits and catchment expiry dates to take into account the cumulative impacts of water take. Alternatively, ensure that all water permits contain a review clause under Section 128 of the RMA which enables the Council to review consents, on a catchment or regional basis at a common date in the future. To ensure cumulative adverse effects can be addressed, common catchment expiry and review conditions are needed for each catchment to allow consents to be reviewed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to add a new policy that implements common catchment expiry and review conditions for each catchment to ensure consents can be reviewed and cumulative adverse effects appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments that reword the policy to remove "have regard to" to provide greater direction to plan users and decision makers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the issue with amendments that ensure that it reflects the environmental effects of over-allocation of water					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the objective with amendments to ensure that it refers specifically to use of water within the limits set.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to ensure that any water taken is used for the use authorised and not wasted.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove this policy until such time as the Council has sufficient information on which to make informed decision on water allocation and robust techniques in place to accurately monitor actual water takes.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to remove this Policy until such time as the Council has sufficient information on which to make informed decision on water allocation and robust techniques in place to accurately monitor actual water takes.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the community are provided with information on the daily water use of all water resources and not only those that are fully allocated.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	80	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	81	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that provide a specific timeframe for eliminating the over allocation of water and that over allocation is phased out by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	84	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that provide stronger direction around the diversion of water and to ensure that no new permits for the diversion of water will be granted in over-allocated water resources similar to the wording of policy 5.5.2..					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	85	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that clarify the policy applies to water takes with consents prior to 9 June 2016 and that reference is made to the reasonable use policy sought by Fish and Game. The policy also needs to be amended to reflect the total water allocated from the catchment by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	86	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the total of all water allocated does not exceed the limit set by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	87	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5F	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	88	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that it reflects that the taking of groundwater does not cause limits to be breached.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	89	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy but amend the titles of tables in Appendix 5 to assist plan users in identifying surface water and aquifer dominated FMUs.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	90	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	91	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5G	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	92	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	93	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	94	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the policy with a more thorough policy, one reasonable to achieve the same intent as Policy 5-12 of the One Plan .</p> <p>The amount of water taken by resource users must be reasonable and justifiable for the intended use. In addition, the following specific measures for ensuring reasonable and justifiable use of water must be taken into account when considering consent applications to take water for irrigation, public water supply, animal drinking water, dairy shed wash down or industrial use, and during reviews of consent conditions for these activities.</p> <p>(a) For irrigation, resource consent applications must be required to meet a reasonable use test in relation to the maximum daily rate of abstraction, the irrigation return period and the seasonal or annual volume of the proposed take. When making decisions on the reasonableness of the rate and volume of take sought, the Regional Council must:</p> <p>(i) consider land use, crop water use requirements, on-site physical factors such as soil water-holding capacity, and climatic factors such as rainfall variability and potential evapo-transpiration lower application efficiency), or on the basis of a higher efficiency where an application is for an irrigation system with a higher efficiency</p> <p>(iii) link actual irrigation use to soil moisture measurements or daily soil moisture budgets in consent conditions.</p> <p>(b) For domestic use, animal drinking water and dairy shed wash down water, reasonable needs must be calculated as:</p> <p>(i) up to 300 litres per person per day for domestic needs</p> <p>(ii) up to 70 litres per animal per day for drinking water</p> <p>(iii) up to 70 litres per animal per day for dairy shed wash down.</p> <p>(c) For industrial uses, water allocation must be calculated where possible in accordance with best management practices for water efficiency for that particular industry.</p> <p>(d) For public water supplies, the following must generally be considered to be reasonable:</p> <p>(i) an allocation of 300 litres per person per day for domestic needs, plus</p> <p>(ii) an allocation for commercial use equal to 20% of the total allocation for domestic needs, plus</p> <p>(iii) an allocation for industrial use calculated, where possible, in accordance with best management practices for water efficiency for that particular industry, plus</p> <p>(iv) an allocation necessary for hospitals, other facilities providing medical treatment, marae, schools or other education facilities, New Zealand Defence Force facilities or correction facilities, plus</p> <p>(v) an allocation necessary for public amenity and recreational facilities such as gardens, parks, sports fields and swimming pools, plus</p> <p>(vi) an allocation necessary to cater for the reasonable needs of animals or agricultural uses that are supplied by the public water supply system, plus</p> <p>(vii) an allocation necessary to cater for growth, where urban growth of the municipality is provided for in an operative district plan for the area and is reasonably forecast, plus (viii) an allocation for leakage equal to 15% of the total of (i) to (vii) above.</p> <p>(e) When making decisions on consent applications where the existing allocation for a public water supply exceeds the allocation determined in accordance with (d)(i) to (d)(vi) above:</p> <p>(i) consideration must be given to imposing a timeframe within which it is reasonably practicable for the existing allocation to be reduced to the determined amount, or</p> <p>(ii) if (i) is not imposed, an alternative allocation must be determined based on the particular social and economic circumstances of the community serviced by the public water supply and the actual and potential effects of the abstraction on the relevant Schedule B Values for the reach of river or its bed affected by the take.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	95	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that remove the words "except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property specific information"					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	96	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that introduce a minimum efficiency standard for irrigation applications of at least 80% efficiency.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	97	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	98	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	99	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	100	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	101	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that the appropriate timing of takes for storage is reflected in the policy including the waterbody being above median flow, and that the take is no more than 20% of the flow at that time and that the take does not cause a lowering of or below median flow.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	102	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the take of water is not beyond the limits set.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	103	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the take of water is not beyond the limits set.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	104	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to provide greater clarity around the Council's desired method for accounting for water storage.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	105	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the issue with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	106	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the objective with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	107	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (e) to include ecological, biological, and morphological processes and patterns					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	108	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to ensure that natural character is determined by firstly identification of the elements, patterns and processes that exist to contribute to natural character in wetlands, lakes and rivers and then establish the degree to which these have been modified by human activity.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	109	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that wetlands with high and very high natural character are also identified. Include an additional policy in the plan to recognise the natural character of wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins that have natural character values considered to be less than high.					



<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	110	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the objective with amendments to recognise the natural character of wetlands together with the natural character of the coastal environment, lakes, rivers and their margins.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	111	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural character of wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	112	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	113	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	114	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to give effect to Section 6(a) of the Act to ensure that the natural character of all wetlands, lakes rivers and their margins be preserved and protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	115	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include restoration together with enhancement of natural character.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	116	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	117	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	118	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include enhancement, together with restoration.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	119	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 8 – Indigenous Biodiversity to recognise the different responsibilities of the Council under Section 6(c) and 30(1)(ga) of the Resource Management Act.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	120	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	121	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	122	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	123	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that remove the reference to “significant indigenous biodiversity value” and refer instead to “significant biodiversity value including indigenous biodiversity” and to recognise that all wetlands have significant biodiversity value and to remove “(g) sustainability” and provide clearer guidance on what the criteria are and how they will be applied such as those identified in Table F2(a) of the Horizons One Plan.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	124	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to identify all wetland areas as significant. Policy 8.1.2 – Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant biodiversity, including indigenous biodiversity, value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	125	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	126	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to provide clarity around how protection, maintenance and restoration will be achieved.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	127	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.4 – Priority will be given to the re-establishment of biodiversity, including indigenous biodiversity, in Marlborough’s lowland environments.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	128	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	129	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that remove the reference to “indigenous biodiversity” and refer instead to “biodiversity value including indigenous biodiversity” to ensure that biodiversity value of all freshwater species is recognised.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	130	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain policy 8.2.11 with amendments to remove reference to “indigenous vegetation” and instead refer to “vegetation, including indigenous vegetation” to recognise the role of all vegetation in the promotion of vegetation corridors along waterbodies.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	131	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.4 – Improve the management of drainage channel maintenance activities to mitigate the adverse effects from these activities on the habitats of freshwater species, including indigenous freshwater species					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	132	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy that ensures the protection of significant areas.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	133	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seeks amendments to the policy to ensure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the policy suggested above to protect significant areas is directly cross referenced; and</li> <li>• That the policy provides a stronger hierarchy whereby significant adverse effects are avoided altogether and that mitigate and remediation is only considered where avoidance of other effects is not possible.</li> </ul>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	135	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure the policy aligns with the principles for biodiversity offsetting outlined by the Business and Biodiversity Offsets Programme.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	136	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	137	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	138	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	139	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	140	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	141	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	142	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 9.1.9 – Enhance public access through: (a) development of appropriately designed networks for cycling and walking in both rural and urban areas; and (b) facilitating public access and recreational use of Marlborough District Council owned or administered land. c) Consult with stakeholders on proposals for the development of such networks.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	143	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	144	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to (b) to include “rivers used for angling” after coastal marine area or wording to similar effect.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	145	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the policy be amended to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• there is no reduction in public access to rivers unless this is unavoidable</li> <li>• the criteria are amended to reflect its application to areas adjacent to rivers and not just to the riverbed</li> </ul>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	146	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that where existing public access is to be lost, that alternative access must be provided.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	147	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	148	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove policy as it does not relate to resource management or the RMA.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	149	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.17	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove policy as it does relate to resource management or the RMA.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	150	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the objective to ensure it provides clear direction that public access to the coast and lakes and rivers is maintained					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	151	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to remove "(g) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access."					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	152	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	153	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	154	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to give effect to s.7(h) of the RMA, to include reference to all human and natural values of waterbodies and to ensure that implementation considers all types of rules, not just permitted activities. Policy 14.1.4 – Manage primary production activities to ensure they are carried out sustainably through the implementation of policies and methods (including rules establishing standards for permitted activities) to address potential adverse effects on: (a) the life supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems; (b) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes; (c) water quality and water availability; (d) areas with landscape significance; (e) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna; (e1) the habitat of trout and salmon; (f) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment; or (g) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	155	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the plan manage freshwater to achieve the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 for all Freshwater Management Units and incorporating the relevant changes to Schedule 2 set out in the submission below.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	156	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the Plan identify all the waterbodies that do not achieve the water quality standards contained in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 and seek to improve the water quality within these waterbodies to achieve the water quality standards in Schedule 2 by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	157	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek objectives and policies in the plan that manage land use and discharges in waterbodies identified as not meeting the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 to ensure water quality standards are achieved in all freshwater bodies by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	158	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek rules in the plan that manage land use and discharges in waterbodies identified as not meeting the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 to ensure water quality standards are achieved in all freshwater bodies by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	159	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	160	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	161	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Table 15.1 with amendment to include the Para Wetland.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	162	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	163	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	164	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to ensure that all coastal and fresh water quality is maintained, and where necessary restored and enhanced to enable primary contact recreation, fishing and the intrinsic values of ecosystems.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	165	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed where relief sought for Objective 15.1b is granted while ensuring that this is achieved by 2030					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	166	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new objective to ensure that the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual average concentration of dissolved reactive phosphorus must be <0.015mg/l when the river flow is at or below the 20th flow exceedance percentile and ensure that this is achieved by 2030					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	167	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new objective to ensure that the maintenance or enhancement of freshwater quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the maximum cover of visible river bed by deposited fine sediment concentration is less than 20% and ensure that this is achieved by 2030					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	168	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reinstate Objective 5.1.10 from the Operative Regional Policy Statement or an objective with wording to similar effect that ensures the integrity of freshwater habitats and natural species diversity are maintained or enhanced.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	169	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed where relief sought for Objective 15.1b is granted while ensuring that this is achieved by 2030					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	170	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to ensure it applies to each waterbody and not to Freshwater Management Units and ensure that this is achieved by 2030					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	171	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to replace the <540 per 100ml to <260 per 100ml to ensure all waterbodies are swimmable and ensure that this is achieved by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	172	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to remove the reference to "potential for contact recreation" and refer simply to contact recreation. Amend (d) to refer to "wetland ecosystems" rather than "aquatic ecosystems" to reflect the diverse nature of wetlands.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	173	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the relationship between Water Resource Units and Freshwater Management Units so that it is clear how the two data sets relate to each other.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	174	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to reflect that water quality standards are set as in-stream limits and these limits are to be achieved, through the implementation of rules by 2030.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	175	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated 10-year timeframe for Murphys Creek and within a 5-year timeframe for Mill Creek.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	176	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated 10-year timeframe and within a 5-year timeframe for Kaituna River.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	177	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within a 5-year timeframe.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	178	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that the objectives are achieved within the stated timeframes.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	179	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to accurately reflect the requirements of s.70 of the RMA to ensure that the discharges do not result in the emission of objectionable odour.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	180	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that only the best practicable option is adopted.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	181	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to reinforce the need for water discharges for contaminants to achieve freshwater objective and standards in Appendix 6.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	182	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure that discharge permits for contaminants are only granted where the criteria stated in the policy are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	183	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	184	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendment to ensure that stormwater discharges are not excluded.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	185	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to reflect that is applies only to existing discharges seeking new consent and that they are required to comply with Policy 15.1.15 for improvement over time to meet standards. The policy needs to reflect that no new consents will be granted and that consents will not be granted where no improvements are being made.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	186	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure that all existing discharge permits are reviewed where conditions requiring monitoring are not already included.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	187	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	188	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this policy in its entirety.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	189	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.22	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	190	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to ensure all intensively farmed livestock access to rivers, lakes and wetlands is avoided					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	191	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	192	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.28	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the policy in its entirety					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	193	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) to include the avoidance or remediation of the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	194	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that ensure the policies applies to all land use activities that effect water quality or include a new policy in the Plan to this effect.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	195	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	196	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments to provide direction on how effects will be managed rather than a list of matters for consideration.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	197	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	198	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy with amendments that specify appropriate limits for dairy farming, including maximum nitrogen leaching standards, management practices to avoid loss of phosphorus and sediment and faecal contamination.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	199	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Fish and Game seek to include policies in the Plan to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The allocation status of freshwater management units are defined to identify each freshwater management unit as under-allocated, fully-allocated or over-allocated and use the Council's state of the environment monitoring information to determine those waterbodies that do not currently meet the water quality standards in Appendix 5, Schedule 2 and work toward restoring ecosystem health in those waterbodies by 2030.</li> <li>Farming activities comply with a sustainable nitrogen leaching rate which is based on allocating the total allowable load of nitrogen for the sub catchment, freshwater management zone or catchment to the land on the basis of either a 'flat' per hectare allocation of nitrogen leaching or a nitrogen leaching allowance per hectare based on an allocation on a land use capability class basis, or some other methodology which achieves the efficient use of natural resources</li> <li>Require farms to comply with specified management practices which minimise or reduce the loss of nitrogen, phosphorous, sediment and faecal contamination, including, but not limited to, the requirement to seal effluent ponds and to practice deferred irrigation, good management practices for the application of fertiliser and other nutrient sources, including setbacks from waterbodies, permanent fencing and planting of riparian margins, good management practices for earthworks and cultivation including setbacks from waterbodies to avoid or minimise sediment run off to water,</li> <li>Nutrient budgets are prepared annually by a person who has completed both the "Intermediate" and the "Advanced" courses in "Sustainable Nutrient Management in New Zealand Agriculture" conducted by Massey University, and provided to the regional council. The information shall be provided in an electronic format compatible with regional councils information systems and may include but shall not be limited to the following reports from Overseer or their equivalent if an alternative model is used (must be accredited for use by the regional council): Nutrient Budget, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Summary, Nitrogen Overview</li> <li>Exclude all livestock from rivers, lakes and wetlands, and to culvert or bridge all regular stock crossings</li> <li>Include a prohibited activity for new or intensified (a use that increases loss of nitrogen or phosphorus) use of production in sub catchments that are currently over allocated for nitrogen or phosphorus</li> <li>Provide for trading of nitrogen or phosphorus loss rates between production land uses or properties in the same sub catchment so long as the water quality standards in Appendix 5 Schedule 2 not breached at any point within that sub catchment, or Freshwater management unit</li> <li>Ensure that the rules include both land use and ancillary discharge provisions (section 9 and section 15 RMA)</li> <li>Ensure that rules do not breach s70 RMA and apply sound planning principals</li> </ul> <p>Ensure that those activities and land uses which are contributing the most to the over allocation bear the majority of the cost of reducing the over allocation (adopt the polluter pays principal)</p>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	200	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to remove the unnecessary duplication of activities by simply listing the activities and their associated standards once.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	201	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include a schedule of farm practices, similar to that included as Schedule 24 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, and to include rules which require compliance with the schedule for nutrient management, irrigation management, grazing of intensively farmed stock, cultivation and collected animal effluent.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	202	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include a schedule outlining requirements for Farm Environment Management Plans similar to Schedule 7 of the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	203	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the Plan apply the allocation of nutrients principles from Beef and Lamb NZ (attached as an appendix to this submission) as policies and rules when considering the allocation of nutrients for farming activities.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	204	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activities contained in Section 2.2 to ensure that these activities cease when the particular Freshwater Management Unit is experiencing low flows. Alternatively Fish and Game seek that the permitted take amounts are reduced overall and that during low flows, the permitted amounts are further reduced and all permitted takes cease during extreme low flows, which will direct people toward storing water at appropriate times.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	205	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards of this activity as outlined below (see submission on 2.3.1)					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	206	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers. Fish and Game seek to include conditions on permitted takes for domestic needs that require: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A restriction on the maximum number of takes in water resource units</li> <li>• Stopping water takes at extreme low flows</li> <li>• Each permitted activity take location and amount of take is reported to the Council to ensure that the Council can understand and monitor the extent of cumulative effects from permitted domestic takes.</li> </ul>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	207	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	208	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	209	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	210	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	211	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity standards to include a maximum volume limit of 30m3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	212	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity standards to include a maximum volume limit of 30m3. Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	213	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	214	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	215	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	216	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	217	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity and make further take from the Wairau Aquifer subject to resource consent.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	218	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity and make further take from the Wairau Aquifer subject to resource consent.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	219	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with amendments that introduce standards that require the use of stored water before water is taken and used directly from waterbodies as other standards that ensure the ecological health of fresh waterbodies.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	220	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	221	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.8.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendments to ensure that standard 2.3.8.6 refers to the relevant professional standards for calibration.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	222	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed with amendments to the standards as sought below.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	223	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment to ensure that bore testing is not carried out more than once every calendar year.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	224	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity as notified and require that resource consent as a discretionary activity be required to ensure that the activity complies with the relevant allocation limits and minimum flows and to prioritise the use of stored water first.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	225	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity as notified and require that resource consent as a discretionary activity be required to ensure that the activity complies with the relevant allocation limits and minimum flows and to prioritise the use of stored water first.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	226	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification over the need for specific provisions for such a permitted activity is required, particularly in relation to the provision for temporary trenches for the purposed of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	227	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarification over the need for specific provisions for such a permitted activity is required, particularly in relation to the provision for temporary trenches for the purposed of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	228	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.14.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	229	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standards to ensure that the cumulative effects of multiple takes are addressed and that the standards also apply to ephemeral rivers.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	230	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the activity as notified given the significant lack of standards to address the potential adverse environmental effects of the activity.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	231	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the activity as notified given the significant lack of standards to address the potential adverse environmental effects of the activity.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	232	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity until additional standards regarding the management of environmental effects and compliance with water take limits are included					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	233	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the permitted activity until additional standards regarding the management of environmental effects and compliance with water take limits are included					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	234	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The storage capacity under standard 2.3.16.1 for out of stream storage and on constructed dams is too small and needs to be increased.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	235	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The storage capacity under standard 2.3.16.1 for out of stream storage and on constructed dams is too small and needs to be increased.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	236	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support
Decision Requested	To protect scarce wetland resources and avoid adverse effects on wetlands from the drainage and diversion of water in rivers, Fish and Game seek a new standard to apply to all activities involving the diversion or discharge of water to ensure that the diversion or discharge does not result in the lowering of water levels in any wetland.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	237	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The standards associated with the permitted activity for the operation of the drainage channel network do little to ensure that the potential environmental effects of the activity are appropriately addressed. In particular, Fish and Game seek that the setback of the diversion from a significant wetland needs to be increased. Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.17.1.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	238	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment particularly the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.17.1.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	239	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.18.1.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	240	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to include additional standards that ensure the proposed activity considers potential effects on the environment and increase the setback distance from significant wetlands under standard 2.3.18.1.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	241	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	242	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed, such as being tied back to the agreed sustainable flow regime (SFR) for the Wairau at Tuamarina recorder.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	243	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed, such as being tied back to the agreed sustainable flow regime (SFR) for the Wairau at Tuamarina recorder					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	244	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support
Decision Requested	Permitted activity xxx diversion of no more than 50 l/s into Para Wetland for the purposes of wetland restoration.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	245	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	246	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	247	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity, or amend the activity to include rules to ensure adverse effects on the environment are appropriately managed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	248	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>No details provided in terms of decision requested</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	249	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include reference in the standards to the Council's code of practice for river works, together with additional consideration of the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and river geomorphology when considering the diversion of water to avoid future habitat and biodiversity loss and ensure the holistic consideration of river management.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	250	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to include reference in the standards to the Council's code of practice for river works, together with additional consideration of the summer hydrological regime of Para Wetland, and river geomorphology when considering the diversion of water to avoid future habitat and biodiversity loss and ensure the holistic consideration of river management.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	251	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	252	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	253	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	254	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	255	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	256	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the prohibited activity to ensure that it includes the water takes below minimum flows and that the exclusion provided at (b) cease to have effect by 2030. Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	257	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the prohibited activity be replaced with an activity that prohibits all water takes from Freshwater Management Units for use in another Freshwater Management Unit.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	258	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	259	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek the reinstatement of the prohibited activity rule (rule 27.1.2.5 of the Wairau Awatere Resource Management Plan) in relation to the taking of water from Lake Elterwater or amendment to the plan with the same effect as the relief sought.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	260	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the rule with amendments to include the Kaituna and Rai Rivers and their tributaries to ensure that damming of water is a prohibited activity in these waterways also.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	261	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the exception provided under 2.9.1.2 for culverts and bridges.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	262	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the exception provided under 2.9.1.2 for culverts and bridges.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	263	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Replace the permitted activity with a full discretionary activity.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	264	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the permitted activity with a full discretionary activity.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	265	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	266	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	267	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	268	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	269	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered such as including a maximum size of the structure and limitations on when the structure can be constructed. Fish and Game seek that this applies to all structures except those permitted under 2.7.6 (as amended through this submission) below.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	270	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity standard as proposed and replace it with a full discretionary activity status or additional permitted activity standards that ensure the effects of the activity can be fully considered.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	271	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity to enable the construction or placement of permanent maimai and whitebait standards as a permitted activity.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	272	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted activity standards under 2.9.6.5					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	273	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with additional restriction on the maximum culvert diameter or maximum size of the river of catchment to recognise the use of a culvert is not always appropriate.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	274	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with additional restriction on the maximum culvert diameter or maximum size of the river of catchment to recognise the use of a culvert is not always appropriate.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	275	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the permitted activity					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	276	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment to ensure that intensively farmed livestock entering into or passing across the bed of a river does not result in conspicuous pugging. Fish and Game have previously sought amendment to the definition of Intensively Farmed Livestock to include all cattle farmed on lowland areas.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	277	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.10.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	278	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	279	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standard with amendments to ensure that a 20m setback is applied from any location in the bed of a river.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	280	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	281	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	282	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	283	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new standard applicable to all activities that restricts activities from occurring during trout spawning season in trout spawning rivers from June 1 – September 1.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	284	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	285	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove and replace with a non-complying activity to provide for dams that are not provided for as a permitted activity or prohibited activity in the plan.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	286	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the rule with amendments to include the Kaituna and Rai rivers and their tributaries in the prohibited activity rule.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	287	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	288	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	289	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	290	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	291	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	292	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	293	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	294	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	295	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	296	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	297	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	298	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	299	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	300	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	301	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	302	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	303	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	304	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standards for permitted activity to provide standards that ensure the environment is protected and adverse effects are appropriately avoided, remedied or mitigated. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	305	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	306	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	307	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	308	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity for excavation with amendment to allow additional excavation at the Ormond Aquaculture Ltd site at Keith Coleman Lane of 1000m3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	309	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definitions of excavation and fill, filling and fill material are combined together to provide one term that covers all aspects of cut and fill activities. Particularly support 3.3.16.8 regarding filling within 8m of a significant wetland or 30m of a water resource unit with a natural state classification – the intent of this provision should be retained through the amendment suggested above.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	310	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	311	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	312	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the permitted activity with amendments to the standards to ensure that fertiliser application be undertaken in accordance with the Code of Practice for Nutrient Management. Support standards which state the maximum for nitrogen loading and that no fertiliser will be deposited in waterbodies. Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	313	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	314	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	315	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove permitted activity rule for this activity and replace it with a discretionary activity rule.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	316	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.27.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	317	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove permitted activity rule for this activity and replace it with a discretionary activity rule.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	318	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.30.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek that the permitted activity standards be amended to require compliance with AS/NZS 1547:2012 On-site domestic wastewater management.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	319	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	320	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	321	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the rule and standards with amendment to require the sealing of the bottom of any pit, stack or agricultural waste stockpile.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	322	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	323	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	324	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	325	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Create an additional prohibited activity or activities to ensure the protection of wetlands by ensuring no livestock, including intensively farmed livestock (with the definition amended as suggested in the submission above) will have access to significant wetlands (as amended to include all wetlands as identified in the submission above) and no grazing or cropping is undertaken within any wetland area.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	326	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	327	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	328	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	329	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	330	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	331	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	332	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the recreation values for each water resource unit to recognise the contact recreation as a recreation value.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	333	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	334	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	335	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 5 to ensure the natural character values of all water resource units are identified and stated in the Appendix.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	336	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to ensure all braided rivers including Wairau, Awatere, Clarence, Branch and Acheron are classified as having significant natural character values.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	337	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Plan to acknowledge that all waterbodies provide invertebrate habitat and state those where the provision for invertebrate habitat is particularly significant.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	338	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to ensure that the water quality values and flow and allocation limits for all freshwater bodies in the Region are clearly identified and aligned. An option to achieve this could be to require, for each of the Water Resource Units identified in Appendix 5, to have specified quantity allocations for water takes and minimum flows and levels or water takes be specified. This will alleviate current confusion over the relationship between the identified Water Resource Units and the Freshwater Management Units and ensure that each freshwater body in the Region has specific water quantity and water quality targets clearly identified and will ensure that the identification of these areas on the Planning Maps directly reflects the areas.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	339	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "Regionally significant brown trout fishery (both Acheron and Alma)".					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	340	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add Recreation values that recognises the waterfowl hunting that occurs in the tidal zone.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	341	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "Locally significant brown trout fishery".					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	342	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "Locally significant brown trout fishery".					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	343	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Fish Habitat values to reflect this importance of this area for Brown and Rainbow Trout spawning as well as habitat. Amend the Recreation values to remove "highly valued trout fishery" and replace it with "Regionally significant brown and rainbow trout fisheries" to better reflect the nature of the values of the area.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	344	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove "fishing" and replace it with "regionally significant brown trout and salmon fisheries".					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	345	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Fish Habitat values are amended to recognise the importance of the Goulter River for Salmon spawning. The Recreation values are amended to recognise the Goulter River as a designated back-country fishery.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	346	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Update the Recreation values to remove the generic values description 'fishing' and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery" to better reflect the nature of the values of the area.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	347	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add Recreation values that reflect the importance of the water resource unit for waterfowl hunting within the tidal zone.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	348	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Update the Recreation values to remove the generic values description 'fishing' and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery" to better reflect the nature of the values of the area.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	349	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the importance of the area as a locally significant brown and rainbow trout fishery.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	350	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery"					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	351	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery"					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	352	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery as well as adding the words "regionally significant" in front of 'gamebird hunting'.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	353	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	354	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown and rainbow trout fishery.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	355	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery"					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	356	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the regional significance of the area as a brown fishery. Also, amend the recreation values to remove the term "shooting" and replace it with "hunting".					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	357	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the local significance of the area as a brown fishery.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	358	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognise the regional significance of the area for game bird hunting within Para Wetland and the local significance of the area for brown trout fishing.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	359	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognise that the area is a "locally significant brown trout fishery".					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	360	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognise that the area is a "locally significant brown trout fishery".					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	361	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to recognise the regional significance of the area for gamebird hunting.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	362	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic 'fishing' value and replace it to recognise the national significance of the area as a salmon and brown trout fishery.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	363	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Recreation values to remove the generic "fishing" term and replace it with "locally significant brown trout fishery".					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	364	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	365	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	366	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Temperature parameters to require the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maximum daily average temperature must not exceed 19oC</li> <li>• Shall not exceed 25oC</li> </ul>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	367	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Dissolved Oxygen as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Saturation &gt;80%</li> <li>• 9mg/L @ 11oC</li> <li>• 6-8mg/L @ 20oC</li> </ul>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	368	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the parameters for MCI to require a value of =120.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	369	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	All waterbodies in the Region need to be within a defined and/or described Freshwater Management Unit. The Plan needs to be amended to ensure that there are no FMU's that are not specifically defined on either the Freshwater Management Unit Maps or described in the Schedules or both to ensure that the NPSFM is appropriately given effect to.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	370	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to ensure that all minimum flows and allocation volumes are measured at the same point(s) in each Freshwater Management Unit and Water Resource Unit.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	371	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The mapping and schedules of Freshwater Management Units on the District Plan maps need to align to represent the same geographical areas and ensure that each freshwater body is only represented in one Freshwater Management Unit.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	372	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Fish and Game seek to amend Appendix 6 for each FMU so that it clearly identifies which monitoring site or sites are used and what allocation limit applies to each FMU to ensure that the relationship of allocations between rivers and their tributaries is clear, and the relationship between the allocations of different tributaries are clear.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	373	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide further explanation over the intended application of allocation limits in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	374	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend quantity allocations for water takes as follows:</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with flows less than or equal to 5m<sup>3</sup>/s, an allocation limit of 30% of MALF as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced.</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with mean flows greater than 5m<sup>3</sup>/s, an allocation limit of 50% of MALF as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced or where studies indicate a higher or lower (than that proposed in the NES) percentage allocation is necessary to preserve values, this should instead be adopted.</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by shallow, coastal aquifers, an allocation limit of 15% of the average annual recharge as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced.</p> <p>For Freshwater Management Units dominated by other aquifers, an allocation limit of 35% of the average annual recharge as calculated by the Council or the total allocation from the catchment, less any resource consents surrendered, lapsed, cancelled or not replaced.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	375	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Fish and Game seek, as an alternative allocation mechanism, flow sharing between the river and out of stream uses ensuring that 20% of the instantaneous flow is allocated at any one time or one for one flow sharing and applied to all Freshwater Management Units.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	376	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Schedule 1 of Appendix 6 to include instantaneous rate of take for all allocations rather than using a volume-based method for allocation.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	377	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Awatere Freshwater Management Unit</p> <p>Reduce allocation limits to ensure a total allocation of no greater than 30% of MALF.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	378	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Kaituna Freshwater Management Unit Remove the additional proposed 8,640m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Kaituna FMU. Remove controlled short-term irrigation consents from the allocation limits for Class A in the Kaituna FMU to reduce the Class A allocation to no greater than 20% of MALF.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	379	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opouri Freshwater Management Unit MALF data is required for this FMU. Remove the additional proposed 17,280m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Opouri FMU and replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	380	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Pelorus (Lower) freshwater Management Unit MALF data required for this FMU. Remove temporary (emergency) water permits from the allocation limits for Class A in the Pelorus (Lower) FMU to reduce it to less than 20% of MALF. Remove the additional proposed 45,000m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Pelorus (Lower) FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	381	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the allocation volume to align with the draft NES to reduce it to less than 30% of MALF. Remove controlled short-term irrigation consents from the allocation limits for Class A in the Rai FMU.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	382	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ronga Freshwater Management Unit MALF data required for this FMU. Remove the additional proposed 8,460m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Ronga FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	383	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Tunakino Freshwater Management Unit MALF data is required for this FMU. Remove the additional proposed 8,460m <sup>3</sup> /day Class B allocation in the Tunakino FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	384	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Tuamarina Freshwater Management Unit Remove all water allocation for the Tuamarina FMU until more information on in-stream flows and MALF are available to enable accurate management.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	385	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Waihopai Freshwater Management Unit Amend the allocation volumes to align with the draft NES to reduce it to less than 30% of MALF.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	386	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit (downstream of the Hamilton River confluence) Amend the allocation for the Wairau River FMU to reflect the existing rule in the WARMP or either of the options identified for the FMU in the Council commissioned Cawthron Report to reduce it to less than 50% of MALF or where studies indicate a higher or lower (than that proposed in the NES) percentage allocation is necessary to preserve values, this should instead be adopted.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	387	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the possibility for future allocation of the Wairau Aquifer FMU through the freeing up of unused existing allocations. By reducing the allocation limit to the total of what is actually used on existing consents rather than what is allocated.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	388	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The minimum flows need to be amended to ensure the following: For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with mean flows less than or equal to 5m <sup>3</sup> /s, a minimum flow of 90% of the naturalised seven day mean annual low flow (MALF7). For Freshwater Management Units dominated by streams and rivers with mean flows greater than 5m <sup>3</sup> /s, a minimum flow of 80% of naturalised MALF7 as calculated by the Council.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	389	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of naturalised MALF7 within the Awatere FMU.					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	390	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Kaituna Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised 7 day MALF.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	391	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opouri Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised MALF7 which is a minimum flow for the Opouri FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	392	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarification required over the identification and naming of the Pelorus FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	393	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Pelorus Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of naturalised MALF7 which is a minimum flow for within the Pelorus FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	394	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rai (total including Opouri, Tunakino and Ronga FMUs) Freshwater Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments and /or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF of naturalised MALF7.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	395	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ronga Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow assessments and/or replace the flows with those that fall in line with the draft national environment standards for the Ronga FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	396	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Tunakino Freshwater Management Unit Undertaken in-stream flow assessments or replace the flows with those that fall in line with the draft national environment standards for the Tunakino FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	397	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Tuamarina Freshwater Management Unit Undertake in-stream flow and wetland hydrology assessment or increase the present minimum flow at Para Road to 90% of the naturalised 7-day MALF. Implementation of a rationing/roster system to achieve a higher minimum flow and one for one flow sharing is needed for this FMU.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	398	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Waihopai Freshwater Management Unit Undertake an in-stream flow needs assessment for this FMU. All flows for this FMU needs to reflect the new Wairau Sustainable Flow Regime or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	399	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit (downstream of the Hamilton river confluence) Fish and Game seek that the new approach to flow modelling (net rate of energy intake modelling NREI) be commissioned to inform the management for minimum flow and allocation setting to be made or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of naturalised MALF7.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	400	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Spring Creek Freshwater Management Unit Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Spring Creek FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of MALF.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	401	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Omaka Freshwater Management Unit</p> <p>Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Omaka River FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	402	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Taylor River Freshwater Management Unit</p> <p>Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Taylor River FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 90% of MALF.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	403	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Opaoa River Freshwater Management Unit (below O'Dwyer's Road)</p> <p>Council undertake suitable ecological assessment to accurately determine minimum flows for the Opaoa River FMU or replace the flows with a minimum flow of 80% of MALF.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	404	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Branch River</p> <p>Fish and Game seek higher minimum and management flow levels for the Branch River. Currently the minimum flow is 0.700m<sup>3</sup>/s at State Highway 63 Road Bridge and Management flows – fully restricted below 1.200m<sup>3</sup>/s . These flows are insufficient to support fish passage and therefore need to be increased to retain 80% of naturalised MALF7. Fish and Game support the monitoring location at the State highway bridge.</p>					
509	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	405	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the Appendix with amendments to include the following plant pest species: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hawthorn</li> <li>• Briar rose</li> <li>• Pampass</li> <li>• Yellow flag iris</li> <li>• Alders</li> <li>• Poplars</li> <li>• Wattles</li> <li>• Wilding conifers</li> <li>• Wilding kiwifruit</li> <li>• Banana passionvine</li> </ul>					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	406	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Given the lack of direction in the Plan, Fish and Game seek consider a new Conservation Zone to be included in the Plan which allows for the recognition of significant values of these areas and enables recreational use of these areas while ensuring that their values are protected and where possible enhanced.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	407	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Given the lack of direction in the Plan, Fish and Game seek consider a new Conservation Zone to be included in the Plan which allows for the recognition of significant values of these areas and enables recreational use of these areas while ensuring that their values are protected and where possible enhanced.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	408	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Plan describes the four different open space zones at the end of Chapter 9 where the "Methods of implementation" outline the characteristics of the different zoning. This description is vital to understand the different zonings and needs to be placed more prominently in the Plan to better assist plan users.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	409	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	There is no provision for signage in the Open Space 3 zone where land is privately owned. Provision is needed in the plan to enable signage to be erected for directional and educational purposes within all areas of the Open Space 3 zone.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	410	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Provide objectives and policies relevant to Open Space 3 that recognise the character and values held in these areas and to ensure the protection of these areas from adverse effects from activities.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	411	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide objectives and policies relevant to Open Space 3 that recognise the character and values held in these areas and to ensure the protection of these areas from adverse effects from activities.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	412	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Plan needs to be amended to specifically provide for the erection of buildings and structures in the Open Space 3 Zone as a permitted activity. Amend standard 19.2.1.3 to exempt structures within 8m of a river, lake or significant wetland associated with game hunting and structures that are erected to provide information and shelter in Open Space 3 areas as well as benches and picnic tables associated with the use of public open space.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	413	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the noise provisions of the Open Space 3 Zone, and any other relevant Zone where game hunting activities are undertaken.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	414	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	415	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	416	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	417	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Rule 19.2.6 and associated standards in their entirety.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	418	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain rule as proposed. Amend the definition of Passive Recreation to better reflect the nature of these activities that require minimal facilities or development and as a result, have negligible impact on the surrounding environment.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	419	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	420	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 19.3.1.1 to provide an exemption from game hunting activities. Amend standard 19.3.1.3 to exempt structures associated with game hunting from requiring removal following the end of the event. Amend 19.3.1.4 to exempt activities at the Para Wetland from gaining approval from the Road Controlling Authority.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	421	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to require campers within Open Space 3 Zone to be self-contained where no waste facilities are available.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	422	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	423	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment, which permits the planting of weeping willow and pin oak trees at the Para Wetland in accordance with the approved management plan.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	424	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	425	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	426	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	427	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 19.3.4.1 to ensure that vegetation is not removed by fire within 8m of a Significant wetland. Retain 19.3.4.5 and 19.3.4.6 as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	428	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	429	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support 19.3.5.1 and 19.3.5.2 as proposed. Amend standard 19.3.5.3(b) to provide for small scale excavation for the purpose of maintenance and upgrading of access tracks in the Para Wetland as a permitted activity. Amend standard 19.3.5.12 to provide an exemption for Fish and Game management of the Para Wetland using wheeled or tracked machinery. Retain 19.3.5.15 as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	430	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definitions of excavation and fill, filling and fill material are combined together to provide one term that covers all aspects of cut and fill activities.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	431	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provision relating to filling to reflect the relief sought above to both, combine cut and fill into one activity and to provide for this activity for tracks in the Para Wetland.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	432	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provision relating to filling to reflect the relief sought above to both, combine cut and fill into one activity and to provide for this activity for tracks in the Para Wetland.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	433	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Farming from a permitted activity within the Open Space 3 Zone.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	434	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Farming from a permitted activity within the Open Space 3 Zone.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	435	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend ( <i>details on amendment required not included in submission</i> )					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	436	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the standards with amendment to include a 20m setback from all freshwater bodies to ensure their protection from the adverse effects on the application of vertebrate toxic agents onto land.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	437	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	438	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	439	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	440	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	441	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	442	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure that all discharge rules include conditions that ensure the water quality standards outlined in Appendix 6 are met.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	443	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.20 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	444	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.21 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	445	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.22 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	446	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.23 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	447	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.24 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	448	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove activity 19.1.25 from the permitted activities for Open Space 3.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	449	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	450	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	451	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Opposed until the permitted activity rules are amended in accordance with this submission.					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	452	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	453	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Rretain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	454	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>509</b>	Nelson Marlborough Fish and Game	455	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition to read:</b></p> <p><i>(a) cattle or deer <del>grazed on irrigated land or</del> contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops.</i></p> <p>While grazing on irrigated land may result in livestock being intensively farmed, the requirement to prevent access to a riverbed could be incorporated in consent conditions for water takes used for irrigation.</p>					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 15.1.23(b) 1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers <u>unless the access is for the purposes of actively moving the farmed stock across the river;</u></i></p>					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.9.9.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>2.9.9.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p>					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 3.3.21.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>3.3.21.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p>					
991	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 4.3.20.1 to read:</b></p> <p><i>4.3.20.1. The entering onto <del>or passing across</del> the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i></p> <p>For clarity this amendment assumes that the definition of intensively farmed livestock is the same or similar to the relief sought in this submission. It is also noted that in a literal sense this wording still prevents deer crossing rivers as in order to do so they must "enter onto the bed". NZDFA - Marlborough therefore request that consideration be given to more precise wording that allows actively managed deer movement across rivers.</p>					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Delete Rule 2.11.5:</b></p> <p><i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i></p>					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Delete Rule 3.7.5:</b></p> <p><i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i></p>					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Delete Rule 4.7.5:</b></p> <p><i>From June 9 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river</i></p>					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	9	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	<p>NZDFA-Marlborough in conjunction with Deer Industry New Zealand would welcome an ongoing partnership with Marlborough District Council to utilise industry information such as "The New Zealand Deer Farmers' Landcare Manual 2012", key practices identified in the Deer Industry Focus Farms Sustainable Farming Fund project (2006-2009) and industry expertise in order to more clearly identify appropriate good management practices for deer farming in Marlborough.</p>					
<b>991</b>	New Zealand Deer Farmers Association - Marlborough Branch	10	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
Decision Requested	That food production and animal welfare values are included and that the policy is reworded to allow a more collaborative approach to determining priority values and management approaches.  This requested amendment implicitly acknowledges the farming community as stakeholders in the values setting process.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	1	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this section to ensure the description of the RMA terms discussed in this section adequately relates to its use across the MEP, and the use and interpretation of 'avoid' is consistent with recent case law.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.2 as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the policy to provide for future facilities.</p> <p>Suggested amendments to wording are provided below (text struck through and underlined):</p> <p><i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, <del>either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative</del>, as regionally significant:</i></p> <p><i>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</i></p> <p><i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i></p> <p><i>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i></p> <p><i>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i></p> <p><i>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i></p> <p><i>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i></p> <p><i>(h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunications facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i></p> <p><i>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i></p> <p><i>(j) main truck railway line;</i></p> <p><i>(k) district roading network</i></p> <p><i>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i></p> <p><i>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i></p> <p><i>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne <u>and other defence facilities</u>; and</i></p> <p><i>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</i></p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.2.2 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 4.M.8 as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11 as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to separate the policy into intrinsic values and aquifer recharge; and consumptive uses. And Insert appropriate recognition for regionally significant infrastructure (including defence facilities). Suggested amended wording is below -  <i>"... municipal and regionally significant infrastructure water supplies ..."</i>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to also include limits on the total daily or annual take to appropriate mitigate the potential effects.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12 as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert either a definition of 'full penetration', or guidance on the interpretation of this term to provide clarity for plan users. If this definition varies across different locations, then different definitions should be inserted.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to better acknowledge the importance of providing longer consent durations - and therefore surety of supply - for NZDF activities and water takes.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Request clarification and confirmation of the status of allocations within the Omaka River FMU. NZDF would be happy to further discuss these matters with Council prior to the Plan hearings.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	14	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 9.2 as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend provisions to improve clarity and direction in relation to stormwater discharges district wide, including to land.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	16	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend provisions to improve clarity and direction in relation to stormwater discharges district wide, including to land.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.30	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 15.1.30 as notified.</p> <p><i>[The submitter opposed the Groundwater Protection Areas]</i></p> <p>Insert Groundwater Protection Area around the bores at Base Woodbourne into the MEP, with the location and extent of the proposed Protection Zones independently peer reviewed.</p> <p>NZDF is willing to discuss these areas with Council to ensure they are appropriate.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	18	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.15 as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	19	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions to better provide for stormwater from various areas within the district, including from the Airport Zone.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.32 as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.3.2 or amend it to make it clear that the ambient air quality standards in the NESAQ are not to be used as assessment criteria for individual discharges.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.3 as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.5 as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	24	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4 as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	25	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 17A as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	26	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 17B as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	27	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to both civilian and military airports, with suggest amended wording as follows (insertions underlined):  <i>"A balance is achieved between the operational needs of Marlborough's <u>civilian and military</u> airports and the amenities and wellbeing of the community."</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	28	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to both civilian and military airports, with suggested amended wording as follows (underlined):  <i>"Provide for the operational needs of <u>civilian and military</u> airports by the protection of air corridors through restrictions on height and land use."</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	29	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 17.M.4 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	30	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert table of contents to outline each of the issues in the Chapter, potentially with a summary of objectives and policies under each.</p> <p>Or</p> <p>Structure each chapter in Volume 1 as per Chapter 3 where all issues, all objectives, all policies and all methods have been grouped.</p> <p>And</p> <p>Renumber the objectives and policies so that they relate to the associated issue; e.g. Issue 17C -&gt; Objective 17C.1...</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	31	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Group methods in each sub section or objective/policy clearly;</p> <p>Or</p> <p>List all methods under a specific 'Methods' heading at the end of each chapter.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	32	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a detailed Table of Contents, including page numbers, to assist with wayfinding throughout the MEP and between the volumes.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	33	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert labelling throughout the MEP.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	34	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rule layout to list the activity-specific standards directly under the rule that they relate to. This is consistent with the way standards are set out for controlled and discretionary activities.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	35	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the rule structure to provide for all regional rules within the General Chapter.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend wording and structure of rules to clearly separate the groundwater and surface water provisions.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert an explanation note or introduction and guidance on these rules, or insert an additional Appendix which explains these provisions.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Suggest amending this sentence to state " <i>These activities apply <u>only</u> to roads and railway corridors identified on the zoning maps</i> ".					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Request requesting an amendment to rule reference from 2.4.1 to 2.5.1. As per our point above, this section would benefit from some explanation paragraphs.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Request new permitted activity rules be inserted in the MEP to provide for the construction of bores in all zones, including the Airport, Industrial 1 and Urban Residential 2 zones, as a permitted activity.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to allow a 14 day timeframe over a period of 90 days;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Insert a new permitted activity rule to provide for the taking of water for well development purposes, including surging and removal of fine material from the well.</p> <p>Suggested permitted activity standards are:</p> <p><i>(a) The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 100 l/s; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i></p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend to allow a 14 day timeframe over a period of 90 days; AND Insert a new permitted activity rule to provide for the taking of water for well development purposes, including surging and removal of fine material from the well. Suggested permitted activity standards are: <i>(a) The instantaneous rate of the take must not exceed 100 l/s; and</i> <i>(b) The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a permitted activity rule and standards to provide for the taking, use and discharge to land of water associated with temporary military training activities.  Suggested wording is as follows:  <i>Permitted activity rule: The take, use and discharge to land of surface water for the reasonable use of water treatment unites operated by the New Zealand Defence Force, up to 5% of the rive flow at any time.</i>  <i>Associated permitted activity standards:</i>  <i>1. The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i>  <i>2. The take must not occur for more than ten consecutive days.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	44	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. Suggest this rule is cross referenced to the new rule providing for the use of water treatment units as requested in submission point 43.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	45	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. Suggest this rule is cross referenced to the new rule providing for the use of water treatment units as requested in submission point 43.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a rule to provide for temporary bridges and launch areas as a permitted activity, with suggested wording as follows: <i>Construction or placement of a temporary bridge in, on or over the bed of a lake or river in association with temporary military training activities.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>No more than 2m<sup>3</sup> of riverbed must be disturbed.</i></li> <li>- <i>The structure must not be located in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland.</i></li> <li>- <i>The construction or placement must comply with all the permitted activity land disturbance rules for the Zone in which the activity is taking place.</i></li> </ul>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for temporary dams as a permitted activity, subject to standards, as requested below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not intersect groundwater;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be built within 500m upstream of a dwelling, formed public road or designated rail infrastructure; and</i></li> <li>- <i>The dam must be constructed to enable dismantling at the completion of each use.</i></li> </ul>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for temporary dams as a permitted activity, subject to standards, as requested below: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not intersect groundwater;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland;</i></li> <li>- <i>The temporary dam must not be built within 500m upstream of a dwelling, formed public road or designated rail infrastructure; and</i></li> <li>- <i>The dam must be constructed to enable dismantling at the completion of each use.</i></li> </ul>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity standards under 2.17.3 to enable the discharge of stormwater to surface water from Airport Zoned land. Suggested amendments to the wording are (bold and underlined):  <i>2.17.3.3. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Rural Living <u>and Airport</u>, the maximum discharge must not exceed 50l/s.</i>  Standard 2.17.3.5 requires the use of interceptor systems where hazardous substances are stored and no changes are required to this standard.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule to provide for the discharge of stormwater to land, with suggested wording as follows:  <i>General Rules - Permitted Activities - New Rule: Discharge of stormwater to land</i>  <i>Standards:</i>  - the discharge is not from, onto or into contaminated land and  - the discharge shall not cause or exacerbate the flooding of any other property.  Note: The above wording is based on a similar permitted activity rule in the proposed Natural Resources Plan for Wellington.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the introductory sentence to state:  <i>Temporary Military Training Activities are not required to comply with the requirements of any other part of the Plan except the provisions for earthworks and permanent structures, and any relevant regional rules.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.41.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.41.1 as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Permitted Activity Standard 2.42.1.1 in its entirety.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Permitted Activity Standard 2.42.1.2 in its entirety.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.42.1.3 and replace with the noise standards developed by NZDF specifically for TMTA activities, attached as <b>Attachment A</b> to this submission.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.24.1.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.43.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to Restricted Discretionary status for temporary military training activities that cannot meet the permitted activity standards.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to include NZDF, as suggested below (addition underlined):  <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>The New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>  We suggest NZDF submit on all duplications of this rule in the MEP requesting the above amendment be made to this rule across the zones in which it is located, to ensure consistency.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to include NZDF, as suggested below (addition underlined):  <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>The New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>  We suggest NZDF submit on all duplications of this rule in the MEP requesting the above amendment be made to this rule across the zones in which it is located, to ensure consistency.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	60	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove duplication between provisions by providing for all regional rules in the general chapter.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.5 as notified.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	62	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):  <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the <u>New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	63	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined): <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the <u>New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	64	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.32.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to specify NZDF in the standards. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined): <i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, <u>the New Zealand Defence Force</u> or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	65	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend this rule by adding TMTA to the list of activities excluded from this rule, and instead requiring TMTA comply with the NZDF noise standards requested for insertion in General Rules Standard 2.42.1.3, as requested in submission point 55 above.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	66	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 16.2.3.3 as notified.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	67	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard to provide for NZDF activities, including but not limited to clarifying relevance across other areas of the Marlborough Sounds.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	68	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a new rule for the in-water cleaning of ships in the MEP. Suggested wording is provided below, based on a similar rule in the proposed Natural Resources Regional Plan for Wellington:</p> <p><i>In-Water bio-foul cleaning - permitted activity</i></p> <p><i>The discharge of contaminants and biological material into coastal water from in-water cleaning of biofouling from a vessel, moveable structure or navigation aid, in the Coastal Marine Zone, is a permitted activity provided the following conditions are met:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the anti-foul coating on the vessel, moveable structure or navigation aid shall not have exceeded its planned service life as specified by the manufacturer, and</i></p> <p><i>(b) the cleaning method shall be undertaken in accordance with the coating manufacturer's recommendations, and</i></p> <p><i>(c) the cleaning of microfouling and goose barnacles of international origin shall be removed using a gently, non-abrasive cleaning technique, and</i></p> <p><i>(d) the cleaning or treatment method shall capture any biological material released into the water column greater than 50µm in diameter, with any captured cleaning debris disposed on land, and</i></p> <p><i>(e) any captured cleaning debris is appropriately disposed of, and</i></p> <p><i>(f) if suspected harmful or unusual aquatic species are found, the vessel owner or operator shall take the following steps:</i></p> <p><i>(i) any cleaning activities shall cease immediately, and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the Harbourmaster shall be notified within five working days, and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the cleaning may not recommence until notified by the Council to do so.</i></p>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	69	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 23.2.3 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	70	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule to specify NZDF as undertaking these activities. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):</p> <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the New Zealand Defence Force or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>And, insert the following clause:</p> <p><i>(...) controlled outdoor burning or deflagration of unwanted public and military ammunitions, munitions and pyrotechnics undertaken by the NZ Defence Force.</i></p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	71	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule to specify NZDF as undertaking these activities. Suggested amended wording is as follows (underlined):</p> <p><i>Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service, the New Zealand Defence Force or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities.</i></p> <p>And, insert the following clause:</p> <p><i>(...) controlled outdoor burning or deflagration of unwanted public and military ammunitions, munitions and pyrotechnics undertaken by the NZ Defence Force.</i></p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	72	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include a rule in the MEP to manage discharges of heated air so that they do not prejudice flight safety on any aircraft flight path.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	73	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a rule to the same effect as Rule 44.1.5.1.8 from the operative Plan, into the MEP:</p> <p><i>The discharge of contaminants into air from:</i></p> <p><i>b) premises used for the servicing of aircraft, motor vehicles, including fuselage, body and engine repairs, panel beating, fibreglassing and painting carried out in a booth enclosure that has been designed to contain any omission of paint overspray.;</i></p> <p><i>...."</i></p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	74	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Redraft Rule 23.2.7.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	75	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Rule 23.2.8.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	76	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Rule 23.2.9.1 so that it is consistent with Ministry for the Environment guidance on the recommended form of consent conditions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	77	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.2.10.1 to refer to there being no noxious or dangerous effects of the discharge to air, or other relief that achieves the same outcome.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	78	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.10.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.2.10.3 or relate it to some environmental effect.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	79	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re-draft the rule framework so that it is technically correct and not more onerous than the provisions of the operative plan.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	80	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify and amend this preamble to assist with readability.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	81	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.8.2 to simplify provisions.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	82	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.8.3 to clarify.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	83	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.8.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.8.7 to better apply an effects based approach.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	84	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Re-draft the rule framework so that it is technically correct and not more onerous than the provisions of the operative plan.					
	And					
	Provide an exemption for electricity generation.					
	And					
	Provide an exemption for discharges to air from aircraft engine maintenance and testing.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	85	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.9.5.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	86	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 23.3.10.4 to refer to there being no noxious or dangerous effects of the discharge to air, or other relief that achieves the same outcome.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	87	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.11.2.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	88	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.12.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.12.6.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	89	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 23.3.13.4.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	90	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rule 23.5.1 to replace "burning" with "outdoor burning or burning in a small-scale heating appliance";					
	And					
	Include the phrase "unless permitted by rule 23.3.7".					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	91	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule in Chapter 23 to provide for burning green waste, with suggested wording as follows:  <i>Permitted activity: Discharge of contaminants to air arising from burning in the open.</i>  <i>Standards:</i>  <i>- Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same ownership can be burned.</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	92	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP to identify/mark all words that are defined in Chapter 25 by an asterisk, italicised text or similar at each appearance in the MEP.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	93	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either add a new definition to Chapter 25 which lists the facilities fro Policy 4.2.1  OR  Add definition to Chapter 25 that directs the reader to Policy 4.2.1 where the facilities are listed.					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	94	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Insert a definition in the MEP. NZDF's suggested wording is as follows:  <i>"When existing activities are affected by newer uses establishing that may have sensitivity to, and subsequently complain about, the effects of the existing activities; and seek to limit the ability of the existing activities to continue. Common examples are new residential development establishing next to farming or industrial operations, which can lead to the new residents complaining about noise, odour or other nuisance effects from those established activities".</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	95	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain definition as notified, with the exception of fixing an error by removing an extra 'the' as follows:  <i>"means a temporary training activity undertaken for <del>the</del> defence purposes in accordance with the Defence Act 1990".</i>					
<b>992</b>	New Zealand Defence Force	96	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified, with the exception of a typographical error in section (a) of the Explanation A2, where the bearing reads 86o00 where in fact it should read 86°00 (the degree symbol amended to superscript).					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	97	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the designations as currently provided for.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	98	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend these provisions to correct errors.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	99	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>This table requires further investigation and review to confirm the content is correct.</p> <p>NZDF has assumed that "fully restricted" means all water takes are stopped. NZDF suggests that instead, rationing occurs when the Tyntesfield Gorge is below 0.067m<sup>3</sup>/s (67L/s), rather than restrictions being placed at this level.</p> <p>NZDF is happy to discuss these matters with Council prior to a hearing.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	100	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 85	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the underlying Airport and Urban Residential 2 zoning at Base Woodbourne.</p> <p>NZDF wishes to further discuss the proposed Industrial zoning with Council.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	101	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 86	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the underlying Airport and Urban Residential 2 zoning at Base Woodbourne.</p> <p>NZDF wishes to further discuss the proposed Industrial zoning with Council.</p>					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	102	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 158	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the underlying Airport and Urban Residential 2 zoning at Base Woodbourne.</p> <p>NZDF wishes to further discuss the proposed Industrial zoning with Council.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
992	New Zealand Defence Force	103	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert Groundwater Protection Areas regarding the bores operating at Base Woodbourne.					
992	New Zealand Defence Force	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the permitted activity standards under 2.17.3 to enable the discharge of stormwater to surface water from Airport Zoned land. Suggested amendments to the wording are: (bold and underlined):  <i>2.17.3.3. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Rural Living <b><u>and Airport,</u></b> the maximum discharge must not exceed 50l/s/</i>  Standard 2.17.3.5 requires the use of interceptor systems where hazardous substances are stored and no changed are required to this standard.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text to Issue 4B to include the following additional paragraph: <b><i>“Emergency services are essential to the on-going health, safety and wellbeing of the Marlborough community. It is therefore important that emergency services are able to operate, upgrade and develop efficiently and effectively in a manner that responds to community needs without unnecessary constraints.”</i></b>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective and Policies that respond to Issue 4B to as follows: “[RPS, R, C, D] <b><u>Objective 4.x Efficient and effective development and operation of emergency services</u></b> “Support and protect the health, safety and wellbeing of the Marlborough community by enabling efficient and effective emergency services’. <b><u>Policy 4.x.1 Recognise the essential nature of emergency services by:</u></b> <b><u>(a) providing for the development and on-going use of emergency service facilities;</u></b> <b><u>(b) requiring adequate property access for emergency vehicles and appropriate access to, and supplies of, firefighting water; and</u></b> <b><u>(c) enabling emergency services training activities.</u></b> <u>The policy recognises that emergency service facilities; emergency services training; and the need for adequate access and water supply for emergency response purposes is essential for the health, safety and wellbeing of people and communities. The policy provides for emergency services, and associated activities, throughout Marlborough.”</u>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> the first paragraph of Method 4.M.9 as follows (bold): <i>"Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. <b>Rules will also be used to enable emergency services activities and facilities.</b> Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"With the exception of water taken for domestic needs, <b>firefighting purposes</b> or animal drinking water, prevent the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4."</i> And, amend the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Water taken for domestic needs, <b>firefighting purposes (including training and emergencies)</b> or animal drinking water is exempt from the policy given the contribution they make to sustaining the community."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.1 as follows (bold) - <i>"Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority:            (a) natural and human use values; then            (b) aquifer recharge; then            (c) domestic, <b>firefighting</b> and stock water supply; then            (d) municipal water supply; and then            (e) all other takes of water."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	7	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.2.1, clause (e) as proposed.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	8	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 11.1 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	9	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.22 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	10	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 12.3.2 as follows (bold) -  <b>"Policy 12.3.2 - Provide for appropriate community-based facilities <i>and emergency service facilities</i> to locate within residential environments where they meet a community need and are in keeping with the expected residential character and amenity values for Urban Residential Zones.</b></p> <p>And, amend the first sentence of the explanation to the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -  Emergency service facilities and <del>community-based activities, including both community facilities (e.g. health, education and spiritual) and recreational activities (e.g. playgrounds)</del> play an important role in providing for the day-to-day needs of residents."</p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	11	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.1 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	12	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.2 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	13	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.9.7 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	14	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 12.M.8 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	15	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.M.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the first sentence of the Method 12.M.9 as follows (bold) - <i>" Rules of the MEP require the providers of water (<b>including the New Zealand Fire Service in relation to firefighting water supply and access</b>), sewerage, stormwater, roading, electricity and telecommunication services to confirm the proposed arrangements for providing the infrastructure to new urban subdivisions."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.1 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy and the explanation to the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>" Policy 14.1.5 – Require rural subdivisions to provide a minimum of two cubic metres of drinkable water per new allotment, per day <b>and adequate firefighting water supply</b>.  The Provisions of the MEP enable a dwelling house to be established on rural properties (including those in the coastal environment) as a permitted activity. This reasonably creates an expectation that there will be sufficient water of adequate quality on the property to provide for an individual's reasonable domestic needs <b>and for firefighting purposes</b>. Those <b>domestic</b> needs including drinking, other household uses, garden water and other incidental uses around the household. To ensure that these needs are able to be met, the policy requires any application for a subdivision creating a new allotment(s) where no reticulated water supply is available to demonstrate that each allotment has access to at least two cubic metres of drinkable water per day and is able to provide adequate water supply for firefighting purposes. The policy does not specify the source of the water or the method of provision, providing the flexibility (through the Code of Practice) to determine arrangements appropriate to the circumstances."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.8 as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" Take, <del>and</del> use <b>and damming</b> of water for fire-fighting purposes <b>and firefighting training (when undertaken by the New Zealand Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting activities)</b>."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the exemptions in Rule 2.6.4 as follows (bold) - <i>" This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, including the take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals <b>and the take, use and damming of water for firefighting purposes permitted by Rule 2.2.8.</b>"</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.1 and accompanying Standard 2.17.1 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the vehicle crossing width and height Standards in 2.32.4 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.33.2 in its entirety.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.41.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the section of the General Rules that provide for "<i>Temporary Military Training Activity</i>" as follows (strike through and bold) -  "<i>Temporary Military Training <b>and Emergency Management and Training Activities</b></i>"</p> <p>Amend Permitted Activity 2.41.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -  "<i>Temporary military training <b>and emergency management and training activities</b></i>."</p> <p>Add a Permitted Activity as follows (bold) -  "<b>[R]</b>  <b><i>Discharge of contaminants to land from the use of firefighting foam for emergency response training purposes.</i></b>"</p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 31.1.35 as notified. (inferred)					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.51.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.1.51 as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Emergency Service <b>Facilities</b> <del>Facility Activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Lot 1 DP 5102 (Wairau Valley Fire Station).</del></i> "					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.2.1.7 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain clause 3.2.3.3(a) in Standard 3.2.3 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add new Standards in 3.2 to as follows: <b>" 3.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>3.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>3.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 3.3.35.2 to include the following (bold) - <b>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <i>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</i>"</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.34 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	31	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.2.1.6 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 4.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 4.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>4.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>4.2.x.2 Where a building has road access and is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).</b> <b>4.2.x.3 Where road access to the building and water supply is not available a fire sprinkler system must be provided."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	33	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.34 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	34	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: <b>" [D]</b> <b>4.4.x Emergency Service Facility</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>4.4.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>4.4.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>4.4.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	35	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: <b>" 5.x Controlled Activities</b> <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b> <b>[D]</b> <b>5.x.x Emergency Service Facility (including activities in 5.1.30 that do not meet the Standards in 5.2)</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>5.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>5.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>5.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	36	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain</b> Rule 5.1.21 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	37	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 5.1.30 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	38	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 5.2.2.1 in Standard 5.2.2 as follows: <i>" This standard does not <b>apply</b> to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	39	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 5.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 5.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>5.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>5.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	40	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to include the following: <i>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	41	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the 6.1 Permitted Activities to include the following: <b>"[D]</b> <b>6.1.x Emergency Service Facility"</b>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	42	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 6.1 to include the following: <b>" 6.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b> As a consequence, Amend 6.1 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following: <b>" 6.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b> <b>6.1.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b> <b>6.1.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b> <b>6.1.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	43	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend clause 6.2.2.1 in Standard 6.2.2 to include the following: <b>" This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	44	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 6.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 6.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>6.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>6.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	45	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows:</p> <p><b>" 7.x Controlled Activities</b>  <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b>  <b>[D]</b>  <b>7.x.x Emergency Service Facility</b>  <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b>  <b>7.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b>  <b>7.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b>  <b>7.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	46	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 7.1 to include the following:</p> <p><b>" 7.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b></p> <p>As a consequence, Amend 7.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:</p> <p><b>" 7.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b>  <b>7.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b>  <b>7.3.x.2. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	47	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standards in 7.2 to include a further standard as follows:</p> <p><b>" 7.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b>  <b>7.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b>  <b>7.2.x.2 Where a building has road access and is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8).</b>  <b>7.2.x.3 Where road access to the building and water supply is not available a fire sprinkler system must be provided."</b></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	48	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows: <b>" 8.x Controlled Activities</b> <b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b> <b>[D]</b> <b>8.x.x Emergency Service Facility</b> <b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b> <b>8.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b> <b>8.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b> <b>8.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	49	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.1.16 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	50	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 8.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 8.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>8.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>8.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	51	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 8.3.15 to include the following (bold) -  <b>?" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <i>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</i>?"</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	52	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend</b> Rule 9.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) -  <b>?" Emergency service <i>Facility</i> activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Sec 2 SO 443127 and Sec 7 SO 7431 (Blenheim Fire Station) and Lot 1 DP 9780 and Pt Sec 254 and 261 TN of Picton (Picton Fire Station)."</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	53	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 9.1 to include the following:  <b>" 9.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b></p> <p>As a consequence, Amend 9.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following:  <b>" 9.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b>  <b>9.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b>  <b>9.3.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</b>  <b>9.3.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	54	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain clause 9.2.2.3 in Standard 9.2.2 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	55	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standards in 9.2 to include a further standard as follows:  <b>" 9.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b>  <b>9.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b>  <b>9.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	56	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 10.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) -?  <del>" Rule 10.1.5. Emergency service <b>Facility</b> activities of the New Zealand Fire Service on Sec 2 SO 443127 and Sec 7 SO 7431 (Blenheim Fire Station) and Lot 1 DP 9780 and Pt Sec 254 and 261 TN of Picton (Picton Fire Station)."</del>?</p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	57	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 10.1 to include the following: <b>" 10.1.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for training people to put out fires."</b> As a consequence, Amend 10.3 'Standards that apply to specific permitted activities' to include the following: <b>" 10.3.x. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials training people to put out fires.</b> <b>10.3.x.1. The Council must be notified at least 5 working days prior to the burning activity commencing.</b> <b>10.3.x.2. If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</b> <b>10.3.x.3. Any discharges for purposes of training people to put out fires must take place under the control of the NZ Fire Service or any other nationally recognised agency authorised to undertake firefighting research or firefighting activities."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	58	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain clause 10.2.2.3 in Standard 10.2.2 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	59	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 10.2 to include a further standard as follows: "10.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting 10.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008. 10.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	60	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 12.1.13 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	61	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 12.1.33 as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	62	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.2.2 to include the following clause: <b>" 12.2.2.x. This standard does not apply to sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service."</b>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	63	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 12.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 12.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>12.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>12.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	64	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 12.3.3 to include the following: <b>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <i>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</i>"</b>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	65	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.1.33 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	66	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.1.34 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	67	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 13.3.22 as notified.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	68	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 13.3.23 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	69	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 14.1.17 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	70	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 14.3.12 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	71	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.1.30 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	72	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.1.32 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	73	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 15.3.20 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	74	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 15.3.22 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	75	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.19 as notified.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	76	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.20 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	77	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 16.3.16 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	78	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 16.3.17 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	79	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Controlled Activity rule as follows:</p> <p><b>" 18.x Controlled Activities</b></p> <p><b>Application must be made for a Controlled Activity for the following:</b></p> <p><b>[D]</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x Emergency Service Facility (including activities in 18.1.8 that do not meet the Standards in 18.2)</b></p> <p><b>Matters over which the Council has reserved control:</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x.1 The design and appearance of the facility.</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x.2 The functional and operational requirements of emergency services.</b></p> <p><b>18.x.x.3 The design of vehicle parking and access."</b></p>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	80	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 18.1.8 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	81	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain clause 18.2.2.1 in Standard 18.2.2 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	82	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards in 18.2 to include a further standard as follows: <b>" 18.2.x Water supply and access for firefighting</b> <b>18.2.x.1 New buildings (excluding accessory buildings that are not habitable) shall have sufficient water supply for firefighting in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b> <b>18.2.x.2 Where a building is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	83	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 19.1.11 as notified.					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	84	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 19.2.1.4 as follows (bold) - <b>" A habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	85	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 19.3.9 to include the following (bold) -? <b>" If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day."</b>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	86	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows: <b>" 23.x Permitted Activities</b> <b>[D]</b> <b>23.1.x Emergency Service Facility"</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	87	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 23.1.21 as notified.					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	88	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 23.3.7 to include the following (bold) -  <i>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	89	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 23.3.7 to include the following (bold) -  <i>"If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August <b>during the hours of 3pm and 10am the following day.</b>"</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	90	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 24.3.1.1 in Rule 24.3.1 as follows (strike through and bold) -  <i>"The subdivision must comply with Rules 24.1.1, 24.1.4, 24.1.7, <del>and</del> <b>24.1.10 and 24.1.14.</b>"</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	91	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the notes that accompany the Table in Standard 24.3.1.3 to include the following:?  <i>"Where a building platform is located more than 135m from the nearest road that has reticulated water supply (including hydrants) access shall have a minimum formed width of 4m, a height clearance of 4.0m and a maximum gradient of 1 in 5 (with minimum 4.0m transition ramps of 1 in 8)." ?</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	92	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause 24.3.1.26 as follows (bold) -  <i>"Provision of water for firefighting <b>in accordance with the New Zealand Fire Service Firefighting Water Supplies Code of Practice SNZ PAS 4509:2008.</b>"</i>					
993	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	93	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of 'emergency service' as follows (bold) - <i>"means an organization that is essential to the community's <b>initial</b> response to an emergency incident or hazard event."</i>					
<b>993</b>	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	94	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove designation B16 from schedule in Appendix 14 of the MEP and from Planning Maps 58 and 186.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part

Decision  
Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part

Decision  
Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part



Decision  
Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part

Decision  
Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part

Decision Requested

Add to these policies so they apply more broadly to include all structures in waterways. Add to these policies with respect to consent renewal and delaying the legal effect of the rules to allow time to remediate the in-stream structures:

**Policy (a):** To assess the need to provide for the passage of fish at existing structures when renewing consents or when setting priorities for remedial or enforcement action, by taking into account:

- (a) quantity of habitat upstream of the barrier;
- (b) whether the stream is continuously flowing or ephemeral, and the extent to which the barrier affects fish passage at a range of stream flows;
- (c) significance and quality of the habitat, including presence of threatened species or effects of predator species on indigenous species;
- (d) proximity of barrier to the sea;
- (e) costs associated with any works required to provide fish passage at a site or several sites on the same river and including any likely adverse effects of the retrofit on adjacent landowners and any adverse effects on hydraulic efficiency;
- (f) proximity and effects of other fish barriers, including natural barriers in the same stream;
- (g) whether the structure is still used or the time until any programmed replacement;
- (h) whether there are alternative methods of providing for the passage of fish.

**Policy (b):** To delay the legal effect of the rules regulating culverts, fords and tidal flood gates existing as at [plan notification date] until five years from the operative date and to:

- (a) require resource consents or
- (b) take enforcement action for structures that do not provide for fish passage at that time unless:
  - (i) the structure has been assessed against policy (a) as not requiring provision of fish passage or
  - (ii) a plan is prepared which includes:
    - (-) a description of the works required to provide for fish passage;
    - (-) a target completion date for the required work.
- (e) the works have been completed by the specified date.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p>					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p>					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	8	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p>					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be Anticipated Environmental Results and Monitoring Effectiveness Requirements to back up these policies. This should include:</p> <p><b>AER:</b> Maintenance of fish passage.</p> <p>Monitoring: All structures in waterways shall be assessed for their ability to provide for fish passage.</p>					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a statement highlighting the issue of fish passage with respect to all structures in waterways.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:</p> <p>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that in respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as is [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as is [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a condition to the general permitted activity rules requiring fish passage for all existing structures in waterways within five years of the date of the plan becoming operative.					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.</p> <p>See good practice guidelines for Canterbury that are applicable nationally that can provide key criteria</p> <p><a href="http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf">http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf</a>.</p> <p>Environment Canterbury has adopted these key criteria into a schedule into their Land and Water Plan</p> <p>(<a href="http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf">http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf</a>; Schedule 2 page 251).</p>					
994	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology. See good practice guidelines for Canterbury that are applicable nationally that can provide key criteria <a href="http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf">http://www.doc.govt.nz/Documents/conservation/native-animals/Fish/fish-passage/fish-screen-guidelines.pdf</a> . Environment Canterbury has adopted these key criteria into a schedule into their Land and Water Plan ( <a href="http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf">http://files.ecan.govt.nz/public/lwrp/LWRP-Plan-Volume_1.pdf</a> ; Schedule 2 page 251).					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	17	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified but add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:  The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified but add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:  The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Require fish passage when there is fish habitat upstream.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Require fish passage when there is fish habitat upstream.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add conditions for culvert installation including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alignment shall be as close as possible to the existing stream alignment</li> <li>- Bed material should be assessed to determine the potential for erosion. If erosion is likely then a weir or series of weirs should be provided downstream of the outlet. These weirs must also provide fish passage.</li> </ul> <p>Add a condition to the permitted activity rules along the following lines:</p> <p>The <b>design, placement,</b> and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that is respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that these structures must not restrict fish passage.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	23	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.16.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	24	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.14.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	25	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.15.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If there isn't going to be a general condition then add in a condition that this activity must not restrict fish passage or lead to erosion that will then restrict fish passage.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	26	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add condition for fish passage at existing in-stream structures.					
<b>994</b>	New Zealand Fish Passage Advisory Group	27	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add conditions for culvert installation including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Alignment shall be as close as possible to the existing stream alignment</li> <li>- Bed material should be assessed to determine the potential for erosion. If erosion is likely then a weir or series of weirs should be provided downstream of the outlet. These weirs must also provide fish passage.</li> </ul> <p>Reword along the following lines:  The <b>design, placement</b>, and maintenance of any structure does not impede the passage of fish, except that in respect of culverts, fords, and tidal flood gates existing as at [date plan is notified], and except for short periods during maintenance, this condition does not have legal effect until five years from its operative date.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>To address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought in the following submission points for Objective 4.1.</p> <p>The objectives and policies should be amended to provide new objectives and policies recognising that infrastructure required to support primary industry often has a functional need to be in certain locations such as at the interface with the Coastal Marine Area and that such infrastructure should be enabled notwithstanding that localised environmental effects may be significant (<i>inferred</i>).</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:					
	(a) That Objective 4.1 support the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries are retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop;					
	(b) Add new policies under Objective 4.1 seeking to enable the ongoing use and development of existing forestry as well as the expansion, optimisation and intensification of existing forestry; and					
	(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 4.1.1, which supports the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries is retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 4.1.2, which supports the establishment, operation and use of natural resources by primary industries is retained, and that they specifically recognise the importance of commercial forestry to the region and seek to enable existing forestry operations to expand and develop.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Objective 4.3, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds.					
	That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 4.3.1, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds.  That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 4.3.3, which relates to the use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds, is specifically amended to recognise the importance of forestry within the Marlborough Sounds.  That forestry is part of the visual character of the Marlborough Sounds and that the temporary adverse effects from felling forestry are a part of the character of the area - not an adverse effect on it.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 4.2.1 is amended so that transport infrastructure associated with primary industry is included as regionally significant infrastructure.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1 Chapter issues, objectives, policies and rules except for the decision requested for Policies 5.10.1 and 5.10.6 - see submission points 995.10 and 995.11					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.1:  <i>Policy 5.10.1 Recognition that there are no inherent rights to be able to use, develop or occupy the coastal marine area:</i> Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.10.6 is amended to provide for any other relevant matter to be considered as well. Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	12	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>It is considered some primary production activities may serve to enhance the natural character of an area. It is anticipated that this may occur when an area of pastoral farming is planted in forest. Commercial forestry should be enabled in these circumstances.</p> <p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) Include new policies which recognise that where land containing commercial forestry is identified as having natural character, the effects of forestry and the intensification and development of forestry is not an adverse effect, but is inherent to the character of the area, otherwise areas of land which are currently commercial forestry should not be recognised as having natural character;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 6.13 and 6.15 which recognise 'human induced modification' affects the 'natural character' of an area be retained;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 6.2.5 should be retained and amended to enable the ongoing use of existing primary production activities in such locations;</p> <p>(d) The objectives and policies, particularly (but without limitation) Policies 6.2.1 to 6.2.3 which require that adverse effects only be 'avoided' should be amended to include 'remedied or mitigated';</p> <p>(e) Recognise that primary production activities such as commercial forestry have the potential to enhance the natural character in an area. To that extent Policy 6.2.6 is supported subject to specific amendments being made to recognise commercial forestry; and</p> <p>(f) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies particularly (but not limited to) Objective 7.1. and Policies 7.1.1 to 7.1.4 should be amended so that land subject to commercial forestry is excluded from being classified as an Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes. Additionally, land with existing commercial forestry should be excluded from areas of Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes. Much of that land comprises commercial forestry. It is inappropriate for land comprising commercial forestry to be Identified as part of an Outstanding Natural Feature I Landscape when it is well known that is was planted for commercial purposes and would be cleared at some time in the future, with consequent visual effects arising from clearing. The Submitter seeks the exclusion of the areas of Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape insofar as it consists of commercial forestry. The significant problems with including commercial forestry in Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes are addressed below by reference to a particular policy that applies to the Coastal Environment Zone.</p> <p>In the alternative, if the land with existing commercial forestry is not excluded from areas of Outstanding Natural Features/Landscapes then the following relief is sought:</p> <p>(a) the objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) the Introduction, Policy 7.2.3, Policy 7.2.6, Policy 7.2.7 and Policy 7.2.8 should be amended to recognise that commercial forestry forms, contributes to and is part of the identifiable Marlborough Sounds landscape and should be enabled (including its development, optimisation and expansion) within the rules that seek to protect landscape values; and</p> <p>In addition to the relief sought above:</p> <p>(a) New objectives and policies are required to recognise the importance of primary industry (specifically commercial forestry), rural industry and transport infrastructure, to the region, even within the Marlborough Sounds landscape;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies particularly (but not limited to) the Introduction, Policy 7.2.3, Policy 7.2.6, Policy 7.2.7 and Policy 7.2.8 be amended to:</p> <p>(i) Enable minor expansion of existing forestry without resource consent</p> <p>(ii) Enable the intensification of commercial forestry in those areas where it is already established and In areas adjacent existing commercial forestry, particularly where such a use may be anticipated by the underlying zoning; and</p> <p>(iii) Recognise commercial forestry activities in areas not identified as outstanding, only require controlled or restricted discretionary consent.</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Objective 8.1 and Policy 8.1.2 should be amended to make it clear that appropriate (not absolute) protection of significant indigenous biodiversity is to be achieved. The current provisions suggest that the protection of significant indigenous biodiversity is too absolute;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 8.1.1 should be amended to provide that even where an area has been assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value, it may not be mapped as "significant" where doing so would be inconsistent with the other objectives and policies of the plan;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 8.2.9 overreaches by seeking to maintain, enhance or restore all ecosystems, irrespective of whether they are significant. It is not clear that the Council properly understood the impact of this policy. Policy 8.2.9 should be amended so that it is not directive and instead uses wording similar to Policy 8.2.5 - by seeking to encourage the positive outcomes identified. The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.8 fail to make appropriate provision for development (rural industry) and infrastructure that has a locational need to be in a particular location and where there are no reasonably practical alternatives. The objectives and policies should be amended to provide a limited exemption in this circumstance and expressly provide for effects to be remedied, mitigated or off-set in such circumstances. This is significant in Marlborough where there are large areas of land devoted to primary produce, significant roading constraints and emphasis on greater use of the CMA as a transport option; and</p> <p>( d) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	15	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The Submitter seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 9.1.1 should recognise that there is sufficient public access to Port Underwood in a number of locations and it should not be a priority for public access. Furthermore enhancing public access in this area could create health and safety issues with the extent of logging trucks on the road;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 9.1.12 should recognise that some types of subdivision and development (including transport and infrastructure), which require an operational connection between the land and the sea, cannot provide esplanade reserves and achieve operational requirements (including health and safety) and that in such situations, the Council should properly take account of those operational issues, alternative access to (or from point to point) the CMA proposed by the Applicant so that overall a net improvement in public access is achieved (in a similar way to Policy 9.1.14) along with any other relevant factors;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies should seek to avoid zoning land as open space where it is privately held and the owner does not consent to such zoning. otherwise the zoning may be in breach of section 85 of the Act;</p> <p>(d) The Open Space zoning of land not owned by the Council or the Department of Conservation, or that neither of them have agreement with the owners to zone as open space is opposed; and</p> <p>(e) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	16	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The objectives and policies should recognise that some areas of land may be appropriately used for certain activities such as forestry. This should be recognised in Policy 11.1.21.</p> <p>The policy should also be amended to recognise that work or land use on slopes classified as having land instability issues can appropriately occur, where adverse effects on land instability can be appropriately remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>In addition, new rules should be added, or existing rules modified to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) New objectives and policies will need to be inserted into the Coastal Environment chapter that enable and provide for commercial forestry and associated activities that are the same as or similar to those used in the Rural Environment Zone. However specific objectives and policies may also be required and could include for example (but without limitation):</p> <p>(i) A new objective under Issue 13A to enable the ongoing use, optimisation and development of commercial forests in the Coastal Environment Zone. The associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable the harvesting and replanting of commercial forests along with associated land use and stormwater diversion activities;</p> <p>B. Enable the expansion of commercial forests within existing titles by up to 10%; and</p> <p>C. Enable increased intensification of commercial forests (including increased stocking rates and rotation rates).</p> <p>(ii) A new objective to enable rural industry to be located in the Coastal Environment Zone where it supports an existing primary industry In that zone. Associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable rural industry to support primary industry;</p> <p>B. Recognise that rural industry often has a functional need to establish in certain locations;</p> <p>C. Recognise that the topography of Marlborough means that there are limited sites suitable for rural industry;</p> <p>D. Allow rural industry to establish, notwithstanding potentially significant local effects, where there are limited alternatives.</p> <p>(iii) A new objective will need to be Inserted that enables transport infrastructure that supports the use and development of primary industry. Associated policies will need to:</p> <p>A. Enable the development and construction of new roads, the widening of existing roads, and the establishment and operation of forestry roads;</p> <p>B. Enable the use of existing roads by vehicles associated with primary production activities;</p> <p>C. Enable the development and construction of water based transport infrastructure within the Coastal Environment Zone and Coastal Marine Zone.</p> <p>(b) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	18	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 13.1.1, need to be amended and land with commercial forestry therefore needs to be removed from the Outstanding Natural Feature, Natural Character and Landscape maps, otherwise it is difficult, if not impossible, to see how commercial forestry could ever be cleared in light of Policies 13(1)(a) and 15(a) of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement and the decision of the Supreme Court in the King Salmon (2014 NZSC 38) case that, in terms of those policies, "avoid" means "avoid." Moreover, where the land is felled in accordance with resource consent or existing use rights it will not have outstanding character and therefore should not be classified as such;</p> <p>(b) In the alternative, provide an exemption to Policy 13.1.1 for areas of existing commercial forestry to operate, develop, expand and intensify and / or change the policy to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects; and</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Objective 14.1 and its associated policies in this chapter are retained so far as they encourage safeguard, support and encourage the use of Marlborough's rural land for primary production, specifically commercial forestry;</p> <p>(b) That new policies are inserted under Objective 14 that prioritise the use of the rural environment for primary production and intensification of primary production on land where primary production is already occurring;</p> <p>(c) That new objectives and policies are inserted that prioritise the objectives, policies and methods in this chapter over those in other chapters to the extent that primary production activities such as commercial forestry are prioritised in the rural environment; and</p> <p>(d) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 15.1.31 should be amended to recognise that some disturbances to river beds are short term with less than minor or negligible adverse effects in the medium or long term;</p> <p>(b) The objectives and policies be amended so that any controls imposed on commercial forestry activities to address potential land disturbance are consistent with the New Zealand Code of Practice for Plantation Forestry;</p> <p>(c) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policies 15.4.3 and 15.4.4 be amended to recognise that land disturbance is a necessary component of many primary production activities, particularly commercial forestry and the construction of access tracks and that these activities should be enabled subject to ensuring that they are undertaken in accordance with good practice;</p> <p>(d) The objectives and policies, particularly (but not limited to) Policy 15.4.4(e) should recognise that the effects of eroded soil reaching any fresh water body or coastal water should only be considered if the effect of that soil is likely to have an adverse effect that will exist beyond the short term; and</p> <p>(e) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new policy be inserted after Policy 15.1.29 to recognise that some land use activities will cause short term land disturbance and potential sediment discharge, but that any adverse effects are likely to be minor.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	22	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, to address matters the Submitter also seeks the following relief:</p> <p>(a) That a new policy is inserted under Issue 17D that recognises that in certain circumstances all parts of the road network may need to be used by the primary production sector given the location of their activities. This policy will need to recognise the use of the road network should not be prevented despite Policy 17.4.5;</p> <p>(b) That a new policy is inserted under Objective 17.4 that promotes water based transportation and recognises that the wider community and environmental benefits of infrastructure to enable water-based transportation can outweigh localised environmental effects - even where such effects are significant; and</p> <p>(c) Add new rules, or modify existing rules to give effect to the objective and policy modifications sought.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.6.2(f):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(f) <del>200</del><b>30</b>m of the coastal marine area;</i></p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.7.3(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.7.3. Harvesting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) <del>200</del><b>30</b>m of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new permitted activity and standards are included in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p><b>3.1.X Commercial forestry replanting.</b></p> <p><b>3.3.X. Commercial forestry replanting.</b></p> <p><b>Replanting must not be in, or within:</b></p> <p><b>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</b></p> <p><b>(b) 8 metres of a Significant Wetland;</b></p> <p><b>(c) 30 metres of the coastal marine area.</b></p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 3.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.7.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry planting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry harvesting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Rural Environment Zone.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The same rules apply in the Coastal Environment Zone for forestry, harvesting and rural industry as in the Rural Environment Zone. Transport infrastructure should be enabled to occur at the interface between the CMA and the land where it has a functional need to do so.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	31	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain provision for replanting of existing commercial forestry, including in an Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape that already comprises commercial forestry, as a permitted activity subject to the standards in clause 4.3.6 of the Proposed Plan.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	32	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial forestry harvesting is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity.</p> <p>The standards in clause 3.3.7 of the Proposed Plan are appropriate for commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone, except clause 3.3.7.3(c) that should be amended to refer to 30 metres from the coastal marine area rather than 200 metres.</p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	33	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial forestry planting is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity.</p> <p>That carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) is included in the above activity.</p> <p>The standards in clause 3.3.6 of the Proposed Plan are appropriate for commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone, except clause 3.3.6.2(f) that should be amended to refer to 30 metres from the coastal marine area rather than 200 metres.</p> <p>That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	34	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting in an Outstanding Natural Feature/Landscape that is not comprised of commercial forestry planting is provided for as a discretionary activity.</p>					
<b>995</b>	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The Rule 4.7.1 is changed from a prohibited activity to a discretionary activity.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.7.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a notification standard is included that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation). This is because forestry activities are anticipated in the Coastal Environment Zone.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	38	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 140	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Coastal Living Zone proposed for Opihi Bay is changed to Coastal Environment Zone.  The significant ecological area at Opihi Bay should be deleted from the maps. <i>Note the submission does not include a specific map that identifies the "significant ecological area". As there is no "significant ecological area" overlay in the proposed MEP, it is <b>inferred</b> that Significant Wetland W1044 is relevant to this submission point.</i>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	39	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.1.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	40	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.2.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	41	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.3.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	42	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.6.1.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	43	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.6.6.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	44	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial forestry harvesting, replanting and optimisation of existing commercial forestry are provided for as a permitted activity.</p> <p>That a notification rule is provided that precludes public or limited notification of any resource consent application for commercial forestry replanting, harvesting or the optimisation of existing commercial forestry (including associated land disturbance activities and culvert creation) in the Open Space Zones. This is because the use of land for established forestry activities is anticipated where those activities are already occurring.</p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	45	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to the definition of <i>commercial forestry harvesting</i>:</p> <p><b><i>Commercial forestry harvesting</i></b> means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</p> <p>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</p> <p>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</p> <p>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</p> <p>but does not include <del>the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</del></p>					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	46	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Threatened Environments Map 4 is deleted or amended to accurately show the extent of the threatened environments on the ground.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	47	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Soil Sensitive Area 2	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Soil Sensitive Map 2 is deleted or amended to accurately show the extent of the impeded soils on the ground.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	48	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the exclusion of most of Port Underwood from Coastal Natural Character Map 4.					
995	New Zealand Forest Products Holdings Limited	49	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That land used for commercial forestry is excluded from the Landscapes map.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	1	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 11.1.15:</p> <p><i>Policy 11.1.15 – Any allotment of less than one hectare proposed to be created in the Rural Environment Zone or the Rural Living Zone must be shown to have a minimum area free of flooding during a flood event with an annual recurrence interval of 1 in 50 years of:</i></p> <p>(a) 1,000 square metres; or</p> <p>(b) <del>30</del> <b>40</b> percent of the property, whichever is the greater.</p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retirement villages</li> <li>• seasonal worker accommodation</li> <li>• higher low level density housing</li> <li>• affordable accommodation across all age groups.</li> </ul> <p>It is <i>inferred</i> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12A.</p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retirement villages</li> <li>• seasonal worker accommodation</li> <li>• higher low level density housing</li> <li>• affordable accommodation across all age groups.</li> </ul> <p>It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12B.</p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The issue seems to remain silent on the many of the other activities in the Urban Environment such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• retirement villages</li> <li>• seasonal worker accommodation</li> <li>• higher low level density housing</li> <li>• affordable accommodation across all age groups.</li> </ul> <p>It is <b><i>inferred</i></b> from the above that the decision requested is to specifically include retirement villages, seasonal worker accommodation, higher low level density housing and affordable accommodation across all age groups in the explanation of Issue 12C.</p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.2.2(f) as this allows for consideration of appropriate subdivision in areas not presently zoned coastal living:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.2.2 – In addition to the values in Policy 13.2.1, the following matters shall be considered by decision makers in determining whether subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment are appropriate at the location proposed and of an appropriate scale, form and design:</i></p> <p><i>(f) whether the activity results, either individually or cumulatively, in <del>sprawling or sporadic patterns of subdivision</del>, <b>inappropriate</b> use or development that would compromise the values and matters of Policies 13.2.1 and 13.2.2;</i></p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.</p>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not clearly identify the decision requested.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	9	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	11	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	12	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	13	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter should be more about providing for the effective integration of land use and transport planning decisions to achieve a sustainable land transport system.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.1.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.2.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.2.2. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.4.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.4.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	17	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.5.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.5.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.3.6.1. Where the take is from a river, except an ephemerally flowing river, the instantaneous take rate must not exceed <b>520%</b> of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 2.2.17 and associated standards:</p> <p><del>2.2.17. — Damming water and the subsequent use of that water. The damming and subsequent use of water does not authorise the construction of a dam, which is governed by provisions in the Zone rules.</del></p> <p><del>2.3.16.1. — No more than 5,000m<sup>3</sup> of water is dammed at any time.</del></p> <p><del>2.3.16.2. — The damming and water use must not be otherwise provided for by a resource consent.</del></p> <p>Rule 2.2.17 is replaced with the following:</p> <p><b>Wairau/Awatere Resource Management Plan 27.1.6 Rules for the Construction of Dams and the Associated Damming of Water</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1 Permitted Activities</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.1 The dam is on a catchment less than 50 ha in area.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.2 The dam cannot impound more than 20,000 m<sup>3</sup> of water.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.3 The dam is less than 4 metres high, measured from base to crest.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.4 The dam does not intersect the groundwater.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.5 The dam will not be built within 500 metres immediately upstream of a dwelling, public roadway or building.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.6 Where the dam is constructed in a permanently flowing watercourse, the dam does not reduce the flow below the structure to less than the mean annual low flow existing before the dam was constructed.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.7 Where the dam is constructed in a permanently flowing watercourse, the dam must provide adequate fish passage in line with the Freshwater Fisheries Regulations (1983).</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.8 The holder of consent/s for the dam shall be responsible for the maintenance of the dam. If the consent holder is not known the owner of the site on which the dam is located shall be responsible.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.9 A legal description of the land, a location map, a grid reference and details of the dam and its construction must be supplied to the Council at least 10 working days prior to construction.</b></p> <p><b>27.1.6.1.10 Any records collected on the operation of a dam must be kept and made available to the Council upon request.</b></p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 2.17.3.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 2.17.3.1. For stormwater sourced from land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3 in Blenheim, the maximum discharge must not exceed <del>20</del><b>50</b>l/s.</i></p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That information/maps showing future areas intended for future Active Recreational areas are provided.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	28	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 23.2.1.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 23.2.1.1. A building or structure, including a mast, pole, fence, overhead telegraph cable, overhead power cable, tree or other object must not penetrate any flight path, take off, climb/approach fan or transitional slide slope identified in the <b>Woodbourne, Picton (Koromiko) or Omaka Obstacle Limitation Surfaces shown in Appendix 15.</b></i></p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	29	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.2.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Update table.</p> <p>No details of the decisions requested has been provided in the submission.</p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	30	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a timeframe (eg, 10 days) is included for service providers and Assets & Services to provide certification after they have received the information.					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	31	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 24.1.14:</p> <p>Water Supply</p> <p><i>Rule 24.1.14 In circumstances where a connection to a Council owned reticulated water supply is not possible, the applicant must provide for a minimum of 2m3 of potable water per day for each proposed <del>allotment</del> <b>Certificate of Title</b> (except for <del>allotments</del> <b>Certificate of Titles</b> to vest as reserve or road).</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	32	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 24.1.16 <b>(placement of additional wording inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Rule 24.1.16 In accordance with Section 230 of the RMA, in respect of any subdivision of land in which any allotment of less than 4 hectares is created, an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20m must be provided, unless the property adjoins the Waikawa Marina or Picton Marina <b>unless waived or width reduced by a resource consent.</b></i></p>					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	33	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Maintain the existing Minimum Net Allotment Areas for all Zones as per the WARM and MSRM Plans except for Rural living which could be reduced from the proposed 7500m<sup>2</sup> down to 5000m<sup>2</sup>.</p> <p>Footnote 2 - must be clear of easements. Recommend deleting this requirement as purposes is to maintain acceptable living space and easements have no effect on that aspect.</p> <p>Footnote 3 - reads as though frontage must be exclusive of access to subject allotments. Thus frontage would have to be 18.5m in Residential 2 Zone and 17.5m in Residential 1 Zone.</p> <p>Maintain the existing Special Subdivisions Rules (28.3.7 WARMP and 27.3.3.2 MSRMP to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) To facilitate the protection of significant environmental features.</li> <li>b) For special purpose lots.</li> <li>c) For boundary adjustments.</li> <li>d) To allow Limited Discretion - allotment and access minima.</li> <li>e) For Integrated Residential Developments.</li> <li>f) Special Provisions to Protect Large Lots</li> </ul> <p>We suggest simple Boundary Adjustments could be considered a Permitted Activity if the following criteria is met:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Two or more adjacent lots</li> <li>• No additional titles</li> <li>• Net site area of any proposed allotment is approximately the same or does not differ by 10 % net site area that existed prior.</li> </ul> <p>If the above criteria cannot be met, then we suggest it will trigger a Controlled Activity whereby Assessment Criteria as set-out in the WARMP and MSRMP needs to be met.</p> <p>Similarly with Special Purposes Allotments, Integrated Residential Developments, Special Provision to Create a Single Rural Residential Allotment and Special Provision to Protect Large Lots, we suggest that the options are included and similar wording to Rule 28.3.7 from the WARMP and Rule 27.3.3.2 from the MSRMP are adopted.</p>					
<b>996</b>	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	34	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy		Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the Reading hierarchy as set out in Appendix 17.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	35	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove appendix 24 altogether.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	36	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the overlays (e.g. Coastal Natural Character, Landscape, Threatened environments, Steep Erosion prone land, Soil sensitive areas, Wairau Plain area) have Place, Bay or Road name included ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	37	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Noise Control boundary overlays refer to the relevant decibel rating for the the inner and outer noise control boundaries ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	38	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 22	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Flood Hazard overlay is updated to reflect current flood hazard reports, i.e., lower terraces located in Renwick.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	39	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the scale of the maps are increased to to improve navigation of maps.  That locations of maps are provided in bottom right hand corner as is done in the Wairau/Awatere Plan (and as seen on 1:5,000 maps).					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	40	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 158	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the land North of Renwick - below terrace and north of Gee Street/south of Gibsons Creek is rezoned from Rural Zone to Residential 3 or Rural Living.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	41	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 160	Oppose
Decision Requested	That large areas of Hardings Road are rezoned from Rural Zone to Rural Living Zone.  The submission does not include details of "large areas" to be rezoned.					
996	New Zealand Institute of Surveyors	42	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Consider adding additional land to the Urban Residential 1 Zone. Consider adding additional land to the Urban Residential 3 Zone. Consider adding additional land to the Rural Living Zone.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5 and the exception water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water from minimum flows.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	3	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.9.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	4	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.10.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	5	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.16.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 14 Use of the Rural Environment Introduction.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation of Policy 14.1.1:  <i>Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming, <b>intensive farming</b> and cropping. This policy provides for those uses to continue, which will assist in achieving Objective 14.1, although the management regime in the MEP will include controls to manage adverse effects. At times there may be a change in land use or management practices for primary production to enhance the efficient use of land resources and the MEP does not intend to unduly curtail any opportunity for this to occur. However, the management framework for rural environments, which includes rural areas within the Marlborough Sounds and elsewhere in the District, does include standards to maintain environmental quality, character and amenity values.</i>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	9	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Avoid inappropriate subdivision and development of land used for primary production.  Lifestyle/rural-residential subdivision should be limited in scale and extent to defined locations to avoid conflict with production use.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	10	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Plan must clearly provide for those buildings and structures that support rural production and require a rural location and those activities that have locational choice.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	11	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Avoid inappropriate development of land production, subdivision and used for primary production.  A dwelling house to be established on rural properties as a permitted activity must be able to provide sufficient water of adequate quality on the property to provide for an individual's reasonable domestic needs that does not compromise the needs of rural production.  The priority for water allocation must be to rural production activities in the rural environment.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	13	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy to note that these effects are anticipated and expected in the rural environment.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy needs to be balanced with policy and methods imposed on new or expanding activities in adjoining residential environments. The submission does not include details of amendments in terms of balancing policy and methods.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	15	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment is made to Objective 14.2:  <i>Objective 14.2 The sustainability of Marlborough's rural economy is not adversely affected by the spread or introduction of pests <b>or biosecurity risks</b>.</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	16	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 14.2.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <i>Policy 14.2.1 The Marlborough District Council will support any national response to an incursion of a pest(s) <b>and biosecurity risk(s)</b> where this occurs, if it has the potential to reach Marlborough or is already present and/or has the potential to affect Marlborough's primary production sector.</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.2.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.3.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.2.4.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	20	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reword to a policy that prevents sensitive activities not related to primary production from establishing in areas where rural production activities could be adversely affected.  The submission does not include specific amendments to be made to Objective 14.3.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	21	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy so that adverse effects on rural production activities are avoided. The submission does not include details of amendments in terms of how adverse effects are to be avoided.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	22	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to address effects on other rural resources (not just loss of land). Including access to freshwater, degradation of water resources.  Amend policy to address reverse sensitivity.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	23	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support an objective that requires reverse sensitivity effects to be avoided.  It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	24	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That intensive farming is listed in Policy 14.4.1 given its requirement for a rural location.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	25	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to note the need to separate sensitive elements (in particular dwellings) from rural production activities.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to ensure subdivision in rural areas does not compromise the productive capability of rural areas or adversely affect the rural resources upon which rural production relies.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Balance policy with policy that addresses reverse sensitivity for lawfully established rural activities. The submission does not include amendments in terms of balancing policy and methods.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 14.4.10 <b>(inferred)</b> :  <i>Policy 14.4.10 <del>Control</del> <b>Constrain</b> the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations.</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	29	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.5.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	30	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.1.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.2.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.3.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the second paragraph of the explanation of 14.M.3:  <i>Rural activities with the potential to cause significant adverse effects, such as dairy farming, <del>factory farming</del> <b>intensive farming</b> and intensive livestock farming are provided for as discretionary activities. A number of other activities, including subdivision and residential activity within areas identified as water short will be determined as discretionary activities.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	35	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.26.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	36	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.3 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Objective 15.3 Reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air</i> <b>Incompatible uses and development are separated to manage adverse effects on air quality from discharges of contaminants into air and avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects.</b></p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	37	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new objective is included:</p> <p><b>Objective 15.X The operational requirements of rural activities are recognised and provided for.</b></p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	38	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new policies are included:</p> <p><b>Policy 15.3.X Recognise that rural air quality is generally a result of dust and odours, and other emissions generated by rural production activities.</b></p> <p><b>Policy 15.3.X Require adequate separation distance between rural land use which discharges dust and odour to air and activities that are sensitive to adverse effects of dust and odour discharges.</b></p>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	39	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 16.3.					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	40	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Where there are significant environmental constraints to effective wastewater management the discharge of contaminants onto or into land should be permitted.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.4.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendemnts (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 2.2.5: <i>Rule 2.2.5 Take and use of water for incidental use associated with farming up to <b>515</b>m<sup>3</sup> per day per Computer Register.</i>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.25.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.26.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.32.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.47.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.1.47.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Separate side and rear yard setbacks should be specified for sites under 4000m2.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Residential dwellings in the rural environment are typically an activity sensitive to the effects of rural production. A larger separation distance should be imposed on new dwellings in the rural environment to avoid or mitigate reverse sensitivity issues.					
	That separate side and rear yard setbacks should apply:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20m for dwellings and their accessory buildings</li> <li>• 8m for all other rural buildings</li> </ul>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.25.1.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.25.2.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.1.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.2.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.3.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.4.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.5.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.6.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.7.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.8.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.26.9.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.1 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Standard 3.3.32.1 The offal or carcass must be from pastoral agriculture, <del>except intensive farming</del>, undertaken on the same property.</i>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.33.1 ( <b>inferred</b> ): <i>Standard 3.3.33.1. The stack or stockpile must not be located on a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils <b>unless the stack or stockpile is located on a sealed pad and the sealing layer must not exceed 1x10<sup>-9</sup> metres per second (m/s).</b></i>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Where non habitable buildings or structures are proposed within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, a Restricted Discretionary activity could be developed with contained matters of assessment to reflect the actual or potential effects of the discharges.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.3.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the status for Rule 3.6.12 is changed from a Discretionary Activity to a Restricted Discretionary Activity ( <b>inferred</b> ).					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	66	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That as a minimum the plan should develop methods such as setbacks, planting buffers, fencing, non-complaints covenants that are specific to the rural urban interface. The submission does not include details of setbacks, planting buffers, or fencing.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	67	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That as a minimum the plan should develop methods such as setbacks, planting buffers, fencing, non-complaints covenants that are specific to the rural urban interface. The submission does not include details of setbacks, planting buffers, or fencing.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	68	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Prefer more generous setbacks to address the interface with rural production activities. The submission does not provide details of alternative setback distances.					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	69	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That additional assessment criteria is included that considers activities in the surrounding environment, reverse sensitivity, proximity of rural production activities, loss of production land and impacts on food supply ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	70	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Agricultural liquid waste</i> The submission does not include a decision requested.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	71	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Agricultural solid waste</i> The submission does not include a decision requested.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	72	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Agricultural waste</i> The submission does not include a decision requested.					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Domestic livestock</i> ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Domestic livestock means livestock bred, reared and/or kept on the property for home consumption, or as pets, or for hobby purposes and from which little or no income is derived. <b>Domestic livestock includes the keeping, breeding or rearing of five (5) or fewer pigs that have been weaned, or more than two (2) sows (with progeny until weaned).</b></i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	74	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Farming</i> ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Farming means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter, <b>and includes outdoor (extensive) pig farming.</b> Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit.</i>					
998	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	75	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definition of <i>Intensive farming</i>:</p> <p><del><i>Intensive farming means any primary production activity exhibiting two or more of the following characteristics:-</i></del>  <del><i>(a) little dependence on the quality of the soils of the site, such as greenhouses, mushrooms, plant nurseries;</i></del>  <del><i>(b) in excess of 50% coverage in permanent buildings having concrete or otherwise impervious floors for the housing and growing of livestock and/or vegetative matter;</i></del>  <del><i>(c) substantial environmental control and/or modification to facilitate growth of livestock and/or vegetative matter;</i></del>  <del><i>(d) high output of collected waste material per hectare and includes all pig farming, poultry farming, rabbit farming; greenhouses not relying on the soils, mushrooms, container growing nursery; and</i></del>  <del><i>(e) land based aquaculture</i></del> <b><i>the commercial raising and keeping of animals and/or plants which is dependent on a high input of food including but not limited to cut pasture and/or supplementary meal or fertiliser throughout the year from beyond the holding, and is contained in, buildings or outdoor enclosures. It includes, but is not limited to.....</i></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> <li>•</li> </ul> <p><b><i>the keeping of pigs outdoors without ground cover being maintained.</i></b></p> <p>It is not clear if the bullet points included in the submission are relevant to the proposed definition.</p>					
<b>998</b>	New Zealand Pork Industry Board	76	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That a new definition is included in the MEP:</p> <p><b><i>Outdoor (extensive) pig farming means an area of a SITE where all pigs, boar, and piglets are contained within a paddock/s with groundcover maintained.</i></b></p>					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.4 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2 [ <i>inferred</i> ].					
<b>999</b>	New Zealand Sport Fishing Council	7	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Clarify how the standards for permitted activities, discussed in <b>Rule 16.2</b>, apply to large scale bottom contact fishing methods.</li> <li>2. The Marlborough District Council ensure further reference highlighting the ecologically significant sights affected by <b>Rule 16.7.5</b> to be made available.</li> <li>3. The Marlborough District Council change or append <b>Rule 16.7.5</b> to clarify methods that are deemed to cause disturbance.</li> <li>4. Increase the ecologically significant sites, creating a buffer zone to adequately protect from sedimentation arising from the use of destructive fishing methods on the margins/outside the Ecologically Significant Sites.</li> </ol>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the Guiding Principles as follows:</b>  <i>Being aware of the potential for reverse sensitivity effects between different resource uses, whether on land, or water or between the two. Reverse sensitivity effects occur when people establish new activities sensitive to the effects of existing activities in the vicinity. This can lead to restraints or demands against the existing activities and can cause tension and conflict in the community. Making sure activities are appropriately located, <del>and</del> carried out and designed within appropriate limits is therefore very important.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	2	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain the criteria for determining whether an issue is regionally significant, particularly:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a conflict in resource use?</li> <li>• Are there any significant cumulative impacts arising from resource use?</li> </ul>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Support the Other strategies and plans section</b>, particularly "<i>...the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan has contributed to policies and methods on infrastructure and energy, urban form and reverse sensitivity.</i>"</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Structure of the MEP	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add</b> a clear statement(s) explaining the purpose and legal weight of the reasons in (for example) Section 1, Volume 1, under the Heading "Structure of the MEP" (pg. 1-4).</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Support Issue 4B</b>, particularly its recognition of the State Highways as nationally significant infrastructure, and the recognition of their susceptibility to reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects.  <b>Amend the Issue 4B reason as follows:</b>  <i>The ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Support the policy.</b>  <b>Amend the policy explanation as follows:</b>  <i>Many uses of coastal space, river beds, air and water resources are <del>prohibited</del> <u>restricted</u> unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent... Where the adverse effects are <del>considered</del> <u>potentially</u> more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required ...</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Objective 4.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Efficient, effective, safe <u>and resilient</u> operation of regionally significant infrastructure.  The community relies on the considerable infrastructure that has been developed to protect and support the population <u>and economy</u>. It is essential for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community that this critical infrastructure continues to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis. This includes the ability to <u>operate</u>, maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 4.2.1 as follows:</b>  <i>Recognise the social , economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative <u>or authorised as a permitted activity, resource consent, or notice of requirement</u>, as regionally significant:</i>  ...  <i>(k) <del>district</del> <u>road</u>ing network;</i>  ...</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities, <u>including reverse sensitivity and cumulative effects</u>.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Method 4.M.6 as follows:</b>  The electricity transmission network, <u>State Highway</u> buffer areas, and <u>State Highway</u> effects areas will be identified on the planning maps. This will allow other methods to be applied to manage the adverse effects of third parties on the transmission <u>and State Highway</u> networks.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Method 4.M.7 as follows:</b> <i>Where not designated, R recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. In conjunction with the application of district rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 4.M.8.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Method 4.M.9 as follows:</b> <i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, <u>operation</u>, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities</i> <i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid Corridor.</i> <i>A buffer corridor for the National Grid transmission lines will be established through rules within which activities will be managed to reduce the risk of electrical hazard, the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and adverse effects on the structural integrity of the National Grid. The width of the corridor will vary depending on the activity, type of National Grid asset and the sensitivity of the network to the activity. This method gives effect to Policy 11 of the NPSET.</i> <u><i>A State Highway buffer area and State Highway effects area will be established through rules and supported by overlays and definitions, within which noise sensitive activities will be managed to reduce the potential for reverse sensitivity effects on the State Highway. The width of the buffer and effects areas are calculated based on traffic characteristics (volume, speed, and percentage of heavy vehicles) and the road surface type.</i></u>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Method 4.M.10 as follows:</b> <i>Where the grant of a resource consent application <u>or approval of a Notice Of Requirement</u> may adversely affect regionally significant infrastructure, the owners and operators of the infrastructure will be served notice of the application as an affected party...</i> <b>Replicate or cross-reference</b> within applicable sections e.g. 2.37 Signage, Discretionary Activity Standards; 2.32.4 Vehicle crossing associated with permitted activities in all zones; Limited Access Roads.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 5.2.3 as follows:</b>  <i>Protect the significant values of specifically identified freshwater bodies by classifying the taking, damming or diversion of water in these waterbodies as a prohibited activity, <u>except when necessary for the construction, maintenance or upgrade of lawfully established regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 5.2.7</b> on the basis that subsequent policies provide for appropriate exceptions.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 5.2.8.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 5.2.9 as follows:</b>  <i>Have regard to the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemeral flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds <del>or is likely to exceed</del> 5% of river flow at any time.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 5.3.12 as follows:</b>  <i>Bores are used as the means to access water from Marlborough's aquifers <u>and to investigate and monitor conditions below the ground surface.</u> Rules identify that bore construction will be a permitted activity. The construction of a bore has limited potential to cause adverse effects, while still enabling groundwater <u>and sub-surface conditions</u> to be accessed [...]</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 5.10.5 as follows:</b>  <i>The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following: (d) retaining walls, <u>coastal protection structures, and stormwater outfalls; ...</u></i></p> <p><b>Amend the Policy 5.10.5 reason as follows:</b>  <i>These waivers exist because the facilities owned by the Council, <del>and</del> the Department of Conservation <u>and other government agencies</u> provide a significant level of public benefit...</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	22	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 6.2.1 as follows:</b>  <i>Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values, <u>except where it is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	23	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 6.2.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Avoid significant adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4, <u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	24	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 6.2.3 as follows:</b>  <i>Where natural character is classified as high or very high, avoid any reduction in the degree of natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies, <u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 6.2.4.</b></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	26	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 6.2.5.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	27	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 6.M.1.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	28	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 6.M.2.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	29	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 7.1.5.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 7.2.7 as follows:</b></p> <p><i>Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):</i></p> <p><i>(i) avoiding, <u>remedying or mitigating adverse effects from</u> extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) encouraging tracks and roads to locate adjacent to slopes or at the edge of landforms or vegetation patterns and to follow natural contour lines in order to minimise the amount of land disturbance required;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) minimising <u>where practicable the adverse effects from</u> the extent of any cuts or side castings where land disturbance is to take place on a slope; and</i></p> <p><i>(iv) encouraging the revegetation of cuts or side castings by seeding or planting.</i></p> <p><i>while recognising and providing for the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of the road network.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	31	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 7.M.3.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Issue 8A.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Objective 8.1.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Clarify</b> when an assessment would be required under Policy 8.1.1.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Objective 8.2.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 8.2.3.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 8.3.2.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	38	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 8.3.3.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	39	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10A	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Issue 10A.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	40	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Support Policy 10.1.10.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	41	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Support Policy 10.1.11.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	42	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10B	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Issue 10B.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	43	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.2	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Objective 10.2.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	44	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Policy 10.2.2 as follows:</b> <i>When considering resource consent applications to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees, or undertake activities in close proximity to a notable tree, have regard to:</i> <u><i>(e) whether the proposed activity is related to the maintenance, construction, operation or upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</i></u>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	45	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Policy 10.2.3 as follows:</b> <i>Consider approving any application to remove, trim or prune a notable tree or trees where:</i> <u><i>(c) the tree or trees are significantly restricting a particular use of the site that offers greater positive effects in terms of historic heritage or amenity values, or are restricting the ongoing operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i></u>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	46	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Method 10.M.2 as follows:</b> <i>District and regional rules will be used to ensure that identified heritage resources and/or notable trees are appropriately protected...</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	47	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Objective 11.1.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	48	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Policy 11.2.7.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	49	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Objective 12.1.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	50	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Policy 12.2.4 as follows:</b> <i>In relation to five areas zoned as Urban Residential 2 Greenfields Zone to the north and west of Blenheim, the following matters apply for subdivision and land use activities:</i> <i>(d) subdivision design and land use activities shall have regard to reverse sensitivity effects in respect of existing, lawfully-established rural and non-residential activities, including State Highways and land designated for State Highway purposes.</i> <i>(e) subdivision design and land use activities shall have regard to cumulative effects on the State Highway road network, and the Transport Agency road controlling authority may be considered an affected party where these effects cannot be avoided.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	51	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 12.4.4.</b>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	52	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.6	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 12.6.6.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	53	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Objective 12.9 as follows:</b> <i>The condition, capacity, efficiency and affordability of essential infrastructure services reflects the needs of Marlborough's urban environments <u>and national requirements.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Policy 13.1.1 as follows:</b> <i>Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i> <i>...</i> <i><u>except where the activity is necessary to enable the maintenance, construction, operation and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 13.2.2</b> , particularly (a) and (g).					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Policy 13.2.3 as follows:</b> <i>...</i> <i>(b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years, <u>except where the permit enables the development, operation, maintenance and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Method 13.M.5 as follows:</b> <i>The Harbourmaster and Maritime New Zealand will be <del>treated as affected parties</del> <u>notified</u> in respect of any resource consent application for a coastal permit, to enable an assessment of any potential impacts on safe navigation of boats.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Objective 13.10.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 13.10.3.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 13.10.5.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	61	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 14.3.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Where an activity is not related to primary production and is not otherwise provided for as a permitted activity, a resource consent will be required and the following matters must be determined by decision makers in assessing the impacts on primary production before any assessment of other effects is undertaken:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(e) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airport.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	62	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.1 as follows:</b>  <i>Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>(m) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airport</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	63	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.3 as follows:</b>  <i>Ensure <del>buildings noise sensitive activities</del> are <u>designed and set back a sufficient distance from property boundaries, and road frontages and land transport network boundaries</u> to:</i>  <i>(a) maintain privacy and outlook for people on adjoining allotments, including for existing houses on small allotments;</i>  <i>(b) encourage a sense of distance between buildings as well as between buildings and road boundaries; and</i>  <i>(c) maintain the pleasantness, coherence, openness and attractiveness of the site as viewed from the road and adjoining sites.</i>  <i>(d) manage reverse sensitivity issues by mitigating effects of traffic noise, especially where development is to be located on major arterials or State Highways</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	64	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.9 as follows:</b>  <i>Encourage the consolidation of information signs by supporting the establishment of "Welcome to" signs and information laybys at the entrance to Marlborough's larger towns, in order to reduce the effects of directional and commercial signs on visual amenity <u>and road safety</u>.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	65	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 14.4.15 as follows:</b>  <i>The Wairau Plain has been recognised as having particular amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced by:</i>  <i>(d) managing the establishment of subdivision, use and development to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the safety, functioning and efficiency of the arterial road network, <u>including avoiding cumulative effects</u>;</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	66	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the reason under Issue 14C as follows:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• traffic issues, where <del>local</del> <u>the roads network</u> cannot safely handle increases in traffic.</li> </ul>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	67	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 14.5.2</b>, particularly (g).</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Issue 15A.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	69	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 15.1.21.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	70	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 15.M.9.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	71	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Anticipated Environmental Result 15.AER.1.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.15	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 15.1.15.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain 15.1.21.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 15.M.9.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	75	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 15.1.25.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain 15.1.29.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.17	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain 15.M.17.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 15.3.4.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	79	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.35	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 15.M.35.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	80	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15F	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Issue 15F.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	81	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 15.4.3.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	82	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 15.4.4.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	83	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.38	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Method 15.M.38</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	84	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 15.5.5 as follows:</b>  <i>[...] Several agencies are potentially involved in any spill event, including the Council, Fire Service, Police, <u>the New Zealand Transport Agency road controlling authorities</u> and (in the coastal marine area) Maritime Safety. An ad hoc response from each agency creates the potential for ineffective containment and for soil contamination to occur over a wider area than if the spill was effectively contained.  It is important therefore that the actions of each agency in responding to a spill are co-ordinated. This is especially the case considering the risks posed by the volume of goods transported to and through Marlborough on <u>the State Highway 4 network</u>.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	85	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17C	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Issue 17C.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	86	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 17.3.1.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	87	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 17.3.2</b>, in particular:  <i>"for which a high level of through service must be provided on a continuous basis."</i>  <b>Amend as follows:</b>  Replace the term 'national routes' with '<u>State Highways</u>' throughout the MEP for clarity and consistency of terminology.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	88	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend policy 17.3.3 as follows:</b>  Ensure the road hierarchy is periodically reviewed and where necessary amended to reflect on-going changes in land use, use of the coastal marine area, <del>and</del> road access relationships <u>and changes to the road network</u>.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	89	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Issue 17D as follows:</b> <i>Land use, water and subdivision activities can have adverse effects on the sustainable <del>use</del> management and planned function of the land transport network <u>and how this network supports the districts and region.</u></i></p> <p>Add the following new objectives and/or policies:</p> <p>A new RPS and regional objective and/or policy that will ensure an integrated planning approach is taken to managing the effects of growth and development on transport infrastructure.</p> <p>Ensure noise sensitive activities are set back a sufficient distance from land transport network boundaries to avoid, remedy and mitigate effects. Allow noise sensitive activities to be located near land transport networks only where they do not compromise or limit the existing or planned function of the land transport network.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	90	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Objective 17.4 as follows:</b> <i><del>Conflict in providing for subdivision, use or development activities and with use of the land transport network is minimised.</del> Conflict between new and altered land use activities and the land transport network is avoided, remedied or mitigated.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	91	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 17.4.1 as follows:</b> <i>Manage the density, scale, <u>design</u>, and location of subdivision and/or activities to maintain, <u>protect or improve</u> the planned function of the roading network, <u>including through the avoidance of cumulative effects.</u></i></p> <p><b>Amend the reason for Policy 17.4.1 as follows:</b> <i>A major method in the MEP for managing the efficiency of the road network is through identification of a road's function, which is established by the road hierarchy (set out in Appendix 17 and Policy 17.3.2). It is important that subdivision <del>or</del> and activities that generate traffic (whether on land or in the coastal marine area) are managed so that their location, density, <u>design</u>, and/or scale does not impair the function of a particular road, <u>including as a result of cumulative or reverse sensitivity effects.</u> Management will occur through district rules that describe where there is a need to consider the impacts of activities on the function of a road through the resource consent process, <u>and by overlay maps identifying limited access roads, Transport Cumulative Effects Areas, and State Highway effects and buffer areas.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	92	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 17.4.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Avoid the spread of residential, industrial or commercial development fronting <del>national routes</del> State Highways and arterial roads extending outwards from urban settlements or towns to avoid cumulative effects on the road network.</i></p> <p><b>Amend the Policy 17.4.2 reason as follows:</b>  <i>Avoiding the outward spread of urban areas (for residential, commercial or industrial development) along <del>national</del> State Highways or arterial routes and limited access roads will help protect the safety and efficiency of roading networks <u>including from cumulative effects.</u></i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	93	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 17.4.3.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	94	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 17.4.4.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	95	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Policy 17.4.5.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	96	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Objective 17.5</b> to clarify its intent, for example:  <i>The safety and accessibility of roads for <u>all users as they travel along the road network</u> <del>pedestrians, cyclists and vehicle movement in general</del> is maintained and/or improved.</i></p> <p><b>Amend the reason under Objective 17.5 as follows:</b>  <i>Important components in a sustainable land transport network are ensuring it can be used safely and is accessible for a range of uses <u>and users, including pedestrians, cyclists, and motor vehicles.</u></i></p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	97	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.1 as follows:</b>  <del>Maintain road safety and accessibility by ensuring</del> <i>Ensure that standards of road design, vehicle access, vehicle crossings, loading and parking are related to the intended-planned function of the adjoining road in terms of the roading hierarchy in Policy 17.3.2 to maintain road safety and accessibility.</i>  <b>Amend the reason under Policy 17.5.1</b> to better align with the policy.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	98	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.3.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	99	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.4 as follows:</b>  <i>Avoid the display of outdoor advertising signs that could adversely affect traffic safety by confusing, distracting or obstructing the view of motorists or pedestrians.</i>  Note that if the definition for 'sign' proposed by the Transport Agency is not accepted, alternative relief and a definition for 'outdoor advertising' may be sought.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	100	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Policy 17.5.6 as follows:</b>  <i>Subdivision and land use activities shall avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the safety of and accessibility to the road network, by ensuring:</i>  ...  <i>(g) any proposed new or altered land use, subdivision or access point shall avoid, remedy, mitigate or improve any adverse safety or efficiency effects on the road network.</i>  <b>Amend the wording of Policy 17.5.6</b> to relate to the effects of activities, rather than activities themselves.  <b>Amend the reason for Policy 17.5.6</b> to reference the Limited Access Roads and cumulative effects overlays.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	101	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17D	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 17D as follows:  <i>Land use, water and subdivision activities can have adverse effects on the sustainable use management and planned function of the land transport network and how this network supports the districts and region.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	102	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Issue 17E	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Issue 17E.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	103	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Policy 17.6.1.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	104	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Identify this as a regional [R] policy as well as district [D].</b>  <b>Amend Policy 17.6.2 as follows:</b>  <i>The development, maintenance, operation, and use of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating:</i>  <i>(a) adverse effects on air and water quality, including from contaminated run-off from roads discharging into water or onto or into land;</i>  <i>(b) effects on places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i>  <i>(c) loss of visual amenity values in modifying the landscape;</i>  <i>(d) loss of natural character values in the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes, rivers and their margins;</i>  <i>(e) destruction of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i>  <i>(f) effects of severing communities and/or losing links between parts of settlements; and</i>  <i>(g) adverse effects on local amenities, including from noise and vibration."</i>  <u><i>(h) where the development maintenance and operation is for regionally significant infrastructure consideration will be given to the positive benefits of the proposed activity</i></u></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	105	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Retain this Method</b> , with appropriate amendments to the regional rules to improve their workability in relation to the road network.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	106	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert a new method of implementation:</b>  <u><i>17.M.x Limited access roads</i></u>  <u><i>The MEP includes maps showing the location of limited access roads at the time the MEP became operative. Where access is proposed onto a section of the State Highway which has been declared a Limited Access Road, the approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency will need to be obtained as described in the Government Roading Powers Act 1989.</i></u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	107	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Insert a new method of implementation as follows</b> (or words to similar effect):</p> <p><i>17.M.x Road Cumulative Effects Areas</i></p> <p><i>The MEP includes maps showing the location of those parts of the road network that are either at or nearing capacity, where the ability of the road to operate safely or efficiently may be comprised if further subdivision or development occurs. The road controlling authority will be considered an affected party for any subdivision or land use consent application that accesses or leads to those parts of the road network identified as Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a preamble to the signage section</b> as follows:</p> <p><i>Any sign on or over any part of a State Highway is subject to the New Zealand Transport Agency (Signs on State Highways) Bylaw 2010, and requires the approval from the New Zealand Transport Agency, in addition to compliance with the MEP and any other council bylaws controlling signs.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.1.1 as follows:</b></p> <p><i>2.1.1.2. The environmental flows and levels, as specified in Appendix 6, do not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water required by Rule <del>2.4.12.5.1</del>, as it relates to not meeting the applicable Standards of a Permitted Activity in Rule 2.2.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b></p> <p><i>[R] Rule x.x.x: Bore construction or alteration within the legal road for the purpose of investigating or monitoring conditions below the ground surface, including associated diversion and discharge of water or contaminants.</i></p> <p><b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity as follows:</p> <p><i>x.x.x.x The bore must be drilled by a Recognised Professional</i></p> <p><i>x.x.x.x A copy of the bore log, including a grid reference identifying the bore location, must be supplied to the Council in a suitable electronic format within 20 working days of the drilling of the bore.</i></p> <p><i>x.x.x.x On completion of the geotechnical investigation, the bore must be sealed or capped to prevent any potential contamination of groundwater.</i></p> <p><i>x.x.x.x The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</i></p> <p>This rule could be inserted into Chapter 2 General Rules (water take, use, damming or diversion section, from page 2-1) or a new chapter or section relating to the road or unzoned land.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.2.11 as follows:</b> <b>"Take and use of water for road or river control construction, <u>maintenance, repair or upgrade</u> works up to 50m<sup>3</sup> per day per construction site"</b> <b>And amend standard 2.3.10.4 as follows:</b> <i>"2.3.10.4. Road or river control construction, <u>maintenance, repair or upgrade</u> works must be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority"</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.2.12 and Standards as follows:</b> <i>Take of water <u>and associated diversion and discharge of that water for the purpose of dewatering a site of a trench.</u></i> <i>2.3.11.1. The take must not be within a Groundwater Protection Area.</i> <i>2.3.11.2. The take must relate to a temporary <u>excavation trench excavated</u> for the purposes of the installation or maintenance of infrastructure <u>or geotechnical testing.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.2.15.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Standards 2.3.14.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	115	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.2.17.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	116	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.2.18 as follows:</b> <i>Diversion <u>and temporary damming</u> of water associated with the operation <u>and maintenance</u> of <u>roadside drainage channels</u> and the <u>Drainage Channel Network existing on 9 June 2016</u>, and permitted activities in the <u>Floodway Zone.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	117	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.17.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend standard 2.3.17.2. as follows:</b> <i>The diversion must be managed by the Marlborough District Council <u>or New Zealand Transport Agency.</u></i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert an additional standard relating to flooding:</b> <u>2.3.17.3. The activity must not cause flooding or erosion of private land.</u>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.6.4</b> to exempt the take, use, and diversion of water associated with construction or maintenance activities), for example: <i>Take, use, damming or diversion of water from the following waterbodies, including their tributaries:</i> ... <i>This rule does not apply to a take, use, damming or diversion of water lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016, <u>or to take, use, and diversion associated with construction, maintenance and upgrade of regionally significant infrastructure, including the take and use of water for an individual's reasonable domestic needs and the take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's animals.</u></i> <b>Clarify why diversions of the Branch River are prohibited by Rule 2.6.4</b> , but minimum flows for diversions of the Branch River are given in Schedule 4 of Appendix 6.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the necessary amendments to ensure only one rule applies to each activity in section 2.7, for example, specify whether each rule applies to continuously, intermittently, and/ or ephemerally flowing rivers, as per the comment on definitions above.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for superstructure in relation to bridges and culverts.</b> <b>Amend Standard 2.9.1.2</b> to clarify how the cross-sectional area of the lakebed or river is to be measured.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	122	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.7.1</b> to also authorise activities associated with the works to the structure, and replacement of the structure, as follows: <i>Alteration, repair or maintenance <u>or replacement</u> of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river, <u>including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.7.2 as follows:</b> <i>Protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river for existing structures including gravel and sediment removal, <u>including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standards 2.9.2</b> to also relate to gravel and sediment removal for the purpose of protecting an existing structure.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.7.4.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	126	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Standards 2.9.4.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	127	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Support the permitted activity status.</b> <b>Amend Rule 2.7.7 as follows:</b> <i>Culvert installation <u>and replacement</u> in, on, under, or over the bed of a river, <u>including associated bed disturbance and deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment and contaminants.</u></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Support the permitted activity status.</b>  <b>Amend Standards 2.9.7.4 as follows (or similar wording):</b>  <i>The total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>8</del>12m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed <del>20m</del> the length necessary to pass beneath the legal road at that location.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	129	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The submission includes multiple submission points for the inclusion of new rules under section 2.7. These points have been included under this one decision requested with headings included only for clarity.</p> <p><b>Include the following new rules in Section 2.7:</b></p> <p><b>Temporary dams</b>  <i>2.7.X. Construction of a temporary dam</i></p> <p><b>Construction of new structures</b>  <i>[R] Rule 2.7.X: Bore construction or alteration in or on the bed of a lake or river for the purpose of investigating or monitoring conditions below the ground surface, including associated disturbance, water take, use, damming, diversion, and discharge of water or sediment.</i></p> <p><b>Drainage channel maintenance</b>  <i>2.7.X. The removal of vegetation or bed material and associated sediment from any drainage channel, including any associated disturbance, deposition, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment.</i></p> <p><b>Removal or demolition of structures from river beds</b>  <i>2.7.X The removal or demolition of a structure or part of a structure that is fixed in, on, under, or over any river or lake bed, including any associated disturbance and deposition of the bed, diversion of water, and discharge of sediment.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The submission includes multiple submission points for the inclusion of new rules under section 2.7. These points have been included under this one decision requested with headings included only for clarity.</p> <p><b>Include the following new standards:</b></p> <p><b>Temporary dams</b></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be for diverting river flow around works in the bed of a river.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. Provision must be made for river flows up to and including the 20-year average rain index (ARI) event to bypass the temporary dam with the bypass flow being returned to the bed of the river downstream of the dam.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam must not result in significant adverse effects on flows or ecology within permanent or intermittent rivers or streams.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam structure must be no greater than 4m high when measured vertically from the downstream toe of the dam embankment to the highest point of the dam crest.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be constructed in accordance with best practice methods.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The temporary dam must be removed as soon as is practicable and no later than two weeks following completion of the works.</u></p> <p><u>2.9.x.x. The dam must not be located in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland.</u></p> <p><b>Construction of new structures</b></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x The bore must be drilled by a Recognised Professional</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x A copy of the bore log, including a grid reference identifying the bore location, must be supplied to the Council in a suitable electronic format within 20 working days of the drilling of the bore.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x On completion of the geotechnical investigation, the bore must be sealed or capped to prevent any potential contamination of groundwater.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x Fish passage must not be impeded.</u></p> <p><b>Removal or demolition of structures from river beds</b></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. The activity disturbs less than 10m<sup>3</sup> of the bed.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. It results in the complete removal of the structure from the bed, or the complete removal of that part of the structure requiring removal from the bed.</u></p> <p><u>x.x.x.x. No explosives shall be used in the demolition of the structure.</u></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 2.8.1.5 as follows:</b></p> <p><u>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50m of a nesting bird in a lakebed or riverbed that has a conservation status of nationally "Threatened" or "At Risk" on the Department of Conservation's NZ Threat Classification System.</u></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.8.3.1. as follows:</b> <i>The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid <u>or mitigate</u> dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.11.1.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.11.2</b> to exempt investigation or monitoring bores associated with construction or maintenance activities on existing regionally significant infrastructure.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.16.3 as follows:</b> <i>2.16.3. Discharge of stormwater to water <u>and land</u>.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	140	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend standard 2.17.3.1 as follows:</b> <i>The discharge must not cause flooding on land other than land within the Floodway Zone <u>or drainage channel</u>.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	141	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the numbering of the standards under 2.17.3</b> to correct the error.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	142	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Add a rule</b> permitting the discharge of stormwater to coastal water from roads.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	143	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Add a rule</b> permitting the discharge of stormwater to coastal water from roads.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	144	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a permitted activity rule as follows:</b>  <i>[R] Rule x.x.x: Earthworks within the legal road and associated sediment discharge to water or to land where it may enter water.</i>  <b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity that address the effects of the sediment discharge on the receiving water body, such as:  <i>x.x.x Earthworks shall not, after the zone of reasonable mixing, result in any of the following effects in receiving waters:</i>  <i>(i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums of foams, or floatable or suspended materials, or</i>  <i>(ii) any conspicuous change in colour or visual clarity, or</i>  <i>(iii) any emission of objectionable odour, or</i>  <i>(iv) the rendering of fresh water unsuitable for consumption by animals, or</i>  <i>(v) any significant adverse effect on aquatic life.</i>  The rule could be located within the Transportation section of Chapter 2, or within a new section containing other regional rules applying to unzoned land.</p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	145	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b>  <i>Rule 2.x.x. The discharge of contaminants into air from abrasive blasting and water blasting, including and any associated discharge onto land or into water</i>  <b>Insert the following permitted activity standards specific to this activity:</b>  <i>2.x.x.1. Any sand or other material used for abrasive blasting must contain less than 5% free silica on a dry weight basis.</i>  <i>2. x.x.2. Any discharge of particulate matter must not be offensive or objectionable beyond the legal property boundary.</i>  <i>2. x.x.3. Any abrasive media not in use must be kept covered and protected from erosion.</i>  <i>2. x.x.4. All material that is discharged to land from the blasting must be collected and removed from the site to the extent practicable after blasting has been completed. The material must be disposed of to a facility that has authorisation to accept the contaminants in the material.</i>  <i>2. x.x.5. The surface to be blasted must not contain any hazardous substances, including lead, zinc, arsenic, chromium, copper, mercury, asbestos, tributyl tin, thorium-based compounds, and other heavy metals including anti foul paint containing these substances.</i>  <i>2. x.x.6. For dry abrasive blasting all items must be blasted within an abrasive blasting enclosure and the discharge must be via a filtered extraction system that removes at least 95% of particulate matter from the discharge.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	146	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.21.1 to include the discharges to land, as follows:</b>  <i>Application of an agrichemical and associated discharge of contaminants to air and onto or into land in circumstances which may result in that contaminant entering water.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	147	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Rule 2.22.1 to include the discharges to land, as follows:</b>  <i>Application of an agrichemical and associated discharge of contaminants to air and onto or into land in circumstances which may result in that contaminant entering water.</i>            The permitted activity standards applying to discharges to air (2.22.1) are already consistent with those applying to agrichemical discharges to land in other zones, such as the Coastal Environment Zone (4.3.21), and therefore no additional standards are deemed necessary.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	148	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity rule as follows:</b>  <i>[R] Rule X.X.X Discharge of calcium magnesium acetate to land for the purpose of deicing the road network, including any associated discharges to surface water.</i>  <b>Add standards</b> applying to this activity as follows:  <i>X.X.X.X. The application of calcium magnesium acetate shall be made by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or the road controlling authority.</i>  <i>X.X.X.X. There shall be no direct discharge of calcium magnesium acetate to any waterbody or to coastal water.</i>  <i>X.X.X.X. The calcium magnesium acetate shall be applied in accordance with the manufacturer's recommended application rates and standards.</i>  <i>X.X.X.X. Written records shall be kept of all applications of calcium magnesium acetate, including date, time, position and amount applied.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	149	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new Permitted Activity Rule in Chapter 2. General Rules: Discharge to Air as follows:</b>  <i>Discharge of contaminants from a mobile source</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	150	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Rule 2.28.1.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	151	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Rule 2.28.2.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	152	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.29.3 as follows:</b> <i>Where there is an existing or imminent threat to life, property, a utility or a service, <u>or to the safe operation of a road</u>, by a Notable Tree or any part thereof, immediate action can be taken to eliminate or abate the hazard by any safe means.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	153	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.32.3.10 as follows:</b> <i>A loading facility must be designed and located to avoid vehicle parking, <u>queuing</u>, or standing on the carriageway of a <del>national route</del> <u>State Highway</u> (as identified in Appendix 17)</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	154	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.32.4.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	155	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.22.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.32.4.22.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	156	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.24.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 2.32.4.24.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	157	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new Standard to 2.32.4</b> stating that new and altered vehicle crossings to the State Highway are not permitted.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	158	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.34.2 as follows: <i><u>Internal or external illumination of a sign, except where fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway.</u></i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	159	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Standard 2.36.2.2.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	160	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.4.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.36.4.3 as follows:</b> <i>The sign must be located within the boundary of the site; or if in the <u>legal road reserve</u>, they must not be further than 150mm from, and <u>must be parallel to</u>, the boundary of the site being advertised.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	161	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Rule 2.34.12</b> Note that if the definition for "sign" proposed by the Transport Agency is not accepted, alternative relief may be sought. <b>Change all appearances of "traffic sign" to "official road sign".</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	162	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend 2.35.1.1 as follows:</b> <i>The signage must relate to or be associated with a service, product or event available or occurring on the site on which the sign is located.</i> <b>Replace all other instances of "signage" with "sign",</b> as appropriate to its particular usage.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	163	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend 2.35.1.3 as follows:</b> <i>A sign must not be erected on, or adjacent to, a <u>legal road reserve</u>, where the sign may:</i> <i>(a) is on or over a State Highway and the prior approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency (under clause 4 of the New Zealand Transport Agency (Signs on State Highways) Bylaw 2010) has not been obtained;</i> <i><del>(a)</del>(b) may obstruct the line of sight of any corner, bend, intersection or vehicle access;</i> <i><del>(b)</del>(c) may obstruct, obscure or impair the view of any <u>traffic official road sign</u> or signal;</i> <i><del>(c)</del>(d) may physically obstruct or impede traffic or pedestrians;</i> <i><del>(d)</del>(e) may resemble or be likely to be confused with any <u>traffic official road sign</u> or signal;</i> <i><del>(e)</del>(f) uses reflective materials (other than an <u>official road sign</u> or <u>traffic safety safety and hazard sign</u>) that may interfere with a road user's vision;</i> <i>(g) <del>be</del> is within 120 m of any State Highway intersection or bridge, <del>within that has a 100km/hr</del> speed limit of <u>70km/hr or greater</u>;</i> <i>(h) has more than six words and/or symbols of more than 40 characters;</i> <i><del>(f)</del>(i) is <u>infrangible</u>.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	164	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add new standard to 2.35 as follows:</b> [<i>Inferred</i>]  <i>Any sign is fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway, must:</i>  <i>(a) not be illuminated (by internal or external means) or contain variable, flashing, rotating or animated parts;</i>  <i>(b) not be erected until the New Zealand Transport Agency has been notified in writing.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	165	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 2.35.1.4 as follows:</b>  <i>The sign message must be clear, <del>and</del> concise and easy to read. Lettering sizes on signage must be such that it does not cause any safety issue for motorists.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	166	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 2.35.1.11 as follows:</b>  <i>The minimum distance between signs on successive properties, and between signs and official road signs, as read from the one direction and measured parallel to the centre-line of the road, must be as shown in Table 2.12.</i>  <b>Amend the column heading of Table 2.12 as follows:</b>  <del>Visibility Distance</del> <i>Minimum Distance Between Signs (m)</i>  The Transport Agency may request other changes to Table 2.12.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	167	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 2.36.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Illumination of a sign, except where fronting or clearly visible from a State Highway.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	168	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Delete Standard 2.36.2.2</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	169	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.4.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.36.4.3 as follows:</b> <i>The sign must be located within the boundary of the site; or if in the <u>legal road reserve</u>, the must not be further than 150mm from, and <u>must be parallel to</u>, the boundary of the site being advertised.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	170	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Clarify why this standard is specific to show homes.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	171	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.8.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Delete Standard 2.36.8.2</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	172	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 2.36.9.1 as follows:</b> <i>A <u>temporary sign</u> must not be erected for more than a maximum duration of three months prior to the date of the commencement of the activity advertised nor remain erected more than one week following the completion of that activity, including the time during which the advertised activity is taking place.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	173	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 2.38.6 as follows:</b> <i>Vegetation trimming or clearance associated with the maintenance, replacement and minor upgrading of a network utility existing at <del>9 June 2016</del></i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	174	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the introduction to the Network Utilities section</b> to specify that the rules take precedence over those in zone chapters.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	175	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 3.3.7.1 as follows:</b> <i>Notification must be given to Council <u>and the New Zealand Transport Agency</u> not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	176	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 3.3.7.2 as follows:</b>  <i>Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council <u>and the New Zealand Transport Agency</u> at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	177	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add new Permitted Activity Standards as follows,</b> or words to similar effect:  <u>3.3.7.21. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</u>  <u>3.3.7.22. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</u></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	178	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows,</b> or words to similar effect:  <u>3.3.9.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</u>  <u>3.3.9.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</u>  <u>3.3.9.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</u></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	179	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new permitted activity standards and restricted discretionary rules in all zone chapters, as set out in Annexure 1 to this submission.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	180	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>It is submitted that subdivision or land use changes that result in additional traffic loading on these roads should require consent as a restricted discretionary activity.  Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.</p>					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	181	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	182	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	183	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	184	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Establish a policy and method framework to manage cumulative effects from transport in identified areas.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	185	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 3.3.48.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	186	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 3.4.2.1 as follows</b> , or words to similar effect: <i>The place must not be served by vehicular access <u>directly</u> from a State Highway or from a road that leads onto a State Highway</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	187	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows</b>, or words to similar effect:</p> <p><i>4.3.8.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.8.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</i></p> <p><i>4.3.8.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	188	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend Standard 4.4.2.1 as follows</b>, or words to similar effect:</p> <p><i>The place must not be served by vehicular access <u>directly</u> from a State Highway or from a road that leads onto a State Highway</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain Rule 4.6.4.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	190	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i></p> <p><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	191	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i></p> <p><b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b></p> <p><i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	192	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b> <i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i> <b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b> <i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	193	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend permitted activity standards in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b> <i>Light spill onto an adjoining residential site <u>or any road</u> must not exceed 2.5 Lux spill (horizontal and vertical)</i> <b>Or add a new permitted activity standard in all residential and living zones, as follows:</b> <i>All exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	194	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a new Permitted Activity Standards as follows,</b> or words to similar effect: <i>8.3.8.13. Forestry vehicles must not directly access the State Highway or access a road that leads to a State Highway.</i> <i>8.3.8.14. Notification must be given to Council and the New Zealand Transport Agency not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences.</i> <i>8.3.8.15. Forestry vehicles must not cart loads on unsealed public roads within 24 hours of a rain event where more than 20 mm of rain has fallen on that road within any 24 hour period.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	195	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	196	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	197	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	198	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain external lighting Standards.					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	199	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	200	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	201	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	202	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain external lighting rules</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	203	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a statement specifying that the Coastal Marine Zone is the same thing as the Coastal Marine Area and is determined by the location of Mean High Water Springs, or rename Coastal Marine Zone as Coastal Marine Area throughout the MEP.</p> <p><b>Amend the introduction to Chapter 16</b> to state that the rules relate to activities controlled under Section 12 of the RMA.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	204	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 16.1.6.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	205	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 16.3.5.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	206	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend 16.3.11.1. as follows:</b> <i>Disturbance must be undertaken by non-mechanical means, or be undertaken by, or on behalf of, the Marlborough District Council or New Zealand Transport Agency.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	207	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend 16.3.11.7 as follows:</b> <i>The clearance works must not result in fish passage being <del>must not be</del> impeded.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	208	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Rule 16.1.23.</b> <b>Add a new permitted activity rule, as follows:</b> <i>Renewal of an existing resource consent for the occupation of coastal marine area by a structure owned by a network utility operator.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	209	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 16.2.2.2</b> to allow small increases in the height, size or scale of a structure as a permitted activity when undertaking maintenance, repair and replacement work. To align with similar MEP rules in riverbeds, the standard could read: <i>16.2.2.2. The activity must not increase the plan or cross-sectional area of the structure by any more than 5% of the original structure.</i> The standard could apply to minor additions undertaken for regionally significant infrastructure only, if Council was concerned about incremental increases of other structures.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	210	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add specific rules for the following activities</b>, bundling in associated foreshore/ seabed disturbance, destruction, deposition, material removal, reclamation, occupation, and discharge of contaminants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Permit minor additions or alterations to structures (e.g. up to an addition of 5m in horizontal projection and 1m in vertical projection, except for stormwater pipes where deeper or wider excavations are only permitted for the purpose of constructing a sediment retention trap) The standard could apply to additions undertaken for regionally significant infrastructure only, if Council was concerned about incremental increases of other structures.</li> <li>• Permit temporary structures (e.g. in place for up to 31 days).</li> <li>• Permit replacement structures (e.g. where they are like for like).</li> <li>• New structures as a discretionary activity.</li> </ul>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	211	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 1 and 2 Zones as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	212	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 1 and 2 Zones as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	213	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 3 and 4 Zones, as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	214	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in Open Space 3 and 4 Zones, as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	215	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Rural Environment Zone, Coastal Environment Zone, Coastal Marine Zone, Floodway Zone and the Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	216	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Rural Environment Zone as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	217	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Coastal Marine Zone as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	218	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Floodway Zone as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	219	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a new permitted activity standard applicable to all permitted activities in the Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone as follows:</b>  <i>All outdoor lighting and exterior lighting must be directed away from roads so as to avoid any adverse effects on traffic safety.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	220	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add an introductory sentence to beginning of Chapter 24 Subdivision:</b>  <i>Any activity requiring access to a road which is a Limited Access Road will require a Licensed Crossing Point issued by the New Zealand Transport Agency in the case of a State Highway.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	221	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Standard 24.3.1.4 as follows</b> , or words to similar effect: <i>The land being subdivided must not have direct access to or from a State Highway <u>or access to a road that leads to a State Highway.</u></i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	222	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend 24.4.1.13 as follows:</b> <i>The proximity of existing lawfully established rural and non-residential activities, <u>including the road network</u>, and appropriate measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate reverse sensitivity effects on these activities including consideration of the following measures:</i> ...					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	223	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend Rule 24.4.2 as follows:</b> <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</i> <i>24.4.2.1. The matters set out in 24.3.1.9 to 24.3.1.26.</i> <i>24.4.2.2. Any adverse effects on <del>the State Highway, traffic movement or traffic safety</del> <u>or the efficient operation of the road network, including cumulative effects in the Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.</u></i> <i>24.4.2.4 <u>Whether the written approval of the New Zealand Transport Agency has been obtained as an affected party.</u></i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	224	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Suggest all words and terms which are defined in Chapter 25 be clearly marked with an asterisk, underlining, bold or similar at each appearance. In the online Flipbook it would be useful to hyperlink defined words to their definition.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	225	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the definition of abrasive blasting as follows:</b> <i>means the cleaning, smoothing, roughening, cutting or removal of part <u>of a surface</u> <del>of or</del> any articles by the use as an abrasive of a jet of sand, metal, shot or grit or other material propelled by a blast of compressed air or steam or water or by a wheel.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	226	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Delete the definition for access and replace with a new definition, as follows:</b> <i>means a practical permanent vehicular and pedestrian access from a formed road to a site over either:-</i> <i>(a) land that is included within the site; or</i> <i>(b) other land pursuant to an easement of right of way running with the land and appurtenant to the site; or</i> <i>(c) land that is legal but unformed road.</i> <i>Means a vehicular entrance formed to provide access to any property from the carriageway of the public road and includes any crossing constructed over a footpath, kerb, berm, water channel or drain.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	227	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for agrichemical.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	228	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for bore.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	229	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete or amend the definition for cut-off to relate to its usage in the MEP.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	230	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain the definition for dam</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	231	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for damming as follows:</b> <i>"Damming means impounding surface water or groundwater water with any structure. This excludes water held in tanks, and for the avoidance of doubt excludes coffer dams."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	232	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for dewatering.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	233	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain the definition for diversion</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	234	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain the definition for drainage channel</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	235	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for front yard</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	236	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the definition for land development signage as follows:</b> “Land development <del>signage</del> <del>sign</del> <del>means</del> a sign <del>must</del> <del>relate</del> <del>relating</del> to land development that involves a minimum of six allotments or units.”					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	237	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Delete the definition for land transport and replace with a new definition, as follows:</b> <del>means all forms of land-based transport, including road and rail.</del> <i>(a) Means –</i> <i>(i) transport on land by any means;</i> <i>(ii) the infrastructure, goods, and services facilitating that transport; and</i> <i>(b) Includes –</i> <i>(i) coastal shipping (including transport by means of harbour ferries, or ferries or barges on rivers or lakes) and associated infrastructure;</i> <i>(ii) the infrastructure, goods, and services (including education and enforcement), the primary purpose of which is to improve public safety in relation to the kinds of transport described in paragraph (a)(i).</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	238	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Define external lighting and outdoor lighting to exclude street lights.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	239	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Insert a definition for limited access road, as follows:</b> <i>Limited Access Road means any road or part of a road which has been declared a "limited access road" under the Government Roading Powers Act 1989.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	240	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for local road, as follows:</b> <i>means a road for which Council has financial responsibility for.</i> Ensure the term "local road" is used consistently throughout the MEP.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	241	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the definitions for "maintenance of a building or structure" and "maintenance and replacement"</b> to clearly distinguish between the two. For example, replace with separate definitions for "maintenance" and "replacement"					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	242	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for mobile source as follows:</b> <i>A mobile source that discharges contaminants into air including, but not limited to, motor vehicles (cars), trucks, light utility vehicles, buses, aircraft, trains, vessels (boats), and mobile plant, including plant used for construction or maintenance purposes.</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	243	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for net site area.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	244	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the Network Utilities section</b> , such as in the introductory statement, to state that activities within the legal road are not subject to the rules in this chapter (where they are undertaken by the requiring authority and in accordance with the designation purpose and any conditions)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	245	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for noise sensitive activity.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	246	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for official road sign as follows:</b> <i>means any sign erected in accordance with:</i> <i>(a) the Traffic Regulations 1976; or</i> <i>(b) the Land Transport Act 1998 and rules made pursuant to it, including the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004; or</i> <i>(c) any Gazette Notice issued under clause 4.4 of the Land Transport Rule: Traffic Control Devices 2004.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	247	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add a definition for point source discharge as follows:</b> <i>A discharge that runs off land or structures and is collected or diverted through specifically constructed drainage channels or pipes.</i> <b>Add a definition for non-point source discharge as follows:</b> <i>A discharge that runs off land or structures in a diffuse manner for which no specific drainage channels or pipes have been constructed.</i>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	248	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for reasonable mixing.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	249	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for regionally significant infrastructure as follows:</b>  <u>Existing or proposed infrastructure, or a component of infrastructure, which contributes to the social, economic, environmental, health and safety wellbeing of the Marlborough District, and includes:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></li> <li>• <u>Reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></li> <li>• <u>Reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></li> <li>• <u>Regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></li> <li>• <u>National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></li> <li>• <u>Local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></li> <li>• <u>Facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></li> <li>• <u>Strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2 (1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</u></li> <li>• <u>Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></li> <li>• <u>Main trunk railway line;</u></li> <li>• <u>Road network;</u></li> <li>• <u>Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></li> <li>• <u>Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></li> <li>• <u>RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></li> <li>• <u>Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></li> </ul>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	250	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for reverse sensitivity as follows:</b>  <u>Reverse sensitivity is the vulnerability of an established land use. In practice such complaints can compromise the established land use.</u></p>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	251	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition for river as follows:</b>  <u>River has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act. For the avoidance of doubt, river includes continuously, intermittently, and ephemerally flowing watercourses, but does not include artificial watercourses</u></p> <p><b>Amend the definition for intermittently flowing as follows:</b>  <u>Intermittently flowing means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that exists or flows for weeks, or months each year, and excludes ephemeral waterbodies.</u></p> <p><b>Amend the definition for ephemeral as follows:</b>  <u>Ephemerally flowing means a wetland, lake, river, or reach of river that only exists or flows for a short period following heavy or persistent precipitation or snowmelt, and excludes intermittent waterbodies.</u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	252	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition for road as follows:</b>  <i>Has the same meaning as in <del>Section 2 of the Act</del> Section 315 of the Local Government Act 1974.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	253	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for road network, as follows:</b>  <i>means all local roads and State Highways</i>            Ensure the term "road network" is used consistently throughout the MEP.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	254	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain the definition for road controlling authority.</b>  <b>Change all uses of</b> <i>roading authority</i> <b>to</b> <i>road controlling authority</i>.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	255	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Amend the definition for rock rip-rap</b> to either specify that the definition relates to its use in rivers only, or expand the definition to relate to its use in coastal protection.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	256	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain definition for run-off</b> but update all occurrences of 'runoff' and 'run off' to read as 'run-off' for consistency.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	257	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for safety and hazard sign.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	258	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for sign as follows:</b>  <i>Sign means any name, figure, character, outline, display, notice, placard, poster, banner of any kind, advertising device or appliance, or any other thing of a similar nature intended to attract attention; and</i>  <i>(a) includes all materials composing the sign, together with the frame, background, structure and support or anchorage of the sign;</i>  <i>(b) includes any of the above listed things when fixed or mounted on any vehicle that is parked on a State Highway for the purpose of displaying that sign;</i>  <i>(c) includes road safety billboards, sandwich boards and temporary local banners; but</i>  <i>(d) does not include any official road sign or traffic sign.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	259	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for State Highway buffer area as follows:</b>  <i>The area on overlay maps titled "State Highway buffer area", and used to promote separation between noise sensitive activities and the State Highway. The buffer extends up to 40 m from the edge of the traffic lane and typically incorporates shoulder areas, stormwater drains, stormwater treatment, utility corridors, cycle and foot paths and other non-noise sensitive activities.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	260	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add a definition for State Highway effects area as follows:</b>  <i>The area on overlay maps titled "State Highway effects area", and used to implement design standards on new buildings within this area to achieve reasonable indoor acoustic amenity. The effects area extends up to 100 m from the edge of the traffic lane.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	261	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><b>Replace the definition for stormwater as follows:</b>  <i>Rainfall runoff from land, including constructed impervious areas such as roads, pavement, roofs and urban areas which may contain dissolved or entrained contaminants, and which is diverted and discharged to land and water.</i>  <i>means rainfall that runs off land and for which specific drainage channels or pipes have been constructed.</i></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	262	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p><b>Retain the definition for temporary sign.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	263	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Retain definition for Tree Protection Zone.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	264	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend or replace the definition for vegetation.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	265	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Amend or replace the definition for vegetation clearance</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	266	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Appendix 2.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	267	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Appendix 4.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	268	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Retain Appendix 14</b> in respect of the New Zealand Transport Agency designations and description of 'State Highway purposes'. <b>Add a table of contents</b> at the beginning of the Appendix, ordering and numbering the designations by requiring authority <b>Amend requiring authorities</b> for P7, P8, P12 and P14 to New Zealand Transport Agency only.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	269	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roding Hierarchy		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Replace Appendix 17 Roding Hierarchy</b> with the hierarchy included as <b>Annexure 2</b> to this submission.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	270	All	All		Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Replace all roading hierarchy terms used throughout the MEP</b> with the ONRC roading hierarchy terms.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	271	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add new matters that must be addressed in any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan:</b> <u>10. The route(s) that forestry trucks will travel on the public road network.</u> <u>11. The expected number of daily truck movements to and from the forestry site over the duration of the harvesting operation.</u> <u>12. A road surface monitoring and reinstatement plan that demonstrates how any damage to the surface of the road carriageway resulting from the harvesting operation will be identified and remediated to the satisfaction of the road controlling authority.</u> <u>13. Evidence that the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan has been developed in consultation with the New Zealand Transport Agency and the Council.</u>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	272	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add overlays to the planning maps</b> for a "State Highway buffer area" and "State Highway effects area"					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	273	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add overlays to the planning maps</b> identifying all existing Limited Access Roads in the District.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	274	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Add overlays to the planning maps</b> identifying Transport Cumulative Effects Areas.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	275	Volume 4	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the planning maps</b> to correct the Transport Agency's designations. <b>Differentiate designations which abut or intersect each other</b> (e.g. by different colours or shading).					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	276	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	National Transportation Route	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Rename to National Transportation Route to National Marine Transportation Route</b> or similar, to clarify it relates to marine transport only. Apply change to the map, definition, and all appearances in the MEP.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	277	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Rename to National Transportation Route to National Marine Transportation Route</b> or similar, to clarify it relates to marine transport only. Apply change to the map, definition, and all appearances in the MEP.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	278	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clearly link the numbering of the overlay maps to the table of contents. Consider page numbers or sequential numbering of overlay maps.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	279	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Reassess and clarify the mapped extent of Significant Wetlands.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	280	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 1	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	281	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 2	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	282	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 3	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	283	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 4	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	284	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 5	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	285	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 6	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	286	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 7	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	287	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 8	Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain mapped extent of Groundwater Protection Areas.</b>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	288	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Possible solutions include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Expand the General Rules chapter</b> to ensure all relevant activities within unzoned land (including the road network) have specific rules, particularly regional rules; or</li> <li>• <b>Add a new chapter</b> containing rules for unzoned land/ roads; or</li> <li>• <b>Provide for the road</b> to adopt the zoning of adjacent land.</li> <li>• <b>Ensure all activities restricted by Part 3 of the RMA</b> or that are commonly undertaken on the legal road are specifically provided for by clearly applicable and appropriate rules.</li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	289	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Bundle associated regional rules together.  For example, Rule 2.7.7 culvert installation in, on, under, or over the bed of a river, including associated damming and diversion of water and associated discharge of sediment.  Reference the relevant RMA Section of Part 3 that regional rules address, as applicable.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	290	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Add additional contents pages to the hard copy and PDF versions of the MEP.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	291	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Extend numbering system to sub-section headings within each chapter.</b> E.g. 2. General Rules -&gt; <u>2.4</u> Discharge to Water -&gt; <del>2.16</del><u>2.4.1</u> Permitted Activities -&gt; <del>2.16.1</del><u>2.4.1.1</u> [R] Discharge of water to surface water</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	292	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert frequent cross-references.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	293	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><b>Support the use of labels</b> to differentiate between district, regional, coastal activities, and the Regional Policy Statement.  <b>Carry labelling through to all permitted activity standards of the MEP.</b></p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	294	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Utilise better grouping and identification for methods.  Or  Utilise numbering for sub-sections within chapter. Suggest methods grouped for each sub section clearly.</p>					
1002	New Zealand Transport Agency	295	Volume 2	All		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Amend all appearances of the error as follows:</b> <i>Unless expressly limited elsewhere by a rule in the Marlborough Environment Plan...</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	296	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>List the activity-specific standards</b> under the rule that it relates to; or</li> <li><b>Use cross-referencing</b> between the rules and applicable standards.</li> </ul>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	297	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert rule headings, as well as section headings and rule numbers; Or Delete the summary table and instead identify provisions with immediate legal effect by another means, such as grey highlight.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	298	Volume 1	All		Support
Decision Requested	<b>Retain references to NZS 6803:1999</b> <b>Add a new method</b> , such as: <i>Noise from road construction and maintenance is to comply with NZS 6803:1999 Acoustics – Construction Noise</i>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	299	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the explanation of the term 'avoid'</b> to be consistent with its ordinary meaning and that established by case law. <b>Ensure</b> each of the RMA terms explained in this section adequately relate to all instances of use in the MEP.					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	300	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<b>Amend the explanation of the term 'avoid'</b> to be consistent with its ordinary meaning and that established by case law. <b>Ensure</b> each of the RMA terms explained in this section adequately relate to all instances of use in the MEP. <b>Insert a definition for these terms in Chapter 25.</b>					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	301	All	All		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<b>Replace references to the Act</b> with <u>RMA</u> .					
<b>1002</b>	New Zealand Transport Agency	302	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<b>Replace references to road reserve</b> with <u>legal road</u> .					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Issue 9A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 9A					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 9.1					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments to Policy 9.1.1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ensure the public access policy and clauses are included within the River Management Section of the document to provide consistency.</li> <li>Include the Opawa River Stop bank network also between Blenheim and Renwick as part of the overall cycle network.</li> </ul>					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	4	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.2					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	5	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.3					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	6	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	7	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.6					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	8	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.9					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	9	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.10					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	10	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold and strike-through) are made to Policy 9.1.11</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.11 – An esplanade reserve to be taken for public access purposes will be preferred to an esplanade strip or access strip in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) for those sites that adjoin existing esplanade reserves or other reserves vested in either the Marlborough District Council or Crown;</i></p> <p><i>(b) where the site adjoins the coastal marine area; or</i></p> <p><b>(c) where the site adjoins a river; or</b></p> <p><del>(e)</del> <b>(d) where the site is or is likely to be a high use area.</b></p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	11	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through and bold) is made to Policy 9.1.14.</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.14 - Where existing public access to or along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers is to be lost through a proposed use, development or structure, alternative access <del>may</del> <b>must</b> be considered <b>provided</b> as a means to mitigate that loss.</i></p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	12	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.15:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.15 - Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road as a means to enhance <b>public</b> access <del>to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land.</del></i></p>					
481	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	13	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.16:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.16 - In considering an application to stop any unformed legal road, the Marlborough District Council shall <del>consider the following:</del> <b>publish two public notices a week apart and consult with interested parties including the NZWAC, and not close any legal road where there is present or future public access interest.</b></i></p> <p><i>(a) <del>current level of use, including whether the unformed legal road is:</del></i>  <del>- the sole or most convenient means of access to any existing lot(s) that is public land or feature (for example, a river or the coast); or</del>  <del>- used as a walkway or to access conservation land;</del></p> <p><i>(b) <del>opportunities for future use, including whether the unformed legal road will be needed:</del></i>  <del>- to service future residential, commercial, industrial or primary production developments; or</del>  <del>- in the future, to connect existing roads;</del></p> <p><i>(c) <del>alternative uses of the land, including its current or potential value for amenity or conservation functions, e.g. walkway, utilities corridor, esplanade strip or</del> <del>access way to features such as a river or the coast;</del></i></p> <p><i>(d) <del>whether there is alternative and practical existing public access to the same end point of the unformed legal road; and</del></i></p> <p><i>(e) <del>whether acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the unformed legal road.</del></i></p> <p>This is unless acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the legal road.</p>					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	14	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.3.6					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	18	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.3.7					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	19	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.1					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	20	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.5					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	21	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.8	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as proposed.					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	22	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	23	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amendments are requested that when rail corridors are not available and developed for the use of cycling or walking facilities along State Highway 1 that appropriate alternative cycling and walking infrastructure is developed to ensure both walkers and cyclists are provided well defined and safe transportation routes along State Highway 1.					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	24	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amendments are requested to include this policy or create additional policy that ensures that any development or subdivision includes provision for pedestrian and cyclist access. The MDC 'Subdivision Code of Practice' should be updated to include cycling and pedestrian facilities.					
<b>481</b>	New Zealand Walking Access Commission	25	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.4					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the burning of coal is included in the prohibited activity.					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Rule 5.3.19.1: <i>Rule 5.3.19.1 The continued use of the specified appliance is only permitted until 9 June <del>2017</del><b>2022</b>.</i>					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Rule 5.5.5: <i>Rule 5.5.5 From 9 June <del>2017</del><b>2022</b> the discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from the burning of solid fuel in a small scale solid fuel burning appliance (except a pellet burner) that has been installed for more than 15 years.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 5.5.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <i>Rule 5.5.4 From 9 June 2022 the d</i> Discharge of contaminants to air within the Blenheim Airshed from an indoor open fire, unless the fire is used exclusively for the cooking or smoking of food for wholesale or retail sale <i>or for ambiance in commercial places.</i>					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The changes I SEEK to this section of the proposed MEP: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rules which reflect scientific bases toward dealing with the health aspect of air quality controls.</li> <li>Regional responsibility for creating rules which reflect our quality of life expectations rather than trying to adhere to national standards that may be inappropriate to our local circumstances.</li> </ul>					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	6	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the MEP that states the need to reduce the threats of climate change and the use of more renewable and sustainable resources.					
<b>1173</b>	Tim Newsham	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.34	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A greater role by local authorities in both regulating and educating the proper use of wood to achieve efficient combustion and heat generation.					
<b>341</b>	Neylon Vineyards	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reject the proposal in its entirety. Until MDC has produced scientific evidence to support their proposal and demonstrate a fair policy on restrictions.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>760</b>	Hui Ting Ng	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1127</b>	Soon Ng	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>969</b>	Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>[Inferred]</i> Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>969</b>	Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	[ <i>Inferred</i> ] Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
<b>1165</b>	Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
<b>1165</b>	Ngai Tahu Seafood Resources Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plans to recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
<b>1317</b>	Dee Ngakuru	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 7.1.2.5 is deleted ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1174</b>	Trang Ngo	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>754</b>	Hung Nguyen	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>1180</b>	Trung Nguyen	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1212</b>	Van Nguyen	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.					
<b>252</b>	Kim Nicholls	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I believe the council should agree to the fact that the Omaka Valley has special characteristics that make it appropriate to apply a management framework, that is specific to the Valley					
<b>252</b>	Kim Nicholls	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I believe the council should support this part of the policy and make the Omaka Valley a special zone					
<b>309</b>	Pamela Nicholls	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make climate change a much higher priority throughout the MEP as detailed in the submission of the Climate Karanga Marlborough group.					
<b>236</b>	NIWA Nelson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from the Council is <a href="#">to clarify whether relaying empty mussel shell will require consent</a>.</p> <p>We also request that Council provide the relief of making it Permitted Activity subject to Standards (such as in designated appropriate areas, not discharging over known ecologically significant marine sites; notifying the harbour master such that any issues to do with safety of navigation can be avoided; and subject to biosecurity approval).</p>					
<b>821</b>	Jo Noonan	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.3.11.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.14.5.					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.17.1(f).					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.30.6.					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.3.					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Groundwater Protection Areas 8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the area of the Rarangi Shallow Aquifer including the area of the Pukaka Hills northwards be classified as a Groundwater Protection Zone. As shown on the attached map in the submission. Volume 4 Map 79 is relevant to this submission point.					
<b>1000</b>	North Rarangi Water Supply Incorporated	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Heading 3.3.31 is amended to include vineyard waste (marc) stored on Groundwater Protection Area.					
<b>597</b>	Colin Ronald and Tom Ronald Norton	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>605</b>	Colin Ronald Norton	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>605</b>	Colin Ronald Norton	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>605</b>	Colin Ronald Norton	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>605</b>	Colin Ronald Norton	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8400; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>666</b>	Dave Norton	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>945</b>	Mick Norton	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>142</b>	Wayne Norwood	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to the discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of a marine farm.					
<b>142</b>	Wayne Norwood	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to the discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres of MHWS.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>628</b>	Clinton Nott	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>198</b>	NS Clifford	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.					
<b>198</b>	NS Clifford	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.					
<b>198</b>	NS Clifford	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.					
<b>198</b>	NS Clifford	4	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone the land adjoining the Flight Timber Sawmill Industrial 1 instead of Urban Residential 3.					
<b>1001</b>	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include such provision as suggested in the attached submission.</p> <p>That <i>Amateur Radio Configurations</i> are provided as a permitted activity in all zones with the following standards (<b><i>inferred</i></b>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Antennas attached to buildings must not exceed the point of attachment height by more than 7 metres.</li> <li>• The maximum number of antennas is 12.</li> <li>• Masts and attached antennas identified as permitted activities have a maximum height of 20 metres.</li> <li>• Allow “height in relation to boundary” to be the maximum height allowed for masts without reference to the distance from the boundary, except that no aerial or antennas mounted on the mast should overhang any boundary.</li> <li>• Require compliance with Streetscape Requirements.</li> <li>• Allow dish antennas close to the ground with a maximum diameter of 5 metres as a permitted activity for Licensed Amateur Radio Operators.</li> </ul> <p>Any <i>Amateur Radio Configurations</i> activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards is a Restricted Discretionary Activity.</p> <p>That the assessment criteria is clarified for Amateur Radio Configurations, especially when there is criteria for existing or similar structures, particularly relevant should an amateur wish to exceed a permitted limit.</p> <p>That existing non-complying Amateur Radio Configurations are deemed to be complying if they have not been subject to complaint or compliance or enforcement action for a period of two years or more.</p> <p>The submission includes the following attachments to provide guidance for:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Development control standards for Amateur Radio Configurations as a permitted activity- <i>Attachment 2A: A Rule based on the Environment Court Decisions for the Tauranga District Plan</i> (pages 19 to 21 of the submission).</li> <li>2. Restricted Discretionary Activity assessment criteria for radio communication activities - <i>Schedule 1 Agreed amendments to the Rangitikei District Plan</i> (pages 14 and 15 of the submission).</li> </ol> <p>Additional documents are included to support the submission under <i>PART B: Justification and Technical Supporting Documents</i>.</p>					
1001	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a definition of 'Masts' that includes support structures, because these are frequently a form of configuration used by Amateurs. The submission does not include further details for the decision requested for this submission point.					
<b>1001</b>	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	3	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following definition of <b><i>Amateur Radio Configurations</i></b> is included in the MEP  <b><i>Amateur Radio Configurations (ARC) means aerials, antennas and associated support structures which are owned and operated by licensed amateur radio operators.</i></b>					
<b>1001</b>	NZART Incorporated and Marlborough Amateur Radio Club (Branch 22)	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the same provisions for Amateur Radio Configurations in the network Utilities provisions for height, and specify acceptable configurations are included within residential and other zones.					
<b>822</b>	Johanna O'Connell	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>387</b>	O'Dwyers Creek Vineyard Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>151</b>	Trevor Offen	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That any flood hazard on the Totaranui Valley floor in Clova Bay be contained to the area that is currently shown in the current MSRMP.  That if it should be determined that a 1 in 50 year flood hazard does exist for the Totaranui Valley Floor, the overlay should be no higher than a Level 1 flood hazard.					
<b>151</b>	Trevor Offen	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That it be made clearer that 4.2.1.5 does not apply to properly authorised privately owned domestic wastewater treatment systems located on privately owned land.					
<b>151</b>	Trevor Offen	3	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy 11.1.8 should be reworded to read "Unless provided for by Policy 11.1.10(a) or (b)..."					
<b>151</b>	Trevor Offen	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 4.2.1.13 or any similar or substitute standard should not apply to any lots of land created out of Lot 1 DP 5648 under resource consent U060765.					
<b>151</b>	Trevor Offen	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 4.2.1.13 or any similar or substitute standard should not apply to any lots of land created out of Lot 1 DP 5648 under resource consent U060765.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1175</b>	Tracy O'Grady	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>458</b>	Okiwi Bay Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 111	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Council rezone additional Coastal Living areas within Okiwi Bay, being those areas not within either the Okiwi Bay Coastal Natural Character Area or Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape #8 - Whangerae Inlet and Okiwi Bay as being Coastal Living, and that Planning Map 111 be amended accordingly. Map attached to submission.					
458	Okiwi Bay Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Maps and in particular (Map) 3 be amended to exclude Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation from Coastal Living Zones (including the areas identified in Submission 1 of this submission), and that the maps amended accordingly. Map attached to submission.					
458	Okiwi Bay Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.3.1.2					
458	Okiwi Bay Limited	4	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 24.5.2. Seek that a new Discretionary Rule, Rule 24.5.4, be imposed to 'Protect Large Lots' as per Rule 27.3.3.2 of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan.					
458	Okiwi Bay Limited	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Seek that Standard 4.3.10.2(e) be amended as follows (strikethrough and bold): <i>4.3.10.2. The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i> <i>(e) where the clearance is on a <del>Threatened Environments Indigenous Vegetation Site</del> and the clearance is within the curtilage <b>and access way</b> of an <b>existing or consented</b> dwelling.</i>					
458	Okiwi Bay Limited	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.3.10.4(e) and include the following new standard to Heading 4.3.10.6: <b>Standard 4.3.10.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:(x) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</b>					
458	Okiwi Bay Limited	7	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.4.	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 7.3.7.4(e) and include the following new standard to Heading 7.3.7.6(x): <b><i>Standard 7.3.7.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed:(x) 0.2 hectares in any 1 year period of coastal broadleaf scrub and shrub/and is cleared.</i></b>					
<b>269</b>	Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Assn Inc	1	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	The relief we seek is that the MEP provides for existing properties which cannot meet the requirements, without requiring further upgrade, provided the systems are working within their initial design capabilities and no further load is added to the system, i.e. addition bedrooms.					
<b>269</b>	Okiwi Bay Ratepayers Assn Inc	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy be amended to incorporate European values along with the spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the MEP is put on hold until the aquaculture section is published and brought onto the same timetable as the remainder of the MEP.					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove from MEP Volume 4 the proposed <i>high</i> natural value classification assigned to the following areas: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>all land facing into Forsyth Bay where the land lies both south and west of Wynens Rock and</li> <li>all waters of Forsyth Bay located both south and west of Wynens Rock,</li> <li>where the rock known locally as Wynens Rock is shown as an un-named mark on nautical chart NZ6152, located at or near 40°58.55' S, 174°1.03' E. (The location where the existing MSRMP CMZ1/CMZ2 boundary line intersects the shore of Forsyth Bay to the south of Kaitira could be used as a proxy).</li> </ul>					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove inner East Bay from the area associated with Ecologically Significant Marine Site 7.15 - where inner East Bay is defined as waters east of Matiere Point.					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Rename layer as <i>Hectors Dolphin Area (QCS)</i> in MEP Volume 4, and remove inner East Bay from the area associated with Site 4.17 in MEP Volume 4 - where inner East Bay is defined as waters east of Matiere Point.					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>remove proposed very high natural values classification in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the watersedge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>expand the zone of no ONFL classification of the southern waters of East Bay so as to be at least 500m from the outer edge of any existing marine farm</li> <li>amend Appendix 2, Section 32 Report 6 and supporting documents accordingly.</li> </ol>					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>remove proposed very high natural values classification in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the waters edge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>expand the zone of no ONFL classification of the southern waters of East Bay so as to be at least 500m from the outer edge of any existing marine farm</li> <li>amend Appendix 2, Section 32 Report 6 and supporting documents accordingly.</li> </ol>					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	7	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Move the seaward facing slopes of Arapawa Island into the Exposed Eastern Coastline assessment unit and re-asses Northern Arapawa landscape values</li> <li>Remove proposed ONFL classifications in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the waters edge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>Amend Section 32 Report 7 and supporting documents accordingly, and.</li> <li>Remove the comment "The waters around East Bay have nationally significant ecological values, particularly for Hector's dolphin." from the Boffa Miskell report <i>Marlborough Landscape Study 2015. Landscape Characterisation and Evaluation</i>.</li> <li>Retain the exclusion of the waters of East Bay from the ONFL classification</li> </ol>					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Move the seaward facing slopes of Arapawa Island into the Exposed Eastern Coastline assessment unit and re-asses Northern Arapawa landscape values</li> <li>2. Remove proposed ONFL classifications in MEP Volume 4 from the areas on the southern and eastern slopes of East Bay covering from the waters edge to the ridgeline and from Manawa Point through to Matiere Point</li> <li>3. Amend Section 32 Report 7 and supporting documents accordingly, and.</li> <li>4. Remove the comment "The waters around East Bay have nationally significant ecological values, particularly for Hector's dolphin." from the Boffa Miskell report <i>Marlborough Landscape Study 2015. Landscape Characterisation and Evaluation.</i></li> <li>5. Retain the exclusion of the waters of East Bay from the ONFL classification</li> </ol>					
<b>261</b>	Lynette and Kevin Oldham	9	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek the same decisions from Council as is sought for each matter raised in the above submissions.					
<b>108</b>	Ian Oliver	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the limits applying to discharge of sewage in the coastal marine zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
<b>921</b>	Matthew David Oliver	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - <i>" <b>Anchoring and</b> fishing activity that uses a technique that disturbs the seabed within any Ecologically Significant Marine Sites, except Croiselles Harbour Entrance – No. 1.2 and Tennyson Inlet – No. 3.9."</i>					
<b>921</b>	Matthew David Oliver	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>" Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, <b>including a Peripheral Management Area around each Ecologically Significant Marine Site.</b>"</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>922</b>	Matthew David Oliver	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a Standards for this Rule as follows - <b>"The discharge must not occur before a report has been supplied to the Council that characterises the soils of liquid waste disposal areas."</b> <b>"The volume of liquid waste discharged must not exceed _____ ("large" - a specific volume is not identified in the Submission)."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>922</b>	Matthew David Oliver	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is separated in to three separate rules as follows - <b>"Making compost in a pit or stack."</b> <b>"Making silage in a pit or stack."</b> <b>"Stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</b> And, the following additional Standards would apply to the Rule for " <i>Stockpiling agricultural solid waste</i> " - <b>"For grape marc, the stockpile must be located on a surface that collects leachate, and that any leachate collected is disposed of either by recirculating over the pile or by dilution and dispersal. This does not apply to stockpiles that do not exceed _____ cubic metres (e.g. equivalent to the capacity of a single truck)."</b> <b>"For grape marc, if the stockpile exceeds _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operations involving multiple truckloads) it must be on a permanent impervious surface."</b> <b>"For grape marc, if the stockpile is between _____ cubic metres (e.g. equivalent to the capacity of a single truck) and _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operations involving multiple truckloads) it must be on an impervious surface, temporary or permanent, and must be covered."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>922</b>	Matthew David Oliver	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. And, add a new standard to the Rule as follows - <b>"If the application to land is of grape marc, soil testing records are required to be kept to monitor soil potassium and acidity."</b> <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
922	Matthew David Oliver	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Rule is separated in to three separate rules as follows -</p> <p><b>"Making compost in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Making silage in a pit or stack."</b></p> <p><b>"Stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</b></p> <p>And, the following additional Standards would apply to the Rule for "Making compost in a pit or stack" -</p> <p><b>"Compost must be located on a surface that collects leachate, and that any leachate collected is disposed of either by recirculating over the pile or by dilution and dispersal. This does not apply to domestic composting, or a compost pit or stack is less than _____ cubic metres (e.g. a given volume determined to have minimal environmental effect) that is covered."</b></p> <p><b>"A compost pit or stack that exceeds _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operation) must be on a permanent impervious surface."</b></p> <p><b>"If a compost pit or stack is less than _____ cubic metres (e.g. a given volume determined to have minimal environmental effect) and _____ cubic metres (e.g. large-scale operation) it must be on an impervious surface, temporary or permanent, and must be covered."</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
922	Matthew David Oliver	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.25.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
946	Matthew David Oliver	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Replanting must not be in, or within:</p> <p>(a) 8 <b>5</b> metres of a <b>permanently flowing</b> river <del>(except an ephemeral river)</del> <b>directly coupled to the sea if the river is less than 3m in width, 10 metres of a permanently flowing river directly coupled to the sea if the river is equal to, or greater 3m in width</b> or 8 metres of a lake;</p> <p>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</p> <p>(c) <del>30</del> <b>200</b> metres of the coastal marine area."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
946	Matthew David Oliver	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following new standards to the Rule as follows -					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b><i>A Replanting Management Plan must be lodged with the Council for all sites where erosion risk is high. This should include inland forestry sites where erosion poses a risk of sediment discharge into rivers.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>Where possible, roads be placed across slope, parallel to contour. Roadside drains should be vegetated at all times and have culverts placed appropriately to prevent water accumulating too rapidly in heavy rain events.</i></b></li> <li>• <b><i>All earthworks must meet the following requirements:</i></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◦ <b><i>All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i></b></li> <li>◦ <b><i>All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</i></b></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <b><i>Where possible, slash piles be positioned across slope parallel to contour.</i></b></li> </ul>					
	<i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1128</b>	Sam Oliver	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>1228</b>	Winston Robert Oliver	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>94</b>	Omaka Classic Cars	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone Lot 1 DP 439006 and adjacent land as shown on the plan attached Airport Zone  Add Museums to the list of Permitted Activities in the Airport Zone					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.13 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.2.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.6.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.2.2 page 7-6 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.2.7 page 7-8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.2.1.14 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. That standard 3.2.1.14, clause (b) be amended with wording to remove any potential anomaly as to intent and then be incorporate into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Standard 8.2.1.8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan. That standard 8.2.1.8, clause (b) be amended with wording to remove any potential anomaly as to intent and then be incorporate into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 2.39.1.6 [ <i>inferred</i> ] be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	12	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
1005	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	13	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.3 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	14	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.4 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	15	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.5 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	16	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.6 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	17	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.7 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	18	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.8 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	19	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.9 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	20	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.10 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	21	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.11 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	22	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.12 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	23	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.13 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	24	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.14 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	25	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.15 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	26	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.16 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	27	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.17 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	28	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.18 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	29	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.19 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	30	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.20 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	31	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.21 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	32	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.22 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	33	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.23 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	34	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.24.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.24 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	35	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.25 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	36	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.26 is incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1005</b>	Omaka Valley Group Incorporated	37	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.2.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
<b>1129</b>	Steve O'Neill	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Permitted Activity status for the discharge of FDE into or onto land subject to amendments to specific Permitted standards detailed in our submission.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a new Rule "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage". Move Permitted Activity location standards 3.3.28.10 to the new Rule along with any other rules that relate to effluent storage.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the intent of the standards to improve the standard of FDE storage capacity and facilities subject to amendments to specific Permitted standards detailed in our submission.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Permitted Activity standard 3.3.28.8. Insert a new Permitted Activity standard under a new rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage" to read: All existing, Farm Dairy Effluent storage and containment structures of greater than 35 cubic metre working capacity be certified by a Recognised Professional with a report to Council by 9 June 2019 confirming that: a) siting is in a permitted location (refer Rule x.x.xx.xx) b) using Massey University's Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (DESC) there is sufficient storage capacity available for the whole farm operation c) when tested in accordance with the methodology in Appendix 'X' the maximum allowable pond level drop is not exceeded.					
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type												
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Permitted Activity standard 3.3.28.9. Insert a new Permitted Activity standard under a new rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage" to read:</p> <p>The design and construction of all new, or modifications to existing, Farm Dairy Effluent storage and containment structures of greater than 35 cubic metre working capacity be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer from 6 June 2016 that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>siting is in a permitted location (refer Rule x.x.xx.xx)</li> <li>the design and construction is in accordance with IPENZ Practice Note 21: Farm Dairy Effluent Pond Design and Construction (latest version)</li> <li>using Massey University's Dairy Effluent Storage Calculator (DESC) there is sufficient storage capacity available for the whole farm operation</li> <li>structures are sound</li> <li>when tested in accordance with the methodology in Appendix 'X' the maximum allowable pond level drop is not exceeded</li> </ol> <p>Provisional certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system. A complying Pond Drop Test result provided by the certifier may be delayed for up to 12 months to allow sufficient filling time for structures prior to testing.</p> <p>Insert a new Appendix 'X' detailing the methodology of the Pond Drop Test, and the maximum allowable pond level drop permitted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Testing is undertaken over a minimum period of 48 hours.</li> <li>Testing recording equipment is to be accurate to not more than 0.8 mm.</li> <li>Continuous readings are to be taken over the entire test period at not more than 10 second intervals.</li> <li>Data analysis is undertaken by a party independent of equipment installer.</li> <li>Any change in pond fluid level over the test period needs to be accounted for.</li> <li>Ponds must be at or over 75% design depth before a test can be undertaken.</li> <li>The pond has been de-sludged in the 12 months prior to the test being undertaken and there shall be no sludge or crust on the pond surface during the test.</li> <li>The pond surface is not frozen during any part of the testing.</li> <li>An anemometer shall be installed for the duration of the test and at no time shall the wind speed exceed 10 metres per second during the test.</li> </ul> <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Maximum Depth of Pond (m) (excluding freeboard)</td> <td>Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop (mm per 24 hours)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&lt;0.5</td> <td>1.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0.5 to 1.0</td> <td>1.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.0 to 1.5</td> <td>1.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.5 to 2.0</td> <td>1.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>&gt;2.0</td> <td>2.0</td> </tr> </table> <p>Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop</p>						Maximum Depth of Pond (m) (excluding freeboard)	Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop (mm per 24 hours)	<0.5	1.2	0.5 to 1.0	1.4	1.0 to 1.5	1.6	1.5 to 2.0	1.8	>2.0	2.0
Maximum Depth of Pond (m) (excluding freeboard)	Maximum Allowable Pond Level Drop (mm per 24 hours)																	
<0.5	1.2																	
0.5 to 1.0	1.4																	
1.0 to 1.5	1.6																	
1.5 to 2.0	1.8																	
>2.0	2.0																	



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1006</b>	Opus International Consultants Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 3.3.28.10 to read "By 9 June 2019 all farm dairy effluent storage systems must not be located within:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</li> <li>ii. 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership</li> <li>iii. A Flood Hazard Area</li> </ul> <p>Insert this amended rule under the new Rule titled "Farm Dairy Effluent Storage".</p>					
<b>719</b>	Gary and Ellen Orchard	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>719</b>	Gary and Ellen Orchard	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>719</b>	Gary and Ellen Orchard	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>719</b>	Gary and Ellen Orchard	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>719</b>	Gary and Ellen Orchard	5	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8543 in Grants Bay, Pelorus Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1256</b>	Gary and Ellen Orchard	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>463</b>	Valerie Bridget Orman	1	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
Decision Requested	The river bed should not be controlled with works, but a decision made that the banks and land adjacent be sympathetically adjusted (stop banks/groins) to prevent high flows negatively affecting nearby property.					
<b>145</b>	Ormond Nurseries Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Abolish proposed changes in the MEP which propose to restrict the water users in the "springs" area water levels in nearby wells while leaving users outside the 'springs' area 100% unrestricted.					
<b>243</b>	Marguerete Osborne	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- That there is scientific evidence to back up many of the claims made, beyond the opinion of staff and Councilors.</li> <li>- Further action for agrichemicals.</li> <li>- That terms be further defined.</li> </ul>					
<b>243</b>	Marguerete Osborne	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That terms be further defined.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>243</b>	Marguerete Osborne	3	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That there is scientific evidence to back up many of the claims made, beyond the opinion of staff and Councilors.					
<b>243</b>	Marguerete Osborne	4	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Further action for agrichemicals.					
<b>1074</b>	Rick Osborne	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
<b>1074</b>	Rick Osborne	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 5.10.4 ( <i>inferred</i> ):  <del>Policy 5.10.4 – Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area.</del>					
<b>1074</b>	Rick Osborne	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.2.1.11(b):  <i>Standard 4.2.1.11(b) On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape:</i>  <i>(a) a building or structure must not exceed 10m2;</i>  <del><i>(b) any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.</i></del>					
<b>1074</b>	Rick Osborne	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 4.2.1.12:  <del><i>Standard 4.2.1.12 On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.</i></del>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>835</b>	Osgro Seed Service	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Schedule as proposed.					
<b>835</b>	Osgro Seed Service	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Schedule as proposed.					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.6.1					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.8.1					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.10.1					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.2					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.9.1					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.3					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	8	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 7.5.3					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.7.1					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.9.1					
<b>439</b>	John Walter Oswald	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.5.3					
<b>1270</b>	John Walter Oswald	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to reject proposed Rule 7.2.1.5.					
<b>1285</b>	Oswald Family Sounds Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rescind Rule 7.2.1.5					
<b>1007</b>	Outer Limits Limited	1	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the Permitted Activities under this heading.					
<b>1007</b>	Outer Limits Limited	2	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Permitted Activities under this heading.					
<b>1007</b>	Outer Limits Limited	3	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -  <i>"Large Format Retail tenancy areas must have a gross floor area greater than 1000m<sup>2</sup>, <b>except in the Westwood Business Park where two tenancy areas are permitted to have a gross floor area each of between 500m<sup>2</sup> and 1000m<sup>2</sup>.</b>"</i>					
<b>1007</b>	Outer Limits Limited	4	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Business 3 Zone as mapped.					
<b>1007</b>	Outer Limits Limited	5	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Business 2 Zone as mapped.					
<b>1018</b>	P H Redwood and Company Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Overlay as notified as it relates to areas of water.  Remove the Overlay over the land adjacent to sites 8135, 8136 and 8130.  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1018</b>	P H Redwood and Company Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over the land adjacent to sites 8135, 8136 and 8130 and the coastal water in the vicinity of sites 8135, 8136 and 8130.  <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1166</b>	P H Redwood and Company Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the Overlay as notified as it relates to areas of water. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1166</b>	P H Redwood and Company Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over the land adjacent to sites 8164 and the coastal water in the vicinity of site 8164. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>1033</b>	Pacific Eagle Charters Limited	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of MHWS."					
<b>1033</b>	Pacific Eagle Charters Limited	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) -  " <del>From 9 June 2022, the</del> <b>The</b> discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within <del>1000m</del> <b>500m</b> of a marine farm."					
<b>1037</b>	PADD Investments Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schnapper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1037</b>	PADD Investments Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schnapper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1037</b>	PADD Investments Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schanpper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1037</b>	PADD Investments Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8473 in Schanpper Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1037</b>	PADD Investments Limited	5	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	No changes to existing rights and regulations.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>667</b>	Daniel Paget	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Tory Channel; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	11	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay, Kenepuru Sound, East Bay in Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel and Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Kenepuru Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Tory Channel; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1060</b>	Richard F Paine	20	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of Beatrix Bay, Kenepuru Sound, East Bay in Queen Charlotte Sound, Tory Channel and Waitata Reach; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
174	Palmer Vineyards Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the limits for the Northern, Central and Urban Springs from Appendix 6 (inferred).					
324	Rodney Parkes	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submitter has not specified decision sought. Submission states values for Rural Business and Agriculture are a higher priority than other values. <b><i>It is inferred that this should be included or acknowledged in the MEP.</i></b>					
324	Rodney Parkes	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 24	Oppose
Decision Requested	I am zoned to be in a Flood zone and would like this to be reviewed.					
324	Rodney Parkes	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 149	Oppose
Decision Requested	I want this reviewed so that my land is removed from this area.					
339	Sharon Parkes	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the standard to enable covering of a pit to be with dirt. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
339	Sharon Parkes	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
339	Sharon Parkes	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard to allow for a carcass of pig or possum to be sourced from a pig hunter. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
339	Sharon Parkes	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend standard as follows (strike through) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the <b>areal</b> extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs.)"					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard as follows (strike through) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the <b>areal</b> extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs.)"					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the meaning/correctness of impermeable surface in this standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the necessity of this standard in the Plan. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the necessity of this standard in the Plan. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 4.3.20.2(a) - Delete. Standard 4.3.20.2(b) - Support. Standard 4.3.20.2(c) - Delete.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the provisions relating to stock exclusion from riverbeds relative to information from the Land and Water Forum and the Accord. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	20	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the provisions relating to stock exclusion from riverbeds relative to information from the Land and Water Forum and the Accord. <i>It is inferred from the content of the submission that Policy 15.1.23 may be the appropriate provision to relate the submission to. By implication this would also connect the submission to rules that give effect to Policy 15.1.23. The decision requested is also inferred.</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the definition of a Pit as it relates to the following words in the definition "No excavation of the land is to be undertaken." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	22	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Munsell scale from the MEP. <i>(The submission related to all standards of a similar nature without identifying a specific standard, the submission has been related to a specific relevant provision only for the purposes of providing context.)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	23	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Where are values for Primary Production, food for animal and human use, and commercial development. Please include these Values as well in the New Plan.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Combine the Permitted Activity rules for domestic, stock and dairy wash water into a single Permitted Activity rule. Increase the volume in Permitted Activity rule for dairy wash water to more than 15 cubic metres per day. <i>(Decision requested is inferred.)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Oppose



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete provision.					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	26	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a to include Food production for human and animal use and commercial development. <i>(Specific provision relevant to submission is inferred.)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	27	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Use an alternative method to the Canadian Water Quality Index to measure water quality. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	28	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the Flood Hazard Area Overlay be reviewed as to the true significance on my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> .					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	29	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the Threatened Environments: Indigenous Vegetation Sites Overlay be deleted from my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> .					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	30	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the Coastal Natural Character Overlay be reviewed as to the true significance on my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> .					
<b>339</b>	Sharon Parkes	31	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request that the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape Overlay be reviewed as to the true significance on my properties <i>(850, 888 and 1263 Queen Charlotte Drive, Linkwater)</i> .					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>47</b>	Sue Parkinson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	THE LIMITS APPLYING TO DISCHARGE OF SEWAGE IN THE COASTAL MAINE ZONE REMAIN AT 500 METRES TO SEAWARD OF MHWS AND 500 METRES OF A MARINE FARM.  NO PUMP OUT FACILITIES BE ENFORCED					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
<b>529</b>	Alison Jane Parr	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>150</b>	Will and Rose Parsons	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(1.a) The public should be informed about where storm run-off is discharged to. (This could easily be done on the Councils regular advert in the Marlborough Express and their website). In short it needs to be more transparent.</p> <p>The Council should support in every way possible the businesses and organizations which are finding sustainable solutions to managing these issues, perhaps offering incentives and an eco-sustainability 'mark' which endorses their effort.</p> <p>(1.b) There needs to be an effective, prompt and result driven 0800 number for reporting of suspicious discharge, similar to Mataa Waka for animal control. This could be linked to Council's advertising and website information.</p>					
<b>150</b>	Will and Rose Parsons	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The tributaries to the Wairau Lagoon Seventeen Valley and Pukapuka Stream are missing from Diagram 15.1 These need to be included.					
<b>150</b>	Will and Rose Parsons	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We wish that a provision be made to include in ('Terrestrial and Fresh Water Environments') 'the accidental introduction of oxygen weed to the Taylor River, noting the adverse effects of cutting, it to the environment downstream in the lower Opawa River and efforts being made to mitigate this. (We would welcome the opportunity to show environment committee what it looks like and discuss possible solutions).					
<b>150</b>	Will and Rose Parsons	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is essential that the trees in the lower Wairau Valley delta which form a wildlife corridor between the North and South of the valley be given some form of protection from industrial development. If any trees are removed, a similar area of indigenous trees need to be planted as replacement in the same zone, for the beautification of the area and to attract birdlife.					
<b>1075</b>	Robin Pasley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. ( <i>Inferred</i> )					
<b>377</b>	Fiona Mary Patchett	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit minimum level for water takes. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert notations for each provision in Chapter 8 to identify whether the relevant provision is in the Regional Policy Statement, Regional Coastal Plan, Regional Plan or District Plan.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include policy recognition that a partnership approach will be undertaken with landowners for areas that are wetlands, together with a regulatory regime.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 8.3.2.:</p> <p><i>Policy 8.3.2 Where subdivision, use or development <b>that</b> requires resource consent <b>occurs within areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value, significant</b>, the adverse effects on <b>those</b> areas, habitats or ecosystems <del>with indigenous biodiversity value</del> shall be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) avoided where it is a significant site in the context of Policy 8.1.1; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1.</i></p> <p><i>This policy sets up a hierarchy for decision makers to use when assessing the effects of subdivision, use or development activities on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity value. For those sites identified as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1, it is important that <b>significant</b> adverse effects are avoided. This recognises that there are few significant sites remaining on private land, especially in southern Marlborough. Where sites have not been identified as significant through Policy 8.1.1, decision makers can also consider remediation or mitigation options to address adverse effects.</i></p>					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy so that offsetting may be considered on a case by case basis in areas with significant biodiversity values.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included or the existing policy is amended for the recognition of the potential positive benefits to indigenous biodiversity of subdivision, use and development.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend rule and or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent.</li> <li>Access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule to ensure that test pits and other investigatory works prior to the construction of a dam or other project are not captured by this rule.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule and or definition of vegetation clearance to ensure that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Routine farming operations and maintenance can take place without a resource consent.</li> <li>Access for farm vehicles reasonably necessary for ongoing farming operations and maintenance activities is not unduly restricted.</li> </ul>					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	9	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend definition to ensure that maintenance of existing farm tracks, accessways , fences and other structures are not captured, together with test pits and other investigation works for proposed dam or other projects.					
<b>380</b>	Bruce Lawrence Pattie	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.19.3.					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is.					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	It is not clear in the submission what the decision requested is with regards to the the points raised that Council does not have the jurisdiction to manage fishing activity for Fisheries Act purposes.  That Rule 16.7.5 clearly states that bottom trawling, dredging, dumping and other activities that disturb the seabed with potentially more serious adverse effects are prohibited ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	We recommend that these provisions should be rewritten (as set out in the submission of 'the fishing industry submitters') to reflect the appropriate roles of the Council in relation to fishing, i.e., to achieve integrated management and to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on fisheries resources.					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.4.1 recognises and supports the role of industry groups, in particular, the management measures and research initiatives in place in the fishery industry ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.4.2 recognises and supports the role of industry groups, in particular, the management measures and research initiatives in place in the fishery industry ( <i>inferred</i> ).					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.1.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.2.1 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	8	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 11.1.7 is amended to require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.8.2 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.8.2 – To determine the appropriateness of an area of coastal space to become a Moorings Management Area in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the following matters will be considered:</i></p> <p><b><i>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</i></b></p>					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.10.5 <b>(inferred)</b>:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.5 – When assessing applications to locate structures within and immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area, the following matters will be considered in determining whether the structure is appropriate:</i></p> <p><b><i>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</i></b></p>					
1038	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.11.4 <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered:</i> <b>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</b>					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	12	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.16.3 <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Policy 13.16.3 – When considering applications for resource consent for ships expected to propagate waves with energy levels in excess of limits specified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, have particular regard to the potential for adverse effects on:</i> <b>(x) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</b>					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.18.7 <b>(inferred)</b> : <i>Policy 13.18.7 – Where a resource consent is required to extend or alter port or marina infrastructure and this is to occur within that part of the Port or Marina Zone located in the coastal marine area, the following matters shall be considered:</i> <b>(x) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity (as appropriate).</b>					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.14 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.16 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	16	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	MEP provisions such as Policy 13.10.19 requires amendment in order to provide for the continued operation of established fishing businesses. The submission does not provide details of amendments to be included.					
<b>1038</b>	PauaMAC 7 Industry Association Incorporated	17	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	We consider Council should undertake further consultation with the industry, if a bylaw regulating the use of vehicles is to be developed, to identify appropriate areas and restrictions if required. We are disappointed at the lack of consultation and engagement by Council with the local fishing industry to date.					
<b>566</b>	Bevan Payton	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>1010</b>	PB Partnership	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1010</b>	PB Partnership	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1010</b>	PB Partnership	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1010</b>	PB Partnership	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
<b>1</b>	Rob Pears	1	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify 6.1.17 to refer to the type of material that is burnt. Delete reference to the age of the solid fuel burning appliance.					
<b>553</b>	Brendon Carl Pedersen	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.5:  <i>Policy 5.10.5 – The Marlborough District Council will waive the need for coastal occupancy charges for the following:</i>  <b>(f) Moorings provided by boating clubs.</b>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8:  <i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> <i>(g) maintaining and enhancing public access <b>including contribution towards the maintenance of Club moorings</b>; and</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new policy (bold) is included, and ideally would be located below the existing Policy 5.10.1:</p> <p><b><i>Policy 5.10.X Recognition that there are inherent rights of a legitimate occupier of coastal space over the use of the coastal structure(s) that occupy coastal space.</i></b></p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.6.1.					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.1.					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 13.17.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.17.4 – Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Marina Zones in Picton, Havelock and Waikawa:</i></p> <p><b><i>(m) boating clubhouses.</i></b></p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>In the event that this policy is intended to deal with land-based discharges of sewage to coastal water, WBC seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.18.</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage <b>from land based sources</b> to waterbodies or coastal waters.</i></p> <p>In the event that this policy is intended to deal also with discharges of sewage from ships, PBC seeks that the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 15.1.18.</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.18 – Avoid the discharge of untreated human sewage to waterbodies or coastal waters <b>where it may render coastal water unsuitable for contact recreation, food gathering or aquaculture.</b></i></p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.19: <i>Policy 15.1.19 – Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 15.1.20: <i>Policy 15.1.20 – Except for Grade A or Grade B treated sewage, control the discharge of human sewage from ships in the Marlborough Sounds.</i>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	10	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new rules (bold) are included in 16.1 Permitted Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at 1000m or greater distance from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>					
<b>1246</b>	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	11	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 16.7.2 ( <i>inferred</i> ): <del>16.7.2. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del> That the following new rules (bold) are included in 16.7 Prohibited Activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	12	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 16.7.3 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>16.7.3. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	13	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 16.7.4:</p> <p>16.7.4. Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources</b>, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.</p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	14	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.4 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>15.7.4. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of MHWS.</del></p> <p>That the following new rules (bold) are included in 15.7 Prohibited Activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from MHWS (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of untreated human sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area at less than 500m from a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade A treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 100m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> <li>• <b>The discharge of Grade B treated sewage from a ship to the coastal marine area except that the discharge is not permitted within 500m of a marine farm (or as amended by any change to the Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998).</b></li> </ul>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	15	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 15.7.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><del>Rule 15.7.5. From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m of a marine farm.</del></p>					
1246	Pelorus Boating Club Incorporated	16	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 15.7.6: <i>Rule 15.7.6. Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area <b>from land-based sources.</b></i>					
<b>211</b>	Jill Pendleton	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Additional clause to 2.14.10.3 (a) The agrichemical must not enter the water. (b) The agrichemical must not be applied any closer then five meters from the high water level.					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	1	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when spraying is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	2	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when any burning is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when an activity that will create dust is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	4	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to require a bond and notification to residents when an activity that will create dust from a vent or stack is occurring so that residents are aware of activity. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.7.	Support in Part



Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike out and bold) - <p><i>" The minimum setback from the property boundaries for a building used for the housing of the following animals must be:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 4.5m for poultry and other birds;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 4.5m for dogs;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 50m (the Submitter has not specified a replacement setback) for pigs;</i></p> <p><i>(d) 30m (the Submitter has not specified a replacement setback) for other animals associated with farming."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	6	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following new standards under this heading - <p>- <b>The use of helicopters for frost control..... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b> and</p> <p>- <b>The use of audible bird scarring devices..... (no specific wording provided by Submitter).</b></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	7	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike out) - " <del>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 6 months of the building being delivered to the site and is to include connections to all infrastructure services and closing in and ventilation of the foundations. The proposed owner of the relocated building must certify to the Council that the reinstatement work will be completed within the 6 month period.</del> "					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	8	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add three new Standards to this Rule as follows - <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>The external appearance of the building.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b></li> <li>• <b>Compatibility with buildings in the immediate vicinity.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter);</b></li> <li>• <b>Landscaping requirements.... (no specific wording provided by Submitter).</b></li> </ul> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.13.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	12	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.1.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	13	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.16.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike out) - " <i>Only material generated on the same property or a property under the same ownership can be burned.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	14	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.16.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	15	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

<b>Sub No</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Point</b>	<b>Volume</b>	<b>Chapter</b>	<b>Provision</b>	<b>Type</b>
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	16	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	17	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	18	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	19	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	20	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	21	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	22	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	23	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	24	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	28	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.12.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - " <i>The bottom of the long drop is located <b>at least 1 metre</b> above the natural groundwater level at all times.</i> " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
450	Shaun and Jane Peoples	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	31	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
<b>450</b>	Shaun and Jane Peoples	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.42.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standards. <i>(Inferred - note reference in submission was relative to the Open Space 3 Zone only)</i>					
<b>567</b>	Benjamin Per	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1131</b>	Susana Pereyra	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
<b>1076</b>	Raelyne Joyce Perkins	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	No coastal occupancy charge for people (property owners) with BOAT ACCESS ONLY to reach their property. Also to leave their boat on a safe mooring.					
<b>1039</b>	Pernod Ricard Winemakers New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support