

Notification of Summary of Submissions

This report contains:

- **The Summary of Decisions Requested in order of Submitter.**
 - The order is by Last Name or Organisation, whichever is applicable.
- **Tables containing the names and contact details of Submitters who supported other specific Submitters, and sought the same decision requested as those Submitters.**
 - Submitters who lodged a submission solely in support of the Submission of the Marine Farming Association.
 - Submitters who lodged a submission solely in support of the Submission of Aquaculture New Zealand.
- **A table containing the Submitters who supported other specific Submitters, and sought the same decision requested as those Submitters.**
 - Submitters who, within their personal submission, also supported the Submission of another Submitter in the manner described above.

Summary of decisions requested - by surname/organisation

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1303	A E Sadd Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 84	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The decision we seek from Council is:</p> <p>Remove W48 from the Proposed Marlborough Environment Plan as it has never been a significant wetland and is in fact a 'man made pond' built in the 1990's, by Mr Mahon for his birds and aviaries.</p> <p>Alter the boundary outline of W47, so that the area parallel to Stream Wharf Road (and located on our four property boundaries) is excluded from W47. We believe that this area has never been a significant wetland and is actually used as part of Council's drainage network for Grovetown.</p> <p>We have no problem with wetlands and their benefit to the environment, but creating wetlands from a Council 'desktop activity', not supported by the property owner or neighbours, is imposing unacceptable conditions and affecting what individuals can do on their own private properties. It is also trying to rewrite the history of a specific area of land and its usage.</p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Guiding Principle as follows -</p> <p>"Economic development."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new Guiding Principle as follows -</p> <p>"Recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new provision <i>(type of provision not identified in Submission)</i> as follows - "Recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay". <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new provision <i>(type of provision not identified in Submission)</i> as follows - "Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new provision <i>(type of provision not identified in Submission)</i> as follows - "Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources". <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise methodologies and maps, recognise existing use of and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise methodologies and maps, recognise existing use of and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revise the identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL and amend the maps accordingly.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance.</p> <p><i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farm 8204 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farm 8204 is not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.</p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Site Overlay over marine farm 8573 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farms 8573 and 8338 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farms 8573 and 8337 are not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.</p>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Review the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over marine farm 8260 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 1 to acknowledge that marine farm 8260 is not causing adverse effects on the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farm 8260 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farm 8260 is not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Site Overlay over marine farm 8544 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay over marine farm 8544 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 1 to acknowledge that marine farm 8544 is not causing adverse effects on the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape.					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Mammal Overlay over marine farm 8043 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	21	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Natural Character Overlay over marine farm 8130 on this Map and amend the schedules in Appendix 2 to acknowledge that marine farm 8130 is not causing adverse effects on Natural Character.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	22	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Ecologically Significant Marine Site Overlay over marine farm 8188 on this Map. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	23	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	24	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm. <i>(Submitter did not identify the specific provisions for which change is sought.)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " Natural Character " and " Outstanding Features and Landscape ".					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation as it pertains to coastal occupation charges. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	27	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
514	A J King Family Trust and S A King Family Trust	28	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	18	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></p>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows: <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 51	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete provision in its entirety.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete method in its entirety.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	34	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	35	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	36	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	37	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	38	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Activities that are not related to primary production are <u>only located within rural environments if they are appropriate for that environment.</u></i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain, with an additional clause (e): <i>The extent to which the proposed activity is likely to have reverse sensitivity effects on primary production activities.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i>Residential activity takes place <u>only</u> within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows: <i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i> <i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i> <i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i> <i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i> <i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i> <i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i> <i>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</i> <i>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and</u></i> <i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	46	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the objective to read: <i><u>Where necessary,</u> reduce the potential for nuisance and health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Redraft the policy to reflect the following amendment: <i>Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spray drift <u>as far as practicable.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	48	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	49	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	50	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	51	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	53	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i></p>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rule be deleted, or alternately amend so that vineyards, wineries and associated retail are clearly excluded.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	69	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain appendix 6. (inferred)					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Issue 51, Objective 5.9, Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3 along with Method of Implementation 5.M.3 be deleted in entirety.					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Accolade submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies.</p> <p><i><u>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available.</u></i></p> <p>The MEP makes reference to Soil Sensitive Areas in a number of locations. Accolade appreciate the difference in soils and soils types and the differing nature of those soils with respect to discharges, disturbance and productivity however the scale of the current mapping is extensive.</p> <p>Accolade submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	72	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	<i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	73	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	<i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	74	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	75	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	76	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	78	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point: <u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	80	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: <u>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the significant wetland.</u>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over."</u>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2, be amended to read: <u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
457	Accolade Wines New Zealand Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: 3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
980	Nigel Edward Ackroyd	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Plan. Consultation with boat owners and <u>all</u> affected parties. Proof of necessity of this plan change.					
980	Nigel Edward Ackroyd	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Plan. Consultation with boat owners and <u>all</u> affected parties. Proof of necessity of this plan change.					
781	Johann Adam	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
781	Johann Adam	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
781	Johann Adam	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
781	Johann Adam	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
781	Johann Adam	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
781	Johann Adam	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
781	Johann Adam	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
781	Johann Adam	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
781	Johann Adam	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
781	Johann Adam	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
17	Keith M.J. Adams	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request that Council either re-write entire portions of the MEP, or add Amendments to Volumes 1, 2, and Section 32 to repair not only this mammoth document, but our confidence in our District Council as well. While Council cannot undo much of the historical harm done, it can begin working with farmers and vineyard owner who have a special connection to the land. Stop issuing water rights to areas that are unsustainable and require special transporting of water to alter landscapes. Council should acknowledge Rapaura has preeminent rights to unrestricted water from the aquifer immediately beneath our land. Regions using various Water Schemes to fleece water from the Wairau River and Aquifer should be made to fulfill their part of the original negotiations by paying immediately for the dams and catchments promised. End land-grabs done with the sole intention of acquiring Water to transfer to other arid grounds, circumventing the system for financial gain. Recognise the unique position farming families hold in the heritage of our district, that these are the families that founded Marlborough. Return Water Allocations to their original descriptions that recognise "Prior Use" if that purpose is still practicable. District Council will hold the big Corporate Industrial grape-producers responsible for actions and behaviors that risk our natural resources and demand greater investment into the community from which they continue to harvest their profits. Lastly, I want my full water rights returned to the hereditary land my family has farmed generation after generation.					
21	Keith M J Adams	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	I therefore stand strongly <u>against</u> the current wording of Section 5, and the Council should amend the MEP Section 4 (and related sections) to recognise the necessity of a moratorium or the complete cessation of issuing new Water Resource Consents to areas not traditionally water and unable to provide at least a reasonable portion of their own water supplies or are in the business of redeveloping land otherwise unable to support Industrial Crop-Production if not naturally watered; and "Claw-back" should first be aimed at those institutional properties. Prior Use (to include Natural Watering and Traditional Use) should be explicit in the wording, not just implied to protect businesses and family farms. Lastly, are cognition that much of the responsibility regarding Water Resource fragility lays at the feet of District Council (and their predecessors) for past interventions and more recent issuances of water rights to areas that should have been zoned ineligible.					
36	Keith M.J. Adams	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I request that Council either re-write entire portions of the MEP, or add Amendments to Section 4. Council should acknowledge Rapaura has preeminent rights to unrestricted water from the aquifer immediately beneath our land.</p> <p>Recognise the unique position farming families hold in the heritage of our district, that these are the families that founded Marlborough. Return Water Allocations to their original descriptions that recognise "Prior Use" if that purpose is still practicable.</p> <p>The INCLUSION of text from MEP Chapter 32 - Policy 4.1.1 into the <u>main body</u> of Section 4 of Volume 1 (as there is no other similar acknowledgement elsewhere)</p> <p>Benefits: <i>"The policy acknowledges private property rights and the inherent freedom that comes with this. Recongnition of these private property rights was identified during consultation through the reviews process as being of considerable importance for those involved in primary production activities on land. There is a benefit for land owners/users in having this expressly recognised through policy."</i></p> <p>Change the autocrat liberating phrase "wider public interest" to a more constrictive "greater public good" (in Policy4.1.1), as it should compel a greater contemplation on the displacement of rights and freedoms of individuals.</p> <p>Lastly, I want my full water rights returned to the hereditary land my family has farmed generation after generation.</p>					
293	Keith M J Adams	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>My intent with this Submission is to enter into the record a historic reference to the effects of damming and draining and the resulting water issues we now face in the district.</p> <p>It is imperative to know how we got to this place, if we are to successfully improve our situation.</p> <p>I request that an amendment to the MEP be inserted to explore alternatives to slashing existing Water User permits, and feasibility studies to recharge the aquifers at risk while maintaining responsible use of our water-resources. There are alternatives to Draconian Water Resource cuts, but without District Council leadership they will never see the light of day.</p>					
310	Keith M.J. Adams	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Relief Sought:</p> <p>My intent with this Submission is to enter into the record a historic reference to the collateral damage inflicted by well intention, but short-sighted government regulations.</p> <p>It is imperative to know how we got to this place, if we are to successfully improve our situation.</p> <p>I request that greater appreciation for the family owned farms and vineyards be expressed through the MEP, and that they are given a margin of preferential deliberation when District Council is making decisions and policies that would impact those family farmers and family vineyard owners.</p>					
321	Simon and Richard Adams	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5. 7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. Policy 5. 7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <u>Policy 5. 7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></p>					
321	Simon and Richard Adams	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the following standards accordingly					
	Standard 3.3.12.6 No tree or log must be dragged through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area except.					
	Standard 3.3.12.7 Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemera/ river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area <u>except for the</u> removal of flood debris.					
321	Simon and Richard Adams	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly.					
	<u>On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 m of the waterbody.</u>					
	That the current standards 3.3.13.2, 3.3.13.3 and 3.3.13.4 be amended to read:					
	3.3.13.2 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
	3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemera/river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
	3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary <u>or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1 m of the Significant Wetland.</u>					
321	Simon and Richard Adams	4	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: Intensively farmed livestock means: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops; (b) dairy cattle <u>on properties with milking platforms</u>; (c) farmed pigs. <u>For clarity intensively farmed livestock does not cover the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or livestock entering or passing across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensive break-fed grazed area.</u>					
406	David Adams	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1290	Ahuriri Forests Limited	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The specific decision requested with respect to chapter is not clear from the submission.					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Prioritise cycling and walking in the design and implementation of development new public access (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce a requirement for minimum permeable ground cover for new development (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove "maintain" from the policy (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove "maintain" from (b) of the policy (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	We believe the wording should read 'add to/ or not detract from'.					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	7	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy but also consider the provision of incentives to encourage development in Business 1 zone (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	8	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include cycling and pedestrian access, visibility into businesses, streetscapes and people as characteristics in the policy (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	9	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The word 'maintain' needs to be removed and include requirements for visual permeability of facades (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include recognition of walking and cycling in the Introduction (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	11	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include requirements for visual permeability of facades and regulate the use of commercial buildings (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	12	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce an additional control requiring that at least 70 percent of any glazed space must be visually permeable (inferred).					
266	Aitken Taylor Limited	13	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Introduce standards that prioritise pedestrians, incentivise residential development in the CBD, require verandahs and support an Urban Design Panel (inferred).					
549	Bryan Albrey	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
549	Bryan Albrey	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
549	Bryan Albrey	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
549	Bryan Albrey	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
549	Bryan Albrey	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
549	Bryan Albrey	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
549	Bryan Albrey	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
549	Bryan Albrey	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
549	Bryan Albrey	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
549	Bryan Albrey	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
813	John Leon Aldridge	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."					
813	John Leon Aldridge	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
639	David Marshall Allan	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
639	David Marshall Allan	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
639	David Marshall Allan	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
639	David Marshall Allan	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Implement the new policy to protect the special Omaka Valley.					
334	David Allen	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 158	Oppose
Decision Requested	The owner intends to establish service industries within the site (<i>infer - 121 Mabers Road, Lot 1 DP 8727</i>), which will service the rural industry sector. To avoid the necessity to apply for resource consents every time an activity is undertaken on the site, the Industrial 1 zoning is requested.					
550	Belinda Allen	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
510	Anne Allison	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
510	Anne Allison	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
510	Anne Allison	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
510	Anne Allison	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
510	Anne Allison	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
510	Anne Allison	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
510	Anne Allison	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
510	Anne Allison	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
510	Anne Allison	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
510	Anne Allison	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
127	Mark Altoft	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. That the distance from MHWS and from marine farms in clauses 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 remains at 500m.</p> <p>2. That if the distance changes to 1000m, that an extra sentence be included in each of 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 stating "This provision only applies to vessels over 50 tonnes. Vessel under this weight must discharge no closer than 500m"</p>					
127	Mark Altoft	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That clause 16.7.4 is deleted.					
127	Mark Altoft	3	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. That the distance from MHWS and from marine farms in clauses 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 remains at 500m.</p> <p>2. That if the distance changes to 1000m, that an extra sentence be included in each of 16.7.2 and 16.7.3 stating "This provision only applies to vessels over 50 tonnes. Vessel under this weight must discharge no closer than 500m"</p>					
530	AM and LM Campbell Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reconsider provisions relating to water cut-offs for irrigators in the Wairau Aquifer when water levels drop in wells P28w/3009, P28w/4404 and P28w/3954. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
530	AM and LM Campbell Family Trust	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reconsider provisions. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
530	AM and LM Campbell Family Trust	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Reconsider provisions. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
181	Andebrook Farming Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Appendix 6 - Schedule 1 and 3. Retain provisions as proposed.					
526	A G N Anderson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." And, that any future proposals to increase the 500m rule be accompanied by scientific evidence supporting the change and proposals for installation of suitable onshore sewerage disposal stations.					
526	A G N Anderson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." And, that any future proposals to increase the 500m rule be accompanied by scientific evidence supporting the change and proposals for installation of suitable onshore sewerage disposal stations.					
508	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
508	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
508	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
508	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
508	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
508	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
508	Andrew Pope Homes Limited	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living. Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1276	Anna Caroline Memorial Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I seek that Council does not alter the current setback of 20m. That other property has only an 8m setback, even 20m can be deemed inequitable. Should this 28m setback be able to be clearly demonstrated to be fair, reasonable and necessary for particular purpose, and benefit to the community at large, I seek that property consultation be undertaken with property owners who have riparian rights; that normally accepted levels of disclosure are undertaken rather than Council taking the laws into their own hands, under cover and passing legislation because someone sees fit. Having demonstrated this, appropriate financial compensation is made available to all land owners with riparian rights who are affected.</p> <p>If the rule does pass, I advise it is my intention to join with others similarly affected and instigate a legal challenge to block it and seek to recover costs.</p>					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7):</p> <p><i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i></p>					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8):</p> <p><i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i></p>					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay; AND Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3. Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay; AND Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3. Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the mapping as proposed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Oyster Bay; and - Port Underwood. <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and - The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the mapping as proposed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Oyster Bay; and - Port Underwood. <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and - The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in Forsyth Bay.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay and Marys Bay.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into Ngaruru Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into Ngaruru Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay at that location; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay at that location; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Oyster Bay;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Site classification for Ngaruru Bay, which is, presumably, because of a stand of <i>macrocystis pyrifera</i> at the entrance;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Marlborough Environment Plan should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification and may actually enhance it by providing settlement surfaces for juvenile sporophytes and recruitment back to the reef.</p>					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the classification from this area; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that many activities are compatible with this site.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Site (Marine Mammal Whale) classification in these areas; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have any adverse effect on whales.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Sites (Marine Mammal Dolphin) classification in this area, as frequency of dolphins is as episodic as most of the rest of the Marlborough Sounds; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have any adverse effect on dolphins in this area.					
544	Apex Marine Farm Limited	21	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	National Transportation Route	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the National Transport Route map to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.					
545	Aquaculture Direct Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s 32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary. In the event that the s 32 evaluation reveals significant alternatives that have not been appropriately considered, it may be necessary to re-notify aspects of the proposed MEP.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is an omission not to include a guiding principle to promote economic development. The approach taken does not reflect the RMA, and does not reflect the views of the Marlborough community. A guiding principle to that effect should be added, along with consequential changes to the commentary.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The guiding principles should recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses. Its values include economic values. A set of guiding principles that make no reference to that has failed to properly capture the needs of Marlborough. The guiding principles should be amended to reflect this. The clique "jewel in the crown" should be deleted, and replaced with something more reflective of Marlborough and the discussion above.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the guiding principle "Providing the community with a streamlined and simplified resource management framework to make it easier for resource users and other interested parties to use." Submit that this philosophy should extend to the application of the MEP provisions, not simply to integrating the regional policy statement with the regional coastal, regional and district plan provisions.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	5	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The document does not sufficiently recognise that the protection of one resource may have a positive or negative effect on another (<i>New Zealand Shipping Federation v Marlborough District Council</i> W038/06). This is reflected in the insufficient identification of costs in the s32 analysis. There are consistent references to "protection" throughout the MEP. However, the response to environmental integration in the MEP is insufficient. The Council should re-evaluate the various alternatives in accordance with s32, having particular regard to quantified benefits and costs and associated commentary.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	6	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Oppose the approach where "avoid" has two meanings, dependent on its context. This results in the MEP being unclear, which in turn is likely to lead to significant future expenditure to determine meaning. We have addressed the use of the term "avoid" in other specific contexts where it arises.</p> <p>"Avoid" should only be used in one sense, consistent with the approach taken by the Supreme Court in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i> [2014] NZSC 38.</p> <p>Avoid should have only one meaning.</p> <p>Where a different meaning is preferred, this should be clear from the specific provision.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	7	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A single meaning for "protect" should be adopted, consistent with the Supreme Court's approach in <i>New Zealand King Salmon</i> .					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issues of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The economic interests of iwi should be expressly recognised.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4A. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B and the supporting policies, but submit that the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in policy 4.2.1. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Issue 4D - Recognise that the choice whether or not to use natural and physical resources has consequences;</p> <p>Add new Objective 4.4 - Recognise that limiting development has a tradeoff; and</p> <p>Add new Policy 4.4.1 - Identify the consequences of not allowing development in terms of:</p> <p>Substitution;</p> <p>Adverse effects from other alternative activities in the area; and</p> <p>Loss of environmental, economic and social benefits.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The commentary of Objective 4.1 should refer to aquaculture's need for water space, and high quality water. Recognition should be given to the fact that different water space and site characteristics are necessary for different forms of aquaculture. For example, cool fast flowing water is required for salmon farming, whereas access to high nutrient laden water is necessary for effectively farming mussels.</p> <p>Amend Objective 4.1 to include express reference to related servicing and processing industries; and amend commentary as suggested.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Objective 4.3, so long as new objective 4.3A is added. Alternatively, Objective 4.3 should be amended to reflect the fact that social and cultural uses are part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds. <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new Objective 4.3A - Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Marlborough Sounds have been altered by cultural and social use and those uses have become part of the character of the Marlborough Sounds and do not detract from it.</p> <p>NOTE - New policy 4.3.6 should be added to support this Objective.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Policy 4.1.1A to recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.1.2 to read "Enable sustainable use and development of natural resources in the Marlborough environment."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new Policy 4.1.2A - allow for experimentation and innovation where there are sufficient controls to appropriately manage adverse effects.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 4.1.2B - Allow for development where it will achieve a net improvement in sustainability or efficiency by: Offsetting effects; Compensating for effects; or Substituting one use for another.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay (Tennyson Inlet), Oyster Bay (Port Underwood) and Okiwi Bay (Croisilles Harbour) be specifically recognised in the Policy (along with other proposed changes).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	24	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	25	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5, provided new Objective 4.3A and Policy 4.3.6 are added as proposed. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	26	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New Policy 4.3.6 should be added to give effect to proposed new Objective 4.3A.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	28	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	30	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	31	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the methods of implementation for Objective 4.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	32	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the anticipated environmental results and monitoring effectiveness. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	33	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	In order to manage biosecurity threats, the deliberate introduction of exotic or introduced plants into the coastal marine area should require a resource consent (as per rule 35.5 in the current Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (MSRMP)). A policy should be added to this effect.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.10. Use of the word "Equitable" is vague in this context. The word "equitable" should be replaced with "efficient." The commentary to objective 5.10 should note that this "manages conflicts between users" rather than "avoids conflicts."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The commentary to Policy 5.10.1 should note sections 124A, 124B and 124C of the RMA, as well as sections 165ZH, 165ZI and 165ZJ.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the first sentence (default method) of Policy 5.10.2, but delete the second sentence (alternative regime). An alternative regime could be referred to in the commentary.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the words "necessary and" from policy 5.10.3, so that it reads "to that reasonable to undertake..."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable; (b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and (c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013). The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.4 if the above relief is granted.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.5 if the above relief is granted.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.6 if the above relief is granted.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>(a) The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable;</p> <p>(b) Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); and</p> <p>(c) The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA is represented on the oversight body to an extent commensurate with the levy on the industry;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The imposition of charges is fair, efficient and equitable; - Appropriate provision is made for aquaculture in the MEP policy and mapping provisions (given that the aquaculture rules are not part of the MEP); - The formula for determining charges is written into the MEP, rather than the Council's Annual Plan. The level of charges should reflect earlier work in the Coastal Occupancy Charges report prepared by Executive Finesse Ltd (January 2013); and <p>Amend Policy 5.10.8 to read "...will be used on the following in accordance with a research priority strategy to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area. The research priority strategy will be determined in conjunction with the Marlborough District Council, central government, science providers, industry, and the community."</p> <p>The MFA provisionally supports policy 5.10.8 if the above relief is granted.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consequential amendments are made to the methods of implementation where needed, as a result of the submissions in relation to Issue 5J and Policies 5.10.1 - 5.10.8.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A. The word "degradation" should be changed to "modification." This change should be reflected in the language throughout chapter 6, with consequential amendments where appropriate.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 6.1 – add new sentence "Establish the extent of acceptable modification."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Policy 6.1.1 and replace with "Natural character is natural, physical and biological processes, and how those processes are perceived"; or</p> <p>6.1.1(b) - delete "and landscapes (including seascapes)." This is a confusing use of terminology in the context of the natural character policies; and</p> <p>6.1.1(e) - amend to read "biological processes and biological patterns." (As compared with perceptual patterns); and</p> <p>Include in the discussion a record that the intent of this policy is to provide for a biological definition of natural character, overlaid with perceptions of biology.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	47	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the seaward extent of the coastal natural character mapping be reduced to snorkelling or recreational diving depth, and the maps amended to reflect this (or relief securing same outcome). This approach is supported by the commentary in Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast (Boffa Miskell, 2014) at Appendix 6, page 316.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	48	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.1.3 to read "Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment."</p> <p>Natural character should only be assessed at the detailed level (level 5). The commentary should be amended to reflect this; and</p> <p>The Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast Study (Boffa Miskell, 2014), on which the MEP is supposedly based, needs to be redrafted.</p> <p>The definition of "outstanding" in the 2014 Study is incorrect; and</p> <p>Frequent use of the terms "unmodified" or "largely unmodified" is unwarranted.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete 6.1.4;</p> <p>Define "natural character" as per submission on 6.1.1; and</p> <p>Define "outstanding" as per submission on Vol 2, Chapter 25, Definitions. Outstanding is referred to throughout the MEP, but is not defined. Add definition of "Outstanding" to read "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 6.1.4 – "Identify the biological characteristics and the values inherent in the perception of those biological characteristics for each area mapped under Policy 6.1.3."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.1 and replace with: New Policy 6.2.1 - In the coastal environment: "Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural character." Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural character. Methods which may achieve this include: Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and In areas of high natural character, minimising to the extent practicable indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance, structures, discharges and extraction of water) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and the coastal marine area and their margins; and Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural character has already been compromised.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.2 and replace with: New Policy 6.2.2 - "Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character of freshwater bodies. A method which may achieve this includes minimising indigenous vegetation clearance and modification (including earthworks / disturbance and structures) to natural wetlands, the beds of lakes, rivers and their margins."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.3 and replace with: New Policy 6.2.3 - "When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities of the natural character values in terms of 6.2.1(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 6.2.1(b) and 6.2.2, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects: (a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect; Recognise that many areas contain ongoing use and development that: Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal; Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects; Have regard to any restoration and enhancement on the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural character; Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on natural character to result in no net loss and preferably a net natural character gain. A natural character offset should be developed in a manner consistent with the principles contained in Policy 6.2.6; Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.4.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 6.2.3.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.6. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.7 to read: "Recognition should be given to the extent of cumulative effects from existing modifications in the environment."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.9 to read: "...community groups, businesses, and others in their efforts..."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new 6.M.2A – "Natural Character Assessment Method." New Appendix 2A should be included in the MEP, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support, on the basis that natural character can be retained while allowing for existing activities, including existing aquaculture.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the natural character policies to make it clear that "degree" refers to the magnitude of change, not the classification.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Natural Character chapter 6 altogether. Reference to natural character can be made in the indigenous biodiversity chapter (biophysical elements) and in the landscape chapter (experiential elements). Or consider whether all three topics (landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity) could be dealt with under one category "Natural Heritage," which is the approach taken in the proposed Bay of Plenty Regional Coastal Plan and the Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	64	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove reference to "high amenity value." This approach is continued throughout chapter 7, so consequential amendments should also be made.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	65	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete reference to amenity.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	66	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.1.2 - by deleting the word "significant" and only using the visual catchment approach (ie. A bay, reach or valley approach); and Delete Map 2 from Vol 3, Appendix 1 and replace with a map that reflects the visual catchment approach.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	67	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.1. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	68	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 7.1.2A - " <i>Define the boundaries of a feature as a coherent land and sea type</i> "; and Map those features and describe their values in Vol 3, Appendix 1.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	69	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	7.1.3(b) - delete reference to "high."; and Delete sub-paragraph 7.1.3(c).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 7.1.5. Also suggests that once you have defined the boundary of an ONL, you must go through the First Schedule RMA process in order to change the classification. Really means the opposite of what it says.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete reference to "high" amenity values; Delete "where those values are more sensitive to change"; and In relation to Policy 7.1.4(b), Appendix 1, volume 3 tends to describe or characterise. Very few values are identified. The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in 7.1.1.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy 7.2.3; and Delete Map 4 at Vol 3, Appendix 1, page 32.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.4.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with new policy under 7.25. (see submission point under policy 7.25).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with new policy under 7.25. (see submission point under policy 7.25).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	76	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 7.2.1, 7.2.4 and 7.2.5 and replace with: New Policy 7.2.5 - In the coastal environment: Avoid adverse effects of subdivision use, and development on the characteristics and qualities which make up the outstanding values of areas of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Where (a) does not apply, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on natural features and natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:					

Ensuring the location, intensity, scale and form of subdivision and built development is appropriate having regard to natural elements, landforms and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines, headlands, peninsulas, dune systems, reefs and freshwater bodies and their margins; and

Encouraging any new subdivision and built development to consolidate within and around existing settlements or where natural landscape has already been compromised.

New Policy 7.2.5A - Outside the coastal environment avoid significant adverse effects and

avoid, remedy or mitigate other adverse effects (including cumulative adverse effects) of subdivision, use and development on the characteristics and qualities of outstanding natural features and outstanding natural landscapes. Methods which may achieve this include:

(a) In outstanding natural landscapes, requiring that the location and intensity of subdivision, use and built development is appropriate having regard to, natural elements, landforms

and processes, including vegetation patterns, ridgelines and freshwater bodies and their margins; and

(b) In outstanding natural features, requiring that the scale and intensity of earthworks and built development is appropriate taking into account the scale, form and vulnerability to modification of the feature.

New Policy 7.2.5B - When considering whether there are any adverse effects on the

characteristics and qualities of the natural features and landscape values in terms of 7.2.5(a), whether there are any significant adverse effects and the scale of any adverse effects in terms of 7.2.5(b) and 7.2.5A, and in determining the character, intensity and scale of the adverse effects:

(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;

(b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:

(i) Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have

subsequently been lawfully established

(ii) May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;

Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative adverse effects from minor or transitory adverse effects;

Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the characteristics and qualities of that area of natural features and/or natural landscape;

Recognise it may be appropriate to offset significant residual adverse effects on a landscape or feature to result in no net loss and preferably a net landscape gain;

Recognise that where adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, adverse effects could be minimised; and

Acknowledge that a future adverse effect may be avoided where the effect is temporary and is authorised for a finite term.

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	77	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 7.2.6 by adding 7.2.6(d) - "aquaculture activities where the method and effects of farming are reversible."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	78	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.7(a)(ii) by adding after "to the foreshore" "-", excluding barges used for aquaculture." (NB. These are not covered by the workers' accommodation in the definition of Dwelling, as that applies only to land-based farming); and Remove reference to amenity.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	79	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reference to amenity is deleted; and Specific recognition is given to aquaculture in this context, as an existing primary production activity.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	80	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.9.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	81	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	82	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.12.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	83	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	All reference to amenity should be removed from Chapter 7.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	84	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new 7.M.3A – "Landscape Assessment Method." New Appendix 1A should be included in the MEP at volume 3, setting out a detailed method to encourage consistency of approach between landscape architects.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	85	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policies, issues and objectives should be consistent with this intended outcome; and Recognition that landscape is not degraded by allowing for the continuation of existing activities, such as aquaculture.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	86	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The s 32 analysis should be redone to take account of re-consenting costs, using publicly available information where possible. The NZIER reports commissioned by the MFA should be referenced. Where existing marine farms are at risk, the cost of loss of farming space should be acknowledged.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	87	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	The MEP should better address the concepts of "avoid" and risk.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	88	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 8.1 to read: "Marlborough's remaining areas of significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments are protected."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	89	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective 8.2, provided other relief sought in respect of chapter 8 is granted. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	90	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	The mapped sites in Volume 4 do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. Adopt approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 - 178.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>(a) Rewrite Policy 8.1.3 to read</p> <p>"Recognise that increased information is an intrinsic good. Where there is uncertainty and real risk of a significant adverse effect, use adaptive management techniques to address that risk;" and</p> <p>(b) Add to the commentary the importance of Council partnering with industry to increase knowledge.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 8.2.1 should be amended to refer to "resource users", not simply landowners.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 8.2.2 to refer to "resource users", in addition to landowners;</p> <p>Add "encourage and promote the protection, restoration and re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity;" and</p> <p>As a result: delete policies 8.2.10, 8.2.11 and 8.2.12.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Policy 8.2.3; or</p> <p>Amend to expressly limit this policy to the terrestrial environment.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats."</p> <p>Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add new Policy 8.2.3A - "Work with marine resource users and develop partnerships to protect, maintain and restore significant marine habitats."</p> <p>Note that this will require a consequential addition to 8.M.11 Partnership/Liaison method of implementation.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.5; or Amend to expressly limit the policy to the terrestrial environment.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to say "will be developed and maintained in partnership with MPI and affected industries and communities."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.8.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.9.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy content can be incorporated into 8.2.2 (as per the suggested amendment) and policy 8.2.10 can be deleted.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy content can be incorporated into 8.2.2 (as per the suggested amendment) and policy 8.2.11 can be deleted.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.2.12. Address content in 8.2.2.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	105	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 8.3.1 and replace with: New Policy 8.3.1 - <i>"In the coastal environment, avoid adverse effects, and outside the coastal environment avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are no more than minor on: Indigenous taxa that are listed as threatened or at risk in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists; Areas of indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna, that are significant using the assessment criteria in Appendix 3; and Areas set aside for full or partial protection of indigenous biodiversity under other legislation."</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	106	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete 8.3.2 and replace with:					
	<p>New Policy 8.3.2 - In the coastal environment, avoid significant adverse effects and avoid, remedy, or mitigate other adverse effects of subdivision, use and development on:</p> <p>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</p> <p>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including estuaries, lagoons, coastal wetlands, intertidal zones, rocky reef systems, coastal and headwater streams, floodplains, margins of the coastal marine area and freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas and saltmarsh.</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.2A - Outside the coastal environment and where Policy 8.3.1 does not apply, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects of subdivision, use and development so they are not significant on any of the following:</p> <p>(a) Areas of predominantly indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(b) Habitats of indigenous species that are important for recreational, commercial, traditional or cultural purposes; and</p> <p>(c) Indigenous ecosystems and habitats that are particularly vulnerable to modification, including wetlands, headwater streams, floodplains and margins of freshwater bodies, spawning and nursery areas.</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.2B - For the purposes of Policies 8.3.1, 8.3.2 and 8.3.2A, when considering whether there are any adverse effects and/or any significant adverse effects:</p> <p>(a) Recognise that a minor or transitory effect may not be an adverse effect;</p> <p>(b) Recognise that many areas contain on-going use and development that:</p> <p>Were present when the area was identified as high or outstanding or have subsequently been lawfully established. May be dynamic, diverse or seasonal;</p> <p>(c) Recognise that where the effects are or may be irreversible, then they are likely to be more than minor;</p> <p>(d) Recognise that there may be more than minor cumulative effects from minor or transitory effects;</p> <p>(e) Have regard to any restoration and enhancement of the areas and species listed in Policies 8.3.1 and 8.3.2; and</p> <p>(f) Have regard to any technical or operational requirements.</p> <p>New Policy 8.3.2C - For the purpose of Policy 8.3.2A, if adverse effects cannot be reasonably avoided, remedied or mitigated then it may be appropriate to consider the next steps in the mitigation hierarchy i.e. biodiversity offsetting, followed by environmental biodiversity compensation, as set out in Policy 8.3.8.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	107	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 8.3.5.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	108	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Policy 8.3.8 and replace with the following:</p> <p>Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply [taken from Chapter M, Appendix 8 proposed AUP Independent Hearing Panel's Recommendations]:</p> <p>Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where it is used to offset the significant residual effects of activities after the adverse effects have been avoided, remedied or mitigated.</p> <p>Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any avoidance, remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity.</p> <p>Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome.</p> <p>The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity, which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. Where possible the overall result should be no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values.</p> <p>The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost.</p> <p>Note: Offsetting is in addition to avoidance through restoration and enhancement. This policy should be read in conjunction with the New Zealand Government Guidance on Good Practice Biodiversity Offsetting in New Zealand, New Zealand Government et al, August 2014 (or any successor document).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	109	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This chapter has no annotation as to whether the objectives and policies are part of the regional policy statement, coastal plan, regional plan or district plan.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	110	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new policy – <i>"Risk of an effect occurring will be considered appropriate if one or a combination of the following criteria can be met:?"</i> <i>The effects of an activity are likely to be reversible;</i> <i>Adverse effects are likely to be reversible before they reach a significant level;</i> <i>The normal state of the environment can be adequately defined;</i> <i>The development could occur on a staged basis; and/or</i> <i>The temporal and spacial scale does not impact on the full range of the species or relevant habitat or area."</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	111	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 9.1.5 should specifically state that the existing aquaculture industry does not impede public access to and along the coast. Support Policy 9.1.13, so long as the proposed change to 9.1.5 is made.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	112	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.1.7 to read "...and launching ramps (for example, at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay) that make a significant contribution..."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	113	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Maritime New Zealand (MNZ) Guidelines for Aquaculture Management Areas and Marine Farms (December 2005) are outdated and not well suited to the Marlborough Sounds environment. Insert new Policy 9.1.14A to state that the 2005 MNZ Guidelines do not need to be considered in the Marlborough Sounds context.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	114	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	An additional sub-section (h) should be added to policy 9.2.1 to allow access to and along the coastal marine area to be restricted to manage threats to biosecurity.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	115	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 9.2.2. Subsection (a) should be replaced with "the constraint is reasonable."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	116	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy 9.3.2(d) should be amended to read "recognising the value of open space in the coastal marine area..."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13H. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13J. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13K	Support in Part
Decision Requested	(In light of submission to rezone Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, and Okiwi Bay): Amend Issue 13K to read "...and maintenance of existing ports at Picton, Havelock, Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay, port landing areas at Okiwi Bay and existing marinas at Picton, Waikawa and Havelock."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	121	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Objective 13.10 and associated policies should expressly exclude aquaculture.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.14. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.15. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the word "minimises" and replace with "takes reasonable steps to minimise."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision. Introduces a new term at 13.1.1(c) - "significant marine biodiversity value". The commentary on avoidance is inconsistent with the discussion in other policies, such as page 2-13, 7.2.5 and 8.3.1.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this provision.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete 13.2.1 in its entirety (duplication); or 13.2.1(a) - either: Delete entire sub-paragraph; or Delete "the characteristics and qualities that contribute to", or substitute "values" for reference to "characteristics and qualities."; and 13.2.1(g) remove reference to "individual and".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.3(b) to read "will generally be granted for a minimum period of 20 years."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Put a full-stop after "in a particular location". Delete the rest of the Policy.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.2.5.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	134	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	In conjunction with amendment suggested to policy 13.2.4, delete this policy. Single reference needed to definition of amenity in the RMA.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new sub-section (g) "may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add "excluding Tory Channel and East Bay" (NB. Delete "including Tory Channel").					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 13.5.10 - <i>"Protect aquaculture from reserve sensitivity effects arising from residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes in the Coastal Environment."</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.11A - Add a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add new Method of Implementation 13.M.17A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Policy 13.7.2 should be amended to expressly record that barges used in aquaculture are excluded from the ambit of the policy.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace the word "necessary" in policy 13.10.3 with "reasonable."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete "the landscape and".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Sub-section (c) should read "where consent to authorise an existing structure is refused or any appeals have been exhausted."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new, altered or extended jetty may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New sub-section (d) should be added "whether the new or extended boatshed and/or slipway may give rise to potential reverse sensitivity issues, and how that could be avoided."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.12.1(a) to read "where the dredged or other material is derived from the land, no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.12.2.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the word "significant" before "adverse effect."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	The 'National Transportation Route" should not apply beyond the headlands of each of the side bays in the Sounds. It should be limited to the main channels. The National Transportation Route overlay in volume 4 MEP should be redrafted to exclude the side bays.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.14.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to read "of ships transiting this route are appropriately managed."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	13.15.2(a) - delete "unimpeded by structures"; 13.15.2(b) - commercial shipping routes is not a clear definition. "Avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; 13.15.2(c) - "avoiding" should be changed to "appropriately managing"; and 13.15.2(d) - Amend to read "are not significantly affected by activities or structures..."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or</p> <p>Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Consider whether the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay should be rezoned as Port Zone, and the wharf and boat ramp at Okiwi Bay rezoned as Port Landing Areas, with consequential amendments made to the rest of the MEP provisions; or Amend Policy 13.17.5 to include ship repair and maintenance, and transportation activities, as operational requirements in the Port Landing Area Zone (in addition to consequential changes to the Port Landing Area Zone rules).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.1 to read - "Ensure any substantial change to the intensity, character..." .					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.2 to read "do not inappropriately affect water, air or soil quality...".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.18.4 to read "Inappropriate environmental effects from activities...".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.18.5. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.18.7.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Support the comment that "This chapter does not contain provisions managing marine farming." However, this should be reworded to say "This chapter does not apply to marine farming or structures and activities associated with marine farming." In reality, we cannot have a set of policies managing non-marine farming activities which are inconsistent with the marine farming provisions.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	173	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain issue 15A. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	174	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Add new Policy 15.1.19A - "Avoid the discharge of human sewage to land where it may contaminate coastal water within the marine farm protection overlay, or areas used for fishing or shellfish gathering"; Add new Policy 15.1.19B – "Require any accidental discharge to be notified to the Marlborough District Council immediately. The Marlborough District Council will then advise potential affected persons"; and Add new Method of Implementation 15.M.15A - Create a new marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	175	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.5(a) to read "into air from industrial, trade or primary production premises or industrial, trade or primary production processes that have...".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	176	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.4.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	177	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	178	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 15 Resource Quality. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	179	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend objective 19.1 to read "...effects on the environment arising from climate change and ocean acidification."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	180	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.2 to read "...potential effects of climate change and ocean acidification..."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	181	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.3 to read "Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change and ocean acidification."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	182	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend chapter 19 title to read "Climate Change and Ocean Acidification."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	183	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose the title "Marlborough Environment Plan." Change the title to "The Sustainable Management Plan for Marlborough."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	184	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	185	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.16.5. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	186	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type															
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.17.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>																				
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	187	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.10.	Support in Part															
Decision Requested	Amend rule 2.34.10 to read "Sign required for, or established by statute, rule, regulation or resource consent."																				
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	188	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Support															
Decision Requested	Retain Rules in Section 13.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>																				
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	189	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.	Support in Part															
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 13.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"For port operations in Picton and Shakespeare Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night</th> <th>Night-time</th> </tr> <tr> <th>(Long term)</th> <th>(Short term)</th> <th></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond,</td> <td>65 Ldn (5 days)</td> <td>60 dB LAeq (9 hours)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>the Inner Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>68 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>65 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85 dB LAFMax</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Location	Day-night	Night-time	(Long term)	(Short term)		At any point on land at, or beyond,	65 Ldn (5 days)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours)	the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	68 Ldn (1day)	65 LAeq (15 min)	85 dB LAFMax		
Location	Day-night	Night-time																			
(Long term)	(Short term)																				
At any point on land at, or beyond,	65 Ldn (5 days)	60 dB LAeq (9 hours)																			
the Inner Noise Control Boundary.	68 Ldn (1day)	65 LAeq (15 min)																			
85 dB LAFMax																					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	190	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.3.2.	Support in Part															

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type						
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 13.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits:</p> <p>"For port operations in Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay, an activity must be conducted to ensure that noise does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Day-night (Long term)</th> <th>Night-time (Short term)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.</td> <td>55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)</td> <td>50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>75 dB LAFMax"; and</p> <p>Consequential changes to the maps in Volume 4 to create a Noise Control Boundary for Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay.</p>						Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)	At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)
Location	Day-night (Long term)	Night-time (Short term)										
At any point on land at, or beyond, the Outer Noise Control Boundary.	55 Ldn (5 days) 58 Ldn (1day)	50 dB LAeq (9 hours) 55 LAeq (15 min)										
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	191	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.4.	Support in Part						
Decision Requested	<p>Consequential changes will be necessary if the commercial wharves at Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are rezoned as Port Zone.</p> <p>Amend standard 13.2.4.1 to read "...at the port in Picton, Shakespeare Bay, Havelock, Elaine Bay and Oyster Bay are adequately insulated from port noise."</p>											
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	192	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.21.	Support in Part						
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 13.3.21 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."</p>											
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	193	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.	Support in Part						
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."</p>											
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	194	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.2.	Support in Part						
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 13.3.4.2 to read "All anti-foul or bio-foul waste, coating waste or other contaminant removed must be captured upon removal. The waste must be stored for disposal in a covered container located in a roofed area."</p>											
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	195	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.	Support in Part						

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	196	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	197	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.10.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Standard 13.3.10 should be amended:</p> <p>So that it is clear that it only applies to monitoring equipment in the coastal marine area;</p> <p>To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (13.3.10.1);</p> <p>To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (13.3.10.2); and</p> <p>To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (13.3.10.5).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	198	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain rule 13.4.4. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	199	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.6.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	200	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 13.6.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	201	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 13.6.6. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	202	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rules in 13.6 Prohibited Activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	203	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 14.1 Permitted activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	204	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 14.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of dwellings as they exist at 9 June 2016 outside the Port Landing Area Zone does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq</p> <p>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	205	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.1.2 to read - "There must be no more than a minor increase in the height, size or scale of the building or structure being replaced.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	206	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended: To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (14.3.5.1).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	207	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended: To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (14.3.5.2).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	208	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 14.3.5. should be amended: To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (14.3.5.5).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	209	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 14.3.11.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	210	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 14.5.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	211	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 14.5.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	212	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain rule 14.5 - Prohibited activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	213	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.1 - Permitted Activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	214	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 15.1.21 to read "Use of a marine recreation group clubroom."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	215	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 15.2.3.1 to read: "An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at the boundary of the Marina Zone does not exceed the following limits: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 60 dB LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax";					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	216	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend 15.2.3.2 to include the following noise limits: 7.00 am to 10.00 pm 55 dB LAeq 10.00 pm to 7.00 am 45 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	217	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.9: To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 3 months in any calendar year (15.3.9.1);					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	218	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.9: To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (15.3.9.2);					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	219	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.9.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.9: To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (15.3.9.5).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	220	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 15.3.19.1 to read - "Oil spill dispersants must be used by a person described by Section 467 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994 or a person authorised by the Harbour Master."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	221	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 15.7 - Prohibited activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	222	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.4 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	223	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 15.7.5 by deleting "From 9 June 2022".					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	224	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1 - Permitted activities. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	225	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>The Marlborough Sounds used to contain extensive natural mussel beds. Attempts to restore those mussel beds in appropriate areas is consistent with NZCPS policy 14.</p> <p>Add new Permitted Activity 16.1.24 - "Restoration of shellfish reefs in the Marlborough Sounds and associated activities in appropriate areas"; and</p> <p>Consequential changes should be made to the Permitted Activity Standards at 16.2, allowing for restoration to be achieved using a variety of techniques, including, but not limited to, depositing natural fibre substrate, placing waste shell or old mooring blocks on the seabed, or undertaking other activities to kick start reef development.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	226	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 16.2.1.4 to read "Take practical steps to minimise or eliminate contaminants released from equipment being used for the activity." This recognises that a motor may be needed to drive equipment, which will run off petrol or diesel.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	227	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 16.2.3.1 to read:</p> <p>"An activity must be conducted to ensure that noise when measured at or within the notional boundary of any dwelling existing at 9 June 2016 does not exceed the following noise limits:</p> <p>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 50 dB LAeq</p> <p>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 40 dB LAeq 75 dB LAFmax"</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	228	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add new 16.2.3.2(d) "noise ordinarily generated by commercial fishing activities, including marine farming servicing and harvesting ships."</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	229	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>It is unclear whether the policy is intended to apply to ships and barges used for the purpose of aquaculture. "Ship" is defined in the MEP as having the same meaning as in s 2 of the Maritime Transport Act 1994. The case law suggests that a barge could be captured by that definition.</p> <p>Amend rule 16.3.2.1, so it expressly does not apply to any ship or barge used in aquaculture.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	230	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1); and To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2); and To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	231	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: To allow monitoring equipment to remain at a specific coordinate for no longer than 7 months in any calendar year (16.3.9.1).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	232	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: To allow structures or equipment up to 2.5m in height above water level (16.3.9.2).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	233	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9; or Policy 16.3.9 should be amended: To ensure that contaminants released as a result of the activity, or from equipment being used for the activity are not materially distinguishable from background sedimentation (16.3.9.5).					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	234	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Standard 16.3.16.1 should be amended so that it does not apply to the take and use of coastal water for the ordinary operation of vessels.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	235	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Minor and spot removal of bio-fouling (including marine pests) from a ship, that inadvertently removes antifouling, should be permitted. Maintenance and applications of antifouling below MHWS should be prohibited, other than minor works and keel strip. Amend rule 16.7.6 accordingly and add a new permitted activity rule to 16.1 to secure this relief.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	236	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 16.7.1. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	237	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	238	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.3. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	239	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.4. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	240	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Non-consumptive uses" to read "...For example, fishing, swimming and cooling of vessels."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	241	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Primary Production" to read: "All forms of agriculture, horticulture, silviculture and aquaculture, whether on land or on sea, and includes the processing, preparation for market and sale of those products."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	242	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain chapter 25 - definitions. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	243	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add definition of "Outstanding" to read: "Obviously exceptional, notable, eminent."					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	244	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 7.1.4; This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the landscape overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect landscape values.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	245	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	The entirety of Appendix 2 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 6.1.1 and the requirement in Policy 6.1.4; This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping, meaning the coastal natural character overlay maps should be redrafted accordingly; and Where existing marine farms are present, there should be an express statement that those farms do not affect natural character values.					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	246	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Oppose
Decision Requested	A note should be added at the beginning of Appendix 3 stating "These criteria are intended to be applied by suitably qualified and experienced ecologists."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	247	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Appendix 3 and replace with approach taken in the proposed Regional Policy Statement for Northland (May 2016) at Appendix 5, pages 175 – 178; The MEP should clearly distinguish between areas of national significance and areas of regional significance; and</p> <p>A cascading approach to managing effects on these different areas should be included in the Chapter 8 Policies, consistent with Policy 11 of the NZCPS, rather than a straight avoidance approach (this is reflected in the submissions in respect of the Policies in Chapter 8).</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	248	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete Appendix 4; or</p> <p>Use appropriate quantitative measure to define significance.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	249	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	<p>Support Water Quality Classification of SG for all coastal water in respect of the value of food gathering (page 5-17).</p> <p>Support the interpretation of the temperature, dissolved oxygen and suitability of fish for human consumption standards/parameters for SG classification on pages 5-21 and 5-22.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	250	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add new item 24 under "Other Relevant Site Details" - "The location of any relevant marine farm protection overlay"; and</p> <p>Under heading "Sewerage" add - "Any subdivision of land within the marine farm protection overlay must assess the potential for contamination of coastal water."</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	251	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Coastal Natural Character (Maps 1-5)</i></p> <p>The 2014 Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast study does not separate characteristics from values. It uses a different set of definitions than that contained in the MEP at Policy 6.1.1. Adoption of a different methodology means that the maps contained in the MEP (derived from the 2014 Study) are inconsistent with the policy approach in the MEP. The Coastal Natural Character maps and/or the policies in the MEP need to be redrafted accordingly.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	252	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	253	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	254	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	255	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	256	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character ratings extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Redraft the Coastal Natural Character maps to show a reduction in the seaward extent of the outstanding/very high/high natural character areas.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	257	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Support the natural character mapping in respect of Waihinau Bay.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the waters of Fitzroy Bay as high natural character and the surrounding land as very high natural character.</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>If Fitzroy Bay rating is correct, the MEP should expressly recognise that the presence of marine farming does not affect the values that lead to that classification.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	258	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Support the mapping of the seascape in Beatrix Bay and Anakoha Bay has not having high, very high or outstanding natural character.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the land in Beatrix Bay and the western headland of Anakoha Bay as having high natural character.</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	259	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA notes that Natural Character Map 3 does not in correspond with the Natural Character Index. Squally Cove is not included in the map.</p> <p>Support the natural character mapping at the head of Crail Bay.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the land on the southern side of Whakitenga Bay has having high natural character (according to the online overlay map).</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	260	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Support the natural character mapping in respect of Clova Bay and Whangatoetoe Bay, Port Underwood.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the headlands between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as having high natural character.</p> <p>Amend the natural character mapping in those locations where the mapping is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	261	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Landscape Overlay Maps 1-11 (inferred)</p> <p>The approach in Appendix 1 is focused on descriptions and characterisation, rather than "values". Very few values are identified.</p> <p>The entirety of Appendix 1 needs to be re-written, so that it is consistent with the definition in Policy 7.1.1. This potential methodological flaw has resulted in incorrect mapping. The landscape maps should be amended accordingly.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	262	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Landscape Maps 1-11 (inferred)</p> <p>Submit that there is insufficient justification for the seaward extent of the outstanding natural landscape (ONL) extending so far offshore into Cook Strait.</p> <p>Seek a reduction in the seaward extent of the ONL.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	263	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and half of Waihinau Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape.</p> <p>Amend the mapping of the ONL; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	264	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Oppose the mapping of Fitzroy Bay and the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay as areas of outstanding natural landscape.</p> <p>Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where it is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	265	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Support the mapping of Anakoha Bay, inner Beatrix Bay and Clova Bay.</p> <p>Oppose the mapping of the headland between Beatrix Bay and Waimaru Bay, and Whangatoetoe Bay (Port Underwood) as areas of outstanding natural landscape.</p> <p>Amend the mapping of the ONL at the locations where that is opposed; or</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	266	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	267	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	268	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	269	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	270	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	271	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	272	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	273	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	274	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	275	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	276	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	277	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	278	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	279	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	280	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	281	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The MFA acknowledges the work carried out in the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report, and does not object per se to the mapping of these sites. However, the MFA opposes the policies implemented in respect of these sites.</p> <p>The mapped sites do not apply the significance criteria in Policy 8.1.1 MEP, but adopt the Davidson 2011 criteria. The 2011 significant sites work is a regional assessment, and was not intended to mirror the approach in Policy 11 of the NZCPS. It is unclear whether the mapped sites are 11(a) or 11(b) NZCPS sites. Overall, the mapping lacks consistency with policy, and the intended outcome is unclear.</p> <p>Seek changes to Chapter 8 Policies and Appendix 3 Significance Criteria, as proposed elsewhere.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	282	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Davidson's mapped sites (including whales and dolphins) should not be equated with Policy 11(a) sites, because the significant sites work did not adopt the NZCPS Policy 11(a) criteria. The assessment of whether the 2011 significant sites fall within Policy 11(a) or 11(b) criteria in the NZCPS is yet to be undertaken.</p> <p>Whales have rarely been observed travelling through Tory Channel. The Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report refers to the migratory route for whales being in Cook Strait, rather than Tory Channel proper. The MFA encourages its members to have appropriate management plans in place in respect of marine mammals.</p> <p>The map should be redrafted to be consistent with the text of the Davidson 2011 Significant Marine Sites report, or it should be expressly recognised that marine farms do not have an adverse effect on whales.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	283	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The Marine Mammal (Dolphin) map is based on the Davidson 2011 Significant Sites report. The authors of that report were asked to identify regionally, rather than nationally significant sites. The 2011 report does not mirror the approach taken in Policy 11 of the NZCPS.</p> <p>Arguably only site 8.1 of Map 18 is a nationally significant site (for Hector's dolphins). However, Hector's dolphins are not necessarily seen regularly throughout the full extent of that area. Area 4.17 is not a nationally significant site, and arguably area 2.17 (Admiralty Bay) is significant habitat for Dusky dolphins (as opposed to nationally significant habitat in terms of Policy 11(a) of the NZCPS. For example, the <i>Admiralty Bay Consortium</i> Environment Court decision noted that the site was significant in terms of s6(c), rather than under NZCPS Policy 11(a)).</p> <p>An avoid policy is not, therefore, justified in respect of these sites, or at least not an area including the side bays.</p> <p>The MEP should be amended:</p> <p>So that a strict avoidance approach is not adopted in respect of these sites, consistent with the proposed changes to the policies at Chapter 8;</p> <p>To specify which species of dolphin are relevant to each of the mapped areas; and</p> <p>Maps should be updated in light of recent population research: http://www.stuff.co.nz/environment/82818673/research-shows-hectors-dolphin-population-bigger-than-previously-realised.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	284	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.8</i></p> <p>It is unclear from the mapping whether these sites are intended to be regionally or nationally significant sites.</p> <p>Support the mapping of sensitive area 3.8, but oppose the planning approach implemented in respect of this area in the MEP provisions.</p> <p>The potential adverse effects of marine farms on elephant fish spawning areas are minor, and adverse effects can be adequately mitigated using adaptive management if need be (<i>Clearwater Mussels Ltd v Marlborough District Council</i> [2016] NZEnvC 21 at [151] – [157]).</p> <p>Seek changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	285	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.8</i></p> <p>It is unclear from the mapping whether these sites are intended to be regionally or nationally significant sites.</p> <p>Support the mapping of sensitive area 3.8, but oppose the planning approach implemented in respect of this area in the MEP provisions.</p> <p>The potential adverse effects of marine farms on elephant fish spawning areas are minor, and adverse effects can be adequately mitigated using adaptive management if need be (<i>Clearwater Mussels Ltd v Marlborough District Council</i> [2016] NZEnvC 21 at [151] – [157]).</p> <p>Seek changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	286	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.8</i></p> <p>It is unclear from the mapping whether these sites are intended to be regionally or nationally significant sites.</p> <p>Support the mapping of sensitive area 3.8, but oppose the planning approach implemented in respect of this area in the MEP provisions.</p> <p>The potential adverse effects of marine farms on elephant fish spawning areas are minor, and adverse effects can be adequately mitigated using adaptive management if need be (<i>Clearwater Mussels Ltd v Marlborough District Council</i> [2016] NZEnvC 21 at [151] – [157]).</p> <p>Seek changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission, in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	287	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.13</i></p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the gannet colony at the Waimaru Peninsula.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	288	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.14</i></p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that the spat catching site in Clova Bay does not adversely affect the estuarine fringe and sub-tidal habitat inshore of the farm.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	289	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 3.20</i></p> <p>Remove any area used for navigation and Havelock Port from mapped site 3.20. The effect of the mapping and proposed rule 16.13.16 is to require all boats using the channel to have a resource consent to take coastal water.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	290	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Ecologically Significant Marine Site 6.3</i></p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely affect the red algae bed in Cutters Bay.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	291	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	National Transportation Route	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The National Transportation Route in Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound should not be mapped to extend into all of the side bays.</p> <p>The National Transportation Route map should show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	292	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 64	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay should be given recognition in the zoning maps.</p> <p>Amend zoning map 64 to zone the commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	293	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 111	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Okiwi Bay</p> <p>The commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay should be given recognition in the zoning maps.</p> <p>Amend zoning map 111 to zone the commercial wharf and the boat ramp at Okiwi Bay as Port Landing Area Zone; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	294	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 65	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Elaine Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 65 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	295	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 103	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Elaine Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 103 to zone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	296	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 77	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>Oyster Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 77 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>					
401	Aquaculture New Zealand	297	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 139	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><i>Oyster Bay</i></p> <p>Amend zoning map 139 to zone the commercial wharf at Oyster Bay as Port Zone;</p> <p>Expand the size of the zone to include more of the CMA, the Open Space Zone, and the adjacent road; and</p> <p>Relevant consequential amendments to policies and rules throughout the MEP.</p>					
797	Johnathan Dean Arbuckle	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>This information is included to complete the submission database only.</p> <p>As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.</p>					
1034	P W Archer	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8184 Hallam Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>					
1034	P W Archer	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>					
1034	P W Archer	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8184 Hallam Cove and 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>					
1034	P W Archer	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8184 Hallam Cove; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.</p>					
1034	P W Archer	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1034	P W Archer	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8184 Hallam Cove and 8304 Cregoe Point; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1102	Scott Archer	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
52	Anthony Armstrong	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I submit that 'outdoor fires' should not be banned.</p> <p>I have incinerated tree waste, after it has completelydried, for the last forty years with never a complaint. This has always been done in spring orsummer, when convection takes the smoke, which only occurs on lighting, highabove the city. This is not a detrimentto the environment.</p> <p>I have never seen in Blenheim, garden fires that pollute.This is a minor problem, if indeed it is a problem at all. During my three times weekly walks up theWither Hills, I see no smoke coming from Blenheim residences. Thus out door fires are infrequent.</p> <p>The outdoor fires do not add to pollution if burnt on a warmsummers day. If you consider they do,where is your proof?</p> <p>I submit that either you ditch this proposal, or you limitfires to a specific timeframe, say April to October. This is what happened in Christchurch.</p> <p>Trusting you give this submission your earnest consideration.</p>					
551	Ben Armstrong	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
551	Ben Armstrong	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
551	Ben Armstrong	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
551	Ben Armstrong	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
551	Ben Armstrong	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
551	Ben Armstrong	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
551	Ben Armstrong	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
551	Ben Armstrong	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
551	Ben Armstrong	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
551	Ben Armstrong	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8358; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8354; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8082; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8443; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8269; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	13	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8355, 8358, 8354, 8560, 8551, 8082, 8167, 8443, 8269 and 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8355; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8358; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8354; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8560; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8551; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	21	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8082; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	22	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8167; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	23	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8443; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	24	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8269; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	25	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	26	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
546	Aroma Aquaculture Limited	27	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8355, 8358, 8354, 8560, 8551, 8082, 8167, 8443, 8269 and 8250; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
547	Aroma New Zealand Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
877	Lynette Ashby	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
877	Lynette Ashby	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
877	Lynette Ashby	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
877	Lynette Ashby	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
877	Lynette Ashby	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
877	Lynette Ashby	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
877	Lynette Ashby	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
877	Lynette Ashby	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
877	Lynette Ashby	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
877	Lynette Ashby	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
74	Helen Ashworth	1	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>It is therefore requested that provision be made in the Marlborough Environment Plan for organised Guiding and Scouting camp fires to be an authorised activity. It is fully appreciated that this would most likely be a Restricted Activity hence allowing permits/safeguards to be put in place. A mandatory fire permit per camp fire/or per location is considered entirely reasonable to enable MDC/Fire Service spot checks to be made on the location, safety and burn cleanliness of the fire - hence satisfying the intent of the act around air quality while teaching our future adults their responsibilities for the environment.</p> <p>Please don't allow the Marlborough girls to miss out and not get the chance to learn fire safety, care of the environment and the wider values of Girl Guiding.</p>					
76	Helen Ashworth	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
76	Helen Ashworth	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
1310	Craig and Christine Aston	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).					
912	Myken Augustine	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
912	Myken Augustine	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
912	Myken Augustine	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
912	Myken Augustine	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
912	Myken Augustine	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
912	Myken Augustine	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
912	Myken Augustine	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
912	Myken Augustine	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
912	Myken Augustine	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
912	Myken Augustine	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1275	Lewis Noel Austin	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Retain as is without any alteration.</p> <p>The submission does not identify a zone, provision or property number to which the above statement relates to. It is <i>inferred</i> that standard 30.1.3.2.2 in the Sounds Residential Zone of the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan (below and emphasis added) is relevant to the submission.</p> <p><i>Sounds Residential Zone</i></p> <p><i>30.1.3 Amenities</i></p> <p><i>Standard 30.1.3.2.2 Provided that <u>no building may be sited closer than 20 metres from a coastal marine area boundary</u> or 8.0 metres of a foreshore reserve.</i></p>					
410	Awarua Trust - Dodson Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Council to undertake the following and amend Issue 4A</p> <p>a) Provide a full assessment of the social and economic benefits to Marlborough, including the added value from primary production.</p> <p>b) Provide an explanation of how the economic indicators are derived</p> <p>c) Provide reference to the economic monitoring reports that are used.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the 4.M.4 Guideline should be amended to read:</p> <p><i>The Council will make extensive use of guidelines to assist resource users to carry out their activities according to best practice for environmental outcomes. Guidelines will be developed in consultation with resource users and groups that represent their interests. The Council will rely on support resource user groups to implement the guidelines.</i></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Council to provide more information for submitters to make an informed judgement on whether the Monitoring target is appropriate, including:</p> <p>a) A summary of the primary sector contributions to Marlborough GDP over the last 10 years.</p> <p>b) Providing the rational for the stated monitoring target " <i>The primary sector contributes over 15% of Marlborough GDP</i>"</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2?	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional paragraph to be inserted under Policy 5.2.6: <i><u>Based on the preceding 24 hour average (midnight to midnight), water abstraction will be subject to rationing or shut-off by 8.00am when river flows drop below the required management flow level or conversely water abstraction will not re-commence until 8.00am when river flows rise above the required management flow level.</u></i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.7.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.10.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Implement the proposed Method of Implementation 5.M.2: <i>5.M.2 Water user groups</i> <i>Encourage the establishment of water user groups to assist the Council to manage water resources. In particular, seek to work with water user groups in the Awatere and Waihopai FMUs to achieve voluntary rationing of water takes in response to falling flows in order to achieve the objectives for each river.</i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.18.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.19.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.21.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.22.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.23.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.24.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Seek confirmation from MDC that the paper over-allocation of Awatere River Class A and B water will not trigger a review of resource consents under Policy 5.2.25; and that the over-allocation will be resolved through the claw-back of unutilised water allocation as Resource consents are progressively renewed or a Resource consent lapses.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Note comments for Policy 5.3.1 as follows: The proposed hierarchy does not reflect the importance of water takes for irrigation used for primary production across the region. In an Awatere context the Municipal supply allocation of 8000m3/day is essential and needs to be provided for.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the second and third paragraph be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>For some rivers, two allocation classes are provided for, referred to as Class A and Class B. In many cases, the two classes are carried over from previous planning instruments. Class A water permits have a greater inherent reliability, due to their lower restrictions, than Class B permits. In some cases, a Class B allocation has been provided for the first time in order to provide for growth in demand (while the constraints of the water resource). These allocations classes provide for run-of-the-river irrigation, and other instantaneous uses and <u>for the pumping into storage.</u></i></p> <p><i>Allocation moves sequentially through the two allocation classes.</i></p> <p><i>Note that Policy 5.8.2 also provides for a Class C allocation for some water resources, specifically <u>primarily</u> for storage purposes, <u>although Class C can be utilised for direct irrigation at lower reliability.</u> Class C water can be applied for at any stage.</i></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Support in full.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The Awatere Users Group seeks confirmation from Council that there will be <u>no additional allocation</u> of water to land outside the Awatere River FMU .					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy wording</p> <p>(a) That Policy 5.7.2 be amended so that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 - To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>(b) That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i><u>Policy 5.7.X - To recognise that land users require water for use other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations for water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</u></i></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.7.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.8.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.9.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.10.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend policy wording:</p> <p>(a) <i>Policy 5.8.3 - In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>(b) Also change the last paragraph from:</p> <p><i>For this reason, the policy limits the rate of take of water for storage purposes to the authorised daily take for irrigation purposes. This still provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. but also ensures that the abstraction would have no greater effect on existing users than the daily take solely for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>Replace last paragraph with the following:</p> <p><i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and Class B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the last sentence of the paragraph as follows: <i>If a water user group exists for the FMU, then the Council will seek to <u>work with the group</u> with it to <u>assist Council running the ballot.</u></i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	80	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.6.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	81	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.7.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	82	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.8	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.8.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	83	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 5.M.9.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	84	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows:</p> <p><i>Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability, <u>and downstream irrigation intakes by:</u></i> </p> <p>Insert additional bullet point:</p> <p><i><u>(vi) the location and timing of gravel extraction activities upstream of irrigation intakes.</u></i></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	85	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>a) Support the approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access for extensive grazing properties.</p> <p>b) Recommend that Council work with Industry groups to develop a Code of practice and industry guidelines to mitigate the potential effects of extensively grazed livestock on fresh water bodies.</p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	86	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.24.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.31.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	88	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.32 as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 - In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) In the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the distance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) in the case of water supply intakes and associated structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water;</i></p> <p><i>(v) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated; and</i></p> <p><i>(vi) for freshwater, the potential effects of increase turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan;</i></p> <p><i>(vii) Riverbed activities in, on, under or over the River bed (with exception of the taking of water), which require resource consent, must prepare site specific management plans that set out how adverse effects from activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated.</i></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	89	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include additional bullet point:</p> <p><u><i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</i></u></p> <p><u><i>Engage with water user group when determining the need for research, the design and implementation of research projects.</i></u></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	90	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include additional bullet point:</p> <p><u><i>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</i></u></p>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	93	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	94	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	95	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.8.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	96	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.9.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	97	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.10.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	98	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rue 2.2.11.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	99	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.12.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	100	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.14.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	101	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.15.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	102	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.16.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	103	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.17.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	104	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.24.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.24.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	105	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	106	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	107	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	108	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.8.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	109	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.10.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	110	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.11.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	111	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.13.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	112	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.14.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	113	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.15.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	114	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.16.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	115	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.3.23.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	116	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Controlled Activities 2.4 (as listed).					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	117	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Discretionary Activities 2.5 (as listed).					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Prohibited Activities 2.6 (as listed).					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activities 2.7 (as listed).					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.8.1.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.8.1.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	122	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.8.1.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council to work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.8.15 as follows: <i><u>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no activity must occur within 50 metres of a riverbed nesting bird, or a nesting bird on a lakebed.</u></i>					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.8.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	126	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.8.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	127	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	128	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	129	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	130	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.4.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	131	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.5.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	132	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.7.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	133	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.9.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	134	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.9.10.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.1.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.2.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.11.3.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	140	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Retain provisions as proposed for the Awatere FMU - Municipal Supply, Class A and Class B water. b) Increase the volume of Awatere FMU Class C water available for Allocation from 226,640m3/day to 259,200m3/day.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	141	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	a) Retain provisions as proposed for the Awatere FMU - Minimum Flow and Management Flows for the Municipal Supply, Class A and Class B water. b) Amend the Awatere FMU - Class C Management Flow level (level when rationing is to commence) to allow for an increase in the Class C Allocation to 259,200m3/day (3,000L/s).					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	142	Volume 4	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include an Index system linking individual Maps to a page number.					
548	Awatere Water Users Group Incorporated	143	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Freshwater Management Unit 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council to provide further information and clarify how the Freshwater Management Units are to be managed for these areas including updating the FMU - Map 2.					
453	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following (bold) to Standard 3.3.11.2 (<i>inferred</i>): (x) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a cycle and/or walking track;					
453	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following (bold) to Standard 4.3.10.2 (<i>inferred</i>): (x) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a cycle and/or walking track;					
453	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity: 3.1.xx Construction of cycle and walking tracks.					
453	Vernon Thomas Fraser Ayson	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity: 4.1.xx Construction of cycle and walking tracks.					
1268	Azwood Energy	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	3	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1268	Azwood Energy	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	6	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	7	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	8	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	9	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.5.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	10	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	11	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	12	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1268	Azwood Energy	13	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	14	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	15	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	16	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	17	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	18	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	19	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					
1268	Azwood Energy	20	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (a) of this Rule [<i>inferred</i>].					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
19	Jessica Bagge	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>2.36.7.3 Where a pavement sign is used it must:</p> <p>(a) not exceed 1100mm in height by 600mm width.</p>					
220	Jessica Bagge	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That there is some reference and directive in Volume One around Cell Phone Towers and that is backed up by some rules in Volume Two.</p> <p>I do not accept this is a Central Government issue that MDC is powerless to influence.</p>					
222	Jessica Bagge	1	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I would like to see some amendments/alternatives to the LRV concept.</p> <p>I will present some options to the Hearing Committee.</p>					
227	Jessica Bagge	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
227	Jessica Bagge	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15D	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
227	Jessica Bagge	3	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.28	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
227	Jessica Bagge	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I will offer some ideas when I speak to the Hearings Committee.</p> <p>In the meantime consider this:</p> <p>If this is all driven by Government, then until Council has a complete handle on the where we are at the moment across Marlborough, and what is contributing to the issues, then the best thing to do is simply stand up to Government and let them know that when we are organised and have a plan, we'll do something. This piecemeal, panicked reactive response to yet another Government imposed regulation is hurting the people Council is supposed to represent. You are paid by the ratepayer, not the tax payer. There are bigger things to worry about.</p> <p>Removing the ability for homeowners to heat their homes to achieve so little in the PM 10 fight, without consultation and scant education or forewarning, is heavy handed and unnecessary. Nobody is saying we shouldn't do something, but the outright banning of fires and logburners (older than 15 years) is so draconian. There was no warning.</p> <p>I look forward to meeting the Hearings Committee.</p>					
372	Milton and Pauline Bailey	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
782	James Baker	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i> <i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline. <i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i> • <i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline. <i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i> • <i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline. <i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i> • <i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline. <i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i> • <i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i> 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Limestone Coastline.</p> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around excavation and filling they would like included. It is been inferred that the following headings and standards are:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.3.14 Excavation and standards 3.3.14.1 to 3.3.14.12</i> • <i>Heading 3.3.16 Filling of land with clean fill and standards 3.3.16.1 to 3.3.16.11</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Chalk Range.</p> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Chalk Range.</p> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Inland Kaikoura Range.</p> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that the provisions in Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone relating to excavation and filling are extended to cover the Inland Kaikoura Range.</p> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the siting and reflectance of buildings they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heading 3.2.1 Construction and siting of a building ... and standard 3.2.1.13.</i> <p><i>The submitter has not identified the additional controls around the planting of commercial forestry they would like included. It is been inferred that the relevant heading and standard is:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Heading 3.3.6 Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) and standard 3.3.6.2 Planting must not be in, or within:</i> 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>SEEK that similar controls on the location and reflectance of new buildings, the planting of commercial forestry and limits on excavation and filling of land are applied to large areas of Outstanding Landscapes in south Marlborough that do not appear to have any land use activity controls in place.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	SEEK that appropriate controls apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK additional control requiring assessment of the risk of tree spread using the industry Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place. In addition, any spread that is obviously from a plantation area (ie "tree rain" spreading out of a planted area), should be required to be controlled by the landowner to avoid it becoming a future threat.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	SUPPORT <i>Standards 4.3.6..1(a) and (b) Commercial forestry replanting</i> regarding setbacks from rivers and wetlands.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	SEEK larger setbacks from the coastal marine area, could be related to slope, ie 30m where the slope for a distance of 500m is less than 20 degrees, otherwise 100 metres. This is likely to have benefits in reducing sedimentation as well as landscape benefits. (refer Urlich Report)					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	SEEK further provisions relating to the management of any commercial forest species that causes wilding issues, including where existing forestry operations are required to set back for future planting and harvesting (i.e. from the coastal marine area). There should be requirements to control re-growth and manage these areas back into permanent native cover for long term landscape and other benefits.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, I SEEK that this is amended to include " all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45%1 or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision I SEEK is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. Sheep should also be excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. This would capture most of the more intensively farmed lowland areas while not capturing the less intensively farmed hill country areas. Anyone wanting to apply for consent to allow livestock access to waterways could then be required to monitor upstream and downstream of this activity to ensure it is not having adverse effects on water quality, thereby putting the onus for monitoring onto the landowner. Another way to clarify requirements would be to exclude stock from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation (<i>inferred Tables 15.1 and 15.2</i>). This is based on long-term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality. 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The decision I SEEK is that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Livestock should be excluded from the beds of lakes, and Significant Wetlands and suitable setbacks to avoid adverse effects, a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer should all be required to be excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. Sheep should also be excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. This would capture most of the more intensively farmed lowland areas while not capturing the less intensively farmed hill country areas. Anyone wanting to apply for consent to allow livestock access to waterways could then be required to monitor upstream and downstream of this activity to ensure it is not having adverse effects on water quality, thereby putting the onus for monitoring onto the landowner. Another way to clarify requirements would be to exclude stock from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation (<i>inferred Tables 15.1 and 15.2</i>). This is based on long-term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality. 					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	I also SUPPORT the voluntary partnership approach with landowners as the primary means for protection on private land (<i>inferred Policy 8.2.2</i>) with the proviso that this should be well resourced and the results of the approach monitored to make sure that real gains are being made. This also means that for significant natural area sites to be reasonable protected from clearance, the clearance rules need scrutiny.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain standards under Heading 3.3.11 in Volume 2 Chapter 3 Rural Environment Zone apart from standard 3.3.11.5 (<i>Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m2 per Computer Register in any 5 year period</i>).					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submitter does not believe any indigenous forest in south Marlborough should be able to be cleared as a permitted activity. However, no decision requested has been included in the submission. <i>It is inferred that the status of this activity should be discretionary activity.</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	23	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standards 4.3.10 in Volume 2 Chapter 4 Coastal Environment Zone apart from standard 4.3.10.5 (<i>Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m2 per Computer Register in any 5 year period</i>).					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	24	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submitter does not believe any indigenous forest in south Marlborough should be able to be cleared as a permitted activity. However, no decision requested has been included in the submission. <i>It is inferred that the status of this activity should be discretionary activity.</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	25	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reference to the need for landscape requirement, as set out in the Subdivision Code of Practise and the Urban Design Protocol. This requirement should read something like this: <i>'To provide for tree planting within new urban residential, business, and industrial developments, that a dedicated grass berm width of a minimum of 1.5 metres or alternative tree planting sites of a minimum of 9m2 be included, with no intrusion of underground or overhead services within that space.'</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	26	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rule 24.3.1 and more particularly those provisions under the heading <i>'Matters over which the Council has reserved control'</i> should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it. New standard to be added: <i>24.3.1.27. Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures within the road reserves and other part of the subdivision proposed to be vested in Council or held under corporate body or other community ownership and administration within the subdivision that are required by Rule 24. 1.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	27	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1 should have the following rules added to it:</p> <p>Under the heading <i>Esplanade Reserves and Esplanade Strips</i>;</p> <p><i>A landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all esplanade reserves and esplanade strips shall be provided with any application for consent to subdivide. The landscape plan shall show landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	28	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1 Under the heading <i>Telecommunications</i>;</p> <p><i>All telecommunication lines shall be located underground.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	29	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1 Under the heading <i>Electricity</i>;</p> <p><i>All electricity lines shall be located underground.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	30	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.1</p> <p>Under the heading <i>'Roading'</i>;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all roads within the subdivision The landscape plan shall show any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, a tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment, where this is possible.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	32	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Under a new heading Reserves and other public spaces, excluding esplanade reserves and esplanade strips;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan shall be provided for all roads within the subdivision The landscape plan shall show any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, a tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	33	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.4.1. and more particularly those provisions under the heading 'Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p>Add a new standard: 24. 4. 1. 15. <i>Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	34	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The following rules or words to a similar effect should be added to Rule 24.2.1;</p> <p><i>24.2. 1.2. All network utilities lines shall be located underground.</i></p> <p><i>New Standard 24.2.1.3 All structures, located within roadways and other land areas being vested, including, but not exclusively, cases and containers containing services and utilities and other equipment required or proposed to be located above ground surface shall be coloured in low reflectivity colours [these are to be specified in the rule] and screened from the road frontage and adjacent lots by landscape planting or land shaping or combination of these.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	35	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.5 should have the following rule or words to a similar effect added to it, or words to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>New Standard 24. 5. 4. Any application for consent to subdivide that does not comply with rules 24.1. 7., 24.1 .12, 24.1.18, 24.1 .1.1, 24.2.1.3 and 24.2.1.2 as applicable (requested by this submission to be added to the Plan) shall be publically notified.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	36	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Appendix 7 should have the following rule added under the heading '<i>Information</i>';</p> <p>New point under Heading <i>Information</i></p> <p><i>Point 13. A landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	37	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground</i></p> <p><i>Inferred that this new Objective and policy is under Issue 4C</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	38	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of [refer to the headings of chapter 12] provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p><i>Inferred that the new Objective and policy is under Issue 12A</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	39	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of [refer to the headings of chapter 14] provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p>Inferred that the new Objective and policy is under Issue 14B</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	40	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of [refer to the headings of chapter 7] provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rules to the rules in each zone.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing trees within roads shall be retained unless they are replaced within 1 month of their removal. Any new subdivisions shall include trees planted within the road reserves and the applications for consent to subdivide shall include a landscape planting and land shaping plan including street trees at a minimum of one tree located within the area of the road reserve that is adjacent to each lot within the subdivision. Pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent. Any trees removed for the purpose of protecting existing lines shall be replaced by new trees. Where any telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground. Rules making these requirements shall be included within each of the zones within the Plan. Equipment, structures and containers associated with services and utilities located within roadways shall be screened by vegetation and coloured in low reflectivity colours [these will need to be specified in the rule]. <p>Note, the above rules deal with road reserve, which is un-zoned in the proposed MEP. Therefore, it is more appropriate to include them in the General Rules.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	42	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>DECISION I SEEK rewording of paragraph 1 in Chapter 10 to say something like "Trees which are not heritage or notable trees are also important to our district. The ongoing planting and management of all trees in both private and public areas is important for the ongoing maintenance and replenishment of our district's tree population."</p> <p>ANOTHER DECISION I SEEK a reassessment of the resources the Council has to monitor and manage the rules around Notable Trees and the Resource Consents related to them. I fear that unless the rules are monitored, then there will be a disregard for them by developers and contractors, as well as private property owners.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>DECISION I SEEK a reassessment of the resources the Council has to monitor and manage the rules around Notable Trees and the Resource Consents related to them. I fear that unless the rules are monitored, then there will be a disregard for them by developers and contractors, as well as private property owners.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	44	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include the following statement to the start of Line 1, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction:</p> <p><i>"Society currently relies on fossil fuels as an energy source but needs to find alternatives as quickly as possible. The consumption of these fuels and livestock farming are the two major contributors to the large increase in the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere over the last 150 years."</i></p> <p>Re-word Line 5, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction to read:</p> <p><i>"Global temperatures are approximately 1.2°C higher than pre-industrial levels and 0.6°C higher now than they were in the early 1990s. To prevent dangerous and potentially irreversible impacts of climate change global temperatures must be kept well below 2°C above preindustrial levels."</i></p> <p>Re-word Line 6, Paragraph 1 of the Introduction by deleting "While there is not unanimous agreement" and start sentence with "There is now strong evidence... "</p> <p>Re-word Line 1, Paragraph 2 of the Introduction to read "In Marlborough, NIWA predicts it is predicted that the mean temperature will increase by approximately 4 degree 1.8 degrees C by 2040 and 2 2.8 degrees C 2.8 degrees C by 2090 <u>above the pre-industrial mean.</u>"</p> <p>Line 4, Paragraph 3 of the Introduction Adverse long term effects of global warming are likely to outweigh any regional short term benefits that may occur and should be reflected in this statement.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	45	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Issue 19A Paragraph 4 explanation Recognition of the far greater likelihood of adverse effects from global warming <u>should be put last in this section.</u></p> <p>Issue 19A Paragraph 7 explanation "The predictions of climate change at a national level involve significant uncertainty and little work has been undertaken to apply these national predictions to Marlborough's climate. This makes the task of responding to the effects of climate change in Marlborough difficult. This situation is complicated further by the fact that New Zealand and Marlborough are subject to natural climate variations associated with La-Nina/El Nino and the Interdecadal Pacific oscillation. These natural variations will be superimposed on human-induced long term climate changes."</p> <p>Delete this paragraph as it adds nothing of value to the plan.</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	46	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Line 4 Explanatory paragraph I suggest a stronger emphasis be placed on ecologically wise use, development and protection of natural and physical resources.</p> <p>Remove the word "offset" the policy statement and replace with "reduce" (inferred).</p>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	47	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following changes to the policy statement Policy 19.1.1 – Promote actions within Marlborough to reduce or offset carbon emissions. Policy 19.1.1 Explanation Line 4 (inferred) Make the following change: <i>For example, the Council could will assess and then address the carbon footprint of delivering its own services to the community and encourage businesses to do likewise.</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	48	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include in the explanatory paragraph and the Anticipated Environmental Result 19.AER.1 on how this policy is to be achieved in practice. Inclusion of public outreach or education programme or consultative process in the AERs to get local people and businesses up to speed with the environmental changes, how to prepare for them and take actions to mitigate them (inferred) .					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	49	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include any moves towards diversification of the primary industries (inferred) .					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	50	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.1.4					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	51	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add wording (underlined) to Policy 19.1.5 (c) " <i>enabling the storage of water <u>during periods of high river flow</u> for subsequent</i> "					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	52	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough) to 19.M.1 Council carbon footprint: " <i>Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint, set goals for reducing carbon emissions in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets, and develop an action plan to reach those goals</i> ".					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	53	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough and underlined) to 19.M.2 Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan: Consider , <i>In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, <u>Council will include provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases.</u></i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	54	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (underlined) to 19.M.4 Research: <i>Apply the findings of international and national climate change research to Marlborough's environment to the extent that is possible <u>and support research in Marlborough</u>. The findings can then be applied to determine and better understand the implications of climate change.</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	55	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 19.M.5 Information.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	56	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method of Implementation 19.M.7 District rules.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	57	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following (<i>italics</i>) to 19.M.8 Advisory Group: Establish an advisory group of science, industry, business and community representatives to work with Council in a collaborative way on identifying climate change threats in Marlborough and on devising appropriate responses.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	58	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Method of Implementation 19.M.X Tree Planting Council to assist to improve co-ordination between community-based groups and industry groups and help to provide an overall strategy around what tree species are planted and where, and simply encourage the planting of trees in this way, and also through Council's operations.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	59	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following change (strikethrough) to Line 3 Paragraph 3 Issue 19B <i>This rise potentially increases the risk of inundation at the coast.</i>					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	60	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the wording in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Objective 19.2 to acknowledge the need to support investigations of where and how these effects will be felt, and into future-proofing communities to create resilience to sea level rise.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	61	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.2.1 - Monitor flood hazard on an ongoing basis.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	63	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.2.2 Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	64	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 19.AER.1 The community's understanding of the effects of climate change and sea level rise improves over time.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	65	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 19.AER.2 Primary producers are able to adapt to the effects of climate change.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	66	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 19.AER.3 Buildings and infrastructure established after the notification of the MEP are not inundated by the sea.					
351	Helen Mary Ballinger	67	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add the following AER to Chapter 19 Climate Change 19.AER.4 <i>"There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District"</i> .					
1011	Peter Banks	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1011	Peter Banks	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1011	Peter Banks	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1011	Peter Banks	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1011	Peter Banks	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1011	Peter Banks	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1011	Peter Banks	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1011	Peter Banks	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	To endorse the recommendations listed in the above proposal.					
1048	Robyn Anne Barclay	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1048	Robyn Anne Barclay	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 4000m 500m of a marine farm."					
317	David Arthur Barker	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I ask that the Council include Lake Elterwater in the outstanding natural features and landscapes of South Marlborough.					
317	David Arthur Barker	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I ask the Council to prohibit further instream dams in the Lake Elterwater catchment to allow freshwater within its system to maintain the existence of the lake.					
317	David Arthur Barker	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I ask the Council to prohibit further instream dams in the Lake Elterwater catchment to allow freshwater within its system to maintain the existence of the lake.					
317	David Arthur Barker	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	I ask that the Council remove the erosion prone strip marked on our property.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1103	Stuart Barnes	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1103	Stuart Barnes	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
257	Gary Barnett	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Federated Farmers opinions be considered					
1104	Simon Barnett	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1258	Gary Barnett	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
1258	Gary Barnett	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 2.9.9.1 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
1258	Gary Barnett	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 2.11.4 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>2.11.4. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
1258	Gary Barnett	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 2.11.5 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>2.11.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
1258	Gary Barnett	5	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
1258	Gary Barnett	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
1258	Gary Barnett	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 3.7.4 (inferred) : <i>Rule 3.7.4 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
1258	Gary Barnett	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Rule 3.7.5 (inferred) : <i>Rule 3.7.5 From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
1258	Gary Barnett	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.21.1 (inferred) : <i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</i>					
1258	Gary Barnett	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
1258	Gary Barnett	11	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 80	Oppose
Decision Requested	I wish that the area shown on accompanying map (attached) be removed from this zone. Part of the area includes private land and the other part is subject to a long term lease and is highly modified agricultural land.					
1258	Gary Barnett	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Does this include a long drop and point source application ie caught short out on the farm? <i>(The submission does not include a specific decision requested.)</i>					
783	Juliet Barton	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
283	Craig Basham	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested on notified provisions is not clear in the Submission.					
263	Mark Batchelor	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Rule 24.3.1 and more particularly those provisions under the heading '<i>Matters over which the Council has reserved control</i>' prescribed in rules 24.3.1.9 to 24.3.1.26, should have the following rules or words to a similar effect added to it.</p> <p><i>24.3.1.27. Landscape plan including planting and existing trees, development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision proposed to be vested in Council or held under corporate body or other community ownership and administration within the subdivision.</i></p> <p><i>The design of stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds, pedestrian pathways and amenity planting, including the species of any planting within them.</i></p> <p><i>How the landscape plan and any site development within public spaces comply with Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principle sand guidelines as referred to in Rule 24.1.</i></p> <p><i>24.3.1.28 the extent to which the application provides for and should provide means of satisfying the Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principles and guidelines.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	2	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rules to the rules in each zone.</p> <p><i>Existing trees within roads shall be retained unless they are replaced within 1 month of their removal.</i></p> <p><i>Any new subdivisions shall include trees planted within the road reserves and the applications for consent to subdivide shall include a landscape planting and land shaping plan including street trees at a minimum of one tree located within the area of the road reserve that is adjacent to each lot within the subdivision.</i></p> <p><i>Pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent.</i></p> <p><i>Any trees removed for the purpose of protecting existing lines shall be replaced by new trees.</i></p> <p><i>Where any telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p> <p><i>Equipment, structures and containers associated with services and utilities located within roadways shall be screened by vegetation and coloured in low reflectivity colours [these will need to be specified in the rule].</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of rural environments provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of urban environments provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of landscape provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following Objective or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Maintain, preserve and, enhance and increase the amenities of natural and physical resources provided in road environments.</i></p> <p>Add the following policy or words to similar effect;</p> <p><i>Rules within each zone applying to public roadways and reserves and other areas of public land and thoroughfares shall include requirements for existing trees to be retained and resource consent for their removal, applications for subdivision consent will be required to provide landscape plans, pruning or removal of any trees within street, reserves and other areas of public thoroughfare shall require resource consent and where telecommunication or lines for similar purpose and electricity lines are being installed or replaced these shall be installed underground.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	7	Volume 3	Appendix 7 Scheme Plan Requirements		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Appendix 7 should have the following rule added under the heading ' <i>Information</i> ' ; <i>13. A landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i>					
263	Mark Batchelor	8	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rule 24.5 should have the following rule or words to a similar effect added to it, or words to a similar effect; <i>24.5.4. Any application for consent to subdivide that does not comply with rules 24.1.7. 24.1.12, 24.1.18, 24.1.1.1, 24.2.1.3 and 24.2.1.2 as applicable (requested by this submission to be added to the Plan) shall be publically notified.</i>					
263	Mark Batchelor	9	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rule 24.4.1. and more particularly those provisions under the heading ' <i>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion</i> ' should have the following matter or words to a similar effect added to it. <i>24.4.1.15. Landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and public garden and ornaments, street furniture and pathways and other structures and public utilities and services proposed to be vested within the road reserves and other parts of the subdivision which will be vested in Council and how existing trees are incorporated in the subdivision layout.</i>					
263	Mark Batchelor	10	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The following rules or words to a similar effect should be added to Rule 24.2.1; <i>24.2.1.2. All network utilities lines shall be located underground.</i> <i>24.2.1.3 All structures, located within roadways and other land areas being vested, including, but not exclusively, cases and containers containing services and utilities and other equipment required or proposed to be located above ground surface shall be coloured in low reflectivity colours ...[THESE ARE TO BE DETERMINED IN THE REVIEW PROCESS]....[these are to be specified in the rule] and screened from the road frontage and adjacent lots by landscape planting or land shaping or combination of these.</i>					
263	Mark Batchelor	11	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Roading</i>':</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan for all roads within the subdivision. The plan shall show any proposed landscape planting, existing trees and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures. The landscape plan shall provide at a minimum, one tree located within the area of road reserve adjacent to each allotment.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	12	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Electricity</i>':</p> <p><i>All electricity lines shall be located underground.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	13	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Telecommunications</i>':</p> <p><i>All telecommunication lines shall be located underground.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	14	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following rule under the heading '<i>Esplanade Reserves and Esplanade Strips</i>':</p> <p><i>A landscape plan shall be provided including planting and existing trees land shaping plan shall be provided for all esplanade reserves and esplanade strips shall be provided with any application for consent to subdivide. The landscape plan shall show landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p>					
263	Mark Batchelor	15	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested						
	<p>Add new requirements under 24.1 as follows:</p> <p>Under a new heading Reserves and other public spaces, excluding esplanade reserves and esplanade strips;</p> <p><i>Applications for subdivision consent shall provide a landscape planting and land shaping plan showing any proposed landscape planting and development including land shaping and tree species and location and ornaments, furniture and pathways and other structures.</i></p> <p>Under a new heading 'Stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds ';</p> <p><i>Stormwater drains and swale areas and floodways and stormwater collection ponds shall be designed to provide grades along their banks that make ingress and egress on foot, provide pedestrian pathways along or around sides and amenity planting within them.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED)</i></p> <p><i>The application shall include description and assessment of how the landscape plan satisfies the Crime Prevention through environmental design(CEPTED) principles and guidelines specified by the 'National guidelines for crime prevention through environmental design in New Zealand (Publication dated November 2005 or any subsequent updates.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>Covenants and other restrictions and controls</i></p> <p><i>Any covenants and any other restrictions and requirements on development, planting, fencing and walls along, on or parallel to the front boundaries placed on properties shall be specified in the application. The application shall include description and assessment of how these satisfy the Crime Prevention through environmental design (CEPTED) principles and guidelines specified by the 'National guidelines for crime prevention through environmental design in New Zealand (Publication date November 2005 or any subsequent updates.</i></p> <p>A new rule be added prescribing the following or wording to a similar effect;</p> <p><i>The application shall include description and assessment of how the New Zealand Urban Design Protocol and any national standards or policy statements relating to urban design.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
278	Mark Batchelor	1	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12B	Oppose

Decision Requested

Additional Objectives and policies shall be added to Chapter 12 of the objectives and policies Volume 1 requiring the following or words to similar effect;

Objective 12.....

To provide opportunity for business development within Business zones adjoining residential areas while protecting the amenities of residential properties and zones adjoining, facing, opposite to and adjacent to areas of Business Zone or on sites that Business activities may be permitted to be established on within an Urban Residential zone.

Policy 12.....

Consideration of the design and appearance, scale, intensity and character of any business development and activity shall be concerned with protecting the existing amenities and amenities that may be expected from the Permitted Activity standards of the surrounding Urban Residential zone.

Policy

Combination of the development and performance standards of the surrounding Urban Residential zone and existing development in the immediately surrounding area shall be used to determine the appropriateness of the scale, intensity and character of building and site development for the business activity.

Policy

The scale, intensity and character of buildings and site development and effects shall be similar or be designed to appear similar to and have effects similar to the scale, intensity and character of development that may be expected from the Permitted Activity standards applicable to the surrounding Urban Residential zone or alternatively that exist in the immediate locality.

Policy

Operational effects will comply with the performance standards applicable to the surrounding Urban Residential Area. In circumstances where the ambient conditions applicable to those matters in regard to which performance standards are prescribed are less than the maximums prescribed by those standards or have variable characteristics resulting from the residential nature of the locality, the operational effects of the business activity in these regards shall be no greater than those ambient levels and characteristics.

278	Mark Batchelor	2	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.	Oppose
------------	----------------	---	----------	-------------------	----	--------

Decision Requested	<p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities. Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p>					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

278	Mark Batchelor	3	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.	Oppose
------------	----------------	---	----------	--------------------	-----	--------

Decision Requested	<p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities. Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p>					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

278	Mark Batchelor	4	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.	Oppose
------------	----------------	---	----------	--------------------	-----	--------

Decision Requested	<p>That the rules be altered to include the following additional rule or words to a similar effect.</p> <p>Site adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p> <p>Development of the site and buildings, activities and operational characteristics are Controlled Activities. Assessment of these applications shall include consideration of the objectives and policies relating to properties adjoining or adjacent or facing residential zoned properties.</p>					
--------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
361	Mark Batchelor	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new rule providing for reduction of onsite parking requirements on the basis of one space for each 5 bike racks under cover and associated bathroom and shower facilities including storage for clothing being provided on the premises.					
571	BDM Management Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
733	Graeme L Beal	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8307 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8307 and 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8307 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
733	Graeme L Beal	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
733	Graeme L Beal	12	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8307 and 8306 in Brightlands Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
608	Beal Family Trust	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	We support and agree with the submission lodged by MFIA and seek the same outcome.					
1069	Beaver Bed and Breakfast	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to:</p> <p><i>2.32 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities</i></p> <p><i>Table 2.1 Parking and Queuing Space Requirements</i></p> <p><i>Visitor accommodation or homestay</i></p> <p><i>For homestays – 21 for each bedroom in addition to that required for the dwelling.</i></p>					
29	Beaver Limited and Clouston Sounds Trust	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 126	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Coastal Living zone be extended over Lot 1 DP 10803 to a more logical boundary based on adjoining zonings.					
452	Beconbrae Farm	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.12					
452	Beconbrae Farm	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13					
452	Beconbrae Farm	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.2					
452	Beconbrae Farm	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7					
1053	Roger Bee	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1053	Roger Bee	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1053	Roger Bee	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1053	Roger Bee	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1053	Roger Bee	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1053	Roger Bee	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1053	Roger Bee	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1053	Roger Bee	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1053	Roger Bee	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1053	Roger Bee	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
41	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
41	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
41	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed standard. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	13	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	16	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed provision. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
42	Edward Ross Beech	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the proposed method. (inferred)					
692	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.6.1.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.8.1.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.10.1.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.7.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
692	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.9.1.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.7.3.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 7.5.3.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.7.1.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 8.3.9.1.					
692	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 8.5.3.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	Support Issue 8A.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 8.1.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
693	Edward Ross Beech	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.2.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.4.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	11	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
693	Edward Ross Beech	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.8.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	13	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	16	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	19	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.2.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	20	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
693	Edward Ross Beech	21	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.4.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	22	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.5.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	25	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	26	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.1.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	27	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.2.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	28	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.3.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
693	Edward Ross Beech	30	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.5.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	31	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.9.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.10.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.11.					
693	Edward Ross Beech	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.12.					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> (c) 30 200 metres of the coastal marine area.</p> <p>That the following new standards are included (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>A buffer zone for all forest plantations is comprised of native bush with its associated under-story.</p> <p>Any pine plantation adjacent to DOC or private land should be made to stop the planting 100 metres from the ridge lines to stop the pines from dominating the skyline and allowing spill over and allowing wilding pines to spread.</p> <p>Any forest that is regarded as an uneconomic forest and the owners have no intention of reharvesting should be compelled to boom spray to kill all the regenerating pines and allow the native bush to regenerate.</p> <p>A harvesting management plan should be required prior to harvest and should include contacting Iwi and Eco tour operators to see if there are any cultural? or environmental issues that they need to be aware of and pay attention too.</p> <p>Every stream bed from the harvest site needs to have debris dams and engineered soak pits or sediment traps that filter out and prevent the sediment from filling up the bays with mud and smothering the benthic life.</p> <p>Kaimoana beds are protected from sedimentation and restored.</p>					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That bottom dredging is banned in the Sounds for both Commercial and recreational uses.</p> <p>That the practice of set netting and long lines is banned.</p> <p>That a sustainable management plan is included for the Sounds recreational fishery.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a Dolphin protection programme for the Sounds is undertaken.					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	4	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Ferry speeds should be limited to 15 kts. No Grandfather clauses. Retain standard 16.3.1.					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13I	Oppose
Decision Requested	That all shipping is prohibited in Tory Channel (<i>inferred</i>).					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
699	Pete and Takutai Beech	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That greater recognition is given to Picton as being "The Environmental Capital of the Sounds" (<i>inferred</i>).					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	1	All	All		Support
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ensure all rules within the MEP are effects based, rather than regulating actual farming activities. Consequential restructuring or amendments to the Plan and other provisions such as the definitions, objectives and policies, or parts thereof, arising from the material amendments sought. 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	2	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour through the adoption of Farm Environment Plans. The alternative pathway could be to the effect of: 					

Farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.

OR

- introduce a method that allows farmers to develop a farm environment plan that enables them to demonstrate compliance with permitted activity rules

- Schedule X could be to the effect of:

A map or aerial photograph showing:

- The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise;
- The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise
- The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds;
- The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies;
- The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as "significant indigenous biodiversity;" and
- The location of any known and recorded heritage sites.

- A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant:

- Nutrient Management;
- Irrigation Management;
- Soils Management;
- Waterbody Management; and/or
- Point sources (e.g. offal pits).

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	3	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete reference to specific species within the rules, standards, and appendices; Amend rules relating to pest species so that they refer back to the Marlborough Regional Pest Management Strategy for direction on management/ control actions.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	9	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Downgrade some of the prohibited activity controls within the MEP B+LNZ submits that some of prohibited activities used within the MEP appear unnecessarily restrictive.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP so that activities that Council has classified as prohibited (rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4; 4.7.4; 3.7.1; 4.7.1.;7.5.1;8.5.1) are downgraded to non-complying or discretionary activities.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	11	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the MEP rules so that any measurements used are practical, part of the everyday vernacular, and can be interpreted by the community.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	15	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	16	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a method is introduced into the MEP that provides for and recognises the value of adopting Farm Environment Plans as an alternate to prescriptive activity based rules.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	22	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	23	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	24	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	25	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	26	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 4.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p> <p>Re-write activity focused rules in Volume 2 to allow Farm Environment Planning as an alternate pathway so that the MEP better achieves the intent outlined in Policy 4.1.1. In particular rewrite rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Objective 14.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a provision in Policy 14.1.1 that recognises Farm Environment Planning as a valid tool to deliver on positive environmental outcomes while maintaining land use flexibility.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A map or aerial photograph showing: • The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise; • The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise • The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds; • The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies; • The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and • The location of any known and recorded heritage sites. • A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nutrient Management; • Irrigation Management; • Soils Management; • Waterbody Management; and/or • Point sources (e.g. offal pits). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	30	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A map or aerial photograph showing: • The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise; • The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise • The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds; • The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies; • The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and • The location of any known and recorded heritage sites. • A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nutrient Management; • Irrigation Management; • Soils Management; • Waterbody Management; and/or • Point sources (e.g. offal pits). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	31	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A map or aerial photograph showing: • The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise; • The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise • The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds; • The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies; • The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and • The location of any known and recorded heritage sites. • A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nutrient Management; • Irrigation Management; • Soils Management; • Waterbody Management; and/or • Point sources (e.g. offal pits). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	32	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include an alternative pathway in the MEP to encourage proactive on-farm behaviour that front foots environmental issues; and/or Establish a new farming rule as a permitted activity which requires the development and implementation of a council approved Farm Environment Plan that would provide an alternative method of complying with the rules associated with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3); • Vegetation clearance (3.3.11; 3.3.12); • Cultivation (3.3.13; 4.3.12); and • Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land (3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5). <p>The alternative pathway would be to the effect (or to similar effect) of: 3.3.1.2. Despite rules (2.9.9; 3.3.21; 4.3.20; 21.3.16.3; 3.3.11; 3.3.12; 3.3.13; 4.3.12; 3.3.23; 4.3.22; 17.3.8; 18.3.9; 19.3.17; 23.3.5;) farming (except intensive farming) undertaken in accordance with a council approved Farm Environment Plan template is a permitted activity, provided the Farm Environment Plan is prepared and implemented in accordance with (schedule X or to like effect), and provided to Marlborough District Council on request.</p> <p>Schedule X could be to the effect of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A map or aerial photograph showing: • The boundaries of the property or within the farm enterprise; • The boundaries of land management units on the property or within the farm enterprise • The location of permanent and intermittent rivers, streams, lakes, drains or ponds; • The location of riparian vegetation and fences adjacent to water bodies; • The location of any areas within the property that are identified in a District Plan as “significant indigenous biodiversity;” and • The location of any known and recorded heritage sites. • A description of the Good Management Practices that will be implemented to target the following management areas, where relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nutrient Management; • Irrigation Management; • Soils Management; • Waterbody Management; and/or • Point sources (e.g. offal pits). 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u> 2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u> b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u> c) <u>the change in reflectance must be <50%.</u> 3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u> (b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u> (c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u> (d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u> 2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u> 3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u> 4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u> 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u></p> <p>a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u></p> <p>b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u></p> <p>c) <u>the change in reflectance must be <50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u></p> <p>(b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u></p> <p>(c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u></p> <p>a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u></p> <p>b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u></p> <p>c) <u>the change in reflectance must be <50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u></p> <p>(a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u></p> <p>(b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u></p> <p>(c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u></p> <p>(d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	36	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend all standards relating to livestock accessing waterways so they focus on the effects of the activity, not prescribing the activity itself. Simplify standards so they are easy to interpret and understand. These standards could be worded to the effect of:</p> <p>Livestock entering onto, or passing across, the bed of a river.</p> <p>1. <u>Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2., the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river.</u></p> <p>2. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river., measured as follows:</u> a) <u>hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</u> b) <u>the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</u> c) <u>the change in reflectance must be <50%.</u></p> <p>3. <u>After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:</u> (a) <u>daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</u> (b) <u>dissolved reactive phosphorus;</u> (c) <u>dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</u> (d) <u>Escherichia coli (E. coli).</u></p> <p>2. <u>Livestock are able to enter water bodies for the purpose of crossing from one side to the other if they are being supervised and actively driven across the water body in one continuous movement.</u></p> <p>3. <u>If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 and 2.</u></p> <p>4. <u>The disturbance of the bed of a river and associated discharge through stock access that does not comply with conditions 1 and 2, or alternatively condition 3, is a discretionary activity.</u></p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	39	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend rules 2.11.4, 3.7.4., and 4.7.4 from prohibited status to discretionary status.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rules 2.11.5., 3.7.5., and 4.7.5.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP. Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment. Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP. Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment. Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	45	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.</p> <p>Amend rules so that the focus is shifted away from activity and onto managing environmental effects of woodlot establishment.</p> <p>Re-evaluate the environmental risk of these standards. Where environmental risk is low, amend so the standards default to a controlled or restricted discretionary activity status, not discretionary.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete tree species names, and amend rules so species establishment restrictions are managed through the Regional Pest Management Strategy, not the MEP.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	49	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	50	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revise activity status from prohibited to discretionary.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	52	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	53	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend all standards relating to woodlot harvest so they focus on the effects of the activity, not the inputs.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	54	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standard 3.3.11.1. to read:</p> <p>Indigenous vegetation clearance must comply with Standards 3.3.12.1 to 3.3.12.11 (inclusive).</p> <p>Note and action relief sought for non-indigenous vegetation clearance.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Redraft permitted activity rule to the effect of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Except as provided by rule 3.3.1.2, non-indigenous vegetation clearance is a permitted activity, as long as the activity complies with the following conditions: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Any earthworks, the formation of any new track and any planting or replanting of forestry trees must not occur on land that is in, or within 8m of: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (i) the bed of a river that is permanently flowing; or (ii) the bed of a lake; or (iii) within 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification; or (iv) within 200m of the coastal marine area; unless the new track or earthworks in (a)(i) to (iv) is: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (A) necessary to connect to and from a formed river crossing point that is a consented or permitted activity, and/or (B) for the purpose of the maintenance or upgrade of an existing track or earthwork. (b) Harvesting, or the maintenance of or establishment of new tracks must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply (c) Any new planting of forestry trees and associated formation of any new track or earthworks must not occur on land that is in, or within 10 m of wetlands (including lakes), unless the new track or earthworks is: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (A) necessary to connect to and from a formed river crossing point that is a consented or permitted activity; and/or (B) for the purpose of the maintenance or upgrade of an existing track* or earthwork. (d) Any area of forestry that is harvested (other than firebreaks, tracks, landing sites or areas in (a) and (b)) must be planted or replanted to protect from erosion as soon as practicable and no later than 18 months from the date of the harvesting, unless the area is left to re vegetate naturally. (e) Water run-off controls must be installed and maintained for tracks and landing sites. (f) Batters, cuts and side castings must be established by methods that prevent slumping. (g) Vegetation must be felled away from and not be dragged through any water body other than where this is necessary to avoid endangering the health and safety of workers, or where it is unavoidable and is the best harvest method such as, but not limited to, hauling through corridors or butt extraction, and (i) any discharge resulting from the activity must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a >20% change in visual water clarity (h) Harvesting must be planned and carried out so as to minimise the amount of slash discharging into any area listed in (a)(i) and (ii). (i) Slash must be removed from within areas listed in (a)(i) where it is blocking river flow, or is diverting river flow and causing bank erosion. (j) Slash associated with landing sites and processing sites must be placed on stable ground and contained to prevent accumulated slash from causing erosion or land instability. (k) Any discharge resulting from the activity must not, after reasonable mixing, cause a greater than 20% change in visual clarity for that waterbody, or/and shall not cause > 20% deposition of sediment on the bed of the waterbody 2. If the farm/ farming enterprise is operating under a council approved Farm Environment Plan, then the Farm Environment Plan takes precedence over conditions 1 (a)- (k) 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	56	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway (as outlined in relief sought for vegetation clearance and stock exclusion) that provides farmers with an alternative way of meeting standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	57	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway (as outlined in relief sought for vegetation clearance and stock exclusion) that provides farmers with an alternative way of meeting standards 3.3.13 and 4.3.12 if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	59	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	60	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	61	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	62	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	63	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Remove 'lime' from each of the rule titles.</p> <p>Amend rules associated with fertiliser application so that the focus is shifted away from managing the activity and onto managing the effects of the activity.</p> <p>Amend rules to reflect fertiliser industry codes of practice.</p> <p>Add an alternative pathway that exempts farmers from fertiliser application rules, if they have developed and are implementing a Farm Environment Plan to a Council approved standard.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	64	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend definition of reasonable mixing to the effect of: Reasonable mixing means for any point source discharge the zone of reasonable mixing in the receiving water must extend from the discharge point as follows:</p> <p>For rivers and streams, the lesser of:</p> <p>a) a distance downstream that equals seven times the width of the river or stream when the flow is at half the median flow; or</p> <p>b) 200m downstream</p> <p>For rivers subject to tidal influence:</p> <p>As for rivers and streams plus a distance upstream equal to half of that allowed downstream when the width is taken at half the median river flow at mid-tide.</p> <p>For artificial watercourses (including farm drainage channels), the greater of:</p> <p>a) 200m downstream; or</p> <p>b) the property boundary.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	65	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Vegetation clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of all forms of indigenous <u>indigenous</u> vegetation including indigenous and exotic plant vegetation by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment.</p>					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	66	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of River to the effect of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>a river or stream that is deeper than 15 cm and wider than 1 metre</u>; but does not include any artificial watercourse (including an irrigation canal, water supply race, canal for the supply of water for electricity power generation, and farm drainage canal) 					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of intensive farming as notified.					
459	Beef and Lamb New Zealand	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed stock to remove irrigated land, i.e: a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for break feeding of winter feed crops <u>(July – September inclusive)</u> ; b) dairy cattle; c) farmed pigs. If there are concerns that the definition does not capture intensively farmed cattle, a industry agreed stocking rate or alternative measure could be introduced.					
771	Ian Beer	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
572	Beleve Limited and R J Davidson Family Trust	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
572	Beleve Limited and R J Davidson Family Trust	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
1105	Simon Bell	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." (Inferred)					
1105	Simon Bell	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." (Inferred)					
1106	Shane Bennett	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1220	Wayne Kelvin Benny	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1107	Shaun Bentham	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
206	Melynda Bentley	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Decision Requested					
	We would like to see accurate and reliable data and evidence that support these proposed guidelines. A public meeting for all those concerned.					
	Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.					
	Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.					
810	Jason Khon Beo	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
720	Gordon Berry	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."					
720	Gordon Berry	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."					
69	Hugh Bethell	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Oppose this change I don't think there will be large amounts of people wanting to put boat lifters in because of the cost of them so it will not be a huge problem					
1122	Steven John Bickley	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1122	Steven John Bickley	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
333	Michael David and Brenda June Biggs	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 72	Support
Decision Requested	Approval of the zoning as detailed on Map 72 (Infer - as it pertains to 349 Anakiwa Road - Lot 1 DP 415536.)					
784	Jackie Biggs	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
784	Jackie Biggs	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
784	Jackie Biggs	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
784	Jackie Biggs	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
784	Jackie Biggs	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
784	Jackie Biggs	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
784	Jackie Biggs	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
784	Jackie Biggs	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
784	Jackie Biggs	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
784	Jackie Biggs	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Ensure the public access policy and clauses are included within the River Management Section of the document to provide consistency. Include the Opawa River Stop bank network also between Blenheim and Renwick as part of the overall cycle network.					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	2	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.9					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.5					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	4	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 9.M.8					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.13					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	6	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amendments are requested that when rail corridors are not available and developed for the use of cycling or walking facilities along State Highway 1 that appropriate alternative cycling and walking infrastructure is developed to ensure both walkers and cyclists are provided well defined and safe transportation routes along State Highway 1.</p> <p>For example: Grovetown Shared pathway currently ends at the Opawa Bridge and cyclists heading south are expected to share the road with heavy traffic along Grove Road. As discussed in Policy 17.4.8 "although people do cycle this section of the state highway, the environment is not conducive to the activity." While a 50 km/hr speed limit is in place along Grove Road, and therefore reducing the risk of injuries as a result of collision, Bike Walk Marlborough requests that investigation and installation of appropriate facilities that not only alleviate safety concerns on State Highway 1 but actively encourage methods of active transport. Alternatively, a cycle crossing and route could be provided for to connect with and along Hutcheson Street, being a significantly lower volume road. It is also requested that inclusion of the proposed Opawa bridge be made and the proposal for a separate bike walk bridge and potential for installing an underpass connecting the east and west of Grove Road. Bike Walk Marlborough also advocates that well defined cycling infrastructure (e.g. cycle lanes) would create more cycle aware drivers and would therefore help to alleviate current risks at roundabouts {please note that the MDC 2016 'Crash or near miss reports' indicate 57% of issues are at intersections}.</p>					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	7	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amendments are requested to include this policy or create additional policy that ensures that any development or subdivision includes pedestrian and cyclist design from the Transport Agency's NZTA Cycling Network Guide and NZTA Pedestrian Planning Guide. Request that MDC 'Subdivision Code of Practise' be updated to include cycling and pedestrian facilities as per the above Guides. <p><i>In July 2016, NZTA produced their Cycling Infrastructure guidelines which provides a framework for New Zealand local authorities wanting to provide and promote cycling in their region. Bike Walk Marlborough requests that these latest guiding documents are used to guide the design and planning of road and subdivisions.</i></p> <p><i>Request that BWMT be consulted on any significant subdivision developments to ensure input into cycling and walking.</i></p>					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	8	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.4					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	9	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amendments are requested that "...Department of Conservation, in maintaining and upgrading the network of recreational walking and bike tracks." As Department of Conservation manage both walking and cycling trails, this amendment ensures Council liaises with Department of Conservation for both facets.</p>					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	10	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.11	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 17.M.11					
471	Bike Walk Marlborough Trust	11	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.3.7					
62	Bike Walk Renwick	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
62	Bike Walk Renwick	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full					
411	Bird Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
7	Barry William Blackley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended so that it only applies to occupation associated with marine farming. (inferred)					
354	Blairich Holdings Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 53	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rezone Nos. 6 and 8 Alma Street, Renwick (Lots 113 and 114 Deeds 5A) to Business Zone 1.					
1012	Phillip Blaylock	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Business 3 zoning (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	2	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 12.4.4.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new Urban Design panel is established for Business Zone 1.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Section 2.31 parking requirements.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No restriction on signage numbers should be included.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Business Zone 1 should be exempt from the requirement.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Section 9 Business Zone 1.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	8	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.1.7 allowing residential activity so long as the residential activity does not interfere with existing use/business activity, in particular around noise requirements.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	9	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.2.1.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	10	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.2.1.6.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	11	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 9.2.1.9.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	12	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Verandahs to be mandatory on all new developments as per the size & build requirements for verandahs as detailed in section 9.2.1.10.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	13	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The landscaping requirement is reduced from 10% to 5% in line with Business Zone 2 & includes requirements for the building owner to have regular maintenance to preserve the landscaping.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	14	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.2.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Increase the minimum gross floor area in section 11.2.1.6 to 1500m2.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	15	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain section 11 Business Zone 3.					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	16	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Business 1 zone (inferred).					
286	Blenheim Business Association Inc	17	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Business 1 zone (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
573	Blenheim Residents and Ratepayers Association Incorporated	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increases the provision of education in relation to environmentally sound burning practices in Marlborough. Considers the impact of restricting the use of certain fireplaces on low/middle income families, and how Council might support a transition to cleaner energy solutions. Considers options that improve the affordable access to green waste disposal facilities in Marlborough. Considers restrictions of green waste burning on the outskirts of Blenheim. <p>The submission does not identify an issue, objective, policy or provision to which the decision requested relates to.</p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	6	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	7	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	8	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy. (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	12	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	21	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	27	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain appendix 6. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only. <i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate irrigation water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows: <i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy: <i>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</i></p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: <i><u>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</u></i></p>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	31	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</p> <p>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</p> <p>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</p> <p>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</p> <p>(ii) <u>the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance</u></p> <p>;</p>					

(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;

(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated

by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated; and

(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.

462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	32	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.18 be amended to add the following bullet point <i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines.</u></i>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.24	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Method of Implementation 15.M.24 be amended to add the following bullet point <i><u>Work with water user groups and other agencies to develop riverbed activity guidelines to prevent or minimise the adverse effects of activities in, on, under or over river beds; to assist in the preparation of site specific management plans and for the processing of resource consent applications.</u></i>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read: <i><u>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: 3.3.13.2 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	36	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.13.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: 3.3.13.3 <u>On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: 3.3.13.4 <u>Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary .or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. 3.3.13.1 <u>On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule subject to amendment to definition of Intensively farmed Livestock. (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard subject to amendment to definition of Intensively farmed livestock.					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	41	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock be amended as follows: <i>Intensively farmed livestock means</i> <i>(a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i> <i>(a) dairy cattle <u>on properties with milking platforms</u>;</i> <i>(b) farmed pigs.</i> <i><u>For clarity Intensively Farmed Livestock does not cover the grazing of dairy cattle on properties without milking platforms except if (a) above applies or the crossing of stock across a river from an extensively grazed area to an intensively / break fed grazed area.</u></i>					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	43	All	All		Support
Decision Requested	The MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	44	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	45	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective (inferred).					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy (inferred)					
462	Blind River Irrigation Limited	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy (inferred).					
609	Blom Ventures Limited and White Gold Enterprice	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
785	John Bloomfield	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
642	Daniel Boa	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
469	Ian Bond	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.10.4					
469	Ian Bond	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard 2.9.7.2 needs to state that it is the invert of the culvert that is set to be					
469	Ian Bond	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 2.9.7.3					
469	Ian Bond	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks Standard 2.9.7.4 to be amended but does not include how it is to be amended.					
469	Ian Bond	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Standard needs to state that the installation of the culvert does not cause erosion over and above that occurring naturally.					
469	Ian Bond	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.10.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks clarification and elaboration to Standard 2.14.10.3 but does not include how it is to be clarified or elaborated upon.					
469	Ian Bond	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks clarification in the wording of Standard 2.17.2.1 but does not include alternative wording to help clarify the standard.					
469	Ian Bond	8	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>It is inferred that from the decision requested "I oppose this definition", the submitter requests that the definition of Commercial forestry harvesting is amended so that the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land is not excluded.</i>					
469	Ian Bond	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>It is inferred that from the decision requested "I oppose the lack of clarity in the rules", the submitter requests that the rules within the Transportation section of the General Rules Chapter 2 should be clear on how the transportation of harvested forest on public roads is treated in terms of consenting.</i>					
469	Ian Bond	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Seek clarification on this specific issue. <i>Inferred that clarity is around whether the planting of Douglas fir is a prohibited activity.</i>					
469	Ian Bond	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Seek clarification on this specific issue. <i>Inferred that clarity is around whether the planting of Douglas fir is a prohibited activity.</i>					
469	Ian Bond	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the 60 days requirement be relaxed to at least 12 calendar months.					
469	Ian Bond	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The wording of this rule needs amending so as not to apply to this situation.					
469	Ian Bond	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
469	Ian Bond	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
469	Ian Bond	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
469	Ian Bond	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter seeks that the standard be amended and relaxed but does not provide alternatives to amend and relax the standard.					
469	Ian Bond	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.2.1.11.					
469	Ian Bond	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 4.2.1.12.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1116	Stuart Edward Borrie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1054	Ron Bothwell	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>[Inferred]</p> <p>Amend Standard 3.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii);</i></p> <p><i>(b) Lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta);</i></p> <p><i>(c) Muricata pine (Pinus muricata);</i></p> <p><i>(d) European larch (Larix decidua);</i></p> <p><i>(e) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris);</i></p> <p><i>(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (Pinus mugo);</i></p> <p><i>(g) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra).</i></p>					
1054	Ron Bothwell	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>[Inferred]</p> <p>Amend to exclude excavation for roading.</p>					
1054	Ron Bothwell	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	[Inferred] Amend to exclude excavation for roading.					
1054	Ron Bothwell	4	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	[Inferred] Delete all provisions pertaining to or affecting forestry.					
1264	Ron Bothwell	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1264	Ron Bothwell	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1264	Ron Bothwell	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1264	Ron Bothwell	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8431, 8436 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
913	Michael Bourke	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
581	Campbell Bowis	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
277	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2&3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
277	Peter Bown	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
277	Peter Bown	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include an exemption for certain types of excavation (inferred).					
277	Peter Bown	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
277	Peter Bown	5	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like Council to exempt fences, water troughs & shelter belts from Flood Hazard Levels 2 & 3 and/or to rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
277	Peter Bown	6	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include an exemption for certain types of excavation (inferred).					
277	Peter Bown	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 28	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone some of Flood Hazard areas shown on our place (PN160485).					
281	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council exempt stock fences within 20 meters of a Riparian Natural Character Area.					
298	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I would like dispensation for reasonable accidental stock entry to waterways. To be still able to briefly move a mob of sheep & beef stock from one side of Timms creek to the other periodically.					
299	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like council to allow dispensation for reasonable accidental stock entering a waterway. Also dispensation for the limited brief movement of sheep & beef animals across the bed of a river.					
305	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	For council to allow intensive farms to bury dead animals as well. (In a sensible way)					
306	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council needs to distinguish between deliberate nesting disturbance & accidental.					
308	Peter Bown	1	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That dairy farming be treated as per a discretionary maner.					
451	Bown Partnership	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend permitted activity rule 2.2.6 with the following change (bold): <i>Take and use of water for dairy shed wash water and dairy milk cool down up to 15m3 per day per dairy shed.</i>					
451	Bown Partnership	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Relax the requirement that excludes everything except biodegradable material to be disposed of into farm rubbish pits and/or delete standard 3.3.31.1.					
451	Bown Partnership	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Submission does not include alternative wording to clarify that standard 3.3.32.6 that it is the entry of surface run-off into the pit that needs to be prevented rather than exposure to rain.					
88	Chris Bowron	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	I support the statement					
88	Chris Bowron	2	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	I support the statement					
88	Chris Bowron	3	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Support					
88	Chris Bowron	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	I support this.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
88	Chris Bowron	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I would support if the suggested words are added					
88	Chris Bowron	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
88	Chris Bowron	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I would require an additional word					
88	Chris Bowron	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	An addition of the words as stated.					
88	Chris Bowron	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Needs to be rewritten to incorporate OSPRI recommendations					
88	Chris Bowron	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rewording to make the reasons clear.					
88	Chris Bowron	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
88	Chris Bowron	12	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 17.3.2.2(c) to include <i>fenceline</i> .					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
88	Chris Bowron	13	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	An additional definition is required					
88	Chris Bowron	14	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Significant Wetland definition should be reworded. Un verified wetlands should not be listed as Significant and should not be listed.					
88	Chris Bowron	15	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A definition of Dairy Cattle is required					
88	Chris Bowron	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
88	Chris Bowron	17	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provision 18.3.3.2.(c) to include <i>fenceline</i> .					
88	Chris Bowron	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek the following decision: the prohibited rule is amended to allow for cases of emergency for animal welfare requirements.					
88	Chris Bowron	19	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provision 19.3.3.2.(d) to include <i>fenceline</i> .					
721	Grant Boyd	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
721	Grant Boyd	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
721	Grant Boyd	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
721	Grant Boyd	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
721	Grant Boyd	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
721	Grant Boyd	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
721	Grant Boyd	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
721	Grant Boyd	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
721	Grant Boyd	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
721	Grant Boyd	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
221	Stephen Bradley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A slight reduction (300 cu m per ha per month) in summer (Dec- Jan) TAKE for an equivalent increase in autumn (Mar- Apr) to allow management soil moisture closer to refill point. The USE of STORED water should based on water requirements on 10 out of 10 years. 					
436	Rikihana Clinton Bradley	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include specific allowance for boundary adjustments similar to existing MSRMP <i>(MSRMP standard 27.3.3.1.3 inferred)</i> .					
436	Rikihana Clinton Bradley	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a reference that areas having characteristics of coastal living zone will be considered for further subdivision subject to assessment in relation to Landscape, Natural Character and other appropriate chapters of MEP.					
436	Rikihana Clinton Bradley	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 135	Oppose
Decision Requested	Land below 60 m contour be rezoned Coastal Living on applicants property.					
260	Jaquetta Bradshaw	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	remove the words "excluding transportation".					
787	Jo Braven	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
787	Jo Braven	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
787	Jo Braven	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
787	Jo Braven	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
787	Jo Braven	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
787	Jo Braven	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
787	Jo Braven	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
787	Jo Braven	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
787	Jo Braven	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
787	Jo Braven	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1108	Shane Bray	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1108	Shane Bray	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1108	Shane Bray	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1108	Shane Bray	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1108	Shane Bray	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1108	Shane Bray	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1108	Shane Bray	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
381	Brentwood Farm Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
205	Nicola Bright	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
205	Nicola Bright	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
205	Nicola Bright	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
205	Nicola Bright	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt all provisions in full					
1301	Peter Brooks	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Lot 23 DP 676 is changed from Urban Residential 3 Zone to Rural Environment Zone.					
1301	Peter Brooks	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Lot 2 DP 501522 is changed from Urban Residential 3 Zone to Rural Environment Zone.					
461	Brookside Holdings Trust and King Contracting Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the minimum level for the Central and Northern Springs Sector (inferred).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1302	Brookvale Partnership	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Both properties remain in Rural Zoning as this will remain in horticulture until my death.					
1302	Brookvale Partnership	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That property number 254303 remains in Rural Zoning as this will remain in horticulture until my death.					
229	Matthew Broughan	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 24	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the zoning be reconsidered.					
327	John William Broughan	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 24	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the Flood Hazard Areas Overlay Map 24 as it relates to PN 140767 being Pt Sec 3 Wairau Dist.					
138	Peter Broughton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1) That the regulated limits applying to discharge of sewage on the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.</p> <p>2) That Council, in consultation with the community, publish a map showing a more restrictive area where Council advises that boaties may discharge sewage. That map to show just the central, deep part of the main Queen Charlotte Sound from about Picton Harbour to seawards, none of Tory Channel (subject to consultation) and maybe just the central deepest part of Endeavour Inlet (subject to consultation).</p>					
1109	Steffen Browning	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5.					
1109	Steffen Browning	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1(c):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(c) 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1109	Steffen Browning	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3.					
1109	Steffen Browning	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4.					
1109	Steffen Browning	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 3.3.21.					
1109	Steffen Browning	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.20.					
1109	Steffen Browning	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.8.					
1109	Steffen Browning	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.9.					
1109	Steffen Browning	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.10.					
1109	Steffen Browning	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.11.					
1109	Steffen Browning	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.7.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1109	Steffen Browning	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.8.					
1109	Steffen Browning	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.9.					
1109	Steffen Browning	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.10.					
1109	Steffen Browning	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Retain mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
1109	Steffen Browning	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 3.3.11.					
1109	Steffen Browning	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.10.					
1109	Steffen Browning	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 3.3.31.					
1109	Steffen Browning	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.30.					
1055	Rory Bryant	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1055	Rory Bryant	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1055	Rory Bryant	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1055	Rory Bryant	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1055	Rory Bryant	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1055	Rory Bryant	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1055	Rory Bryant	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1055	Rory Bryant	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1055	Rory Bryant	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1055	Rory Bryant	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
61	Peter Buckley	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Additional clause added to Volume 2 Chapter 7 Coastal Living Area</p> <p>That all further permanent buildings include rainwater harvesting facilities.</p> <p>Water storage being a minimum of 25 cu.meters on land areas over 4,000 sq.meters and 10 cu.meters on land area less than 4,000 sq.meters.</p>					
96	Jane Buckman	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I would like the new Marlborough Environment Plan to adopt all nine clauses (a) - (i) of policy 14.4.12 as presented on page 310 of the circulated draft plan.					
96	Jane Buckman	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Support in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	4	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Support in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Support 7.1.1 through to 7.2.12 in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Support standard in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Support and adopt in full					
96	Jane Buckman	8	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Support and adopt in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	support in full.					
96	Jane Buckman	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	adopt in full.					
284	Jane Buckman	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	5	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	6	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.1.1 through to Policy 7.2.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.12 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 14.4.13 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	20	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 24.3.1.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	21	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 8.2.1.8 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan					
284	Jane Buckman	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	The decision I seek from Council is: That Standard 3.3.6.2 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.2.1.14 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
284	Jane Buckman	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.2.1.3 be incorporated into the Marlborough Environment Plan.					
788	Jessica Bunting	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
788	Jessica Bunting	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
788	Jessica Bunting	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
788	Jessica Bunting	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
977	Nanette Bunting	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
977	Nanette Bunting	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
977	Nanette Bunting	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
977	Nanette Bunting	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8518; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
384	Bures Vineyard Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
643	Dennis Burkhart	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	1	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions. <i>(The Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought however refers to the Submission of the "Fishing Industry Submitters".)</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having:</i></p> <p><i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i></p> <p><i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i></p> <p><i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or</i></p> <p><i>(d) significant historic heritage value;</i></p> <p>and require explicit consideration of impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values: (a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area; (b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga; (c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities; (d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access; (e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems; (f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough's coastal waters; and (g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values; (h) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i> (Inferred)					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	6	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability, and fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity by: (a) avoiding, where practicable, extraction from the wet bed of any river; (b) placing limits on: (i) the timing of operations (especially to avoid bird nesting); (ii) the method of extraction; (iii) the location of the extraction and access to the location; (iv) the amount of gravel that can be extracted; and (v) the length of time over which the extraction can occur."</i> (Inferred)					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	7	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" To determine the appropriateness of an area of coastal space to become a Moorings Management Area in the Marlborough Environment Plan, the following matters will be considered:</i></p> <p><i>(a) current and anticipated demand for swing moorings in the area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the cumulative effect (including on coastal amenity values and benthic habitats) of swing moorings and the capacity of the area to accommodate existing and additional moorings;</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether there are issues with the layout of existing swing moorings, including overlapping of swing circles;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the intensity, character and scale of other activities in the area, including:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the extent to which the use of or access to other coastal structures located in the area are or will be affected by additional swing moorings;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) residential development existing in the area and the potential for future development, having regard to the zoning of land;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) recreational activities occurring in the coastal marine area; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) impacts on navigation due to continuing with an uncontrolled approach to siting of swing moorings; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	8	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"When assessing applications to locate structures within and immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area, the following matters will be considered in determining whether the structure is appropriate:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the proposed reason for the structure and the benefits likely to arise from its use;</i></p> <p><i>(b) whether the structure would be the first located in the stretch of coastline either side of the proposed site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) whether the structure is to be sited in a prominent or conspicuous location;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where land-based alternatives to the proposed structure are available, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i></p> <p><i>(e) whether the structure is for public, multiple or individual use;</i></p> <p><i>(f) the functional need requiring the structure to be located within the coastal marine area;</i></p> <p><i>(g) what effects the structure will have on:</i></p> <p><i>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) customary access; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the terrestrial environment;</i></p> <p><i>(h) whether coastal processes will be adversely affected by the structure; and</i></p> <p><i>(i) the operation of any existing activity or any activity that has been granted resource consent.; and</i></p> <p><i>(j) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	9	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered:</i> <i>(a) the proposed reason for the reclamation/drainage and the benefits likely to arise from its use;</i> <i>(b) if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred;</i> <i>(c) the functional need for the activity to be carried out on the reclamation;</i> <i>(d) the effects on:</i> <i>(i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring;</i> <i>(ii) cultural values;</i> <i>(iii) the terrestrial environment, including an assessment of any earthworks necessary;</i> <i>(e) whether coastal processes will be adversely affected by the structure; and</i> <i>(f) the operation of any existing activity or any activity that has been granted resource consent.; and</i> <i>(g) impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	10	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"When considering applications for resource consent for ships expected to propagate waves with energy levels in excess of limits specified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, have particular regard to the potential for adverse effects on:</i> <i>(a) places and cultural values of importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(b) the ability of people to effectively use any lawfully established structure for that structure's intended purpose and any adverse effects on the structure itself;</i> <i>(c) people's use and enjoyment of the foreshore and coastal marine area for recreational activities;</i> <i>(d) the life-supporting capacity of coastal ecosystems;</i> <i>(e) beaches and the shoreline;</i> <i>(f) amenity values enjoyed by residents; and</i> <i>(g) the natural character of the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds; and</i> <i>(h) fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	11	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.18.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Where a resource consent is required to extend or alter port or marina infrastructure and this is to occur within that part of the Port or Marina Zone located in the coastal marine area, the following matters shall be considered:</i> <i>(a) the intended use of the extended or altered infrastructure (having regard to Policies 13.17.3 and 13.17.4) and the benefits likely to arise from this use;</i> <i>(b) the design of structures/reclamation, including size and construction materials;</i> <i>(c) where reclamation is involved (Policies 13.11.2, 13.11.4, 13.11.6 – 13.11.9);</i> <i>(d) whether there will be a loss of public access or use of the area and/or public access to and along the coastal marine area will be impeded;</i> <i>(e) the effects of glare, lighting and noise;</i> <i>(f) the effects on natural coastal processes;</i> <i>(g) the effects during construction on:</i> <i>(i) other users of the area, navigation and public safety; and</i> <i>(ii) water and air quality;</i> <i>(h) the impacts on fisheries resources, fisheries habitat and/or fishing activity."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Specific provisions of concern not identified in the Submission.</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	13	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	14	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>					
610	Burkhart Fisheries Limited and Lanfar Holdings (4) Limited	15	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The specific amendment sought to this Policy is not identified in the Submission.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
98	Burleigh Estate Ltd	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone the land owned by Burleigh Estate Ltd shown on the plan attached Urban Residential 2 Greenfield					
914	Michael Burne	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
914	Michael Burne	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
914	Michael Burne	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
914	Michael Burne	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
914	Michael Burne	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
914	Michael Burne	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
914	Michael Burne	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
914	Michael Burne	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
914	Michael Burne	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
914	Michael Burne	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
582	Cory Burnett	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
582	Cory Burnett	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
582	Cory Burnett	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
582	Cory Burnett	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
582	Cory Burnett	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
582	Cory Burnett	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
582	Cory Burnett	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
582	Cory Burnett	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
582	Cory Burnett	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
582	Cory Burnett	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
86	Gary Burns	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	That Policies 14.4.12 and 14.4.13 ("Omaka Valley") be retained in full.					
86	Gary Burns	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	That Policies 14.4.12 and 14.4.13 ("Omaka Valley") be retained in full.					
518	Abigail Burns	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
518	Abigail Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
518	Abigail Burns	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
518	Abigail Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
702	Frank Burns	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
702	Frank Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
702	Frank Burns	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
702	Frank Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
848	Kirsten Burns	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
848	Kirsten Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
848	Kirsten Burns	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
848	Kirsten Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1003	Olivia Burns	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1003	Olivia Burns	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1003	Olivia Burns	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1003	Olivia Burns	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8400 and 8510 in East Bay, Queen Charlotte Sounds; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
687	Eleanor and Vera Burton	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy to include information regarding the charge and where the money will go. Allow additional time for those affected to make an informed submission after the information is released [<i>inferred</i>].					
687	Eleanor and Vera Burton	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to clarify where the money is spend and why further funding is needed [<i>inferred</i>].					
789	John Nicholas Burton	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."					
789	John Nicholas Burton	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."					
101	Viv Butcher	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recommendation: The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
101	Viv Butcher	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recommendation: The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
385	Stephen Butler	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 24	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We would like full transparency for this proposed change. The reasons why they have to be made. And any alternatives that could be considered. Also full consultation with all the property owners that are affected.					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.17.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (c) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(c) within 50m 30m of the land application area of any on-site wastewater management system or an offal pit, unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of the Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.34.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " (a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;"					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (c) and (d) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " (c) within 50m 30m of the land application area of any on-site wastewater management system or an offal pit; (d) within 50m 30m of the boundary of a property in which the discharge of dairy effluent to land occurs;"					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	8	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.7.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " The discharge must not occur within 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	9	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.13.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " The discharge must not occur within 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	10	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.14.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " The discharge must not occur within 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	11	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	10.3.10.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " The discharge must not occur within 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	12	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.19.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	13	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	14	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.3.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>The discharge must not occur within 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	15	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	16	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					
575	Butt Drilling Limited	17	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.23.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>(a) 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
575	Butt Drilling Limited	18	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.6.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" The discharge must not occur within 50m 30m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU."</i>					
791	Jonathon Cameron	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to include economic development and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend to recognise that the Sounds has a diverse range of uses and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Specifically recognise the infrastructure used for commercial purposes at Elaine Bay, Oyster Bay and Okiwi Bay and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the visual, ecological and physical qualities of the Sounds have been altered by social and cultural use and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of natural and physical resources and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of natural character, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural character and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include appropriate definitions of outstanding features and landscape, revise the methodologies and maps, recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of natural landscape and features and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The identification of the entirety of the Marlborough Sounds as an ONL is not appropriate and should be revised.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Adopt the cascading approach to manage effects on indigenous biodiversity as set out in the NZCPS and recognise existing use and appropriate ongoing use and development in areas of indigenous biodiversity and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise existing uses of the coastal marine area and do not seek that those change; and Recognise that minor or transient effects do not need to be avoided; and Recognise that avoidance can be achieved through restoration and enhancement, rather than simply preventing an application from occurring; and Only require avoidance where practicable, rather than complete avoidance; and Associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Scott Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. The bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation. I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Scott Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. The bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation. I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Yncyca Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. Both Yncyca and Fairy Bay have housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.</p> <p>Recently the Fairy Bay farm came up for renewal and reports were tabled showing the marine farm had negligible impact on the marine and landscape environments.</p> <p>I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.</p>					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Yncyca Bay has commercial forestry and native bush within it. The area supports industry and employment within the sounds and Marlborough with forestry and tourism. It co-exists with our marine farm. Both Yncyca and Fairy Bay have housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.</p> <p>Recently the Fairy Bay farm came up for renewal and reports were tabled showing the marine farm had negligible impact on the marine and landscape environments.</p> <p>I request that the MDC undertake a review of these areas and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farms are not causing adverse effects.</p>					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation.</p> <p>I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation. I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation. I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Whakatahuri Bay has housing areas with mixed density. The impacts of sewage leaching from these settlements and affecting water quality would be a great significant to our operation. I request that the MDC undertake a review of the area and provide appropriate justification for their extent and definition. I specifically seek acknowledgement within the schedules to these natural character and landscape areas that my existing marine farm is not causing adverse effects.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid sewage and effluent discharges where they can contaminate coastal waters and particularly marine farms and associated relief.					
726	Canantor Mussels Limited and N. I Buchanan-Brown	22	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Create a marine farm protection overlay within 1000m of the boundary of any marine farm.					
358	Cape Campbell Farm	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the discrete area of land on your property identified as a potential building site for a future dwelling from the Coastal Natural Character Overlay in the same manner in which it is excluded from the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay in the proposed MEP. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1051	Cape Campbell Farm	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Support
Decision Requested	That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables. That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later.					
1051	Cape Campbell Farm	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.7.5 <i>(inferred)</i> .					
1051	Cape Campbell Farm	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13.B <i>(inferred)</i> but with the following amendments. That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables. That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later.					
1051	Cape Campbell Farm	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.3 and associated policies <i>(inferred)</i> but with the following amendments. That more signage is used to inform the public of the right way to use this area and also very clear lines as to what a high and low tide is (many people get stranded with the sea coming in) they do not understand the tide tables. That this area is maintained as a Unique coastal environment these steps need to be made sooner than later.					
554	Bruce Cardwell	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
487	Carlton Corlett Trust	1	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The relief sought is that the provisions of the Plan be modified to allow in a suitably limited part of the Corlett Trust land to the north of Rosina Corlett Lane uses such as museums or other public interest facilities which would be compatible with the Omaka Aviation Heritage Centre and the Car Museum.					
519	Austin Carolino	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
72	Mandy Carpenter	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The distance from MHWS for sewage disposal should remain at 500m and at a depth of 5m or more.					
72	Mandy Carpenter	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the distance from a marine farm for discharge of sewage remain at 500m					
328	Jean-Paul Carré	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	To change the zoning (<i>of property number 167548 at 3020 State Highway 1, Blenheim</i>) from Rural Environment to Urban Residential 3.					
27	Joseph Maurice Carter	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That there is no change to the current regulations, the limits remaining at 500 metres.					
27	Joseph Maurice Carter	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That there is no change to the current regulations, the limits remaining at 500 metres.					
978	Nick Carter	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.					
26	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We request further strengthening this clause to state that if there are stock on a property, then the streams and rivers need to be fenced off at least 20 metres above the high water line to prohibit access to stock and to allow for the regeneration of native flora.					
26	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We request that this clause be further strengthened to say that no clearing of native flora be allowed within 20 metres of the high water line of all waterways, be they streams or rivers. And that It is incumbent on owners of such land to reforest the Queen's Chain with indigenous trees and other plants if it has been cleared in the past. (Perhaps the MDC could provide the seedlings free of charge for such regeneration programs.)					
26	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Generally, these sub-clauses need to be much stronger in preventing people from clearing indigenous vegetation. They also need to focus on more actively promoting conservation of native vegetation and regenerating land that has been cleared previously with indigenous vegetation.					
26	McGinty, Kathleen and Carter, Alan	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That forestry operations cease between the hours of 10pm and 7am to enable people living near forestry sites to be able to sleep at night. That recreational motor bikes must also adhere to the allowable noise limits and not be exempt from same.					
613	Cawthron Institute	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We request that the rules pertaining to temporary scientific moorings be made more flexible especially with respect to the duration of these installations. The detailed conditions of this scheme may need to be worked out in consultation between MDC, and science providers. It is suggested that: - Approval from the Harbour Master after submission of information on: location, details of the installation, mooring tackle, lighting and signage, purpose, duration, etc. - A mooring should not be installed over any scheduled ecologically significant marine site (unless the purpose of the installation is protection of this site). - Duration of one location be automatically granted up to 12 consecutive calendar months, but with flexibility to adjust or extent the duration up to 36 consecutive months after approval is given by the Harbour Master.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
613	Cawthron Institute	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>[Inferred]</i></p> <p>The requirements for moorings is amended to include scientific mooring.</p>					
613	Cawthron Institute	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Do not limit structures for scientific monitoring or research purposes to temporary structures [<i>inferred</i>]					
295	Caythorpe Farm Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the 100% Blanket Cut-off to Southern Springs sector water users.</p> <p>We propose that the Blanket trigger level/flow of surface water of 0.010m³ at Batty's Road Bridge for the whole of the Southern Springs is unjustified. Instead if a surface water trigger flow/level must be imposed, then it should be graduated, i.e. 0.010m³ trigger for wells within 500m of Doctors Creek, and a lesser level for those wells outside 500m of Doctors Creek.</p>					
271	Caythorpe Trustees Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.</p> <p>Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p>					
449	Centaland Holdings Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Urban Residential 3 Zoning of PN163838 (<i>PN inferred</i>)</p> <p>Change Urban Residential 3 Zoning and Rural Living Zoning identified in attached map to Industrial (<i>inferred Industrial 1 Zone</i>).</p>					
348	Murray Chapman	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so either only publicly owned reserves/conservation land is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape and landscape with high amenity value, or the financial viability of privately owned and farmed land is protected through monetary compensation on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy to include a requirement that weeds on conservation estate/reserves need to be controlled. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	3	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so if perceived natural character is to be retained, monetary compensation on an annual basis is required to cover loss in production.					
348	Murray Chapman	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the policy with a policy that encourages in stream dams/storage, and includes the waiving of resource consent fees with regard to building storage/dams. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	5	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions for Flooding - Flood Management to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for fire hazard management purposes.					
348	Murray Chapman	6	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the policy to allow structures such as trellis systems and fences be allowed at landowners liability. Where riparian margins are compulsory fenced in flood hazard zones, amend the policy to require the Council to share responsibility for maintenance after flood damage as it would be treated as a boundary fence where cost is shared 50/50. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
348	Murray Chapman	7	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended to recognise that trees have a use by date, and that the cost of resource consents for tree maintenance/care should be to be met by the Council. Financial compensation for loss of land use around notable and or amenity trees should be paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	8	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provisions so that indigenous biodiversity, as a heritage resource, is only protected on publicly owned reserves and conservation estate.					
348	Murray Chapman	9	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so indigenous biodiversity protection provisions apply to publicly owned reserves/conservation estate but not to private land owners, or monetary compensation is paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	10	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend provisions responding to Issue 10A to state that the whole community pays for care and maintenance of heritage resources. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	11	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so as to require the control of weed pests in riparian margins, with the cost to be met by the community as for community good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Overlay.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
348	Murray Chapman	13	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The provisions to be amended so indigenous biodiversity protection provisions apply to publicly owned reserves/conservation estate but not to private land owners, or monetary compensation is paid on an annual basis for public good. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	14	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	15	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the part of this policy relating to the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the method to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for aesthetic, weed control and fire hazard management purposes. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	17	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue to acknowledge and address that it does not reflect the values of a working rural environment but reflects to a great degree the values of an urban retreat. Most of the farm fragmentation into parcels of barely economic blocks has arisen through farms being financially marginalised by rules and regulations amongst other issues resulting in subdivision to supplement income. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	18	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions to allow appropriate stock to graze to waters edge for weed control purposes. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	19	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the provisions to recognise the local and central government monetary assistance required to control pests. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	20	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
348	Murray Chapman	21	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy to provide financial assistance to primary producers/farmers for any resource consents required as a result of this policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	22	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to allow acceptable classes of stock to graze to waters edge for weed suppression, fire hazard control and aesthetic benefits. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
348	Murray Chapman	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (a) within Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (b) within Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (a) within Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard so it only applies to the high country. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard so it only applies to the high country. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.8.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
348	Murray Chapman	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete reference to structure in Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
348	Murray Chapman	42	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add provisions requiring the provisions of a centralized transfer station for rural rubbish in each major rural valley. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1243	Zane Charman	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1243	Zane Charman	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1243	Zane Charman	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1243	Zane Charman	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1243	Zane Charman	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1243	Zane Charman	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1243	Zane Charman	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1243	Zane Charman	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1243	Zane Charman	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1243	Zane Charman	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
701	Frances Alexandra C Chayter	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
583	Carmay Cheong	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
583	Carmay Cheong	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
583	Carmay Cheong	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
583	Carmay Cheong	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
583	Carmay Cheong	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
583	Carmay Cheong	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
583	Carmay Cheong	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
583	Carmay Cheong	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
583	Carmay Cheong	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
583	Carmay Cheong	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
234	Cherrybank Orchard	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	We request more information and clarity around scientific and historical data before a decision is made.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
576	Chee Ong Chin	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
603	Chee Song Chin	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
603	Chee Song Chin	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
603	Chee Song Chin	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
603	Chee Song Chin	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
603	Chee Song Chin	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
603	Chee Song Chin	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
603	Chee Song Chin	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend entire plan, to provide consistency in the use of terms, and inclusion of a specific section detailing objectives and policies relevant to the provision of infrastructure. The structure of the Auckland Unitary Plan is a good example. A list of suggested objectives and policies is attached as an addendum to this submission point (see original submission for addendum).					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	2	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Replace the term 'regionally significant infrastructure' at each instance it is used in the plan with the word 'infrastructure'.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain guiding principle relating to reverse sensitivity.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend paragraph 1 beneath Issue 4B as follows: <i>We rely on a range of physical resources to allow our communities function on a day-by-day basis. These resources include the water, stormwater and waste disposal services provided to townships and small settlements; the transport links within Marlborough and connecting Marlborough to the remainder of the country; the provision of electricity and telecommunications; and, on the Lower Wairau Plain, the drainage of land. Collectively, this infrastructure is regionally significant due to the contribution it makes to our social and economic wellbeing, health and safety. Some of infrastructure is also nationally important, such as the Other infrastructure in (e.g. RNZAF Base Woodbourne,) or running through Marlborough (e.g. the National Grid, the telecommunications network and state highways) also has national importance. It is important that this strategic all infrastructure is able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely on an ongoing basis for community wellbeing. The ability to maintain, upgrade and replace existing infrastructure without significant constraint is important in this respect. Occasionally, new infrastructure may be required to provide for growth within the district.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 as follows: <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend subsection Policy 4.2.1 as follows: Policy 4.2.1 – Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant: (h) strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001 , and strategic radio communication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989 ;					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 4.2.2 as follows: Policy 4.2.2 – Protect regionally significant infrastructure from the adverse effects of other activities.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.7 as follows: 4.M.7 Specific Zoning and Rules <i>Recognition will be given to regionally significant infrastructure by providing, where appropriate, explicit zoning for the infrastructure. This, in conjunction with the application of district specific infrastructure (and network utility) rules, zoning will assist to enable the infrastructure to operate efficiently and effectively.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.8 as follows: 4.M.8 Designations <i>Encourage requiring authorities (as defined by Section 166 of the RMA) to utilise designations as an effective means of identifying and protecting regionally significant infrastructure. Designations can then be explicitly included in the MEP.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation 4.M.9 as follows: <i>Rules will be used to enable activities associated with the maintenance, alteration, minor upgrading and replacement of regionally significant infrastructure. Standards will specify the extent of works involved with any of these activities.</i> <i>Rules will be used to control the proximity of land uses in river beds that could have adverse effects on regionally significant infrastructure. This includes development within the National Grid corridor.</i></p> <p>...</p> <p><i>In addition to the rules in the MEP, the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities) Regulations 2009 and the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Telecommunication Facilities) Regulations 2008 establishes various classes of activity for certain activities relating to existing transmission lines and telecommunications facilities, respectively.</i> <i>[Note – if the second generation NESTF is operative prior to the PMEP becoming operative, a small amendment will be needed to the year of the NESTF]</i></p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective 7.2 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 7.2.6(a) as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Paragraph 4 to the Introduction as follows: <i>The Council can act to reduce the risk of natural hazards adversely affecting life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure. Using its functions under the RMA to control the use of land to avoid or mitigate natural hazards, the Council can influence the location and management of new developments to ensure that they are not subject to unreasonable risk. Other land uses may adversely affect hazard mitigation works and these can be similarly controlled to ensure that the integrity of the works is not compromised.</i></p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	14	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Issue 11A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Issue 11A as follows: <i>Issue 11A – Natural hazards in Marlborough, particularly flooding, earthquakes and land instability, have the potential to cause loss of life and significant damage to property and regionally significant infrastructure.</i></p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	15	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 11.1 as follows: <i>Objective 11.1 – Reduce the risks to life, and property and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards. Natural hazards can have significant adverse effects on individuals and the community, including loss of life, personal injury, damage to property and disruption of day-to-day life, business and the provision of community infrastructure. For this reason, the objective seeks to reduce the risks and consequences of natural hazards. This objective also implements direction from the CDEMP, which signals that resource management provisions have an important role to play in risk reduction.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	16	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.2.1 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	17	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 12C as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	18	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain objective 12.9 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	19	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.4 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	20	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.5 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	21	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.7 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	22	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.9.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 12.9.9 as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.2(g) as follows: <i>(g) whether the proposed subdivision, use or development activity contributes to the network of regionally significant infrastructure identified in Policy 4.2.1;</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.5.6 as follows: Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following: (i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 13.9.1(c)(ii) as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 13.10 as follows: <i>Objective 13.10 – Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to maintain and protect the values of the coastal environment.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.24(c) as follows: <i>(c) regionally significant infrastructure is at risk.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.5(i) as follows: <i>Policy 14.5.5 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Rural Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following: (i) limited appropriate infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	29	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain policy 15.4.4(g) as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	30	Volume 2	1 Introduction	1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain structure of Volume 2, with utilities rules being included in General Rules which apply regardless of zone.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	31	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the introduction to network utilities as follows: Other <u>The following</u> General Rules contained in Chapter 2 may apply in addition to the Network Utility General Rules [List] to any relevant zone rules for network utilities.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	32	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amended Rule 2.38.1 as follows: 2.38.1. Network utility infrastructure listed as follows: (b) a telecommunication line or facility; (c) a radio communication apparatus or facility; (i) a telephone call box or the erection and use of a postal box.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	33	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.38.2 as follows: 2.38.2 <i>Telecommunication line or cable over the bed of a lake or river.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.38.3 as follows: 2.38.3. <i>Trenching Earthworks for cable-laying underground network utilities.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 2.38.4.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 2.38.5.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain standard 2.39.1.3.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.39.1.4.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.5 as follows: <i>2.39.1.5. The maximum height of a facility or network utility structure, aerial or antenna for a telecommunication, radiocommunication or meteorological facility must not exceed 25m above ground level. <u>This height can be exceeded by up to 5m for a telecommunication facility, if that facility is used by more than one telecommunications provider.</u></i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.7 as follows: <i>The maximum height of any <u>antenna or aerial or (and their support structures)</u> attached to the top of a building must not exceed the height of the building by more than <u>5m in the Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Lake Grassmere Salt Works, Port, and Rural Environment zones and 3m in any other zone.</u></i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.8 as follows: <i>The maximum diameter of a dish a<u>Antenna</u> must not exceed 3m <u>in diameter, or 2.5m2 in total face area</u> or, if Council desires, instead of the 2.5m2 standard, the following alternative relief would also be acceptable: The maximum diameter of a dish a<u>Antenna</u> must not exceed 3m <u>in diameter, or have a width that exceeds 700mm.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.9 as follows: <i>A new line, including a cable television line, must be located underground within any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Business 1, Business 2, Industrial 1, Industrial 2, Open Space 1 or Open Space 2. Note, Standard 2.39.1.9 does not apply to additional or replacement lines that are provided for as Minor Upgrading.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	43	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 2.39.1.10 in its entirety.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	44	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 2.39.1.14 as follows: A line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility, or a building or depot that is located outside of legal road , must not be located: (a) in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland; (b) within 8m of a river or the Drainage Channel Network; (c) on, or adjacent to, any land used for the purposes of a farm airstrip, or in such a manner as to adversely affect the safe operation of a farm airstrip existing at the time of the Plan becoming operative. <u>These setbacks do not apply to a line or network utility structure, or a telecommunication, radio communication or meteorological facility that is located within legal road.</u>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	45	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new standard to Section 2.39 as follows: <u>2.39.X Telecommunication Customer Connections</u> <u>Connections from buildings, structures and sites to the telecommunication network are permitted.</u>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	46	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Performance Standard as follows: <u>2.39.X Small-Cell Units on Structures</u> <u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment is permitted, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment do not exceed a total volumetric dimension of 0.25 m³, excluding auxiliary cables.</u>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	47	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.39.2 as follows:</p> <p>2.39.2. Trenching Earthworks for underground network utilities —cable laying.</p> <p>2.39.2.1. Any earth not placed back in the trench earthworks area must be re-located in a stable location.</p> <p>2.39.2.2. Trenching Earthworks, where undertaken outside of legal road, must not occur in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland or Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification.</p> <p>2.39.2.3. Trenching Earthworks must not occur within such proximity [XXm] to any abstraction point for a community drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.</p> <p>2.39.2.4. The vegetation cover of a trench site an earthworks area must be restored within 6 months of the end of the operation.</p> <p>2.39.2.5. Woody material greater than 100mm in diameter or soil debris must:</p> <p>(a) not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (excluding an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(b) not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (excluding an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;</p> <p>(c) be stored on stable ground;</p> <p>(d) be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.</p> <p>2.39.2.6. Trenching Earthworks must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</p> <p>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</p> <p>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the trenching site;</p> <p>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%.</p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Standard 2.39.3 as follows:</p> <p>2.39.3.2. Where Clearance is by hand or mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 2034°.</p>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows: <u>2.X.X Controlled Activities:</u> <u>The following telecommunications activities are controlled activities:</u> <u>(a) Small Cell Units</u> <u>The installation of a small-cell unit on a structure including any necessary ancillary equipment, provided that each small-cell unit and the ancillary equipment has a total volumetric dimension no greater than 0.3m³, excluding auxiliary cables.</u> <u>(b) Telecommunications Cabinets within Legal Road</u> <u>(i) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m of an existing telecommunication cabinet which is located adjacent to a different site</u> <u>(ii) A new telecommunication cabinet in road reserve located between 1m and 30m away from any other cabinet or group of cabinets that is on the same side of the road.</u> <u>(iii) The total footprint of cabinets in the group in the road is between 1.8m² and 4m².</u> <u>Control is in respect of:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Siting;</u> • <u>Visual Effects; and</u> • <u>Safety</u> 					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Section 2.40 as follows: 2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following: 2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met. 2.40 Discretionary Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following: 2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Section 2.40 as follows: 2.40 Discretionary Restricted Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Restricted Activity for the following: 2.40.1. Any activity provided for as a Permitted Activity that does not meet the applicable standards. Council's discretion is restricted to the effects generated by the standard(s) not met. 2.40 Discretionary Activities Application must be made for a Discretionary Activity for the following: 2.40.2. Any land use activity involving a network utility not provided for as a Permitted Activity.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 2.7.8(b) as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete standard 2.9.8 in its entirety.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert a new rule in section 2.24: [2.25] Controlled Activities [2.25.1] New customer connections to a Heritage Resource from an adjacent utility network are a Controlled Activity in respect of: • The design and external appearance of the customer connection.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 29.1.1(c) as follows: 29.1.1 The trimming or pruning must only be (c) minor clearing of light branches (less than 50mm in diameter) from proximity to existing power and telecommunication lines;					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	62	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 13.1.19 [<i>inferred</i>].					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	63	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.9.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 14.1.9.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	64	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rules 15.1.16.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	65	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.11.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	66	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 13.3.9.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	67	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.3.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 14.3.4.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	68	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 15.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	69	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 16.3.8.2 as follows: <i>A replacement cable or line must be laid or suspended in the same or similar location as the cable or line being removed.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	70	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.10.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	71	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.11.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	72	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.12.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	73	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 24.1.13.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	74	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 24.2.1 as follows: Subdivision of land associated with utilities <i>undertaken by network utility operators.</i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	75	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of Antenna.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	76	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Height as follows: <i>Height in relation to a building or structure, means the vertical distance between the natural ground level at any point and the highest part of the building or structure immediately above that point as shown in Figure 2 of Appendix 26. <i>This definition does not apply to lightning rods or GPS antenna affixed to the highest part of a building or structure.</i></i>					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	77	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Maintenance and Replacement.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	78	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Minor Upgrading as follows: Minor Upgrading means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and includes: (a) The replacement, reconfiguration, relocation or addition of lines , circuits and conductors; (b) The re-conductoring of the line with higher capacity conductors; (c) The re-sagging of conductors; (d) The addition of longer or more efficient insulators; (e) The addition of earthwires which may contain telecommunication lines , earthpeaks and lightning rods; (f) Foundation works associated with the minor upgrading; (g) The replacement of a pole, provided that: (i) the replacement pole must not have a diameter that is more than the existing pole's diameter at its largest point plus 50 per cent; and (ii) The replacement pole must not have a height greater than 25m or the height of pole it is replacing, whichever is the greater; and (iii) The replacement pole must be located within 3m from the existing pole. Minor upgrading does not include an increase in the voltage of the line unless the line was originally constructed to operate at the higher voltage but has been operating at a reduced voltage. Minor Upgrading also includes the replacement of existing antennas, provided the replacement antenna size is no greater than 20 percent of the existing antenna being replaced.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Network Utility Structure as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	80	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Radiocommunication facility as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	81	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Have one clear and concise definition of 'site'.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	82	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Telecommunication Facility as proposed.					
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	83	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the definition of Telecommunication Line as proposed.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
464	Chorus New Zealand limited	84	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following definition of Infrastructure:</p> <p><u>Infrastructure includes:</u></p> <p><u>(a) reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></p> <p><u>(b) reticulated community stormwater networks;</u></p> <p><u>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</u></p> <p><u>(d) regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</u></p> <p><u>(e) National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</u></p> <p><u>(f) local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</u></p> <p><u>(g) facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</u></p> <p><u>(h) telecommunication facilities and radiocommunication facilities;</u></p> <p><u>(i) Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</u></p> <p><u>(j) main trunk railway line;</u></p> <p><u>(k) district roading network;</u></p> <p><u>(l) Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</u></p> <p><u>(m) Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</u></p> <p><u>(n) RNZAF Base at Woodbourne; and</u></p> <p><u>(o) Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain.</u></p>					
614	Churchward Park Scout Group	1	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
1013	Paul Claridge	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
917	Matthew Desmond Melton Clark	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy as is.					
1206	Vicky Clark	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
500	Ben Clarke	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " Ensure that developments like marine farms are able to remain in operation when those involved are good custodians and obey the rules. " (Inferred)					
500	Ben Clarke	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant sectors.</i> " (Inferred)					
500	Ben Clarke	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " (Inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
500	Ben Clarke	4	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognize that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8519; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515, 8519, 8520 and 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8519; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
689	Elizabeth Patricia Clarke	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515, 8519, 8520 and 8540; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
723	Graeme Henry Clarke	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
723	Graeme Henry Clarke	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
723	Graeme Henry Clarke	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
723	Graeme Henry Clarke	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8520 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
724	Graeme Henry Clarke	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
724	Graeme Henry Clarke	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
724	Graeme Henry Clarke	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
724	Graeme Henry Clarke	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8515 in Crail Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
728	Graeme Henry Clarke	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in CMFA submission.					
792	Joanne Rebecca Clarke	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
443	Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a Standard to this Rule as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> " Moorings in all areas other than Waikawa should be limited to vessels of no greater length than 18 metres." <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
443	Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add two Standards to this Rule as follows -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> " The jetty must be available for public use." " Consent will not be granted for any other occupation of the zone that has the effect of limiting access to any part of a jetty." <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
443	Jones, Annabel Farquar and Goldie, Neville Charles Clarke, Richard Spencer	3	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The ship must not be anchored to the foreshore or seabed for more than 60 10 consecutive days or more than 90 days within any 12 month period, within the same embayment, inlet, or estuary, and should be subject to the requirement of the harbour master that such a ship or vessel should be manned when at anchor on a twenty four hour basis."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1110	Sam Clay	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
793	John Cleal	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
793	John Cleal	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
793	John Cleal	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
793	John Cleal	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
793	John Cleal	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
793	John Cleal	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
793	John Cleal	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
793	John Cleal	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
793	John Cleal	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
793	John Cleal	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
918	Maree Cleal	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
918	Maree Cleal	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
918	Maree Cleal	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
918	Maree Cleal	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
918	Maree Cleal	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
918	Maree Cleal	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
918	Maree Cleal	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Support
Decision Requested	Confirm that Landscape is not and an outstanding landscape.					
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify Plan Coastal Natural Character to indicate Okuri Bay does not have very high natural character.					
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Confirm the water of Forsyth Bay is not an outstanding natural landscape. Modify the plans to recognise that Forsyth Island is not of outstanding natural landscape.					
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Confirm the water of Forsyth Bay is not of high natural character. Modify the plans to recognise that Forsyth Island is not of high natural character.					
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify to Plan 1 to show the area us not an outstanding landscape.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support
Decision Requested	Confirm that the area is not an outstanding or high coastal natural character area.					
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plans show to reflect the reality of the sea and landscape of the area - forestry and residential development.					
617	Clearwater Mussels Limited	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Modify the plans show to reflect the reality of the sea and landscape of the area - forestry and residential development.					
615	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
615	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
615	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
615	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Knight-Somerville Partnership	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
616	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
616	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
616	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
616	Clearwater Mussels Limited and Talleys Group Limited	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Modify the Plan and recognise the presence of aquaculture in the zone.					
329	Ewan and Suzanne Clemett	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 21	Oppose
Decision Requested	100 and 102 Alabama Road (<i>Lots 1 & 2 DP 3447</i>) be rezoned to Business 2 zone.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
396	Hamish Clifford	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
398	Selwyn and Mary Clifford	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1267	Patrick Clifford	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	We are not asking for an increase or an unreasonable amount of water to operate, we are asking for it to continue to be available when we, and others in the area need it. We are asking for any water decisions to be based on sound science and applied fairly to all Wairau Aquifer permit holders.					
629	Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
629	Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
629	Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the marine mammal site (dolphins) from the vicinity of the marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
629	Clifford Bay Marine Farms Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the marine mammal site (dolphins) from the vicinity of the marine farm 8001 in Clifford Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Introduction as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Society will continue to rely currently relies on fossil fuels as an energy source for the foreseeable future but needs to find alternatives as quickly as possible. The consumption of these fuels results and livestock farming are the two major contributors to the large increase in the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases into the atmosphere over the last 150 years. The general consensus of scientific opinion is that the world is getting warmer, causing its climate to change. Global temperatures are approximately 0.6 degrees Celsius 1.2°C higher than pre-industrial levels and 0.6°C higher now than they were in the early 1990s. To prevent dangerous and potentially irreversible impacts of climate change global temperatures must be kept well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels. While there is not unanimous agreement, There is now strong evidence that most of the warming observed is attributable to increased concentrations of greenhouse gases produced by human activities. As more gases accumulate in the atmosphere, the Earth gets warmer, resulting in rising sea temperatures and levels, the melting of glaciers and ice caps and greater extremes in weather patterns, such as more storms of greater intensity and longer droughts.</i></p> <p><i>In Marlborough, NIWA predicts it is predicted that the mean temperature will increase by approximately 1 1.8 degrees C by 2040 and 2 2.8 degrees C by 2090 above the pre-industrial mean. The climate is likely to become drier and the frequency of droughts is expected to increase. There is also a predicted increase in westerly winds, especially in winter and spring.</i></p> <p><i>Section 7 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires the Council to have regard to the effects of these predicted climatic changes in exercising its functions under the RMA. Uncertainty about the nature of these effects at international, national and local level makes this a difficult task. Most projections are also long term and certainly beyond the ten year life of the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP). Taking all of this into account, the provisions of this chapter focus on applying the best available information to enable people and communities to respond to the adverse and positive effects created by climate change. It is noted that the adverse long term effects of global warming are likely to outweigh any regional short term benefits that may occur. (Inferred)"</i></p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	2	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the last sentence of the explanation to the Objective as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"In the meantime, it is prudent to promote actions that offset carbon emissions and retain sufficient flexibility in the focus on ecologically wise use, development and protection of natural and physical resources to enable resource users to adapt to a changing climate."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	3	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through) - <i>"Promote actions within Marlborough to reduce or offset carbon emissions."</i></p> <p>And, the explanatory text to the Policy be amended as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Climate change is a global issue that New Zealand's central government is addressing at an international and national level. The RMA effectively excludes regional councils from the role of regulating emissions for climate change purposes (Sections 70A and 104E of the RMA). However, the Council can explore opportunities for supporting national policies and where appropriate promote methods that address climate change problems within New Zealand's national policy framework for climate change. For example, the Council could will assess and then address the carbon footprint of delivering its own services to the community and encourage businesses to do likewise. This is one of many actions the Council could undertake to enable Marlborough's people and communities to play their part in responding to this global issue."</i></p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	4	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanatory text for the Policy to explain how the Policy is going to be achieved in practice. There is no mention of any public outreach or education programme or consultative process to get local people and businesses up to speed with the environmental changes we are facing and how to prepare for them and take actions to mitigate them.</p> <p>And, we recommend that Council establishes a new permanent position of 'MDC Climate Change Advisor'.</p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	5	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the explanatory text for the Policy, particular the sentence, "<i>Similar opportunities could exist for the aquaculture industry as a result of increasing sea water temperatures.</i>" The text should also identify the much greater threat of adverse effects.</p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	6	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)</p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend (c) of the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"(c) enabling the storage of water during periods of high river flow for subsequent use during low flow and low level periods."</i></p>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	8	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Method explanatory text as follows (bold) - " <i>Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint; set goals for reducing carbon emissions in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets, and develop an action plan to reach those goals.</i> "					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	9	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text to the Method as follows - " Consider , In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, Council will include provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases."					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	10	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the explanatory text to the Method as follows (bold) - " <i>Apply the findings of international and national climate change research to Marlborough's environment to the extent that is possible and support research in Marlborough. The findings can then be applied to determine and better understand the implications of climate change.</i> "					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	11	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	12	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	13	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Method of Implementation as follows - " Advisory group - An advisory group be established of science, industry, business and community representatives to work with Council in a collaborative way on identifying climate change threats in Marlborough and on devising appropriate responses. "					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	14	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend paragraph three of the explanatory text to the Issue as follows - <i>"Global warming is expected to result in a rise in sea level due to thermal expansion of ocean water and melting of glacial and polar ice. Sea level is predicted to rise around 0.18 to 0.59 metres by 2090. This rise potentially increases the risk of inundation at the coast. Coastal erosion could also become more prevalent, increasing the need for coastal protection measures. Along the coastal margin of the Wairau Plain, the level of the Wairau River bar and river mouth efficiency has far greater influence on the potential for inundation than the projected sea level rise. Further south, the topography and lack of settlement minimises any inundation risk. However, the risks are far greater in the Marlborough Sounds where settlement and associated infrastructure (especially means of access, such as jetties and access tracks) tend to be located in the coastal environment and near the water edge."</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	15	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	16	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	17	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	18	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add an additional Anticipated Environmental Result as follows - <i>"There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District"</i> .					
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	19	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Paragraph 4 under this Issue should be moved to the end of the section. • Recognise the likely adverse effects on mental health in Paragraph 5 under this Issue. • Delete the final paragraph under this Issue. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1059	Climate Karanga Marlborough	20	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Affiliate MDC with the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, in order to ensure Council keeps abreast of the latest strategies for tackling climate change at regional/city level and is at the forefront of supporting innovations to de-carbonise the Marlborough economy.					
528	Allan John Climo	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MEP recognises that exotic commercial forest activity in the Marlborough Sounds, particularly Port Underwood, can result in significant negative impacts on landscapes and community amenity values extending well beyond the land boundaries of the activity.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policies 5.2.4 (5.2.7 and 5.2.11) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policy 5.2.7 (and Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.11) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	The environmental flows, levels and limits established by rules in the MEP in support of Policy 5.2.11 (and Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.7) are maintained so that they specifically impose no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities, flows and levels currently imposed respectively.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.3.1 be amended (bold) to read:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.3.1 - To allocate water in the following order of priority :</i></p> <p><i>(a) natural and human use values then;</i></p> <p><i>(b) aquifer recharge; then</i></p> <p><i>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</i></p> <p><i>(d) municipal water supply; then</i></p> <p><i>(e) irrigation water supply for primary industry; and then</i></p> <p><i>(f) all other takes of water.</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.4					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy 5.7.2 and all other sections where IrriCalc is referred to be amended (bold) to ensure that: (a) The methodology for determining reasonable water use rates for water "take" under Policy 5.7.2 and water "use" under Policy 5.7.3 are commensurate. (b) IrriCalc not be specified as the sole tool upon which reasonable water use is determined but reference be made in the respective policies to "validated model(s), tools or methods identified, approved and promulgated from time to time by the Council". (c) Property specific information including historical irrigation and soil moisture data may be considered in determining reasonable water use instead of the modelling tool. (d) Where property specific information substantiates that an allocation of water higher than that determined by the modelling tool is required, then such allocation may be approved. (e) In these circumstances the property specific information will be deemed to override the modelling result.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 5.7.3 and all other sections where IrriCalc is referred to be amended (bold) to ensure that: (a) The methodology for determining reasonable water use rates for water "take" under Policy 5.7.2 and water "use" under Policy 5.7.3 are commensurate. (b) IrriCalc not be specified as the sole tool upon which reasonable water use is determined but reference be made in the respective policies to "validated model(s), tools or methods identified, approved and promulgated from time to time by the Council". (c) Property specific information including historical irrigation and soil moisture data may be considered in determining reasonable water use instead of the modelling tool. (d) Where property specific information substantiates that an allocation of water higher than that determined by the modelling tool is required, then such allocation may be approved. (e) In these circumstances the property specific information will be deemed to override the modelling result.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.1 – Once an allocation limit is reached and that part of the water resource is fully allocated, any water that subsequently becomes free to allocate to other users will only be made available to those users through a system of ballottender.</i></p> <p>This policy sets out in principle that any water that becomes available to re-allocate shall be allocated via ballottender. A ballottender is considered by water users to be the most equitable way to determine who should receive the water given the likely competition for the water amongst existing users. It avoids the situation of a person gaining access to water in preference to other potential users based on the nature of the use or because they were first to make an application.</p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.2 – On securing the ballottender, the successful ballottenderer must apply for the necessary water permits to authorise the taking and (if relevant) use of water. Until the successful ballottenderer(s) secures the necessary water permits, the water resource is considered fully allocated.</i></p> <p>The policy sets out what the successful ballottenderer must do to secure the allocation gained through a ballottender. As existing water permits define the spatial extent and rate of use, any proposed additional use would exceed existing allocations expressed in consents to take and use water. This means that a separate water permit would be required to authorise the taking and use of water. This policy secures the ability to make such an application without predetermining the outcome. While this process is underway, the water resource is considered to remain fully allocated to prevent a third party making an application for a water permit that would effectively nullify the result of the ballottender.</p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 5.9.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.9.3 – If required, any ballottender will be conducted on the following basis:</i></p> <p><i>(a) at least annually for the calendar year;</i></p> <p><i>(b) if the water permit holder already holds a water permit to take and use water for the same purpose, then they must surrender the original water permit before giving effect to the new water permit; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) if the subsequent water permit application to authorise the taking of water is not made within 12 months of the ballot result or the water permit application is refused, then that water will be re-balloted in the subsequent year.</i></p> <p>The matters in (a) to (c) set out procedurally how any ballottender to allocate water would be conducted. These matters will therefore guide the ballottender process, if any ballottender is required.</p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	35	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (bold) to Policy 7.2.3(c):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities, including the transport of logs on public roads.</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	36	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	37	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.4					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	38	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 77	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the submitter's Lot 4 DP 11879 zoned Rural 1 under the WARMP be designated in the commensurate Coastal Environment zone under the MEP and so depicted in the respective maps in Volume 4 (Map 77).					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	39	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.7					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.0					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.4					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.4					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.11					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	49	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Objective 17.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 17.6					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	50	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Policy 17.6.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 17.6.1 – Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas.</i></p> <p>The current state of vehicle technology in New Zealand means that noise and vehicle emissions can be expected from the operation of vehicles on roads. There is little the MEP can do to modify those conditions. However, the Council can control the extent of these effects by adopting a road hierarchy, which encourages higher volumes of traffic and heavy traffic movements on certain routes and discourages them on others. An exception is made for some primary production activities, which need to use collector and local routes to transport produce to processing facilities provided that no viable alternative route or method of transport exists. The maintenance of community amenity values will take precedence over commercial financial considerations.</p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	51	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.6.2					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	52	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.2.5					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.3.5					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.2					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	57	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	59	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.17					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	64	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to the definition of Agricultural Waste:</p> <p><i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, and harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, viticultrual, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.39					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 3.3.5.1(a):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 A Category A or B device must not be operated: (a) between 8.00 pm and 7:006:30 am the following day if the device is within 2km of a</i></p> <p><i>between 8.00 pm and 7:006:30 am the following day if the device is within 2km of a noise sensitive-activity residential dwelling (excluding a residential dwelling on the same property as the audible bird scaring device).</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Standard 3.3.5.2(c):</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.2 A Category A device [including one mounted and operated on a mobile platform] must not be operated:</i></p> <p><i>(c) at a greater density than one device per five hectares of land in any single land holding, except where the land is less than five hectares in area, one device shall be permitted.</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendment (bold) to Standard 3.3.5.3:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.5.3 A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour for each 5 hectare area over which the device is being operated.</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.7.1					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	70	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The MEP clearly recognises the existing use rights of existing frost fans erected and operated in compliance with a resource consent and the conditions upon which the consent was issued.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.2					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	72	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Rule 4.1.6:</p> <p>4.1.6 Commercial forestry replanting.</p> <p>4.6 Discretionary Activities</p> <p>4.6.X Commercial forestry replanting.</p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	73	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the quantity allocations and water takes detailed in Schedule (1) have specifically no greater negative impact upon water availability, allocation and access than the quantities currently imposed.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	74	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum flows and levels for water takes detailed in Schedule (3) have specifically no greater negative impact upon the flows and levels currently imposed.					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	75	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.11					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	76	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>In order to ensure certainty and clarity, any discretionary activity resource consent for the establishment of a commercial forestry shall encompass provision for transport of logs from the forest at harvest, including commensurate controls and conditions where required to protect community amenity values and the roading network beyond the boundaries of the forest.</p> <p>In addition any Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan shall include:</p> <p><i>The method and route to be used to convey forest development and maintenance equipment, and the transport of harvested logs from the boundary of the forest to the location of processing or disposal, including provisions for the protection of wider community amenity values and the integrity of the roading network.</i></p>					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	77	Volume 4	All		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 4 Maps					
484	Clintondale Trust, Whyte Trustee Company Limited	78	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Methods of implementation to be amended (bold) to include a new method 17.M.X:</p> <p><i>The Council will provide and maintain a website based mechanism for the receipt of traffic incident and safety related reports, and complaints of impacts on amenity values arising from roads. These reports/complaints will be monitored and regularly collated for action as appropriate to enhance safety and efficiency of the road network and reduce adverse effects on the environment and community amenity values.</i></p>					
382	Nicola M Clouston	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	If assessing all of the Marlborough Sounds coastal environment area into natural character classifications is not practical then we submit that policy 6.1.4 should make it clear that areas classified below high are only excluded from the MEP maps on practicality grounds and that policies on natural character in the MEP also apply to these areas.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That paragraph (c) of Policy 13.2.2 is inappropriate policy and must be deleted.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert the following policy:</p> <p>"In assessing cumulative effects of activities on the marine ecosystem consideration shall be given to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of allowing more or of re-consenting the same or similar activity;</p> <p>(b) the result of allowing more or re-consenting a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</p> <p>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal environment in the locality."</p> <p>"Cumulative effects are relevant in and must be accommodated within all assessments of marine environment ecological effects, including the following policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 8.1.3 (adequate information on the state of the marine environment); and • 8.2.1 (means to assist in the protection and enhancement of areas and habitats with indigenous biodiversity value); and • 8.2.3 (priority to protecting significant marine areas from adverse effects and to protecting all areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects) ; and • 8.2.9 (maintenance, enhancement and restoration of indigenous ecosystems). • 8.3.1 (avoiding significant adverse coastal environment effects) • 8.3.2 (significant adverse effects on areas, habitats or ecosystems with indigenous biodiversity to be avoided) <p>Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy and by reference to best practice and international sustainability and biodiversity preservation and enhancement standards.</p> <p>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached, or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</p>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a paragraph in the nature of the following be included in reference to marine water column effects: "alteration to the abundance or composition of naturally occurring water column elements including phytoplankton, zooplankton and/or other palatable detritus."					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That paragraph (b) of policy 8.3.2 should be corrected to record "avoided if significant, and avoided, remedied or mitigated where indigenous biodiversity values have not been assessed as being significant in terms of Policy 8.1.1."					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	7	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	8	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this policy be amended by adding the following to the end: "and to protecting other areas of indigenous biodiversity from significant adverse effects."					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	9	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this policy should be extended to include the determination of acceptable cumulative ecological impact thresholds ('ecological carrying capacities') for regulated activities in the coastal marine area such as marine farming.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	10	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy be extended to include the attainment of knowledge on the degree of change that has occurred in coastal marine indigenous flora and fauna biodiversity and abundance that may be reversible and that is attributable to activities that can be managed by resource consent conditions or processes – notably with regard to marine farming.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this policy be removed.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	12	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>We submit that a cumulative effects landscape values policy must be included in MEP to meet the requirements of NZCPS Policy 7 – in a similar vein to that as has been included in Chapter 6 for natural character effects. Such a policy should prescribe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The positive identification of areas such as Clova Bay where coastal landscape values are under threat from adverse cumulative effects; and • That for all activities requiring a resource consent in the coastal marine environment, an assessment of cumulative adverse landscape effects be undertaken considering: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the effects of the existing level of activity; (b) the result of re-consenting or allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and (c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal marine environment in the locality. • That acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy, or by effects not reducing landscape value to a lower level on a seven point scale, or through guidelines developed with stakeholders with reference to best practice and international assessment standards. • That where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of adverse cumulative effects are reached, or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with effected stakeholders. 					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	13	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That it should be made clear that a cumulative effects policy must be applied when applying policy 7.2.4.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That marine farming must be included in paragraph (c) as well.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That it should be made clear that policy 6.2.7 is to be applied when applying the following policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6.2.6 (when identifying areas and opportunities for restoration and rehabilitation); and • 6.2.3 (when assessing for degree of natural character change); and • 6.2.2 (when assessing for significant adverse effects on natural character); and • 6.1.4 (when identifying and mapping areas where there are reversible adverse cumulative natural character effects). <p>That the following paragraphs should be added to Policy 6.2.7 to meet the requirements of NZCPS 7:</p> <p>"Acceptable limits of cumulative effects will be determined by reference to the thresholds specified in a particular policy or through guidelines developed with stakeholders and with reference to best practice and international assessment standards.</p> <p>Where a retraction of consented activities is required to meet acceptable cumulative effect thresholds then this may occur by default through re-consenting attrition until acceptable levels of cumulative effects are reached or through the application of activity retraction guidelines developed and agreed with stakeholders"</p> <p>That it should be made clear that policy 6.2.7 applies to the re-consenting of activities in the coastal environment as well as to the consenting of new activities in the coastal environment.</p>					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That it should be made clearer in Policy 6.2.6 that opportunities for restoration or rehabilitation of natural character include the declining of applications for resource consent renewals in localities such as Clova Bay where significant adverse cumulative effects on natural character exist (as per Policies 6.2.3 and 6.2.7).</p> <p>That at a minimum Policy 6.2.6 prescribe that 'consent renewal attrition' (through the decline of renewal applications as they arise) may be applied as a default method of addressing adverse natural character cumulative effects where there are multiple contributing consented activities of similar effect on natural character. Our comments on Policy 6.2.7 elaborate on this further.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	18	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	This policy is inappropriate and should be deleted.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	19	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 6.2.3 apply to the coastal marine area irrespective of existing classification of natural character.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	20	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	We submit that Clova Bay be identified in the MEP as an area at threat or risk from significant adverse cumulative effects on natural character. This will give some long overdue recognition to the over farming issue in Clova Bay and set the platform for some resolution.					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2: "avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes"					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the following policies be added to this section: "Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks" And "Recognise the high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of activities in the coastal marine area"					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following policies be added to this section: "Use the coastal water quality programme and other Council initiatives to identify stressors on Marlborough Sounds recreational finfish and shellfish recruitment and stocks" And "Recognise the high amenity value of recreational finfish and shellfish stocks when assessing adverse effects of activities in the coastal marine area"					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the issue (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 13.3.4 be amended and extended to read: "Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel, and in areas of the Pelorus Sound and Kenepuru Sound with high public use or environmental and public amenity value."					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	26	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	27	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows: "Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area"					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows: "Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area"					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a further paragraph be added to Policy 13.2.5 as follows: "Recognising that there are adverse visual amenity effects of surface structures in the coastal marine environment (including cumulative) and ensuring that visual amenity is maintained and enhanced through the setting of guidelines, standards or limits around the amount of surface structures that may be accommodated within the visual perspective of any given area"					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2: "avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes"					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
152	Clova Bay Residents Association Inc	34	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following paragraph be added to Policy 13.15.2: "avoiding activities or structures in areas that may impede on or inhibit regular navigation routes"					
356	Coatbridge Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 156	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Significant Wetland W781. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
356	Coatbridge Limited	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 156	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Significant Wetlands W762 and W784.					
356	Coatbridge Limited	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 155	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Significant Wetland W88.					
356	Coatbridge Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the minimum flows and levels for water takes from the Wairau River as proposed.					
356	Coatbridge Limited	5	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 5 to include primary production values, and to add Natural Character values to Bartletts Creek. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
356	Coatbridge Limited	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
356	Coatbridge Limited	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
725	Gena Cockerell	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following change to the first sentence: <i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i>					
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following change to the first sentence: <i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i>					
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	3	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following change to the first sentence: <i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i>					
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following change to the first sentence: <i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i>					
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	5	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.3.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following change to the first sentence: <i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	6	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
365	Coffey House Removals 2007 Ltd	7	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.4.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following change to the first sentence:</p> <p><i>All work required to reinstate the exterior must be completed within 12 months of the building being delivered to the site.</i></p>					
408	Kenneth James Coles	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
163	James Collett	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 165	Support in Part
Decision Requested	My decision requested is to further define the boundaries of W793 to a smaller and more realistic and practical size as the current overlay as presented on the map is close to three times the actual size of the wetland.					
253	James Collett	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That all Wairau River surface water takes are considered equal (both below and above The Narrows) and therefore have the same minimum flow level cut off of 8m ³ /s at Barnett's Bank. This is effectively the current situation, however it is noted that a portion of consents do have a condition relating to the Wash bridge flow which has never been implemented. With the current management flow of 8m ³ /s for full restriction for all Wairau River users, it has shown that there are no adverse effects on instream values. Whereas any changes will have a significant negative economic and social impact on those consent holders currently above The Narrows.					
235	Chris Collie-Holmes	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
235	Chris Collie-Holmes	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
397	Heather Collins	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Oppose
Decision Requested	I request research that shows the effective use of this Water Quality Index in a New Zealand water quality planning scenario.					
397	Heather Collins	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.23					
397	Heather Collins	3	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Methods of Implementation 15.M.19.					
397	Heather Collins	4	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>MEP to recognise industry best practice standards and industry accords (inferred).</i>					
397	Heather Collins	5	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Inferred - Clarify points raised in submission for Methods of Implementation 15.M.25:</i>					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether management plans are a requirement for existing or for new dairy farm conversions.</i> • <i>Which version of Overseer will be used in preparation of a NMP.</i> • <i>How often a Nutrient Management Plan should be produced.</i> 					
397	Heather Collins	6	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council adopts a community collaboration and engagement rather than a community consultation process.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
397	Heather Collins	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarification through policy or methods as to use the limit of 200kg Nitrogen/ha/year rather than a limit based on farm specific conditions to address this issue (inferred).					
397	Heather Collins	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rule wording be changed to three years after the plan is operative.					
397	Heather Collins	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule is autocratic and should be deleted.					
794	Jacob Collins	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
444	Lisa Collinson	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>Phase out small scale solid fuel burning appliances older than 15 years of age as they need replacing within the Blenheim Airshed.</i> " Or, consider subsidising the cost; Or, providing interest free loans that can be repaid once a property is sold. (Inferred)					
394	Colonial Vineyards Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 159	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like Council to leave this area as rural land so that any future effects can be managed/mitigated.					
248	David Colsell	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>1. Leave the ruling as it currently is (at 500m).</p> <p>2. Perhaps a more progressive solution for the unique environment that is the Marlborough Sounds, is to redefine the classification of a "Ship" within the current rules and introduce a tiered system as such:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Large commercial ships that regularly enter and exit the Sounds, can reasonably be expected to discharge their sewerage outside of the 1000m mark. - Smaller local commercial operators (taxi's, sight seeing and fishing tours , etc.) could be allowed to use a 500m mark but their vessels should be expected to carry a suitable treatment system (inspected under survey). - Recreational craft >7m must macerate their sewerage prior to discharge via electric toilet or macerator pump. This would eliminate the chances of persistent solids washing ashore and significantly increase the rate of dissipation and degradation. This is a simple enough and relatively inexpensive measure that I believe most boat owner's would consider to be a perfectly reasonable and workable request, in the interests of all. - The remaining untreated discharge from smaller boats would be negligible. 					
248	David Colsell	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. Leave the ruling as it currently is (at 500m).</p> <p>2. Perhaps a more progressive solution for the unique environment that is the Marlborough Sounds, is to redefine the classification of a "Ship" within the current rules and introduce a tiered system as such:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Large commercial ships that regularly enter and exit the Sounds, can reasonably be expected to discharge their sewerage outside of the 1000m mark. - Smaller local commercial operators (taxi's, sight seeing and fishing tours , etc.) could be allowed to use a 500m mark but their vessels should be expected to carry a suitable treatment system (inspected under survey). - Recreational craft >7m must macerate their sewerage prior to discharge via electric toilet or macerator pump. This would eliminate the chances of persistent solids washing ashore and significantly increase the rate of dissipation and degradation. This is a simple enough and relatively inexpensive measure that I believe most boat owner's would consider to be a perfectly reasonable and workable request, in the interests of all. - The remaining untreated discharge from smaller boats would be negligible. 					
630	Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a slow phasing out of forestry occurs and return to native bush.					
630	Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like a larger buffer zone on forestry in the Sounds, with the possibility of a slow phasing out and return to native bush.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
630	Combined Clubs of Marlborough Underwater Section	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That commercial scallop dredging has had a catastrophe effect on the sounds underwater environment. This has been more noticeable in Queen Charlotte due to the fact that these beds are wild (no seeding is done), and have been on the decline for years.</p> <p>That commercial is banned, or not allowed to be restarted to protect the unique underwater habitats, the possibility of recreational dredging only in these areas could be considered. These dredges are much, smaller and lighter.</p> <p>Not all recreational people take scallops with dredges our club gathers by hand therefore causes little damage; but do see the result of siltation in bays.</p>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.4					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.6					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.11					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.12					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.14					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	21	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.8					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	22	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.3.7					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	23	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	24	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.4.1.1					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	25	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.2					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.5					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.17					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.19					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.22					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.25					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.26					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.33					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.34					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.4.1					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	39	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 21.4.2					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	40	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 21.4.3					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	41	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.4.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 21.4.4					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	42	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 158	Support
Decision Requested	Retain zoning map 158.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i>Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not <u>only</u> be approved when the rate <u>or timing</u> of use exceeds <u>is within</u> the reasonable use calculations, except or where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water <u>or need to extend the irrigation period</u> based on property specific information.</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i><u>Approve applications to take and use water for frost fighting purposed, where such frost fighting infrastructure is not already in place and been exercised under previous water permits and only where there are no effective alternative methods for frost control on the property.</u></i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined, deletions are crossed out): <i><u>Avoid taking water for frost fighting purposes between during periods of peak irrigation demand (1 January to and 30 31 April <u>March</u> in any calendar year →.</u></i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend this policy to the following or similar (additions are underlined): <i><u>Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. <u>The exemptions to the limitation on the rate of take are:</u></u></i> <i><u>(a) Due to the narrow window of opportunity afforded by the higher sediment loads that can be carried in the Awarere River, higher rates of take of Class B water may be considered from the Awarere River in April and May if Class C water is not available, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised monthly rate of take for irrigation purposes.</u></i> <i><u>(b) Community Irrigation and water supply schemes.</u></i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include the following under Policy 15.1.32(b): <i><u>(v) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the value to an existing consent holder of the investment that relies on the take of water through that intake:</u></i> <i><u>(vi) in the case of infiltration trenches or other water intake structures in a river bed, the practical viability of alternative methods of abstracting water.</u></i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	48	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.1 to the following (or similar): <i><u>Where necessary to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the receiving environment, ensure that wastewater management systems, including the consideration of measures to minimise waste quantities and contaminants, are designed, located and installed to effectively treat and/or contain the contaminant present in wastewater.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	49	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.2 to the following (or similar): <i>Require discharge permits for the discharge of contaminants onto or into land where there are significant environmental constraints to ensure effective wastewater management in accordance with Policy 16.3.1.</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	50	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause (c) of Policy 16.3.3 to read as follows (or similar) <i>(c) The land application area is located is located as far as practicable from any surface waterbody or coastal water; and the land application system is managed, such that the discharge of wastewater directly or via overland flow to a surface waterbody or coastal water is avoided.</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	51	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend clause (a) of Policy 16.3.4 to read as follows (or similar) <i>(a) The extent of treatment prior to discharge to which the treatment prior to discharge is appropriate for the land application area and receiving environment.</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	52	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 16.3.8 to read as follows (or similar) <i>Monitor the operational performance of existing wastewater management systems and require poorly performing systems which are not providing sufficient treatment to avoid significant adverse environmental effects to be upgraded to or replaced with systems that effectively treat and contain all wastewater to the discharge site provide a suitable quality of treated wastewater to avoid adverse effects on the discharge area and receiving environment.</i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	53	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 16.3.9.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	(a) Include the following or similar in the list if permitted activities under 2.7: <i><u>New structures in, under, or over riverbeds.</u></i> (b) Include the following or similar in standards under 2.9: <i><u>- The riverbed must be less 3 metres in width;</u></i> <i><u>- There must be no increase in the velocity of flow through or downstream of the structure at the river's median flow;</u></i> <i><u>- The structure must be deigned and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the structure.</u></i>					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	55	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 3.3.23.1.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	56	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Class C allocation limits in Appendix 6, Schedule 1.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	57	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this section of Doctor's Creek and Opawa River from the overlay map <i>High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access</i> .					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	58	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 159	Support
Decision Requested	Retain zoning map 159.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	59	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 160	Support
Decision Requested	Retain zoning map 160.					
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	60	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 169	Support
Decision Requested	Retain zoning map 169.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
631	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	61	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 170	Support
Decision Requested	Retain zoning map 170.					
632	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review minimum levels for the following FMU's <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs FMU; - Wairau Aquifer Central Springs FMU; and - Wairau Aquifer North Springs FMU. 					
632	Constellation Brands New Zealand Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review minimum levels for the following FMU's and amend Schedule 3 accordingly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs FMU; - Wairau Aquifer Central Springs FMU; and - Wairau Aquifer North Springs FMU. 					
520	Ashley Cook	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 124	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Lot 5 resulting from the subdivision of Sec 14 Blk 1 Linkwater SD be re-zoned Coastal Living in entirety.					
795	Jeff Cook	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."</i></p>					
795	Jeff Cook	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <p><i>"From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</i></p>					
798	Joanne Evalyn Cook	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
849	Ken Cookson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."					
849	Ken Cookson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."					
743	Graham Thomas Cooper	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.11.2: <i>Standard 3.3.11.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i> <i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 30 years in age;</i>					
743	Graham Thomas Cooper	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.7.19: <i>Standard 3.3.7.19 Within 30 days after they are no longer required to be used for harvesting, all harvesting tracks must be recovered so that the contour of the land is restored as closely as practicable to that before the harvesting or associated land disturbance.</i>					
743	Graham Thomas Cooper	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>1. That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.11.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: (b) 10,000m² in any 5 1 year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i></p> <p>OR</p> <p>2. That the following amendments (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.11.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.11.6 Clearance of indigenous vegetation, per Computer Register, must not exceed: (b) 10,000m² in any 5 year period where the average canopy height is below 3m, except for the following species where clearance in any 5 year period must not exceed:</i></p>					
743	Graham Thomas Cooper	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.14.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.14.1 Excavation in excess of 1000m³ must not occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 12 month period.</i></p>					
1309	Jonathan and Karina Coote	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).					
1314	Jackie and Stephen Coote	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
633	Coromandel Marine Farmers' Association	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the coastal occupancy charge part of the plan be withdrawn and introduced again when it can be put as a comprehensive package. Otherwise, address this point.					
18	Jonathan Corskie	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the property numbers 252642, 252643, 532309, 532313, 533497, 533502, 152434, 152442, 152450, 152426, 152418, 529455 and 529457 zoned Coastal Living and Coastal Environment on the western shore of Nydia Bay from this Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay map.					
552	Council of Outdoor Recreation Associations of New Zealand	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
428	Allen, Judith and Andrew Cox	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Lot 2 DP 311518 is NOT included in the High Natural Character area of the M.E.P.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
796	John Craddock	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
796	John Craddock	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
796	John Craddock	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
796	John Craddock	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
796	John Craddock	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
796	John Craddock	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
796	John Craddock	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
796	John Craddock	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
796	John Craddock	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
796	John Craddock	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
634	Crafar Crouch Construction Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 34	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Lot 31 DP 337965 is re-zoned from Urban Residential to Industrial 1.					
521	Audrey Craig	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
418	John Craighead	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	5	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	8	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
418	John Craighead	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area".					
418	John Craighead	19	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
635	Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain in the plan.					
635	Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain in the plan.					
636	Crail Bay Aquaculture Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 57	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change the zone for property number 255628, Lot 2 DP 314868, 80 Main Road, Havelock from Urban residential to Business 1.					
637	Crail Bay Trust	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
637	Crail Bay Trust	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
637	Crail Bay Trust	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
637	Crail Bay Trust	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
637	Crail Bay Trust	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8540 in Grunts Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1294	Crawford Family Trust	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
919	Margaret Cresswell	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt provision in full.					
919	Margaret Cresswell	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt provision in full.					
288	Mike Croad	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove cut off for Springs Area (inferred).					
288	Mike Croad	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Support in favour of current cut off flows in the Awatere and Wairau Rivers.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
288	Mike Croad	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy (inferred).					
288	Mike Croad	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
288	Mike Croad	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
1111	Stephen Cross	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8419 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1111	Stephen Cross	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1111	Stephen Cross	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8419 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1111	Stephen Cross	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8419 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1111	Stephen Cross	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1111	Stephen Cross	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8419 and 8448 in Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
224	William Crosse	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Plan's policies acknowledge the special characteristics of vineyards on the lighter soils of the Wairau Valley floor, and allow for increased reliability in the allocation of irrigation water for these areas.					
224	William Crosse	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The paragraph in question should be deleted from Policy 5.3.8					
224	William Crosse	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Eliminate the provision in IrriCalc for a monthly period for stony soils with low PAW, and require only that the annual period requirements are met.					
224	William Crosse	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	All water permits should be reviewed regardless of their term to ensure water is allocated according to the principles set out in Policy 5.7.3. This will help ensure water is most efficiently used and those irrigators on light soils are not compromised as described in my comments on Policy 5.3.7.					
217	Grant Crosswell	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Can it be re worded so that a burner that has a particulate or efficiency level rather than an age limit.					
217	Grant Crosswell	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.36.9.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	2.36.9.6. Should read, An organisation name and a contact phone number of the group responsible for the sign must be provided on the sign.					
217	Grant Crosswell	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Prevent the sale and marketing of water outside the District so that Marlborough does not create an Ashburton Lot 9 scenario.					
1293	Helen Crowder	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the proposed Rule 7.2.1.5.					
332	Robert John Culbert	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy be amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" The manner in which the level of coastal occupancy charges has been determined is as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the expenditure related to the Marlborough District Council's role in the sustainable management of Marlborough's coastal marine area has been established;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the anticipated exemptions and waivers from coastal occupancy charges has been considered;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the beneficiaries and allocation of costs fairly and equitably amongst beneficiaries has been decided; and</i></p> <p><i>(d) the appropriate charge for the differing occupations to recover costs has been determined.</i></p> <p>Any money collected from coastal occupancy charges must be spent on improving the coastal amenity, and that the quantum of any money collected must be related to the area occupied."</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
332	Robert John Culbert	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Policy be deleted.					
332	Robert John Culbert	3	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a MHWS."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
332	Robert John Culbert	4	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2022, the discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend wording (underline and strikethrough) of second guiding principle to read: <i>A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment <u>is a place where people and businesses are encouraged to grow and flourish with certainty and confidence.</u></i>					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend (strikethrough and bold) Issue 6A to read: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the modification degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins.</i> Add a new policy: <u>Recognise existing and legally established uses such as marine farms are significant investments which are reversible.</u> Recognise and provide for existing activities that are already legally established in landscape zones (aquaculture, forestry, farming) and provide for their continuation. Recognise ongoing use and developments that are lawfully established.					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Issue 7A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Delete references to significant landscapes throughout this chapter. Include a policy that states 'Landscapes valued by the community for their contribution to a sense of place or economic wellbeing.' 					
447	Ted and Shirley Culley	4	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Delete ecologically significant marine sites and reassess using national criteria. Recognise that some of these significant sites may contain marine farms which have been assessed as appropriate. 					
1112	Sarah Cumming	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to recognise that aquaculture is a regionally significant sector in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1112	Sarah Cumming	2	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
1112	Sarah Cumming	3	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows - " <i>Resource use and changes in resource use can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
1112	Sarah Cumming	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds, while recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture.</i> " (Inferred)					
1112	Sarah Cumming	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The use and development of natural and physical resources in the Marlborough Sounds has the potential to detract from the character and intrinsic values of this unique and iconic environment. However, the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture are recognised and provided for.</i> " (Inferred)					
1112	Sarah Cumming	6	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy as follows - " Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules. " (Inferred)					
1112	Sarah Cumming	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) – " <i>Recognise the social, economic, environmental, health and safety benefits from the following infrastructure, either existing or consented at the time the Marlborough Environment Plan became operative, as regionally significant:</i> (i) <i>reticulated sewerage systems (including the pipe network, treatment plants and associated infrastructure) operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i> (ii) <i>reticulated community stormwater networks;</i> (iii) <i>reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council;</i> (iv) <i>regional landfill, transfer stations and the resource recovery centre;</i> (v) <i>National Grid (the assets used or owned by Transpower NZ Limited);</i> (vi) <i>local electricity supply network owned and operated by Marlborough Lines;</i> (vii) <i>facilities for the generation of electricity, where the electricity generated is supplied to the National Grid or the local electricity supply network (including infrastructure for the transmission of the electricity into the National Grid or local electricity supply network);</i> (viii) <i>strategic telecommunications facilities, as defined in Section 5 of the Telecommunications Act 2001, and strategic radiocommunication facilities, as defined in Section 2(1) of the Radiocommunications Act 1989;</i> (ix) <i>Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko Airports;</i> (x) <i>main trunk railway line;</i> (xi) <i>district roading network;</i> (xii) <i>Port of Picton and Havelock Harbour;</i> (xiii) <i>Picton, Waikawa and Havelock marinas;</i> (xiv) <i>RNZAF Base at Woodbourne;</i> and (xv) <i>Council administered flood defences and the drainage network on the Lower Wairau Plain;</i> and (p) areas of significant aquaculture (areas not specified in Submission). " (Inferred)					
1112	Sarah Cumming	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Objective as follows (bold) - " <i>Efficient, effective and safe operation of regionally significant infrastructure and regionally significant sectors.</i> " (Inferred)					
1112	Sarah Cumming	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Issue as follows (bold) - " <i>The social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the Marlborough community and regionally significant sectors are at risk if community infrastructure is not able to operate efficiently, effectively and safely.</i> " (Inferred)					
920	Martin Cunniffe	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
659	Donald M Curie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
659	Donald M Curie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
659	Donald M Curie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
659	Donald M Curie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
659	Donald M Curie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
659	Donald M Curie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
659	Donald M Curie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
659	Donald M Curie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
659	Donald M Curie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
659	Donald M Curie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1056	Rob Curtis	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8098 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8099 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8098 and 8099 in Waitata Bay, and 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8098 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8099 in Waitata Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1056	Rob Curtis	9	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8098 and 8099 in Waitata Bay, and 8128 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
676	Dairy NZ	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Adding 'and development' would better align with s5 and s9 RMA as well as the enabling intent of the RMA. The Marlborough Regional Policy Statement (RPS) which states: 'It is accepted that the Regional Policy Statement must ensure that the environmental limits are met while otherwise managing the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources (p .53 , para. 3)'. Emphasis added by submitter.</p> <p><i>Issue 4A – Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing relies on the use and development of its natural resources.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That consistent terminology is used in 4.AER.1 and Issue 4A in relation to the primary sector and its economic contribution to Marlborough. The baseline size of the primary sector (e.g., absolute) should be used in order to understand how the primary sector is contributing to the regional economy over time.</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 4.1.2 with the following sentence (bold) to 2nd to last sentence of the first paragraph of the explanation:</p> <p><i>Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required. Where the adverse effects are considered minor and there is no potential for environmental effects, resource consents will not be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the consent status of Rule 2.6.1 is changed from a Prohibited Activity to a Non-complying Activity.					
676	Dairy NZ	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following changes are made to the explanation of Issue 15B:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the reference to the use of the CWQI is removed from this section of the plan and replaced with the human and ecosystem health attributes as defined in the national objectives framework (NOF); Table 15.1 is re-populated to include river sites that fail the national bottom-line for any attributes used for the human or ecosystem health attributes, and that Table 15.2 is re-populated to include rivers that are at risk of degrading and changing banding as defined by NOF. 					
676	Dairy NZ	8	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments is deleted (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.1b:</p> <p>Objective 15.1b – Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median nitrate concentration is <1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual 95th percentile concentration is <1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme. Maintain water quality in its current state as defined by relevant attributes from the national objectives framework. Where required, improve water quality in line with community expectations once community values have been defined, the carrying capacity of rivers has been quantified to protect community values and Catchment Enhancement Plans have been developed.</p> <p>That consequential changes are made to other Policies and Methods to ensure consistency.</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	9	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Objective 15.1c and incorporate with Objective 15.1b. <i>Objective 15.1c – Maintain freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median ammonia concentration is <0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual maximum concentration is <0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	10	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Objective 15.1d is deleted (strike-through) and replaced with the following text (bold): Objective 15.1d – Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual median E. coli level is <260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme. Where fresh waterbodies are not valued for primary contact recreation, maintain bacteria levels in their current state as defined by the secondary recreation standard as defined national objectives framework. Where required, improve bacteria levels in line with community expectations once community values have been defined, the carrying capacity of rivers has been quantified to protect community values and Catchment Enhancement Plans have been developed. That consequential changes are made to other Policies and Methods to ensure consistency.					
676	Dairy NZ	11	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1e.					
676	Dairy NZ	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 15.M.5: <i>15.M.5 Catchment Enhancement Plans will be developed as a priority for rivers that have degraded water quality, as identified in Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7. Catchment Enhancement Groups will also be formed for each of these catchments. These groups will be involved at each step in the catchment planning processes. The methods to be used to enhance water quality will be determined following an assessment of the cause and effect of degraded water quality and the costs and benefits of achieving modelled water quality enhancement scenarios over various timeframes, and will be clearly identified within the Plans. It may take time to establish the nature of the cause, which may delay the completion of the Plans. Other methods may be used in the interim to reduce the effects of non-point source discharges on water quality. Each Catchment Enhancement Plan will be developed in consultation partnership with resource users in the catchment and other affected parties.</i> The Plan contains several provisions relating to limit-setting. These provisions should be amended to allow for the close involvement of the communities most interested in, and affected by, limit-setting in their respective catchments.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	13	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.4 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objectives 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</i></p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek.</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	14	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.5 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objectives 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</i></p> <p>(a) Are Are Creek;</p> <p>(b) Cullens Creek;</p> <p>(c) Doctors Creek; and</p> <p>(d) Kaituna River.</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.6:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.6 – Take action to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objectives 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative agreed by Catchment Enhancement Groups within agreed timeframes:</i></p> <p>(a) Taylor River;</p> <p>(b) Rai River; and</p> <p>(c) Waihopai River.</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Policy 15.1.7 should be amended to include a stronger focus on empowering local communities to determine objectives and timeframes for water management in their relevant catchments. The explanations to these policies should note that the outcomes of catchment-level collaboration will dovetail with an accompanying formal RMA process.					
676	Dairy NZ	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.3: <i>Policy 4.1.3 - Maintain andor enhance the quality of natural resources.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert the following (bold) from NPSFM, Policy CA2:</p> <p><i>By every regional council applying the following processes in developing freshwater objectives for all freshwater management units:</i></p> <p><i>a) considering all national values and how they apply to local and regional circumstances;</i></p> <p><i>b) identifying the values for each freshwater management unit, which:</i></p> <p><i>i. must include the compulsory values; and</i></p> <p><i>ii. may include any other national values or other values that the regional council considers appropriate (in either case having regard to local and regional circumstances);</i></p> <p><i>Compulsory national values:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Te Hauora o te Wai/the health and mauri of water</i> <i>• Te Hauora o te Tanqata/the health and mauri of the people</i> <p><i>Additional national values:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Te Hauora o te Taiao/the health and mauri of the environment</i> <i>• Mahinga kai/food gathering, places of food</i> <i>• Mahi mara/cultivation</i> <i>• Wai Tapu/Sacred Waters</i> <i>• Wai Maori/municipal and domestic water supply</i> <i>• Au Putea/economic or commercial development</i> <i>• He ara haere/navigation</i> 					
676	Dairy NZ	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the activity status of Policy 5.2.3 is changed from prohibited to non-complying.					
676	Dairy NZ	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
676	Dairy NZ	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.9.					
676	Dairy NZ	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the explanation provided in Policy 5.2.13 (second paragraph): <i>This means that the Council cannot continue to allocate water once the cumulative level of allocation from a FMU reaches the allocation limit set in rules. For this reason, In this instance, any further allocation of water from the FMU should be avoided (unless explicitly provided for in another allocation class) except where new hydrological data or proposed consent conditions show that effects can be avoided.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.14.					
676	Dairy NZ	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.15.					
676	Dairy NZ	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17.					
676	Dairy NZ	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.20.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested, rather it states " <i>Review and revise policy and the explanation to policy to provide greater clarity</i> ".					
676	Dairy NZ	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.2.					
676	Dairy NZ	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5.					
676	Dairy NZ	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.6.					
676	Dairy NZ	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.7.					
676	Dairy NZ	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8.					
676	Dairy NZ	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.9.					
676	Dairy NZ	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.10.					
676	Dairy NZ	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.11.					
676	Dairy NZ	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.3.14: <i>Policy 5.3.14 – The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally, may:</i> <i>(c) not be more less than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14, except the consent duration may be longer than ten years where information has been provided to demonstrate an acceptable level of effects.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15.					
676	Dairy NZ	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
676	Dairy NZ	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5D.					
676	Dairy NZ	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 5.4 explanation: <i>In a state of full allocation of water resources, and given the implications of full allocation for potential users under the NPSFM, it is essential that an alternative method to better utilise scarce water resources gain access to water is found to meet future demand.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.5.					
676	Dairy NZ	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.6.					
676	Dairy NZ	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5E.					
676	Dairy NZ	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain objective and add the following to the accompanying explanation for Objective 5.5: <i>In accordance with s131 of the RMA the Council will consider shall have regard to the matters in section 104 and to whether the activity allowed by the consent will continue to be viable after the change.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 5.5.5: <i>Policy 5.5.5 – Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, and the type of intended use, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.</i> Explanation This policy sets out the means by which the over-allocation of groundwater from the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer FMUs will be resolved. A reduction in the allocation that has been granted resource consent, based on reallocating the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area and current use and reasonable water requirements , is considered to be the most equitable means of reducing total allocation of water from these FMUs. This recognises already established land use reasonable water use needs and associated significant investment that may accompany this existing land use. Where water use is for non-irrigation purposes, such as winery or commercial use, the proportion of the reallocation will be calculated to be relative to irrigation water permit holders.					
676	Dairy NZ	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5G	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5G.					
676	Dairy NZ	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.7.					
676	Dairy NZ	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.2.					
676	Dairy NZ	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.6.					
676	Dairy NZ	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.7.					
676	Dairy NZ	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.8.					
676	Dairy NZ	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.10.					
676	Dairy NZ	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.11.					
676	Dairy NZ	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to the 6th sentence of the explanation for Issue 5H:</p> <p><i>The imposition of environmental flows/levels to protect the life-supporting capacity of the water resource can result in the restriction or suspension of abstraction from those water resources. The outcome is one in which water users, particularly irrigators, cannot access water at the very time they need it the most. In such circumstances there is the potential for failure of crops, reduced pasture growth or at least reduced yield/production.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2.					
676	Dairy NZ	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.5.					
676	Dairy NZ	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5J.					
676	Dairy NZ	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.9.					
676	Dairy NZ	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	72	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 15.1a: <i>Objective 15.1a -Maintain or and where necessary enhance water quality.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	73	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	74	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made after the 5th sentence of the first paragraph of Policy 15.1.2: <i>Water quality classifications will be applied through the MEP to all water and coastal waters. The classifications will, as a minimum, reflect the management purposes set out in Policy 15.1.1. However, particular waterbodies and coastal waters may support other natural and human use values and it is appropriate for these values to be reflected in any classification. This means that many waterbodies and coastal waters will have multiple classifications. For those waterbodies or coastal water experiencing degraded water quality, the classifications will reflect the natural and human use values that are to be restored. These values will be form a part of Catchment Enhancement Plans. Water quality standards will apply to each classification.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	75	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to the explanation for Policy 15.1.3: After paragraph 2 of the explanation: Key stakeholders will be given the opportunity to be kept informed or participate in this investigation process. After paragraph 4 of the explanation: The date for implementation of cumulative catchment limits has been set at 2024. However, this date may be extended where Catchment Enhancement Groups agree it is necessary to allow resource users sufficient time to implement any changes in land use practices.					
676	Dairy NZ	76	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	77	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 15.1.23:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers, except in the following circumstances:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons or • The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and • There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and • The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody. <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the last paragraph of the explanation for Policy 15.1.23:</p> <p><i>Due to the practical difficulties in some situations of fencing stock out of waterbodies, particularly where stock are grazed extensively, or where intense rainfall events can cause ephemeral waterbodies to flow, the Council has also adopted an approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access not covered by this policy. The permitted activity rules will require good practices to be followed in order to avoid adverse effects on water quality compliance with any relevant water quality standard set for the affected waterbody.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	78	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 16B to delete the reference to "domestic wastewater" and add a new provision(s) dealing with domestic wastewater issues.					
676	Dairy NZ	79	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested, rather it requests information as to the decision to include these soil types in the MEP.					
676	Dairy NZ	80	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Provide for dairy wash takes above 15m3 to be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A permitted activity where the take existed prior to plan notification and is from a source that is not over-allocated. • A restricted discretionary activity where the take existed prior to plan notification and is from an over-allocated source. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	81	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates a definition of 'Dairy Farm' is included in relation to Heading 3.3.1.1, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	82	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.1 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 3.3.13.1. Good Management Practices must be followed when cultivation occurs On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land; except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.2: <i>Standard 3.3.13.2 On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m a minimum of 3m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. Critical source areas, swales or gullies must either not be cultivated or have an appropriate mitigation in place to minimise the amount of soil run-off in a rain event.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	84	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.13.3: <i>Standard 3.3.13.3 On all slopes less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m 3m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. Critical source areas, swales or gullies must either not be cultivated or have an appropriate mitigation in place to minimise the amount of soil run-off in a rain event.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the 8m buffer in relation to scientific literature and good management practice. The submission does not include reference material or other information that indicates the 8m vegetated buffer does not align with scientific literature or trends in good management practice that have been established in other regions. Exclude artificially constructed wetlands, dams and ponds.					
676	Dairy NZ	86	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.13.5 is deleted. <i>Standard 3.3.13.5. On completion of the cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.13.6: <i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> <i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</i> <i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	88	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.21.1: <i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, except in the following circumstances:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or • The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and • There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and, • The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.21.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	90	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Standard 3.3.21.3 is deleted.</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.21.3 After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:-</i></p> <p><i>(a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</i></p> <p><i>(b) dissolved reactive phosphorus;</i></p> <p><i>(c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</i></p> <p><i>(d) Escherichia coli (E. coli).</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	91	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Standard 3.3.23.1 is deleted:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.1 The application of fertiliser must not be applied to a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free-draining soils.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	92	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.2 Stored Ffertiliser must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times and fertiliser must not come into contact with surface water.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.23.5.					
676	Dairy NZ	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.23.4.</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.4 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year from this activity (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.23.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.23.6 All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must does not pass beyond the legal boundary land which it was intended for of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied (inferred).</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
676	Dairy NZ	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	99	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.28.3:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.3 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</i></p> <p>An alternative standard is proposed in which a high rate system can be used on a slope over 7 degrees if the depth applied is under 5mm in any 24-hour period (inferred).</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	100	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	101	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.5.					
676	Dairy NZ	102	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Standard 3.3.28.6 is deleted:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	103	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.28.7:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.28.7 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year from this activity (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	104	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That at the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to standard 3.3.28.8 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be deemed to be satisfactory by the Council certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification Council approval must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	105	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "recognised professional" in relation to Standard 3.3.28.8, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	106	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide clarity on which certification, who recognises the individual or company as suitably qualified and who provides sign off.					
676	Dairy NZ	107	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of " <u>impermeable material</u> " in relation to Standard 3.3.28.9, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	108	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.28.10(c): <i>Standard 3.3.28.10 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within: (c) a Flood Hazard Area a Flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	109	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.28.11: <i>Standard 3.3.28.11 Three years from the time the Plan is made operative, From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	110	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.31.1 is deleted: <i>Standard 3.3.31.1 Only biodegradable material (except offal or a carcass) must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	111	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 3.3.31.2 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>). Standard 3.3.31.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.					
676	Dairy NZ	112	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "property" in relation to Standard 3.3.31.2, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	113	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	114	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	115	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.31.5: <i>Standard 3.3.31.5 Surface run-off water must not enter the pit.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	116	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.31.6.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	117	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.32.6: <i>Standard 3.3.32.6 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	118	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.1: <i>Standard 3.3.33.1 The stack or stockpile must not be located on a Soil Sensitive Area be placed on a sealed surface if it is located on a Soil Sensitive Area identified as free -draining soils.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	119	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.33.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	120	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not provide a decision requested.					
676	Dairy NZ	121	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of " <u>impermeable</u> " and <u>when not in use</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.33.4, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	122	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.5. <i>Standard 3.3.33.5. There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile. Visible run-off of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile must be intercepted before reaching a waterway.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	123	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.33.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.33.6. Surface run-off water must not enter the pit, stack or stockpile.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	124	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 3.7.4 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>3.7.4. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, except in the following circumstances:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</i> <i>• the farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</i> <i>• there are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts; and</i> <i>• the crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</i> 					
676	Dairy NZ	125	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to Rule 3.7.5 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>3.7.5. From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to pass across the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, except in the following circumstances:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or</i> <i>• the farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and</i> <i>• there are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts; and</i> <i>• the crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody.</i> 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	126	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "dairy farm" in relation to Standard 4.3.1.1, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	127	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of "dairy farm" in relation to Standard 4.3.1.1, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	128	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Standard 4.3.12.1 is deleted to avoid health and safety risk:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.12.1 On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must be parallel to the contour of the land, except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	129	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.12.2-On all slopes greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. In cultivated areas:</i></p> <p>(1) a minimum of 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 16 degrees; and</p> <p>(2) critical source areas are to be retained with a grass filter strip or a sediment retention system is installed, and maintained to prevent sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or leaves the property, and</p> <p>(3) on slopes greater than 16 degrees, vegetated strips should be a minimum of 5 metres and;</p> <p>(4) critical source areas are to be retained with grass filter strips to minimise sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or/eaves the property boundary.</p>					
676	Dairy NZ	130	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.12.3: <i>Standard 4.3.12.3 On all slopes less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area. In cultivated areas:</i> (1) a minimum of 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 16 degrees; and (2) critical source areas are to be retained with a grass filter strip or a sediment retention system is installed, and maintained to prevent sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or leaves the property, and (3) on slopes greater than 16 degrees, vegetated strips should be a minimum of 5 metres and; (4) critical source areas are to be retained with grass filter strips to minimise sediment discharge before the critical source area enters a natural waterway, drain or/eaves the property boundary.					
676	Dairy NZ	131	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review 8m buffer in relation to scientific literature and good management practice.					
676	Dairy NZ	132	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.12.5 is deleted: <i>Standard 4.3.12.5 On completion of cultivation, a suitable vegetative cover that will mitigate soil loss, must be restored on the site so that, within 24 months the amount of bare ground is to be no more than 20% greater than prior to the cultivation taking place.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	133	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Standard 3.3.21.1: <i>Standard 3.3.21.1 The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, except in the following circumstances:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where the crossing is necessary for stock safety reasons; or • The farm is already established prior to 9 June 2016 and crossing is necessary to farm operation; and • There are practical difficulties in constructing bridges or culverts and, • The crossing is over an ephemeral waterbody. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	134	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through) are made to Standard 3.3.13.6:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.13.6 Cultivation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	135	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Standard 4.3.20.3 is deleted:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.20.3. After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not result in a change in concentration of following:-</i></p> <p><i>(a) daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter);</i></p> <p><i>(b) dissolved reactive phosphorus;</i></p> <p><i>(c) dissolved inorganic nitrogen;</i></p> <p><i>(d) Escherichia coli (E. coli).</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	136	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.22.1. Stored Fertiliser must be stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times and fertiliser must not come into contact with surface water.</i></p>					
676	Dairy NZ	137	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standard 4.3.22.2.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	138	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.22.3: <i>Standard 4.3.22.3 Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year from this activity (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	139	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.22.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.22.5: <i>Standard 4.3.22.5 All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary land which it was intended for of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied (inferred).</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	141	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.24.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.24.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.24.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.24.2: <i>Standard 4.3.24.2 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year from this activity (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	143	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	144	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.27.2: <i>Standard 4.3.27.2 A high rate discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7° or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3° (1:5) at any point.</i> An alternative standard is proposed in which a high rate system can be used on a slope over 7 degrees if the depth applied is under 5mm in any 24-hour period (inferred).					
676	Dairy NZ	145	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	146	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.27.4.					
676	Dairy NZ	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.27.5 is deleted: <i>Standard 3.3.28.6 The discharge must not result in anaerobic soil conditions.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	148	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.6: <i>Standard 4.3.27.6 The total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from all discharges on the areal extent of land to be used for the discharge must not exceed 200kg N/hectare/year from this activity (excluding N from direct animal inputs).</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
676	Dairy NZ	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That at the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.27.7 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Standard 4.3.27.7 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be deemed to be satisfactory by the Council certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification Council approval must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	150	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>recognised professional</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.28.8, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Provide clarity on which certification, who recognises the individual or company as suitably qualified and who provides sign off.					
676	Dairy NZ	152	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>impermeable material</u> in relation to Standard 3.3.28.9, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.27.9(c): <i>Standard 4.3.27.9 For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within: (c) a Level 3 or 4 Flood Hazard Area.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	154	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.27.10: <i>Standard 4.3.27.10. Three years from the time the Plan is made operative, From 9 June 2019, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	155	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Standard 4.3.30.1 is deleted: <i>Standard 4.3.30.1 Only biodegradable material (except offal or a carcass) must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	156	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a specific decision requested, rather it states "Clarification is sought around intent of the standard". It is <i>inferred</i> that the decision requested is to deleted Standard 4.3.30.2. <i>Standard 4.3.30.2 Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	157	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>property</u> in relation to Standard 4.3.30.2, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	158	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard is 4.3.30.3.					
676	Dairy NZ	159	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.30.4: <i>Standard 4.3.30.4. Surface run-off water must not enter the pit.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	160	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.30.5.					
676	Dairy NZ	161	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 4.3.31.5: <i>Standard 4.3.31.5 The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	162	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.32.1.					
676	Dairy NZ	163	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
676	Dairy NZ	164	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Although the submission indicates that a definition of <u>impermeable</u> and <u>when not in use</u> in relation to Standard 4.3.32.2, no definition is provided.					
676	Dairy NZ	165	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.32.3: <i>4.3.32.3. There must be no Visible runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile must be intercepted before reaching a waterway.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	166	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.32.4: <i>Standard 4.3.32.4 Stormwater Surface water must not enter the pit, stack or stockpile.</i>					
676	Dairy NZ	167	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of <i>wetland</i> is deleted: Wetland has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act but does not include these areas where they are entirely man-made.					
652	Jaquery Dale	1	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
563	Brent Matthew Dalley	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8574 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
923	Margaret Dalley	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8500 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8590 in Forsyth Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
923	Margaret Dalley	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8574, 8500 and 8590 in Forsyth Bay, and 8209 in Horseshoe Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Make the following amends (strikethrough and bold) to Standard 7.2.1.9: <i>On land within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape or any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape, any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 4536% or less and must be in the natural range of greens, greys and browns.</i>					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	2	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We consider that that Standard 7.3.13.1 should be amended to provide for all lawfully established onsite wastewater systems <u>irrespective of whether it was established via resource consent</u> . It could be that once the consent expires, the permitted activity provisions apply until such time that a building is further extended.					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to apply to new moorings or where new properties are developed so as to provide for legally established moorings.					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	4	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support
Decision Requested	Retain MEP Notable Tree Reference 2 (Foreshore reserve of Lochmara Bay West)					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	5	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.1.17 (<i>inferred</i>)					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	6	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.1.18 (<i>inferred</i>)					
432	Kevin and Mary Daly	7	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.1.19 (<i>inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1014	Paul Dargan	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay; AND Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
890	Lloyd Sampson David	5	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay; AND Amend the Natural Character mapping at the head of Marys Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the mapping as proposed in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Oyster Bay; and - Port Underwood. <p>AND</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and - The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per a separate submission.</p>					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in: - Oyster Bay; and - Port Underwood. AND - Reduce the extent of the natural character overlay in Ngaruru Bay; and - The natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per a separate submission.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in Forysth Bay.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed in Nikau Bay and Marys Bay.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into the Ngaruru Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	11	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Tory Channel, save for reducing the extent of the ONL overlay on the headland extending into the Ngaruru Bay; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 9	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay at that location; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	13	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the ONL overlay at that location; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	16	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the mapping as proposed in Port Underwood and Oyster Bay;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Site classification for Ngaruru Bay, which is, presumably because of a stand of <i>macrocystis pyrifera</i> at the entrance;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The Marlborough Environment Plan should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification and may actually enhance it by providing settlement surfaces for juvenile sporophytes and recruitment back to the reef.</p>					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the classification from this area;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that many activities are compatible with this site.</p>					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Site (Marine Mammal Whale) classification in these areas;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have adverse effects on whales.</p>					
890	Lloyd Sampson David	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the Ecologically Significant Marine Site (Marine Mammal Dolphin) classification in this area, as frequency of dolphins is as episodic as most of the rest of the Marlborough Sounds;</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not have any adverse effect on dolphins in this area.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
890	Lloyd Sampson David	21	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	National Transportation Route	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the National Transportation Route map to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Deletion of this rule.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That a Permitted Activity be added under Provision 2.2 for the taking of water for dust control.</p> <p>We suggest an allowance of 50 cubic metres per site per day for up to 50 days per year would be sufficient for sports, most construction sites, haul roads and the like. Larger-scale construction operations would still need a water permit for large volume and/or longer duration takes.</p> <p>We anticipate that conditions to be added under Provision 2.3 would include aspects such as a maximum proportion of take of surface flow and restrictions in resource areas that are over-allocated or under restriction. It may also be desirable for records to be kept and for those to be provided to Council upon request.</p>					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.23.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend what is allowed under this Provision to include works by entities other than Council, applying the same conditions. It may be appropriate to limit rights under this to only those who hold a Land Use consent for the existing protection works, but in any case add to Provision 2.3.23.5 that Council must be notified ahead of time.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the application of commercial wastewater to land be changed to a Controlled or Discretionary Activity with requirements for land assessment and design by a recognised professional to assess what effluent quality is required relative to the restrictions of the soil type, groundwater conditions and contamination risks.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Soil Sensitive Area 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Soil Sensitive Areas for free draining soils be removed from the Plan or be extended to include all areas that are free draining.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this provision.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council consider whether additional requirements should be included to ensure that dam safety is adequately addressed.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	10	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the application of commercial wastewater to land be changed to a Controlled or Discretionary Activity with requirements for land assessment and design by a recognised professional to assess what effluent quality is required relative to the restrictions of the soil type, groundwater conditions and contamination risks.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	11	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Extend what is allowed under this Chapter to include works by entities other than Council, applying the same conditions. It may be appropriate to limit rights under this to only those who hold a Land Use consent for existing protection works.					
172	Davidson Group Ltd	12	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change this Provision to be more enabling of stopbank maintenance works both by Council and private stopbank owners.					
225	Davidson Group Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	remove this rule altogether.					
225	Davidson Group Ltd	2	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Definition of 'foundation' or rewording of this rule required.					
225	Davidson Group Ltd	3	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Rule re worded or foundation definition established.					
225	Davidson Group Ltd	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this rule altogether					
225	Davidson Group Ltd	5	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Additional wording should reflect where it would be unrealistic to comply with this rule, eg batters steeper than 2 (H) to 1 (V)26.5 deg or say 30 deg?					
947	Melvyn Ronald Davies	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS, and a minimum depth of water into which discharge may occur is 5 metres. "					
947	Melvyn Ronald Davies	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm, and a minimum depth of water into which discharge may occur is 5 metres. "					
392	Alistair Dawson	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
979	Nolan Day	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1308	Stuart and Raewyn Dayman	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
878	Lyndon Daymond	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
878	Lyndon Daymond	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
878	Lyndon Daymond	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1221	Wayne de Joux	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1221	Wayne de Joux	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
522	Alicia de Leen	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
39	Peter Deacon	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the Plan and are not removed or watered down to suit the interests of large scale industry or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating environmental protections.					
39	Peter Deacon	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the Plan and are not removed or watered down to suit the interests of large scale industry or forestry enterprises who may have vested interests in eliminating environmental protections.					
39	Peter Deacon	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include provisions to promote the planting of native trees and shrubs. (inferred)					
89	Peter Deacon	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the introductory paragraphs to Chapter 19 in accordance with the suggestions I have made in my submission. Affiliate MDC with the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy, in order to ensure Council keeps abreast of the latest strategies for tackling climate change at regional/city level and is at the forefront of supporting innovations to de-carbonise the Marlborough economy.					
89	Peter Deacon	2	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Issue 19A in accordance with my submission above.					
89	Peter Deacon	3	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Objective 19.1 in accordance with my submission above.					
89	Peter Deacon	4	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Policy 19.1.1 in accordance with my submission above.					
89	Peter Deacon	5	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>The Council should decide to take actions that will actually achieve the stated intent of this objective and not just pay it lip service. Council should:-</p> <p>1) Establish a new permanent position of 'MDC Climate Change Adviser'. The purpose of this appointment would be to provide expert advice, information, training, education and support to Council and local residents/businesses, to help them better understand and respond to climate change. This is the greatest environmental challenge we face as a species and probably the greatest threat to civilisation since the second world war. If we are to respond to it with the scale and urgency required then a lot more resources are going to be needed and having someone on the Council team with the right knowledge and expertise will be essential.</p> <p>2) Publish a clear action plan of measures the Council intends to take in the short and medium term to achieve the stated intent of this objective - i.e. to actually improve the community's understanding of climate change - rather than leaving ratepayers in blissful ignorance, as most of them are currently.</p>					
89	Peter Deacon	6	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The penultimate sentence in the supporting paragraph to Policy 19.1.3 should be removed as it is completely incorrect. There will be no opportunities for aquaculture as a result of increasing water temperatures - quite the reverse - increasing sea temperatures will destroy aquaculture in the Marlborough region.</p> <p>MDC needs to employ people with the necessary expertise to advise them properly on Climate Change and its impacts on marine and terrestrial biology. MDC should urgently appoint a Climate Change Adviser.</p>					
89	Peter Deacon	7	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 19 - Method 19.M.1 of the Proposed Plan in accordance with my submission above					
	The method should therefore read - <i>" Investigate Council operations to establish their carbon footprint, set goals for reducing carbon emissions in accordance with New Zealand's national emissions reduction targets, and develop an action plan to reach those goals"</i>					
	It is of imperative that the entire Marlborough region takes action to lower carbon emissions, not just Council, and we therefore encourage MDC to promote regional initiatives such as electrification of transport, conversion of waste biomass to energy which could support a thriving local renewable energy industry, regeneration of native forests to act as carbon sinks and increasing carbon sequestration in soils by encouraging farms and vineyards to incorporate more use of biochar and composting in their production systems.					
89	Peter Deacon	8	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<u>19.M.2Marlborough Regional Land Transport</u>					
	Council should be more positive in this paragraph and instead of just ' <i>considering</i> ' provisions to reduce GHG emissions this statement should read " <i>In the review of the Marlborough Regional Land Transport Plan, Council will include provisions to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases</i> ".					
	This is crucial since transport accounts for 20% of New Zealand's GHG emissions and there is huge potential for these emissions to be reduced. All indications are that uptake of electric cars will explode over the next decade and MDC should be proactive in this area by installing rapid charging facilities in the main Marlborough towns, promoting the electrification of local public transport and introducing electric cars to its fleet as soon as possible.					
89	Peter Deacon	9	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Council should ensure this provision remains in the Marlborough Environment Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
89	Peter Deacon	10	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Council should ensure this provision remains in the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>In addition Council should add another Method of Implementation as follows:-</p> <p><u>19.M.8 Regional Climate Change Advisory Group</u></p> <p>"Council will establish a Climate Change Advisory Group comprising representatives from science, industry, business and the local community to work with Council in a collaborative way to identify regional climate change threats in Marlborough and devise appropriate adaptation and mitigation responses."</p>					
89	Peter Deacon	11	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>Page 19-5 Issue 19B – Climate change could affect natural hazards and create a coastal inundation hazard associated with sea level rise</u></p> <p>Paragraph 3</p> <p>The word '<i>potentially</i>' should be removed from the sentence '<i>This rise potentially increases the risk of inundation at the coast.</i>' Inundation will happen due to sea level rise, particularly during storm events, it is only a matter of how soon this happens as the seas are not going to stop rising for centuries possible millenia.</p>					
89	Peter Deacon	12	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><u>Page 19-5 Objective 19.2 - Avoid and mitigate the adverse effects of natural hazards influenced by climate change</u></p> <p>Paragraphs 1 and 2</p> <p>Council should add another Objective here to investigate where and how the effects of sea level rise will be felt in Marlborough, and into what measures are needed to future-proof communities and create resilience to sea level rise.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
89	Peter Deacon	13	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Council should retain the 3 Anticipated Environmental Results and add a fourth:- 19.AER.4 " <i>There is a significant reduction in the carbon footprint of the Marlborough District</i> ".					
180	Heather Deacon	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or water-down to suit the interests of industrial or forestry enterprises at the expense of local residents and established tourism and viticulture businesses.					
180	Heather Deacon	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Please ensure these important policies remain in the plan and are not removed or water-down to suit the interests of industrial or forestry enterprises at the expense of local residents and established tourism and viticulture businesses.					
335	Delegat Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 167	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove reference to <i>(Significant Wetland)</i> W226 from the map.					
473	Delegat Limited	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 5.7.2 be amended as follows to reflect the submission that reasonable demand relates to irrigation water only.</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.2 To allocate <u>irrigation</u> water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use.</i></p> <p>That an additional policy be added providing direction for decision makers when assessing applications for resource consent to abstract and use water for non-irrigation purposes as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.7.X To recognise that land users require water for uses other than irrigation purposes and applications for allocations of water for such uses shall be assessed on a case by case basis.</i></p>					
473	Delegat Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.7.3 be re-worded as follows to provide for an enabling policy:</p> <p><i><u>Where based on property specific information, an applicant can demonstrate that an allocation of water in excess of the reasonable demand calculation is required, then that allocation may be granted subject to water availability. Under such circumstances the property specific information will take precedence over the reasonable use calculation.</u></i></p>					
473	Delegat Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That policy 5.8.3 be amended as follows: <i>In addition to the storage of water as per Policy 5.8.2, Class A and B water may also be stored to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that the rate of take does not exceed the authorised <u>maximum</u> daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.</i></p> <p>That the last explanatory paragraph be deleted and replaced in entirety with following: <i>The policy provides the consent holder with flexibility to decide how water will be used on any given day. However, the policy limits the rate of take of Class A and B water for storage to the authorised maximum daily rate of take for irrigation purposes. The total volume of water that can be physically stored will limit the number of consecutive days that a consent holder will pump to storage along with the competing need to utilise the water allocation to provide direct irrigation.</i></p>					
473	Delegat Limited	26	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	27	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	28	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	29	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	30	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	31	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provision. (inferred)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	33	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 15.1.32 be amended as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 15.1.32 – In considering any resource consent application for the disturbance of a river or lake bed, or the seabed, or land in close proximity to any waterbody, regard will be had to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) whether the disturbance is likely to result in non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing;</i></p> <p><i>(b) in the event of possible non-compliance with the clarity standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing:</i></p> <p><i>(i) the purpose for undertaking the disturbance and any positive effects accruing from the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) the economic consequences of not undertaking the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the scale, duration and frequency of the disturbance;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) the extent to which the bed disturbance is necessary and adverse water quality effects caused by the disturbance are mitigated <u>by way of site specific management plans that set out how potential adverse effects from such activities are to be avoided, minimised or mitigated;</u> and</i></p> <p><i>(v) for freshwater, the potential effects of increased turbidity on the values of the waterbody set out in Schedule 1 of Appendix 5 of the Marlborough Environment Plan or on the natural character values of the coastal environment in relation to water quality as set out in Appendix 2 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p>					
473	Delegat Limited	34	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	35	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule					
473	Delegat Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	42	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	43	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	44	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	46	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers producers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and harvesting</u> and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticultural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
473	Delegat Limited	48	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	49	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	50	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.39.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	51	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	52	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule and associated standards and definitions. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	53	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support
Decision Requested	Retain appendix. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	54	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 61	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain map 61 and wetland W226. (inferred)					
	(See also submission point 473.72)					
473	Delegat Limited	55	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 167	Support
Decision Requested	Retain map 167 and wetland W226. (inferred)					
	(see also submission point 473.73)					
473	Delegat Limited	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Method 5.M.3 to be deleted in its entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.9.3 be deleted in entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.9.2 be deleted in entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.9.1 be deleted in entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	60	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.5.3 be amended to read:					
	<i>A Category B device must not be operated for any continuous period exceeding two seconds, or at a frequency greater than 10 times in any hour <u>for each 5ha block that the device is being operated over.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
473	Delegat Limited	61	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new standard 3.3.13.1 be inserted as follows with existing standards re-numbered accordingly. <i><u>3.3.13.1 On land which slopes away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area cultivation must not be within 1 metres of the waterbody.</u></i>					
473	Delegat Limited	62	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.2 be amended to read: <i><u>3.3.13.2 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is greater than 10° cultivation must not be within 8m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></i>					
473	Delegat Limited	63	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.3 be amended to read: <i><u>3.3.13.3 On any slope ascending above a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake or coastal marine area where the slope is less than or equal to 10° cultivation must not be within 3m of the river, lake or coastal marine area.</u></i>					
473	Delegat Limited	64	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the standard 3.3.13.4 be amended to read: <i><u>3.3.13.4 Cultivation must not be in, or within 8m of, a Significant Wetland, except where the wetland is fenced in accordance with the wetland boundaries mapped in the Plan, in which case cultivation may occur up to the fenced boundary or where the land slopes away from Significant Wetland in which case cultivation must not be within 1m of the Significant Wetland.</u></i>					
473	Delegat Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standard 3.3.23.1 be deleted.					
473	Delegat Limited	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Objective 5.9 be deleted in entirety.					
473	Delegat Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.26.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and</u> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticulural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
473	Delegat Limited	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Agricultural Waste be amended as follows: <i>Agricultural waste means the waste from the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers <u>producers</u> adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, <u>and</u> harvesting and processing of agricultural crops that include agronomic, horticultural, <u>viticulural</u>, silvicultural and aquaculture activities.</i>					
473	Delegat Limited	69	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain schedule 1. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	70	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Schedule 2. (inferred)					
473	Delegat Limited	71	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>That the minimum levels for aquifers be independently reviewed to demonstrate the appropriateness of such levels as they have the potential to seriously impact upon aquifer based viticulture.</i>					
473	Delegat Limited	72	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 61	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Significant wetland W226 be deleted from the Plan.					
473	Delegat Limited	73	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 167	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Significant Wetland W226 be deleted.					
473	Delegat Limited	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delegats submit the following policy be inserted as Policy 5.8.4 with a subsequent amendment to the numbering of the following existing policies. <i>Policy 5.8.4 Aquifer water may be abstracted to storage at all times to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site and to ensure that in the event of aquifer minimum levels being reached an alternate supply of water may be available. If aquifer water is abstracted to storage during the irrigation season the total abstraction for storage and direct irrigation must not exceed the reasonable use demand allocation.</i>					
473	Delegat Limited	75	All	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>Delegats submit that the MEP should include as a method the ongoing commitment of Council toward the further refining of the Soils Sensitive Areas and boundaries.</i>					
473	Delegat Limited	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 51	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Issue 51 be deleted in entirety.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I propose re type and placed on an equal footing both activities.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	2	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Exclude any ship or barge used in aquaculture from the ambit of standard					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	3	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing salmon farm may include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): "Some bays contain existing salmon farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing salmon farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): "Although salmon farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment".					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	National Transportation Route	Oppose
Decision Requested	The National Transportation Route map should be redrafted to show the route as being confined to the main part of Tory Channel and Queen Charlotte Sound.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	6	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	I propose to remove the natural character overlay from Ruakaka & Otanerau Bays and the natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped; The MEP should expressly recognise that salmon farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the natural character overlay from Ruakaka and Otanerau Bays and the natural character of Tory Channel should be accurately mapped.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Map 18, so that area 4.17 does not extend into East Bay.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping, save for reducing the western extent of the ONL overlay on the southeastern headland at the entrance to Tory Channel.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping, save for reducing the western extent of the ONL overlay on the southeastern headland at the entrance to Tory Channel.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	11	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support
Decision Requested	Include the coastal building and watershed as part of Marlborough heritage: Picton & Havelock all stores, river and shre rowing clubs buildings and marinas.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	12	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Support it in special due to the Wairau rivers as a rich eco-community.					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	13	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It should consider freedom in parking (if safely) everywhere, means not limitation due to "private roads in marinas". I understand that all coastal roads should be freely available as well free parking. this should not be limited to private property. If this happens, interpret it to limit the access to state public spaces. Clarify that it should not limit either the number of car units or the activity that is the public doing (recreational or commercial).					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	14	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	In point c) should said "the character and scale of buildings is compatible with existing development and type of production activity within the surrounding rural area."					
218	Salvador Delgado Oro Laprida	15	All	All		Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>I want the Plan to Recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards and tourism are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities (Add a new Issue to Vol 1, page 2-1). Acknowledge that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards employ people and spread wealth. Council needs to find ways to enable these sectors to grow, while recognising and protecting the special qualities of Marlborough (Amend Issue 4B and Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively (Vol. 1, page 4-5).</p> <p>Provide an opportunity for local businesses to have certainty so as to invest with confidence in their and my future (Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development). Ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay, as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules (Add a new rule to this effect in Vol 2).</p> <p>Create ways that encourage people and businesses to strive to be more sustainable (Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the plan aimed at building awareness). Grow our region while also caring for the environment, our landscapes and protecting natural character (Amend Issue 4C, Objective 4.3, Issue 6A, Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of agriculture, aquaculture, cattle farming, dairy, vineyards and tourism.</p>					
204	Stephen and Kristen Dempster	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain the current minimum controlled activity lot sizes and corresponding standards for accessways for the Urban Residential 2 zones within Blenheim.					
204	Stephen and Kristen Dempster	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	To implement a graduated restriction system to avoid the cut off level being reached. To have this as a condition of all new and renewed water permits					
479	Department of Conservation	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Replace Policy 5.2.9 as follows:</p> <p>Where a minimum flow has not been set for a tributary in Appendix 6, then either:</p> <p>a) a residual flow shall be set for that tributary at 90% of 7dMALF if there is not a robust relationship between the flow record in the mainstem of a river; or,</p> <p>b) if there is a robust relationship between the tributary and a minimum flow site listed in Appendix 6, then the take will be required to comply with that site's minimum flow.</p>					
479	Department of Conservation	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.10 as follows:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.2.10 – Have regard to the importance of flow connection to maintaining natural and human use values when considering resource consent applications to take water from intermittently flowing rivers, including:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the timing and duration of that flow connection;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the physical extent of any disconnection</i></p> <p><i>in flow and the potential for that to disconnection to be exacerbated by abstraction; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) any adverse effects on connected aquifers;</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified but reconsider rule 2.3.14 in light of this policy.					
479	Department of Conservation	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.3 as follows: Confirm and, where they have not previously been set, Establish allocation volumes that reflect the safe sustainable yield from any Freshwater Management Unit over and above the management flows/levels set through the implementation of Policies 5.2.4 and 5.2.10, considering the effects of the allocation on the natural functioning of the FMU and freshwater habitats.					
479	Department of Conservation	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	64	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	65	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	66	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	67	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	68	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	69	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	70	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	73	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	74	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	75	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Amend the fifth sentence of the policy explanation to the policy as follows: <i>The programme is funded by the Council, central government's biodiversity fund and landowners.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	76	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.2.3 as follows: <i>Priority will be given to the financial assistance for the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected.</i>					
	Amend the explanation to the policy as follows: <i>In terms of Priority 4 habitats, in Marlborough bird species such as the New Zealand falcon, weka and rifleman and plant species such as pingao, Muehlenbeckia astonii and native broom species are either acutely or chronically Nationally Threatened <u>or At Risk</u>.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	77	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	79	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	80	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	81	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	82	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	83	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	84	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	85	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	86	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	87	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	88	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	89	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	90	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.5 (d) as follows: <i>the loss of a rare or Threatened or <u>At Risk</u> species or its <u>their habitats</u> and species which are rare within the region or ecological district:</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.7 as follows: <i>Within an identified ecologically significant marine site fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i> The explanation will need to be similarly amended.					
479	Department of Conservation	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new policy as follows: <u>Within 5 years of the Regional Coastal Plan component of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative undertake a review of the effectiveness of other mechanisms (including other legislative regimes) for achieving sustainable management of significant marine ecological sites.</u>					
479	Department of Conservation	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Replace Policy 8.3.8 with the following wording:</p> <p><u>Manage the effects of activities on significant indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna by:</u></p> <p><u>a) avoiding as far as practicable and, where total avoidance is not practicable, minimising adverse effects</u></p> <p><u>b) requiring remediation where adverse effects cannot be avoided</u></p> <p><u>c) requiring mitigation where adverse effects on the areas identified above cannot be avoided or remediated</u></p> <p><u>d) requiring any residual adverse effects on significant indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna to be offset through protection, restoration and enhancement actions that achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain in indigenous biodiversity values having particular regard to:</u></p> <p><u>i. limits to biodiversity offsetting due the affected biodiversity being irreplaceable or vulnerable;</u></p> <p><u>ii. the ability of a proposed offset to demonstrate it can achieve no net loss or preferably a net gain;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Appendix XX on Biodiversity Offsets</u></p> <p><u>e) enabling any residual adverse effects on other indigenous vegetation or indigenous fauna to be offset through protection, restoration and enhancement actions that achieve no net loss and preferably a net gain in indigenous biodiversity values having particular regard to:</u></p> <p><u>i. the ability of a proposed offset to demonstrate it can achieve no net loss or preferably a net gain;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Appendix XX on Biodiversity Offsets</u></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include new Appendix XX Biodiversity Offsetting</p> <p><u>The following sets out a framework for the use of biodiversity offsets. It should be read in conjunction with the NZ Government Guidance on Good Practice Biodiversity Offsetting in New Zealand. August 2014 (or any successor Central Government guidance and standards):</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>1. Restoration, enhancement and protection actions will only be considered a biodiversity offset where they are used to offset the anticipated residual effects of activities after appropriate avoidance, minimisation, remediation and mitigation actions have occurred as per new policy XX, i.e. not in situations where they are used to mitigate the adverse effects of activities.</u> <u>2. A proposed biodiversity offset should contain an explicit loss and gain calculation and should demonstrate the manner in which no net loss or preferably a net gain in biodiversity can be achieved on the ground.</u> <u>3. A biodiversity offset should recognise the limits to offsets due to irreplaceable and vulnerable biodiversity and its design and implementation should include provisions for addressing sources of uncertainty and risk of failure the delivery of no net loss.</u> <u>4. Restoration, enhancement and protection actions undertaken as a biodiversity offset are demonstrably additional to what otherwise would occur, including that they are additional to any remediation or mitigation undertaken in relation to the adverse effects of the activity.</u> <u>5. Offset actions should be undertaken close to the location of development, where this will result in the best ecological outcome.</u> <u>6. The values to be lost through the activity to which the offset applies are counterbalanced by the proposed offsetting activity which is at least commensurate with the adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity, so that the overall result is no net loss, and preferably a net gain in ecological values.</u> <u>7. The offset is applied so that the ecological values being achieved through the offset are the same or similar to those being lost. 8. As far as practicable, the positive ecological outcomes of the offset last at least as long as the impact of the activity, and preferably in perpetuity. Adaptive management responses should be incorporated into the design of the offset, as required to ensure that the positive ecological outcomes are maintained over time.</u> <u>9. The biodiversity offset should be designed and implemented in a landscape context – i.e. with an understanding of both the donor and recipient sites role, or potential role in the ecological context of the area.</u> <u>10. The consent application identifies the intention to utilise an offset, and includes a biodiversity offset management plan that:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <u>i. sets out baseline information on indigenous biodiversity that is potentially impacted by the proposal at both the donor and recipient sites.</u> <u>ii. demonstrates how the requirements set out in this appendix will be addressed.</u> <u>iii. identifies the monitoring approach that will be used to demonstrate how the matters set out in this appendix have been addressed, over an appropriate timeframe.</u> 					
479	Department of Conservation	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend method 8.M.4 as follows:</p> <p><i>Identification of the values of various waterbodies within Marlborough is included in Appendix 5. The natural and human use values include ecological, habitat, recreational and natural character values.</i></p> <p><i>The Council has also identified in the resource management plan significant wetlands and ecologically significant marine sites. <u>With regard to ecologically significant marine sites, a further survey is required in some cases to confirm the boundary or value of a site. Once this occurs the Council will update the planning maps to reflect the outcomes of ongoing surveys.</u></i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	98	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	99	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	100	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	101	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	102	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	103	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 10.2.3 by including a new clause (d) as follows: <u>(d) the tree is a significant cause of wilding tree spread affecting indigenous biodiversity.</u>					
479	Department of Conservation	104	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 11.1.7 as follows: <u>Avoid, remedy or</u> mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability by:....					
479	Department of Conservation	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.1.1 as follows: <i>Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on <u>the characteristics and values of areas identified as having:</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.2 as follows: <i>Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values The areas identified as holding the outstanding or significant values listed in (a) to (d) in Policy 13.1.1 will be mapped in the MEP to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete clause (c) from this Policy as it is addressed under later Objective and Policies.					
479	Department of Conservation	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.12.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	119	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	120	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 15.1a as follows:</p> <p><i>Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</i></p> <p><i>(b) water quality at beaches in coastal waters, rivers and lakes is suitable for contact recreation;</i></p> <p><i>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</i></p> <p><i>(d) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking;</i></p> <p><i>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</i></p> <p><i>(f) coastal waters, rivers and lakes support healthy ecosystems.</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	121	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	122	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	123	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	124	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	125	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 as follows: (a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;					
479	Department of Conservation	126	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	127	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	128	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.4 as follows: Take <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1b within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Mill Creek; and (b) Murphys Creek.					
479	Department of Conservation	129	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.5 as follows: Take <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1d within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Are Are Creek; (b) Cullens Creek; (c) Doctors Creek; and (d) Kaituna River.					
479	Department of Conservation	130	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.6 as follows: Take <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1e within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative: (a) Taylor River; (b) Rai River; and (c) Waihopai River.					
479	Department of Conservation	131	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.7 as follows: Take <i>Investigate, develop and implement</i> actions to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative.					
479	Department of Conservation	132	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	133	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	134	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	135	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	136	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	137	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	138	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.19 as follows: <i>Progressively work toward eliminating the <u>existing</u> discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, <u>and improvement in the discharge from</u> with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	139	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	140	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	141	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.28	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.28 as follows: To require where appropriate (as part of the subdivision consent process) the creation of esplanade reserves and esplanade strips to maintain or enhance water quality and or aquatic habitats.					
479	Department of Conservation	142	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.29 as follows: (a) avoid, remedy or mitigate the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water; and					
479	Department of Conservation	143	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.31	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	144	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.32	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	145	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	146	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	147	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	148	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	149	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	150	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the rules Chapters to avoid repetition and duplication of he rules within and across the zone rules chapters.					
479	Department of Conservation	151	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend permitted rule 2.2.8 as follows: <i>Take and use of water for fire-fighting purposes and for the purpose of spraying for weed and pest control</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	152	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.14.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows: <i>The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	153	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows: <i>The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	154	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.13.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 2.3.13.3 or amend as follows: <i>The take must not be from a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	155	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and activity standards; or Amend the activity standards to address the concerns raised regarding the activity standards.					
479	Department of Conservation	156	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and activity standards; or Amend the activity standards to address the concerns raised regarding the activity standards.					
479	Department of Conservation	157	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted activity rule and associated standards.					
479	Department of Conservation	158	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted activity rule and associated standards.					
479	Department of Conservation	159	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.4.1; or Include further matters that the councils control will be limited to, which will give effect to Policies 5.8.3 and 5.8.4					
479	Department of Conservation	160	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	161	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	162	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.6.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	163	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	164	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	165	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	166	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.7.1 and activity standards 2.9.1 as follows: <i>Alteration, repair or maintenance, <u>and operation</u> of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</i> <i>2.9.1.1. The structure must have been lawfully established.</i> <i><u>2.9.1.X Fish passage must be maintained</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	167	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.7.1 and activity standards 2.9.1 as follows: <i>Alteration, repair or maintenance, and operation of an existing structure in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</i> 2.9.1.1. <i>The structure must have been lawfully established.</i> <u>2.9.1.X Fish passage must be maintained</u>					
479	Department of Conservation	168	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.2 as follows: <u>The repair, maintenance or replacement of existing flood protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</u> for existing structures.					
479	Department of Conservation	169	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.2 as follows: <u>The repair, maintenance or replacement of existing flood protection works in, on or over the bed of a lake or river.</u> for existing structures.					
479	Department of Conservation	170	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.					
479	Department of Conservation	171	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.9.3.2 to provide greater detail on the screening requirements and minimum standards for the prevention of fish passage, and maximum size of the intake to limit effects on aquatic ecology.					
479	Department of Conservation	172	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	173	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	174	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	175	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	176	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	177	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	178	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	179	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.11.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	180	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 2.14.6.1 as follows: <i>When vegetation is planted for the purposes of aquatic habitat protection, native plant species must be preferentially planted.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	181	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 2.17.2.3.					
479	Department of Conservation	182	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	183	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new prohibited activity rule 2.20.4 as follows: <i>Discharge of untreated human effluent to water within rivers, lakes or wetlands.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	184	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.21.1 and activity standards 2.22.1 and include this activity in the zone specific rules.					
479	Department of Conservation	185	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 2.21.1 and activity standards 2.22.1 and include this activity in the zone specific rules.					
479	Department of Conservation	186	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	187	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	188	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.39.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	189	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 3.3.6.2 as follows: <i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, unless replanting harvested commercial forest that was lawfully established:</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	190	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend standard 3.3.6.2 as follows: <i>3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i> <i>(g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site, unless replanting harvested commercial forest that was lawfully established:</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	191	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	192	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	193	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	194	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	195	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	196	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	197	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 3.3.11.2(a) as follows: <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u></i> ;					
479	Department of Conservation	198	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 3.3.11.3 as follows: <i>3.3.11.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i> <i>(c) <u>where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	199	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	200	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	201	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	202	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	203	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	204	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	205	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 3.3.19.5 and include these activities in the note at the beginning of the standards.					
479	Department of Conservation	206	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 3.3.19.5 and include these activities in the note at the beginning of the standards.					
479	Department of Conservation	207	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	208	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	209	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	210	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	211	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend matter of discretion 3.5.1.1 as follows: <i>The effects on water quality, aquatic ecosystems and soil conservation from the excavation</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	212	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	213	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	214	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.7.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					
479	Department of Conservation	215	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.7.5 as follows: From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.					
479	Department of Conservation	216	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	217	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	218	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Support The prohibited activity for drainage of wetlands as detailed in Rules 3.7.8-11 is supported as this is an inappropriate activity, and this will provide for the protection of their inherent natural character and significant indigenous biodiversity values.					
479	Department of Conservation	219	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	220	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and standards and include this activity as Amend the permitted activity standards under section 4.6 as a discretionary activity; Or alternatively; Amend the activity standards 4.3.6 as follows: 4.3.6. Commercial forestry replanting. 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within: (a) 10 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland; (c) 200 metres of the coastal marine area. 4.3.6.2. Replanting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply. 4.3.6.X Replanting must not occur adjacent to an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site.					
479	Department of Conservation	221	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the permitted rule and standards and include this activity as Amend the permitted activity standards under section 4.6 as a discretionary activity; Or alternatively; Amend the activity standards 4.3.6 as follows: 4.3.6. Commercial forestry replanting. 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within: (a) 10 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland; (c) 200 metres of the coastal marine area. 4.3.6.2. Replanting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply. 4.3.6.X Replanting must not occur adjacent to an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site.					
479	Department of Conservation	222	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	223	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	224	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	225	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	226	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	227	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.2(a) as follows: <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u>;</i></p> <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.3 as follows: <i>4.3.10.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i> <i>(C) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	228	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.2(a) as follows: <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u>;</i></p> <p>Amend activity standard 4.3.10.3 as follows: <i>4.3.10.3 Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i> <i>(C) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	229	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	230	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	231	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	232	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	233	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend matter of discretion 4.5.2.1 as follows: <i>The effects on water quality, <u>aquatic ecosystems</u> and soil conservation from the excavation</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	234	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	235	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	236	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	237	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.4 as follows: <i>From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, <u>or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	238	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.7.5 as follows: From 9 June 2022, permitting intensively farmed livestock to enter onto the bed of a river when there is water flowing in the river, or to enter water in lakes or significant wetlands.					
479	Department of Conservation	239	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standards for Rule 13.3.4 so that the permitted activity provides for the in-water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minor and scraping is not required.					
479	Department of Conservation	240	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standards for Rule 13.3.4 so that the permitted activity provides for the in-water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minor and scraping is not required.					
479	Department of Conservation	241	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards of Rule 15.3.3 so that the permitted activity provides for the in- water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minimal. Or Amend Rule 15.3.2 to ensure the permitted maintenance includes minor in water hull cleaning of a low risk of contamination.					
479	Department of Conservation	242	Volume 2	15 Marina Zone	15.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the standards of Rule 15.3.3 so that the permitted activity provides for the in- water cleaning of the hull of a ship where the release of contaminants is minimal. Or Amend Rule 15.3.2 to ensure the permitted maintenance includes minor in water hull cleaning of a low risk of contamination.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	243	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include an additional permitted activity standard as follows: <u>16.2.1.X The disturbance must not be of an identified Ecologically Significant Marine Site in the planning maps.</u>					
479	Department of Conservation	244	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	245	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain as notified subject to proposed new Policy 8.3.X as detailed above.					
479	Department of Conservation	246	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	247	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend activity standard 19.3.3.2(a) as follows: <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted;</u></i> Amend activity standard 19.3.3.3 as follows: <i>19.3.3.3. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i> <i><u>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	248	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend activity standard 19.3.3.2(a) as follows: <i>Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt, <u>which has grown naturally from previously cleared land since the trees were planted</u>;</i></p> <p>Amend activity standard 19.3.3.3 as follows: <i>19.3.3.3. Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i> <i>(a) On land identified on the Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Sites;</i> <i>(b) On land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Sites;</i> <u><i>(c) where the area of indigenous vegetation to be cleared is determined to be significant when assessed against the criteria in Appendix 3.</i></u></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	249	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	250	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	251	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 19.1.16 as follows: Application <u>Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</u></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	252	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 19.1.16 as follows: Application <u>Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</u></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	253	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 19.1.17 and 19.3.15 as follows: <i>Application of an agrichemical into or onto land or to air</i> Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.1 as follows: <i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the discharge is in accordance with all the conditions of the approval.</i> Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.4 as follows: <i>The application must be undertaken in accordance with the most recent product label. All spills of agrichemicals above the application rate must be notified to Council immediately.</i> Include new permitted activity standard as follows: <i>Any spray drift resulting from the discharge is contained within the boundary of the property.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	254	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 19.1.17 and 19.3.15 as follows: <i>Application of an agrichemical into or onto land or to air</i> Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.1 as follows: <i>The agrichemical must be approved for use under the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 and the discharge is in accordance with all the conditions of the approval.</i> Amend permitted activity standard 19.3.15.4 as follows: <i>The application must be undertaken in accordance with the most recent product label. All spills of agrichemicals above the application rate must be notified to Council immediately.</i> Include new permitted activity standard as follows: <i>Any spray drift resulting from the discharge is contained within the boundary of the property.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	255	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 19.3.16.3.					
479	Department of Conservation	256	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete activity standard 19.3.16.3.					
479	Department of Conservation	257	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	258	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	259	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 20.1.8 and 20.3.6 as follows: <i>Application Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	260	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 20.1.8 and 20.3.6 as follows: <i>Application Discharge of a vertebrate toxic agent into or onto land in circumstances where a contaminant may enter water, or to water.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	261	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	262	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a further activity standard 21.3.2.X as follows: <i>The protection works shall not disturb an inanga spawning habitat.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	263	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a further activity standard 21.3.2.X as follows: The protection works shall not disturb an inanga spawning habitat.					
479	Department of Conservation	264	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a further activity standard 21.3.6.X as follows: <i>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no works shall occur within 50m of nesting birds on the riverbed.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	265	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a further activity standard 21.3.6.X as follows: <i>During the period of 1 September to 31 December in any year no works shall occur within 50m of nesting birds on the riverbed.</i>					
479	Department of Conservation	266	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	267	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	268	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	269	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified but correct the maps as described in detail under position and reason (columns of table in submission).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
479	Department of Conservation	270	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Appendix 6 Ecological Significance Criteria as follows and to make changes to ensure the ranking criteria capture the sub criteria values to ensure the significance of all these values are considered in determining significance using the ranking system:</p> <p><i>Ecological Significance Criteria for terrestrial, wetland and coastal <u>marine</u> environments</i></p> <p><i>1. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is representative, typical or characteristic of the natural diversity of the relevant ecological district or <u>biogeographic</u> area. This can include degraded examples where they are some of the best remaining examples of their type, or represent all that remains of indigenous biodiversity in some areas.</i></p> <p><i>Distinctiveness</i></p> <p><i>H: The site contains any ecological feature that is unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district <u>or biogeographic area</u>; or it contains several such features that are outstanding regionally or in the ecological district or biogeographic area.</i></p> <p><i>Size and shape</i></p> <p><i>H: The site is large in size for the region or ecological district and is compact in shape <u>or cohesive</u>.</i></p> <p><i>M: The site is moderate in size for the region or ecological district and is compact in shape <u>or cohesive</u>; or the site is relatively large but not very compact or cohesive.</i></p>					
479	Department of Conservation	271	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	272	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the zero allocations within the Schedule for specific catchments to compliment prohibited activity Rule 2.6.4.					
479	Department of Conservation	273	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek that the MDC undertake instream flow requirement assessments for the individual FMU's, to ensure that these are set to give effect to Policy 5.2.4 and that the minimum flows will provide for the maintenance or protection of the values listed in that policy.					
479	Department of Conservation	274	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review of setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP.					
479	Department of Conservation	275	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP.					
479	Department of Conservation	276	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP.					
479	Department of Conservation	277	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	I seek that the default minimum flow of 90 percent of 7DMALF for A allocation is imposed in this catchment until the MDC undertakes a review setting minimum flows that will provide instream flow requirements for freshwater ecosystems to give effect to proposed Objective 5.2 and proposed Policy 5.2.4 of the MEP.					
479	Department of Conservation	278	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission.					
479	Department of Conservation	279	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission.					
479	Department of Conservation	280	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission.					
479	Department of Conservation	281	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission.					
479	Department of Conservation	282	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission.					
479	Department of Conservation	283	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Update the ecologically significant marine sites overlay to reflect the most recent knowledge of these sites or include new sites that have been identified. These are listed in Attachment 2 to this submission.					
479	Department of Conservation	284	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	285	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	286	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	287	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	288	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	289	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	290	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 13	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	291	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	292	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	293	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	294	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
479	Department of Conservation	295	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
681	Department of Corrections	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new definition is included in the MEP: <i>Community corrections activity means the use of land and buildings for correctional administrative and non-custodial services. Services may include probation, rehabilitation and reintegration services, assessments, reporting, workshops and programmes and offices may be used for the administration of and a meeting point for community work groups.</i>					
681	Department of Corrections	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>community activity</i> : <i>Community activity means the use of land and buildings for the purpose of supporting the health, welfare, education, culture and spiritual well-being of the community including not for profit childcare facilities, community corrections activities, active and passive recreation.</i>					
681	Department of Corrections	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Policy 12.4.1: <i>Policy 12.4.1 – Provide for a wide range of commercial, community and industrial activities in a variety of zones to encourage vibrant and viable business centres.</i> <i>The use of zones enables activities to occur in specified and established areas of Marlborough's urban environments. Areas zoned as Business and Industrial are based in part on the nature of commercial, community and industrial activities that have existed for some time with largely known effects. Some areas have been zoned specifically for large retail format in recognition of the need to provide for retailing that requires large areas of associated car-parking or outdoor space. The variety of business environments within Marlborough's towns is reflected in the differences in zoning approach.</i>					
681	Department of Corrections	4	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 12.4.2: <i>Policy 12.4.2 – The central business areas of Blenheim and Picton provide a focus for retail, commercial business, employment, leisure, visitor accommodation, community and cultural activities.</i>					
681	Department of Corrections	5	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (bold) are made to Objective 12.5: <i>Objective 12.5 A range of opportunities for different business, community and industrial activities are available. To ensure business, community and industrial activities occur in a planned and coordinated manner and that the future needs of people and communities are met, it is necessary to recognise the various characteristics and attributes of Marlborough's towns within the urban environment. Consolidating the area within which these activities take place will reinforce the communities' perception of the character and form of their towns and identity. Importantly the objective provides a focus for establishing a wide range of opportunities for business, community and industrial activity that will result in wide social and economic benefits for the District, therefore helping to achieve the purpose of the RMA.</i>					
681	Department of Corrections	6	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 12.5.5: <i>Policy 12.5.5 – Maintain the following characteristics within areas zoned for light industrial activities in Blenheim, Picton and Seddon: (a) a range of light service industries, community corrections activities and ancillary activities (light manufacturing, logistics, storage, warehousing, transport and distribution are anticipated);</i>					
681	Department of Corrections	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new activity is added to <i>9.1 Permitted Activities</i> list: 9.1.X. Community corrections activity					
681	Department of Corrections	8	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new activity is added to <i>10.1 Permitted Activities</i> list: 10.1.X. Community corrections activity					
681	Department of Corrections	9	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following new activity is added to <i>12.1 Permitted Activities</i> list: 12.1.X. Community corrections activity within Industrial 1 Zone					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
682	Derry Properties Limited	1	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as necessary to ensure there is certainty for Springlands to operate a licenced premise, and continue to obtain a new liquor licence.					
682	Derry Properties Limited	2	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
682	Derry Properties Limited	3	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete (d) of Standard.					
682	Derry Properties Limited	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To amend the Table 2.1 in the Standard to exclude supermarkets from the employee requirement for car parks.					
682	Derry Properties Limited	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1113	Sivanathan Devaraj	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
915	Margaret C Dewar	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
1057	Roger Dippie	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1057	Roger Dippie	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1057	Roger Dippie	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1057	Roger Dippie	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1057	Roger Dippie	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1057	Roger Dippie	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1057	Roger Dippie	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1057	Roger Dippie	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1057	Roger Dippie	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1057	Roger Dippie	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
584	Corey Dixon	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
584	Corey Dixon	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
584	Corey Dixon	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
584	Corey Dixon	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
584	Corey Dixon	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
584	Corey Dixon	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
584	Corey Dixon	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
584	Corey Dixon	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
584	Corey Dixon	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
584	Corey Dixon	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
523	Alan Dodgson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
523	Alan Dodgson	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
683	Dog Point Vineyard	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
683	Dog Point Vineyard	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
683	Dog Point Vineyard	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
683	Dog Point Vineyard	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
2	Michael Doherty	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>I would like the Council to consider a transitional zone or a percentage allowance of tolerance with regard to the 7500 sqm size. Perhaps the further away from Urban Residential zones the tolerance becomes less.</p> <p>For applications to subdivide in the proposed Rural Living Zone I believe if the property has a boundary with high density housing the permitted size should be 4500 sqm as already allowed by Council. Perhaps properties that do not share a town boundary then use the 7500 sqm.</p>					
755	Hamish Paul Doig	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The principal purpose of a boatshed shall be is to house boats and boating equipment. Where a boatshed is to be located in the coastal marine area or on land immediately adjacent to the coastal marine area and its use differs from the purpose described above, the activity is inappropriate in the coastal environment and is to be avoided."</i></p>					
755	Hamish Paul Doig	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The installation of sanitary plumbing and other facilities within or as part of the boatshed that facilitates its use for residential activity involving overnight accommodation is to must be avoided."</i></p>					
755	Hamish Paul Doig	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Policy as follows (bold) - " (a) ensuring new boatsheds are limited to one storey in height, with no internal upper flooring;"					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.19.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	New Policy: <i>Recognise the positive environmental benefit the Salt Works provides, including flood mitigation on SH 1 and during summertime ensures sufficient water in the lake to prevent dust pollution and to enhance the habitat of indigenous flora and fauna.</i>					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	6	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Addition of these words ' <i>and the full range of processes required</i> ' after ' <i>by- products</i> '. Retains words in WARMP.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	7	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.1.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Addition and deletion of the words as requested. Replace with <i>'building, bunds, roads and other developments'</i> . Delete words <i>'existing at 9 June 2016'</i> .					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	8	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amendment of documents as requested: Separate <i>'take and use coastal water'</i> from <i>'the maintenance of existing seawater intake'</i> so that they are not read conjunctively.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	9	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Addition of these words. Include the words <i>'and greywater'</i> after the word <i>'effluent'</i> .					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	10	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove words as requested. Remove the words <i>'other than'</i> from the use of a moveable source.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	11	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.2.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace words as requested. Delete the word <i>'notwithstanding'</i> and replace with <i>'any building not coming within'</i> .					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	12	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete words as requested.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	13	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.12.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete rule.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	14	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.3.12.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rule.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	15	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this rule.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	16	Volume 2	22 Lake Grassmere Saltworks Zone	22.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Move heading 22.4.2 from Controlled Activities to Permitted Activities.					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	17	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 187	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Map 187					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Threatened Environments Map 8					
355	Dominion Salt Limited	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Landscapes Map 9					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
355	Dominion Salt Limited	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Coastal Natural Character Map 5					
684	Donaghys Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
585	Christopher Donaldson	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
866	Karen Donaldson	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
866	Karen Donaldson	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
866	Karen Donaldson	15	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
867	Karl Donaldson	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	7	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8471; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8472; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	10	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	11	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8240; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	12	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
867	Karl Donaldson	13	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8223; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
867	Karl Donaldson	15	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8471, 8472, 8240, 8223 and 8071; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1271	Robert J and Penelope W Donaldson	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p>Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
200	Donna Marris	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 19	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields					
200	Donna Marris	2	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 18	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields.					
200	Donna Marris	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 13	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields.					
200	Donna Marris	4	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Rezone Area 8 in the Urban Growth Study from Rural Environment to Urban Residential Greenfields.					
524	Alice Doole	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
524	Alice Doole	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
524	Alice Doole	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
524	Alice Doole	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
524	Alice Doole	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
524	Alice Doole	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
524	Alice Doole	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
524	Alice Doole	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
524	Alice Doole	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
524	Alice Doole	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
524	Alice Doole	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
524	Alice Doole	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
524	Alice Doole	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
524	Alice Doole	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
524	Alice Doole	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
524	Alice Doole	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
524	Alice Doole	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
524	Alice Doole	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
524	Alice Doole	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
78	Chris Douglas	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm					
343	Martin Douglass	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I recognise however that not all harvest tracks are necessary for long term access and my objection to the proposed rule 3.1.7 (<i>Standard 3.3.7.19</i>) would be met if the rule was reworded to allow foresters to nominate permanent access ways in their harvest plan that would be retained after harvest.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
343	Martin Douglass	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I seek that the proposed rule 3.1.6 (<i>Standard 3.3.6.1(a)</i>) banning the planting of Douglas Fir be deleted from the plan.					
322	Darryl and Marjorie Downs-Woolley	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Assurance that "Irricalc" be calibrated to deliver water to "worst case" scenario within variable soil types.					
322	Darryl and Marjorie Downs-Woolley	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council introduce these data logger/telemetry logger, only upon expiration of current water permits or for new permits.					
6	Eric Driver	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Submission</p> <p>I submit that to avoid the increasing complaints of affected residents and at the same time improve bird control for vineyard owners, all gas guns be banned from use with immediate effect.</p> <p>I wish to make a verbal PowerPoint submission at the appropriate committee meeting.</p>					
1266	Eric Driver	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I therefore would ask that the Council ban the use of gas guns as bird scaring devices in the interests of avoiding residents' sleep deprivation.					
1266	Eric Driver	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	I therefore would ask that the Council ban the use of gas guns as bird scaring devices in the interests of avoiding residents' sleep deprivation.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
933	Michael John Dryden	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 4000m 500m of MHWS."					
933	Michael John Dryden	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 4000m 500m of a marine farm."					
20	Chris Duckworth	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recommendation: The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
360	Ken Duff	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Standard 3.3.5.1 Category A or Category B device must not be operated: (c) within 160m of the boundary or notional boundary of the nearest dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building (except a dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building on the same property as the audible bird-scaring device);</i></p> <p>This distance should be increased to a minimum of 500m.</p>					
360	Ken Duff	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p><i>Standard 3.3.5.2 A Category A device must not be operated: (b) at any greater frequency than 4 events in any period of one hour. An event is defined as 3 discharges within a 30 second period;</i></p> <p>Maximum of four events per hour but only one discharge allowable in a 30second period.</p>					
97	Jonathan Duffy	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To amend the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan to allow ship's discharges compliant with the <i>Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</i> to remain a Permitted Activity.					
97	Jonathan Duffy	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To amend the proposed Marlborough Environment Plan to allow ship's discharges compliant with the <i>Resource Management (Marine Pollution) Regulations 1998</i> to remain a Permitted Activity.					
709	Ian Dunlop	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
709	Ian Dunlop	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
709	Ian Dunlop	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
709	Ian Dunlop	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
709	Ian Dunlop	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
709	Ian Dunlop	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
709	Ian Dunlop	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
709	Ian Dunlop	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
709	Ian Dunlop	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
709	Ian Dunlop	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
352	Robyn Dunn	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Suggest a reference to the need for Landscape requirement - as set out in the Subdivision Code of Practise, which also needs updating and reference made to the Urban Design Protocol.</p> <p>Should read something like;</p> <p><i>'To provide for tree planting within new urban residential , business, and industrial developments , that a dedicated grass berm width of a minimum of 1.5 metres or alternative tree planting sites of a minimum of 9m2 be included, with no intrusion of underground or overhead services within that dedicated Landscape Space.'</i></p> <p>Also, within the same section;</p> <p><i>'That provision is included within the plan, and also specified within the Subdivision Code of Practice, that if removal of a street tree is required for a development or other reason and approved by council, that the tree be valued using an nationally recognised standard valuation method , and compensation be paid for the loss of the tree and the standard replacement cost for another tree. The developer will also be required to pay for the removal cost of the tree. '</i></p>					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
511	Anna and Hayden Dunne	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	We wish the provision to be retained.					
58	Andrew Dwyer	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
58	Andrew Dwyer	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
58	Andrew Dwyer	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
58	Andrew Dwyer	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
59	Jo Dwyer	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt the provision in full.					
1115	Steve Dyer	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1115	Steve Dyer	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1115	Steve Dyer	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1115	Steve Dyer	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1115	Steve Dyer	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1115	Steve Dyer	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1115	Steve Dyer	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1115	Steve Dyer	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1115	Steve Dyer	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1115	Steve Dyer	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
100	East Bay Conservation Society	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the provisions that seek to make exposed Jetties less safe. Make the Priority for the design of jetties the Safety of people and Boats. Do not restrict the safety of jetties by making them smaller, shallower or look less like Jetties					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>EBCS requests that the whole of East Bay should be zoned ONFL and that the sea of East Bay should be zoned the same as the land.</p> <p>EBCS would be happy to work with Council officers to document the other unique areas of special significance within East Bay that are known to EBCS members</p> <p>We consider that the current presence or absence of marine farms or forestry or farming activity on a land or sea area should not impact on whether that landscape they are located in be considered ONFL or not.</p> <p>However If the objection is the few aquaculture farms along the coastal margin in East Bay then these should be bubble zoned as Marlborough Sounds Coastal landscape rather than zone the whole bay as Marlborough Sounds Coastal landscape which will act as a magnet for those seeking to degrade the ONFL of East bay further.</p>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	<p>EBCs requests that all Ecologically Significant Marine Sites. receive as much protection as possible including limiting fishing techniques which damaged the Benthic environment such as dredging. EBCS asks that they are both correctly labelled and that research continue to identify Ecologically Significant Marine Sites before they are degraded to the point of insignificance.</p> <p>EBCS would like to work with MDC to recognise the other sites of special significance to East Bay</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
100	East Bay Conservation Society	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend this point by making the size of restricted discretionary structures in ONFL areas bigger than small working structures in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p> <p>EBCS requests that all permitted activities in the MEP for Rural One land apply to rural land in the Coastal Environment Zone</p> <p>A reasonable compromise would be to make structures up to 50m2 permitted and structures over 50m2 restricted discretionary both with Guidelines as to how the structures could be made to blend in in the ONFL Areas</p>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>EBCS believes it would be better to make small structure permitted but with rules governing the effect of the structure on the environment.</p> <p>EBCS asks that this provision is at least increased in size to structures over 50m2 and that simple guidelines be given as to what is acceptable to build in the ONFL Coastal Environment zone. EBCS further requests that structures smaller than this be Permitted in the Coastal Environment Zone.</p>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	6	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	EBCS suggests that this policy should be accepted into the Plan					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	7	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Recognise that the sea water and Benthic Environment of the Coastal Environment are as important to Marlborough as the freshwater and soil. and draft polices that deliver the same protection.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>EBCS strongly supports the introduction of coastal occupation charges by the Marlborough District Council.</p> <p>EBCS also supports the starting point for determining fees from “the actual expenditure considered necessary to promote sustainable management of the coastal marine area” (Policy 5.10.7).</p> <p>The EBCS recommends, however, that the MDC considers further the way in which coastal occupancy charges will be determined. There is a need for an effective, transparent, workable, understandable charging regime linked to a long term plan for sustainable management of the coastal marine area.</p> <p>We recommend the following changes to 5J.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That MDC develops a long term, co-ordinated management plan as the basis for setting the priorities and determining the expenditure necessary to achieve sustainable management of the coastal marine area. This would be a dynamic plan, reviewed and implemented progressively and with possibilities for involvement by interested community organisations and businesses. 2. That coastal occupancy charges should be set for a minimum of 4 years ahead, so that those paying can forward manage their marine projects and those implementing the plan have continuity. 3. That the directive in s64A RMA - to consider the public benefits lost or gained, and the private benefit gained - should be a criterion for determining the level of coastal occupancy charges in <i>Policy 5.10.7</i>. We suggest this wording is substituted for the wording currently in <i>Policy 5.10.7(c)</i> so that the basis for assessment is clearly understandable. 4. That the proportion of public/private benefit is reflected in the coastal occupancy charges for shared use infrastructures, such as substantial public use of jetties, by way of discount or other MDC contribution to private maintenance costs. 5. That the public/private assessment methodology should reflect the difference between use of public space for commercial ventures and for residential necessity. We do not support Policy 5.10.4 because it excludes the associated activity from consideration in assessing fees. 6. That the community has an opportunity for consultation on the methodology for setting the fees and the actual proposed fees before these are finalised, possibly at the time of release of the Aquaculture Policies and Marine Farms Management Provisions. 7. We commend to MDC the simple methodology we proposed previously - <i>“the actual charge should be based on a fixed administrative cost per structure, plus a per square metre charge, divided by a factor reflecting the utility provided to the general public”</i>. We consider this captures the practical issues relevant to any methodology, and has the benefit of being explicit and understandable. 					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	9	Volume 1	1 Introduction		Support
Decision Requested	<p>EBCS support the MEP where it seeks to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources</p> <p>Sustainability is defined as (By Webster)</p> <p>ENVIRONMENT, NATURAL RESOURCES the idea that goods and services should be produced in ways that do not use resources that cannot be replaced and that do not damage the environment:</p> <p><i>The successful coffee chain promotes sustainability within the coffee-growing regions.</i></p>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	10	Volume 1	2 Background	2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	EBCS has supported the special environment of East bay for over 15 years and seeks to protect the nationally and internationally significant values in perpetuity from unsustainable exploitation for commercial or personal gain. Our submission seeks to address the MEP issues specific to East Bay					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS wishes to see the issues of unsustainable use of the environment spelt out so that they can be learned from. Issue 4C is the ideal place to highlight these issues and how they have been addressed in the past.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	BCS requests that MDC actually takes action to Benchmark the Marine environment, Monitor the Marine environment, and regulate the Marine environment when MEP Policies and rules are not being met. EBCS sees the Coastal occupancy charges as an ideal opportunity to adequately resource the science and regulation of the Marine environment, EBCS strongly supports the use of Coastal occupancy charges to protect the environment The first step is to benchmark the environment (e.g.plastic rubbish on the beaches of the outer sounds or highly impacted Benthic environments) and to monitor these to see if they are improving. That is an anticipated environmental result of the MEP.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS requests that the work Maintain be changed to Improve					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	ome that come to mind are that come to mind that EBS would like to see added to this AER 1/ maintenance of marine Significant Areas - effectiveness no marine significant areas are degraded 2/ increase in the number of Marine significant areas - effectiveness the number of identified marine significant areas increases each year 3/ Improvement of Benthic performance under aquaculture - effectiveness all farms operating withing industry best practice guidelines and no farm operating at or near azoic and anoxic levels.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
100	East Bay Conservation Society	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support
Decision Requested	We Hope that the MDC will see the results of the improvements to the environment of East Bay and support EBCS in improving this special environment still further by zoning the whole bay from ridge to ridge, and foreshore to foreshore ONFL					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	EBCS requests that is policy is followed throughout the MEP. It is not good enough to draw a line around the land of the outer sounds and say that this is outstanding, very high or high without including the sea in that clasification					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	17	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	No Change					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	18	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	NO change					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	19	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	EBCS would prefer that policy 13.1.1 better reflected the needs of remote communities and that in recognising the needs of remote communities allow appropriate subdivision to meet those needs					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	20	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	EBCS Members would like to involved with the identification of Marine Ecologically significant areas and for the MDC to take the lead to ensure that all local knowledge of signifcant marine biodiversity is included in the MEP.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	21	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS would prefer that this point (para (i) is removed altogether or replaced with a modified para (m) to say <i>encouraging appropriate location and design of new structures and other development inform, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location.</i>					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	22	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	That this policy be adopted					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	23	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Ensure that this policy is carried through to the permitted rules for the coastal environment zone as seasonal worker accommodation has been omitted from the permitted activities there					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	24	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS believes that this is one policy that needs to recognise that there is a significant difference between small tightly clustered properties close to Picton where resources such as moorings are common and there is competition for space and large remote properties with up to a kilometre between titles, EBCS believes that there is justification for this policy in the Coastal living zone but no justification whatsoever for this policy in the coastal environment zone where at least two moorings should be permitted.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	25	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13G	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCs asks that the para detailing the main effects of dredging be modified to include the effect of deposited the dredged material and subsequent re-suspension of that material					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert Worker Accommodation as a permitted activity in section 4.1 to match the policy of 13,5,5 Volume one where it says Policy 13.5.5 – Except in the case of land developed for papakainga, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for by enabling: (a) one dwelling per Computer Register; (b) seasonal worker accommodation; and (c) homestays.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	27	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify that this provision does not include Long drops on Coastal environment zoned properties or remove it					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	28	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support
Decision Requested	EBCS requests that The outer sounds Landscape is used to reassess the whole of East bay as ONFL					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	29	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The limited number of modifications in East Bay compared with the values that remain reinforce how important it is to ensure these values are protected for future generations and not further degraded.					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	30	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support
Decision Requested	No Change. However EBCS requests that MDC take these values into account when assessing the Natural Character and Features and Landscapes of East Bay					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	31	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the exclude areas of East Bay or bubble zone the marine farms to prevent even more subdivision of the marine environment further degrading the outstanding natural character of East bay					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	32	Volume 3	Appendix 8 Discharge to Air		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Distinguish between the Living zones and the Environment zones to recognise the difference in effects					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	33	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	EBCS asks that ALL of East Bay including the Land between East bay and The outer Queen Charlotte Sound and the entire water of the bay be zoned at Outstanding Natural Character or at the very least Very High Natural Character to reflect the public perception as depicted in the attached photo from the Concourse of Christchurch Airport					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	34	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	MDC needs to look at his map carefully and recognise the limitations of the information contained it and then revisit the other maps that have equally inaccurate information in them (such and the Natural Character maps and Landscape Maps to ensure sustainable protection of the environment					
100	East Bay Conservation Society	35	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.3	Support
Decision Requested	that this policy be adopted unchanged					
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity as follows: <i>3.1.59 Intensive poultry farming</i>					
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity standard as follows: <i>3.2.19 Habitable buildings, community activity, recreation activity, and sensitive receptor are set back:</i> <i>(i) at least 30 metres from any internal boundary, except where the activity is an alteration to a dwelling, and the setback to the boundary is not thereby reduced;</i> <i>(ii) at least 200 metres from any building or enclosure that houses poultry that is in an existing lawfully established intensive poultry farm which is a poultry farm on or before 9 June 2016.</i>					
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity standard as follows: <i>3.3.49 Intensive poultry farming where sheds or enclosures are set back at least 200 metres from any habitable building, community activity, recreational activity and sensitive receptor.</i>					
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend as follows: 3.6.3 Intensive Farming that is not specifically provided for as a permitted activity in Section 3.1.					
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	5	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support
Decision Requested	Confirm that Intensive farming is a Primary Production Activity, either through the insertion of a new definition or making it clear elsewhere in the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
696	Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand	6	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include as follows:</p> <p><i>Intensive Poultry Farming</i></p> <p><i>Raising or keeping poultry for human consumption or egg production, where the predominant productive processes are carried out primarily within buildings.</i></p>					
697	Elie Bay Residents	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.10.4 Coastal occupancy charges will be imposed on coastal permits where there is greater private than public benefit arising from occupation of the coastal marine area except for coastal permits for occupation of areas smaller than 500 m2.</i></p>					
697	Elie Bay Residents	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.M.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 5.M.11:</p> <p><i>5.M.11 Annual Plan</i></p> <p><i>The level of charge to be applied to any activity for which a coastal permit is granted to occupy the coastal marine area is set out in the Council's Annual Plan Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p>					
697	Elie Bay Residents	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That all monitoring results and reports are to be made public. This needs to be added to the wording of policy 5.10.8.</p>					
697	Elie Bay Residents	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph of the explanation for Policy 5.10.7:</p> <p><i>In determining who should meet the cost of sustainably managing the coastal marine environment, an allocation of costs needs to occur between beneficiaries. The Council has considered that a contribution towards the costs should be made by ratepayers (2551%) as well as those benefitting from the occupation of public space (7549%). The Council has also given consideration to anticipated waivers that may be granted and the number and size of the various occupations. From this assessment, a schedule of charges has been derived and is set out in the Council's Annual Plan.</i></p>					
727	George Elkington	1	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	In the case of Ngati Koata rohe as tangatawhenua kaitiaki I therefore submit the following;					
	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That Council consult with Ngati Koata in all "Coastal Marine Zone" matters which potentially may conflict with the principles of the "Code of Responsible Conduct" including public access to iwi private land, iwi reserves and the like. 2. That Council will provide resources to improve public awareness of riparian rights of all landowner's, be they iwi or non-iwi. 3. That in the case of mooring sites for new applications or renewals, that consents will not be granted or renewed without the written approval of adjacent land owners or their representative. In the case of multiple owned Maori-land where the owners cannot be located, that Ngati Koata will be their representative point of contact in the first instance. 4. That Council will engage directly with Ngati Koata to protect Ngati Koata iwi cultural values. 5. That those existing riparian rights of all landowners are not altered or adjusted in any way. 					
1306	Joena Elkington	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>).					
	Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation					
	Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
1315	Hori (George) Turi Elkington	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments are made to Policy 13.9.2(a):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More than one mooring per title should be allowed at busy times, such as hui, wananga, tangi and the like. • Exceptions should be made for Maori in multiple owned blocks and should be negotiated between whanau kaumatua and MDC on a case by case basis as the need arises. • MDC should take into consideration multiple-owned Maori land that may have hundreds of owners and make exceptions accordingly. 					
1210	Vaughan Hugh Ellis	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
924	Matthew Emms	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
437	David Ensor	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.31.1					
437	David Ensor	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard 3.3.31.2					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend page 1-2 paragraphs 3 and 4 to read:</p> <p><u>More specific national direction is given through national policy statements, such as the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 and the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014, and through national environmental standards. Regional and district level plans must implement these documents.</u></p> <p>To achieve the purpose of the RMA, the Council is required to prepare a hierarchy range of documents, some of which are mandatory, while others are optional. A regional policy statement, regional coastal plan and district plan are mandatory documents, whereas other regional plans are optional. As the Council is a unitary authority, that is, it has the roles of both a district and a regional council, it is responsible for preparing all of the required RMA policies and plans.</p> <p>The purpose of regional policy statements is set out in Section 59 of the RMA and it is "to achieve the purpose of the Act by providing an overview of the resource management issues of the region and policies and methods to achieve integrated management of the natural and physical resources of the whole region". The purpose of regional and district plans is to assist the Council in carrying out its functions in order to achieve the purpose of the RMA and specifically for a regional coastal plan, to achieve the purpose of the RMA in relation to the coastal marine area.</p> <p><u>Each planning document must give effect to the documents that are above it in the hierarchy. This applies even if all or a number of the different planning documents are incorporated into one.</u></p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the "Guiding Principles" section of Chapter 1 - Introduction in its entirety. (Inferred)					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Review and amend the background section to make it more streamlined and focussed.</p> <p>Delete the section on "Review process" in its entirety.</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	4	Volume 1	2 Background	Relationship of the MEP to other policy statements, standards and strategies	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Insert a section setting out the relationship between the different planning documents incorporated into the MEP on page 2-8 as follows:</p> <p>For details of specific national policy statements and national environmental standards, refer to the Ministry for the Environment website (www.mfe.govt.nz). Copies of each of the operative national policy statements and national environmental standards are included in Volume 5 of the MEP for information and easy reference.</p> <p><u>Relationship between the different planning documents incorporated into the MEP</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>Relationship between the MEP and Long Term Plan</p> <p>Under the Local Government Act 2002, the Council has prepared the 2015-25 Long Term Plan (LTP). This sets out the Council's strategic directions and programmes for the next 10 years. The LTP provides a description of the significant activities that the Council plans to carry out over the next ten years, the objectives of those activities and their costs.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	5	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the section on page 2-13 under the heading "Enable" to read:</p> <p>Enable</p> <p>The RMA <u>was intended to install a regulatory regime based on bio-physical bottom lines set to provide for development within the capacity of the environment and the ecosystems that supported. Beyond those bottom lines use and development is enabled for people and communities to provide for their wellbeing. This is reflected in the wording of s5(2) RMA. The RMA has been described as an enabling piece of legislation. The reason for this can be found in the purpose of the RMA at Section 5(2), where it is stated: "</u>sustainable management" means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural wellbeing and for their health and safety while ...':</p> <p><u>(a) Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and</u></p> <p><u>(b) Safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems; and</u></p> <p><u>(c) Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.</u></p> <p>Additionally, in drafting rules, different approaches are needed for different activities. In general, Section 9 of the RMA states that no person may use land (including the surface of water in any river or lake) in a way that contravenes a rule in a district plan or regional plan. In other words, if there is no rule in a plan, then there is no need for restriction on the activity under Section 9 or any need to obtain resource consent.</p> <p>Sections 12, 13, 14 and 15 adopt the opposite approach. These sections place restrictions on the use of the coastal marine area, on certain uses of the beds of lakes and rivers, on the taking, use, damming or diversion of water and on discharging contaminants into the environment. Essentially, the restrictions mean that there must be a national environmental standard, resource consent or rule in a plan that allows activities of the nature described in Sections 12-15 to occur. This includes permitted activity rules for an activity or effect of a minor nature, which are considered to be enabling rules. Therefore, where the word 'enable' appears within a provision in the MEP, there will be a related rules method.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	6	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend page 2-13 paragraph entitled "Avoid" to read:</p> <p>Avoid</p> <p><u>The word avoid is to be given its plain, ordinary mean: to "not allow" or "prevent the occurrence of". Use of the word 'avoid' may or may not have the same meaning as prevent.</u> In some cases the method used to implement an <u>avoidance</u> policy is a rule that will 'prohibit' something from occurring. In this case the word 'prohibit' is used within the rules method. There are other policies that use 'avoid' though this is not implemented through a prohibited activity rule. <u>This will be the case when the avoidance directive is focused on a specific effect or effects as opposed to a specific activity.</u> In these policies 'avoiding' an effect can be achieved through undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. Where this is the case, policies clearly identify that remediation and/or mitigation is an option. It will be important that the explanations and methods accompanying the policies are read to help inform decision makers of the intent of the word 'avoid' where it is used.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	7	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend page 2-14 - paragraph entitled "Protect" to read:</p> <p>'Protect' means to keep safe from harm, injury, or damage. Protection can be achieved in a variety of ways. How protection is achieved in each instance will depend on what is sought to be protected and what it is to be protected from. Similar to other words in this section, 'protect' can be interpreted in a number of ways. It can be interpreted in a narrow way that may effectively In some situations protection might limit or prevent future use and development of some of Marlborough's natural and physical resources. However, 'protect' essentially means to keep safe from harm and this can be achieved in a variety of ways. For example, the protection of areas of indigenous biodiversity In others it might be achieved through allowing use and development subject to specific controls such as height, location and color, or within specific parameters such as the amount of vegetation that can be removed. Sometimes a combination of approaches will be used. could be achieved through rules in a plan, legal protection of land, fencing, active pest control and/or improved land management practices, or a combination of these approaches.</p> <p>It is therefore important that decision makers or those using the MEP provisions read the explanation of the relevant provision, as this will inform how 'protection' is to occur. Unless there is a clear direction within a protection policy or its explanation or associated method that an activity/effect is to be prevented from occurring, a policy is open to be interpreted more broadly.</p> <p>In summary, the 'protection' anticipated by Sections 6(a) and (b) is not an absolute protection: rather, it is protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. Identifying what is inappropriate is informed through other policies of the MEP.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Chapter 4 should identify that use and development should only occur within the capacity of the environment, within established non-negotiable environmental bottom lines set (in the regional context) to provide for development within the capacity of the environment and the ecosystems it supports. Beyond those bottom lines resource users would be left to make their own decisions with limited restrictions.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Insert new Objective 4.3.1 and supporting policy as follows:.</p> <p>Objective 4.3 – The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds.</p> <p><u>Objective 4.3.1 – Use and development occurs within the ability of the environment to sustain its life-supporting capacity</u></p> <p>The Marlborough Sounds is a truly exceptional place – it is considered to be our “jewel in the crown” in terms of natural assets. The landscapes and seascapes within the Marlborough Sounds and the ecology and natural processes that occur within them are unique and highly valued. This objective seeks to maintain and enhance these qualities to ensure that the community and visitors to the district can continue to enjoy this environment now and into the future. This does not mean that use and development of natural and physical resources cannot occur within the Marlborough Sounds, but an element of precaution needs to be exercised to ensure that resource use is complimentary to the visual, ecological and physical qualities that give the Marlborough Sounds its iconic character.</p> <p><u>Policy 4.3.X – Set clear and non-derogable environmental limits for each resource that ensure use and development only occurs within the ability of the environment to sustain its life-supporting capacity.</u></p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the introduction so that it identifies that allocation of natural resources for use should only occur above non-derogable environmental bottom lines set to safeguard the life-supporting capacity of the resource in question. The introduction should better reflect s5 RMA and, in the case of freshwater, to reflect Objective B1 NPSFM.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.1.1 to provide further clarification around the application and differences of freshwater management units when compared with the water resource units contained in Appendix 5.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.1 as required to provide clarity and consistency as to which water unit classification this policy relates and how the different unit types inter-relate. This clarification is required through out the PMP.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	There is a lack of clarity as to which freshwater bodies it applies. Amend Policy 5.2.3 as required to ensure clarity of application and consistency of language.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.4 to read: Policy 5.2.4 – Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to: (a) protect the mauri of the waterbody; (b) protect instream and riparian habitat and ecology; (c) maintain or enhance fish passage and fish spawning grounds; (d) preserve the natural character of the river; (e) maintain water quality or enhance it to meet freshwater quality limits ; (f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and (g) maintain or enhance amenity values.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.5 to read: Policy 5.2.5 – With the exception of water taken for domestic needs or animal drinking water, prevent avoid the taking of water authorised by resource consent when flows and/or levels in a Freshwater Management Unit are at or below a management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow and/or level set in accordance with Policy 5.2.4.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.6 to read: Policy 5.2.6 – For rivers, establish whether the flow has reached the management flows set in the Marlborough Environment Plan on the basis of 24 hour averages (midnight to midnight) an instantaneous basis by way of a hydrological model.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.2.8 in its entirety.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.9 to read: Policy 5.2.9 – When considering a water take application Have regard to decision-makers must consider the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemeral flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds or is likely to exceed 5% of river flow at any time . The minimum flows set for rivers manage the cumulative effects of taking water on natural and human use values. However, it remains possible for a take at a discrete location to have a significant adverse effect on flow immediately downstream of the point of abstraction. The risk is probably greatest in the upper part of a catchment due to lower flow that tends to occur in those reaches. This policy allows decision makers to have regard to the adverse effects of an individual take in certain circumstances irrespective of the minimum flows established in the MEP. The proposed rate of abstraction must be calculated to exceed 5% of the river flow at the point of abstraction. Flows in excess of this threshold are considered to have the potential to adversely affect natural and human use values. The policy only applies if the river is perennially or intermittently flowing.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Separate Policy 5.2.13 into two policies. The first requiring water allocation limits to be set for FMUs and explaining how. The second stating that over-allocation must be avoided. Add additional text to provide clarity as to the relationship between FMUs, water resource units and the values identified in the PMEPP.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.15	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.15 to provide direction (for example through criteria) on when protection of flow variability is required in order to clarify and to ensure consistency in assessment and application by decision-makers.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.2.21 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.2.21 – Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) effective passage of fish where the migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occurs past the proposed dam site; (b) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and (ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and (iii) flushing flows below the dam; (c) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure; and <p>have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.3.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.3.1 – To allocate water in the following order of priority :</p> <p><u>(a) to the waterbody in the quantum required to safeguard its life supporting capacity; then</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a)(b) other natural and human use values; then (b)(c) aquifer recharge; then (c)(d) domestic and stock water supply; then (d)(e) municipal water supply; and then (e)(f) all other takes of water. 					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.3 to more clearly establish its purpose and provide a management framework for how that purpose is to be achieved.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.4 to clarify its meaning and to reflect that municipal takes should be incorporated into the allocable quantum (generally via precautionary estimate) before other takes are allocated. This is necessary to ensure that the freshwater is sustainability managed and that over-allocation is avoided.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.5 in its entirety.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.10 in its entirety.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.3.14 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.3.14 – The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</p> <p>(a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</p> <p>(i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</p> <p>(ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</p> <p>(iii) that is not over-allocated ; or</p> <p>(b) not be more than ten years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</p> <p>(c) not be more than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14.</p> <p><u>(d) All permits issued for a particular FMU will be subject to common review dates to allow changes to the permit to:</u></p> <p><u>i. reduce over-allocation;</u></p> <p><u>ii. Address cumulative effects;</u></p> <p><u>iii. Assess and address efficiency of use.</u></p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include provisions under Objective 5.4 that enable the use of common review clauses to assess how and if authorized takes are being used efficiently. An efficiency assessment should occur against clear and specified criteria applicable to the specific use. If a take is not being efficiently used then the quantum should be reduced so that it can be accessed by new users. This tool is also important in ensuring that water takes are not 'banked'. A holder of a water permit should be able to transfer water only if they have a take that is efficient for their given activity and have taken action to reduce consumption even further. It should not be available to those who seek a take greater than is required for their specific use specifically to trade the excess.</p> <p>Efficiency reviews should also be provided for on termination of a water permit for the same reasons.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.4.5 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.4.5 – When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable the full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where:</p> <p>(a) the respective takes are from the same Freshwater Management Unit;</p> <p>(b) the transferee's intended use is separately assessed and subject to consent to ensure that the environmental effects of that use are assessed and appropriately controlled .</p> <p>(b)(c) the Freshwater Management Unit has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6;</p> <p>(c)(d) the take is not from the Brancott Freshwater Management Unit, Benmorven Freshwater Management Unit or the Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit;</p> <p>(d)(e) metered take and use data is transferred to the Council by both the transferor and the transferee in real time using telemetry;</p> <p>(e)(f) the allocation is authorised via a water permit(s) applied for and granted after 9 June 2016;</p> <p>(f)(g) the transferee holds a water permit to take water if their abstraction point differs from the that of the transferor; and</p> <p>(g)(h) the transferee holds a water permit to use water.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.5.4 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.5.4 – Progressively resolve over-allocation of the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit and Riverlands Freshwater Management Unit by ensuring water permits granted after 9 June 2016 to continue taking water from the Freshwater Management Units reflect the reasonable demand reasonable demand and efficient practice as assessed using a common assessment tool or criteria given the intended use .</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.5 to read: Resolve over-allocation of the Benmorven, Brancott and Omaka Aquifer Freshwater Management Units by reducing individual resource consent allocations on a proportional basis, based on the total allocation available relative to each individual's irrigated land area, or equivalent for non-irrigation water uses (excluding domestic and stock water). The reductions will be achieved by reviewing the conditions of the relevant water permits. to reallocate the available allocation fairly across all relevant users.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.6 to read: Objective 5.6 – Ensure that the taking of groundwater does not cause significant adverse effects on river flow limits <u>to be breached</u> . (inferred)					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.2 to read: Policy 5.7.2 – To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand <u>and efficient practice assessed using a common assessment tool or criteria</u> given the intended use .					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.3 to read: Policy 5.7.3 – Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not be approved when the rate of use exceeds <u>efficient practice or the the</u> reasonable use calculation, except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property specific information <u>and:</u> a. That water is being used on site. b. That additional water use is necessary for the specific use. c. The applicant demonstrates that the water will be used efficiently d. The permit includes review dates to assess use and efficiency. e. The additional take will not result in over-allocation.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.8.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 5.8.1 – Encourage the storage of water as an effective response to seasonal water availability issues <u>where storage is consistent with safeguarding ecosystem health.</u></p> <p>Given Marlborough’s dry climate, especially over the summer months, storage of water has been utilised as a common strategy to offset temporary shortages of water for irrigation purposes. Storage has involved the interception of runoff by damming ephemeral water bodies, the damming of intermittently or permanently flowing water bodies and the placement of abstracted water in purpose-built reservoirs. There may also be the potential to augment river flow from the stored water. All of these approaches provide a back-up supply of water that increases water user resilience. For this reason the storage of water is strongly supported.</p> <p><u>Storage can have significant adverse effects on ecosystem health either through changes in flow or as a result of the increased use that storage provides for and the effects of that use on water quality. Water storage should not be encouraged unless it is consistent with safeguarding ecosystem health and achieving water quality targets.</u></p> <p>In some cases, activity status will assist to encourage the storage of water by providing for activities involved in storing water as a permitted activity or controlled activity.</p> <p>Damming of intermittently or permanently flowing waterbodies can create the potential for adverse effects. These effects will be considered through Policies 5.2.21 and 5.2.22.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include policies that apply an efficiency test to all existing uses on application for renewal of water permits in order to prevent water banking and frees up allocation for new users.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	37	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Chapter 6 introduction to read:</p> <p>Natural character includes the natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities of an environment. The natural character of the coastal environment, and freshwater bodies and their margins, is comprised of a number of key components which include :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</u> ● <u>coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the movement of water and sediments);</u> ● <u>biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</u> ● <u>biological processes and patterns;</u> ● <u>water flows and levels, and water quality; and</u> ● <u>the ways in which people experience the natural elements, patterns and processes.</u> <p>Collectively, t These combine to create the overall natural character of the environment. Provisions included elsewhere in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP) target the individual components of natural character and provide direction on how adverse effects on particular values can be managed. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chapter 5 - Allocation of Public Resources • Chapter 7 - Landscape • Chapter 8 - Indigenous Biodiversity • Chapter 9 - Public Access and Open Space • Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment • Chapter 15 - Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil) <p>However, there is a need for this m Management needs to be integrated in order to preserve natural character in coastal and freshwater environments. This ensures that the management of the individual components of natural character is co-ordinated to achieve a common end in the context of Section 6(a) of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA), of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) and of the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPSFM).</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	38	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 6.1 to read:</p> <p>Objective 6.1 – <u>Assess natural character and evaluate its degree</u> Establish the degree of natural character in the coastal environment, and in lakes and rivers and their margins .</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	39	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.1 to read: Policy 6.1.1 – Assess natural character Recognising the that the following contributing natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities contribute to natural character factors : (a) areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state; (b) coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape); (b)(c) hydrological, geological and geomorphological aspects (c)(d) coastal or freshwater physical patterns and processes (including the natural movement of water and sediments); (d)(e) biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form); (e)(f) biological systems , processes and patterns; (f)(g) water flows and levels and water quality; and (g)(h) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes, including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	40	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword Policy 6.1.3 to read: Policy 6.1.3 – Determine Evaluate the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment by assessing: (a) assessing the degree of human-induced modification on abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualities the factors in Policy 6.1.1 ; and (b) categorizing natural character at a range of scales.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	41	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.4 to read: Policy 6.1.4 – Identify and map those areas of the coastal environment that have high, very high or outstanding natural character.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	42	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.5 to read: Policy 6.1.5 – Determine Evaluate the degree of natural character in and adjacent to lakes and rivers by assessing the degree of human-induced modification to the factors in Policy 6.1.1. following: (a) channel shape and bed morphology; (b) flow regime and water levels; (c) water quality; (d) presence of indigenous flora and fauna in the river channel; (e) absence of exotic flora and fauna; (f) absence of structures and other human modification in the river channel/lake; (g) vegetation cover in the riparian margin; (h) absence of structures and other human modification in the riparian margin; and (i) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	43	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.1.6 to read: Policy 6.1.6 – Identify and map those rivers or parts of rivers that have high or very high natural character.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	44	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.2.4 to read:</p> <p>Policy 6.2.4 – Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, <u>the application must address:</u></p> <p><u>(a) the potential adverse effects on the characteristics and qualities that contribute to the natural character values of the area.</u></p> <p><u>(b) How policies 6.2.1 or 6.2.2 will be achieved (using Appendix 4 if applicable) and taking into account:</u></p> <p><u>(i) The location, scale and design of the proposed activity.</u></p> <p><u>(j) The extent of anthropogenic changes.</u></p> <p><u>(k) The presence of absence of structures, buildings or infrastructure.</u></p> <p><u>(l) The temporary or permanent nature of adverse effects.</u></p> <p><u>(m) The physical and visual integrity of the area, and the natural processes of the location.</u></p> <p><u>(n) The intactness of any areas of significant vegetation and vegetative patterns.</u></p> <p><u>(o) The physical, visual and experiential values that contribute significantly to the wilderness and scenic value of the area.</u></p> <p><u>(p) The integrity of landforms, geological features and associated natural processes.</u></p> <p><u>(q) The natural characters and qualities that exist or operate across land and water and between freshwater bodies and coastal water bodies.</u></p> <p>regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character .</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 6.2.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 6.2.7 – In assessing the cumulative effects of activities on the natural character of the coastal environment, or in or near lakes or rivers, consideration shall be given to:</p> <p>(a) the effect of allowing more of the same or similar activity;</p> <p>(b) the result of allowing more of a particular effect, whether from the same activity or from other activities causing the same or similar effect; and</p> <p>(c) the combined effects from all activities in the coastal or freshwater environment in the locality.</p> <p>Although individual activities may not adversely affect the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies, when combined with the effects of similar activities or other activities with similar effects, the activities may collectively have cumulative <u>adverse</u> effects on natural character. This policy describes how the cumulative effects of activities on the natural character of the coastal environment or freshwater bodies will be considered. For the coastal environment specifically, any consideration of cumulative effects should take into account scale and may need to include consideration of the intactness of the coastal terrestrial and coastal marine natural character areas.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	46	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Reword the Chapter 7 introduction to read:</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>Our landscapes provide us with a Marlborough identity and are an integral part of the Marlborough environment. Landscapes are distinct spatial areas influenced by location-specific processes within the environment. These processes can be natural or human-induced (e.g. land use change). Natural features within the landscape can also help to define a landscape. The resulting landscape characteristics are expressed visually, but can be valued for their ecological significance or for intrinsic reasons (e.g. by providing a sense of place).</p> <p>The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) identifies the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development as a matter of national importance (Section 6(b)). Those landscapes that do not meet the threshold of being considered 'outstanding' may still make a contribution to the visual appreciation or amenity values of Marlborough. The RMA seeks to maintain and enhance these landscapes with visual amenity value (Section 7(c)). For the purposes of this chapter, landscapes that are identified for Section 6(b) or 7(c) reasons are referred to as "significant landscapes." in provisions that apply to both outstanding natural landscapes and to amenity landscapes[N1] .</p> <p>There are five broad landscape areas in Marlborough: the Richmond Range and associated mountain ranges; the Wairau and Awatere River Valleys; the mountainous interior; the Marlborough Sounds; and the remainder of the coastal environment on the East Coast. The MEP identifies these landscape areas and then identifies outstanding natural landscapes and amenity landscapes within each.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	47	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.1.1 to read:</p> <p>Policy 7.1.1 – When assessing Identify and assess the characteristics and values of Marlborough's landscapes, using the following criteria will be used:</p> <p>(a) biophysical values, including geological, topographical, hydrological, and ecological elements;</p> <p>(b) expression of natural and formative processes;</p> <p>(b)(c) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</p> <p>(c)(d) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	48	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.1.3 to read: Policy 7.1.3 – Assessment of the values in Identification and assessment under Policy 7.1.1 and Policy 7.1.2 will determine: (a) whether a landscape is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape in terms of Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991; (b) whether the landscape has high amenity value in terms of Section 7(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991; or (c) where landscape values are not sensitive to change.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	49	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	If an area qualifies as a s7 amenity landscape then it should be mapped as such in the Plan.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	50	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.1.5 to read: Policy 7.1.5 – Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value in response to: (a) landscape change over time; or (b) more detailed assessment of landscape values by Council .					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	51	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.1 to read: Policy 7.2.1 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade these the characteristics and values contributing to outstanding natural features and of sensitive landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process consent applications to address: (a) the potential adverse effects on the characteristics and values of the landscape. (b) How the Chapter 7 policies will be achieved and taking into account: (a) The location, scale and design of the proposed activity. (b) The extent of anthropogenic changes. (c) The presence of absence of structures, buildings or infrastructure. (d) The temporary or permanent nature of adverse effects. (e) The physical and visual integrity of the area, and the natural processes of the location. (f) The intactness of any areas of significant vegetation and vegetative patterns. (g) The physical, visual and experiential values that contribute significantly to the wilderness and scenic value of the area. (h) The integrity of landforms, geological features and associated natural processes. The natural characters and qualities that exist or operate across land and water and between freshwater bodies and coastal water bodies.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	52	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.4 in its entirety.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	53	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy 7.2.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the characteristics and values that contribute to the outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied. Where resource consent is required to undertake a particular activity in an outstanding natural feature or landscape, this policy provides a clear preference for avoiding adverse effects on the biophysical, sensory or associative values within the landscape. This policy does not mean that there can be no new resource use within outstanding natural features or landscapes; rather, the use or development of natural and physical resources may be able to be undertaken in a way that adverse effects are avoided so that the quality and significance of the values is not diminished. Alternatively, adverse effects may be able to be remedied through careful planning or remedial works. Policy 7.2.7 provides further guidance in this regard. The option of remedying adverse effects on landscape values does not apply to activities occurring within the coastal environment, as Policy 15 of the NZCPS requires that such adverse effects are avoided.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	54	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.6 to read: Policy 7.2.6 – Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the characteristics values of the these area should be preferentially avoided. If avoidance is not possible then adverse effects can be remedied or mitigated, provided only if the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained: (a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure; (b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and (c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	55	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.7(c) to read: (c) In respect of vegetation planting: (i) avoiding the planting of new exotic forestry in areas of outstanding natural features and landscapes in the coastal environment of the Marlborough Sounds; (ii) encouraging plantations of exotic trees to be planted in a form that complements the natural landform; and (iii) recognising the potential for wilding pine spread. (iii)(iv) Encourage indigenous forestry and recognize its co-benefits.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	56	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.10 to read: Policy 7.2.10 – Reduce the impact of wilding pines on the landscape by: (a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines and limit their further spread; and (b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread. (c) Use consent conditions to require forestry operations to remove wilding pines within 1km of the designated forestry boundary and to cover the cost of removing wilding pines at a greater distance that have emanated from that operation. (b)(d) Using consent conditions to require wilding removal as part of subdivision.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	57	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.12 to reflect a more active stance on managing areas with high amenity values to ensure that they are maintained or enhanced as required under s7. Relying on resource users to ensure that those values are appropriately respected as a result of MDC encouragement is not sufficient to ensure maintenance and enhancement will be achieved. More directive and comprehensive policy direction is required.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	58	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Chapter 8 so that the planning document each provision falls under is identified.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	59	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Chapte 8 introduction to read:</p> <p>New Zealand's biodiversity gives our country a unique character and is internationally important. A large proportion of our species are endemic to New Zealand and if they become extinct they are lost to the world. About 90 percent of New Zealand insects, 80 percent of trees, ferns and flowering plants, 25 percent of bird species, all 60 reptile species, four frog species and two species of bat are endemic.</p> <p>New Zealand's biodiversity has helped shape our national identity, with our distinctive flora and fauna contributing to our sense of belonging. The koru and kiwi are internationally recognised. Biodiversity also provides social and economic benefits through recreational opportunities, tourism, research, education, provision of ecosystem services and natural resources for primary industry and customary and medical uses.</p> <p>The Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires the Council to recognise and provide for as a matter of national importance the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna (Section 6(c)). The protection of these values, whether on land, in freshwater or coastal environments, also helps to achieve other matters of national importance, including landscape and natural character values and historic heritage. However, biodiversity values are also important components of amenity, kaitiakitanga, quality of the environment and ecosystem values, matters to which regard shall be had in terms of Section 7 of the RMA. For this reason there are important links between the provisions of this chapter and others in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP).</p> <p>In addition, there are specific roles and functions in relation to protecting significant natural areas and habitats and maintaining indigenous biological diversity. These functions enable the Council to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - establish, implement and review objectives, policies and methods for maintaining indigenous biological diversity [Section 30(1)(ga)]; and - control any actual or potential effects of the use, development or protection of land for the purpose of maintaining indigenous biological diversity [Section 31(1)(b)(iii)]. <p><u>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 gives specific direction on how protection and management of indigenous biodiversity is to be achieved in the coastal and marine environments .</u></p> <p>Marlborough's central location within New Zealand and its varied landforms, climate and rich human history combine to form an interesting and diverse area. The District has a range of important and unusual natural features, native plants and animals, a number of which are at their southern or northern limits of distribution. Part of south Marlborough has been identified as one of five areas of high biodiversity concentration within New Zealand.</p> <p>....</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	60	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 8A so that it includes loss of diversity as a key issue.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	61	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 8.1 to read: Objective 8.1 – Marlborough’s remaining indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater, wetland, marine and coastal environments is protected.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	62	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.1.1 to read: Policy 8.1.1 – When assessing whether wetlands, freshwater, coastal, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used: (a) representativeness; (b) rarity; (c) diversity and pattern; (d) distinctiveness; (e) size and shape; (f) connectivity/ecological context; (g) sustainability; and (h) adjacent catchment modifications. For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	63	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.14.3 to read: Policy 8.1.3 – <u>Develop an information database that:</u> <u>(a) Uses the consent process to identify and map significant biodiversity areas in the terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments.</u> <u>(b) Collates information from different sources on the extent, condition and diversity of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough. Having adequate information on the state of biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments in Marlborough to enable decision-makers to assess the impact on biodiversity values from various activities and uses.</u> <u>Significant biodiversity areas in the terrestrial, freshwater, marine and coastal environments identified through the consent process will be incorporated into the MEP planning maps on two yearly basis through the Schedule 1 process.</u>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	64	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Clarify the titles used to separate Policies 8.2.ff and Policies 8.3.ff and identify the purpose of each section. The ordering and allocation of the policies under 8.2 is also confusing and needs to be revisited to clarify the purpose of each policy. (inferred)					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	65	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 8.2.2 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.2 – Use a voluntary partnership approach with landowners a tool as the primary means for achieving the protection of areas of significant indigenous biodiversity on private land, except for areas that are wetlands.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	66	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 8.2.3 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.3 – When allocating Council support funding Ppriority will be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected .</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	67	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Reword Policy 8.2.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 8.2.7 – A strategic approach to the containment/eradication of undesirable animals and plants that impact on indigenous biodiversity values will be developed and and maintained implemented , .and subject to review and update.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	68	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify the titles used to separate Policies 8.2.ff and Policies 8.3.ff and identify the purpose of each section. The ordering and allocation of the policies under 8.3 is also confusing and needs to be revisited to clarify the purpose of each policy. (inferred)					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	69	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reword Policy 8.3.3 to read: Policy 8.3.3 – Control vegetation clearance, land disturbance, drainage and subdivision activities to retain ecosystems, habitats and areas with indigenous biodiversity value.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	70	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7 as notified. (inferred)					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.3.8 to read: Policy 8.3.8 – With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects . Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply: (a) Residual adverse effects: the offset will only compensate for residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated; (b) Limits to offsetting: offsetting should not be applied to justify impacts on vulnerable or irreplaceable biodiversity (b)(c) No net loss: the residual adverse effects on biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity; (e)(d) Net gain: where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity; (d)(e) Long term outcomes: there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity; (e) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and (f) Like for like: offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity. (g) Additional conservation outcomes: biodiversity outcomes are above and beyond results that would have occurred if the offset was not proposed. (h) Proximity: the proposal should be located close to the application site, where this will achieve the best ecological outcomes. (i) Timing: the delay between the loss of biodiversity through development and the gain or maturation of ecological outcomes is minimized. (f)(j) Any offsetting proposal will include biodiversity management plans prepared in accordance with good practice.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 8.M.2 to read: 8.M.2 District rules Resource consent will be required for subdivision land disturbance or vegetation clearance activities where certain species or habitats with indigenous biodiversity value are to be modified.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 13A to read: Issue 13A – Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment while that will also protecting the values of the environment.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.1 to read: Policy 13.1.1 – Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having : (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; (d) identified as significant coastal biodiversity value sites under Policy 8.1.1 (e) the values, habitats or ecosystems in Policy 11(a) NZCPS or (f) significant historic heritage value.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.1.2 to read: Policy 13.1.2 – Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values (a),(b),(c), (f) above will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers. Areas identified in (c) and (d) above will be identified on a case by case basis using consistent criteria to ensure consistency in assessments and to provide certainty.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Reword Policy 13.2.1 to read: Policy 13.2.1 – The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough’s coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values is determined by the following factors: (a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area <u>and how the Plan requires effects to be managed</u> ; (b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga; (c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities; (d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access; (e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems; (f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough’s coastal waters; and (g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.5 (b) to read: (b) maintaining and enhancing coastal and freshwater quality <u>and enhancing it where it is degraded or required to achieve specified values or quantitative targets, where necessary</u> ;					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	78	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.2.5 (m) to read: (m) encouraging requiring appropriate design of new structures and other development in form, colour and positioning that complement, rather than detract from, the visual quality of the location.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	79	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.5.3 in its entirety.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	80	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.5.5 to read: Policy 13.5.5 – Except in the case of land developed for papakainga, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for to a limited extent by enabling : (a) one dwelling per Computer Register; (b) seasonal worker accommodation; and (c) homestays.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	81	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy 13.5.6 to read: Policy 13.5.6 – Maintain the character and amenity values of land zoned Coastal Living by the setting of standards that reflect the following: (a) strong connection to the foreshore and coastal water; (b) peaceful environments with relatively quiet background noise levels; (c) predominance of residential activity by enabling one dwelling per Computer Register; (d) privacy between individual residential properties, often surrounded by indigenous and regenerating indigenous vegetation; (e) ample sunlight to buildings; (f) minimal advertising signs; (g) views to the surrounding environment, including to the sea; (h) low building height; and (i) limited infrastructure and services and low volumes of road traffic. (j) Colour. (i)(k) Locating away from sensitive areas.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	82	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.3 to read: Policy 13.10.3 – Efficient use of the coastal marine area can be achieved by: a. using the limiting structures to the minimum area necessary for structures . b. Limiting structures that have a technical or operation need to be located in the coastal marine area and for which no alternative location is available. c. Encouraging structures to be multipurpose where practicable.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	83	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.10.5 (g) to read: (g) what effects the structure will have on: (i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring; (ii) customary access; (ii)(iii) natural character and landscape values;and (iii)(iv) the terrestrial, freshwater and marine environment;					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	84	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13G	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Reclamation and Drainage section to include provisions addressing: - De-reclamation - The precautionary approach.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	85	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.11.4 to read: Policy 13.11.4 – Where an application is made for resource consent to reclaim or drain the coastal marine area, effects (including cumulative effects) on the following matters will be considered: (a) the proposed reason for the reclamation/drainage and the benefits likely to arise from its use; (b) the explanation for why no if land-based alternatives are available to the proposed reclamation/drainage, why the coastal marine area location is preferred ;					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	86	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.11.4 (d) to read: (d) the effects on: (i) navigation and safety of other users of the area, including whether the area is used for temporary boat anchoring; (ii) cultural values; <u>(iii) the marine, coastal and freshwater environment,</u> <u>(iv) Natural character and landscape values.</u> (iii) (v) the terrestrial environment, including an assessment of any earthworks necessary ;					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	87	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Reword Policy 13.11.7 to read: Policy 13.11.7 – Where practicable for <u>For</u> the purpose of public access, an esplanade reserve or strip shall be required to be set aside on reclaimed areas of the coastal marine area <u>unless restriction is necessary to:</u> <u>(a) protect public health and safety;</u> <u>(b) provide for defence, port or airport purposes;</u> <u>(c) protect areas with natural and physical resources that have been scheduled in the Unitary Plan in relation to natural heritage, Mana Whenua, natural resources, coastal, historic heritage and special character;</u> <u>(d) protect threatened indigenous species;</u> <u>(e) protect dunes, estuaries and other sensitive natural areas or habitats;</u> <u>(f) have a level of security necessary to carry out an activity or function that has been established or provided for;</u> <u>(g) provide for exclusive use of an area to carry out an activity granted an occupation consent under section 12 of the Resource Management Act 1991;</u> <u>(h) enable a temporary activity or special event;</u>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	88	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13G	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the section entitled Disposal and Deposition to include a policy that identifies areas where deposition should not be allowed (for example significant marine biodiversity areas).					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	89	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.12.1 to read: Proposals to dispose of dredged or other material in the coastal marine area must demonstrate that : (a) no reasonable and practicable alternatives are available on land; (b) the disposal will be undertaken in a location and at times of the day or year that will avoid (in the first instance), then remedy or mitigate adverse effects on: (i) the growth and reproduction of marine and coastal vegetation and the feeding, spawning and migratory patterns of marine and coastal fauna; (ii) navigational safety; (iii) other established activities located in the coastal marine area that are likely to be affected by the disposal; (iv) water quality, including an increase in water turbidity or elevated levels of contaminants; (v) shoreline instability or coastal erosion on adjacent coastal land; and (c) in the case of dredged material, the site is located so as to avoid, as far as practicable, the spread or loss of sediment and other contaminants to the surrounding seabed and coastal waters through the action of coastal processes such as waves, tides and other currents. <u>(d) Appropriate sediment retention methods are used to control spread or loss that cannot be addressed through location.</u> <u>(e) The material disposed exhibits the same characteristics to the material at the disposal location.</u> <u>(e)(f) The material is free from waste.</u>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	90	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include the Para Wetlands in table 15.1 under Issue 15B.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	91	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 15C to read: Issue 15C – The mauri of wai (water) has been degraded due to the lack of understanding <u>about its spiritual significance and control of the impacts of different activities and uses.</u>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	92	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a to read: Objective 15.1a – Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough’s rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that: (a) <u>Water quality limits/targets are met .</u> (a)(b) the mauri of wai is protected; (b)(c) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation <u>water quality of Marlborough’s beaches, lakes, rivers and streams is suitable for primary contact recreation and swimming;</u> (c)(d) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes; (d)(e) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking; (e)(f) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and (f)(g) water quality across water body types-coastal waters <u>supports</u> healthy ecosystems.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	93	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Set and objective relating to limits for Phosphorous and sediment, both being significant stressors on water bodies. Include policies that provide a dual nutrient approach controlling both nitrogen and phosphorous which is necessary to control periphyton growth.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	94	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1b to require the level of dissolved inorganic nitrogen to be set at 0.444mg/l as a more appropriate measure of ecosystem health.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	95	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 so that it provides for primary contact recreation - as follows: Policy 15.1.1 – As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes : (a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for primary contact recreation (swimming) and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for primary contact recreation (swimming) ; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (c) Groundwater: drinking water supply; and (d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	96	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.2 to specify: a. The difference and relationship between quality classifications and standards. b. The level and which standards will be applied: water resource unit, FMU etc.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	97	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The PMEP should identify and include interim cumulative contaminant limits set (at) a precautionary level to achieve ecosystem health, to ensure that contaminants are appropriately managed in the interregnum between instigation of the 2012 programme and its completion.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	98	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.8 to read: Policy 15.1.8 – Encourage the discharge of contaminants to land in preference to water <u>where its characteristics will attenuate contaminant discharge.</u>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	99	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.9 to read: Policy 15.1.9 – Enable point source discharge of contaminants or water to water where the discharge will not result: (a) in any of the following adverse effects beyond the zone of reasonable mixing: (i) the production of conspicuous oil or grease films, scums, foams or floatable or suspended materials; (ii) any conspicuous change in the colour or significant decrease in the clarity of the receiving waters; (iii) the rendering of freshwater unsuitable for consumption by farm animals; (iv) any significant adverse effect on the growth, reproduction or movement of aquatic life; or (b) in the flooding of or damage to another person's property. <u>(b)(c) The degradation of ecosystem health in combination with all other discharges.</u>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	100	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.10 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.10 – Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to:</p> <p>(a) the nature of the contaminants;</p> <p><u>(a)(b) the contribution of those contaminants to the overall load limit .</u></p> <p>(b)(c) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment;</p> <p>(c)(d) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and</p> <p>(d)(e) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	101	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.11 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.11 – When considering any discharge permit application for the discharge of contaminants to water, regard will be had to:</p> <p><u>(a) the factors in policy 15.1.10.</u></p> <p>(b) the potential adverse effects of the discharge on spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p><u>(a)(c) The potential for adverse effects on ecosystem health including in combination with other permitted discharges .</u></p> <p>(b)(d) the extent to which contaminants present in the discharge have been removed or reduced through treatment; and</p> <p>(c)(e) whether the discharge is of a temporary or short term nature and/or whether the discharge is associated with necessary maintenance work for any regionally significant infrastructure.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	102	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.12 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.12 – After considering Policies 15.1.10 and 15.1.11, approve discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants into water only where :</p> <p>(a) the discharge in combination with all other discharges complies with the water quality classification standards set for the waterbody, after reasonable mixing; or</p> <p>(b) in FMUs where a contaminant(s) is over-allocated:</p> <p>(a) (i) only in situations where the discharge is associated with an existing use; and</p> <p>(ii) how discharge of that contaminant will be progressively reduced over the term of the permit.</p> <p>(b) in the case of non-compliance with the water quality classification standards set for the waterbody:</p> <p>(i) the consent holder for an existing discharge can demonstrate a reduction in the concentration of contaminants and a commitment to a staged approach for achieving the water quality classification standards within a period of no longer than five years from the date the consent is granted; and</p> <p>(ii) the degree of non-compliance will not give rise to significant adverse effects.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	103	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.23 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.23 – Avoid the discharge of animal effluent to fresh and coastal waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by:</p> <p>(a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and</p> <p>(b) avoiding the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	104	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.25 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.25 – Recognise that, in many situations, non-regulatory methods will may be an effective method of managing the adverse effects of non-point source discharges.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	105	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.26 to read: Policy 15.1.26 – Encourage, Require in close association with rural industry groups, the use of sustainable rural land management practices.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	106	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.27 to read: Policy 15.1.27 – Promote the retirement and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation and requiring planting or riparian margins as a condition of consent where it is an effective management tool in intercepting contaminant run off, excluding stock, or preventing sediment loss.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.29 to read: Policy 15.1.29 – To control land disturbance activities in order to: (a) Avoid mitigate the adverse effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water ; and (b) avoid the potential for direct entry of contaminants into groundwater.					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	108	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.1.34 to read:</p> <p>Policy 15.1.34 – Approve land use consent applications for new dairy farms where the proposed farming would have no more than minor adverse effects on ground or surface water quality or on significant wetlands . A land use consent application must identify <u>(as part of and in combination with the requirements in Schedule 1 RMA)</u> the risks of new dairy farming and provide measures to address those risks, including as a minimum:</p> <p>(a) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network;</p> <p>(b) provision of an appropriate, non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture, with reference to the values of fresh waterbodies as identified in Appendix 5;</p> <p>(c) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur;</p> <p>(d) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and</p> <p>(e) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input <u>and the discharge outputs;</u></p> <p><u>(f) assessment of the effects of any discharges, in combination with all other discharges to the FMU on the receiving environment and identifying how and why the adverse effects are no more than minor; and</u></p> <p><u>(e)(g) measures in place to ensure that leaching maxims are met or for existing farms measures in place to reduce leaching down to the maxim by a specified date.</u></p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	109	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Appendix 3 to read:</p> <p>Ecological Significance Criteria for terrestrial, wetland, <u>freshwater, marine</u> and coastal environments.</p> <p>The following provides explanations or guidelines for the application of ecological significance criteria in the assessment of sites.</p> <p>Rankings within each criterion are: H = High; M = Medium; L = Low. They collectively contribute to an overall ranking, indicating the degree of significance. For a site to be considered significant, one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness) must rank M or H.</p> <p><u>The scale at which significance is to be determined depends on the type of environment:</u></p>					

a. Terrestrial environment: the scale of assessment is at the ecological district level. [MDC: Insert an explanation of ecological district].

b. Marine environment: the scale of assessment is at the coastal biographic region level. This a region that is defined and classified according to visible ecological patterns and the physical characteristics or a geographic or hydrographic area. New Zealand's coastal biographic regions have been identified and mapped by the Ministry for the Environment. Marlborough falls within the South Cook Strait Region.

c. Freshwater environment: [MDC: Insert assessment classification scale]

Representativeness

1. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is representative, typical or characteristic of the natural diversity of the relevant ecological district, **coastal biographic region or freshwater environment**. This can include degraded examples where they are some of the best remaining examples of their type, or represent all that remains of indigenous biodiversity in some areas.

2. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that is a relatively large example of its type within the relevant ecological district, **coastal biographic region or freshwater environment**.

3. Additionally for the coastal marine area the site is significant if it contains biological features (habitat, species, community) that represent a good example within the biogeographic area.

H: The site contains one of the best examples of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or **coastal biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

M: The site contains one of the better examples, but not the best, of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or **coastal biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

L: The site contains an example, but not one of the better or best, of the characteristic ecosystem types in the region or ecological district or **coastal biogeographic area region or freshwater environment for sites within the coastal marine area**.

Rarity

4. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that has been reduced to less than 20% of its former extent in Marlborough, or relevant ~~land-~~**environment** , ecological district or **coastal biogeographic region**, or freshwater environment.

5. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that supports an indigenous species that is threatened, at risk, or uncommon, nationally or within the relevant ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region, or freshwater environment** ~~for sites within the coastal marine area~~.

6. The site contains indigenous vegetation or an indigenous species that is endemic to Marlborough or that are at distributional limits within Marlborough.

H: The site contains nationally threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities; or the site contains several examples of regionally or locally threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities.

M: The site contains one or a few regionally or locally (but not nationally) threatened or rare flora, fauna or communities.

L: The site is not known to contain flora, fauna or communities that are threatened or rare in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region** **or freshwater environment**, regionally or nationally.

Diversity and pattern

7. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that contains a high diversity of indigenous ecosystem or habitat types, indigenous taxa, or has changes in species composition reflecting the existence of diverse natural features or ecological gradients.

H: The site contains an unusually high diversity of species and ecosystem types.

M: The site contains a moderate diversity of species and ecosystem types.

L: The site contains a relatively low diversity of species and ecosystem types.

Distinctiveness

8. Indigenous vegetation or an association of indigenous species that is distinctive, of restricted occurrence, occurs within an originally rare ecosystem, or has developed as a result of an unusual environmental factor or combinations of factors.

H: The site contains any ecological feature that is unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment**; or it contains several such features that are outstanding regionally or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region or freshwater environment**.

M: The site contains ecological features that are notable or unusual but not outstanding or unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **region or freshwater environment area**.

L: The site contains no ecological features that are outstanding or unique nationally, in the region or in the ecological district or **coastal** biogeographic **area region or freshwater environment**; i.e. the ecological features are typical rather than distinctive or special.

Size and shape

9. The site is significant if it is moderate to large in size and is physically compact or cohesive.

H: The site is large in size for the region or ecological district **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment and is compact in shape**.

M: The site is moderate in size for the region or ecological district **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment and is compact in shape; or the site is relatively large but not very compact or cohesive**.

L: The site is small in size for the region or ecological district, **or coastal biogeographic region or freshwater environment or the site is moderate in size but not at all compact or cohesive**.

Connectivity/ecological context

10. ~~1~~Vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides or contributes to an important ecological linkage or network, or provides an important buffering function.

11. A wetland which plays an important hydrological, biological or ecological role in the natural functioning of a river or coastal system.

12. Indigenous vegetation or habitat of indigenous fauna that provides important habitat (including refuges from predation, or key habitat for feeding, breeding, or resting) for indigenous species, either seasonally or permanently.

H: The site is close or well connected to a large natural area or several other natural areas.

M: The site is in the vicinity of other natural areas but only partially connected to them or at an appreciable distance.

L: The site is very isolated from other natural areas.

Sustainability

13. The site is significant if it is ecologically resilient, i.e. its natural ecological integrity and processes (functioning) are largely self-sustaining.

H: The site can maintain its ecological integrity and processes with minimal human assistance.

M: The site requires some but not much human assistance to maintain its ecological integrity and processes.

L: The site requires much human assistance to maintain its ecological integrity and processes.

Adjacent catchment modification in respect of significant sites within the coastal marine area

14. Catchments that drain large tracts of land can lead to high sediment loading into adjacent marine areas. A site in the coastal marine area is significant if the adjacent catchment is >400 ha and clad in relatively mature native vegetative cover resulting in a long term stable environment with markedly reduced sediment and contaminant run-off compared to developed or modified catchments.

H: The site is dominated by an adjacent land catchment area with stable and relatively mature native vegetation (> 400ha) that is legally protected.

	<p>M: The site is dominated by an adjacent land catchment area with stable and relatively mature native vegetation (>400ha) with partial or no legal protection.</p> <p>L: The site is surrounded by an adjacent land catchment area (>400ha) that is farmed, highly modified or has limited relatively mature vegetative cover.</p>					
698	Environmental Defence Society Incorporated	110	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend appendix 4 to read:</p> <p>Criteria for Determining Significant Adverse Effects The criteria below assists in determining whether a subdivision, use or development proposal will have significant adverse effects. The criteria shall be applied by the decision maker on resource consents or plan changes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Character and degree of modification, damage, loss or destruction; 2. Duration and frequency of effect (for example long-term or recurring effects); 3. Magnitude or scale of effect (for example number of sites affected, spatial distribution, landscape context); 4. Irreversibility of effect (for example loss of unique or rare features, limited opportunity for remediation, the costs and technical feasibility of remediation or mitigation); 5. Resilience of heritage value or place to change (for example ability of feature to assimilate change, vulnerability of feature to external effects). <p><u>The criteria should be used to assess the effects of the proposal in 2 contexts:</u></p> <p><u>A. The specific effects of the proposal itself.</u></p> <p><u>B. The cumulative effects of the proposal in combination with all other relevant environmental stressors.</u></p>					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	1	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	2	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.2.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	3	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek to modify Rule 12.3.2.1 to include Reprocessed Oil, as defined by MfE in HSNO COP63.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	4	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek to amend Rule 12.3.2.2 to relax the sulphur concentration limits to allow Reprocessed Oil to be used as a recovered fuel in industrial premises.					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	5	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.5.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We support the inclusion of waste oil in Rule 12.5.7(k) but seek to amend its definition to exclude Reprocessed Oil and provide a separate definition for Reprocessed Oil (as defined by the MFE in HSNO COP63).					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	6	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek to modify Rule 12.3.9.1(g) to include Reprocessed Oil.					
1262	EnviroNZ Limited	7	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.9.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We seek to modify Rule 12.3.9.8 to include Reprocessed Oil.					
700	ENZAQ Aquaculture New Zealand Limited	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
799	June Ethel Epere	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
799	June Ethel Epere	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
799	June Ethel Epere	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
799	June Ethel Epere	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
799	June Ethel Epere	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
799	June Ethel Epere	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
799	June Ethel Epere	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
799	June Ethel Epere	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
799	June Ethel Epere	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
799	June Ethel Epere	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
836	James William Epere	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
836	James William Epere	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
836	James William Epere	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
836	James William Epere	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
836	James William Epere	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
836	James William Epere	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
836	James William Epere	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
836	James William Epere	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
836	James William Epere	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
836	James William Epere	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	1	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	We request that the Plan be withdrawn and rewritten to confer Permitted Activity status on all forestry activities where the plantation forest was lawfully established under the RMA by way of Resource consent or previous Permitted Activity plan provision to Plant. In environmentally sensitive areas the Plan should only default to Controlled Activity status for harvest and is only appropriate for afforestation except for areas where the land under the plantation forest has subsequently been designated an Outstanding Landscape that were activities					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified. (inferred)					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support
Decision Requested	Distinguish between the Inner and Outer Marlborough Sounds, and acknowledge that the inner sounds as a highly modified working landscape.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Objective must recognise that the visual landscape is continuously changing and the ecological setting is highly modified.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	6	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Ensure that the Plan allows for the loading of log barges on the coastal marine area as a Permitted Activity					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Ensure consistency between land uses by application of permitted activity standards and implementation of universal stock exclusion rules. Recommend Council follows Environment Southland proposal Rule 28 requiring that:</p> <p>a vegetated strip is maintained, and stock excluded from, the outer edge of the bed of any river, wetland, modified watercourse or artificial watercourse for a distance of:</p> <p>(1) 3 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of less than 4 degrees; and</p> <p>(2) 10 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope between 4 and 16 degrees; and</p> <p>(3) 20 metres from the outer edge of the bed on land with a slope of greater than 16 degrees;</p> <p>For other than non-intensively grazed sheep.</p> <p>Further submit that a 20 metres vegetated strip is maintained from the boundary of the coastal marine area and all land use activities</p>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	8	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Delete all reference to high amenity values</p> <p>Constrain the policy to only address land designated as ONFL</p> <p>Change the word "avoiding" to "minimise" in</p> <p>(i) avoiding minimise extensive land disturbance activity that creates a long term change in the visual appearance of the landscape, particularly when viewed from public places;</p>					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	9	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 7.2.9 in its entirety, or alternatively, confirm that Policy 7.2.9 does not apply to high amenity value landscapes and reword to capture all Permitted Activities as well as activities that require Resource Consents, so to reduce the Plan's current pro-farming anti-forestry bias.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Councils powers under the Biosecurity Act, not the RMA are key. The Branch and Letham catchments, planted by the Former Catchment Board (predecessor of the District Council) are significant seed sources and should be addressed under the Biosecurity Act. Any policy enacted under the RMA should give rise to a risk based approach, and not blanket prohibitions					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	11	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy to align with the methodology in the proposed forestry NES and used a spread-risk based approach to define permitted vs discretionary status for planting in various conifers. Refer www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	12	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Reflect this policy in the forestry rules for the Marlborough Sounds. Reject the rule requiring 200 metre setback.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	13	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Vegetative cover in the Set-back area to be maintain as "defensible space".					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	14	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Identify colluvial fans or flood plains in or below plantation forests where a risk assessment indicates that there is greater than a 1:10,000 chance of loss of life from debris flow from recently harvested plantation forests Add Debris flows to the list of hazards displayed in 11.M.2 Overlay.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	15	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
505	Ernslaw One Limited	16	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (b) to read (b) avoiding the access of farmed stock (other than low intensity farmed sheep) to rivers.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	17	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend explanation to read On properties where stock (other than low intensity sheep) are grazed, riparian retirement may will require fencing to prevent stock entry to the riparian margin.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	18	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	General – the Proposed Plan uses regional rules to regulate the Activities Associated with Plantation Forestry. By doing this it extinguishes most existing use rights in relation to the use of land under Plantation forests (ie using Regional Rules to trump both RMA Section 9 matters – Restrictions on use of land District Rules and RMA Section 10 - Certain existing uses in relation to land protected).					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	19	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	remove reference to livestock "entering onto".					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all reference to colour change or Munsell Colour Substitute with Horizontal visibility as measured with a (NIWA) SHMAK clarity tube (in streams & rivers) or Black Disc measurement (in Lakes or in the Sounds) Align the water quality standard with that Proposed in Otago (Plan change 6a) or in Southland – ie no more than a 40% change in visual clarity.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	21	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock other than non- intensively farmed sheep if there is water flowing in the river.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
505	Ernslaw One Limited	22	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.9.9.1. The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock other than non- intensively farmed sheep if there is water flowing in the river.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	23	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.6 for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) to align with the Proposed Forestry NES. IE "Front -load" the Plan provisions that control to Afforestation, making the subsequent harvest of the plantation, and the supporting earthworks a Permitted Activity Amend rule set 3.3.6 to afford permitted activity (PA) status for Commercial forestry planting and carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) based on the terms and conditions and exceptions in the proposed Forestry NES; ie default out of PA into Controlled activity status (ie a consenting regime) when the effects on the environment are judged significantly adverse. In the case of ONFLs, default out of PA into full Discretionary activity status, but not so for amenity landscapes					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	24	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule set 3.3.7 to make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity, on all but land zoned Red or Dark Orange in the updated Erosion Susceptibility Classification (ESC) mapping produced by LandCare Research for the proposed Forestry NES. On all other land (Green, Yellow & Orange zoned in the ESC) make Commercial forestry harvesting a permitted activity subject to the preparation of a Harvest Plan and an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as per Rule 3.3.7.1.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	25	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.2.1.7.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	26	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Commercial forestry Planting in Section 25 (Definitions) to exclude replant					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
505	Ernslaw One Limited	27	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Rule ... or Redefine the rule to align with setbacks from rivers and streams Suggest (f) 20m of the coastal marine area;					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	28	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Rule Or Develop rules to recognise the ecosystem service provided by afforestation of upland catchment areas being attenuated flood peaks (and reduced frequency of floods of any given size, notwithstanding changes driven by Climate Change) Rework the mapping to identify the actual low-flow generation areas along the river – these are likely to be in the upper 1/3 of the river and be within approximately 50m of the river channel, plus springs (if any)					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	29	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Council to give effect to Sections 10 to 12 of the Resource Management (National Environmental Standards for Sources of Human Drinking Water) Regulations 2007 by strengthening the Plans Permitted Activity conditions and calling in all existing resource consent to strengthen the conditions to better constrain intensive pastoral farming systems, the disposal of dairy shed, piggery & chicken farm effluent or the storage of grape marc in other than covered, contained pads, mushroom and other composting systems and similar activities.</p> <p>Council to ensure that all well locations are captured and all disused wells are both plugged and capped.</p> <p>Redesign the Plan to comply with NES Section 10</p> <p>10 Limitations on permitted activity rules for activities upstream of abstraction points</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned meets the health quality criteria unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (a) introduce or increase the concentration of any determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it no longer meets the health quality criteria; or • (b) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values. (2) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned is not tested in accordance with the compliance monitoring procedures in the Drinking-water Standard unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (a) increase the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point by more than a minor amount; or • (b) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water, so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values. (3) A regional council must not include a rule or amend a rule in its regional plan to allow a permitted activity, under section 9, 13, 14, or 15 of the Act, upstream of an abstraction point where the drinking water concerned does not meet the health quality criteria unless satisfied that the activity is not likely to— <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (a) increase, by more than a minor amount, the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point that in the drinking water already exceed the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in table A1.3 in Appendix 1 of the Drinking-water Standard; or • (b) increase the concentration of any determinands in the water at the abstraction point that in the drinking water do not exceed the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in table A1.3 in Appendix 1 of the Drinking-water Standard to the extent that the drinking water, after existing treatment, exceeds the maximum acceptable values for more than the allowable number of times as set out in the table in relation to those determinands; or • (c) introduce or increase the concentration of any aesthetic determinands in the drinking water so that, after existing treatment, it contains aesthetic determinands at values exceeding the guideline values 					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	30	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	31	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend part (c) to read Harvesting machines must not venture within 10m of the coastal marine area.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	32	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain rule 3.3.11.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	33	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.12.1 Reword as: Where clearance is by mechanical means, blading or root-raking by a bulldozer must not be used on slopes greater than 25° unless tethered by a traction winch system"					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	34	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.12.5 Reword as: All trees must be felled away from a river (except an ephemeral river, or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area, where safe and practicable to do so.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	35	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.12.6 Reword as: No tree or log must be dragged through the bed of a river more than 3 m wide, lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area. The butt end of any tree or log must be at least 1m clear of the ground when dragged across the bed of a river less than 3 m wide (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing)					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	36	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete (a) & (c) Retain (b) but change "natural clarity" to "water clarity"					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	37	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.19.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete "all" from "harvesting tracks " and clarify that "tracks that will be used to facilitate replant can be left in place, subject to Rule 3.3.7.20" Add another Provision to make cable harvesting in steep terrain a Permitted Activity subject to Rule					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 3.2.1.7.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	40	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Forest harvesting and earthworks activities are also of limited duration and much less likely to occur at night or at weekends than seasonal agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	41	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Douglas-fir from the list. Instead adopt the methodology in the proposed forestry NES and used a spread-risk based approach to define permitted vs discretionary status for planting in various conifers. Refer www.wildingconifers.org.nz/images/wilding/articles/DSS1_NES_Version.pdf					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Refer to relief sought on submission on Rule 3.3.6.3					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Refer to relief sought on submission on Rule 3.3.6.3.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	44	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	45	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	46	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	47	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	48	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	49	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
505	Ernslaw One Limited	50	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	51	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	52	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
505	Ernslaw One Limited	53	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Adopt the Forestry NES ESC mapping to spatially define Steep Erosion Prone Land MPI map below shows ESC Dark Orange & Red Zones underlying the existing plantation estate. Map layers are available on the MPI GIS.					
336	William Ian Esson	1	Volume 2	1 Introduction	1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	In the paragraph under the heading "Controlled activities", amend the text to clarify that under the Resource Management Act controlled activity resource consent applications must be granted. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The best practicable method, for example....., must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike though and bold) - <i>"The total length of the culvert must not exceed 8mm (a length greater than 8m), except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no erosion or scour downstream of the culvert, except for a permitted, predetermined small degree of erosion or scour to occur after a culvert is first placed."</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - "Discharge of dust to air as part of a routine activity, e.g transportation of logs on a gravel road." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.3.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The loading facility, except if used for commercial forestry harvesting, must be located on the same property as the activity to which it relates, and must be formed and finished to an all-weather, dust-free surface."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	8	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Rule, however, so this Rule does capture the transportation of logs, I seek that the transportation of logs be included in the definition of 'Commercial Forestry Harvesting' (<i>see separate submission</i>) or see transportation of harvested logs considered a permitted activity under section 2.31 (<i>see separate submission</i>).					
336	William Ian Esson	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:</i> <i>(a) sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service;</i> <i>(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone;</i> <i>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes;</i> <i>(d) commercial forestry activities including establishment, management and harvesting.</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The best practicable method, for example....., must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend (h) under this Standard as follows - <p>"(h) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting an area of previously harvested commercial forest that was lawfully established;"</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
336	William Ian Esson	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <p>"Notification must be given to Council not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22."</p> <p>Or, amend the Standard as follows (bold) - "Notification must be given to Council not more than 60 working days and not less than 20 working days before expected harvesting commences. Notification must include a Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22."</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
336	William Ian Esson	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p>"Any material change to the Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 (a number less than 20) working days before the change is implemented, unless the Council deems it necessary to extend this period to enable further consider of the potential implications of the material change."</p> <p>(Inferred)</p>					
336	William Ian Esson	14	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of this Standard as follows (strike through) - <p>"Harvesting must not be in, or within: (a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake, except where the trees being harvested were lawfully established prior to 9 June 2016 (this exception does not apply to excavation);"</p>					
336	William Ian Esson	15	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"No excavation or filling in excess of 1000m³ perm² must occur on any land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 month period."</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend (a) of the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"(a) trees must not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; and</i> <i>slash must not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, come into contact with continuously running water in a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area; and</i> <i>soil debris in excess onm³ must not be left within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;"</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
336	William Ian Esson	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the Standard to other Permitted Activities not related to forestry. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	20	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity rule as follows - <p>"Transportation of logs."</p> <p>Or, transportation of harvested logs considered a permitted activity under section 2.31 (<i>see separate submission</i>). <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
336	William Ian Esson	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <i>material change</i> " as it is referred to in Standard 3.3.7.2. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	22	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Commercial forestry harvesting" as follows (strike through) - <p><i>"means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i> <i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i> <i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i> <i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i> <i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land."</i></p> <p>Or, add a new Permitted Activity for the transportation of logs under section 2.31 (<i>see separate submission</i>). <i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
336	William Ian Esson	23	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <i>Significant failure</i> ", relative to Standard 3.3.7.18.					
336	William Ian Esson	24	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition that would clarify how setbacks, such as the 8m setback in Standard 3.3.7.12(a), is measured. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
336	William Ian Esson	25	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <i>trees</i> ", as it would relate to Standard 3.3.7.12. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
336	William Ian Esson	26	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Slash</i> " as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"includes branches, tops, chunks, cull logs, uprooted stumps, slovens, broken trees and other waste wood, greater than 100mmmm (a number greater than 100) in diameter at any point."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
440	Ian Esson	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	The rules in Volume 2 must make it abundantly clear that the <u>replanting</u> of existing harvested trees in such areas is permitted as is stated in Volume 1 Policy 5.3.15.					
440	Ian Esson	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will develop fair, workable, pragmatic guidelines which can be implemented economically and will apply to every landowner, resident, visitor and organisation in the community.					
440	Ian Esson	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will develop fair, workable, pragmatic guidelines which can be implemented economically and will apply to every landowner, resident, visitor and organisation in the community.					
440	Ian Esson	4	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	There must be a mechanism in the plan to allow a longer culvert pipe to be installed as a permitted activity when necessary.					
440	Ian Esson	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.22.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule must be clarified and relaxed to allow common sense agrichemical application.					
440	Ian Esson	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This rule must be clarified and relaxed to allow common sense agrichemical application.					
440	Ian Esson	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule will apply to all transportation in the region. If not, it should be included in the definition of a permitted activity related to Commercial Forestry Harvesting.					
440	Ian Esson	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Routine forestry activities must also be provided with an exclusion, or the exclusion clause for most of the other activities should be removed.					
440	Ian Esson	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will ensure that they listen to the submissions from experienced members of the forestry industry and modify such rules if necessary, to make them fair, reasonable and workable.					
440	Ian Esson	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The council will ensure that a reasonable definition of "material change" is developed. Should minor changes to a harvest plan be included in the definition, the rule must include a much shorter implementation time requirement. The final rule must be reasonable and workable, taking into account the complexities associated with and cost of having a harvesting operation "on sight".					
440	Ian Esson	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The document must make it perfectly clear that there is a mechanism to allow for some land disturbance to enable existing trees on Steep Erosion-Prone land to be harvested and replanted.					
440	Ian Esson	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.18.	Oppose
Decision Requested	This rule will apply to all significant failures on all land in the region and not just forestry land. If the rule is applied, a clear definition indicating the threshold of notification must be developed.					
440	Ian Esson	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.48.	Oppose
Decision Requested	A clause needs to be inserted that requires the organisers of such events to be aware of the level of fire danger in relation to surrounding properties at the time of the event, to take appropriate precautions, to have a contingency plan in place if a fire was to occur and be obliged to carry appropriate public liability insurance. They should also be obliged to speak with the neighbour who owns the adjacent bush or forest clad land.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
879	Laurence Etheredge	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That adverse effects are to be avoided, remedied or mitigated regardless of any prior adverse effects having taken place.					
879	Laurence Etheredge	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.4					
879	Laurence Etheredge	3	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Structure of the MEP	Oppose
Decision Requested	That giving clearer directions for use of the MEP is included (<i>inferred</i>).					
1082	Richard Warwick Evans	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 4.6.11 is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).					
1082	Richard Warwick Evans	2	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.4.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.4.4 is changed from a discretionary activity to a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).					
1082	Richard Warwick Evans	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To include wastewater disposal in a Soil Sensitive Area as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Certified Assessor (ASWZ 1547).					
1082	Richard Warwick Evans	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.9.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer.					
1082	Richard Warwick Evans	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.11.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer.					
1082	Richard Warwick Evans	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.12.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To include excavation/filling in a Soil Sensitive Area identified as loess soils as a permitted activity provided a design/report is prepared by a Chartered Professional Geotechnical Engineer.					
800	Jonathan Everett	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1207	Vicki Maree Evrard	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
779	Jonathan Andrew Falloon	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I support the inclusion of Policy 14.4.12 - Omaka Valley as drafted.					
1307	Josephine Faragher	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation</p> <p>Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. • Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. • Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. • Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. • Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
134	Richard Farley	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 113	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	I request the council to amend the proposed significant wetland area (W1005), so that it does not come onto my property, as I disagree that there us a significant wetland on my property.					
587	Caroline Farley	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
587	Caroline Farley	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
587	Caroline Farley	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
587	Caroline Farley	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farms 8217, 8026, 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
587	Caroline Farley	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
587	Caroline Farley	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8026; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
587	Caroline Farley	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
587	Caroline Farley	8	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8217, 8026 and 8038; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the term natural and human use values is defined in the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	2	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	That the guiding principles are retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	3	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That (d) in the policy is deleted as follows (strike out): " (d) recognises that tangata whenua have rights protected by the Treaty of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o Waitangi and that consequently the Resource Management Act 1991 accords iwi a status distinct from that of interest groups and members of the public; and "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	4	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read (strike out and bold):</p> <p>Encourage iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain:</p> <p>(a) specific requirements to address the management of coastal waters, land and air resources, including mauri, and in relation to Sections 6(e), 7(a) and 8 of the Resource Management Act 1991;</p> <p>(b) protocols to give effect to their role of kaitiaki of water and land resources;</p> <p>(c) sites of cultural significance;</p> <p>(d) descriptions of how the document is to be used, monitored and reviewed; and</p> <p>(e) the outcomes expected from implementing the management plan; and</p> <p>(f) background information for large scale resource consent and plan change applicants, so that cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi can be taken into account in the preparation of an application.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is combined with 3.1.4. Our relief sought is detailed in our submission on Policy 3.1.4.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "<i>Ensure iwi management plans are taken into account in resource management decision making processes with regards to the preparation of a regional policy statement, or regional and district plans.</i>"</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That further explanation is provided before the policy is included in the Plan. • That similar policies are developed which apply to the relationships between other groups, such as Marlborough's farming community, and the Council. 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the explanatory text accompanying the issue is amended so that it better reflects the value of the primary sector to Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing, included but not limited to inclusion of the following paragraphs inserted after paragraph 2 (bold):</p> <p>"Primary production makes a considerable contribution to Marlborough's economy. In 2015, agriculture, fishery and forestry directly contributed \$254 million to the region's economy; 11.7% of the total GDP, and second only to manufacturing, through which many of the region's primary produce is processed. Agriculture, fishery and forestry also made the largest contribution to overall growth in the region in the year to 2015, growing by over 6.9% over the year, and employing 19.4% of the Marlborough workforce with 4,897 people employed.</p> <p>Sheep and beef production is extensive in Marlborough, with 397,030ha of land considered pastoral land, and 545,580 sheep and 59,970 beef animals run in Marlborough. Marlborough is a small dairying region, with only 56 herds and a total of 16,661 cows across 5,700 effective hectares. There are over 8000 deer in the Marlborough region.</p> <p>In addition to pastoral agriculture, the Marlborough Sounds provide 62% of New Zealand's aquaculture production by tonnes, including 62% of greenshell mussels and 61% of salmon production, and contributes almost 6%, or \$162 million, to Marlborough's regional GDP. The Marlborough region is also the largest grape producing region in New Zealand, with 23,203ha in vineyards, and exports representing 74.6% of national production in 2015. Forestry covers 57,500 ha."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold):</p> <p>"Recognise the rights of resource users by only not intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment, unless specifically required under the Plan."</p> <p>And, that the explanatory text is amended to better align with the intent of the Act, and the importance of protecting both existing use and private property rights unless the Act requires such interference.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold): "Maintain and, where there is community desire and costs and benefits are balanced, enhance the quality of natural resources."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new method is included in the Plan which reads as follows (bold): " Council will resource priority catchments enhancement projects that develop partnerships between industry, resource users in the catchments. " <i>(Inferred to relate to Issue 4A)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Relief sought: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That the policy is amended so that the list of regionally significant infrastructure is included in an appendix. • That all items of regionally significant infrastructure including irrigation and on farm-drainage schemes are included in the appendix. • In addition, that 4.2.1(c) in the list of infrastructure is amended to read as follows (strike out): "<i>(c) reticulated community water supply networks and water treatment plants operated by the Marlborough District Council,</i>" 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold): " Protect Recognise and provide for regionally significant infrastructure. from the adverse effects of other activities. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike out): " Where the grant of a resource consent application may adversely affect regionally significant infrastructure, the owners and operators of the infrastructure will be served notice of the application as an affected party.— Transpower NZ is required to be served notice if a resource consent application may affect the National Grid under Regulation 10 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees and Procedures) Regulations 2003. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the issue is moved to the Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment and is amended to reference the balancing exercise required under the Act.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	19	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the objective is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds and the appropriate recognition of the land use activities that have created the landscape. "					
	And, that the objective is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment, or to Chapter 6: Natural Character.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	20	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the policy is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment. That the policy is amended to include recognition of the importance of the Sounds as a working landscape and to specify where these qualities and values can be found in the Plan, referencing the Landscape and Coastal Natural Character Appendixes. That a schedule of the activities and characteristics of the Sounds are included within the Plan. 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	21	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	22	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is a dynamic environment and some use and development activities will have positive effects. "					
	And, that the policy is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	23	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the fourth indicator for monitoring effectiveness for this AER as follows (strike out) - " Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5A	Support
Decision Requested	That the issue is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5C	Support
Decision Requested	That the issue is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Water allocation and water use management regimes reflect hydrological and environmental conditions, and social and economic values, within each water resource.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is adopted as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	28	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Appendix be amended to include a range of uses including irrigation, industrial, commercial and frost fighting. That the Appendix be amended to include cultural, social and economic values. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the objective is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified; and The explanation to the policy is amended to include further information with regards to the identification of Freshwater Management Units and the manner in which they are intended to be utilised going forward. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy to include the requirement for a review of the natural and human use values through the collaborative catchment limit setting process. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended as follows (bold):</p> <p><i>"Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;</i></p> <p><i>(b) protect instream habitat and ecology;</i></p> <p><i>(c) maintain fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</i></p> <p><i>(d) preserve the natural character of the river;</i></p> <p><i>(e) maintain water quality;</i></p> <p><i>(f) provide for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) maintain amenity values; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) maintain reliability of supply for social and economic values."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is retained; and</p> <p>the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.14.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	38	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	39	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified but amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Have regard to the adverse effects of the proposed instantaneous rate of take from any river, except an ephemerally flowing river, if that rate of take exceeds or is likely to exceed 5% of river flow at any time, unless the take is for domestic or stock drinking water.</i> " (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	40	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>Limit the total amount of water available to be taken from any freshwater management unit and avoid allocating but allow for the allocation of water (through the resource consent process) beyond the limit set when the applicant can demonstrate that the adverse effects on the values of that freshwater management unit will individually or cumulatively be no more than minor.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.14	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained; and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.7.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Implement water restrictions for water users serviced by municipal water supplies when the management flows/levels for the resource from which the water is taken are reached. The water restrictions would be based on the following method...(not specified in submission).</i> " (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) : <p><i>"Ensure any new proposal to dam water within the bed of a river provides for:</i></p> <p><i>(a) retention of an effective passage of fish where there is migration of indigenous fish species, trout and salmon already occursring past the proposed dam site;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Recognise and proved for the exclusion of trout and salmon where the dam is to be used as part of restoring/establishing native species habitat; and</i></p> <p><i>(b)</i><i>(c) sufficient flow and flow variability downstream of the dam structure to maintain:</i></p> <p><i>(i) existing indigenous fish habitats and the habitats of trout and salmon; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) permitted or authorised uses of water; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) flushing flows below the dam;</i></p> <p><i>(e)</i><i>(d) the natural character of any waterbody downstream of the dam structure; and</i></p> <p><i>have regard to the matters in (a) to (c) when considering any resource consent application to continue damming water."</i></p> <p>And, that the policy is combined with Policy 5.2.22.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is combined with Policy 5.2.21.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	The amendment of the Policy is sought however the Submitter has not provided any specific changes in the submission.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Establish allocation volumes for municipal water supplies and avoid applying management flows and levels to the taking of water for the purpose of municipal supply.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Allocate water within any class on a first-in, first-served basis through the resource consent process until the allocation limit is reached for the first time. In addition ensure that the water to be allocated is reasonable for the intended end use.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained; and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.9.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.11	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	55	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Enable the construction of bores while recognising that this policy does not authorise the taking of water for any purpose other than bore testing.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	56	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - <p>" <i>The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</i></p> <p><i>(a) not be less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</i></p> <p><i>(i) that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) that is not over-allocated; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) not be more than tenfifteen years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) may not be more than tenfifteen years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14, unless supporting information can be supplied."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	57	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	58	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The lapse period for water permits to take water shall be no more than twofive years.</i> " <p>And, the Policy is combined with Policy 5.4.3.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The explanatory text for this Policy is amend as follows (bold) - " <i>Section 125(1A)(a) specifies that a resource consent does not lapse if the consent is "given effect to."</i> <i>There was uncertainty during the administration of the previous resource management plans as to what this term meant in the context of a water permit. To avoid confusion in the future, this policy clearly describes that a water permit is given effect to when, in conjunction with Policy 7.4, water is taken from the freshwater resource, the take is measured via an appropriate meter and the water is used for the purpose in which it was granted. Giving effect to in the case of a staged development is as when water is taken for the first stage."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to add the following - " <i>(h) the effects of the transfer on other consented takes."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (strike out and bold) - " Enable access to water that has been allocated but is not currently being utilised by individual water permit holders through the transfer of water permits. Enable the transferring of water between water users either within the same FMU or catchment or groundwater aquifer provided the effects of the transfer upon existing users of the water resource is adequately mitigated. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) - " No new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction from the water resources identified in Policy 5.5.1 after 9 June 2016. " "Except as provided for by S124 of the Act, no new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction from water resources which as been identified as over allocated. The council may grant permits pursuant to S124 provided the amount of water being sought is reasonable for its intended use, and is the same or lesser rate and volume of the permit already held."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " Avoid Require appropriate supporting information before considering any additional diversion of water from over-allocated water resources for use on land in other freshwater management units. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows -</p> <p>"Exceedance's of allocable flows will be phased out by some or all of the following methods:</p> <p>a) Ceasing any new allocation of water (not including the replacement of previously consented taking of water subject to the requirements of s124B of the RMA after 9 August 2008)</p> <p>b) Encouraging voluntary reductions or promoting water augmentation/harvesting</p> <p>c) Reviewing conditions of existing consents to determine if any efficiency gains can be made, including through altering the volume, rate or timing of the take provided this does not invalidate the exercise of the consent for its original purpose</p> <p>d) Shared reduction across the catchment either by consent review for existing takes or as resource consents for takes expire. Shared reductions may also be achieved by anticipating the expiry of existing consents in a catchment</p> <p>e) Rostering users, so they are not all taking at once or alternatively reducing the rate of permissible takes</p> <p>f) Directing new applications or replacement of existing resource consents consider alternatives to the water take or to other potential sources of water (e.g. groundwater, water harvesting)</p> <p>g) Temporarily restricting the taking of water by the issuing of a water shortage direction under section 329 of the RMA</p> <p>h) Encouraging the establishment of catchment groups or voluntary agreements between water users to achieve necessary reductions in catchment water use</p> <p>i) Reduce permitted takes, excluding those provided for by s14 (3)(b) of the RMA, through a pro rata reduction in the rate of take and where necessary through a reduction in the daily permitted volume via a plan change</p> <p>j) Undertake an assessment of sustainable yield or allocable flow."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the policy as follows (bold) - "Unless there is an identified aquifer dominant Freshwater Management Unit, all water within a catchment will be managed as a surface water resource. This means that the minimum flow, management flow and allocation limit established for the river dominant Freshwater Management Unit will also apply to groundwater takes. A transition period (the Submitter has not provided any specific duration for the period) is provided so that those with existing groundwater takes can organise alternative sources."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>When resource consent is to be granted to take and use water, every proposed use will be authorised where appropriate by a separate water permit. Categories include municipal, irrigation, industrial, residential, commercial and frost fighting.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	67	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained (<i>inferred</i>); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.7.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	68	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained (<i>inferred</i>); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.7.2.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	69	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) - " Require water permit holders to measure their water take with a pulse emitting meter, to record water take and use with a data logger, and to transfer the recorded water take and use information by the use of telemetry. Alternative methods of measurement, recording or transfer that provide the Marlborough District Council with accurate water take and use data may be considered. " " Require water takes to be measured to within +/- (the Submitter has not provided any specific percentage) % of the water take. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	70	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	71	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	72	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained (<i>inferred</i>); and the Policy is combined with Policy 5.2.9 and Policy 5.3.10.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	73	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	74	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " <i>The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons for the property or properties to be served by the stored water the amount required for reasonable use.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	75	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	76	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Once an allocation limit is reached and that part of the water resource is fully allocated, any water that subsequently becomes free to allocate to other users will only be made available to those users through a system of ballot. Provision should be made for industry oversight of ballot system.</i> " (<i>Inferred - Submitter did not specify how provision should be made.</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	77	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	78	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	79	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Issue is moved to Chapter 13: The Use of the Coastal Environment. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	80	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	81	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Recognise that the following natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities may contribute to natural character:</i></p> <p><i>(a) areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state;</i></p> <p><i>(b) coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</i></p> <p><i>(c) coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the natural movement of water and sediments);</i></p> <p><i>(d) biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</i></p> <p><i>(e) biological processes and patterns;</i></p> <p><i>(f) water flows and levels and water quality; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) the experience of the above elements, patterns and processes, including unmodified, scenic and wilderness qualities."</i></p> <p>And, the explanatory text for the Policy is amended as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"This policy describes those matters considered to contribute to the natural character of coastal and river environments. This provides MEP users with a clear understanding of the meaning of natural character. It is acknowledged that these values may contribute to natural character, and is intended to assist and provide clarity but is by no means exclusive and nor does it represent a hierarchy, and that Natural Character is on a spectrum."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	82	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - <i>"The extent of the coastal environment is mapped in consultation with landholders, the community, tangata whenua and other key stakeholders, and identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan to establish the areas of land and coastal marine area to which management may need to be applied in order to protect the natural character of the coastal environment from inappropriate subdivision, use and development."</i></p> <p>And, the Policy is moved to the Coastal Environment Chapter.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	83	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	84	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	85	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanatory text for the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>This objective meets the expectations of Section 6(a) of the RMA, which establishes that preservation of natural character is a matter of national importance. Activities that are consistent with underlying zoning and existing land uses will be considered appropriate.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	86	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " Avoid the adverse effects of Enable subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values where the activity is consistent with underlying zoning and existing land uses, and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values and where significant adverse effects of inappropriate activities can be avoided, remedied or mitigated. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	87	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	88	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	89	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	90	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is adopted as proposed but with recognition that modified landscapes include any past and present farming land use activities. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	91	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments, where appropriate regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the area subject to the proposal.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	92	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	93	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	94	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support
Decision Requested	That an additional policy is under this Objective which reads as follows - " <i>In evaluating applications for resource consent, recognise the efforts of private landowners, community groups and others to maintain, protect and restore the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, lakes and rivers.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	95	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new method is added under this Issue - " <i>The Council is required to work cooperatively with land occupiers, community and industry groups whom are undertaking voluntary stewardship activities.</i> " (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	96	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective be amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value.</i> " And, that landscapes with high amenity value and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan. (<i>The Submitter has not identified the specific maps they seek to delete the high amenity value landscapes from, or the specific provisions that reference high amenity value landscapes that they seek to be deleted.</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	97	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <p>"When assessing the values of Marlborough's outstanding natural landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</p> <p>(a) biophysical values, including geological and ecological elements;</p> <p>(b) sensory values, including aesthetics, natural beauty and visual perception; and</p> <p>(c) associative values, including cultural and historic values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.</p> <p>A landscape must meet all or most criteria to be classified as an Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape, and the above criteria must be used to determine the special extent of the landscape."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	98	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included which reads as follows - " Recognise and provide for farming and rural activities where these currently occur on ONFLs and are consistent with the identified values and attributes. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	99	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	100	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policies 7.1.3 and 7.1.4 are combined and amended as follows (strike out and bold): <p>"Assessment of the values in Policy 7.1.1 will determine:</p> <p>(a) whether a landscape is identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape in terms of Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act 1991;</p> <p>(b) whether the landscape has high amenity value in terms of Section 7(c) of the Resource Management Act 1991; or</p> <p>(b) what the specific values and attributes of the identified ONFL are so these can be listed in Appendix 1 of Volume 3 of the Marlborough Environment Plan.</p> <p>(c) where outstanding landscape values are not sensitive to change.</p> <p>Landscapes that meet the criteria to be identified as an outstanding natural feature and landscape will be specifically identified on the Landscape Overlay."</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	101	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted as it is submitted it should be combined with Policy 7.1.3 (see submission on 7.1.3).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	102	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike out) - " <i>Protect outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use and development and maintain and enhance landscapes with high amenity value.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	103	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.2.1 is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - " Control Manage activities that have the potential to degrade affect those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process through permitted activity standards that ensure activities avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	104	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy is added under this Objective which reads as follows - " Activities that are consistent with the values and factors of Outstanding Natural Landscapes will be recognised for their contribution to the landscape and provided for. Primary production activities in particular will be enabled. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	105	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted (if the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape Overlay is deleted as per a separate submission); or That the Policy is amended as follows (if the values for the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape are amended in Appendix 1 as per a separate submission) (strike out and bold) - " Control Enable activities that have the potential to degrade are consistent with the amenity values that contribute to the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape by: (a) setting permitted activity standards that are consistent with the existing landscape values and uses and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and (b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	106	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape Overlay is deleted (if Policy 7.2.2 is deleted as per a separate submission). <i>(Inferred as specific map to be amended was not identified by the Submitter.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	107	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape Overlay is deleted (if Policy 7.2.2 is deleted as per a separate submission). <i>(Inferred as specific map to be amended was not identified by the Submitter.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	108	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Under the section in this Appendix headed "Areas with high amenity landscape values" and the sub-section headed "B. Wairau Dry Hills Landscape", add an additional value to the list of values as follows (if Policy 7.2.2 is amended as per a separate submission) - " Farming and rural activities positively contribute to the values and attributes of the Wairau Dry Hills. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	109	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) - "For areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape that are classified as an Outstanding Feature and Landscape, Control Enable activities that have the potential to degrade are consistent with the amenity values and attributes that contribute to these areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by: (a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living; (b) setting permitted standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and land uses. (c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities. <i>(Partially inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	110	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Under the section in this Appendix headed "Areas with high amenity landscape values" and the sub-section headed "A. Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape", add an additional value to the list of values as follows - " Farming and rural activities positively contribute to the values and attributes of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	111	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is deleted; or</p> <p>That the Policy 7.2.4 is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>"Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within an outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects consistency of the proposal on with the values that contribute to the landscape.";</i> and,</p> <p>that farming and rural activities are recognised in Appendix 1 as positively contributing to the values and attributes of ONFLs where they occur, and are provided for as permitted. <i>(The Submitter has not advised the locations where an ONFL is identified over rural or farmland for which they seek the addition of the value, therefore specific changes to Appendix 1 have not been able to be recorded in the submission.)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	112	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "<i>Avoid adverse effects on the values and land uses that contribute to outstanding natural features and landscapes in the first instance. Where adverse effects cannot be avoided and the activity is not proposed to take place in the coastal environment, ensure that the adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</i>"</p> <p>And, that farming and rural activities are recognised in Appendix 1 as positively contributing to the values and attributes of ONFLs where they occur. <i>(The Submitter has not advised the locations where an ONFL is identified over rural or farmland for which they seek the addition of the value, therefore specific changes to Appendix 1 have not been able to be recorded in the submission.)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	113	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 7.2.6 is amended to read as follows (bold) - <p><i>"Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</i></p> <p><i>(a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure, including irrigation schemes;</i></p> <p><i>(b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and</i></p> <p><i>(c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.</i></p> <p><i>(d) Farming and primary production.</i>"</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	114	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted and replaced with the following new Policy - " <i>Applications for subdivision, use and development in outstanding natural features and landscapes must demonstrate that activities, including buildings and earthworks, will be located, designed and of a scale and character that will ensure that the values of the areas are protected.</i> "					
	That the Plan is amended so that only outstanding natural features and landscapes are granted protection, and not landscapes with high amenity value. <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Plan)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	115	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to reads follows (bold) - " <i>Recognise that some outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value will fall within areas in which primary production activities currently occur, and accept farming is an appropriate land use involving activities which may modify the landscape.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	116	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	117	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	118	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	119	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	120	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the explanatory text for the Policy is amended to provide a more accurate context as to the historical drivers for vegetation clearance. <i>(The Submitter did not provide specific wording changes to the explanation.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	121	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Marlborough's remaining significant indigenous biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments is protected.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	122	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective be amended to read as follows - " To encourage the An increase in area/extent of Marlborough's indigenous biodiversity <i>protected by voluntary legal mechanisms</i>, and restoration or improvement in the condition of areas that have been degraded. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	123	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike out and bold) -</p> <p>"When assessing whether wetlands, marine or terrestrial ecosystems, habitats and areas have significant indigenous biodiversity value, the following criteria will be used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) representativeness; (b) rarity; (c) diversity and pattern; (d) distinctiveness; (e) size and shape; (f) connectivity/ecological context; (g) sustainability; and (h) adjacent catchment modifications. <p>For a site to be considered significant, at least one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness/special ecological characteristics) must rank medium or high and/or two or more must rank medium, as detailed in Appendix 3.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	124	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - "<i>Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan, on maps and in a schedule that includes descriptions of the qualities of each Significant Wetland.</i>"</p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	125	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new policy under this Objective as follows - "<i>Voluntary actions that maintain or enhance indigenous biodiversity shall be recognised and encouraged.</i>"</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	126	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Having adequate information on the state of biodiversity in terrestrial, freshwater and coastal environments in Marlborough to enable decision makers to assess the impact on biodiversity values from various activities and uses, and to determine permitted activity standards.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	127	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	128	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	129	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - " <i>Priority for Council partnership resources will be given to the protection, maintenance and restoration of habitats, ecosystems and areas that have significant indigenous biodiversity values, particularly those that are legally protected.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	130	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows - " <i>Priority for Council partnership resources will be given to the voluntary re-establishment of areas of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland environments.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	131	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Encourage the voluntary legal protection of sites with significant indigenous biodiversity value through covenanting.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	132	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy; or Combine Policy with Policy 9.1.1.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	133	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	135	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	136	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	137	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Promote the enhancement of Council-owned esplanade corridors of indigenous vegetation along waterbodies to allow the establishment of native ecosystems and to provide wildlife habitat and linkages to other fragmented bush or wetland remnants.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	138	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	That the policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	139	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	140	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	141	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Control Enable vegetation clearance activities which have a minimal effect on to retain ecosystems, habitats and areas with indigenous biodiversity value. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	142	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - " <i>Improve the management of drainage channel maintenance activities within Council's own drainage channel network to mitigate the adverse effects from these activities on the habitats of indigenous freshwater species.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	143	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The amendment of the Policy is sought. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	144	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	145	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is moved to Chapter 13: Use of the Coastal Environment.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	146	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That appropriate subdivision, development and activities are provided for when consistent with Natural Character values. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes or additions sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	147	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the objectives and policies in this Chapter are redrafted to appropriately recognise the importance of reliable and adequate freshwater supplies to the Marlborough region. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i> That Chapters 5 (Allocation of Public Resources) & Chapter 15 (Resource Quality (Water section)) are combined and redrafted to remove inconsistencies and superfluous policies. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of these Chapters)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	148	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the objectives and policies in this Chapter are redrafted to appropriately recognise the importance of reliable and adequate freshwater supplies to the Marlborough region. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i></p> <p>That Chapters 5 (Allocation of Public Resources) & Chapter 15 (Resource Quality (Water section)) are combined and redrafted to remove inconsistencies and superfluous policies. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of these Chapters)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	149	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold):</p> <p><i>" The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas by obtaining esplanade reserves and strips:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea;</i></p> <p><i>(b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay;</i></p> <p><i>(c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach;</i></p> <p><i>(d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) the Queen Charlotte Track."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	150	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That any issues, objectives, and policies relating specifically to coastal issues are included in Chapter 13: Use of the Coastal Environment. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Volume)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	151	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That pest management is recognised as a legitimate means of protecting biodiversity outcomes and therefore enabled through the policies and rules in the Plan.</p> <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific additional policies or rules sought)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	152	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>- That the Chapter is edited to be made more concise and succinct.</p> <p>- That the policies in the Chapter are integrated with other policies found throughout the Plan.</p> <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	153	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through):</p> <p>"With the exception of areas with significant indigenous biodiversity value, where indigenous biodiversity values will be adversely affected through land use or other activities, a biodiversity offset can be considered to mitigate residual adverse effects. Where a biodiversity offset is proposed, the following criteria will apply:</p> <p><i>(a) the offset will only compensate for residual adverse effects that cannot otherwise be avoided, remedied or mitigated;</i></p> <p><i>(a) the residual adverse effects on biodiversity are capable of being offset and will be fully compensated by the offset to ensure no net loss of biodiversity;</i></p> <p><i>(b) where the area to be offset is identified as a national priority for protection under Objective 8.1, the offset must deliver a net gain for biodiversity;</i></p> <p><i>(c) there is a strong likelihood that the offsets will be achieved in perpetuity;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where the offset involves the ongoing protection of a separate site, it will deliver no net loss and preferably a net gain for indigenous biodiversity protection; and</i></p> <p><i>(e) offsets should re-establish or protect the same type of ecosystem or habitat that is adversely affected, unless an alternative ecosystem or habitat will provide a net gain for indigenous biodiversity."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	154	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - "<i>The public are able to enjoy the amenity and recreational opportunities of Marlborough's coastal environment, rivers, lakes, high country and areas of historic interest</i> via public access where it is safe and appropriate to do so, and with landowner permission."</p> <p>And, that landowner access across their own property is clearly separated from public access in this chapter <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of this Chapter regarding this point).</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	155	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 9.1.2 is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers by esplanade reserves and strips will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i> <i>a) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation;</i> <i>b) connections between existing public areas would be provided;</i> <i>c) physical access for people with disabilities would be desirable; and</i> <i>d) providing access to areas or sites of cultural or historic significance is important."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	156	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 9.1.3 is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Where public access is enhanced in priority locations, steps shall be taken to ensure this does not result in:</i> <i>(a) adverse effects on the wider environment of that location from littering, unsanitary disposal of human waste or damage to vegetation; or</i> <i>(b) conflicts between users that would detract from public enjoyment of the area, or</i> <i>(c) trespass over private land, or</i> <i>(d) an impediment to landowner access or,</i> <i>(e) adverse effects on neighbouring land uses."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	157	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	158	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	159	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	160	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Enhance public access recreational opportunities through: (a) <i>development of networks for cycling and walking in both rural and urban areas; and</i> (b) <i>facilitating public access and recreational use of Marlborough District Council owned or administered land.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	161	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	162	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold): " <i>In considering whether to waive the requirement for, or to reduce/increase the width of an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20 metres in width, the Marlborough District Council shall have regard to:</i> (a) <i>whether the application is in an area identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, as set out in Policy 9.1.1; and</i> (b) <i>the width required to effectively provide physical access along the waterbody;</i> <i>while taking into account the following special circumstances:</i> (c) <i>whether significant ecological, conservation or cultural values exist that may be incompatible if general public access to the site is allowed;</i> (d) <i>whether significant ecological or conservation values warrant a wider esplanade reserve or esplanade strip;</i> (e) <i>whether topography renders the 20 metre width inadequate or excessive for public access;</i> (f) <i>whether the site is in an urban zone, where a reduced width of esplanade reserves/strips to 8 metres is generally considered sufficient;</i> (g) <i>whether the provision of public access along the esplanade reserve or esplanade strip would result in health or safety risks to the public using the reserve or strip or landowner or facility involved, for example, where there are defence lands, existing road reserve, sensitive machinery, network utilities or works; and</i> (h) <i>taking an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip would not enhance public access to or along the waterbody over time;</i> (i) the subdivision involves only a minor boundary adjustment; and (j) where the land is protected in perpetuity, provided that public access is secured along the margins of the coast, river or lake concerned. "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	163	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p>"When considering resource consent applications for activities, subdivision or structures in or adjacent to the coastal marine area, lakes or rivers, the impact on public access shall be assessed against the following:</p> <p>(a) whether the application is in an area identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, as set out in Policy 9.1.1;</p> <p>(b) the need for the activity/structure to be located in the coastal marine area and why it cannot be located elsewhere;</p> <p>(c) the need for the activity/structure to be located in a river bed and why it cannot be located elsewhere;</p> <p>(d) the extent to which the activity/subdivision/structure would benefit or adversely affect public access, customary access and recreational use, irrespective of its intended purpose;</p> <p>(e) in the coastal marine area, whether exclusive rights of occupation are being sought as part of the application;</p> <p>(f) for the Marlborough Sounds, whether there is practical road access to the site of the application;</p> <p>(g) how public access around or over any structure sought as part of an application is to be provided for;</p> <p>(h) whether the impact on public access is temporary or permanent and whether there is any alternative public access available; and</p> <p>(i) whether public access is able to be restricted in accordance with Policies 9.2.1 and 9.2.2; and</p> <p>(j) the positive impacts of the activity, subdivision or structure from locating the development in that location."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	164	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Recognise the benefits of the presence of unformed legal road where access is appropriate and will not unreasonably disrupt surrounding land uses or be unsafe, as a means to enhance access to and along waterbodies (including the coast) and to public land."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	165	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"In considering an application to stop any unformed legal road, the Marlborough District Council shall consider the following:</p> <p>(a) current level of use, including whether the unformed legal road is:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the sole or most convenient means of access to any existing lot(s) that is public land or feature (for example, a river or the coast); or - used as a walkway or to access conservation land; <p>(b) opportunities for future use, including whether the unformed legal road will be needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - to service future residential, commercial, industrial or primary production developments; or - in the future, to connect existing roads; <p>(c) alternative uses of the land, including its current or potential value for amenity or conservation functions, e.g. walkway, utilities corridor, esplanade strip or access way to features such as a river or the coast;</p> <p>(d) whether there is alternative and practical existing public access to the same end point of the unformed legal road; and</p> <p>(e) whether acceptable alternative access can be provided to offset the stopping of the unformed legal road;</p> <p>(f) whether there is public access to the other end of the unformed legal road; and</p> <p>(g) the existing land use and the degree of disruption provided to legitimate activities occurring on the land surrounding the paper road."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	166	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Public access to and along the coastal marine area and the margins of lakes and rivers may be restricted to:</i> <i>(a) ensure a level of security consistent with the purpose of a resource consent or designation;</i> <i>(b) protect areas of significant indigenous vegetation and/or significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>(c) protect cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(d) allow for foot access only;</i> <i>(e) protect public health and safety and animal welfare and to manage fire risk;</i> <i>(f) protect heritage, natural or cultural values; and</i> <i>(g) in other exceptional circumstances sufficient to justify the restriction, notwithstanding the national importance of maintaining that access; and</i> <i>(h) ensure this does not result in trespass over private land, impede landowner access or cause adverse effects on neighbouring land uses."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	167	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	168	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new Policy is added under Objective 9.2 (inferred) which reads as follows - <i>"Provide for, where it is appropriate, the waiving of requirements for esplanade areas including esplanade reserves and esplanade strips."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	169	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read: <i>"Ensure the community is adequately appropriately informed about areas of open space, reserves and recreational facilities and the opportunities available to access them."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	170	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The Objective is rewritten to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Retain and protect heritage resources that contribute to the character of Marlborough. To recognise and where appropriate, protect archaeological, historic and cultural sites, buildings, places of historic and cultural heritage of the district from inappropriate subdivision, use and development."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	171	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	172	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Objective 10.2 is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Retain and protect trees that make a notable contribution to Marlborough's character. To recognise and where appropriate, protect notable trees from inappropriate subdivision, use and development."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	173	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	174	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Liaise with Heritage New Zealand, the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi to develop and implement an appropriate discovery protocol for archaeological sites. Council will meet the cost for an archaeological or cultural impact assessment for unrecorded heritage sites that are accidentally discovered."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	175	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	176	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted and Waahi Tapu sites undergo the same identification, mapping and management as other heritage resources.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	177	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold):</p> <p><i>When assessing resource consent applications in relation to heritage resources, have regard to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) the contribution the heritage resource makes to the local or national identity and sense of place;</i> <i>(b) the effect demolition, removal, alteration or additions will have on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</i> <i>(c) the extent to which the adaptive reuse of a heritage resource enables reasonable and economic use of that resource;</i> <i>(d) the extent to which the work is necessary to enable the continued use of the heritage resource;</i> <i>(e) the extent to which the work is necessary to ensure structural stability, accessibility, fire egress, sufficient earthquake strengthening, and the extent of the impact of the work on the heritage values of the heritage resource;</i> <i>(f) any cumulative effects, especially where the resource is part of a group of similar resources;</i> <i>(g) efforts by the applicant to retain important features of the heritage resource;</i> <i>(h) the extent to which any alteration or addition is in keeping with the original design and materials, or otherwise enhances the heritage value of the resource;</i> <i>(i) the need for ongoing recognition of the significance of sites currently identified by monuments or plaques;</i> <i>(j) options for retaining a heritage resource when its demolition is proposed; and</i> <i>(k) for heritage resources on the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero, the views of Heritage New Zealand; and</i> <p><i>(l) the positive effects on economic, social and cultural wellbeing arising from the proposal.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	178	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is rewritten and combined with Policy 10.1.5 as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 - "Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources."</p> <p>Policy 10.1.6 - "Where modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated."</p> <p>Rewritten policy -</p> <p>"Conserve the values of scheduled heritage resources via permitted activity standards. Where resource consent is required for proposed modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated while achieving sustainable management."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	179	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is rewritten and combined with Policy 10.1.6 as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 - "Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values of Category I heritage resources."</p> <p>Policy 10.1.6 - "Where modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated."</p> <p>Rewritten policy -</p> <p>"Conserve the values of scheduled heritage resources via permitted activity standards. Where resource consent is required for proposed modifications are proposed to Category I heritage resources and other heritage resources, the adverse effects of the modifications on the values of the resources should be avoided, remedied or mitigated while achieving sustainable management."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	180	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Increase the community's awareness of historic heritage values by identifying heritage resources, including historic buildings, places, sites, monuments and plaques that meet the following criteria for significance in the Marlborough Environment Plan:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) have value as a local landmark, over a significant length of time;</i> <i>(b) have historic association with a person or event of note, or has strong public association for any reason;</i> <i>(c) reflect past skills, style, materials, methods of construction or workmanship that would make it of educational or architectural value;</i> <i>(d) is unique or rare in relation to particular historical themes, or is a work of art;</i> <i>(e) is important to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi; and</i> <i>(f) forms part of a precinct or area of heritage value.</i> <p><i>It is acknowledged that it is appropriate to seek landowner input in the identification of heritage resources on private land.</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	181	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p><i>"Identify and provide appropriate protection to Marlborough's significant heritage resources (as identified in Appendix 3 and on the Zoning Maps in the Plan), including:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>(a) historic buildings (or parts of buildings), places and sites;</i> <i>(b) heritage trees;</i> <i>(c) places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi;</i> <i>(d) archaeological sites; and</i> <i>(e) monuments and plaques."</i> 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	182	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new policy is added under Objective 10.1 <i>(inferred)</i> which reads as follows -</p> <p><i>"Recognise and encourage the role of public and private landowners in the ongoing management and protection of Heritage resources."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	183	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	184	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Manage Marlborough's heritage resources in association with Heritage New Zealand, the Department of Conservation, the New Zealand Archaeological Association, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, other heritage organisations, landowners and the local community."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	185	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	186	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Clarify and/or define the terminology for "flood defences", "floodways" and the "Floodway Zone". (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	187	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Control residential, commercial and industrial land uses on or in close proximity to existing Marlborough District Council administered flood defences and within floodways to ensure that they do not compromise the effectiveness of any defence or the efficiency of any floodway."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	188	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	189	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	190	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.21	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Locate new habitable structures and works to:</i> <i>(a) avoid them being damaged from the adverse effects of land instability; and</i> <i>(b) avoid any increase in the adverse effects of slope instability that the structure or work may cause.</i> "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	191	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.19	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	192	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.13	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	193	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	194	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	195	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That gravel extraction is recognised as a legitimate value for water resource units in Appendix 5. <i>(Submitter did not identify which specific water resource units to add gravel extraction to as a value.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	196	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Mitigate the adverse effects of gravel extraction on ecological and recreational values, water clarity and bank stability by:</i> <i>(a) avoiding, where practicable, extraction from the wet bed of any river;</i> <i>(b) placing limits on:</i> <i>(i) the timing of operations (where necessary to avoid bird nesting of endangered riverbed nesting birds);</i> <i>(ii) the method of extraction;</i> <i>(iii) the location of the extraction and access to the location;</i> <i>(iv) the amount of gravel that can be extracted; and</i> <i>(v) the length of time over which the extraction can occur."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	197	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	198	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"To actively manage any flood hazard through the provision and maintenance of flood defences and other flood mitigation works, where there is significant community benefit and adverse effects from public works on privately owned land are avoided, remedied or mitigated."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	199	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>- That the Policy is retained as notified.</p> <p>- That all provisions for liquefaction and tunnel gully erosion are deleted from the Plan until comprehensive identification and mapping has occurred in consultation with landowners.</p> <p><i>(The specific decision requested on this Policy is not clear in the Submission as it contains the above conflicting statements)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	200	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a policy is added under Objective 11.1 which reads as follows - <i>"Assess the consequences of natural hazard events on Marlborough's human communities, including by considering:</i> <i>a) The nature of activities in the area;</i> <i>b) Individual and community vulnerability;</i> <i>c) Impact on individual and community health and safety;</i> <i>d) Impact on social, cultural and economic wellbeing;</i> <i>e) Impact on infrastructure and property, including access and services;</i> <i>f) Risk reduction and hazard mitigation measures;</i> <i>g) Lifeline utilities, essential and emergency services, and their co-dependence;</i> <i>h) Implications for civil defence agencies and emergency services;</i> <i>i) Cumulative effects;</i> <i>j) Factors that may exacerbate a hazard event;</i> <i>k) The costs (including to landowners) of mitigating the hazard."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	201	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Objective 11.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Reduce the risks to life, property habitable buildings and regionally significant infrastructure from natural hazards."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	202	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	12.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Introduction is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	203	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Issue 12A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Issue is amended to as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Meeting the residential needs of Marlborough's urban population whilst ensuring residential activity does not have adverse effects on the environment. Reverse sensitivity is identified as a potential issue to address, where residential activity expands into or abuts the rural areas of the District."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	204	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the part (a) of the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"In addition to the characteristics listed in Policy 12.1.3, the following additional characteristics are to be maintained and apply to:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the Urban Residential 2 Greenfields Zone, where:</i></p> <p><i>(i) there is a stronger connection with the Rural Environment Zone; and</i></p> <p><i>(ii) farming is enabled prior to residential development;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) the potential for reverse sensitivity is addressed.</i>"</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	205	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is retained as notified.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	206	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Activities that are non-residential in character, with the exception of existing farming activities, are appropriately located and of a scale and nature that will not create adverse effects on the character of residential environments."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	207	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Introduction to Chapter 13 to include the following -					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Vast areas of the landward extent of the coastal environment are held in private ownership and the predominant land use is primary production. · Like infrastructure, primary production activities within the coastal environment are essential to the community's economic, social and cultural wellbeing. · Primary production activities and the associated effects must be recognised and provided for within the coastal environment chapter. <p><i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Introduction sought)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	208	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That all policies relating to the use of the coastal environment and coastal marine area are contained within this Chapter.					
	<i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of the Chapters of Volume 1)</i>					
	And,					
	That the objectives and policies in the Chapter are amended to better reflect the importance of primary production and the validity of primary production in the coastal environment.					
	<i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the provisions of the Chapters of Volume 1 specific to this submission point)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	209	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	210	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Issue is amended as follows (bold) -					
	<i>"Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment, including those relating to existing primary production values."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	211	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new objective under Issue 13A as follows - "Recognise the contributions to the social, economic, and cultural wellbeing of people and communities from the use and development of the coastal marine area and coastal environment." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	212	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Objective is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	213	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Avoid significant adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: <i>(a) outstanding natural character;</i> <i>(b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes;</i> <i>(c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland.</i> <i>(d) significant historic heritage value.</i> as mapped in the Marlborough Environment Plan."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	214	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy as seek to combine with Policy 13.1.1 (<i>see separate submission</i>).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	215	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.1	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new policy under Objective 13.1 as follows - "Provide that the use of production land for productive purposes will not be constrained by the identification of areas of production land as being in the coastal environment and/or having significant natural character, features/landscapes, or being of historic heritage." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	216	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	217	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That throughout the chapter primary production activities are acknowledged and provided for as being appropriate. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Chapter)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	218	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The appropriate locations, forms and limits of subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment are those that recognise and provide for, and otherwise avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on the following values:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the characteristics and qualities that contribute to natural character, natural features and landscape of an area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the relationship of Maori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the extensive area of open space within the coastal marine area available for the public to use and enjoy, including for recreational activities;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the importance of public access to and along the coastal marine area, including opportunities for enhancing public access;</i></p> <p><i>(e) the dynamic, complex and interdependent nature of coastal ecosystems;</i></p> <p><i>(f) the high level of water quality generally experienced in Marlborough's coastal waters; and</i></p> <p><i>(g) those attributes that collectively contribute to individual and community expectations about coastal amenity values; and</i></p> <p><i>(h) legitimate land uses including primary production.</i>"</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	219	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Policy to add (j) as follows -</p> <p><i>"(j) existing land uses within the coastal environment."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	220	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Attributes that may be considered when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, and existing land use tranquillity and peacefulness."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	221	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	222	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"A permissive approach to recreational activities in public areas will be adopted, except where these:</i> <i>(a) require associated structures and occupy the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(b) cause adverse environmental effects, including those resulting from discharges of contaminants, excessive noise and damage to significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>(c) do not maintain or enhance public access to and along the coastal marine area;</i> <i>(d) endanger public health and safety;</i> <i>(e) compromise authorised uses and developments of the coastal marine area; or</i> <i>(f) adversely affect the amenity values of the area."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	223	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	224	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	225	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"Identify areas where residential activity can take place, including providing for dwellings required as part of a working farm."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	226	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes where it is not ancillary to the purposes of primary production should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living, in order to:</i> <i>(a) protect recreational and coastal amenity values;</i> <i>(b) avoid sprawling or sporadic patterns of residential development; and</i> <i>(c) protect landscape, natural character and indigenous biodiversity values."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	227	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Except in the case of land developed for papakainga or land associated with existing primary production activities, residential activity on land zoned Coastal Environment will be provided for by enabling:</i> <i>(a) one dwelling per Computer Register;</i> <i>(b) seasonal family or farm worker accommodation; and</i> <i>(c) homestays."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	228	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy appropriately provides for concerns around reverse sensitivity. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Policy)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	229	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.10	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"Structures in the coastal environment including jetties, boatsheds, decking, slipways, launching ramps, retaining walls, coastal protection structures, pipelines, cables and/or other buildings or structures, but excluding buildings associated with primary production activities, are appropriately located and within appropriate forms and limits to protect the values of the coastal environment."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	230	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Therefore, use and development associated with farming must be provided for in the coastal environment, and recognised in the values of the coastal environment. This includes provided for buildings or other structures associated with farming. That the Policy is amended to address the above concerns. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes within the text of the Policy)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	231	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Structures within the coastal marine area shall be designed and located allowing for relevant dynamic coastal processes, including sea level rise."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	232	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is adopted as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	233	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	234	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to include a new consideration as follows (or similar) - <i>"(f) the impacts on social and economic wellbeing of carrying out the activity."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	235	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Discourage the use of recreational motorised vehicles on the foreshore where this will impact on ecological values or safety of other foreshore users, where the foreshore acts as protection from the sea or on cultural, heritage and amenity values."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	236	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	237	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	238	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Anticipated Environmental Result is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	239	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the first bullet point in paragraph one of the explanatory text to this Issue as follows (strike through) - <i>"recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect the environment and wider public interests in the environment."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	240	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic and social wellbeing, whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	241	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included under Objective 14.1 as follows - <i>"Recognise the importance of a thriving primary production sector that actively contributes to the region's social and economic wellbeing."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	242	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	243	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	244	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	245	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	246	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is replaced as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but that these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment. — Recognise that primary production activities in rural environments may result in effects, including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but that these will be anticipated and are consistent with the character and use of the rural zone. These effects will only require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	247	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	248	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Give priority to and manage Manage the reverse sensitivity effects of primary production activities by to ensure ensuring the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones are not unreasonably degraded, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment that new activities in neighbouring zones anticipate the amenity values and character that come with locating near a primary production area."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	249	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	250	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	251	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	252	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	253	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	254	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	255	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold): <i>"Activities that are not related or ancillary to primary production may be appropriate to be located within rural environments."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	256	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy be added under Objective 14.3 (<i>inferred</i>) to the Plan as follows: <i>"Ensure that new activities locating in the rural area are of a nature, scale, intensity and location consistent with maintaining the character of the rural areas and to be undertaken in a manner which avoid, remedies or mitigates adverse effects on rural character, including rural productive values."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	257	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is adopted as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	258	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Rural character and amenity values are maintained and or enhanced where appropriate, and reverse sensitivity effects are avoided through the protection of primary production activities."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	259	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	260	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Retain an open and spacious character in Marlborough's rural environments with a dominance of open space and plantings over buildings by ensuring that the scale and siting of development is such that:-</i> <i>(a) it will not unreasonably detract from the privacy or outlook of neighbouring properties;</i> <i>(b) sites remain open and with a rural character as viewed from roads and other publicly accessible places; and</i> <i>(c) the character and scale of buildings is compatible with existing development within the surrounding rural area."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	261	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows - <i>Ensure new residential buildings are set back a sufficient distance from property boundaries and road frontages to:</i> <i>(a) Maintain privacy and outlook for people on adjoining allotments, including for existing houses on small allotments;</i> <i>(b) Encourage a sense of distance between buildings and between buildings and road boundaries; and</i> <i>(c) Maintain the pleasantness, coherence, openness and attractiveness of the site as viewed from the road and adjoining sites.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	262	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	263	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Noise limits consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare while enabling lawfully established land uses."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	264	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	265	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	266	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	267	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	268	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as amended as follows (bold) - <i>"Residential activity not associated with primary production takes place within appropriate locations and limits within rural environments."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	269	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows: <i>"Identify areas within rural environments where residential activity not associated with primary production activities is appropriate."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	270	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Residential activity directly associated with primary production activity occurring on the same land, seasonal worker accommodation in remote locations and homestays, will be enabled."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	271	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following new Objective (<i>Submitter did not identify which Issue the Objective should be under</i>) -</p> <p><i>"Marlborough has a well-structured and economically and socially successful range of business environments where the vitality, viability and identity of these environments is retained and enhanced."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	272	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Introduction is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"We are fortunate in Marlborough to generally enjoy good water quality in our coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers. State of the environment reporting shows that:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• The quality of Marlborough's groundwater remains high in terms of nitrate content</i> <i>• For median nitrate concentrations across the 14 unconfined aquifer sites, all meet the drinking water standard;</i> <i>• Historically there have been hotspots in areas on the southern margin of the main aquifer where less dilution occurs;</i> <i>• Nitrate leaching rates are likely to have decreased over time;</i> <i>• Seasonal patterns appear stable suggesting current land uses are in equilibrium with water resources;</i> <i>• 95% of sites monitored for macro-invertebrates are fair to excellent, only 3 out of 51 sites are graded "poor";</i> <i>• 95% of sites are in the A band for secondary contact recreation, with 2 out of 34 sites in the B band;</i> <i>• 95% of sites meet the proposed DRP standard, with 2 out of 34 sites at higher levels;</i> <i>• Around 80% of sites meet the proposed SIN standard, with around 6 out of 34 sites at higher levels;</i> <i>• Trend analysis shows a reduction in SIN concentrations for some of the sites with the highest concentrations.</i> <p><i>Monitoring has shown that the quality of water in these waterbodies is sufficient to support a wide range of natural and human use values. These include healthy freshwater and marine ecosystems, comprising native fish, plants, algae and invertebrates, trout and salmon; stock and domestic water supplies; commercial uses of water in industry, agriculture, viticulture, marine farming and commercial fishing; and recreational uses such as swimming, shellfish gathering and fishing, scenic and tourism purposes. Water is of considerable cultural and spiritual importance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi.</i></p> <p><i>The contribution that these uses and values make to the community's social and economic wellbeing and to public health means that maintaining the quality of water in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers is essential. Any reduction in water quality is therefore a significant issue in Marlborough.</i></p> <p><i>Water quality can be adversely affected by discharges of contaminants resulting from human activities on land or water. Contaminants are those things that have the ability to change the physical, chemical or biological condition of the water. There are two types of contaminant discharge that can affect water quality: "point source" discharges (those that enter water at a definable point, often through a pipe or drain) and "non-point source" discharges (those that enter water from a diffuse source, such as land run-off or infiltration through soils).</i></p> <p><i>The generally good state of water quality in Marlborough reflects the low number of point source discharges into waterbodies and coastal waters, good land</i></p>					

management practices and lack of intensive land uses that can impact on water quality (e.g. dairying). It should also be acknowledged that over time, resource users have also taken action to reduce the impact of discharges on water quality. **Significant progress has been made working in partnership with landowners, organisations and the community through catchment programmes. Marlborough has a proud history of examples in this regard, including the Rai Valley.** However, there is always the potential that point source and/or non-point source discharges will occur and adversely affect the life supporting capacity and community use of Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters.

Unfortunately, water quality in some rivers has been degraded as a result of point source and non-point source discharges, impacting upon the uses and values that were once supported by the rivers and coastal waters. **In Marlborough most of the rivers and streams have good or fair water quality. The main management aim for water quality is on improving waterways currently classed as poor, in particular where this impacts on swimming values or the health of indigenous aquatic ecosystems.**

The management of water quality has a strong regulatory focus. This is because the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) stipulates that the discharge of contaminants into water, or into or onto land in circumstances where it may enter water, is prohibited unless allowed by resource consent or a rule in a regional plan or a regulation **non-regulatory focus, consistent with the MEP principles, the approach for indigenous biodiversity and building forward from earlier successful catchment programmes.**

In addition, the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2014 (NPSFM) sets out objectives and policies that direct the steps that must be taken to manage water in a sustainable manner. In particular, there is a requirement to set objectives for water resources and ~~subsequently~~ to set water quantity and quality limits **in an iterative process informed by costs and achievability** to achieve those objectives. The NPSFM sets as an objective that the overall state of water quality within any region must be maintained or improved.

A key component of the NPSFM is the National Objectives Framework (NOF). The NOF is designed to assist the process of establishing appropriate freshwater quality objectives in a nationally consistent manner. It is based on the identification of values supported by waterbodies and the setting of objectives to protect those values. The NOF contains two compulsory national values: ecosystem health and human health for recreation. **The NOF allows for regions and local communities to determine other important values that they also seek to recognise.** Attributes, or measurable physical, chemical and biological characteristics are identified with respect to these values."

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	273	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the issue is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) - "The discharge of contaminants to water can adversely affect the life supporting capacity and the community's use of Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers. Meeting the needs of Marlborough's urban and rural economy whilst ensuring activities do not have adverse effects on water values and uses."</p> <p>That the first paragraph of explanatory text is deleted and replaced as follows (strike through and bold) - "The good state of water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers makes them more vulnerable to point source and non-point source discharges. Any deterioration in water quality would have dramatic implications for Marlborough's social, economic and cultural wellbeing, as good water quality is essential for a wide range of consumptive and non-consumptive uses. A reduction in water quality could also adversely affect freshwater and marine habitats. The main threats to water quality in Marlborough are described below. Urban and rural activities contribute to the social, economic and cultural well-being of people. Inappropriate landuse and development can detract from the values and uses of water, including marine habitats. The MEP seeks to provide an enabling framework for development while prioritising and progressively reducing the adverse effects of discharges to water."</p> <p>That the last paragraph of the "Rural activities" section is deleted as follows (strike through) - "There is the potential for rural activities to change and intensify in the future. For example, in many other regions there has been a change from traditional pastoral farming to dairy farming. This has led to water quality degradation, especially in lowland streams and for groundwater."</p> <p>That the final paragraph of the explanatory text is amended as follows (bold) - "There has been a strong preference for discharges to land since the first Marlborough Regional Policy Statement (MRPS) became operative in 1995. This has resulted in a reduction in the number of point source discharges to water. Consequently, the greatest risk to water quality is probably associated with non-point source discharges. Non-point source discharges are difficult to manage as there is no discrete point to which management can be applied. This situation does not justify inaction, but means that the management of non-point source discharges is challenging and will require innovative approaches. It is important that the MEP provides a framework to deal with the point source and non-point source discharges to maintain and enhance water quality in Marlborough's coastal waters, rivers, lakes, wetlands and aquifers through a framework which enables catchment communities to target sources and develop innovations tailored to the specific catchment situation."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	274	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the first paragraph of the explanatory text is retained.</p> <p>That the second paragraph of the explanatory text is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Water quality degradation is has previously been measured relative to the attribute values provided by the National Objectives Framework included in the NPSFM and/or the Council's water quality index. The water quality index, based on the Canadian Water Quality Index, summarises monthly measurements of nine chemical and physical parameters to produce an aggregate score for the state of water quality in Marlborough's rivers. The score allows the overall state of water quality to be categorised as excellent, good, fair, marginal and poor, relative to the natural or desirable level various guideline or default values selected. These proxy values have now been replaced with objectives and standards proposed in this plan."</i></p> <p>That the third paragraph of the explanatory text is deleted and replaced is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The rivers determined to be degraded (poor or marginal in the index) or at risk of degradation (close to marginal in the index) on the basis of the Council's 2014/15 State of the Environment Report are identified in Tables 15.1 and 15.2 below. The CWQI used various default measures as guidelines but these guideline values are now being replaced by the proposed MEP water quality standards in Appendix 5. The rivers determined to be priorities for catchment enhancement plans against the MEP proposed values, objectives and standards are identified in the tables below."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	275	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Tables 15.1 and 15.2 under the explanatory text for the Issue are deleted and replaced with the following tables:</p> <p>" Table - Water bodies prioritised for enhancement of contact recreation First priority – primary contact recreation (swimming) - Rai River - Waihopai River - Taylor River Second priority - secondary contact recreation - Kaituna River - Cullens Creek - Are Are Creek - Doctors Creek</p> <p>Table - Waterbodies prioritised for enhancement of indigenous ecosystems First priority - Doctors Creek - Flaxbourne Second priority - Are Are - Opawa - Omaka - Mill Creek - Murphys Creek</p> <p>Table - Catchments prioritised for catchment investigations and catchment action plans First priority - Opawa (Taylor River, Doctors Creek, Murphys Creek) Second priority - Mid Wairau (Waihopai, Mill Creek) - Rai River Third priority - Marlborough Sounds (Kaituna River, Cullens Creek) - Lower Wairau (Are Are Creek) - South Marlborough (Flaxbourne)"</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	276	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Issue is retained as notified; and Add to the explanation to the issue that community catchment action plans are acknowledged as a means of furthering community members knowledge with regards to mauri and other Maori values. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	277	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain and where necessary enhance water quality in Marlborough's rivers, lakes, wetlands, aquifers and coastal waters, so that:</i> <i>(a) the mauri of wai is protected;</i> <i>(b) water quality at beaches is suitable for contact recreation;</i> <i>(c) people can use the coast, rivers, lakes and wetlands for food gathering, cultural, commercial and other purposes;</i> <i>(d) groundwater quality is suitable for drinking;</i> <i>(e) the quality of surface water utilised for community drinking water supply remains suitable for drinking after existing treatment; and</i> <i>(f) coastal waters support healthy ecosystems; and</i> <i>(g) water is suitable for stock drinking water and irrigation."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	278	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual five year rolling average median nitrate concentration is <1 milligram nitrate-nitrogen per litre and the annual five year rolling average 95th percentile concentration is <1.5 milligrams nitrate-nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme."</i> And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	279	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Maintain freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual five year rolling average median ammonia concentration is <0.03 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre and the annual five year rolling average maximum concentration is <0.05 milligrams ammoniacal nitrogen per litre, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme." And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	280	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Support
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Maintain or enhance freshwater water quality in each Freshwater Management Unit so that the annual five year rolling average median <i>E. coli</i> level is <260 per 100 ml, as measured by the Council's State of the Environment monitoring programme." And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	281	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Objective is retained as notified. And, that the explanation is amended to clarify that the Objective will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	282	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " As a minimum, the quality of f F reshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable to provide for the following purposes: (a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; (b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes; and for stock drinking irrigation and primary production purposes; (c) Groundwater: community and stock drinking water supply; and for irrigation and primary production purposes; and (d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	283	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "Apply water quality classifications (and water quality standards) to all surface water, groundwater and coastal water resources, which reflect: (a) where and/or when the management purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 apply ; and (b) other uses and values supported by the waterbody or coastal waters; or (c) where water quality has already been degraded, the uses and values that are to be restored." And, that the explanation to the Policy is amended to clarify that classifications, values and standards will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	284	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the second last paragraph of the explanatory text is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"This policy establishes a commitment to commence collecting and analysing resource use and environmental data required to establish cumulative contaminant limits. The use of limits could constrain the land uses that could occur in a catchment (existing and potential) or at least the way in which those land uses are managed. For these reasons, care needs to be exercised in establishing cumulative contaminant limits in respect of water quality. It is also important that the limits reflect the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, otherwise Objectives 15.1a to 15.1e will not be achieved and that communities review MEP objectives and standards based on catchment specific values and information. The cumulative limits and any catchment-specific revisions to values, objectives or standards will be added to the MEP by plan change or upon review."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	285	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified. And, that the explanation for the Method is amended to clarify that uses and values will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	286	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"To establish water quality classifications for all waterbodies in the MEP that reflect the uses and values supported by the waterbody or that could be supported by the waterbody if water quality was enhanced. Classifications may include any of the standards listed in the Third Schedule of the RMA. NS, AE, F, FS, CR, SG, A, WS and C. (Refer to Policy 15.1.2 for explanation of the classifications.)"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	287	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Take actionDevelop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1attribute state A of the NPSFM for nitrate within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Mill Creek; and</p> <p>(b) Murphys Creek."</p> <p>And, that the timeframe for improvement is included pending investigation of the age of groundwater feeding the above watercourses is undertaken, and community decisions with regards to costs and benefits.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	288	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Take actionDevelop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1attribute state A for secondary contact recreation within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Are Are Creek;</p> <p>(b) Cullens Creek;</p> <p>(c) Doctors Creek; and</p> <p>(d) Kaituna River."</p> <p>And, that the timeframe for improvement is included pending community decisions with regards to costs and benefits.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	289	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Take actionDevelop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the following rivers to meet Objective 15.1attribute state B for primary contact recreation within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative:</p> <p>(a) Taylor River;</p> <p>(b) Rai River; and</p> <p>(c) Waihopai River."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	290	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Take action Develop catchment enhancement plans to enhance water quality in the rivers identified in Tables (see tables sought by Submitter in submission on Issue 15B) so that water quality is suitable for the purposes specified in Policy 15.1.1 within ten years of the Marlborough Environment Plan becoming operative."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	291	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Catchment Enhancement Plans will be developed as a priority for rivers that have degraded water quality, as identified in Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7. Catchment Enhancement Groups will be established within each catchment. The methods to be used to enhance water quality will be determined following an assessment of the cause and effect of degraded water quality. Possible methods will be modelled to determine the costs and benefits, and decisions made by the Group regarding preferred pathways forward. This and will be clearly identified within the Plans. It may take time to establish the nature of the cause, which may delay the completion of the Plans. Other methods may be used in the interim to reduce the effects of non-point source discharges on water quality. Each Catchment Enhancement Plan will be developed in consultation partnership with land owners and community members resource users in the catchment, and industry through the Catchment Enhancement Groups. and other affected parties." And, that a new method is included in the Plan for the development of Catchment Enhancement Groups.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	292	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows - "Enable land use activities to enable the community to provide for it's economic, social and cultural wellbeing, while maintaining or improving water quality."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	293	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "Encourage the discharge of contaminants to land in preference to water where a) a discharge to land is practicable; b) the adverse effects of a discharge to land are less than a discharge to water."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	294	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	The Policy is retained. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	295	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through):</p> <p><i>" The duration of any new discharge permit will be either:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Up to a maximum of 15 years for discharges into waterbodies or coastal waters where the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) up to ten years for discharges into rivers identified in Policies 15.1.4, 15.1.5, 15.1.6 or 15.1.7 (where the water quality is to be enhanced) and the discharge will comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters; or</i></p> <p><i>(c) no more than five years where the existing discharge will not comply with water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters. With the exception of regionally significant infrastructure, no discharge permit will be granted subsequent to the one granted under (c), if the discharge still does not meet the water quality classification standards for the waterbody or coastal waters."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	296	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	297	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.21	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is retained as notified in the Plan.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	298	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Avoid Reduce the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, policy 15.1.5, and policy 15.1.6 by: (a) assessing causes of elevated E. coli levels and identifying the most appropriate and cost-effective solutions for restricting stock access; and (a) (b) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and (b) (c) avoiding managing the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers to support achievement of Policy 15.1.5, and Policy 15.1.6."</p> <p>That the explanatory text for the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Animal effluent can be discharged directly into rivers and wetlands through either the point source discharge of collected animal effluent (e.g. farm dairy effluent) or through stock access to waterbodies. At the date of notification of the MEP, there were no authorised discharges of animal effluent into water. This policy seeks to avoid the significant risk posed to surface water quality by discharges of collected animal effluent. This will be implemented through a prohibited activity rule. Stock can also access rivers when grazing riparian margins. In such circumstances, it is likely that there may will be a discharge of animal effluent to water and the river bed may will be physically disturbed. The resulting increase in bacteria and turbidity in the receiving waters have the potential to reduce water quality. The adverse effects of casual access on water quality are dependent on a number of factors, including the type and density of stock. Intensively farmed stock such as dairy cattle, pigs, or cattle or deer grazed on irrigated pasture or breakfed on winter crops create a significant risk of adverse effects on water quality. For this reason, the policy seeks to avoid stock access where stock is farmed intensively. This policy seeks to understand the cause of elevated E. coli level and identify the most appropriate and cost effective solutions for restricting access in catchments where there is an identified problem. This work will be completed through the Catchment Enhancement Plans, working collaboratively with landowners, industry, the community and Council to explore options. Due to the practical difficulties in some situations of fencing stock out of waterbodies, particularly where stock are grazed extensively, or where rainfall events can cause ephemeral rivers to flow, and in situations where the costs of fencing and designing stock crossings are prohibitive for limited use, the Council has also adopted an approach of using permitted activity rules for managing the adverse effects of stock access not covered by this policy. The permitted activity rules will require compliance with any relevant water quality standard set for the affected waterbody that good management practice is followed to manage adverse effects on colour and visual clarity."</p> <p>That a new method is included in the Plan which provides for the assessment of causes of elevated E. coli levels and identification of the most appropriate and cost effective solutions for restricting stock access; and</p> <p>That a new method is included in the Plan which involves working with landowners and industry to implement good management practice around stock access to waterways, through Catchment Enhancement Groups, based on a better understanding of the causes and solutions.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	299	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	300	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	301	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Promote the retirement, management, and appropriate riparian vegetation and planting of riparian margins in rural areas to intercept contaminated runoff, especially where water quality is degraded or at risk of degradation in order to achieve the desired outcomes for the waterbody."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	302	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.28	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	303	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.29	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>" To control enable land disturbance activities in order to where: (a) mitigate the effects of increased sediment runoff to fresh waterbodies or coastal water are mitigated; and (b) avoid the potential for direct entry of contaminants into groundwater are mitigated."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	304	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.33	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	305	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Policy is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	306	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Policy to replace Policies 15.1.33 and 15.1.34 as follows - "Enable the establishment and operation of any new dairy farm where a farm environment plan is developed with industry."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	307	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	308	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.25	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Method is amended to include farm environment plans, which are to be utilised in conjunction with the catchment enhancement plans as a non-regulatory tool to compliment the partnership approach. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	309	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows - "Marlborough District Council will work to drive engagement and collaboration with landowners, iwi and communities through the planning and management of freshwater, including and in particular in the priority catchment investigations and action plans."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	310	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Issue is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The discharge of contaminants into air that reduce the amenity of the surrounding area or create an undue risk to human health."</i> That a new paragraph is added to the explanatory text for the Policy which reads as follows - "At times primary production activities will generate effects such as noise, odour and dust - residents living in the rural environment should therefore reasonably expect times when amenity values may be modified by such effects."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	311	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted and replaced as follows (strike out and bold) - "Manage the use of agrichemicals to avoid spraydrift. The boundary of the property on which the application of agrichemical occurs is the point at which management applies, as follows: (a) any agrichemical should not move, either directly or indirectly, beyond the property boundary of the site(s) where it is or has been applied; and (b) agrichemical users will be required to utilise best practice and exercise reasonable care to achieve (a). Require that appropriate measures and good management practice are taken to ensure that, to the extent reasonably possible, spray drift from the application of agrichemicals does not result in adverse effects that are offensive or objectionable beyond the property boundary."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	312	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by: (a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade premises or industrial or trade processes that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment; (b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on: (i) human health; and (ii) amenity values; and (c) avoiding or mitigating more than minor adverse effects on any other values."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	313	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	314	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through) - "Having adequate information about the state of Marlborough's air quality to enable the Council to assess the cumulative effects of discharges to air on amenity values and human health. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	315	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.34	Support
Decision Requested	That the Method is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	316	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	317	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	318	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Control Enable land disturbance activities where to retain topsoil and minimise the potential for eroded soil to degrade water quality in lakes, rivers, significant wetlands and coastal waters is avoided, remedied or mitigated. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	319	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	320	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.6	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to reflect a non-regulatory approach. <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific change sought to the Policy)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	321	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	322	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows: "Encourage waste minimisation practices by establishing a waste management hierarchy that ensures waste is managed in the following order of priority: (a) promoting lower levels of solid waste generation; then (b) promoting higher levels of reuse, recycling and recovery of solid waste; then and (c) disposing disposal of residual solid waste."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	323	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the Method.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	324	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective as follows (strike through and bold) - " Avoid, remedy or mitigate Manage actual or potential adverse effects arising from solid waste management activities."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	325	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	326	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy is added under this Objective which reads as follows - " Increase access for remote communities to solid waste disposal through the operation of landfill and associated transfer stations, and permissive on-farm waste disposal rules. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	327	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - "Require resource consent for the establishment of cleanfills, excluding on-farm cleanfills that meet permitted activity standards , to ensure the appropriate disposal of waste."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	328	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy is amended as follows (strike through and bold) - " Enable the application of solid waste to land from the processing of primary products; primary production activities, including the disposal of animal waste in offal pits, the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits or the processing/storage of compost or silage, while avoiding or mitigating adverse effects- (a) this does not occur within a Groundwater Protection Area or into or onto soils identified as a Soil Sensitive Area as being at risk; and (b) standards for permitted activities are met. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	329	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended as follows (strike through) - " Where resource consent is required for the The discharge of solid waste to land from primary production activities will be provided for subject to the following adverse effects being avoided or mitigated, decision makers shall consider the following matters in deciding whether or not to grant consent and whether conditions can be imposed to avoid or mitigate any adverse effects on the environment:- (a) the soil characteristics at the discharge location and whether the nature and volume of waste to be discharged will adversely affect soil structure; (b) where the discharge is within a Groundwater Protection Area or into or onto soil identified as a Soil Sensitive Area, the risks to groundwater, surface waterbodies or soil quality; (c) contamination of freshwater resulting from nutrient (nitrogen and phosphorus) and organic nutrients (BOD) through leaching, runoff and/or direct discharge; (d) the proximity of the discharge location to waterbodies with a high natural character or to waterbodies identified as having degraded water quality that needs to be enhanced through Policies 15.1.4 to 15.1.7 in Chapter 15 - Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil); and (e) the potential for reduced amenity values due to odour, vermin or visual effects from the discharge, particularly where this occurs in close proximity to residentially zoned land."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	330	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - " The Council to provide accessible waste disposal options to enable encourage the responsible disposal of solid waste from remote locations, while also enabling on-farm waste disposal. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	331	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That on-farm waste disposal remains a permitted activity. <i>(It is not clear in the submission what amendment is sought to the wording of the Method.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	332	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The discharge of liquid wastes onto or into land is managed in a way that avoids, mitigates, or remedies adverse effects on water and soil quality, land and water ecosystems, slope stability and cultural and amenity values."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	333	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is combined with Policy 16.3.4 and point (a) within Policy 16.3.3 is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"(a) the discharge is within the ability of the land to treat and/or contain contaminants present in the liquid waste, taking into account where relevant:"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	334	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is combined with Policy 16.3.3 and the first sentence of Policy 16.3.4 is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard where relevant to:"</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	335	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard to sites of spiritual and/or cultural significance the cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi."</i> And, that sites of spiritual and cultural significance are included in the Plan by way of reference to waahi tapu sites.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	336	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Avoid Discourage the use of soak pits for the disposal of contaminants in liquid waste."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	337	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	338	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.9	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified. And, that a new method is included which sets out Council's role in working with landowners in encouraging artificial wetlands.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	339	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.20	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Method is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	340	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Maintain amenity values in rural and urban areas by encouraging the use of national and arterial routes by high volumes of through traffic and heavy vehicles and discouraging high volume and heavy through traffic use of collector routes and local routes, particularly where these pass through residential areas, with the exception of transportation associated with primary production activities."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	341	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new policy is included in the Plan which reads as follows - <i>"Encourage appropriately located effluent dump sites."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	342	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the Policy is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	343	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	344	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Policy is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	345	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Objective is amended to read as follows (bold) - "Avoid and mitigate the adverse effects of natural hazards influenced by climate change on human communities. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	346	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Policy is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Avoid any inundation of new habitable buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure: (a) rising sea levels as a result of climate change of at least 0.5 metres relative to the 1980-1999 average; and (b) storm surge."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	347	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the layout of the rules is simplified so that the permitted activity standards are provided alongside the name of the permitted activity in the first instance.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	348	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That a graduated approach to activity status is used, including utilisation of the six activity classes: permitted, controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, non-complying and prohibited. That all rules, relating to rural activities (<i>inferred</i>), currently classed as discretionary status or defaulting to discretionary status are amended to controlled or restricted discretionary status, unless otherwise specified. (<i>The Submitter has not specified the specific provisions and changes sought.</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	349	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity standards are revised and simplified so that they focus on the key areas that may cause adverse effects. (<i>The Submitter has not specified the specific provisions and changes sought.</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	350	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That prohibited activity status is only used when an activity must be avoided, and has been through a robust Section 32 analysis to determine the costs and benefits of such an approach. <i>(The Submitter has not specified any specific provisions to be added, deleted or amended.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	351	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules are amended so that any activity not listed, where it is a land use, the activity defaults to a permitted activity status.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	352	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules specify the policies that need to be referred to in the preparation of a consent application for activities listed as discretionary activities, and the list of assessment criteria for all controlled, restricted discretionary and discretionary activities.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	353	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That all reference to the Munsell Scale is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	354	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	355	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	356	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	357	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	358	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	359	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	360	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	361	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	362	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - " Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	363	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - " The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	364	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	365	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - "Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or wWoodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry." (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	366	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - "The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established" (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	367	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	368	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - "Commercial forestry planting, carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent) or wWoodlot forestry planting on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, that has not previously been planted in lawfully established commercial, carbon sequestration (non-permanent) or woodlot forestry." (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	369	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - "The harvesting of commercial forestry or woodlot forestry plantings on land identified as Steep Erosion-Prone Land, which has not been lawfully established" (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	370	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	371	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 3.3.8.1, 3.3.8.2 and 3.3.8.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	372	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 3.3.9.1 to 3.3.9.12 (inclusive).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	373	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 4.3.7.1, 4.3.7.2 and 7.3.7.3.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	374	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 4.3.8.1 to 4.3.8.12 (inclusive).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	375	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That every time a defined word appears in the text of a provision it is italicised, so the reader is aware that there is an associated definition.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	376	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That all words included in the definitions list are in lower case unless they are referring to another document or Appendix in the Plan and need to be capitalised.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	377	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of Agrichemical as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means any substance, whether inorganic or organic, manufactured or naturally occurring, modified or in its natural state, that is used in any agriculture, horticulture, forestry, management of public amenity areas, or related activity, to eradicate, modify, or control flora or fauna. This includes agricultural compounds, but excludes fertilisers, vertebrate pest control products and organ oral nutrition compounds."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	378	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	New Definition: Archaeological Site. <i>(Specific definition wording sought not provided by Submitter.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	379	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Bare Ground.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	380	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The definition for Breakfeeding is deleted; or</p> <p>The definition for Breakfeeding is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Means the feeding of animals livestock on paddocks pasture or forage where feeding space allocation is controlled by the movement of an electric fence. For the purpose of this Plan, breakfeeding refers to winter months (June to September)."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	381	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The definition of Building as amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"has the same meaning as in Section 8 of the Building Act 2004 means any temporary or permanent or movable or immovable structure; and includes any structure intended for occupation by people or animals or machinery but does not include any of the following:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Any fence or wall which has a height of 2 metres or less.</i></p> <p><i>(b) Any structure which has a height of 2 metres or less and having a floor area of less than 5.5m² which is located at least 1 metre from any adjoining property boundary.</i></p> <p><i>(c) Any vehicle, trailer, tent, caravan, or boat.</i></p> <p><i>(d) Any swimming pool or tank which has a height of less than 1 metre above ground.</i></p> <p><i>(e) Any part of a deck, terrace, balcony, or patio which has a height less than 1 metre above ground.</i></p> <p><i>(f) Any electricity poles and towers.</i></p> <p><i>(g) Any pergola, crop structure or vertical crop protection structure.</i></p> <p><i>(h) Scaffolding or falsework erected temporarily for maintenance and construction purposes.</i></p> <p><i>(i) Lightning rods and their mountings where they do not exceed 2 metres above the building or structure to which it is attached."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	382	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Carbon sequestration forestry planting (permanent).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	383	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the definition: Carbon sequestration forestry planting (non-permanent).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	384	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Clean fill is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"means material that does not have the potential to contaminate the environment. This material includes clay, soil, rock, concrete, Brick or demolition products that are free of combustible, organic materials and contaminants and are, therefore, not subject to biological or chemical breakdown. This will involve bulk filling operations where material is required to be carted to the filling site or specifically placed there. rather than This definition excludes cut to fill operations such as normally occurs with construction of tracks, roads and landings and cleanfill required for normal farming activities."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	385	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition of Commercial Forestry is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production, excluding any trees:</i></p> <p><i>(a) less than 10ha in extent, or</i></p> <p><i>(b) planted for primarily amenity purposes, for example landscape enhancement or animal shelter, (including farm shelter belts) where the primary purpose of the trees is not commercial harvesting, or</i></p> <p><i>(c) planted primarily for erosion control, including riparian margin strips, where the primary purpose of the trees is not commercial harvesting, or</i></p> <p><i>(d) planted for scientific or research purpose, including established arboretums, or</i></p> <p><i>(e) intended to remain in perpetuity, for instance trees planted for purposes of permanent carbon accumulation, or trees contained in a QEII or similar covenant.</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	386	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Commercial forestry planting.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	387	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the definition for Commercial forestry harvesting.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	388	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a definition for compost is included in the Plan. <i>(Submitter has not provided the specific wording sought for the new definition.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	389	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition Computer Register is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	390	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Conservation Planting is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	391	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Consumptive Uses is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	392	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Cultivation is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means breaking up or turning soil such that the surface contour of the land is not altered, excluding:</i> a) direct drilling and strip tiling b) no-till practices c) harvesting of forage and crops including ground disturbance d) forestry."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	393	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a definition for dairy cattle is included in the Plan which reads as follows - <i>"means milking cows located on the dairy platform."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	394	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Domestic livestock is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	395	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition for Drainage channel as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means an permanently flowing artificial or other watercourse maintained or created for the purposes of removing unwanted water. Channels designed and constructed to convey water only during rainfall events and which do not convey or retain water at other times are excluded from this definition."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	396	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Ephemeral is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	397	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of Excavation is amend as follows (bold) - <i>"means to dig out soil or natural material from the ground such that the surface contour of the land is permanently altered, excludes normal production earthmoving activities including the formation and maintenance of farm tracks, fence post holes, filling around troughs and gates, cultivation and harvesting of crops, planting trees, removal of trees and horticultural root ripping, drilling bores, digging offal pits, and burials of dead stock and plant waste and installation of services."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	398	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means a land based activity, having as its primary purpose the commercial production and sale of any livestock or vegetative matter. Farming does not include intensive farming, forestry, and in the case of vegetative matter, does not include the processing of farm produce beyond cutting, cleaning, grading, chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of produce grown on the farming unit primary production activity including agriculture, horticulture, floriculture, arboriculture, arable and cropping activities, plantation forestry, woodlot forestry, associated structures and buildings, and activities ancillary to the above."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	399	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Heavy industrial activity is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	400	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Heritage resource is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>Means an type of historic heritage place or area identified within Appendix 13: Register of Significant Heritage Resources, within the Marlborough Environment Plan. It may include The schedule includes a historic building or item, historic site, a place/area of significance to Maori or heritage landscape. The term may be used to refer to both heritage resources listed in the Marlborough Environment Plan and to those registered by Heritage New Zealand.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	401	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for High rate discharge system is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	402	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Home occupation is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means any occupation, business, trade, craft or profession conducted from the home, the primary purpose of which is to derive income. Excluded from this definition are any activities involving escort agencies, brothels, massage parlours, homestays, retail sales, panel beating, spray painting, motor vehicle repairs, heavy trade vehicles, fibre-glassing, sheet metal work, wrecking of motor vehicles, bottle and scrap metal storage, rubbish collection service, wrought iron work, fish processing, motor body building and any process that involves continual use of power tools and drilling or hammering or any other activity that would detract from the amenities of the neighbourhood or locality. Excludes primary production."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	403	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Indigenous vegetation is amended to read (bold): <i>"means naturally occurring vegetation, regardless of height, where the plant species are indigenous to the District. Excludes scattered trees and plants occurring in pasture."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	404	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Intensively farmed livestock is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means:</i> <i>(a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops (July – September);</i> <i>(a) dairy cattle located on the milking platform;</i> <i>(b) farmed pigs."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	405	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Intensive farming is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means any primary production activity exhibiting two or more of the following characteristics:</i> <i>(a) little dependence on the quality of the soils of the site, such as greenhouses, mushrooms, plant nurseries; and</i> <i>(b) in excess of 50% coverage in permanent buildings having concrete or otherwise impervious floors for the housing and growing of livestock and/or vegetative matter; and</i> <i>(c) substantial indoor environmental control and/or modification to facilitate growth of livestock and/or vegetative matter; and</i> <i>(d) high output of collected waste material per hectare and includes all pig farming, poultry farming, rabbit farming; greenhouses not relying on the soils, mushrooms, container growing nursery; or</i> <i>(e) land based aquaculture."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	406	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Intermittently flowing is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	407	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Lawfully established is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>means an activity that is permitted through a rule in a plan, a resource consent, a national environmental standard, common law or by an existing use right.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	408	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Land disturbance activity is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	409	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That the definition for Maintenance and replacement is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means any work, including foundation work, or activity necessary to continue the operation and or functioning of an existing line, building, structure or (for the purpose of utilities) other facility with another of the same or similar character, intensity, height, size or scale, within the same or similar position and for the same or similar purpose."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	410	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the definition for Meat processing is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means the use of land and buildings for the commercial yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. Excludes primary production where farmed or wild animals are slaughtered for home consumption."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	411	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That the definition for Minor upgrading is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means an increase in the carrying capacity, efficiency or security of electricity (for the purpose of utilities) lines, telecommunication lines and radio communication facilities, using the existing support structures or structures of a similar scale and character, and do not result in injurious affection...."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	412	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Munsell scale is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	413	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for National Grid Yard is amended to read as follows (bold) - "means <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>the area located 12m in any direction from the outer edge of a pylon or tower National Grid support structure and 8m from a pole; and</i> • <i>the area located 10m either side of the centreline of an overhead 110kV National Grid line on single poles; or</i> • <i>the area located 12m either side of the centreline of any overhead National Grid line on pi poles or towers."</i> 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	414	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Natural clarity is clarified and everyday language is used. <i>(Submitter has not provided the specific wording sought for the new definition.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	415	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a definition for new dairy farm is included in the Plan which reads as follows - <i>"means the establishment of a new milking plant and surrounding land for the farming of dairy cattle for milk production. Excludes additional land brought into an existing dairy farm."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	416	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Offal pit is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means a hole excavated on a rural property to be used on an ongoing basis for the purpose of disposing of offal or dead animals, and decomposable material generated on that property. Excludes single animal burial."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	417	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That the definition for On-site waste water system is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	418	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition for Pit is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	419	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Reasonable mixing is amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>Reasonable mixing means for any point source discharge the zone of reasonable mixing in the receiving water must extend from the discharge point as follows:</i></p> <p><i>For rivers and streams, the lesser of:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a distance downstream that equals seven times the width of the river or stream when the flow is at half the median flow; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) 200m downstream</i></p> <p><i>For rivers subject to tidal influence:</i></p> <p><i>As for rivers and streams plus a distance upstream equal to half of that allowed downstream when the width is taken at half the median river flow at mid-tide.</i></p> <p><i>For artificial watercourses (including farm drainage channels), the greater of:-</i></p> <p><i>(a) 200m downstream; or</i></p> <p><i>(b) the property boundary.</i></p> <p><i>For lakes:</i></p> <p><i>Within a radius of 100m.</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	420	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Riparian Natural Character Management Area is deleted from the Plan.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	421	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for River is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act</i> means a continually or intermittently flowing body of fresh water that is 1 metre or wider, 30cms or deeper, and permanently flowing. This includes a stream and modified watercourse; but does not include any artificial watercourse (including an irrigation canal, water supply race, canal for the supply of water for electricity power generation, and farm drainage canal)."</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	422	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Significant Wetland is amended as to refer to the new schedule of Significant Wetlands that have met the significance criteria (<i>see separate Submission seeking schedule</i>).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	423	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the first definition for "Site" (relating to a building or structure) is changed to be the definition for "Building site". That the second, third and fourth definitions for "Site" be deleted. That a new definition for "Site" be added as follows - "means a property with a Certificate of Title". 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	424	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Stormwater is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"means rainfall that runs off land is collected from impervious surfaces and directed into for which specific drainage channels or pipes which have been constructed for this purpose."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	425	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition for Structure as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"has the same meaning as in Section 2 of the Act and includes an underwater cable but excludes farm fencing, tanks, pipes and troughs."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	426	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the definition for Surface water is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"means water contained permanently in lakes, wetlands, drainage channel, rivers, streams, either permanently or intermittently. For the purpose of this plan, surface water does not include water in drains, drainage channels, water races, dams, ephemeral flow paths and bodies of water designed, installed and maintained for any of the following purposes: water storage ponds including but not limited for fire fighting, irrigation or stock watering, and water treatment ponds including but not limited to wastewater, stormwater, nutrient attenuation, sediment control or animal effluent. As opposed to groundwater."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	427	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Vegetation clearance is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Indigenous Vegetation Clearance means the cutting, destruction or the removal of all forms of standing vegetation that is indigenous to New Zealand including indigenous and exotic plant vegetation by cutting, burning, cultivation, crushing, spraying or chemical treatment."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	428	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Wetland be deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	429	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Winery be amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"means a facility involving all buildings and plant for the processing of grapes or other fruit for the production of wine, or juice for the subsequent production of wine, and the blending, storage, bottling and packaging of wine. It also includes the vertical integration of other activities aligned with the on-site wine making, such as the retail sale of wine produced on the site, and the serving of food and beverages."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	430	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition for Worker accommodation be amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"means the use of land and buildings for accommodating the short term temporary labour requirements of a seasonal farming activity where the accommodation is provided on the property on which the farming activity occurs."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	431	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of Woodlot forestry is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	432	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of Woodlot forestry harvesting from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	433	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new definition for quarrying is added to the Plan which reads as follows - <i>"Quarrying means any activity where open or surface excavation of rock or other material deposits including gravel, rock, soil, clay, sand or peat is undertaken and removed from the property for commercial purposes."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type																												
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	434	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support in Part																												
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.																																	
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	435	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.2.	Support in Part																												
Decision Requested	Apply the Standard to 2.4.1. <i>(The reference to 2.4.1 in Standard 2.1.1.2 is a typographical error, it should be read 2.5.1.)</i>																																	
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	436	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support in Part																												
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.																																	
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	437	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.	Support																												
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new rule to the Plan as follows -</p> <p>(a) The take and use of groundwater is a permitted activity provided no more than 10m³/day at a rate not exceeding 5l/s is taken;</p> <p>(b) The taking and use of surface water is a permitted activity in accordance with the table below:</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>< 100 L/s</td> <td>0.5 L/s</td> <td>2 m³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>100 – 500 L/s</td> <td>2 L/s</td> <td>10 m³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>500 L/s – 10 m³/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>20 m³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>10 – 20 m³/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>50 m³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>River</td> <td>>20 m³/s</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>100 m³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Artificial watercourse</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>10 L/s</td> <td>10 m³</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Lakes</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>5 L/s</td> <td>50 m³</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Note: Nothing in this Plan affects an individual's right to take water in accordance with section 14(3)(b) of the RMA.</p>						River	< 100 L/s	0.5 L/s	2 m ³	River	100 – 500 L/s	2 L/s	10 m ³	River	500 L/s – 10 m ³ /s	5 L/s	20 m ³	River	10 – 20 m ³ /s	5 L/s	50 m ³	River	>20 m ³ /s	5 L/s	100 m ³	Artificial watercourse	N/A	10 L/s	10 m ³	Lakes	N/A	5 L/s	50 m ³
River	< 100 L/s	0.5 L/s	2 m ³																															
River	100 – 500 L/s	2 L/s	10 m ³																															
River	500 L/s – 10 m ³ /s	5 L/s	20 m ³																															
River	10 – 20 m ³ /s	5 L/s	50 m ³																															
River	>20 m ³ /s	5 L/s	100 m ³																															
Artificial watercourse	N/A	10 L/s	10 m ³																															
Lakes	N/A	5 L/s	50 m ³																															
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	438	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.1.	Oppose																												
Decision Requested	Delete all the Standards under this heading.																																	
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	439	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Oppose																												
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.																																	
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	440	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Oppose																												

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete all the Standards under this heading.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	441	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Take and use of water for incidental use associated with farming up to 5m3 10m3 per day per Computer Register."</i> or, delete the Rule as a result of Submission of new proposed rule in separate Submission.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	442	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Add a new Controlled Activity Rule as follows - <i>"The taking and use of more than 15m3/day for dairy shed wash down water where the dairy shed existed before 9th June 2016.</i> <i>Matters of control</i> <i>(a) the dairy shed was lawfully established before [date of notification] and the applicant is able to provide proof of this.</i> <i>(b) The water being taken and used within the dairy shed is reasonable and efficient use of water.</i> <i>Note: Proof the dairy shed being lawfully established before 9th June 2016 can be done by way of providing the following. It should be noted that these are not the only way that the dairy shed can be proven to be lawfully established before 9th June 2016:</i> <i>(a) building permit code of compliance; or</i> <i>(b) a resource consent for a dairy shed effluent disposal."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	443	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Take and use of water from the Wairau Aquifer Freshwater Management Unit up to 15m3 per day for any purpose until 9 June 2017 one year after the Plan becomes operative."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	444	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the Rule and the associated Standards with two new rules and associated standards as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p>"Damming water and the subsequent use of that water. Standard 2.3.16.1. – No more than 5,000m³ of water is dammed at any time. Standard 2.3.16.2. – The damming and water use must not be otherwise provided for by a resource consent."</p> <p>Rule 1</p> <p>"For the damming or impounding of water outside the bed of a river or natural lake:</p> <p>(a) the volume of water impounded is less than 20,000 m³; or</p> <p>(b) the maximum depth of water is less than 3 m; and</p> <p>(c) if the volume of water impounded is greater than 1,000 m³, the design and construction of the dam is certified by a Recognised Engineer; and</p> <p>(d) the land is not contaminated or potentially contaminated."</p> <p>Rule 2</p> <p>"For the damming of water in the bed of a river and the constructing, altering, using, maintaining and operating of dam structures within the bed of a river:</p> <p>(a) The volume of water impounded is less than 20,000 m³; and</p> <p>(b) The maximum depth of water is less than 3 m; and</p> <p>(c) The dam does not impound the full flow of the river; and</p> <p>(d) Any existing passage of fish is not impeded; and</p> <p>(e) The damming of water does not cause water flow to fail to meet any limits in Appendix 6 or fall below the minimum flow for the surface waterbody if the waterbody is subject to a minimum flow as set out in Appendix 6; and</p> <p>(f) The damming does not prevent water being taken by any domestic or stock water supply, or reduce the reliability of supply of any existing legally authorised water take."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	445	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standards 2.3.16.1 and 2.3.16.2 under this heading.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	446	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a new Restricted Discretionary Activity rule is included in the Plan as follows - " Transfer of a water permit. Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion: - impacts on existing users; - compliance with allocation limits; - reasonable and efficient use." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	447	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.4.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule as notified. And, that an advice not is provided that says the rule doesn't relate to the taking from the dam and subsequent use.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	448	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>" Take of water that would cause the water quantity allocation limit for the relevant Freshwater Management Unit to be exceeded, unless the take is:</i> <i>(a) provided for as a Permitted Activity;</i> <i>(b) the subject of a resource consent application affected by section 124 of the RMA;</i> <i>(c) A take in accordance with Section 14(3)(b) for domestic needs and stock drinking."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	449	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	450	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That reference to the Munsell scale is deleted in the permitted activity standards.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	451	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	452	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all the Standards under this heading.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	453	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	454	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standards is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>Good management practice</i> The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid manage dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	455	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	456	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	457	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	458	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Rule subject to the deletion of Standards 2.9.1.3, 2.9.1.4 and 2.9.1.5.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	459	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the deletion of Standards 2.9.2.2 to 2.9.2.5 (inclusive).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	460	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	461	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	462	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	463	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	464	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	465	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to all Standards being deleted (Standards 2.9.5.1 to 2.9.5.4 inclusive).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	466	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete all Standards under this heading.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	467	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows (<i>no associated standards provided in the Submission</i>) - "Construction or placement of a new structure, such as a fence, culvert, bridge or stock/vehicle crossing on the bed of a lake or permanently flowing river." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	468	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.7.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is retained.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	469	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new Permitted Activity rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows <i>(no associated standards provided in the Submission)</i> - "The placement of a river crossing structure, including but not limited to weirs, fords and small bridges, excluding culverts and a river crossing that dams a river, that is fixed in, on under, or over the bed of a river including any associated disturbance of and deposition on the river or lake bed, and diversion of water and discharge of sediment to water."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	470	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	A new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows <i>(no associated standards provided in the Submission)</i> - "Maintenance of existing farm drains." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	471	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Method to add the following - "The Council will work with industry to develop good management practice guidance for drain clearance and maintenance." <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	472	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to the amendments and deletions for specific Standards associated with this Rule <i>(see separate Submissions)</i> .					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	473	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <p>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, except in the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or - to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or - where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or - where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;" 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	474	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <p><i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by the livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	475	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	476	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule amended so activities not meeting permitted standards have Restricted Discretionary status.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	477	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	478	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	479	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	480	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	481	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	482	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the heading "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>" to "<i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i>";</p> <p>And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" -</p> <p><i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	483	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standards under this heading are amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"2.14.7.1. Cutting must not be carried out more than once in any 12 month period on any section of drainage channel.</i></p> <p><i>2.14.7.2. The removal and control must not be carried out in a tidal reach between 1 February and 30 April, and 1 August and 30 November in any year.</i></p> <p><i>2.14.7.3. The excavator must not enter flowing water.</i></p> <p><i>2.14.7.4. The cutting must not be carried out over more than 90% of the channel width by leaving an uncut strip on each side of the channel.</i></p> <p><i>2.14.7.5. Removed material must be retained on adjacent channel banks for a period not less than 12 hours to provide opportunity for fish and animals to re-enter the drainage channel."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	484	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.8.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the heading " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> " to " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> "; And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" - <i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	485	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the heading " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> " to " <i>Drainage Channel Network Activity</i> "; And, delete the following paragraph under the heading "Drainage Channel Network Activity" - <i>"These rules apply to river control and drainage works only when carried out by the Marlborough District Council exercising its functions, duties and powers under the Soil Conservation and River Control Act 1941, the Land Drainage Act 1908 and in accordance with the Marlborough District Council Rivers and Drainage Asset Management Plan."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	486	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.12.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	487	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Discharge of stormwater to water, excluding the discharge of stormwater to water in farm drains."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	488	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.17.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards under this heading to add the following new Standards <i>(the Submitter did not provide the specific wording sought)</i> -					
	- That quantitative measures are included in the discharge to stormwater provisions.					
	- That allowances for adverse weather events are provided.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	489	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	490	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	491	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	492	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new Permitted Activity rule is added to the Plan as follows -					
	<i>"Any land use activity relating to transportation that is not limited elsewhere in the Plan."</i>					
	<i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	493	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " Maintenance and replacement of the following network utility infrastructure existing at 9 June 2016: (a) an electricity line or facility; (ab) a telecommunication line or facility; (be) a radio communication apparatus or facility; (cd) a meteorological service apparatus or facility. " And, " Maintenance and replacement of the an electricity line or facility existing at 9 June 2016 in accordance with the National Environmental Standards for Electricity Transmission Activities. " (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	494	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.40.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Discretionary Activity Rule as follows - " The replacement of telecommunication lines, radio communication apparatus, and meteorological service apparatus and facilities. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	495	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new Standard to this Rule as follows - " The minor upgrading must not cause any injurious affection to land not owned by the network utility operator conducting the upgrading. " (Inferred)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	496	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.38.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	497	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.47.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	498	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, unless the site is over 20ha where one additional residential dwelling is permitted."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	499	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed 4020m."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	500	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	501	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	502	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A dwelling habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	503	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A dwelling building or structure must not be located within 90m of the designation boundary (or secured yard) of the National Grid Blenheim substation."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	504	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	505	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A dwelling building must not be sited in, or within 8m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, Drainage Channel Network, the landward toe of any stopbank, or the sea."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	506	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	507	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	508	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	509	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	510	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	511	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area, with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	512	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <p><i>"Under the National Grid Conductors (wires) within the National Grid Yard the following apply:</i></p> <p><i>(a) a fence must not exceed 2.5m in height;</i></p> <p><i>(b) a building or structure must be uninhabitable and used for farming or horticulture but must not be used as a dairy shed, intensive farming building or commercial greenhouse;</i></p> <p><i>(c) a building alteration or addition must be contained within the original building height and footprint;</i></p> <p><i>(d) a building or structure must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of the conductor associated with the National Grid line or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	513	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.18.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	514	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p><i>"The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits:</i></p> <p><i>(a) sirens and call out sirens associated with the activities of the New Zealand Fire Service;</i></p> <p><i>(b) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Rural Environment Zone mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock;</i></p> <p><i>(c) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	515	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The odour, except if generated by farming, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	516	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Good management practice The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid manage dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	517	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Farming, including earthworks ancillary to farming."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	518	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	519	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The airstrip or helipad must be integral ancillary to the use of the land for primary production on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	520	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.4.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"For a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container, ancillary to a building or construction project the building, structure or container must not:</i> <i>(a) exceed 40m2 in area;</i> <i>(b) remain on the site for longer than the duration of the project or 12 months, whichever is the lesser."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	521	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	522	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	523	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	With regards to wilding pines, permitted activity criteria for plantings under a certain area (a small geographical area), in low risk conditions (with low risk conditions including a setback from property boundaries to ensure seedlings are likely to be grazed, rather than spread over property boundaries); and The ability to apply for a consent setting out the management obligations of the consent holder in relation to the pest plant attributes and risk associated with the planting, for small to medium sized plantings, again with appropriate setbacks from property boundaries. <i>(It is not clear in the Submission the specific relief sought.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	524	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amedn the Standard to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Planting must not be in, or within: (a) 100m of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3, Rural Living or Coastal Living; (b) 100m of a dwelling habitable structure or accessory building located on any adjacent land under different ownership; (c) 30 10 m of a formed and sealed public road; (d) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (e) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification; (f) 200 30 m of the coastal marine area; (g) an Afforestation Flow Sensitive Site; (h) Steep Erosion-Prone Land, unless replanting harvested commercial forest lawfully established; (i) the Limestone Coastline Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape; (j) the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape. 3.3.6.3. Planting must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	525	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	526	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	527	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The Submitter has not identified the specific relief sought in relation to the Standards under this heading relative to this Submission point.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	528	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	529	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	530	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	531	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 3.3.11.3 to 3.3.11.6 (inclusive):</i></p> <p><i>(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i></p> <p><i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(c) indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i></p> <p><i>(d) where the clearance is associated with the formation or maintenance of a fence line, an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track, or farm track, farm drain, stream/river crossings and bridges;</i></p> <p><i>(e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage of a dwelling;</i></p> <p><i>(f) avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures;</i></p> <p><i>(g) avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utilities and private infrastructure;</i></p> <p><i>(h) management of fire risk;</i></p> <p><i>(i) to give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949;</i></p> <p><i>(j) undertaking plant pest management activities."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	532	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	533	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>It is not clear in the Submission the specific relief sought by the Submitter with regards to this Standard.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	534	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the rural environment. <i>(The Submission did not identify the specific relief sought.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	535	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the rural environment. <i>(The Submission did not identify the specific relief sought.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	536	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	537	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - " On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation must should be undertaken parallel to the contour of the land, except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour where reasonably practical. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	538	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	539	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	540	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	541	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	542	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p>"Cultivation Any run off to a surface water body must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after beyond the zone of reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:-</p> <p>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</p> <p>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site;</p> <p>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	543	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <p>"Excavation in excess of 1000m³ 2000m³ must not occur on any hectare of land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 12 month period."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	544	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	545	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	546	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	547	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	548	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	549	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p>"Except for excavation for the purpose of forming and maintaining farm tracks, fences and drains, there There <i>must be no excavation in excess of 500m³ per Computer Register located within the following Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes within any 12 month period:</i></p> <p><i>a) Chalk Range;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Inland Kaikoura Range;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Molesworth Station and Upper Clarence;</i></p> <p><i>(d) Limestone Coastline."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	550	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	551	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in any Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	552	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.15.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation within the National Grid Yard in the following circumstances is exempt from the remaining standards under this rule:</i></p> <p><i>(a) Excavation that is undertaken as part of agricultural or domestic cultivation, or repair, sealing or resealing of a road, footpath, driveway or farm or forestry track;</i></p> <p><i>(b) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is more than 1.5m from the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire, or up to the outer edge of a pole support structure or stay wire if the excavation does not compromise the stability of the structure or wire;</i></p> <p><i>(c) Excavation of a vertical hole, not exceeding 500mm in diameter, that is a post hole for a farm fence or horticulture structure and more than 5m from the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation, or up to the visible outer edge of a tower support structure foundation if the excavation does not compromise the stability of the foundation.</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	553	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	554	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Fill must not be within a Level 2 or 3 Flood Hazard Area, or in the Level 4 Flood Hazard Area in the vicinity of Condors Overflow."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	555	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	556	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	557	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.11	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) - <i>"Filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> <i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site;</i> <i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	558	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	559	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That farm dams up to 20,000m ³ are permitted, and that the construction, taking, use, damming and diversion of water in the dam are managed by a single rule. <i>(Also see separate submission on Rule 2.2.17.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	560	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.20.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (bold) - <i>"Land disturbance, including vegetation clearance, to create and maintain a fire break."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	561	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Retain Rule subject to amendments to, and deletions of, some Standards. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	562	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><i>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</i></p> <p><i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, except in the following circumstances:</i></p> <p><i>(a) where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or</i></p> <p><i>(b) to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or</i></p> <p><i>(c) where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or</i></p> <p><i>(d) where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	563	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	564	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	565	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That a new rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows -</p> <p>"Grazing of a permanently fenced riparian margin may occur for weed control purposes."</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	566	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	567	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan. Or if retained, the Rule is amended as follows (strike through) - <i>"Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	568	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	569	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	570	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being intentionally deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	571	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from the application of fertiliser on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs) ."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	572	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area. "					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	573	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	574	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	575	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.27.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	576	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Standard is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	577	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The discharge must not occur within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 50 20m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU.</i></p> <p><i>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	578	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	579	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	580	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	581	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	582	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be designed and certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that standards 3.3.28.4, 3.3.28.5 and 3.3.28.6 are not breached. The certification must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	583	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	584	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within: (a) 20m of a river, lake, or Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network; (b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership; (c) a Level 4 Flood Hazard Area."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	585	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2019 three years of the Plan becoming operative, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	586	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.28.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Rule, subject to the deletion of Standards 3.3.30.5, 3.3.30.8 and 3.3.30.9, with an amendment as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Discharge of human effluent from an on-site wastewater system into or onto land."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	587	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	588	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	589	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.30.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete clause 3.3.30.9 and amend the provision so that it deals with 'discharges from on-site wastewater systems', rather than 'discharge of human effluent'.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	590	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	591	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - "Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property or a property under the same ownership must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	592	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "Surface run-off water must not enter the pit."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	593	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	594	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	595	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	596	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"The offal pit must not be located within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	597	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	598	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.32.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	599	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.32.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<i>The Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought in regard to this Submission.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	600	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.33.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Making Fermentation of compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	601	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	602	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	603	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>" The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 50m 5m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 20m 5m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	604	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	605	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>" There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile into a waterbody."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	606	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	607	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.34.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	608	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That this Rule is deleted. <i>(The decision requested is unclear as the Submission indicates burning in the open is sought to be a Permitted Activity, however the relief sought to delete this Rule would cause burning in the open to be a Discretionary Activity.)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	609	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.37.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	610	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	611	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Appendix.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	612	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Excavation in excess of 1000m³ 2000m³ on any hectare of land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 12 month period including excavation as part of Commercial Forestry Harvesting and Woodlot Forestry Harvesting activities."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	613	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	614	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a new Permitted Activity rule is added rule that reads as follows - "New dairy farm established after 9th June 2016. A farm environment plan detailing plans to achieve good practice management must be developed in conjunction with industry that sets out: (c) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network; (d) provision, where appropriate, of a non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture; (e) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur; (f) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and (g) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input. And be available to Council on request."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	615	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	616	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	617	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	618	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	619	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	620	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	621	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	622	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.46.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	623	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"No more than one residential dwelling must be constructed or sited per Computer Register, unless the site is over 20ha where one additional residential dwelling is permitted."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	624	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed 120m."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	625	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	626	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A dwelling habitable structure or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	627	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	628	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"A dwelling building must not be sited in, or within 8m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, the landward toe of any stopbank or the sea."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	629	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	630	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	631	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	632	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	633	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area, with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	634	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.14.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area, with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	635	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Under the National Grid Conductors (wires) within the National Grid Yard the following apply: (a) a fence must not exceed 2.5m in height; (b) a building or structure must be uninhabitable and used for farming or horticulture but must not be used as a dairy shed, intensive farming building or commercial greenhouse; (c) building alterations and additions must be contained within the original building height and footprint; (d) a building or structure must have a minimum vertical clearance of 10m below the lowest point of the conductor associated with the National Grid line or otherwise comply with NZECP34:2001."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	636	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	637	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The following activities are excluded from having to comply with the noise limits: (a) mobile machinery used for a limited duration as part of agricultural or horticultural activities occurring in the Coastal Environment Zone; Mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock; (b) any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	638	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Standard as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"The odour, except if generated by farming, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	639	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Good management practice The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid manage dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	640	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the Rule as follows (bold) -</p> <p><i>"Farming, including earthworks ancillary to farming."</i></p> <p><i>(Inferred)</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	641	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"The airstrip or helipad must be integral ancillary to the use of the land for primary production on which the airstrip or helipad is located for farming."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	642	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.4.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"For a temporary building or structure, or an unmodified shipping container, ancillary to a building or construction project the building, structure or container must not:</i></p> <p><i>(a) exceed 40m2 in area;</i></p> <p><i>(b) remain on the site for longer than the duration of the project or 12 months, whichever is the lesser."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	643	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.4.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	644	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.4.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	645	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule, subject to deletion of all standards (<i>see separate submissions</i>).					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	646	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	647	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	648	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	649	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Filling in excess of 1000m3 must not occur within any 24 12 month period."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	650	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i> <i>(a) indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i> <i>(b) indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i> <i>(c) indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i> <i>(d) where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a fence line, an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track;</i> <i>(e) where the clearance is on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site and the clearance is within the curtilage of a dwelling.</i> (f) avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures; (g) avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utility operations and existing electricity generation activities; (h) management of fire risk; (i) stream / river crossing formation and maintenance; (j) formation and maintenance of farm drains; (k) to give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949 prior to 16 September 2010; (l) construction and maintenance of fences; (m) maintaining existing tracks; (n) gathering of plants in accordance with Maori customs/values; (o) installing a bait station network; (p) undertaking plant pest management activities."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	651	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Clearance of indigenous vegetation must not occur:</i> <i>(a) on a Threatened Environments – Indigenous Vegetation Site;</i> <i>(b) on land above mean high water springs that is within 20m of an Ecologically Significant Marine Site."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	652	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Clearance of indigenous vegetation clearance within the coastal environment must not include the following habitats/species:...."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	653	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the coastal environment.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	654	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	655	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"On all slopes greater than 20° cultivation mustshould be undertaken parallel to the contour of the land, except that up to 15% of the cultivated area may be cultivated at an angle to the contour. where reasonably practical."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	656	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Excavation in excess of 10002000m3 must not occur on any hectare of land with a slope greater than 20° within any 12 24 month period."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	657	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	658	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	659	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>" There must be no excavation in excess of 500m3 per Computer Register located within the Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape within any 12 month period, except excavation for formation and maintenance of farm tracks, races, fences and drains."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	660	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in any Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale; (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site; (c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	661	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.14.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The filling must not result in a reduction in the ground to conductor clearance distances of less than: 6.5m (measured vertically) from a 110Kv National Grid transmission line; or 7.5m (measured vertically) from a 220kV National Grid transmission line as required in Table 4 of the New Zealand Electrical Code of Practice (NZECP34:2001)."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	662	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	663	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That farm dams up to 20,000m ³ are permitted, and that the construction, taking, use, damming and diversion of water in the dam are managed by a single rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	664	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That firebreaks involving both land disturbance and vegetation (indigenous and non-indigenous) clearance are permitted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	665	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"The entering onto or passing across the bed of a river of stock must not involve intensively farmed livestock if there is water flowing in the river, except in the following circumstances:</i> - where stock crossing occurs occasionally as part of grazing rotation, or - to access other areas of a farm that are separated by the waterbody, or - where the crossing is necessary for stock safety, or - where there are practical difficulties constructing a bridge or culvert;"					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	666	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>No decision requested.</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	667	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"After reasonable mixing, the entering onto or passing across the bed of a river by livestock must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river, measured as follows:</i> <i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i> <i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the activity site;</i> <i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	668	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	669	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	670	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.22.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule or amend as follows (strike through) - <i>"Application of fertiliser or lime into or onto land."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	671	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	672	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	673	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.26.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	674	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standad is amended to read (strike through and bold) - 4.3.27.1. <i>The discharge must not occur within:</i> (a) 50 20m of a bore; (b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel; (c) 10m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	675	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	676	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	677	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	678	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	679	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, there must be an on-site storage system with a minimum of 3 months storage or, if less than 3 months, the storage capacity must be designed and certified by a recognised professional as being sufficient to allow for discharges to be deferred so that Standards 4.3.27.3, 4.3.27.4 and 4.3.27.5 are not breached. The certification report must be provided to the Council prior to effluent entering the storage system."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	680	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a definition for " <i>impermeable surface</i> ". (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	681	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"For a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016, the storage system must not be located within: (a) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel; (b) 20m of the boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership; (c) a Level 4 Flood Hazard Area."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	682	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"From 9 June 2019 three years of the Plan becoming operative, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	683	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.29.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through) - <i>"Discharge of human effluent from on-site wastewater systems into or onto land through an onsite management system."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	684	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.29.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	685	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.29.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	686	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	687	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property or a property under the same ownership must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	688	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Surface run-off water must not enter the pit."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	689	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	690	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.32.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Making Fermentation of compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	691	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.33.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	692	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.35.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That this Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	693	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	694	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Excavation in excess of 1000 2000m3 on any hectare of land with a slope greater than 20° within any 24 12 month period including excavation as part of Commercial Forestry Harvesting and Woodlot Forestry Harvesting activities."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	695	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the planting of commercial forestry provided for in the Plan as a permitted activity.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	696	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the harvesting of commercial forestry is provided for in the Plan as a permitted activity.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	697	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted and replaced with a permitted activity rule that reads as follows (strike through and bold) - "New dairy farm established after 9th June 2016. A farm environment plan detailing plans to achieve good practice management must be developed in conjunction with industry that sets out: (h) measures (including fences, bridges or culverts) to prevent stock entering onto or passing across the bed of any river or lake, significant wetland, or any drain or the Drainage Channel Network; (i) provision, where appropriate, of a non-grazed buffer along the margins of any river, lake, significant wetland, drain or the Drainage Channel Network, to intercept the runoff of contaminants from grazed pasture; (j) provision for storage of dairy effluent, with all storage ponds sufficiently sized to enable deferral of application to land until soil conditions are such that surface runoff and/or drainage do not occur; (k) demonstration of appropriate separation distances between effluent storage ponds and any surface waterbodies to ensure contamination of water does not occur (including during flood events); and (l) a nutrient management plan that includes nutrient inputs from dairy effluent, animal discharges, fertiliser and any other nutrient input. And be available to Council on request."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	698	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	699	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	700	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	701	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	702	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	703	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	704	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	705	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.1.29.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Rule is retained as notified.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	706	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Change the Heading " <i>Maintenance, repair or replacement of a building or structure</i> " under " <i>Standards that apply to all permitted activities</i> " to a Permitted Activity Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	707	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	708	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	709	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.13.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " <i>No more than 0.5m³ 1m³ of natural material, including but not limited to sand, shell or shingle but not including vegetation, must be removed by any individual within a calendar year.</i> "					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	710	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new rule is included which reads as follows - "Disturbance in the coastal marine area for the purpose of clearing debris, excluding gravel. (a) The disturbance is limited to the extent necessary to clear the debris; (b) The disturbance does not damage any riverbank, riverbed, or cause any flooding or erosion; (c) All reasonable steps are taken to minimise the release of sediment during the disturbance; (d) The site is left tidy following completion of the activity; (e) The debris removal is carried out within twelve months of the flood event that deposited the debris."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	711	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new permitted activity rule is included in the Plan which reads as follows - "Maintenance and repair of coastal protection structures."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	712	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "The maximum height of a building or structure must not exceed 40m 15m."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	713	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	714	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "A dwelling habitable or accessory building must have a fire safety setback of at least 100m from any existing commercial forestry or carbon sequestration forestry on any adjacent land under different ownership."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	715	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	716	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	717	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Except for the construction or siting of a fence or gate building or structure necessary for farming activity, or for conservation purposes, no building or structure must be constructed or sited within the White Bluffs Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	718	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure that has the potential to divert water must not be within a Level 2 Flood Hazard Area with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	719	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"A building or structure must not be within a Level 3 Flood Hazard Area with the exception of buildings and structures (including trellises and fences) ancillary to primary production."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	720	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following exemptions are added to the noise limits: <i>"Mobile sources associated with primary production activities; temporary activities required by normal agricultural and horticulture practice, such as cropping and harvesting; and noise from rural livestock; any fixed motors or equipment, frost fans or gas guns, milling or processing forestry activities, static irrigation pumps; motorbikes that are being used for recreational purposes."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	721	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>"The odour, except if generated by farming, must not be objectionable or offensive, as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	722	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.2.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Good management practice The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid manage dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	723	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	724	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the indigenous vegetation clearance limits are increased to more appropriately allow for farming in the Open Space 3 Zone. <i>(No specific relief sought.)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	725	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following</i> <i>(a) Indigenous vegetation under or within 50m of commercial forest, woodlot forest or shelter belt;</i> <i>(b) Indigenous vegetation dominated by manuka, kanuka, tauhinu, bracken fern and silver tussock, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 20 years in age;</i> <i>(c) Indigenous vegetation dominated by matagouri, and which has grown naturally from previously cleared land (i.e. regrowth) and where the regrowth is less than 50 years in age;</i> <i>(d) Where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of a fence line an existing road, forestry road, harvesting track or farm track.</i> (f) Avoiding danger to human life or existing buildings / structures; (g) Avoiding risks to the safe and efficient operation of existing network utilities and private infrastructure (h) Management of fire risk; (i) To give effect to a Sustainable Forest Management Plan or Permit as approved under the Forests Act 1949 (j) Undertaking plant pest management activities."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	726	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.3.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	727	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	728	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a new standard is added under this Rule as follows - "Excavation for the purpose of forming and maintaining farm tracks, fences and drains, including within an ONL."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	729	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"There must be no excavation in excess of 1000 2000m3 on any hectare of land with a slope greater than 20 degrees within any 12 24-month period."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	730	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	731	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	732	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	733	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	734	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	735	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	736	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	737	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	738	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.12.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	739	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.5.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) -</p> <p><i>"Excavation must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or coastal marine area measured as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale;</i></p> <p><i>(b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the excavation site;</i></p> <p><i>(c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	740	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	741	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.10.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	742	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	743	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this rule is deleted, and burning of materials provided for as a permitted activity.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	744	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.17.	Support
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	745	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.18.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	746	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	747	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	748	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	749	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	750	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	751	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Only farm rubbish sourced from the same property or a property under the same ownership must be disposed of to a farm rubbish pit."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	752	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.20.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Surface run-off water must not enter the pit."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	753	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	754	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.24.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Making Fermentation compost or silage in a pit or stack, or stockpiling agricultural solid waste."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	755	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	756	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.4.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rule.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	757	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	758	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.5.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Rule is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	759	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Rule is amended as follows (bold) - <i>"Discharge of agrichemicals into or onto land by any person."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	760	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the rule is retained as notified. That clarity is provided with regards to the need for a formal agreement to move livestock across the Floodway Zone.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	761	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.16.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That this Rule is amended to read (strike through and bold) - <i>In accordance with Section 230 of the RMA, in respect of any subdivision of land in which any allotment of less than 4 hectares is created, an esplanade reserve or esplanade strip of 20m must be provided, unless the property adjoins the Waikawa Marina or Picton Marina, where it boundaries:</i> a) Wairau River from State Highway 63 bridge to the sea; b) high priority waterbodies for public access on the Wairau Plain and in close proximity to Picton, Waikawa, Havelock, Renwick, Seddon, Ward and Okiwi Bay; c) coastal marine area, particularly in and near Picton, Waikawa and Havelock, Kaiuma Bay, Queen Charlotte Sound (including Tory Channel), Port Underwood, Kenepuru Sound, Mahau Sound, Mahikipawa Arm and Croiselles Harbour, Rarangi to the Wairau River mouth, Wairau Lagoons, Marfells Beach and Ward Beach; d) connections would be made with other public land (including esplanade reserves) or other land where esplanade strips or access strips already exist; and e) the Queen Charlotte Track.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	762	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the minimum lot sizes are retained. However, we consider the default status where the Minimum site size standards are not met should be restricted discretionary.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	763	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a new " <i>Matter over which the Council has reserved control</i> " as follows - "Reverse sensitivity issues."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	764	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rule 24.5.1 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	765	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rule 24.5.2 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	766	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.5.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Rule 24.5.3 is amended to be a restricted discretionary activity, with criteria set out for prospective applicants.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	767	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That recognition of farm related modifications are retained in the schedule of values in Appendix 1. • That Appendix 1 is amended so that the values include the primary production activities that have actively contributed to shaping the landscape. • That the areas of high amenity value are deleted from the Appendix, and associated policies deleted from the Plan. • That the maps contained within Appendix 1 are made clearer. 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	768	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • That Appendix 1 is amended so that primary production is recognised as an asset and contributor to coastal natural character, and is identified in the Appendix where it has actively shaped the landscape. • That the maps contained within Appendix 1 are clarified, and show each sub area, and provided in the same detail as provided in the Boffa Miskell report. 					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	769	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the second paragraph is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><i>"Ranking within each criterion are: H = High; M = Medium; L = Low. They collectively contribute to an overall ranking indicating the degree of significance. For a site to be considered significant at least one of the first four criteria (representativeness, rarity, diversity and pattern or distinctiveness) must rank H or H and/or two or more must rank M."</i></p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	770	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That that all classifications from the Third Schedule of the RMA are used when identifying Water Quality Classification standards, including irrigation purposes and industrial abstraction.</p> <p>That the water resource units are restructured to group by catchment.</p> <p>That the abbreviations are amended as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o CR to read primary contact recreation (1 November – 30th April) o FS to read fish spawning (May – December dependent on species) <p>That the appendix is amended to include recognition of the following values, as per the NPSFM:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Economic and commercial development o Irrigation and food production o Stock drinking water <p>That a preamble be added to clarify that classifications, values and standards will be subject to review as part of the development of Catchment Enhancement Plans.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	771	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the Appendix is amended to make it a more robust decision making tool.</p>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	772	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That amendments are made to the Schedule as follows (strike through and bold) -</p> <p><u>Standard/Parameter - Biological growths</u></p> <p>Interpretation of Standard/Parameter</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Bacterial and/or fungal slime growths must not be visible to the naked eye as obvious plumose growths or mats.</i> • <i>The daily average carbonaceous BOD5 due to dissolved organic compounds (i.e. those passing a GF/C filter) must not exceed 2mg/l.</i> • <i>Dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP) must be <0.015mg/l when rivers are at < median flow.</i> • <i>Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) must be <0.444mg/l when rivers are at < median flow.</i> <p><u>Standard/Parameter - Turbidity</u></p> <p>Interpretation of Standard/Parameter</p>					

- *Turbidity must be no greater than 5.6 Nephelometric Turbidity Units **when rivers are at < median flow.***
- ***The Awatere River is excluded from this standard.***

Standard/Parameter - Deposited Fine Sediment (DFS) Stoney Bottom Streams

Delete Standard/Parameter.

Standard/Parameter - Suitability for consumption by farm animals

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

- *Water must not be rendered unsuitable for farm animals.*
- ***E.coli levels must be <1000/100mL.***

Standard Parameter - Macroinvertebrate Community Index (MCI) – Stoney Bottom Streams

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

- *Must be ~~>10~~ **80** when river flow is < median **flow.***

Standard Parameter - Escherichia coli (E. coli)

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

- *Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year ~~mean~~ **median** E. coli levels must be ~~<26~~ **260**/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.*
- *At all other times ~~mean~~ **median** E. coli levels must be <260/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.*
- *Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year ~~maximum~~ **the 95th percentile** E. coli levels must be ~~<260~~ **540**/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.*
- *~~Between 1 November and 30 April of the following year maximum E. coli levels must be <260/100mL when rivers are at < median flow.~~*

Standard Parameter - Colour or visual clarity

Interpretation of Standard/Parameter

Measurements are to be made immediately upstream of the discharge and below the discharge after reasonable mixing.

- *Hue must not be changed by more than 5 points on the Munsell scale.*
- *Turbidity must ~~be no greater~~ **not change more** than 1.5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units.*

425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	773	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That information is made available to resource users on the effects of the proposed changes, and transition times are provided for.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	774	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the owners of all listed buildings in the Plan are individually notified of the new provisions in the Plan, and that no building is included without the owner's written agreement to its inclusion and the rules that the building will be bound by. Waihi Tapu sites and any sites of significance to iwi are identified in the appendix.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	775	Volume 3	Appendix 24 Worker Accommodation Exclusion Area		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Appendix is deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	776	Volume 3	Appendix 25 Pest Plants		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the Appendix is deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	777	Volume 4	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clarification of the status of the planning maps is provided within the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	778	Volume 4	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That where landowners have concerns with the accuracy of overlay maps or, in respect to hazard overlays, the level of risk assumed for a specific property, we ask that Council revisits these matters with the landowner in question.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	779	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That Council undertake to ground-truth all sites through a comprehensive wetlands assessment before there are included in the Plan. That Council delete the mapped wetlands until landowner consultation and the groundtruthing assessment has been adequately completed					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	780	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Floodway Zone overlay is reviewed with regards to encompassing private property.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	781	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That Afforestation Flow Sensitive Sites and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	782	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That robust and objective criteria is used to identify Coastal Natural Character and that a landscape must meet all or most criteria to be classified as having high or outstanding Coastal Natural Character L; and That all land is ground truthed and landowners with Coastal Natural Character mapped over private land consulted with; and That landowners with Coastal Natural Character identified on their property are provided with copies of the Natural Character of the Marlborough Coast – June 2014 report. This will ensure that landowners are well informed about the specialness of their land, and also aid in making decisions about land use and ways to avoid, remedy or mitigate and effects on values; and That where Coastal Natural Character is mapped over farmland, that the values of farming and primary production are appropriately acknowledged.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	783	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Flood Hazard Areas maps are removed from the Plan until such time as new mapping is completed that more accurately represents the current flood hazard risk.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	784	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That robust and objective criteria is used to identify ONFLs and that a landscape must meet all or most criteria to be classified as an ONFL; and That all ONFLs are ground truthed and landowners with ONFLs mapped over private land consulted with; and That a robust cost benefit analysis of the identification of ONFLS over private land is included in the Plan; and That landowners with ONFLs identified on their property are provided with copies of the 'Marlborough Landscape Study August 2015'. This will ensure that landowners are well informed about the specialness of their land, and also aid in making decisions about land use and ways to avoid, remedy or mitigate and effects on values; and That where landscapes are mapped over farmland, that the values of farming and primary production are appropriately acknowledged.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	785	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the overlay of High Amenity Value Landscapes and all associated policies and provisions are deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	786	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the overlay of Riparian Natural Character Management Areas and all associated policies and provisions are deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	787	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the overlay of Soil Sensitive Areas and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan, and retained by Council as a non-regulatory tool to assist landowners with making decisions about their property.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	788	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the overlay of Significant Ridgelines and all associated policies and provisions are deleted from the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	789	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the overlay of Steep Erosion Prone Land and all associated provisions are deleted from the Plan.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	790	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the overlay is deleted from the planning maps, along with all methods and provisions.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	791	Volume 4	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That landowners, the community, tangata whenua and other key stakeholders are involved in the identification of the coastal environment line.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	792	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We have included a new rule (<i>see separate submission</i>) which outlines our relief sought on this.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	793	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	794	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	795	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - " Cultivation Any run off to a surface water body must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after beyond the zone of reasonable mixing, or a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale; (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the cultivation site; (c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	796	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	797	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	798	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	799	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	800	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	801	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	802	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"Filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale; (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site; (c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	803	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	804	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being intentionally deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel that contains water."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	805	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from the application of fertiliser on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	806	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.22.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime must not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	807	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	808	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to read as follows (strike through) - <i>" The offall pit must not be located within: (a) 50m of a bore; (b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel; (c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	809	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The offall pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	810	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within: (a) 50m 5m of a bore; (b) 20m 5m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel; (c) 10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	811	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	812	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile into a waterbody."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	813	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	814	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.32.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	815	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	816	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.2.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	817	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new Standard is added under this Heading as follows - "Council must be advised prior to the works occurring."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	818	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new Standard is added under this Heading as follows - "Council must be advised prior to the works occurring."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	819	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.16.	Support
Decision Requested	That a new Standard is added under this Rule as follows - "Driftwood is not removed from a seabed, estuary or lagoon area."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	820	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - "Filling in excess of 1000m3 must not occur within any 12 24-month period."					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	821	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	822	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	823	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	824	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	825	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	826	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	827	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	828	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	829	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	830	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The filling must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of any flowing river after reasonable mixing, or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or coastal marine area measured as follows: (a) hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale. (b) the natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the filling site. (c) the change in reflectance must be <50%."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	831	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	832	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of " <i>Farming</i> " to include earthworks ancillary to farming.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	833	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	834	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"The application must not result in the fertiliser being intentionally deposited in or on a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network that contains water."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	835	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read (strike through and bold) - <i>"Total cumulative nitrogen (N) loading from the application of fertiliser on the areal extent of land used for the application must not exceed 200 kg N/ha/year (excluding N from direct animal inputs)."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	836	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read (strike through and bold) - <i>"All reasonable care must be exercised with the application so as to ensure that the fertiliser or lime does not pass beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the fertiliser or lime is being applied practical measures are taken to minimise fertiliser drift beyond the target area."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	837	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	838	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	839	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The offall pit must not be located within: (a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU; (b) 20m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network; (c) 50m of any boundary of the property or a dwelling."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	840	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	841	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.21.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through) - <i>"The offal pit must be completely covered by an impermeable material at all times or otherwise designed to prevent the entry of surface runoff when not in use."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	842	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	843	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (bold) - <i>"There must be no runoff of leachate from the pit, stack or stockpile into a waterbody."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	844	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	845	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Standard is amended to read as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"The pit, stack or stockpile must not be located within: (a) 50m of a bore unless the bore intercepts the confined layer of Riverlands FMU or the confined layer of the Wairau Aquifer FMU; (b) 20m 5m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel or Drainage Channel Network; (c) 10m of any boundary of any adjacent land in different ownership."</i>					
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	846	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
425	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	847	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.22.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1097	Sonya Ferguson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
880	Lovey Filimoeatu	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
621	Becki Findlayson	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
621	Becki Findlayson	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
621	Becki Findlayson	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
621	Becki Findlayson	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
621	Becki Findlayson	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
621	Becki Findlayson	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
621	Becki Findlayson	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
621	Becki Findlayson	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
621	Becki Findlayson	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
621	Becki Findlayson	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
711	Fitzgerald Cove Limited	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 118	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the zoning for Lot 1 DP 494360 is changed from Coastal Environment Zone to Coastal Living Zone.					
478	Birte Flatt	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Council accepts in full the reality of the exponential changes being wrought by Climate Change and raises the profile of global warming and climate disruption within their Environment Plan. That Council appoints a permanent Clime Change Adviser and establishes a regional Climate Change Advisory Group.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.20	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.21	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.22	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policies be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the policy be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	9	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m ³ of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha. That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	10	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m ³ of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha. That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	11	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the rules be amended to enable at least 20,000m ³ of water storage (consistent with existing planning framework) and to enable a catchment of 100ha. That the rules be amended to acknowledge that storage in dams in the Flaxbourne area may need to accommodate more than two years storage due to ongoing drought years.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	12	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	13	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	15	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	16	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the permitted activity rules and standards around stock crossing or accessing the bed of a river are amended to ensure that clarity around which stock can cross rivers and at what times is provided, and that these rules are practical, certain and able to be implemented without extensive or costly water quality testing.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rule 3.7.4 is replaced with controlled activity rules which would allow a landowner to apply for a consent for infrequent river crossings in particular circumstances to enable continued farm operations.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	20	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That rule 3.7.5 is replaced with controlled activity rules which would allow a landowner to apply for a consent for infrequent river crossings in particular circumstances to enable continued farm operations.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	21	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of intensively farmed livestock is amended to remove reference to stock grazing on irrigated land or contained for break feeding of winter feed crops.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	22	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the definition of meat processing be amended as follows: <i>Meat Processing - Means the use of land and buildings for the yarding and slaughtering of animals; the associated processing of meat including by-product and co-product processing; rendering; fish and shellfish processing; fellmongery, tanning, casing and pelt processing; and the associated chilling, freezing, packaging and storage of meat and associated products. <i>This definition excludes any land and buildings used for private home kill activities.</i></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	23	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	24	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	25	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	26	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	27	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	28	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	29	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Objective 9.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	30	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	31	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.2.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	32	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	9.M.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Council liaise with DOC as well as coastal landowners to find practical and co-ordinated solutions the issues of access to inappropriate parts of the coastline resulting in environmental degradation of the coastline and/or unintended trespass into private land. Method 9.M.9 should be amended to include liason with coastal landowners as well as DOC.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	33	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.1.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	34	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That clear rules are made, and non-regulatory methods imposed (such as signage and information for freedom campers) to ensure that freedom campers do not create a fire risk along rivers or the coastline.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	35	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Class C allocation limits for the Flaxbourne FMU be extended such that additional water be taken during high flows.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain [<i>inferred</i>]					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	37	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.1 [<i>inferred</i>]					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	38	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.48.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	39	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.44.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	40	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	41	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That standards associated with residential units in the rural environment zone provide for a second residential dwelling where a single computer register contains 40ha or more.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That an additional policy be included in Volume 1, Chapter 14, which seeks to recognise that subdivision creating an allotment smaller than 20ha may be more efficient use of the Rural Environment Zone in certain solutions and that consent may be granted for this.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	49	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amendments to the above noted policies to specifically recognise and provide for the continued use of the marine site for fishing activities in the manner currently enjoyed.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That provisions for the clearance of flood debris from rivers be made (subject to appropriate conditions), within the permitted activities table in Volume 2, chapter 2 General Rules, Section 2.7 Activities in, on, over or under the bed of a lake or river. That additional policies to support this permitted activity be included, which recognise the adverse effects flood debris can have on adjoining land and in creating a natural flood hazard, and the need to provide a timely and efficient response.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	54	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	55	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	56	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Rooding Hierarchy		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Appendix 17 to include some or all of Ward Beach Road as a Secondary Arterial Road.					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	57	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	58	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	59	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	60	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.13 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	61	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.17 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	62	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	63	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	64	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	65	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.15 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	66	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.7 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	67	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	68	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	69	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	78	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	79	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	80	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	81	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.5 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	82	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.8 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	83	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.9 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	84	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.4.10 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	85	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.1.11 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	86	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.5.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	87	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	88	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.4.4 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	89	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.1.1.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.1.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	90	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	91	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.5.3 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	92	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.10.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.10.2 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	93	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.6 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	94	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.8 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	95	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.13 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	96	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.14 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	97	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.23.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.1.23 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	98	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.1 [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	99	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Maps [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	100	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Maps [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	101	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Maps [<i>inferred</i>].					
712	Flaxbourne Settlers Association	102	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Map [<i>inferred</i>].					
713	Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>It is sought that a new "Trade Supplier" definition (and associated activity status) is included in the Proposed Plan which will enable retailers and wholesalers which are best located in industrial areas to be separated from general 'commercial activities'. The following definition is sought:</p> <p>Trade Supplier means business engaged in sales to businesses, and may include sales to general public, but wholly consists of sales in one or more of the following categories:</p> <p>(a) Automotive and marine supplies.</p> <p>(b) Buildings supplies, including household fixtures, timber, tools, paint, wallpaper and plumbing supplies.</p> <p>(c) Garden and landscaping supplies.</p> <p>(d) Farming and agricultural supplies.</p> <p>(e) Hire services (excluding hire of books, DVD and video).</p>					
713	Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')	2	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>As part of the introduction of the 'Trade Supplier' definition (submission point #1), it is sought that Rule 12.1 be amended to include "Trade Supplier" on the 'permitted activities' list for the Industrial 1 Zone.</p>					
713	Fletcher Distribution Limited (Trading as 'Placemakers') and Mico New Zealand Limited (Trading as 'Mico')	3	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Standard 12.2.1.</p>					
303	Ross Flowerday	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users. Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p> <p>Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1062	Rebecca Floyd	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Standard 4.3.6.1 (c) as follows (strike out and bold) - " 30 100 metres of the coastal marine area ".					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	9	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	15	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	16	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	17	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	18	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
419	Fly-fish Marlborough	19	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
1222	Warwick Foley	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."					
1222	Warwick Foley	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 5.2 as follows: <u>Water bodies retain sufficient flows and/or levels to:</u> <u>(a) safeguard the life supporting capacity.</u> <u>(b) provide for non-consumptive human use values.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Policy 5.2.1 as follows: <u>Manage water resources to:</u> <u>(a) maintain and enhance the values that contribute to life supporting capacity and, provided (a) is met</u> <u>(b) provide for human use values.</u> Add a definition of "human use values" to include the full range of uses and values associated with human use of water - both consumptive and non-consumptive.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.4 by adding a further matter as follows: <i>(h) provide for uses that contribute to the region's social, economic and cultural well-being</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Redraft Policy 5.2.5 to achieve the following (a) Differentiated proportional reductions in takes as flows fall in order to avoid any breach of an environmental flows (rather than total prevention of take when management flow and/or level set as part of an environmental flow is reached. (b) The differentiation referred to in (a) above to be based on the following descending order of priority: i Takes for non-consumptive uses, or for fire fighting ii s14(3)(b) RMA takes iii Stock watering supplies, takes for animal welfare and sanitation (including shed wash down and milk cooling), takes for perishable food processing, and takes for domestic or municipal supply. iv Class A takes v All other takes Apply a similar regime to restrictions on ground water takes.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify what sort of rivers Policy 5.2.9 does, and does not, apply to.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.10 as notified provided the term "human use values" is defined as sought by Fonterra. If "human use values" is not defined as sought by Fonterra, amend policy to ensure that regard is had to any effects on consumptive users.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.12 as follows: Set <i>groundwater</i> conductivity limits for Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers adjoining the coast to manage the potential for saltwater contamination of the aquifer					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.16 to refer to reductions during low flow to be undertaken in accordance with Fonterra's proposal to redraft Policy 5.2.5.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete reference to "human use values" from Policy 5.2.23					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.24	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.24 to refer to reductions during low flow to be undertaken in accordance with Fonterra's proposal to redraft Policy 5.2.5					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.25	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.2.25					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 5.3.1 as follows:</p> <p>To allocate <i>establish a water quantity management framework that:</i></p> <p>(a) provides for: in the following order of priority:</p> <p>(a) natural and human use values; then</p> <p>(b) aquifer recharge; then</p> <p>(c) domestic and stock water supply; then</p> <p>(d) municipal water supply;</p> <p>(b) recognises:</p> <p>(i) <i>non consumptive human use values</i></p> <p>(ii) <i>takes for non-consumptive use; and then</i></p> <p>(c) <i>to the extent that the values and uses in (a) have been provided for,</i> provides for all other takes of water.</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	retain Policy 5.3.5 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.6	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.6 as follows: Allocate water within any class on a first in first served basis through the resource consent process. until the allocation limit is reached for the first time					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.8 and/or associated explanation to set out how an application to replace water permits will be addressed if condition 1 of the policy is not met.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.4.1 as follows: The lapse period for water permits to take water shall <i>generally</i> be no more than two years, <i>however, a longer lapse period may be agreed where Council is satisfied that a longer period would be appropriate in the circumstances.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4 but add further guidance on the criteria that will be applied to determining the acceptability of permit transfers.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.1					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.8.2					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.8.3 as follows: Water may be stored at times other than those specified in Policy 5.8.2 to provide water users with greater flexibility to manage water use on-site, provided that, <i>where the consented use of water is for irrigation purposes</i> , the rate of take does not exceed the authorised daily rate of take for irrigation purposes.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.8.4	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.8.4 as follows: The annual volume of water taken for storage shall not exceed a volume equivalent to the authorised rate of take for irrigation purposes for two irrigation seasons years for the property or properties to be served by the stored water"					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5I	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.1.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Issue 5I, Objective 5.9 and Policies 5.9.1, 5.9.2 and 5.9.3.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	27	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.3 (or split policy into two distinct policies) to ensure: (a) The adverse effects of activities on natural character in areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character are avoided; and (b) The significant values of outstanding freshwater bodies are protected from adverse effects (by those effects being avoided, remedied, or mitigated).					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	28	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 6.2.8 as follows: Require land use activities to be set back from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve <i>high, very high or significant</i> natural character.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	29	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Either- (a) delete Policy 8.2.9; or (b) provide clarity in Policy 8.2.9 on how "are important for" would be determined. Regardless of the above, delete part (g) of Policy 8.2.9.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	30	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Identify within the plan (whether by introducing a new definition, or a table in an appendix, or as map overlays) those parts of water bodies that are considered "high priority" for public access.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	31	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	32	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.3 and Policy 14.5.4 to clarify that Policy 14.5.4 takes precedence over Policy 14.5.3 where the houses directly relates to the primary production activity.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	33	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.3 and Policy 14.5.4 to clarify that Policy 14.5.4 takes precedence over Policy 14.5.3 where the houses directly relates to the primary production activity.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Table 15.1 to identify only those waterbodies that are degraded in terms of not meeting the national bottom lines specified for attributes included in Appendix 2 to the NPS-FM; and amend Table 15.2 to include only those waterbodies that are at risk of degrading and consequently changing bands in the national objectives framework.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	35	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.1a (d) as follows: groundwater quality is suitable for drinking <i>where groundwater is suitable for drinking, that suitability is not compromised</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	36	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1b	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	37	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1c	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	38	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1d	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	39	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1e	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 15.1b, 15.1c, 15.1d, 15.1e to ensure that (a) Water quality is maintained within the NOF band that represents the current state of a water body provided that current band is above the NPS-FM national bottom lines (b) Where the current state of a water body is below the NPS-FM national bottom lines then that state is to be enhanced.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	40	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.1 to clarify which rivers and lakes need to be maintained for primary contact recreation and which rivers and lakes need to be maintained with secondary contact recreation. Amend Policy 15.1.1(c) as follows: <i>Whether, where groundwater is suitable for drinking, that suitability would be compromised Groundwater: drinking water supply;</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	41	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.4					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	42	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.5					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	43	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.6					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	44	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.7 to refer to catchment specific plans setting the scale of any improvement in water quality needed and the appropriate timeframes to achieve this. Such a policy should reflect a cost benefit analysis (s32 report) of the change and timeframes set.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	45	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method 15.M.5 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	46	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.1.23 as follows: Avoid <i>Manage</i> the discharge of animal effluent to fresh waterbodies and stock disturbance of river beds to the extent necessary to meet the management purposes established by Policy 15.1.1, by: (a) preventing the direct discharge of collected animal effluent to water; and (b) avoiding <i>managing</i> the access of intensively farmed stock to rivers; and (c) <i>managing the crossing of intensively farmed stock across rivers.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	47	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.25					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	48	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retail Policy 15.1.26					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	49	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.27					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	50	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.34	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.34					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	51	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.2.4 as follows: <i>Enable the application of solid waste to land from the processing of primary products, the disposal of animal waste in offal pits, the disposal of biodegradable material in farm rubbish pits or the processing/storage of compost or silage, where:...</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	52	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 16.3 as follows: <i>The discharge of liquid wastes onto or into land is managed in a way that avoids <u>significant</u> adverse effects on water and soil quality, land and water ecosystems, slope stability and cultural and amenity values.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	53	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Policy 16.3.3 be amended to offer protection for groundwater water such that it can continue to meet Drinking Water standards (without treatment) in the localities and at the depths that groundwater is taken for drinking water purposes. For example, amend Policy 16.3.3 (b) as follows: <i>Where groundwater is suitable for drinking, the discharge does not adversely affect the drinking water quality of groundwater adjacent to or down gradient of the discharge, either alone or in combination with any other discharge;</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	54	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retail Policy 19.1.3					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	55	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.4 to remove duplication with other policies on the allocation of water in the pMEP.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	56	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 19.1.5 to remove duplication with other policies on the allocation of water in the pMEP.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.2.4 and 2.3.4 as follows: <i>Take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's a person's animals</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.2.4 and 2.3.4 as follows: <i>Take and use of water for the reasonable drinking water needs of an individual's a person's animals</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Provide for dairy shed wash takes above 15m3 as follows - (a) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is not</u> over-allocated then the take should be permitted (b) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is</u> over-allocated then the take should be a controlled activity. This involves amending Rule 2.2.6 and inserting a new controlled activity rule into section 2.4 (page 2-8).					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Provide for dairy shed wash takes above 15m3 as follows - (a) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is not</u> over-allocated then the take should be permitted (b) If the take existed before notification of the pMEP and the source <u>is</u> over-allocated then the take should be a controlled activity. This involves amending Rule 2.3.6 and inserting a new controlled activity rule into section 2.4 (page 2-8).					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to (a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing (b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant. Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows: <u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	62	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to (a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing (b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant. Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows: <u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	63	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	65	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	66	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to</p> <p>(a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing</p> <p>(b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant.</p> <p>Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows:</p> <p><u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	67	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	68	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	69	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.20.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to (a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing (b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant. Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows: <u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	70	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 2.7.9, 3.1.21, 3.3.21, 4.1.20 and 4.3.20 to (a) Ensure stock are prevented from accessing the active bed of a river unless as part of a managed crossing (b) Provide for periodic stock crossings as a restricted discretionary activity with controls to ensure effects are not significant. Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows: <u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	71	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	72	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 2.11.4, 2.11.5, 3.7.4, 3.7.5, 4.7.4 and 4.75.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	73	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include a new definition of "active bed of a river" as follows: <u>Means the bed of a river (including any modified river) or artificial watercourse or that is permanently or intermittently flowing and where the bed is predominantly un-vegetated and comprises sand, gravel, boulders or similar material.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	74	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.20.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.20.1 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	75	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.23.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows; Fertiliser must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	76	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows; Fertiliser must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	77	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows; Fertiliser must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	78	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.17.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.23.2 and 4.3.22.1, 19.3.17.2 and 18.3.9.2 as follows; Fertiliser must be that is stored on an impermeable, bunded surface and covered at all times <u>must be covered and not come into contact with water.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	79	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.3 and 4.3.27.2 as follows: <i>A high rate <u>depth</u> discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7 degrees or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3* (1:5) at any point.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	80	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.3 and 4.3.27.2 as follows: <i>A high rate <u>depth</u> discharge system must not be used to discharge onto land with an average slope of 7 degrees or greater, and the slope must not exceed 11.3* (1:5) at any point.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	81	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 3.3.28.6 and 4.3.27.5.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	82	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rules 3.3.28.6 and 4.3.27.5.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	83	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.8 and 4.3.27.7 to clarify that the recognised professional for undertaking pond storage calculations either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has completed the Massey University Effluent System Design and Management Course; or • Is an accredited Effluent Design Company; or • Is a Certified Effluent Warrant of Fitness Assessor (by DairyNZ) 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	84	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.8 and 4.3.27.7 to clarify that the recognised professional for undertaking pond storage calculations either: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has completed the Massey University Effluent System Design and Management Course; or • Is an accredited Effluent Design Company; or • Is a Certified Effluent Warrant of Fitness Assessor (by DairyNZ) 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	85	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.10 (c) and 4.3.27.9 (c) to read as follows: (c) a flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	86	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rules 3.3.28.10 (c) and 4.3.27.9 (c) to read as follows: (c) a flood Hazard Area Level 3 or 4					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	87	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.28.11 as follows: <i>Three years from the date that this rule becomes operative From 9 June 2019, Standards 3.3.28.8, 3.3.28.9 and 3.3.28.10 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	88	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 4.3.27.10 as follows: <i>Three years from the date that this rule becomes operative From 9 June 2019, Standards 4.3.27.7, 4.3.27.8 and 4.3.27.9 apply to a dairy farm existing at 9 June 2016 and a new dairy farm established after 9 June 2016.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	89	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.33.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.3.33.5 as follows: <i>There must be no runoff of <u>visible</u> leachate from the leaving the pit, stack or stockpile area.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	90	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete rule 21.3.16					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	91	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of intensively farmed livestock as follows: <i>means:</i> <i>(a) cattle or deer grazed on irrigated land or contained for breakfeeding of winter feed crops;</i> <i>(b) lactating dairy cattle;</i> <i>(c) farmed pigs.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	92	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.3.14 as follows: <i>The duration of water permits to take water will reflect the circumstances of the take and the actual and potential adverse effects, but should generally:</i> <i>(a) not be up to 35 less than 30 years when the take is from a water resource:</i> <i>i. that has a water allocation limit specified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 6; and</i> <i>ii. that has a minimum flow or level specified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 6; and</i> <i>iii. that is not over-allocated; or</i> <i>(b) not be more than ten years when the take is from an over-allocated water resource as specified in Policy 5.5.1; or</i> <i>(c) not be up to 15 more than ten years when the take is from a water resource that has a default environmental flow established in accordance with Policies 5.2.7 and 5.2.14.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	93	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.5.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.5.6 as follows: <i>Maintain the following characteristics within areas zoned for heavier industrial activities <u>Industrial 2</u> located near Blenheim:</i> <i>(a) <u>a range of heavy industrial activities located</u> location outside the urban area of Blenheim;</i> <i>(b) often surrounded by larger lot residential or rural areas;</i> <i>(c) a range of heavy industrial activities;</i> <i>(d) <u>only very limited commercial non-industrial activities ancillary to heavy industrial activities, while activities that may compromise the efficiency and functionality of the zone for heavy industrial activities are avoided;</u></i> <i>(e) mostly well-separated from adjacent Business 1 and Industrial 1 Zones;</i> <i>(f) <u>activities that placing</u> substantial demands on the natural and physical resources of the District (land, water, air, infrastructure and services);</i> <i>(g) <u>activities requiring disposal of large quantities of liquid trade wastes; and</u></i> <i>(h) <u>higher volumes of large vehicle traffic.</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	94	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objectives 12.6 and related policies to ensure that amenity requirements are reasonable in the industrial zones.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	95	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.6.5 as follows: <i>Noise limits have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare. <u>Higher noise</u> These limits <u>(and associated lower amenity) are imposed in consistent with the character and amenity of the business and industrial zones to meet the operational requirements of the activities that are anticipated to establish in these zones.</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	96	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Objective 12.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 12.7 as follows: Reverse sensitivity effects on adjoining residential zones from activities within business and industrial zones are avoided. Reverse sensitivity effects on business and industrial activities will be avoided by: (a) Recognising and providing for the benefits of business and industrial activities, while also managing adverse effects on human health, property and the environment. (b) The operational requirements of heavy industry, other location specific industry and significant industry are recognised and provided for. (c) Incompatible land uses and activities are adequately separated to avoid, remedy or mitigate the adverse effects arising from business and industrial activities, and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on business and industrial activities.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	97	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.7.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.7.1 as follows: Business and industrial activities are appropriately separated from the boundary of adjoining residential zones so that any adverse effects on residential activities are avoided, remedied or mitigated through:- (a) establishing setbacks for industrial activities from a residential boundary;- (b) screening of business or industrial outdoor storage areas from a residential boundary;- (c) restrictions on light spill;- (d) setting more sensitive noise limits at the boundaries between the Industrial 1 Zone and the Urban Residential 1 Zone; and (e) standards for dust and odour. Manage reverse sensitivity effects by: (a) encouraging new business and industrial activities to locate in an appropriate zone; and (b) not allowing new business and industrial activities that are likely to have adverse effects to locate in residential zones where sensitive activities are permitted (c) Discouraging sensitive activities from locating in zones where reduced amenity is recognised and provided for. (d) Ensure adequate separation distances between sensitive activities and business and industrial activities.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	98	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 14A explanation as follows: The varied nature of Marlborough's physical environment has led to a wide range of land uses, including primary production activities such as agriculture, viticulture, horticulture and forestry and rural industrial activities, and non-primary production activities such as residential, commercial and industrial development... The viability and versatility of the rural resource for primary production activities can be adversely affected by non-rural activities, land fragmentation and the proximity of sensitive receiving activities and environments (resulting in reverse sensitivity effects), such as those found in adjacent towns.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	99	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.1 as follows: <i>Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for primary production and <u>rural industrial activities</u>.</i> <i>Amend the explanation associated with the policy as follows:</i> <i>Currently, a wide range of primary productive land uses are undertaken in Marlborough's rural environments, from viticulture to extensive forestry, pastoral farming, dairy farming and cropping <u>and associated processing activities</u>...</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	100	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.9 as follows: <i>Manage the <u>interface</u> effects of primary production activities <u>in close proximity to ensure the environmental qualities and amenity values in adjoining residential zones, are not unreasonably degraded</u>, bearing in mind their location adjacent to a primary production environment <u>and the purpose of the rural environment to enable primary production activities and rural industry activities</u>.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	101	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 14.3.2 as notified					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	102	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 14.4 as follows: Rural character and amenity values are maintained and enhanced managed and reverse sensitivity effects are avoided.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	103	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Insert new policy under Objective 14.4 as follows: <i><u>Avoid reverse sensitivity effects by:</u></i> <i><u>(a) avoiding sensitive activities that are not related to primary production to locate in an appropriate zone;</u></i> <i><u>(b) discouraging residential activities at a density greater than one dwelling per property from locating in rural environments; and</u></i> <i><u>(c) require sensitive activities to be appropriately setback and designed to manage adverse effects that are inherent to rural environments.</u></i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	104	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.5 as follows: Noise limits will enable primary production and rural industry activities in consistent with the character and amenity of the Rural and Coastal Environment Zones, while having regard for have been established to provide for the protection of community health and welfare.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	105	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.7 as follows: Ensure significant adverse offensive and objectionable odour effects from rural activities are avoided or mitigated to protect lawfully established land uses. Change the status of the policy so that it also has regional effect.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	106	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.4.10 as follows: <i>Control the establishment of residential activity within rural environments as a means of avoiding conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations and avoiding reverse sensitivity effects on primary production and rural industry activities.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	107	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 15A explanation as follows: <i>There are many point source discharges to land, including discharges of <u>from winery, and vegetable processing, and domestic wastewater, and dairy shed effluent and other industrial and trade premises.</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	108	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.17					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	109	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 15.3 as follows: Reduce <u>Manage</u> the potential for <u>offensive or objectionable effects nuisance</u> and <u>significant adverse health effects from the discharge of contaminants into air.</u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	110	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.1 as follows: <i>Prohibit the discharge of contaminants into air in accordance with Regulations 4 – 10 of the National Environmental Standard for Air Quality resulting from the combustion of materials that will give rise to concentration of contaminants likely to be dangerous or toxic.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	111	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.2 as follows: <i>Require all discharges to comply with the ambient air quality standards established by the National Environmental Standard for Air Quality. Manage the discharge of contaminants to air so that adverse effects on human health, including cumulative adverse effects, are avoided, and all other adverse effects are remedied or mitigated.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	112	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.3.3 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	113	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 15.3.6 as follows: <i>Promote <u>best practicable option</u> measures to avoid or mitigate the effects of the discharge of contaminants to air at their source.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	114	Volume 1	16 Waste	Issue 16B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a fifth bullet point to Issue 16B explanation as follows: <i>A strong rural economy and a prevalence of residential living in rural and coastal environments mean that a wide variety of liquid wastes are created in Marlborough. These include:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• domestic wastewater;</i> <i>• dairy shed effluent;</i> <i>• winery wastewater; and</i> <i>• vegetable and shellfish processing wastewater</i> <i>• <u>industrial and trade process wastewater</u></i> 					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	115	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.1 as follows: <i>Ensure that wastewater management systems are designed, located and installed <u>to achieve the best practicable option and effectively treat and/or contain the contaminants present in wastewater</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	116	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.3 as follows: <i>Approve discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land where <u>they demonstrate best practicable option and where:...</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	117	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 16.3.4 as follows: <i>When considering discharge permit applications to discharge contaminants onto or into land, have regard <u>best practicable option and to:</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	118	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.15 as follows: <i>Take, use and discharge of surface water for non-consumptive use.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	119	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.3.14 as follows: <i>Take, use and discharge of surface water for non-consumptive use.</i> <i>2.3.14.1. The instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river surface water flow at any time.</i> <i>2.3.14.2. The take and discharge must not be from or into a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland.</i> <i>2.3.14.3. The water must be returned into the same surface waterbody from which it was taken, at the same or similar rate and in the same or better quality.</i> <i>2.3.14.4. <u>For surface water takes.</u> The water taken must be discharged back into the same surface waterbody within 250m of the point of take.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	120	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.3.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.8.3.1 as follows: <i>The best practicable method <u>option</u> must be adopted to avoid dust <u>effects</u> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	121	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.32.1.1 Table 2.1 as follows: <i>Activity</i> <i>Industrial and Rural Industrial Activities or Warehousing</i> <i>Minimum Requirements – Number of spaces 1 per 100m2 gross floor area, plus 1 for every 100m2 of outdoor storage, plus 2 per 3 employees employed on the site (based on the maximum number of employees at any one time), plus 2 for visitors.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	122	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.8					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	123	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.9					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	124	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.10					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	125	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.34.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.34.12.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	126	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.35.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.35.1.2 as follows: <i>Only one sign is permitted per property unless otherwise provided for in the Standards in 2.36 or is required for, or established by statute, rule or regulation or is a traffic or safety sign or a sign denoting the name of a road or the number of a premise.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	127	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.1.6 as follows: <i>A new dwelling must not be sited closer than 150m to the outer bank of an oxidation pond, sewage treatment works, <u>wastewater treatment facility (except for a septic system on the same site as the residential dwelling)</u> or a site designated for such works.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	128	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.2.3.1 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	129	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.3.2 as follows: <i>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 5550 dBA LAeq</i> <i>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 4540 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	130	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.3.3 by: 1. Inserting new clause (d) under Rule 3.2.3.3 as follows: <i><u>(d) Rail activity</u></i> 2. Insert new Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule 3.5.2 as follows: <i><u>3.5.2 Rail noise</u></i> <i><u>Matters over which the Council has restricted its discretion:</u></i> <i><u>3.5.2.1 The level of sound likely to be received</u></i> <i><u>3.5.2.2 The existing ambient sound levels</u></i> <i><u>3.5.2.3 The nature and frequency of the noise including the presence of any special audible characteristics</u></i> <i><u>3.5.2.4 The effect on noise sensitive activities within the environment</u></i> <i><u>3.2.5.5 The value and nature of the noise generating activity and the benefit to the wider community having regard to the frequency of the noise intrusion and the practicability of mitigating noise or using alternative sites</u></i> <i><u>3.2.5.6 Any proposed measures to avoid, remedy or mitigate noise received off-site</u></i> <i><u>3.5.2.7 The level of involvement of a recognised acoustician in the assessment of potential noise effects and/or mitigation options to reduce noise.</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	131	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.3.3.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Insert new Rule 3.2.4.5 as follows: Any new noise sensitive activity must not be located closer than 250m to a site containing any lawfully established rural industry activity, including any rural industry activity for which a resource consent has been granted but not yet implemented. For the avoidance of doubt, Standard 3.2.4.5 also applies to any alteration of an existing dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building located within 250m of a rural industry activity, where a new bedroom forms part of the alteration.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	132	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.7 as follows: No activity shall result in The odour must not be objectionable or offensive odours to the extent that it causes an adverse effect as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the site area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring. Note 1: For the purpose of this performance standard, an offensive or objectionable odour is that odour which can be detected and is considered to be offensive or objectionable by at least two independent observers; including at least one Council officer. In determining whether an odour is offensive or objectionable, the "FIDOL" factors may shall be considered (the frequency; the intensity; the duration; the offensiveness (or character); and the location of where the odour is measured (ie the sensitivity of the receiving environment). For the purposes of this performance standard, the "site" comprises all that land owned or controlled by the entity undertaking the activity causing the odour. Note 2: This performance standard shall not apply if the discharge of odour is authorised by an air discharge permit.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	133	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.2.9.1 as follows The best practicable method option must be adopted to avoid dust effects beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	134	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 3.6.7 as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	135	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The disposal of hazardous waste into or onto land is a provided as a discretionary activity. However, there is no definition in the Proposed Plan for "hazardous waste". Fonterra's concern is that the process wastewater may be captured by this rule. The use and storage of hazardous substances is regulated via the HSNO Act, and there is no need to duplicate these planning provisions in RMA documents. Delete Rule 3.7.6, and all other references in the pMEP to hazardous substances and hazardous waste.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	136	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.14.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 3.7.14 as follows: <i>Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of any of the following materials: ...</i> (k) waste oil					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	137	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 8.2.1 by including the following: <u><i>A dwelling must not be sited closer than 150m to the outer bank of an oxidation pond, sewage treatment works, wastewater treatment facility (except for a septic system on the same site as the residential dwelling) or a site designated for such works, or dairy effluent storage ponds</i></u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	138	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 8.2.3 by including the following: <u><i>Any new noise sensitive activity must not be located closer than 250m to a site containing any lawfully established rural industry activity, including any rural industry activity for which a resource consent has been granted but not yet implemented. For the avoidance of doubt, Standard 8.2.3.1 also applies to any alteration of an existing dwelling, visitor accommodation or other habitable building located within 250m of a rural industry activity, where a new bedroom forms part of the alteration.</i></u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	139	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Insert new Rule 12.1.35 as follows: <u><i>Commercial activities ancillary to industrial activities.</i></u>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	140	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.2.2.4as follows: <i>Any activity in the Industrial 1 Zone must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land ...</i> ... <u><i>Any activity in the Industrial 2 Zone must not cause noise that exceeds the following limits at or within any adjacent land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields) or Urban Residential 3, or within the notional boundary of a dwelling in any adjacent zone (except Industrial 1 or 2 Zones):</i></u> <i>7.00 am to 10.00 pm 5550 dBA LAeq</i> <i>10.00 pm to 7.00 am 4540 dBA LAeq 70dB LAFmax</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	141	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.5.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.2.5.1 as follows: <i>No activity shall result in The odour must not be objectionable or offensive odours to the extent that it causes an adverse effect as detected at or beyond the legal boundary of the site area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</i> <i>Note 1: For the purpose of this performance standard, an offensive or objectionable odour is that odour which can be detected and is considered to be offensive or objectionable by at least two independent observers; including at least one Council officer. In determining whether an odour is offensive or objectionable, the "FIDOL" factors may shall be considered (the frequency; the intensity; the duration; the offensiveness (or character); and the location of where the odour is measured (ie the sensitivity of the receiving environment). For the purposes of this performance standard, the "site" comprises all that land owned or controlled by the entity undertaking the activity causing the odour.</i> <i>Note 2: This performance standard shall not apply if the discharge of odour is authorised by an air discharge permit.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	142	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.2.7.1 as follows <i>The best practicable method option must be adopted to avoid dust <u>effects</u> beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	143	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 12.2.8.2 as follows: <i>The particulate discharge rate from any air pollution control equipment and dust collection system must not exceed 2 50mg/m3 at any time, corrected to 0°C, 1 atmosphere pressure, dry gas basis.</i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	144	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.8.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 12.2.8.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	145	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 12.3.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Discharge of contaminants to air from combustion within a stationary internal combustion engine (i.e., internal combustion).</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.1. The fuel used in the engine must be gas, LPG, petrol, diesel, vegetable oils or alcohol.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.2. Fuel containing sulphur at levels greater than <u>10ppm</u> (or 0.001%) 0.05% by weight must not be burned.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.3. The <u>net energy power</u> output of the engine must not exceed 400kW, this limit applies to the total heat <u>net energy</u> output from a site.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.4. If the <u>net energy power</u> output of the engine is between 30kW and 400kW:</i></p> <p><i>(a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) if the engine is in a fixed location, the stack must comply with the requirements of Appendix 8 – Schedule 5.</i></p> <p><i>12.3.2.5. Where more than one fuel type is used on the property, the combined <u>net energy</u> heat output must not exceed the lowest MW or kW threshold of any of the fuel types used.</i></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	146	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 12.3.9.1 as follows:</p> <p>The discharge must not contain more than the following maximum <u>net energy</u> heat output limits....</p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	147	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.4.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 12.4.2 as follows:</p> <p><i>Commercial activity <u>not ancillary to an industrial activity.</u></i></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	148	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend definition of "Fuel burning equipment" as follows:</p> <p><i>Fuel burning equipment means any fireplace, grate, stove, incinerator, boiler, furnace, gas turbine, or internal or external combustion engine, <u>and that:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>1. Has a net heat or energy output of more than 40 kW; or</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2. Is on or associated with an industrial or trade premises or process.</u></i></p> <p><i><u>This excludes:</u></i></p> <p><i><u>1. Small scale solid fuel burning appliances</u></i></p> <p><i><u>2. Waste incineration devices and crematoria</u></i></p> <p><i><u>3. Motor vehicles</u></i></p> <p><i><u>4. Boats</u></i></p> <p><i><u>5. Aircraft</u></i></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	149	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "Heavy industrial activity"					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	150	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "Light industrial activity"					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	151	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "Liquid waste"					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	152	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain definition of "Noise sensitive activity" as notified.					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	153	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition of "Rural industry" as follows: <i>...means an industry, constructional engineers and roading and cartage contractors, workshops or yards where either: (a) <u>The activity is related to the processing of raw materials or primary produce derived from the rural environment; or</u> (b) <u>75% of the total business is with the rural sector and/or coastal marine area; or</u> (c) <u>The nature of the industry is such that it is inappropriately located within an urban or industrial zone.</u></i>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	154	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of "Site in relation to a building or structure" as follows:</p> <p>Site in relation to a building or structure, means any area of land/or volume of space of sufficient dimensions to accommodate any complying activity provided for by a rule in the Plan.</p> <p><u>Any area of land which meets one of the descriptions set out below:</u></p> <p><u>(a) an area of land which is:</u></p> <p><u>i. comprised of one allotment in one certificate of title, or two or more (i)contiguous allotments held together in one certificate of title, in such a way that the allotments cannot be dealt with separately without the prior consent of the council; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. contained in a single lot on an approved survey plan of subdivision for (ii)which a separate certificate of title could be issued without any further consent of the council;</u></p> <p><u>being in any case the smaller area of clauses (i) or (ii) above; or</u></p> <p><u>(b) an area of land which is composed of two or more contiguous lots held in two or more certificates of title where such titles are:</u></p> <p><u>i. subject to a condition imposed under section 37 of the Building Act 2004 (i)or section 643 of the Local Government Act 1974; or</u></p> <p><u>ii. held together in such a way that they cannot be dealt with separately (ii)without the prior consent of the council; or</u></p> <p><u>(c) an area of land which is:</u></p> <p><u>i. partly made up of land which complies with clauses (a) or (b) above; and (i)</u></p> <p><u>ii. partly made up of an interest in any airspace above or subsoil below a (ii)road where (a) and (b) are adjacent and are held together in such a way that they cannot be dealt with separately without the prior approval of the council;</u></p> <p><u>Except in relation to each description that in the case of land subdivided under the Unit Titles Act 1972, the cross lease system or stratum subdivision, 'site' must be deemed to be the whole of the land subject to the unit development, cross lease or stratum subdivision.</u></p> <p><u>Noting that:</u></p> <p><u>(a) Corner site - will be deemed to be a 'front site';</u></p> <p><u>(b) Front site - means a site having one frontage of not less than the minimum prescribed by the Plan for the particular zone in which the site is situated to a road, private road, or the sea; and</u></p> <p><u>(c) Rear site - means a site that is situated generally to the rear of another site and that has not the frontage required for a front site for that use in the zone. Where a right of way is employed, the line(s) defining the extent of that right of way on a survey plan must be treated as a legal boundary for the purpose of bulk and location controls for buildings.</u></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	155	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this particular definition of "site".					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	156	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this particular definition of "site".					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	157	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete this particular definition of "site".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	158	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the definition of "solid waste".					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	159	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of "Waste" as follows:</p> <p>Waste any material, solid, liquid, gas or radioactive, that is unwanted and or unvalued, and discarded, discharged, emitted or deposited in the environment in such volume, constituency or manner as to cause an adverse effect on the environment. It includes all unwanted or unusable by-products at any given place and time, and includes any other matter that may be discharged, accidentally or otherwise, to the environment. For the purposes of this Plan, waste does not include stormwater or treated human sewage.</p> <p><u>Waste means</u></p> <p><u>(a) anything disposed of or discarded; and</u></p> <p><u>(b) includes a type of waste that is defined by its composition or source (for example, organic waste, electronic waste, or construction and demolition waste); and</u></p> <p><u>to avoid doubt, includes any component or element of diverted material, if the component or element is disposed of or discarded</u></p>					
1251	Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited	160	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend the definition of "Wastewater" as follows:</p> <p>Wastewater in relation to on-site wastewater management systems, means wastewater originating from household or personal activities including toilets, urinals, kitchens, bathrooms (including shower, washbasins, bath, spa bath but not spa) and laundries. Includes such wastewater flows from facilities serving staff, employees, residents, students, guests in institutional, commercial and industrial establishments, but excludes commercial and industrial wastes, large-scale laundry activities and any stormwater flows.</p> <p><u>Wastewater means liquid (and liquids containing solids) waste from domestic, industrial, commercial premises including (but not limited to) toilet wastes, silage, industrial and trade wastes and gross solids.</u></p>					
1208	Vivienne Forrester	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
703	Faye Fosbender	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
703	Faye Fosbender	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
703	Faye Fosbender	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
703	Faye Fosbender	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
703	Faye Fosbender	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
703	Faye Fosbender	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
703	Faye Fosbender	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
703	Faye Fosbender	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
703	Faye Fosbender	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
703	Faye Fosbender	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1144	Scott Foster	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1144	Scott Foster	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1144	Scott Foster	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1144	Scott Foster	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1144	Scott Foster	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1144	Scott Foster	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1144	Scott Foster	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1144	Scott Foster	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming,					
1144	Scott Foster	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1144	Scott Foster	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1161	Susan Foster	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
183	Harold John Fowler	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Volume 1 Chapter 7.2.10 (a) and (b). Retain provisions as proposed.					
312	James Fowler	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete the Management Flow of 7.320m ³ /s for the Wairau River Freshwater Management Unit (above The Narrows) monitored at Dip Flat from the Schedule.					
1248	James Simon Fowler	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
1248	James Simon Fowler	2	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
1249	James Simon Fowler	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	1	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	2	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1250	James Simon Fowler	4	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.5.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	5	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
1250	James Simon Fowler	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard.					
383	Francis Estate Vineyards Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
1209	Verena Frei	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Stop dredging and anchoring in ecologically significant marine sites.					
1209	Verena Frei	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Increase forestry setbacks to 100m in the coastal environment zone.					
1209	Verena Frei	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
1209	Verena Frei	4	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Require resource consent for planting and harvesting commercial forestry in the Sounds.					
1209	Verena Frei	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
1209	Verena Frei	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Support
Decision Requested	Exclude stock from waterways.					
1209	Verena Frei	7	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.9.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	10	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.27.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Require dairy farm effluent storage for existing farms.					
1209	Verena Frei	15	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Mapping and protection of significant wetlands.					
1209	Verena Frei	16	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
1209	Verena Frei	17	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Robust rules to prevent indigenous vegetation clearance in Marlborough's threatened environments.					
1209	Verena Frei	18	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
1209	Verena Frei	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Only biodegradable material can be disposed of in farm dumps.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to content under the heading:</p> <p>A healthy Marlborough economy requires a healthy environment.</p> <p><i>While it is not the role of the MEP to directly address economic matters, it does have a role in supporting sustainable business and economic growth within a resource management framework. Maintaining and safeguarding the health of the environment will assist the primary sector in particular to continue to make a significant contribution to the Marlborough economy and the wellbeing of our communities. The productive and sustainable use of natural resources relies on both the quality of the resource as well as sustainable allocation frameworks to enable use of water, land and coastal resources for future generations.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	2	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the content under the heading:</p> <p>Does the issue involve a resource that is scarce, rare, unique and/or is under threat?</p> <p><i>This includes both natural and physical resources and could include the limited availability of water in some parts of Marlborough or it may and include the habitats of threatened indigenous species.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	3	Volume 1	2 Background	Issues that cross local authority boundaries	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the wording of the third paragraph page 2-9:</p> <p><i>Under the RMA the mean high water spring boundary separates the primary management responsibilities for the land and coastal water between agencies. The Council, in conjunction with the Minister of Conservation, is responsible for the management of the coastal marine area the Minister of Conservation's responsibility to approve regional coastal plan only applies to the coastal marine area, the landward boundary of which is mean high water springs. In the coastal marine area, the Council has joint responsibility for promoting integrated management of natural and physical resources. The Minister has the responsibility for the final approval of regional coastal plans prepared by a regional council. Landward of mean high water springs the relationship is different and the Council has these responsibilities fall on Council alone responsibility for sustainably managing Marlborough's natural and physical resources.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	4	Volume 1	2 Background	How to use the MEP	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the wording under Explanation of Avoid on page 2-13 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>Avoid</p> <p><i>Use of the word 'avoid' may or may not have the same meaning as prevent means not allowing or prohibit. In some cases the method used to implement a policy is a rule that will 'prohibit' something from occurring. In this case the word 'prohibit' is used within the rules method. There are other policies that use 'avoid' though this is not implemented through a prohibited activity rule. In these policies 'avoiding' an effect can be achieved through undertaking an activity in such a way that the effect does not occur or is significantly reduced. Where this is the case, policies clearly identify that remediation and/or mitigation is an option. It will be important that the explanations and methods accompanying the policies are read to help inform decision makers of the intent of the word 'avoid' where it is used.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3A.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3B.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3C	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3C.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3D.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	9	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3E	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3E.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	10	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3F	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3F.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	11	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3G	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3G.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	12	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3H	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3H.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	13	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3I	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3I.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	14	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Issue 3J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 3J.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	15	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	16	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	17	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	18	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	19	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 3.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	20	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	21	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	22	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	23	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	24	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 31.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	25	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Amend policy 3.1.6 to add a cross reference to the objectives and policies in Chapter 11 Natural hazards.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	26	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.7.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made (page 4-1)</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p><i>Section 5 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) recognises that sustainable management includes the use and development of natural and physical resources to provide for the social and economic wellbeing, health and safety of the community.</i></p> <p>(1) The purpose of this Act is to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources.</p> <p>(2) In this Act, sustainable management means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety while-</p> <p>(a) sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and</p> <p>(b) safeguarding the life supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems; and</p> <p>(c) avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.</p> <p><i>This chapter contains provisions that acknowledge the importance of using and developing our land, water, coastal and air resources and strategic infrastructure in this respect. The objectives and policies provide high level direction on resource use in our environment. This direction is developed further within the resource or activity-based chapters elsewhere in the Marlborough Environment Plan (MEP). Specific provisions within those chapters seek to enable appropriate use and development of natural and physical resources.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	28	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>1. That reference to ecosystem services provided by natural ecosystems associated with freshwater, air, coastal waters and soils in included in the issue's explanatory paragraph.</p> <p>2. That the following amendments (bold) to the wording in the first paragraph, second line on page 4-2:</p> <p><i>Generally, Marlborough has adequate natural resources of sufficient quality to meet the needs of the primary sector. However, the reliance on natural resources also creates an inherent vulnerability to environmental change. The loss of access to natural resources or the environment or a reduction in the quality of the resources or the environment would have a significant impact on the primary sector. The implications would be felt far beyond the farm gate or vineyard, as Marlborough's townships act as service centres to rural land uses and the marine farming industry. Many businesses in Blenheim and other townships are sustained, either directly or indirectly, by the primary sector.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	29	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 4.1.1 Recognise the rights of resource users by only intervening in the use of land to protect Use of private land will reflect sustainable management including protection of the environment and wider public interests in the this environment.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	30	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 4.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 4.1.2 Enable sustainable use of natural resources in the Marlborough environment by including permitted activity rules where adverse effects are no more than minor, taking into account cumulative effects.</i></p> <p><i>Many uses of coastal space marine area, river beds, air and water resources are prohibited unless allowed by a rule in a regional plan or by resource consent (see Sections 12 to 15 of the RMA). As a principle, the Council will continue to enable access to natural resources where the subsequent use of those resources has no more than minor adverse effect on the immediate or surrounding environment. This will be achieved through the use of permitted activity rules, including conditions where appropriate, avoiding the need for resource consent. Where the adverse effects are considered more than minor or where there is potential for cumulative effects, then resource consents will be required. Policies throughout the MEP help define sustainable resource use.</i></p> <p><i>The use of allocation frameworks for coastal space marine area and freshwater will also assist to enable the sustainable use and development of these natural resources. These frameworks will provide certainty about the quantities and/or locations of resources available and the circumstances in which they may be used and developed.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	31	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	32	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	33	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	In conjunction with Nelson City and Tasman District include a new issue, objective and policy (<i>inferred</i>) addressing the important resource management issues in Tasman Bay and show these areas in the maps. Include policy to address the concepts of natural capital and ecosystem services.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	34	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	35	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	36	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	37	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	38	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	39	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	40	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 4.AER.1</p> <p><i>4.AER.1 People and communities have appropriate access to natural and physical resources in the Marlborough environment in order to provide for their social, economic and cultural wellbeing and health and safety while reflecting sustainable management.</i></p> <p><i>Monitoring effectiveness - point #4</i> <i>Public perception survey indicates that a majority of residents and ratepayers believe that the Marlborough Sounds environment is in good health.</i></p> <p>That the following new anticipated environmental result and monitoring effectiveness criteria (bold) is added:</p> <p><i>4.AER.2 That a majority of residents, visitors and ratepayers consider that the Marlborough Sounds has not been the subject of human induced degradation.</i></p> <p><i>Monitoring effectiveness</i> (inferred)</p> <p><i>Public perception survey indicates that the Marlborough Sounds has not been the subject of human induced degradation.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	41	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the third sentence of the second paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p><i>Another significant contributor to the economy is the marine farming industry, which is reliant on being able to occupy coastal space in order to develop.</i></p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the second and third sentences of the third paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p><i>Allocation of resource use will reflect sustainable management.</i> <i>Any significant reduction or change in approach to resource use could</i> <i>Climate change can have significant implications for Marlborough's economic, cultural and social wellbeing and for the sustainable management of these natural and physical resources.</i> <i>The two main areas where allocation of public resources is considered to be an issue are rights to occupy space in the coastal marine area, and rights to take and use freshwater.</i> <i>Limitations on access to resources may well be different compared to how that has been achieved today.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	42	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.1.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.1.1 Define and use freshwater management units to apply appropriate management to the taking and use of water within each water resource where use or diversion does not, or is not likely to, have an adverse effect on the environment.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	43	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 5.1.2 Recognise that the taking of water and the use of water are two distinct activities and where resource consent application is to be granted, separate water permits for each activity will be granted where use or diversion does not, or is not likely to, have an adverse effect on the environment.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	44	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That a new policy is added that addresses the cumulative effects of discharges of contaminants into enclosed coastal waters, in particular the Kaituna/Pelorus and Wairau Lagoon estuaries.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	45	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Objective 5.2:</p> <p><i>Objective 5.2 Safeguard the life-supporting capacity of freshwater resources by retaining sufficient flows and/or levels for the natural and human use values supported by waterbodies. When making decisions about water use the life supporting capacity of the waterbody must be safeguarded.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	46	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 5.2.4: <i>Policy 5.2.4 – Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to:</i> <i>(a) protect maintain and/or enhance the mauri of the waterbody;</i> <i>(b) protect maintain and/or enhance instream habitat and ecology;</i> <i>(c) maintain and/or enhance fish passage and fish spawning grounds;</i> <i>(d) preserve maintain and/or enhance the natural character of the river;</i> <i>(e) maintain and/or enhance water quality;</i> <i>(f) provide maintain and/or enhance for adequate groundwater recharge where the river is physically connected to an aquifer or groundwater; and</i> <i>(g) maintain and/or enhance amenity values.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	47	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5J	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 5J.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	48	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 5.10: <i>Objective 5.10 Equitable and sustainable allocation of public space within Marlborough's coastal marine area while recognizing cumulative effects in a finite resource.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	49	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	50	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	51	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	52	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	53	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 5.AER.1:</p> <p><i>5.AER.1 Sufficient Maintain and/or enhance flow in rivers and adequate groundwater level to sustain reflecting sustainable management of natural and human use values supported by these water bodies.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	54	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.AER.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 5.AER.3:</p> <p><i>5.AER.3 Maintenance of the significant values of outstanding Ensuring the survival of all water bodies and its flora and fauna, both rare and commonplace, in their natural communities and habitats are part of the preservation of representative samples of all classes of wetland ecosystems to preserve the remaining New Zealand character.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Adopt a more "outcome" and place focus to the protection of the natural character units for the whole of the Marlborough District, including the following: Tasman Bay coast Tory Channel entrance to Rarangi and the South Marlborough Coast. For each of these units set a desired outcome framework to protect the natural character and natural functioning of each of these units. Include specific policies to avoid adverse effects on the natural character of each of these natural character units. Include policies to avoid cumulative adverse effects of residential development, forestry, farming and marine farming for each of the natural character units.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p><i>Natural character includes the natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities of an environment. The natural character of the coastal environment, and freshwater bodies and their margins, is comprised of a number of key components which include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascape);</i> <i>• coastal or freshwater physical processes (including the movement of water and sediments);</i> <i>• biodiversity (including individual indigenous species, their habitats and communities they form);</i> <i>• biological processes and patterns;</i> <i>• water flows and levels, and water quality; and</i> <i>• the ways in which people experience the natural elements, patterns and processes.</i> <i>• natural elements, processes and patterns;</i> <i>• biophysical, ecological, geological and geomorphological aspects;</i> <i>• natural landforms such as headlands, peninsulas, cliffs, dunes, wetlands, reefs, freshwater springs and surf breaks;</i> <i>• the natural movement of water and sediment;</i> <i>• the natural darkness of the night sky;</i> <i>• places or areas that are wild or scenic;</i> <i>• a range of natural character from pristine to modified; and</i> <i>• experiential attributes, including the sounds and smell of the sea; and their context or setting.</i> 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	56	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Issue 6A:</p> <p><i>Issue 6A Resource use and changes in resource use Inappropriate subdivision, use and development can result in the degradation of the natural character of the coastal environment, and of lakes, rivers and their margins.</i></p> <p><i>Section 6(a) of the RMA requires the Council to recognise and provide for to preserve the preservation of (inferred) the natural character of the coastal environment, wetlands, and lakes, rivers and their margins and to protect this natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</i></p> <p><i>The Policy 13 of the NZCPS 2010 sets a similar objective for the coastal environment.</i></p> <p><i>The entire coastal environment and all freshwater bodies possess some or all of the components of natural character (natural elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities) and therefore all hold some degree of natural character.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	57	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include in Objective 6.1 references to the seven-range scale of natural character proposed by Dr Steven in his report (page 7) that accompanies this submission.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	58	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That all of the matters listed in NZCPS Policy 13(2) (provided below) with such additional matters as are necessary to cover wetlands, lakes and rivers and their margins are included in Policy 6.1.1.</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.1.1(a): <i>Policy 6.1.1(a) areas or water bodies in their natural state or close to their natural state.</i></p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.1.1(b) <i>Policy 6.1.1(b) Coastal or freshwater landforms and landscapes (including seascapes).</i></p> <p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 6.1.1(e) <i>Policy 6.1.1 (e) biological elements, processes and patterns;</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	59	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 6.1.2 but amend the last sentence of the following explanation to add reference to it being more difficult to define the extent of the coastal environment on the south coast. Add a further statement that there needs to be a consistent approach to defining the extent of the coastal environment to that adopted in adjoining regions (Nelson City, Wellington and Canterbury Regional Councils).</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	60	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.3	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the follow amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policies 6.1.3(a) and (b):</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.3 – Determine the degree of natural character in both the coastal marine and coastal terrestrial components of the coastal environment by assessing:</i> <i>(a) the degree of human-induced modification on abiotic systems and landforms, marine and terrestrial biotic systems and experiential qualitiesnatural elements, natural patterns and natural processes; and</i> <i>(b) the seven-range scale range of natural character at a range of scales.</i></p> <p>As an alternative for Policy 6.1.3 (a), repeat in this policy (a) to (g) from Policy 6.1.1, i.e., exclude reference to invalid subjective parameters.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	61	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This should be the seven-range scale of natural character proposed in the report (page 7) by Dr Steven attached to this submission.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	62	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.1.6:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.1.6 – Identify those rivers or parts of rivers that have high or very high natural character.</i></p> <p><i>Although there is no specific requirement for the Council to identify rivers that have high or very high natural character, the Council has undertaken an assessment to determine the natural character values of a number of Marlborough's rivers. This has been carried out to recognise and provide for Section 6 (a) of the RMA. Using the criteria in Policy 6.1.5, a fiveseven-point assessment scale on the significance of the waterbodies has allowed natural character to be determined. The rivers with high or very high natural character have been mapped in the MEP. Further information on a range of values for Marlborough's rivers, including natural character values, is set out in Appendix 5.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	63	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Replace Objective 6.2. Rewrite to cover separate place based objectives for Queen Charlotte Sound, Pelorus Sound, South Marlborough and eastern Tasman Bay.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	64	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold, latter is <i>inferred</i>) are made to Policy 6.2.1:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.1 Avoid the adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character values and on lakes and rivers and their margins with high and very high natural character values by managing the effects of activities within the coastal marine area, that involve the removal of intact or regenerating indigenous vegetation and managing the effects of residential, commercial and industrial development.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	65	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 6.2.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.2 Where natural character is assessed as being very high (VH) or high (H) avoid adverse effects of subdivision, use and development that would result in a lower level of natural character. Elsewhere Aavoid, remedy or mitigate significant adverse effects of subdivision, use or development on coastal natural character, having regard to the significance criteria in Appendix 4.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	66	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	67	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 6.2.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	68	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the second sentence of the explanation for Policy 6.2.6: <i>Policy 6.2.6 In assessing the appropriateness of subdivision, use or development in coastal or freshwater environments, regard shall be given to the potential to enhance natural character in the area subject to the proposal.</i> <i>It may be possible to improve the natural character of coastal environments and freshwater bodies through appropriate subdivision, use and development of natural resources. Any improvement to the landscape, natural processes, biodiversity, water flows or quality incorporated into the proposal will be considered in this regard.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	69	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9 but add reference to Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	70	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the introductory paragraph is amended to refer to there being seven broad landscape areas, including Tasman Bay and the exposed Cook Strait coast.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	71	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Issue 7A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That reference to the NZCPS 2010 in the explanation that follows issues Statement 7A is added.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	72	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That Objective 7.1 is changed to a policy under Issue 7A:</p> <p>Objective 7.1 Policy 7.X Identify Marlborough's outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value.</p> <p>That Objective 7.1 is replaced with the following two new objectives under Issue 7A:</p> <p>Objective 7.1 Agreement about which natural landscapes and features Marlborough communities and visitors especially value for their landscape values.</p> <p>Objective 7.X The natural landscapes and features identified in accordance with the above policy are protected from inappropriate subdivision use and development. Note that the submission does not identify which policy is "...the above policy...".</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	73	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 7.1.1 (inferred):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.1.1 When assessing the values of Marlborough's landscapes, the following criteria will be used:</i></p> <p>(d) the presence of water, including in seas, lakes, rivers and streams.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	74	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.1.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.1.2 Define the boundaries of significant landscapes units using the following methods:</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	75	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.1.3: <i>Policy 7.1.3 Assessment of the values in Policy 7.1.1 will determine: (c) where landscape values are not sensitive to change which landscapes have values such that only significant adverse effects on their landscape values are required to be managed.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	76	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the explanation of Policy 7.1.4: Those landscapes that are an outstanding natural feature or landscape Landscapes that meet the criteria to be identified as an outstanding natural landscape, or outstanding natural feature will be identified (and mapped) in the MEP.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	77	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following is added (bold) to Policy 7.1.5: <i>Policy 7.1.5 Refine the boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value in response to: (c) effects of climate change and changed community views and aspirations.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	78	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	79	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.1: <i>Policy 7.2.1 Control activities that have the potential to degrade those values contributing to outstanding natural features and landscapes by requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive assessment of effects on landscape values through the resource consent process. Protect the landscape values of areas identified as outstanding from inappropriate subdivision, use and development by controlling activities that may degrade these values and requiring activities and structures to be subject to a comprehensive landscape assessment.</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	80	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	81	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.3 Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) using a non-regulatory approach as the primary means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in the Coastal Living Zone and only granting resource consent to activities and structures within the coastal marine area in proximity to this zone that have a functional requirement to be located in these areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living or are reasonably necessary to facilitate access and from the land;</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	82	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	83	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to the second sentence of the explanation for Policy 7.2.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.5 Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity within an outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the values that contribute to the landscape.</i></p> <p><i>Where it is proposed that an activity will take place in an outstanding natural feature and landscape or in a landscape with high amenity value, it is appropriate that an assessment of the impact of the proposal on these significant landscapes is carried out. To undertake the assessment, regard must be had to the values that contribute to the outstanding natural feature and landscape or a landscape with high amenity value as identified in Appendix 1 of the AEP. The level of assessment should reflect the scale of the proposed activity and the potential adverse effects on the values that contribute to the landscape.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	84	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.6	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 7.2.6: <i>Policy 7.2.6 Where the following activities are proposed to take place in an area with outstanding natural features and landscapes, then any adverse effects on the values of those areas can be mitigated, provided the overall qualities and integrity of the wider outstanding natural feature and landscape are retained:</i> <i>(a) activities involving the development and operation of regionally significant infrastructure;</i> <i>(b) activities that enhance passive recreational opportunities for the public where these are of a smaller scale; and</i> <i>(c) activities involving the development and operation of renewable electricity generation schemes within Marlborough where the method of generation is reversible.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	85	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	86	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	87	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.9.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	88	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	89	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.11.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	90	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That reference to Objective 1 and Policies 11, 13 and 14 of the NZCPS 2010 is made in the explanation.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the fourth paragraph of the Introduction:</p> <p><i>In addition, there are specific roles and functions in relation to protecting significant natural areas and habitats representative or significant natural ecosystems and sites of biological importance and maintaining the diversity of New Zealand's indigenous coastal flora and fauna and indigenous biological diversity to preserve New Zealand's (inferred) own recognisable character.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Objective 8.1 and the associated explanation except that the following amendment (strike-through) to the first sentence of the first paragraph:</p> <p><i>As there has been considerable loss of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough, it is important that remaining areas are protected and that their condition is maintained and improved where opportunities arise.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Issue 8A	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Issue 8A and explanation.</p> <p>The submission makes particular reference to retaining the reference to the importance of feeding areas, in particular of threatened species as king shag (first sentence of the second paragraph on page 8-3) and retaining the fourth sentence of the fourth paragraph on page 8-3.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1 and the associated explanation but make the following amendment (strike-through) to the first sentence of the first paragraph: <i>As there has been considerable loss of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough, it is important that remaining areas are protected and that their condition is maintained and improved where opportunities arise.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Objective 8.2: <i>Objective 8.2 An improved quality and increase in area/extent of Marlborough's indigenous biodiversity and restoration or improvement in the condition of areas that have been degraded.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 8.1.1 to refer to the ecological significance criteria in Appendix 3. Amend Appendix 3 to recognise important bird feeding areas as a criterion for determining ecological significance.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a further issues statement, objective and policies to address the indigenous biodiversity values of species that are migratory or do not spend their entire life cycle within the District. The submission does not include details for additional issues statement, objective and policies.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.1.2 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 8.1.2 While it is acknowledged that there are significant gaps in knowledge of the Districts' ecological values, in particular within the marine environment, Sites in the coastal marine area and natural wetlands assessed as having significant indigenous biodiversity value will be specifically identified in the Marlborough Environment Plan.</i></p> <p><i>Significant wetlands have been identified in the MEP because these small and fragmented areas are all that remain of the once vast areas of wetland that covered lowland Marlborough. Restoration and protection of wetland systems depends on maintenance and stability of the water tables. As such the scale of all wetlands, independent of their existing significance play a role for restoration projects. It is important to ensure the values of the significant all wetlands are protected to meet Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010. Areas that meet the RMA's definition of a wetland but do not have significant values in terms of the criteria in Policy 8.1.1 have not been identified in the MEP and therefore are not subject to wetland rules.</i></p> <p><i>Areas or habitats assessed as having significant ecological values and areas that fulfil ecosystem services to maintain healthy ecosystem functionality within the coastal marine area have been specifically identified in the MEP and are referred to as 'ecologically significant marine sites'. This is because the coastal marine area is comprised of resources in public ownership, with the Council having a more direct role in managing these resources including in relation to areas with significant biodiversity value in terms of Section 6(c) of the RMA. Regulation and education will be the Council's main approach in protecting, restoring and enhancing marine biodiversity.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 8.2.2 and include the following amendment (bold) to the explanation (<i>inferred</i> this is to follow the second paragraph).</p> <p><i>Stressors to wetlands include in particular water abstraction. Identify network of wetlands where conservation and restoration in the long term will be most successful. Integrating wetland remnants in one management area may in the longer term may be most beneficial.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to the last sentence of the second paragraph of the explanation: <i>In terms of Priority 4 habitats, in Marlborough bird species such as the king shag, New Zealand falcon, weka and rifleman and plant species such as pingao, Muehlenbeckia astonii and native broom species are either acutely or chronically threatened.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.2.4: <i>Policy 8.2.4 – Priority will be given to the re-establishment of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough's lowland most threatened environments including lowland and marine habitats.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	105	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	106	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	107	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	108	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.2.11: <i>Policy 8.2.11 – Promote corridors of indigenous vegetation along waterbodies to allow the establishment of native ecosystems and to provide wildlife habitat and linkages to other fragmented bush or and (inferred) wetland remnants.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	109	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	110	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	111	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	112	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	113	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	114	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	115	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 8.3.5: <i>Policy 8.3.5 In the context of Policy 8.3.1 and Policy 8.3.2, adverse effects to be avoided or otherwise remedied or mitigated may include:</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	116	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.6.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	117	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 8.3.7: <i>Policy 8.3.7 Within an all identified ecologically significant marine sites s fishing activities using techniques that disturb the seabed must be avoided.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	118	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	119	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Support 8.M.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	120	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	121	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	122	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	123	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	124	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	125	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	126	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	127	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.10.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	128	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.11.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	129	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.12.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	130	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	131	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	132	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	133	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	134	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	135	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 9.1.1: <i>Policy 9.1.1 The following areas are identified as having a high degree of importance for public access, protecting conservation values, mitigating natural hazards and enabling public recreational use and the Marlborough District Council will as a priority focus on enhancing access to and within these areas:</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	136	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.2(a): <i>Policy 9.1.2 In addition to the specified areas in Policy 9.1.1, the need for public access to be enhanced to and along the coastal marine area, lakes and rivers will be considered at the time of subdivision or development, in accordance with the following criteria:</i> <i>(a) there is existing public recreational use of the area in question, or improving access would promote outdoor recreation when considering both whether an esplanade reserve or strip will be taken and what the width will be consider all of the purposes of esplanade reserves under section 229 of the RMA, including managing the effects of public access, protecting conservation values, mitigating natural hazards and enabling public recreational use.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	137	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 9.1.5: <i>Policy 9.1.5 Acknowledge the importance New Zealander's place on the ability to have free and generally unrestricted access to and along the coastal marine area.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	138	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.8.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	139	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 9.1.9:</p> <p><i>Policy 9.1.9 Provided any adverse effect of public access and public recreation does not compromise conservation, wildlife or flood mitigation, Enhance public access through:</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	140	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That a new issues statement, objective and policies is added to address the implications of climate change on natural hazard management in Marlborough.</p> <p>The submission does not provide details for a issues statement, objective and policies.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That reference to Tasman Bay is added to the introduction and to the characteristics of this bay that are different to the Sounds and South Marlborough coasts.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Issue 13A statement:</p> <p><i>Issue 13A Trying to identify appropriate subdivision, use and development activities in Marlborough's coastal environment while protecting the values of the environment Identifying potential activities in the coastal environment and encouraging efficient use of a finite resource.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 13.1.1 but add a further policy requiring protection of natural character from the effects of inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.1.2: <i>Policy 13.1.2 Areas identified in Policy 13.1.1 as having significant values will be mapped to provide certainty for resource users, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the wider community and decision makers.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 13.2.4: <i>Policy 13.2.4 Attributes that may are required to be considered when assessing any effects on coastal amenity value in a particular location include natural character, landscape biodiversity, public access, visual quality, high water quality, recreational opportunities, structures and activities, open space, tranquillity and peacefulness.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	That the following addition (bold) is made to Policy 13.2.5: <i>Policy 13.2.5 Amenity values of the coastal environment can be maintained and enhanced by: (n) recognising that activities within the coastal marine area can adversely affect the amenity values across MHWS on land that has high recreational or amenity values, including scenic reserves.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That a reference to baseline data is added to <i>Policy 13.2.6 (a) recognising the contribution that open space and natural character make to amenity values and providing appropriate protection to areas of open space;</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.3.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Add reference to the Department of Conservation to 13.M.5 as being an affected party for all coastal permits.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add reference to the issues statement 13B to the recreational values of sheltered and inshore coastal waters.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain but extend reference to Tennyson Inlet, parts of Pelorus Sound, Okiwi Bay, Admiralty Bay, and eastern Tasman Bay.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new Issue, Objectives, and Policies: <i>Marine Protected Areas</i> are added. The submission does not provided details for the new Issue, Objectives, and Policies.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13D	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 13D.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add a policy stating that new residential activity in areas with identified outstanding natural character or landscape values should be avoided.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 13.5.3: <i>Policy 13.5.3 Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living while avoiding or mitigating of sprawling or sporadic patterns of settlement and urban growth, in order to (placement of the additional wording is inferred):</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.4.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.5.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.6.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.7.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the explanation of Policy 13.11.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.11.5 Reclamations shall be designed taking into account relevant dynamic coastal processes, including sea level rise. This policy helps to give effect to the provisions of the NZCPS regarding coastal hazards. It is important that reclamations are designed by appropriately qualified experts to ensure these matters are taken into account.</i></p> <p><i>If the sea-level rise is not too rapid, high value habitats that are particularly under threat from sea level rise (e.g. Saltmarsh, seagrass, intertidal rocky shore communities and dune land) could re-establish if they are able to migrate inland into areas where the slope of the newly inundated habitat is the same or greater than that in the existing habitat. This requires there to be no barriers to prevent inland migration. To facilitate targeted planning for such events, a more comprehensive assessment based on site specific survey is required.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are made to <i>13.AER.1 Monitoring effectiveness</i>:</p> <p><i>13.AER.1 Monitoring effectiveness</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>All resource consent decisions show that consideration has been given to the mapped values while acknowledging gaps in knowledge.</i> • <i>Monitoring of resource consent conditions imposed to protect areas of significance while acknowledging gaps in knowledge.</i> 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to 13.AER.2:</p> <p><i>13.AER.2 Subdivision, use and development of the coastal environment, including on land and water, is located in appropriate places and within appropriate limits while avoiding or mitigating sprawling or sporadic patterns of settlement and urban growth.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to 13.AER.5 (<i>inferred</i> addition is to the AER):</p> <p><i>13.AER.5 The amenity values of the coastal environment are maintained and enhanced while acknowledging safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil, and ecosystems.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	173	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to 13.AER.10: <i>13.AER.10 A proliferation of coastal structures is avoided. Inappropriate proliferation of coastal structures to be avoided.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	174	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15A	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 15A.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	175	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 15.AER.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	176	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the first sentence of the second paragraph: Introduction <i>In Marlborough, NIWA predicts that the mean temperature will increase by approximately 1 degree by 2040 2066 and 2 degrees by 2090 2116. Increases in temperatures are likely to increase past these dates. The climate is likely to become drier and the frequency of droughts is expected to increase. There is also a predicted increase in westerly winds, especially in winter and spring.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	177	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That reference in is added to the issues statement that any decrease in water availability will also increase competition between existing water users and values, both extractive and in-stream.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	178	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.1.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	179	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.1.2.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	180	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 19.1.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.1.3 Enable primary industries to adapt to the effects of climate change by ensuring that plan rules are sufficiently flexible whilst ensuring land uses continue to be consistent with the purpose of the RMA.</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	181	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 19.1.4:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.1.4 Take a precautionary approach to the allocation of additional freshwater resources and where freshwater has already been allocated, ensure that the allocation reflects the status of the resource and the effects on both extractive and instream uses and values.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	182	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 19.1.5:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.1.5 – Ensure that the freshwater that is available for out-of-stream use is allocated and used efficiently, by:</i></p> <p>(e) <i>(a) enabling the storage of water for subsequent use during low flow and low level periods;</i></p> <p>(a) <i>(b) requiring that the rate of water use authorised by water permit be no more than that required for the intended use, having regard to the local conditions; and</i></p> <p>(b) <i>(c) enabling the transfer of water permits between users within the same Freshwater Management Unit; .</i></p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	183	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Issue 19B:</p> <p><i>Issue 19B – Climate change could affect natural hazards and create a coastal inundation hazard associated with sea level rise.</i></p> <p>Add a further issue statement, objective and policies relating to addressing climate change that give effect to NZCPS policies 14 and 26.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	184	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>The submission states to add to Objective 19.2 the following words: <i>that the adverse effects of hazard mitigation structures are managed consistent with the purpose of the RMA</i> but does not specifically indicate where this to be added.</p>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	185	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 19.2.2:</p> <p><i>Policy 19.2.2 Avoid any inundation of new buildings and where appropriate infrastructure within the coastal environment by ensuring that adequate allowance is made for the following factors when locating, designing and/or constructing any building or infrastructure:</i></p> <p><i>Replace Policy 19.2.2 with a policy requiring any new development to avoid coastal hazards, taking into account at least a 100 year timeframe and having regard to relevant NZCPS Policies, including in particular Policy 24 (h).</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	186	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Objective 19.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	New policy required. The submission does not include details of the new policy.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	187	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 4.2.1.8: <i>Standard 4.2.1.8. A building must not be sited in, or within 820m of, a river, lake, Significant Wetland, drainage channel, the landward toe of any stopbank or the sea.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	188	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.2.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.2.2.2: <i>Standard 4.2.2.2 An activity undertaken within the Coastal Environment Zone must be conducted to ensure that noise arising at or within the boundary of any land zoned Urban Residential 1, Urban Residential 2 (including Greenfields), Urban Residential 3 or within the notional boundary of any dwelling on land zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living or Coastal Environment and all the ecologically significant marine sites the whale and dolphin sites shown on Maps 17 and 18, anchorages, mooring management areas, marine reserves (the placement of this statement is inferred) does not exceed the following noise limits:</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.1.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.1.1.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	190	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain except that the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.10.5: <i>Standard 4.3.10.5 Clearance of indigenous forest must not exceed 1,000m² per Computer Register, or 15 per cent of the title area, whichever is the lesser, in any 5 year period.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	191	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.8.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 16.1.8 is changed from a permitted activity to a controlled activity, unless authorised as an ancillary by a consent for another activity.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	192	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.17.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Rule 16.1.17 is changed from a permitted activity to a controlled activity.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	193	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Rule 16.1.12: <i>Rule 16.1.12 Temporary Permanent structure or equipment for scientific monitoring purposes.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	194	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.2.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Avoid permitted status of noise in and around sensitive areas for wildlife (refer to submission point #188).					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	195	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.12.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a further permitted activity condition that the sand being used for replenishment is of similar size and composition to that which naturally occurs.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	196	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5 but make it clear that the rule does apply to the whale and dolphin sites 7.15 and 8.1 on Maps 17 and 18, respectively by amending the legend on Map 17 to " Marine Mammal (whale) significant marine site and Map 18 to Marine Mammal (dolphin) significant site".					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	197	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make it clear that the rule does apply to the whale site 7.15 on Map 17 by amending the legend on Map 17 to <i>Marine Mammal (whale) significant marine site</i> .					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	198	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 18	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.7.5 but make it clear that the rule does apply to the dolphin site 8.1 on Map 18 by amending the legend on Map 18 to <i>Marine Mammal (dolphin) significant marine (inferred) site</i> .					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	199	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following definition of <i>Landscape</i> is included: <i>Landscape means an area, as perceived by people, whose character is the cumulative result of the action and interaction of natural and/or cultural factors.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	200	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of <i>Ecologically Significant Marine Sites</i> includes Maps 16, 17 and 18.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	202	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Coastal Natural Character, general That the colour palette is changed to more clearly show the coastal marine area boundary.</p> <p>Coastal Natural Character That the MEP is amended to appropriately address the criticisms and recommendations of Dr Steven for a more valid and robust ranking template.</p> <p>The submission includes three figures that identify additional areas to be expanded for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coastal Marine Areas Natural Character Rating (page 42), • Coastal Marine Areas of Outstanding Natural Character Rating (page 43) and • Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes of the Marlborough Sounds (page 44). 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	203	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made to Coastal Natural Character Map 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trio Island, Chetwode Islands, Titi Island be integrated in the Outstanding Natural Character of North D'Urville and Port Gore. • All Eastern Tasman Bay be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character between North D'Urville and entrance of Croisilles. • Maud Island and Mount Shewell be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character. • Expand Outstanding Natural Character of entrance of Queen Charlotte. • Amend the boundary of outstanding natural character area to include a distance of not less than 500 metres from MHWM as being within the outstanding natural character area. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	204	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made to Coastal Natural Character Map 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trio Island, Chetwode Islands, Titi Island be integrated in the Outstanding Natural Character of North D'Urville and Port Gore. • All Eastern Tasman Bay be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character between North D'Urville and entrance of Croisilles. • Maud Island and Mount Shewell be integrated in Outstanding Natural Character. • Expand Outstanding Natural Character of entrance of Queen Charlotte. • Amend the boundary of outstanding natural character area to include a distance of not less than 500 metres from MHWM as being within the outstanding natural character area. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	205	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the criticisms and recommendations of Dr Steven be fully recognised and that the MEP is amended accordingly. If analysis is retained, extent of the outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay to be increased and extension of ONL seascape to be at least 750m from MHWM.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	206	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula. Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohnuenui. Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar. Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head. Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes. <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay Admiralty Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the boundaries of the d'Urville Island outstanding natural features and landscape overlays to include the entire Bay that is located further than 300 metres from shore. <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Opuau channel between Bucklands Bay and Treble Tree Point and Ligar Bay</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include the whole of Apuau Channel between Buckland Bay and Treble Tree Point. Include the coastal waters within Ligar Bay. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	207	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 2:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula. Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohnuenui. Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar. Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head. Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	208	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 3:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula. Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohuenui. Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar. Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head. Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	209	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments are made to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 4:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The boundary of the outstanding natural feature and landscape is extended so that the seaward boundary is connected with adjacent connected with adjacent French Pass and SE d'Urville with northern Bulwer Peninsula. Connect Mount Shewell Reserve and Treble Tree Peninsula with Maud Island, Tawero Point and SW Pohuenui. Expand ONFL in northern seascapes of Waitata Reach entrance including Port Ligar. Connect seascape between Forsyth Island and Alligator Head. Expand all ONFL of northern Queen Charlotte to include the seascapes. <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Tawhitinui and Kauauroa Bays and Tawero Point</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include Tawhitinui and Kauauroa Bays. Include the coastal marine area between Tawero Peninsula and Kauauroa Bays. <p>Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Maud Island</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of Maud Island. <p>Outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay Queen Charlotte Sound</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of the northern side of Queen Charlotte Sound and the whole of Onahau, Lochmara, Torea, Kumutoto, Blackwood and Bay of Many Coves. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	210	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendments are made to to the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape overlay within Landscape Map 5:					
	Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape Overlay Maud Island					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of Maud Island. 					
	Outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay Queen Charlotte Sound					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of the northern side of Queen Charlotte Sound and the whole of Onahau, Lochmara, Torea, Kumutoto, Blackwood and Bay of Many Coves. 					
	Outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay East Bay					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include the coastal marine area within 300 metres of the northern side of East Bay. 					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	211	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Appendix 2, <i>Values contributing to high very high and outstanding coastal natural character</i> must be re-written to clearly identify the specific natural elements, patterns and processes that must be preserved and protected within each coastal marine and coastal terrestrial area of the coastal environment. Only relevant and assessable indicators for natural character ratings should be referred to.					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	212	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following criteria (bold) is added under the heading <i>Diversity and pattern</i> (page App 3-2):					
	<i>The site is an important feeding area for indigenous species.</i>					
716	Friends of Nelson Haven and Tasman Bay Incorporated	213	Volume 3	Appendix 4 Determining Significant Adverse Effects		Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend to refer to the effects of the proposal on natural character within the natural character unit it is located.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	1	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Review the proposed plan with the aim of reducing the size of the plan. This should be achieved by reducing the number of objectives and policies where there is overlap. In addition, review all objectives and policies and re-draft these so as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text. Then delete superfluous explanatory text.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	2	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Ensure the plan provides objectives, policies and rules addressing all activities, not just the primary production and tourism sector.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	3	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Review all objectives and policies and re-draft these so as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	4	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Engage with the quarry/aggregate and construction industry.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	5	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review the proposed plan with the aim of reducing the size of the plan. This should be achieved by reducing the number of objectives and policies where there is overlap. In addition, review all objectives ad policies and re-draft these as to ensure that the purpose and intent of all objectives and policies is clear without relying on extensive explanatory text. Then delete superfluous explanatory text.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	6	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 'reverse sensitivity' as a guiding principle and incorporate into subsequent objectives, policies and rules.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	7	Volume 1	2 Background	Working with others to sustainably manage Marlborough's natural and physical resources	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include an objective and policy at RPS level setting out how MDC will engage with others including resource users in order to monitor the efficiency and effectiveness of the District Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 3.1.3 to include a link between requirements to maintain and improve mauri, and iwi management plans/policy to ensure these requirements only apply when there is a level of guidance and therefore certainty for consent applicants. For example:</p> <p>Policy 3.1.3 - Where an application for resource consent of plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure:</p> <p>(a) the ability for tangata whenua to exercise kaitiakitanga is maintained;</p> <p>(b) mauri as described in the relevant iwi management plan is maintained or improved where degraded, particularly in relation to fresh and coastal waters, land and air;.....</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	9	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 3.1.4.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include issues, objectives and policies in Chapter 4 that address a wider range of resource uses than the use for primary industry and tourism in order to achieve 4.AER.1.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	11	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include within Issue 4A a discussion of other resource uses that Marlborough relies on for its social and economic wellbeing. For example:</p> <p>There are a number of other resource uses that play an important role in providing for Marlborough's social and economic wellbeing. These include the use of the aggregate resource from either land or river based sources. Aggregates are a vital and under recognised component of everyday life. Without them there would be none of the infrastructure on which modern society relies. Challenges for the efficient and cost effective extraction and delivery of aggregates includes: transportation (distance between source and market); a lack of recognition of the importance of the resource including in planning documents and the establishment of incompatible land use activities on or adjacent to aggregate resources.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	12	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new objective for resource uses that are not associated with Marlborough's primary production sector and tourism sector. In terms of aggregate extraction and use, this objective needs to recognise the importance of the resource to Marlborough, identify that there are factors that play a significant role in determining the cost of the resource and the products, processes and industries that rely on it, and that this resource use comes with responsibilities. For example:</p> <p><u>Objective 4.X - Marlborough's natural resources are recognised as an enabler of the economic and social wellbeing of the region.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.1.2.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	14	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Issue 4B but ensure that policies addressing this issue cover more than just the existence of infrastructure but recognises and provides for the materials and processes that contribute to its construction, operation and maintenance.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	15	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.2 but ensure that policies addressing this issue recognise and provide for the materials and processes that contribute to its construction, operation and maintenance.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	16	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new policy recognising the importance of access to the materials and processes required to construct use and maintain community infrastructure. For example:</p> <p><u>Policy 4.2X - Recognise that the use of natural and physical resources is essential for the construction, operation and maintenance of community infrastructure.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	17	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain method 4.M.9 District and Regional Rules.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	18	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the objective and policy framework to recognise and provide for the sustainable use of natural resources for all activities.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any reference to Appendix 5 in Policy 5.2.1 and instead describe generally what natural and human use values associated with freshwater may be. This could be achieved through definitions.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.4 to apply to surfacewater generally rather than only to FMUs dominated by surfacewater. For example: Policy 5.2.4 - Set specific environmental flows and/or levels for <u>surface water</u> Freshwater Management Units dominated by rivers, lakes and wetlands to: (a) protect the mauri of the waterbody;.....					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.2.8.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.11 to apply to aquifers generally rather than only to FMUs dominated by an aquifer. For example: Policy 5.2.11 - Set specific minimum levels for <u>aquifer</u> Freshwater Management Units dominated by aquifers to: (a) prevent physical damage to the structure of the aquifer; (b) prevent headwater recession of spring flows;					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.2.12 to either: a. remove the conductivity limit requirements; or b. limit the application of Policy 5.2.12 to the coastal FMUs (i.e. Wairau Aquifer Coastal Central FMU).					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.5 or amend Policy 5.3.5 to refer to effects on the values associated with water resources as opposed to the water resource itself and to clarify that enable in the context of this policy means permit. For example: Policy 5.3.5 - Enable as a <u>permitted activity</u> the take and use of water where it will have little or no adverse effect on the <u>values associated with water resources</u>.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.8 with amendments addressing the situation where the FMU is over allocated so as to provide for these activities where there is like for like replacement or a decrease in the amount of water taken and the activity continues to reflect reasonable demand for that use. For example: Policy 5.3.8 - Approve water permit applications to continue taking and using surface water when: (a) a specific minimum flow and allocation limit for the source Freshwater Management Unit is established in the Marlborough Environment Plan; (ab) the Freshwater Management Unit is not over-allocated in terms of the limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan; (bc) there is to be no change to the intended use of water, or if there is a change in use, this results in a decrease in the rate of take of water; and (ed) the application is made at least three months prior to the expiry of the existing water permit; or <u>(e) the Freshwater Management Unit is over-allocated in terms of the limits set in the Marlborough Environment Plan and there is no change to the intended use of water, no change to the rate and volume of water taken or there is a decrease in the rate and volume of water taken, and the water allocated reflects the reasonable demand given the intended use.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.13	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include guidance within the plan as to the definition of 'full aquifer penetration' by for example:					
	a. Specifying a minimum depth below the likely lowest groundwater level for an aquifer;					
	b. Specifying a depth below which a significant percentage (e.g. 50%) of bores are drilled at a specific date; or					
	c. A depth based on the best available technical information.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.3.14.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.4.1.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.4.3.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.4.4.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.4.5 to remove reference to enhanced transfer system so as to enable the transfer of water as part of this plan. For example:					
	<p>Policy 5.4.5 - When an enhanced transfer system is included in the Marlborough Environment Plan to enable tThe full or partial transfer of individual water allocations between the holders of water permits to take and use water, this will be provided for as a permitted activity where:</p> <p>...</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.5.2 to refer to allocation as opposed to abstraction so as to provide for further non-consumptive water takes. Policy 5.5.2 - No new water permit will be granted authorising additional abstraction <u>allocation</u> from the water resources identified in Policy 5.5.1 after 9 June 2016.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.5.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.5.5 and rely on Policy 5.5.4 or Policy 5.7.2 to give effect to Policy B6 of the NPS Freshwater.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.2 to clarify that water will be allocated to non-irrigation uses based on what is reasonable and that this will be determined on a case by case basis based on the specifics of the proposed use. For example: Policy 5.7.2 - To allocate water on the basis of reasonable demand given the intended use <u>by:</u> <u>a) for irrigation, applying the 'irricalc' irrigation demand tool; or</u> <u>b) for other uses, determining what is reasonable on a case by case basis based on the specifics of the proposed use.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 5.7.3 to allow specific use and demand information to be considered when making decisions on non-irrigation use consents. For example: Policy 5.7.3 - Water permit applications to use water for irrigation will not be approved when the rate of use exceeds what is considered the reasonable use calculation in terms of Policy 5.7.2, except where the applicant can demonstrate that they require more water based on property, <u>demand, or activity</u> specific information.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 5.9.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	37	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.25.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	38	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	39	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain 11.M.8 but amend to make explicit where the method will apply.					
	<p>11.M.8 Gravel permits</p> <p>In addition to regional rules, the Council will utilise a system of gravel permits to authorise the extraction of gravel from river beds. These permits will be issued by a Council Rivers and Drainage Engineer and will specify the location of extraction and the amount of material to be extracted. Conditions can be imposed on the gravel permits to manage any site-specific adverse effects not addressed through regional rules. The permits provide flexibility to respond to the accumulation of gravel in river beds in the short term. The duration of the permits will be limited to enable effective monitoring of the effect of the extraction on river bed levels and the surrounding environment. <u>The gravel permit system will apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	40	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 11.M.10.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	41	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	11.M.15	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 11.M.15 to explicitly provide for the collaborative development of the Gravel Management Strategy.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	42	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	14.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the plan to ensure that it recognises and provides for uses of the rural resource and the rural environment other than primary production.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	43	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 14.1 to recognise that rural environments need to be managed so as to enable not only primary production activities but other activities that rely on the rural resource such as gravel extraction. For example: <u>Objective 14.1 - Rural environments are maintained as a resource for primary production activities and activities that rely on the rural resource, enabling these activities to continue contributing to economic and social wellbeing whilst ensuring the adverse effects of these activities are appropriately managed.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	44	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support
Decision Requested	Include a new Policy that enables other activities that necessarily rely on the rural resource such as quarrying. For example: <u>Policy 14.1X - Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for activities that rely on the rural resource and are necessarily located in the rural environment.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	45	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.1.7 to apply to all activities that are necessarily located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource so as to provide protection from the reverse sensitivity effects. <u>Policy 14.1.7 - Recognise that primary production activities that rely on the rural resource, and necessarily occur in rural environments may result in effects including noise, dust, smell and traffic generation, but these will require mitigation where they have a significant adverse effect on the environment.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	46	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new policy that outlines the expectations for activities wishing to establish in the rural environment that may be sensitive to the types of effects associated with rural activities. For example:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.7.X1 - Ensure the design and location of new habitable buildings achieve adequate separation distances or adopt other on-site mitigation methods, including acoustic insulation, to mitigate potential reverse sensitivity effects with lawfully established productive rural activities.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	47	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Objective 14.3 but amend the explanation to highlight that there are activities other than primary production activities such as quarrying, that are significant contributors to the economic and social wellbeing of the region and need to be recognised as activities that necessary and appropriately occur in the rural environment.</p> <p>Include a policy enabling land based aggregate extraction within the rural environment.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	48	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new policy enabling quarrying within the rural environment. For example:</p> <p><u>Policy 14.3.X - Enable the efficient use and development of rural environments for quarrying,wile managing effects on:</u></p> <p><u>a) the life supporting capacity of soils, water, air and ecosystems;</u></p> <p><u>b) natural character of rivers, wetlands and lakes;</u></p> <p><u>c) water quality and water availability;</u></p> <p><u>d) areas with landscape significance;</u></p> <p><u>e) areas with significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna;</u></p> <p><u>f) the values of the coastal environment as set out in Issue 13A of Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment; or</u></p> <p><u>g) the safe and efficient operation of the land transport network and Marlborough's airports.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	49	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Issue 14B	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Recognise within Issue 14B that rural character is influenced heavily by the activities that occur within it and that perception is not always reality.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	50	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Objective 14.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 14.4 but delete the explanation to avoid the objective focusing on primary production activities.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	51	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.1 to consider all contributing elements to rural character and amenity. For example:</p> <p>Policy 14.4.1 - Subdivision, use and development of Marlborough's rural environments should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises that rural character and amenity values vary across the region resulting from the combination of natural and physical resources present, including the location and extent of established and permitted activities. Therefore subdivision, use and development should be of a density, scale, intensity and location that individually and cumulatively recognises the following elements:</p> <p>(a) a lack of buildings and structures;</p> <p>(b) a very high ratio of open space in relation to areas covered by buildings;</p> <p>(c) open space areas in pasture, trees, vineyards, crops or indigenous vegetation;</p> <p>(d) areas with regenerating indigenous vegetation, particularly in the Marlborough Sounds;</p> <p>(e) tracts of unmodified natural features, indigenous vegetation, streams, rivers and wetlands;</p> <p>(f) farm animals and wildlife;</p> <p>(g) noises, smells and sights of agriculture, viticulture, horticulture, quarrying and forestry <u>and a working rural environment</u>;</p> <p>(h) post and wire fences, purpose-built farm buildings and scattered dwellings;</p> <p>(i) low population density;</p> <p>(j) the presence of Blenheim, Omaka and Koromiko airports;</p> <p>(k) generally narrow carriageways within wide road reserves, often unsealed with open drains, low-speed geometry and low traffic volumes; and</p> <p>(l) a general absence of urban-scale and urban-type infrastructure, such as roads with kerb and channel, footpaths, mown berms, street lights or advertising signs.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	52	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include reference to all activities that are necessarily located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource, including quarrying, through direct reference in the policy or explanation; or through including a definition of productive rural activities that included all activities that are necessary located in the rural environment and rely on the rural resource, for example:</p> <p><u>Productive rural activities means farming, plantation forestry, intensive farming, horticulture and quarrying activities</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	53	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 14.4.15 and the explanation to ensure that it does not prevent legitimate rural activities such as quarrying from occurring in the rural environment. For example:</p> <p>Policy 14.4.15 - The Wairau Plain has been recognised as having particularly amenity and rural character values that are to be maintained and enhanced by:</p> <p><u>(a) enabling primary production activities as provided for in the underlying Rural Environment Zone and activities the rely on the rural resource such as quarrying activities;</u></p> <p>(b) avoiding subdivision below eight hectares to help retain primary production options and retain a sense of openness within the Wairau Plain Area;</p> <p>(c) controlling residential activity, other than that associated with primary production, to avoid conflict between rural and residential amenity expectations;</p> <p>(d) managing the establishment of subdivision, use and development to avoid, remedy or mitigate effects on the safety functioning and efficiency of the arterial road network; and</p> <p><u>(e) ensuring that other than as provided for in Policies 14.3.1, 14.5.3 and 14.5.4, activities not related to primary production or quarrying activities in the Wairau Plain Area are to be avoided.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	54	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Support
Decision Requested	Provide guidance as to the permitted activity threshold for dust discharges from activities such as construction and bulk handling of materials.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	55	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.9.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	56	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.16	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 15.1.6.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	57	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.2.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.2.4 to provide for applications for discharge consents that are a renewal of an existing discharge. For example:</p> <p>Policy 15.2.4 - Refuse discharge permit applications to discharge PM10 into air within the Blenheim airshed if the discharge is likely to increase the concentration of PM10 by more than 2.5 micrograms per cubic metre (24 hour average) in any part of the airshed, unless:</p> <p>(a) the Blenheim airshed average exceedance is less than 1 per year; or</p> <p>(b) the application offsets the proposed PM10 discharge by reducing PM10 discharges from another source(s) in the airshed by the same or greater amount; <u>or</u></p> <p><u>(c) the application is subject to Section 124 of the RMA.</u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	58	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.3.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 15.3.5 so as to broaden the scope of the policy beyond discharges from industrial and trade processes. For example:</p> <p>Policy 15.3.5 - Manage discharges of contaminants to air not specifically provided for in Policies 15.2.1 to 15.2.3 or 15.3.1 to 15.3.4 by:</p> <p>(a) allowing, as permitted activities, discharges of contaminants into air from industrial or trade promises or industrial or trade processes activities that have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment;</p> <p>(b) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects of localised ground level concentrations of contaminants, including cumulative effects on:</p> <p>(i) human health; and</p> <p>(ii) amenity values; and</p> <p>(c) avoiding or mitigating adverse effects on any other values.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	59	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.1.2.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	60	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 16.M.1 Regional rules.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	61	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.4.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 17.4.5 to remove the reference to locating activities on specific properties and to shift the focus to the access and include reference to minimising effects on amenity values associated with secondary routes. For example:</p> <p>Policy 17.4.5 - Commercial and industrial activities with potential to adversely affect the arterial road network should preferably be located on properties with access to secondary arterial and collector routes unless this will cause an adverse effect on the amenity of this road.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	62	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove any duplication of rules and standards from Volume 2 and contain rules for like activities in the same location.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	63	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Review the rules of the plan and include, where appropriate, a range of activity statuses recognising that the effects associated with some activities are relatively minor or of narrow scope.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.15 to include groundwater: Take, use and discharge of surface-water for non-consumptive use.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.3.14.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standards under heading 2.3.14 to include groundwater: Take, use and discharge of surface water for non-consumptive use. 2.3.14.1. The instantaneous take rate must not exceed 5% of river flow at any time. 2.3.14.2. The take and discharge must not be from or into a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State water quality classification, or a Significant Wetland. 2.3.14.3. The water must be returned into the same surface waterbody or <u>groundwater resource</u> from which it was taken, at the same or similar rate and in the same or better quality. 2.3.14.4. The water taken must be discharged back into the same surface waterbody or <u>groundwater resource</u> within 250m of the point of take.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	66	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.7.5.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	67	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Extend the area to which the gravel permit applies to the area traditionally addressed by this permit system, and include a new permitted activity rule that makes it explicit that where a gravel permit is held from Marlborough District Council that the extraction of gravel is permitted activity. For example:</p> <p><u>2.7.X The extraction of gravel and the associated disturbance of the bed and banks of a river under the terms and conditions of a valid gravel permit from Marlborough District Council.</u></p> <p>Include a new overlay on the planning maps identifying the gravel permit area as all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	68	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new rule permitting discharges of sediment associated with undertaking permitted works within waterways. For example:</p> <p><u>Rule 2.16.X</u></p> <p><u>Any discharge of sediment generated as a result of an activity in, on, over or under the bed of a lake that is permitted by this plan, is a permitted activity.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	69	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.21.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Include a permitted activity rule for the discharge of dust with effects that are likely to be low or that is likely to have a low risk to human health and amenity values such as Rule 3.2.9.1. For example:</p> <p><u>Rule 2.21.X</u></p> <p><u>Any discharge of dust to air that does not have an offensive or objectionable effect beyond the boundary of the site is a permitted activity.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	70	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.31 Permitted Activities.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	71	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Include a new permitted activity standard setting a minimum separation distance for habitable dwellings from productive rural activities such as quarrying. For example: <u>3.2.2.X Any habitable building shall not be located within 250m of the boundary of a legally established quarry.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	72	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	73	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.6.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Rule.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	74	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	75	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	76	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend rule 21.1.8 to apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries. <u>21.1.8. Gravel and sediment removal within a dry part of a riverbed within the gravel permit overlay.</u>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	77	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Notify a definition of Safe Yield.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	78	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Notify a definition of Enhanced Transfer System.					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	79	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the notified definition of clean fill with MfE's definition from its clean fill guidelines.</p> <p><u>Cleanfill material</u></p> <p><u>Material that when buried will have no adverse effect on people or the environment. Cleanfill material includes natural materials such as clay, soil and rock, and other inert materials such as concrete or brick that are free of:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>- Combustible, putrescible, degradable, or leachable components</u> <li><u>- hazardous substances</u> <li><u>- products or materials derived from hazardous waste treatment, hazardous waste stabilisation or hazardous waste disposal practices</u> <li><u>- materials that may present a risk to human or animal health such as medical and veterinary waste, asbestos or radioactive substances</u> <li><u>- liquid waste.</u> 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	80	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new definition of Quarrying:</p> <p><u>Quarrying</u></p> <p><u>means the use of land, buildings and plant for the purpose of extraction of natural sand, gravel, clay, silt and rock and the associated processing, storage, sale and transportation of those same materials and quarry site rehabilitation. It may include:</u></p> <p><u>a. earthworks associated with the removal and storage of over-burden;</u></p> <p><u>b. extraction of natural sand, gravel, clay, silt and rock materials by excavation or blasting;</u></p> <p><u>c. processing of aggregate materials by screening, crushing, washing and/or mixing them together;</u></p> <p><u>d. the addition of additives such as clay, lime, cement and recycled/recovered aggregate to extracted materials;</u></p> <p><u>e. workshops required for the repair of equipment used on the same property;</u></p> <p><u>f. site management offices;</u></p> <p><u>g. car parking;</u></p> <p><u>h. landscaping;</u></p> <p><u>j. quarry site rehabilitation and any associated clean-filling.</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	81	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Include a new definition of Rural Productive Activities</p> <p><u>Productive rural activities</u></p> <p><u>means farming, plantation forestry, intensive forestry, horticulture and quarrying activities</u></p>					
717	Fulton Hogan Limited	82	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend rule 21.1.9 to apply to all rivers north of and including the Wairau River and its tributaries.</p> <p><u>21.1.9. Gravel and sediment removal within a wet part of a riverbed within the gravel permit overlay.</u></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
391	G J and R M Gane Family Trust	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
747	GAL Partnership	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
748	GAL Partnership	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
748	GAL Partnership	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of the marine farm 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
748	GAL Partnership	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
748	GAL Partnership	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of the 8434 Whangakoko Bay, Port Underwood; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
801	John Gallagher	1	All	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
191	Wayne Gander	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The lifespan of appliances installed since 2000 should be extended maybe 20-25 years. Also a longer period of time allowed to fund and replace appliances installed prior to 2000, say 5-7 years.					
276	Ian Gardiner	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
276	Ian Gardiner	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
79	Jonathan Gardner	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change rule 16.3.9.1 so that there is no time limit on the period of deployment of monitoring equipment.					
79	Jonathan Gardner	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.6.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Restoration activities should be Permitted Activities in their own right, subject to reasonable standards that might include (1) not altering ecologically significant marine sites, (2) notifying the Harbour Master such that any issues to do with safety of navigation are avoided, and (3) consulting with Iwi to avoid sites of cultural interest.					
749	GBC Winstone	1	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (bold) are included as permitted activities under 13.1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>GBCWinstone (a Division of Fletcher Concrete & Infrastructure Ltd.) activities (on land described as Lot 1 DP 4166, Lot 1 DP 7579 and Lot 1 DP 4973 in Picton and as shown as Specific Identified Sites on Planning Map [Insert relevant Map Number]) shall be permitted, including all other activities listed as permitted in the Port Zone, provided that they comply with the standards for permitted activities in the Port Zone.</i> • <i>The discharges of contaminants into air from particular industrial or trade premises used for the storage, blending and distribution of concrete processing materials.</i> <p>This approach will be consistent with sections 5, 7(c) and 7(f) of the Resource Management Act and Policy 15.3.5 of the PMP.</p>					
749	GBC Winstone	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Policy 13.17.3:</p> <p><i>Policy 13.17.3 Recognise and provide for the following operational requirements of Port Zones in Picton and Havelock:</i> <i>(k) port administration including security, servicing and maintenance activities; and</i> <i>(l) signage;</i> <i>and</i> <i>(m) premises used for the storage, blending, distribution of bulk products including concrete processing materials.</i></p> <p>This will give effect to Issue 13J and Objective 13.17.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
749	GBC Winstone	3	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 13.2.9.1:</p> <p>Standard 13.2.9.1 The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid dust beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the activity is occurring.</p> <p>That the following new standards (bold) are included under heading 13.2.9:</p> <p>13.2.9.1 The dust must not result in an objectionable or offensive effects at or beyond the legal boundary of the area of land on which the permitted activity is occurring.</p> <p>13.2.9.2 The dust must not result in an adverse health effects beyond the property boundary.</p> <p>13.2.9.3 Any person undertaking an activity resulting in the emission of dust shall adopt the best practicable option to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects resulting from the dust emissions on the receiving environment.</p>					
749	GBC Winstone	4	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.2.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Heading 13.2.10 and associated standards:</p> <p>13.2.10. Dust from any process vent or stack.</p> <p>13.2.10.1. The dust must not contain hazardous substances.</p> <p>13.2.10.2. The particulate discharge rate from any air pollution control equipment and dust collection system must not exceed 250mg/m³ at any time, corrected to 0°C, 1 atmosphere pressure, dry gas basis.</p> <p>13.2.10.3 Dust particles must not exceed 0.05mm size in any direction.</p>					
749	GBC Winstone	5	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.3.25.4.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Standard 13.3.25.4(a), as it does not appear to serve any RMA related purpose. Standard 13.3.25.4 If the power output of the device is between 30kW and 400kW - (a) the engine must not be operated for a total of greater than 5 hours in any 24-hour period; Alternately, the following new permitted activity rule is included: Rule 13.1.X Discharges of contaminants to air from the combustion of fuel for the purposes of electricity generation during mains power unavailability.					
749	GBC Winstone	6	Volume 2	13 Port Zone	13.6.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Rule 13.6.3: <i>Rule 13.6.3. Discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of any of the following materials:</i>					
442	GDC Consulting (2010) Limited	1	Volume 4	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend colour palate to assist with zone identification.					
177	Kristen Gerard	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain all coastal natural character and ONFLS overlays for the Port Gore area of the Marlborough Sounds.					
177	Kristen Gerard	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain all coastal natural character and ONFLS overlays for the Port Gore area of the Marlborough Sounds.					
177	Kristen Gerard	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain all coastal natural character and ONFLS overlays for the Port Gore area of the Marlborough Sounds.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5 <i>(inferred)</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.3					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.1.4 (<i>inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested in submission.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.7					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	17	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	This policy should state more clearly what land use activities need to be setback from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area in order to preserve natural character (<i>inferred</i>).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	18	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend policy with the following additional wording (in bold): Policy 7.2.3(c) - requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities and marine farming activities .					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	23	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	24	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.8 as long as the existing use rights of farmers are protected.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	25	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.10					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.12					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	28	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	29	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	31	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	32	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain issues, objectives, polices and Methods of implementation with the following amendments/comments/etc regarding Policy 8.2.2, Methods of implementation 8.M.6, Policy 8.2.4 and Policy 8.3.7 (in separate submission points).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	33	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	34	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Methods of Implementation 8.M.3 <i>The Council's Marlborough Significant Natural Areas programme involves the collection of information about natural ecosystems on private land, with the aim of working with landowners to help protect significant sites. An ecological survey is undertaken with property reports prepared that summarise the ecological values found and suggest management options to ensure their long term survival.</i></p> <p><i>The Department of Conservation has also identified significant sites on private land through its Protected Natural Areas survey programme. There is no duplication in effort as the Council and Department programmes have surveyed different areas of Marlborough.</i></p> <p><i>Although a good proportion of private land in Marlborough has been surveyed, some landowners have not allowed the Council onto their property, therefore the programme of identifying sites is incomplete and ongoing. If a landowner changes their mind or a property changes ownership and a new landowner wishes to have their property surveyed, then the Council will undertake the survey work.</i></p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	35	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Methods of Implementation 8.M.6 <i>The Council will support, including financially, the protection and/or restoration of areas with biodiversity value in the following ways:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>through the established landowner assistance programme, which provides both practical and financial help with work such as pest and weed control and fencing;</i> <i>by the waiving of resource consent application fees for activities that would assist in the protection of significant areas;</i> <i>through the annual planning process, consider granting reductions in rating for properties where sites are protected through conservation covenants;</i> <i>from funding made available by central government for the protection of areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats of indigenous fauna;</i> <i>by prioritising available funds for significant sites where sites are subject to protective covenants;</i> <i>through appropriate investigations to improve our understanding of the nature and state of indigenous biodiversity in Marlborough; and</i> <i>through supporting initiatives developed by community and industry groups to promote protection and restoration of indigenous biodiversity.</i> 					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	36	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That areas requiring planting to increase indigenous biodiversity do not become regulated and protected by onerous provisions (inferred) .					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	37	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The policy should be extended to exclude all bottom disturbance fishing activities in the Marlborough Sounds marine environment.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	38	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	39	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	40	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	41	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 9.1.16 (<i>inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	42	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.1.17	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	43	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	44	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the policy by adding the following (in bold): Policy 11.1.13 - Recognise that the risk to life and property during flood events is greater in rural environments and support community initiatives to set-up emergency response networks.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	45	Volume 1	11 Natural Hazards	Policy 11.1.22	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 11.1.22					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	46	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.1.1 - Avoid adverse effects from subdivision, use and development activities on areas identified as having: (a) outstanding natural character; (b) outstanding natural features and/or outstanding natural landscapes; (c) significant marine biodiversity value and/or are a significant wetland; or (d) significant historic heritage value.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	47	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy 13.2.2(c) <i>whether the efficient operation of established activities that depend on the use of the coastal marine area is adversely affected by the proposed subdivision, use or development activity (inferred).</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	48	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.3 - (a) lapse periods for coastal permits will be no more than five years; and (b) the duration of coastal permits granted for activities in the coastal marine area for which limitations on durations are imposed under the Resource Management Act 1991 will generally be limited to a period not exceeding 20 years.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.2	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	51	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.3.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	52	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough and bold) to Policy 13.3.4 - Ensure recreational use has priority over commercial activities that require occupation of the coastal marine area throughout the entire Sounds in Queen Charlotte Sound, including Tory Channel . (This policy does not apply to areas zoned Port or Marina.)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	53	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	54	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	55	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that accommodation (accommodation required for farm owners - <i>inferred</i>) and workers for these purposes should be enabled.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	56	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 13.5.6 to recognise that where the Coastal Living zone is located alongside the Coastal Environment Zone, noise associated with farming activities should be anticipated and expected (<i>inferred</i>).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	57	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.6.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.6.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	58	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	59	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.7.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	60	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	61	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	62	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	63	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	64	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.5	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 13.9.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	65	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	66	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	67	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	68	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	69	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	70	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	71	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	72	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	73	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	74	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	75	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	76	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.9					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	77	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.10					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	78	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.11					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	79	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.12					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	80	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.13					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	81	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.14					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	82	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.15					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	83	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.16					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	84	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.17					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	85	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.18					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	86	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.19					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	87	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.20					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	88	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.21					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	89	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.22					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	90	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.23					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	91	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	92	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	93	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	94	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.27					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	95	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.11.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.11.4					
	We also submit that the map of all barge-sites (current and potential) as has been recently collated by Council with help from the Kenepuru and Central Sounds Resident's Association be re-viewed, finalised and included in the new MEP.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	96	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	97	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	98	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.14.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	99	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	100	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	101	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	102	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.16	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That this objective should be widened to include all of the enclosed Sounds waterways - i.e., in the Pelorus and Kenepuru Sounds.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	103	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	104	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.17					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.2					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.9					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.10					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support
Decision Requested	Support Policy 13.17.11					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	121	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Objective 15.1a	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 15.1a					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	122	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 15.1.1– As a minimum, the quality of freshwater and coastal waters will be managed so that they are suitable for the following purposes:</p> <p>(a) Coastal waters: protection of marine ecosystems; potential for contact recreation and food gathering/marine farming; and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;</p> <p>(b) Rivers and lakes: protection of aquatic ecosystems; potential for contact recreation; community water supply (where water is already taken for this purpose); and for cultural and aesthetic purposes;</p> <p>(c) Groundwater: drinking water supply; and</p> <p>(d) Wetlands: protection of aquatic ecosystems and the potential for food gathering.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	123	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.10	Support
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Policy 15.1.10 - Require any applicant applying for a discharge permit that proposes the discharge of contaminants to water to consider all potential receiving environments and adopt the best practicable option, having regard to:</p> <p>(a) the nature of the contaminants;</p> <p>(b) the relative sensitivity of the receiving environment;</p> <p>(c) the financial implications and effects on the environment of each option when compared with the other options; and</p> <p>(d) the current state of technical knowledge and the likelihood that each option can be successfully applied.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	124	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.18					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	126	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.19	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following changes (strikethrough) to <i>Policy 15.1.19 - Progressively work toward eliminating the discharge of human sewage to coastal waters in the Marlborough Sounds, with the exception of regionally significant infrastructure.</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	127	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 15.1.20					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	128	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	If this policy does no include the Coastal Environment rural areas, it should as siltation in the Sounds is a big issue now-days, and farmers here should do their bit as well.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	129	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15E	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a new paragraph is added to the explanatory text of Issue 15E, as provided in Federated Farmers submission: <i>At times primary production activities will generate effects such as noise, odour and dust - residents living in the rural environment should therefore reasonably expect amenity values to be modified by such effects.</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	130	Volume 1	16 Waste	Objective 16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 16.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	131	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.2.8 Council should work with the community to achieve this outcome.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	132	Volume 1	16 Waste	16.M.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 16.M.21					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	133	Volume 1	16 Waste	Policy 16.3.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 16.3.9					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	134	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Policy 19.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 19.2.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	135	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 2.2.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	136	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	137	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.5					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	138	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity Rule 2.2.8					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	139	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.15.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Permitted Activity 2.2.15					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	140	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Support Standard 4.2.1.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	141	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.2.1.11 - On land within any Marlborough Sounds Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape: (b) any paint applied to the exterior cladding of a building or structure must have a light reflectance value of 45% or less.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	142	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.12.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.2.1.12					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	143	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The heading should have the following wording included (bold) (inferred): The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid odour.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	144	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.5.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <i>(inferred): The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</i> smoke.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	145	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The heading should have the following wording included (bold) <i>(inferred): 4.2.6 The best practicable method must be adopted to avoid</i> dust.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	146	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We submit that there should be adequate set-backs from all formed public roads, foreshore reserves and adjoining property boundaries - just what these set-backs should be is just one of the many issues that needs to be thrashed out by all those concerned.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	147	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Add new standard to heading <i>4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting</i> . <i>New Standard 4.3.6.3 - If an area is not going to be re-planted in commercial forest, then it must be actively managed to avoid the regeneration and proliferation of wilding pines (e.g., by spraying).</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	148	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following species (bold) to Heading 4.3.7 Woodlot forestry planting and Standard 4.3.7.1 The following species must not be planted:					
	(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);					
	(b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);					
	(c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);					
	(d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);					
	(e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);					
	(f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);					
	(g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>);					
	(h) all pine species ;					
	(i) all wattle species ;					
	(j) sycamores ;					
	(k) robinias .					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	149	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within: (a) 30m of a formed and sealed public road;</i> Amend wording of so that planting setbacks apply to all public roads.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	150	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<i>Standard 4.3.7.2 Planting must not be in, or within: (d) 200m of the coastal marine area</i> Bring 200m setback from the coastal marine area for woodlots into line with set-backs for commercial forestry. Inferred that the 30 metre setback (underlined) in Heading 4.3.6 Commercial forestry Standard 4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within: (c) <u>30 metres</u> of the coastal marine area should be increased to 200 metres setback.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	151	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.1.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p><i>Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting Standard 4.3.8.1 Harvesting must not be in, or within: (c) 200m of the coastal marine area.</i></p> <p>Inferred Delete 200 metre setback restriction on harvesting of woodlot forestry.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	152	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be some flexibility (<i>in the standards - inferred</i>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	153	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be some flexibility (<i>in the standards - inferred</i>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	154	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be some flexibility (<i>in the standards - inferred</i>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	155	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>There needs to be some flexibility (<i>in the standards - inferred</i>) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	156	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Consider including native indigenous species first and foremost to the list of species in Standard 4.3.9.1.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	157	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.9.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the standard is broadened to include (in bold): <i>Standard 4.3.9.3 Only indigenous species must be planted in or within, 8m of a significant wetland, foreshore reserve, Coastal Marine Zone or Open Space 3 Zones.</i>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	158	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.10.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend <i>Standard 4.3.10.2 The clearance of indigenous vegetation in the following circumstances is exempt from Standards 4.3.10.3 to 4.3.10.6 (inclusive):</i> by adding the words (bold) to (d) <i>where the clearance is associated with the maintenance of an existing road, forestry road/fire-break, harvesting track or farm track, or fence-line.</i> Addition (bold) of (f) or for restoration purposes within managed native restoration planting areas to Standard 4.3.10.2.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	159	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility (in the standards - inferred) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	160	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility (in the standards - inferred) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	161	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility (in the standards - inferred) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	162	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.11.10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	There needs to be some flexibility (in the standards - inferred) to allow the ability to remove wood that has mistakenly fallen into, or within 8m of waterways.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	163	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.12.4.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.12.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	164	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.19.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.19					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	165	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.26.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following changes (strikethrough and bold):</p> <p>Standard 4.3.26.1 Pest Plants identified in the Appendix 25 and willow, blackberry, broom, gorse and old man's beard are the only vegetation that may be sprayed. Exotic species are the only vegetation that may be sprayed.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	166	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.29.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add the following heading and standards to the 4.3 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities (Coastal Environment Zone):</p> <p>4.3.x Discharge of human effluent into land through a long drop toilet.</p> <p>4.3.x.x There must not be a Council operated sewerage system designed for that purpose within 60m of the long drop toilet.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The bottom of the long drop is located above the natural groundwater level at all times.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must not be located:</p> <p>(a) within 50m of a river, lake, Significant Wetland or drainage channel;</p> <p>(b) within 30m of a bore.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must not be constructed on unconsolidated gravels, coarse or medium sands, fissured rocks or scree.</p> <p>4.3.x.x Once the human effluent reaches within 1m of the original ground level, or the long drop is no longer used, the content of the long drop must be covered with soil to a depth of at least 1m.</p> <p>4.3.x.x The long drop toilet must be constructed so that no surface runoff enters the toilet.</p>					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	167	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.30.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.30 (<i>inferred</i>)					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	168	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.31.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.31 (<i>inferred</i>)					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	169	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.35.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.35 but add a new standard (bold): Standard 4.3.35.2 All open fires in the Marlborough Sounds must have a fire permit.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	170	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.36.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 4.3.36 but add a new standard (bold): Standard 4.3.36.2 All open fires in the Marlborough Sounds must have a fire permit.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	171	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	172	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	173	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.1					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	174	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	175	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add other (weedy) tree species to list of prohibited species to be planted. No species included in submission.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	176	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.9.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 7.2.1.9					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	177	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.2.3					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	178	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.3.6					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	179	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.3.7					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	180	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.3.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 7.3.14, Standards 7.3.14.1 and 7.3.14.2					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	181	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.13					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	182	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.1.14.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 16.1.14					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	183	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.10.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 16.3.10					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	184	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.11.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 16.3.11					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	185	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 16.3.13					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	186	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Change date of prohibition (9 June 2022). Although no alternative date provided, based on submission, prohibition should be effective immediately (<i>inferred</i>).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	187	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Change date of prohibition (9 June 2022). Although no alternative date provided, based on submission, prohibition should be effective immediately (<i>inferred</i>).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	188	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Make the following amendment (strikethrough) (<i>inferred</i>): Rule 16.7.4 Discharge of treated or untreated human sewage into the coastal marine area, except for the discharge of treated human sewage from regionally significant infrastructure.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	189	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Clarify at the start of this chapter that Open Space 3 Zone covers all the Sounds Foreshore Reserve, DOC Reserves, Titirangi Farm Park, and some privately Covenanted land. There should be no exotic plantings on this land, and no clearance of indigenous vegetation (except that required for walking track/road maintenance and the on-going management of DOC Reserves and Titirangi Farm Park).					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	190	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 114	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Adding the two covenanted areas at Hopai Bay to Open Space 3 Zone. The Coastal Natural Character layer should cover the entire covenanted area.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	191	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Remove the area of plantation forestry (blackwoods and eucalyptus species) in Hopai from the Outstanding Natural Features and Landscape Overlay.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	192	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Landscape 5 Overlay Map					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	193	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Natural Character 4 Overlay Map					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	194	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Identify Ouokaha Island, Crail Bay, as an Ecologically Significant Marine Site.					
424	Michael and Kristen Gerard	195	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Identify Ika-huia (Grants Bay) Reef as an Ecologically Significant Marine Site.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
881	Laisa Gibbins	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
881	Laisa Gibbins	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
202	Giesen Wines	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the provisions until proper consultation, assessment of the impact and explanation of the change has been made.					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	1	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
197	Giesen Wines Ltd	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Reassess the parameters and methodology used in IrriCalc to determine the volumes of water to be used to irrigate grapes on water permit applications.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Minimum area of 5000m2.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Investigate other areas. Suggest Hardings Road and lower terraces of Renwick.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Include into the "High Priority Waterbodies for Public Access" the section of Coop Drain between behind Brooklyn Drive to Dry Hills Lane.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	5	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Maximum height of 7.5m as this allows for better environment for living.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	6	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.12.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete. There are still significant areas of commercial crops in this zone and large land areas more suitable to machine application. As long as other rules are adhered to there is no environment gain with this proposed rule.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	7	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.14.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Explain how this would be physically possible.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	8	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.16.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Increase to 8m3 and include a prohibition from burning during period May - Aug incl.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	9	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.1.12.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend to 5m as per side boundaries.					
192	Perry Mason Gilbert	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Add in word "detached" before garage.					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definitions of <i>Commercial forestry planting</i> and <i>Commercial forestry replanting</i>:</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, management and replanting of trees, and the preparation of land for planting.</i></p> <p>A decision requested is also included in Item Fourteen. Access tracks and roads for Commercial forestry planting of the submission (page 19).</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry planting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately established for wood production. Includes the planting, management and replanting of trees, and the preparation of land for planting and the excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for planting or replanting (for example forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance).</i></p> <p><i>Commercial forestry replanting means indigenous or exotic tree species deliberately planted for wood production to replace trees previously lawfully planted for the same purpose and subsequently harvested. This definition pertains only to the Coastal Environment Zone.</i></p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the definition of <i>Commercial forestry harvesting</i>.</p> <p><i>Commercial forestry harvesting means the felling and removal from the land of trees, for the purposes of commercial forestry, and includes:</i></p> <p><i>(a) excavation or filling, or both, to prepare the land for harvesting (for example, skid, forestry road or forestry track construction or maintenance);</i></p> <p><i>(b) de-limbing, trimming, cutting to length, and sorting and grading of felled trees;</i></p> <p><i>(c) recovery of windfall and other fallen trees;</i></p> <p><i>(d) the transportation of trees from the land.</i></p> <p><i>but does not include the transportation of the trees from the land or the processing of timber on the land.</i></p> <p>Alternatively, as provided in Item Ten. Transportation of submission (page 15), the same exclusion to all other activities on the land that have a transportation component could be applied. For example, farming, winery and marine farming activities are defined but do not include the transportation of the associated production from the land.</p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That duplicated rules relating to Excavation from the Commercial Forestry are remove from the harvesting rules list.</p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following rules 3.3.1.2 to 3.3.1.16 inclusive are added to the permitted activity of "Farming" in the Rural Environment Zone:</p> <p>3.3.1.2 Farming on land between 20 and 35 degrees is a discretionary activity for which consent must be applied for.</p> <p>3.3.1.3 Notification must be given to Council for discretionary Farming. This will take the form of an annual Farming Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22b.</p> <p>Appendix 22b Notification items:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The name and contact details of the landowner, the owner of the stock on the land and the manager of the farming operation. 2. The location on a map of all rivers, lakes or significant wetlands within or adjacent to the area to be Farmed. 3. The location on a map of the coastal marine area if it is within 50 metres of the area to be farmed. 4. The location on a map of all existing and new farming roads, tracks and stock water-points to be used, created or maintained. 5. Any erosion and sediment control methods to be used. 6. The location on a map of any stock bridges. 7. A plan showing the intended stocking of farm animals and the rotational stocking plan. 8. A feed budgeting plan for the year. 					

9. A soil analysis report showing the current nutrient status of the farmed land as at the beginning of the annual Farming Plan.

3.3.1.4. No farming must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.

3.3.1.5. Any material change to the annual Farm Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.

3.3.1.6. Farming must not be in, or within:

(a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake

(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;

(c) 200m of the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.7. Farming must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.

3.3.1.8. Water control measures and sediment control measures must be constructed & maintained in:

(a) All areas disturbed by any excavation or filling undertaken on the land;

(b) All farming roads, tracks or stock water sites on the land (including existing farming roads, tracks or stock water sites);

(c) Such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are stable.

3.3.1.9. No animal must be mustered through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.10. Stock, farmed animal faeces and soil debris must:

(a) Not be within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(b) Not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(c) Be placed on stable ground;

(d) Be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.

3.3.1.11. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:

(a) Access is essential to muster stock away from the river or lake;

(b) Crossing the bed of a river to enable access;

(c) Stock, farmed animal faeces or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for Farming.

In all cases, the Council must be notified at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery.

3.3.1.12. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.

3.3.1.13. Stock must be bridged when being mustered across a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).

3.3.1.14. Farming must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:

(a) Hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.

(b) The natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the Farming site.

(c) The change in reflectance must be <50%.

3.3.1.15. All significant Farming road failures and slope failures must be reported to Council within 2 working days of the land owner or farm manager (including any employee or contractor of the owner or farm manager) becoming aware of the failures.

3.3.1.16. Water control measures must be designed and implemented to ensure they remain effective at all times.

1017

Peter Gilford Gilbert

5

Volume 2

4 Coastal Environment Zone

4.1.1.

Oppose

Decision Requested

That the following rules 4.3.1.2 to 4.3.1.16 inclusive are added to the permitted activity of "Farming" in the Coastal Environment Zone:

4.3.1.2 Farming on land between 20 and 35 degrees is a discretionary activity for which consent must be applied for.

4.3.1.3 Notification must be given to Council for discretionary Farming. This will take the form of an annual Farming Plan that addresses all of the matters set out in Appendix 22b.

Appendix 22b Notification items:

1. The name and contact details of the landowner, the owner of the stock on the land and the manager of the farming operation.
2. The location on a map of all rivers, lakes or significant wetlands within or adjacent to the area to be Farmed.
3. The location on a map of the coastal marine area if it is within 50 metres of the area to be farmed.
4. The location on a map of all existing and new farming roads, tracks and stock water-points to be used, created or maintained.
5. Any erosion and sediment control methods to be used.
6. The location on a map of any stock bridges.
7. A plan showing the intended stocking of farm animals and the rotational stocking plan.
8. A feed budgeting plan for the year.
9. A soil analysis report showing the current nutrient status of the farmed land as at the beginning of the annual Farming Plan.

4.3.1.4. No farming must occur on any land with a slope greater than 35°.

4.3.1.5. Any material change to the annual Farm Plan must be notified to Council at least 20 working days before the change is implemented.

4.3.1.6. Farming must not be in, or within:

- (a) 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river when not flowing) or lake
- (b) 8m of a Significant Wetland or 30m of a river within a Water Resource Unit with a Natural State classification;
- (c) 200m of the coastal marine area.

4.3.1.7. Farming must not be within such proximity to any abstraction point for a drinking water supply registered under section 69J of the Health Act 1956 as to cause contamination of that water supply.

4.3.1.8. Water control measures and sediment control measures must be constructed & maintained in:

- (a) All areas disturbed by any excavation or filling undertaken on the land;
- (b) All farming roads, tracks or stock water sites on the land (including existing farming roads, tracks or stock water sites);
- (c) Such that the areas, roads, tracks and sites are stable.

4.3.1.9. No animal must be mustered through the bed of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing), lake or Significant Wetland or through the coastal marine area.

4.3.1.10. Stock, farmed animal faeces and soil debris must:

(a) Not be within 8m of, or deposited in, a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river when not flowing), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(b) Not be left in a position where it can enter, or be carried into, a river (except an ephemeral river), lake, Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area;

(c) Be placed on stable ground;

(d) Be managed to avoid accumulation to levels that could cause erosion or instability of the land.

4.3.1.11. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing) or lake except where:

(a) Access is essential to muster stock away from the river or lake;

(b) Crossing the bed of a river to enable access;

(c) Stock, farmed animal faeces or soil debris must be removed from the river or lake so as to comply with other Standards for Farming.

In all cases, the Council must be notified at least 2 working days prior to the use of the machinery.

4.3.1.12. Wheeled or tracked machinery must not be operated in or within 8m of a Significant Wetland or the coastal marine area.

4.3.1.13. Stock must be bridged when being mustered across a river (except an ephemeral river or intermittently flowing river, when not flowing).

4.3.1.14. Farming must not cause any conspicuous change in the colour or visual clarity of a flowing river after reasonable mixing or the water in a Significant Wetland, lake or the coastal marine area, as measured as follows:

(a) Hue must not be changed by more than 10 points on the Munsell scale.

(b) The natural clarity must not be conspicuously changed due to sediment or sediment laden discharge originating from the Farming site.

(c) The change in reflectance must be <50%.

4.3.1.15. All significant Farming road failures and slope failures must be reported to Council within 2 working days of the land owner or farm manager (including any employee or contractor of the owner or farm manager) becoming aware of the failures.

4.3.1.16. Water control measures must be designed and implemented to ensure they remain effective at all times.

1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Create minimum standards, or use existing standards for the same activity permitted in other zones, so that there is a minimum standard for discretionary activities to which might be added specific rules for the discretionary activity.</p> <p>For example, for the discretionary activity of Commercial forestry harvesting in the Coastal Environment Zone (CEZ), as a minimum standard use the standards for the permitted activity of Commercial forestry harvesting in Chapter Three Rural Environment Zone (Heading 3.3.7).</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	7	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. Adopt the proposed rules for Farming in this submission (point #4 and #5), which would cause resource consent to be necessary for farming on fragile environments like this. In this way farming stock-levels and management could be controlled, or prohibited altogether.</p> <p>2. Fence to exclude stock from the gullies, and plant trees and shrubs in the gullies to create riparian strips for soil conservation, amelioration of water flows, birds, bees, and public amenity. Quail Stream lower to mid-length is the sole example of this type of protection.</p> <p>3. Failing the inclusion of the proposed rules for the permitted activity of Farming (submission points #4 and #5) being adopted, where the MDC has control in the Wither Hills Farm Park, restrict grazing to cattle-only, limit the stocking to a minimal level, and embark on a rotational grazing plan that allows the protective grass sward to recover properly.</p> <p>4. MDC buy those areas of the "Wairau Dry Hills Landscape" that are such a poor example of our care for the environment, and apply best management practice to the land as per the above recommendations, or plant forest on the land to protect the soil structure and fertility.</p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	8	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 5.3.15:</p> <p>Policy 5.3.15 Require land use consent for the planting of new commercial forestry in flow sensitive areas.</p> <p>Recourse should be via the law, and through the Courts if necessary.</p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	9	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>1. Water allocation, surplus to the needs of the owner, should be determined by the controlling authority with due process. The surplus water allocation can then be re-allocated on application by another party, by the controlling authority.</p> <p>2. Change the water allocation process so that there is more control by MDC, and so that more efficiency of water use is achieved.</p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.5.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>After 15 years, the efficacy and safety of the installation can be certified by a certified installer of small-scale solid-fuel burning appliances, on a yearly basis on or before the anniversary of the installation.</p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	11	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.6.1 The following species must not be planted:</i></p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>);</p> <p>(ba) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>);</p> <p>(eb) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>);</p> <p>(d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>);</p> <p>(ec) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>);</p> <p>(fd) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>);</p> <p>(ge) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p>					
1017	Peter Gilford Gilbert	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Standard 3.3.6.2:</p> <p><i>Standard 3.3.6.2. Planting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p>(f) 20060m linear from the Mean High Water Springsof the coastal marine area;</p> <p>On the assumption that the objective is to maintain and improve the subjective nature of the interface between land-based activities and the sea in the Coastal Environment, exclude all other landbased activities such as farming and dwellings/buildings from the 200 metre zone above MHWS.</p> <p>Given that the National Environmental Standard for Production Forestry is on track for release in April-2017, continue to apply the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan objectives, policies and rules for the Marlborough Sounds until then, and then consider adapting the PMEP to reflect the provisions and guidelines described by that Standard.</p>					
927	Mark Gillard	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>					
927	Mark Gillard	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
927	Mark Gillard	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
927	Mark Gillard	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
927	Mark Gillard	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
927	Mark Gillard	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
927	Mark Gillard	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
927	Mark Gillard	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
927	Mark Gillard	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
927	Mark Gillard	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
75	Girl Guiding New Zealand	1	Volume 2	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>It is therefore requested that provision be made in the Marlborough Environment Plan for organised Guiding camp fires to be an authorised activity in all zones where fires are permitted for any other purpose. Its is appreciated that this must be a Restricted Activity.</p> <p>Girl Guiding is a responsible organisation and is very open to suggestions of additional training or administration deemed appropriate to allow this activity to go ahead and hence train our girls in both fire safety and environmental responsibility in a fun, supportive and structured environment.</p>					
208	Girl Guiding NZ	1	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.5.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That MDC make provision for organisations such as GirlGuidingNZ to have fires for campfire and fire related activities where the fire is not used solely for cooking. This may be in the form of exemptions or the need to obtain a permit.					
99	GJ Gardner Homes	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>					
99	GJ Gardner Homes	2	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>					
99	GJ Gardner Homes	3	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>					
99	GJ Gardner Homes	4	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>					
99	GJ Gardner Homes	5	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.</p> <p>Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.</p>					
99	GJ Gardner Homes	6	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.					
	Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
99	GJ Gardner Homes	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Revisit the building control rules to ensure the recession planes, boundary setbacks and all bulk and location rules promote efficient use of space and maximise the area available for outdoor living.					
	Reinstate the old subdivision lot and access minimum.					
1064	Roger Glendenning	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
555	Blair Glover	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
555	Blair Glover	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
555	Blair Glover	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
555	Blair Glover	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
555	Blair Glover	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
555	Blair Glover	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
555	Blair Glover	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
555	Blair Glover	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
555	Blair Glover	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
555	Blair Glover	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
669	Go Marlborough Limited	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 3.3.35.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 3.3.35.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i>					
669	Go Marlborough Limited	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 5.3.16.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 5.3.16.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i>					
669	Go Marlborough Limited	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 8.3.15.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 8.3.15.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i>					
669	Go Marlborough Limited	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 19.3.9.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 19.3.9.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i>					
669	Go Marlborough Limited	5	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Standard 23.3.7.2 (inferred) : <i>Standard 23.3.7.2 If the property is located within the Blenheim Airshed, the discharge must not occur during the months of May, June, July or August.</i>					
323	Lyn Molly Godsiff	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscapes Overlay from my property.					
323	Lyn Molly Godsiff	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Coastal Natural Character Overlay from my property.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
376	Brian Walter Godsiff	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain provisions as proposed.					
604	Brian Godsiff	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AONZ submission.					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
690	Evon Ernest Goodwin	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy.					
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	2	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	3	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
1009	Patricia Anne Vaughman Goodwin	4	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Adopt in full.					
65	Rick Gordon	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
65	Rick Gordon	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The limits applying to discharge of sewage in the Coastal Marine Zone remain at 500 metres to seaward of MHWS and 500 metres of a marine farm.					
646	Dylan Goulding	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
714	Hayden Goulding	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Inner Port Ligar; - Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; - Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and - Turner Bay, Waitata Bay; <p>AND</p> <p>Remove the natural character overlay from:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Horseshoe Bay; - Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and - The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach; <p>OR</p> <p>The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms, residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.</p>					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the absence of a natural character overlay in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Inner Port Ligar; - Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; - Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and - Turner Bay, Waitata Bay; AND Remove the natural character overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Horseshoe Bay; - Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and - The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms, residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the mapping as proposed.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	6	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; - Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and - Turner Bay, Waitata Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Port Ligar; - Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and - The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	7	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in: - Camp Bay, Waitata Bay; - Steamboat Bay, Waitata Bay; and - Turner Bay, Waitata Bay; AND Remove the ONL overlay from: - Port Ligar; - Reef Point/ Hamilton Cove/ Yellow Cliffs; and - The West Entry Point of Waitata Reach. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms residential activities and land-based farming do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Horseshoe Bay.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed in Forsyth Bay.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	10	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 65	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Zoning Map 65, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.					
750	Goulding Trustees Limited	11	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 103	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Zoning Map 103, to rezone the commercial wharf at Elaine Bay as Port Zone.					
169	Grapelands Marl Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	We are asking for water decisions to be based on sound science and be fairly applied to all Wairau aquifer permit holders.					
12	Rod Gray	1	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.14.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend 24.1.14 to require a minimum of 10 cubic metres of potable water per day.					
84	Barry Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I request that Policy 14.4.12 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
85	Barry Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I request that Policy 14.4.13 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
103	Rod Gray	1	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	kindly support the rarangi residents association application and alter the rules to suit a beach side community environment					
156	Marion Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	I request that Policy 14.4.12 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
157	Marion Gray	1	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support
Decision Requested	I request that Policy 14.4.13 be retained in the final Marlborough Environment Plan as drafted.					
188	Karen and Mike Gray	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 7	Support
Decision Requested	None provided in submission.					
1305	Tim and Jane Greenhough	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
1254	Greg Norton Limited Trading as Aquanort Pools	1	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.10.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Include private inground swimming pools along with house foundations in clause 5.3.10.3 or increase permitted excavation to 30 or 40 cubic metres.					
527	Anne Greig	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - recognize Aquaculture as an important component of economic growth both locally and Internationally - provide Marine farmers certainty of a future by making relicensing less bureaucratic if all requirements are met. Existing owners should not have to compete for space they have already been licensed for and waste money in court trying to justify this - work with the Aquaculture Industry regarding environmental issues which is highly desirable for both parties. It is in the best interest of Marine farmers to protect their product by ensuring the environment is of the highest standard for growing so both parties have here a common interest - recognize that the Industry is significant in providing long-term employment to the people of the Marlborough area. - recognize that New Zealand has a unique opportunity (in the Marlborough Sounds) to provide a top quality sea food. We should all be proud of this and own it! The demand worldwide for safe, quality sea food is something that New Zealand recognizes and can produce, this the Councils should support the industry and help in a positive way that makes it possible to get on with the job for both the people of the area and Industry. 					
982	Nathan Grey	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
982	Nathan Grey	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
982	Nathan Grey	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
982	Nathan Grey	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
982	Nathan Grey	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
982	Nathan Grey	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
982	Nathan Grey	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
982	Nathan Grey	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
982	Nathan Grey	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
982	Nathan Grey	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
850	Kyle Gribben	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
77	Rob Grigg	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the current 500m distance from MHWS and Fish Farms rule be retained.					
344	Shane Douglas Groome	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the Flood Hazard Area Overlay on my property as notified and replace with the Flood Hazard Area Overlay in the Marlborough Sounds Resource Management Plan.					
350	Deborah Jane Groome	1	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 115	Oppose
Decision Requested	The Flood Hazard area for property 527704 to remain the same as is identified by the engineers on their maps provided. Engineers reports attached (see Shane Douglas Groome Submission 344 Parts 1 to 4) and also contained in our property file with Marlborough District Council.					
414	Growing Horizon Limited	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
751	Guardians of the Sounds	1	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not include a Zone or rule to which it relates to. It is <i>inferred</i> that standard 4.3.6.1 is relevant.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to standard 4.3.6.1:</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1. Commercial forestry replanting.</i></p> <p><i>Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(c) 30 200 metres of the coastal marine area.</i></p>					
751	Guardians of the Sounds	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standards are included under Rule 4.1.6 Commercial forestry replanting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Replanting setbacks from the shoreline: 200 m.</i> • <i>Replanting setback for permanently flowing streams directly coupled to the sea: 5 m for streams less than 3m in width; and 10 m for streams equal to, or greater than, 3m in width.</i> • <i>Replanting controls on steep slopes: A mandatory Replanting Management Plan identifying areas at high risk of erosion which require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies. A similar Plan would be required for afforestation.</i> • <i>Replanting requirements to reduce the window of vulnerability:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ <i>Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</i> ◦ <i>Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</i> 					
751	Guardians of the Sounds	3	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standards are included under Rule 4.1.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><i>Harvest controls:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Remove all woody material >100 mm diameter and >3metres in length from gullies (>5000m2 or 0.5 hectare) as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i> <p><i>Earthworks requirements:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control.</i> • <i>All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.</i> 					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	1	Volume 1	1 Introduction	Guiding principles	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the section Recognise that the Marlborough Sounds is the District's "jewel in the crown" in the guiding principles.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.6.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.7.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	6	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Policy 5.10.8 (<i>inferred</i>): <i>Policy 5.10.8 - Any coastal occupancy charges collected will be used on the following to promote the sustainable management of the coastal marine area:</i> (x) Programmes such as the Marlborough Marine Futures collaborative process to develop integrated management of the Marlborough Sounds.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	7	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Volume 1, Chapter 6 Natural Character.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	8	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike through) is made to Policy 6.2.5: <i>Policy 6.2.5 Recognise that development in parts of the coastal environment and in those rivers and lakes and their margins that have already been modified by past and present resource use activities is less likely to result in adverse effects on natural character.</i>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to 6.AER.1: 6.AER.1 <i>The natural character of Marlborough's coastal environment and of lakes, rivers and their margins is retainedrestored.</i> Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	10	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7. That appropriate controls therefore apply to all of the Marlborough Sounds environment (both Outstanding and Coastal Landscape).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	11	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.2.1.11.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included. In regard to all of the references to exterior paint requiring a light reflectance value of 45% or less, GOS SEEK that this is amended to include "all exterior cladding must have a reflectance value of 45% or less" to avoid large areas of unpainted highly reflective corrugated iron on new buildings (including roof).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	12	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.5.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls are included on structures in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on significant ridgelines. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	13	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on excavation. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	14	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	That additional controls on structures are included in Coastal Landscape areas around visual intrusion on filling. The submission does not identify what additional controls they would like included.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	15	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments are made to Overlay Map 5 (<i>inferred</i>): 1. Endangered Hector dolphin habitat including East Bay and "Hectorville" Te Ipapakerere Bay and Waikakaramea Bay are designated as ONFL's or as ecologically significant marine sites. 2. The whole of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory channel are designated as ONFL's in their entirety.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel are included as ONFL's in their entirety. This should also include Endeavour Inlet, East Bay and Melville Cove (<i>inferred</i>).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6. Consider providing funding to wilding pine control programmes and other community initiated control programmes for undesirable plants and animals.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Undertake research into alternative forestry and land use options such as permanent sink forestry for pine forest owners in the Marlborough Sounds. The investigations should include how best to manage the transition from pine plantations to the chosen alternatives in a manner that minimises landscape effects, especially those caused by wilding pines.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	19	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Implementation of the recommendations from the MDC Technical Report Mitigating Fine Sediment from Forestry in the Coastal Waters of the Marlborough Sounds (Nov 2015) (a link to this document is provided in the submission). A number of options are evaluated for improving soil conservation and water quality, and thereby helping to maintain indigenous biodiversity within the Sounds.</p> <p>That the following new standards are added to 4.3.6 Commercial forestry replanting (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.A The following species must not be planted:</p> <p>(a) Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>); (b) Lodgepole pine (<i>Pinus contorta</i>); (c) Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>); (d) European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>); (e) Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>); (f) Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>); (g) Corsican pine (<i>Pinus nigra</i>).</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.B Assess the risk of tree spread using the industry Wilding Spread Risk calculator, prior to planting taking place.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.C No planting within 50m of a ridge.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.D Replanting of areas harvested within 12 months of harvest.</p> <p>Standard 4.3.6.E Replanting in excess of 1000 stems/hectare.</p> <p>That the above new standards also apply to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	20	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through and bold) are made to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>4.3.6.1 Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p>(a) 810 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake; (b) 810 metres of a Significant Wetland; (c) 30100 metres of the coastal marine area.; (d) 5 metres for streams less than 3 metres in width and 10 metres for steams equal to, or greater than, 3 metres in width.</p> <p>That control of pine re-growth and management of wildings in this coastal set-back is the responsibility of the plantation owner.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new Method of Implementation (<i>inferred</i>) is included to introduce an industry levy on logs harvested to control for wilding spread beyond the boundary and/or into the coastal setback area and the cost of this control.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	22	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the wording "Removal of wilding pines in the Marlborough Sounds" is included in 7.AER.1.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	23	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the explanation of Objective 8.1 (<i>inferred</i>).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	24	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That by 2020 a robust and substantial Marine Protected Areas/Marine Park, including at least all of Queen Charlotte Sound and Tory Channel, is established (<i>inferred</i>).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	25	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Issue 15C	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new Methods of Implementation are included under Issue 15C:</p> <p><i>Management purpose (15-11)</i></p> <p><i>15.M.X Replanting Management Plan</i></p> <p><i>A mandatory plan that identifies areas at high risk of erosion and require retirement and implementation of buffers, such as gully heads and steep ephemeral gullies.</i></p> <p><i>Incentives</i></p> <p><i>15.M.X Greater recognition and encouragement of planting permanent forest (not for harvest) or allowing native regeneration. Assistance could include rates rebate and funding for control of wilding pines.</i></p>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	26	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following new standards are included under Heading 4.3.13: a) All road design, construction, and maintenance to be certified by a Chartered Professional Engineer (CPENZ) for land stability, and effective erosion and water control. b) All areas of loose fill (soil) to have a grass cover established within 12 months of being created unless covered by natural revegetation.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	27	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a new policy and rules aimed at preventing wilding pines spreading beyond the borders of commercial forestry are included. That a requirement to use the industry Wilding Spread Risk Calculator to assess the risk of tree spread for a site prior to planting taking place is included.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	28	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13A	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	29	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the following amendments are made: 1. Habitat protection for Blue Cod, particularly from all fishing impacts in the Marlborough Sounds, should include all the enclosed bays and 463m off all islands (as at Long Island Marine Reserve) and includes fishing of any type only permitted in open channels. 2. Commercial dredging is not be allowed to resume at any time in the Queen Charlotte Sound, or ideally anywhere in the Marlborough Sounds. 3. There is a permanent and complete ban on recreation dredges in the Marlborough Sounds and that scallops should only be sustainably harvested by scuba/snorkel in future.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	30	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13E	Support
Decision Requested	That permanent moorings should be provided in future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	31	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.7	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Objective 13.7.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	32	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.1	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.1.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	33	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.7.2	Support
Decision Requested	That future marine protected areas and existing identified ecologically sensitive areas are exempt from Policy 13.7.2.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	34	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the MEP include methods on how nitrogen levels will be monitored and managed in coastal waters, including the effects of forestry and Salmon farming (<i>inferred</i>).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	35	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following new standard is included under Heading 4.3.8 Woodlot forestry harvesting:</p> <p><i>4.3.8.X All woody material >100 mm diameter and >3 metres in length from gullies (>5000m or 0.5 hectare) must be removed as soon as practicable, but no later than 1 month, after harvest.</i></p> <p>That the above new standard also applies to forestry in the Rai/Pelorus River catchment, which feeds into the Pelorus Sound.</p>					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	36	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.7.1 with the addition that there is no new commercial exotic forestry planting in the Marlborough Sounds.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	37	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Reconsider prohibited activity 4.7.2. This could become an ongoing source of wilding pines. Suggest an option to harvest once (or poison) and take measures to control wilding pines while the land is regenerating.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	38	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.7.3.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following tree species are included in prohibited activity Rule 4.7.3: Douglas fir (<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>); Muricata pine (<i>Pinus muricata</i>); European larch (<i>Larix decidua</i>); Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>); Mountain or dwarf pine (<i>Pinus mugo</i>).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	39	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.3.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	40	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.4.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.6.4.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	41	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.1.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	42	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.7.2.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.13.6.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 4.3.15.5.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
752	Guardians of the Sounds	45	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.16.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 3.3.16.8: <i>Standard 3.3.16.8 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> (d) 100m of the coastal marine area.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	46	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.15.9.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (bold) is made to Standard 4.3.15.9: <i>Standard 4.3.15.9 Filling must not be in, or within:</i> (d) 100m of the coastal marine area.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	47	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 3.3.14 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 3.3.14.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.	Support
Decision Requested	That the following new standard is added under the heading 4.3.13 Excavation (<i>inferred</i>): Standard 4.3.13.X Excavation must not exceed 20,000 m3 on flat land.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	49	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That further protection is provided by prohibiting anchoring and dredging in a buffer zone around these sites and any future marine protected areas. The size of these buffer zones to be determined as recommended in the MDC Expert Panel Assessment 2014-2015 (a link to this document is provided in the submission).					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	50	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	51	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Support
Decision Requested	That a collaborative approach is established between Council and boating clubs, recreational fishers and tourism operators to come up with workable regulations to keep human sewage out of the coastal marine environment.					
752	Guardians of the Sounds	52	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Overlays.					
325	Dianne Elizabeth & Kenneth George Gullery	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 14	Oppose
Decision Requested	Re - Do the plan for Kaikoura Bay, Port Underwood, protecting the Tube Worm Colony (North Eastern Corner/Headland) and leaving/allowing existing Marine Farm Site's 8446, 8447 and 8448 to <u>Remain</u> in the South Westerly Waters of the Bay. <i>(Inferred to be regarding Ecologically Significant Marine Site 6.2)</i>					
647	Douglas Guy	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
756	Hye Sug Ha	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
802	Janice Hahn	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
525	Harmony Haira	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
588	Christopher Hall	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
588	Christopher Hall	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
588	Christopher Hall	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
588	Christopher Hall	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
588	Christopher Hall	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
588	Christopher Hall	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
588	Christopher Hall	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
588	Christopher Hall	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
588	Christopher Hall	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
588	Christopher Hall	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
984	Neville James Hall	1	Volume 1	17 Transportation	17.M.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a 50 year plan is included to stop ever bigger trucks from entering Blenheim.					
984	Neville James Hall	2	Volume 3	Appendix 17 Roading Hierarchy	3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That a 50 year plan is included to stop ever bigger trucks from entering Blenheim.					
984	Neville James Hall	3	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That at least boundary shelter trees be left in place. That landowners be required to replace all removed shelter which will give protection to both flora and fauna.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1211	Vaughan Hall	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1298	Brian and Elsie Hall	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.1.36.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We would like to see the proposed rule overturned.					
1298	Brian and Elsie Hall	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.36.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We would like to see the proposed rule overturned.					
1298	Brian and Elsie Hall	3	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 61	Oppose
Decision Requested	Zone our property at 2670 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 309416) Rural Environment.					
1298	Brian and Elsie Hall	4	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 167	Oppose
Decision Requested	Zone our property at 2670 State Highway 63 Wairau Valley (Lot 1 DP 309416) Rural Environment.					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	We want our property removed from the soil sensitive map and impeded soil area. Property Number: 537552 pt sec 52DIST Of Wairau WEST and lot DP 8576. This will enable us to continue apply dairy shed effluent to our property.					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	2	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.5.	Support
Decision Requested	No change					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	3	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>We want to be able to use;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The massey university pound storage calculator 2. Council to be more specific about "certified by a recognised professional" 3. Supply set of plans and have approved by council before any storage is built 4. be able to build back up storage less than 3 months 					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That dairy farms be able to build back up storage in a flood hazard area provided it is situated in the lowest risk area on any individual property.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.11.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	approve the ability to build less than 3 months storage using massey university calculator.					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.45.	Oppose
Decision Requested	we request that mdc allow staff to live in accommodation that meets a proper living standard. We provided a good home to our staff do not treat us the same as viticulture industry.					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Soil Sensitive Area 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Our property to be removed from MEP impeded soil map. Property number 537552					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	8	Volume 3	Appendix 14 Schedule of Designated Land		Oppose
Decision Requested	That MDC be made to apply for resource consent and ask for "affected party approval" to carry out any river protection work because in our experience the people owning land around these river control works can be negatively affected.					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	9	All	All		Support
Decision Requested	We full support all of federation farmers submission					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	10	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Remove the 100% blanket cut-off to Springs sector water users.</p> <p>Before implementing a blanket restriction further scientific evidence of the complex aquifer/springs recharge process is required. A better understanding of the long term trend of the aquifer levels is essential before setting lower limits and cut-off thresholds.</p> <p>Treat all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users equally and consider implementing a rationing restriction when the monitor wells drop below a set level. This would give all Wairau Aquifer groundwater users the opportunity to prioritise where their reduced volume of allocated water is utilised.</p>					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	11	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	More monitoring of the Wairau Aquifer affects on the Spring Creek river. Not to be fully restricted.					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	12	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make it a Permitted activity					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	13	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Change to permitted activity					
141	Hall Family Farms Ltd	14	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We want this rule changed to be a volume based on the number of cows being milked per property.					
265	Lisa Halliday	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
265	Lisa Halliday	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
265	Lisa Halliday	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
265	Lisa Halliday	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the policy (inferred).					
375	Norman Alexander Ham	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit minimum level for water takes. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
375	Norman Alexander Ham	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Freshwater Management Unit 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
929	Mandy Hargood	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
929	Mandy Hargood	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
929	Mandy Hargood	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
929	Mandy Hargood	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
929	Mandy Hargood	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
929	Mandy Hargood	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
929	Mandy Hargood	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
929	Mandy Hargood	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
929	Mandy Hargood	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
929	Mandy Hargood	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
764	HARO Partnership	1	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 7): <i>"Some bays contain existing marine farms, but this does not compromise [relevant area's name] current natural values."</i>					
764	HARO Partnership	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	For each area where there is an existing marine farm, include an express statement to the following effect (following the approach in the proposed Auckland Unitary Plan at Chapter L, Schedule 8): <i>"Although marine farms occupy part of the [area], they do not compromise the overall 'naturalness' of the coastal environment."</i>					
764	HARO Partnership	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from: - The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and - The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
764	HARO Partnership	4	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from: - The Camel Point headland and its vicinity; and - The northern extreme of the Tennyson Inlet. OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 2, as per separate submission.					
764	HARO Partnership	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point; AND Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
764	HARO Partnership	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the ONL mapping as proposed at Camel Point; AND Remove the ONL overlay from the northern extreme of Tennyson Inlet; OR The MEP should expressly recognise that marine farms do not adversely impact the values that lead to that classification, by amending the values at Vol 3, Appendix 1, as per separate submission.					
764	HARO Partnership	7	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
764	HARO Partnership	8	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
764	HARO Partnership	9	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Ecologically Significant Marine Sites 8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Changes to Vol 1, Chapter 8 provisions and the Significance Criteria in Vol 3, Appendix 3, as per the MFA submission in particular in terms of providing for adaptive management where appropriate.					
644	Diana Elizabeth Harper	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of MHWS."					
644	Diana Elizabeth Harper	2	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Rule as follows (strike through and bold) - " From 9 June 2022, the The discharge of human sewage, except Grade A or B treated sewerage, from a ship within 1000m 500m of a marine farm."					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
130	Vivienne Harris	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.22.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add to this rule an addition being 3.3.22.6 - which reads the same as 3.3.23.6 with agrichemical substituted for fertilizer and lime.</p> <p>In addition incorporate, and implement more fully, the recommendations of the Environet Ltd 2007 report, commissioned by MDC, so that monitoring (especially at peak application times) and buffering in improved/increased, particularly along public roads and along any boundary where adjacent dwelling is not involved (as an owner) in the growing of grapes - especially the urban-rural interface with rural towns and communities.</p> <p>I am potentially effected by the environmental effects of this process and I derive no trade or commercial benefit.</p>					
130	Vivienne Harris	2	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.2.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Strengthen, or even actually monitor, the emissions of dust from activities such as, rock crushing to produce gravels, sand and aggregate and similar.</p> <p>Ensure standards are met for site storage, on site movement of material (including cement) and so that dust and other contaminants is minimized or eliminated and, in any case, restricts such dust and contaminants to within the operational site or storage site boundary.</p> <p>Provide monitoring data (including appropriate explanatory notes) to those living within a (say) 1 km radius or to anyone who may request such information.</p> <p>I am potentially effected by the environmental effects of this process and I obtain no trade benefits.</p>					
625	Cheryl Harris	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
625	Cheryl Harris	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
625	Cheryl Harris	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
625	Cheryl Harris	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
625	Cheryl Harris	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
625	Cheryl Harris	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
625	Cheryl Harris	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
625	Cheryl Harris	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
625	Cheryl Harris	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
625	Cheryl Harris	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
843	Karen Anne Harris	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
843	Karen Anne Harris	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
843	Karen Anne Harris	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
843	Karen Anne Harris	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	1	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.35.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 3.3.35 (inferred):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films; (c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. <p>At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	2	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.3.16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 5.3.16 (inferred):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films; (c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. <p>At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	3	Volume 2	8 Rural Living Zone	8.3.15.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 8.3.15 (inferred):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films; (c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. <p>At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
925	Michelle Gail Harris	4	Volume 2	19 Open Space 3 Zone	19.3.9.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 19.3.9 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films; (c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. <p>At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	5	Volume 2	23 Airport Zone	23.3.7.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike through) are made to Standard 23.3.7 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (b) creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films; (c) fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. <p>At the very least, professional companies who all abide by the HSNO Act should be exempt from the new regulations as above entirely, and should not have to get a resource consent for shows that have overall minimum air pollution risk to Marlborough, due to the rarity of events, and short duration of displays when they do happen.</p>					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	6	Volume 2	6 Urban Residential 3 Zone	6.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Urban Residential 3 Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	7	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Coastal Living Zone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
925	Michelle Gail Harris	8	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 1 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and • fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	9	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 2 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and • fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	10	Volume 2	11 Business 3 Zone	11.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Business 3 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and • fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	11	Volume 2	12 Industrial 1 and 2 Zones	12.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Industrial 1 and 2 Zones: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and • fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	12	Volume 2	14 Port Landing Area Zone	14.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Port Landing Area Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and • fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	13	Volume 2	17 Open Space 1 Zone	17.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 1 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and • fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
925	Michelle Gail Harris	14	Volume 2	18 Open Space 2 Zone	18.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 2 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	15	Volume 2	20 Open Space 4 Zone	20.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Open Space 4 Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
925	Michelle Gail Harris	16	Volume 2	21 Floodway Zone	21.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the discharge of contaminants to air arising from the burning of materials for the following activities is a permitted activity in the Floodway Zone: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> creating special smoke and fire effects for the purposes of producing films and fireworks display or other temporary event involving the use of fireworks. 					
928	Michael Headley Harris	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
928	Michael Headley Harris	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
928	Michael Headley Harris	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
928	Michael Headley Harris	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8351 in Old Homewood Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1052	Robin Bruce and Valerie Annette Harris	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1065	Robin Harris	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1205	Valerie Annette Harris	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
1281	Andrew Harris	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Rule 7.2.1.5 from the proposed plan.					
589	Chase Harrison	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
230	Marion Harvey	1	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19A	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>1. Make changes to the wording of the plan to acknowledge and give due weight to the seriousness of the threats posed by climate change, currently these are downplayed (see submission).</p> <p>2. Strengthen emphasis on the importance of research and acknowledge the sources of information that are available. While there are still elements of uncertainty, these do not reduce the ability of the Council to take action on climate change and make effective decisions as the plan currently implies.</p> <p>3. Focus on reducing regional greenhouse gas emissions, not on offsetting.</p> <p>4. Give climate change its proper place at the forefront of this Environmental Plan, designed to carry through until 2026 or later, Chapter 1 not Chapter 19.</p>					
388	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Flood Hazard Area 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like to see this amended to actual Hazard Area.					
388	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like this map to be amended and the Coastal Natural Character removed from my freehold title.					
388	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like to see this removal from my freehold land.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
388	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Threatened Environments 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like to see this more defined. The costs to fence and keep pests out.					
388	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Steep Erosion Prone Land 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	I would like to see this taken out of the Plan.					
388	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	6	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	They should be planted in woodlots.					
388	Adrian Mark Henry Harvey	7	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.6.3.	Support
Decision Requested	There are some really good forestry sites in the inner and central Sounds. This would be a better option than livestock farming.					
430	John and Pam Harvey	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.2.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.2.6 as follows (strikethrough and bold): <i>Take and use of water for dairy shed wash water up to 15 25m³ per day per dairy shed.</i>					
430	John and Pam Harvey	2	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Allow instant removal of fallen, or washed out trees.					
430	John and Pam Harvey	3	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.9.2 (Rule 2.7.2)					
430	John and Pam Harvey	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.28.8.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.28.8					
430	John and Pam Harvey	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.9.7 (Rule 2.7.7)					
430	John and Pam Harvey	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Heading 2.14.1 (Rule 2.12.1)					
430	John and Pam Harvey	7	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.14.6.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Heading 2.14.6 so that plant species other than native plant species can be planted, e.g., bitter willow (<i>inferred</i>).					
430	John and Pam Harvey	8	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.2.8.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Heading 3.2.8 (<i>inferred</i>)					
430	John and Pam Harvey	9	Volume 2	10 Business 2 Zone	10.3.10.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Heading 10.3.10 (Rule 10.1.14)					
533	Hamish Harvey	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
590	Cameron Harvey	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
590	Cameron Harvey	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
590	Cameron Harvey	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
590	Cameron Harvey	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
590	Cameron Harvey	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
590	Cameron Harvey	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
590	Cameron Harvey	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
590	Cameron Harvey	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
590	Cameron Harvey	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
590	Cameron Harvey	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
882	Lydia B Harvey	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	1	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendment (bold) is made to the definition of <i>Large format retail</i>:</p> <p><i>Large format retail means the use of land and buildings for the sale of goods to the trade and/or general public. Large format retail applies within the Business 3 zone only.</i></p> <p>The Submitter considers that a minimum floor area threshold should be added to the large format retail definition. The Submitter is not interested in the setting of this threshold; that is the responsibility of the Council. For the purpose of this Submission, the Submitter is only interested in ensuring that the definition, if retained, only applies to the Business 3 zone.</p>					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the definition of <i>Commercial activity</i> be retained provided that the definition for <i>Large format retail</i> is revised as set out in this submission (point #1).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 12.4.4 be retained provided that the definition for large format retail is revised, as set out in the decision requested for submission point #1.</p> <p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to the 1st sentence of the 4th paragraph of the explanation of Policy 12.4.4:</p> <p><i>The third tier, Business 1 3 Zone, also provides the community with a localised shopping and service function, but at a much larger scale for the large format retail operations. These large scale retailing activities are limited to single purpose stores to prevent the potential for dispersal of retail activities and therefore any detracting from the role and function of the finer grained, more pedestrian-oriented business areas of the Business 1 Zone.</i></p>					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	4	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 17.5.5.					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	5	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.31.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.31.1.					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	6	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.32.1.					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	7	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.1.3.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 9.1.3.					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	8	Volume 4	Zoning Maps	Zoning Map 15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Zoning Map 15.					
766	Harvey Norman Properties (N.Z.) Limited	9	Volume 2	9 Business 1 Zone	9.2.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the Submitter's interest in these provisions be recorded pending submissions from other submitters and Council's further consideration of these matters.					
1312	Errol Hattersley	1	Volume 2	7 Coastal Living Zone	7.2.1.5.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That Rule 7.2.1.5 is deleted (<i>inferred</i>). Council Must Request Permission from Riparian Landowners to Strip Their Existing Private Property Rights and Provide Compensation Only a fraction of riparian landowners are currently aware of the proposal. If the proposal is not dropped immediately, then before going any further into the assessment process, I would like to formally request from Council the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> That the Council contact all riparian land owners in Marlborough, who's land the proposal directly affects/devalues, and to engage in consultation and to gather their submissions. Request riparian landowner consent for the stripping of their private land rights. Make available information relating to the quantity of riparian land sections in Marlborough and the valuation of each. Provide a report by independent professionals assessing and quantifying the loss in market value of all and each privately owned riparian section should the proposal take effect. Offer financial compensation at market rates. 					
369	Tony Hawke	1	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review this chapter of the MEP.					
369	Tony Hawke	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Review this chapter of the MEP.					
369	Tony Hawke	3	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.1.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Allow for infill subdivision in the Residential 2 Zone.					
369	Tony Hawke	4	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested. (<i>Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.</i>)					
369	Tony Hawke	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.8.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	No decision requested. (<i>Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.</i>)					
369	Tony Hawke	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.1.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	No decision requested. (<i>Inferred that concerned about the inclusion of Douglas Fir in this Standard.</i>)					
369	Tony Hawke	7	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested. Inferred that decision is the stated submission and that is <i>Allow for two residential dwellings on the one site, provided the area and access requirements in the Residential 2 Zone can be met.</i>					
369	Tony Hawke	8	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the maximum height of 10 metres in this area (Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 WARMP). Note - Appendix K was deleted from the WARMP through Variation 39. Inferred that submitter is requesting that WARMP Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 bullet point 2 Height of Dwellings, recreational buildings and others - 2 storeys (10 metres) be <u>included</u> in the MEP.					
369	Tony Hawke	9	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain requirements under Appendix K Rule 2.2.7 bullet point 3 WARMP in this area, which states: <i>Height All buildings shall be contained within a building envelope extending from 3 meters above the boundary into the site at an angle of 45deg.</i> Note - Appendix K was deleted from the WARMP through Plan Change 39. Inferred that submitter requests Rule 2.2.7 point 3 to be <u>included</u> in the MEP.					
369	Tony Hawke	10	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.6.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Retain Rule 32.1.3.4.6 Vol 2 Chapter 12 WARMP. Rule 32.1.3.4.6 states:</p> <p><i>32.1.3.4.6 Exception for a garage</i></p> <p><i>Any part of the building may intrude into a recession plane, until the recession plane reaches 3 metres in height with reference to the boundary level to enable the building to be sited up against or nearer to a side or rear boundary provided that:</i></p> <p><i>a) The continuous or aggregate length of a building or buildings sited on or near to the boundary and intruding into the recession plane may not exceed 9 metres.</i></p> <p><i>b) The exemption can be applied to only one side boundary and one rear boundary.</i></p> <p><i>c) Any such building shall be sited at least 5.5 metres from the front boundary. This does not apply to side entry garages, where a 90-percentile vehicle can park between the front boundary and the garage entrance.</i></p> <p><i>d) The maximum height of the building within 1 metre of the boundary does not exceed 3 metres.</i></p> <p>Note Inferred that submitter is referring to Chapter <u>32</u> of the WARMP as the WARMP has no Chapter 12.</p>					
369	Tony Hawke	11	Volume 2	5 Urban Residential 1 and 2 Zone	5.2.1.11.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove this rule.					
369	Tony Hawke	12	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.1.16.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Add words "<i>unless waived or width reduced by a resource consent</i>". Substitute "<i>must be provided</i>" for "<i>may be provided</i>".</p> <p>Add in a rule:</p> <p><i>An exemption - the above rule (inferred Rule 24.1.16) shall not apply where a subdivision is for a minor boundary adjustment to an allotment involving an alteration of no more than 15% of the allotment area.</i></p> <p><i>Minor boundary adjustments should not be caught up in Rule 24.1.16 (inferred) when the subdivision has no effect on the water course within the allotment.</i></p>					
369	Tony Hawke	13	Volume 2	24 Subdivision	24.3.1.17.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add in rule as above <i>(inferred relates to new rule requested in Submission point 369.12)</i> Add in a rule: <i>An exemption - the rule shall not apply where a subdivision is for a minor boundary adjustment to an allotment involving an alteration of no more than 15% of the allotment area.</i> <i>Minor boundary adjustments should not be caught up in Rule 24.1.16 (inferred) when the subdivision has no effect on the water course within the allotment.</i>					
369	Tony Hawke	14	Volume 4	Overlay Maps		Oppose
Decision Requested	No decision requested. (<i>Inferred delete Objective 7.1 and policies relating to identification of outstanding natural features and landscape characteristics.</i>)					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1008	Philip Anthony Hawke	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	1	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	That commercial forestry is not allowed but shelter belts are, especially with a preference for indigenous species on ridges, valleys and hills.					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	2	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.7.					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	3	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That (inferred) : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary production is recognised, particularly larger farms with livestock production, as a vitally important part of Marlborough's diverse income and employment base. History has shown that to focus on a monoculture is a dangerous proposition. • Council has to somehow achieve consistency in how it interprets RMA issues and policies. At present the Omaka Valley has large houses above ridge lines and many roads leading to subdivision which are unsightly and unplanted. • Consistency with subdivision so that one party doesn't feel favoured over another. • Selective single housing for areas larger than 20 ha is allowed if placed below main ridges, professionally landscaped and well placed to suit the land form and thereby not being visually intrusive. • Under current 8 ha subdivision requirements, smaller allotment sizes have been granted for housing. There seems to be a lack of consistency in how council interprets the understood guidelines of the RMA. Of concern is the amount of land subdivided only to end up grazing a pet sheep and a calf or two, with that goes the total loss of production from what is often very productive land. 					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	4	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.4.13	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That (inferred) : <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Primary production is recognised, particularly larger farms with livestock production, as a vitally important part of Marlborough's diverse income and employment base. History has shown that to focus on a monoculture is a dangerous proposition. • Council has to somehow achieve consistency in how it interprets RMA issues and policies. At present the Omaka Valley has large houses above ridge lines and many roads leading to subdivision which are unsightly and unplanted. • Consistency with subdivision so that one party doesn't feel favoured over another. • Selective single housing for areas larger than 20 ha is allowed if placed below main ridges, professionally landscaped and well placed to suit the land form and thereby not being visually intrusive. • Under current 8 ha subdivision requirements, smaller allotment sizes have been granted for housing. There seems to be a lack of consistency in how council interprets the understood guidelines of the RMA. Of concern is the amount of land subdivided only to end up grazing a pet sheep and a calf or two, with that goes the total loss of production from what is often very productive land. 					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	5	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.6.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Standard 3.3.6.2(j).					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	6	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.10.4.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That only indigenous species are planted (<i>inferred</i>).					
767	Hawkesbury Farm Limited	7	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Issue 5H	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That (<i>inferred</i>):					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council should adopt a more open view to the storage of water this has to be done with the required safety issues of course but more flexibility needs to be displayed, i.e., no Resource Consent for smaller damming propositions as long as they are professionally engineered, perhaps 10000m3 and below. • Properties with Southern Valley connections and water take are urged to use this facility especially in regard to original commitment. This would take the pressure off ground water reserves and aquifer. • Those that actively and publicly opposed the scheme should be last on as this has caused a lot of friction between neighbours. • New Resource Consent applications for water either from ground water, run off or river take should be tied to storage, storage pertinent to the land use option chosen. 					
851	Kevin Hawkins	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
851	Kevin Hawkins	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove the cut off for the Springs Area (inferred).					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	2	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.7	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The current allocation should remain at 10m3 per day or if there is to be a reduction it should be based on the size of the property and amenity area.					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	3	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete policy (inferred).					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	4	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
300	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	5	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Specific decision requested is not clear in the Submission.					
301	Hawkswood Vineyard Ltd	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain the minimum flow cut offs for Wairau, Waihopai and Awatere Rivers (inferred).					
729	Graham Hayter	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
729	Graham Hayter	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
729	Graham Hayter	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
729	Graham Hayter	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
729	Graham Hayter	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
729	Graham Hayter	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
729	Graham Hayter	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
729	Graham Hayter	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
729	Graham Hayter	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
729	Graham Hayter	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
497	Heagney Bros Limited	1	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.33.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter has not identified a "Decision requested" to which the submission relates to. <i>It is inferred that the submitter opposes Rule 2.33.2 and that cartage of logs from plantations should not require a resource consent.</i>					
497	Heagney Bros Limited	2	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submitter has not identified a "Decision requested" to which the submission relates to. <i>It is inferred that an amendment(s) to the definition of Commercial forestry is made to clarify the consequences of excluding transportation.</i>					
803	John Healy	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
803	John Healy	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
803	John Healy	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
803	John Healy	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
803	John Healy	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
803	John Healy	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
803	John Healy	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
803	John Healy	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
803	John Healy	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
803	John Healy	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
983	Nicholas James Hearn	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
824	Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
824	Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick	2	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
824	Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick	3	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
824	Archer, Beryl Evelyn and Heberd, John Roderick	4	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8149 in Anakoha Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	1	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Page 4-2, Paragraph 5 That the claim that the value of the conservation estate, e.g., the Queen Charlotte Track as having significant economic value to Marlborough (with \$10 million given as the contribution to Marlborough's economy) is properly validated through a reliable economic reference, given that over the life of the MEP this figure could go either up or down markedly.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 4.M.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 4.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 4.3.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.AER.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Monitoring effectiveness points #2 and #3 - How the infrastructure throughout Marlborough will be geared up to cope with the 1.5 million visitors by 2026 should be included (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	10	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	5.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain content of Introduction.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	12	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	13	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.1.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	14	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.2 and associated policies.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	15	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	There should be reference to the issue of toxic metals (copper, chromium and arsenic) the leaching into the aquifers, particularly under vineyard posts. It is noted that the risk of toxic metals (e.g., copper, chromium and arsenic) from vineyard is generally perceived to be small, but if the precautionary principle is used, this issue needs to be covered in the MEP.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	16	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 5.2.11 should be cross-referenced to the Council's climate change policies, as, given the very low height above sea-level in the Lower Wairau Valley (1 -2 m in places), salt-water intrusion into the aquifer will become more of an issue for management in the future.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	17	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.2.12	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 5.2.12 should be cross-referenced to the Council's climate change policies, as, given the very low height above sea-level in the Lower Wairau Valley (1 -2 m in places), salt-water intrusion into the aquifer will become more of an issue for management in the future.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	18	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.3 (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	19	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 5.3.15.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	20	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.3.16	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.3.16.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	21	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	An expectation that the person who receives the transferred water right is then responsible for monitoring, and for all other conditions attached to a permit for water take.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	22	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.5 and associated policies.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	23	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.6 and associated policies.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	24	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.7.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.7.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	25	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	26	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	27	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	28	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.9.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.9.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	29	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Objective 5.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 5.10.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	30	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	31	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	32	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	33	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	34	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 5.10.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	35	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	That coastal occupancy charges are incurred on marine farms as this expenditure is likely to be relatively greater for environmental monitoring of marine farming than for monitoring of jetties and boatsheds (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	36	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	That coastal occupancy charges are incurred on marine farms as this expenditure is likely to be relatively greater for environmental monitoring of marine farming than for monitoring of jetties and boatsheds (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	37	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 6.1 and encourage the Council to make this work a priority, given the pressure on the Council for more use of the coastal environment, and ongoing development pressure in the dry hills landscape area.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	38	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	We encourage the Council to undertake further consideration of this when it implements policy 6.1.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	39	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	40	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	41	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	42	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	43	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	44	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Appendix 2 page App 2-27 and App 2-29. That D'Urville Island - Northern Cook Strait (page App 2-27) is described in its entirety as an outstanding landscape (seascape) and includes the long views from east-west from the ONLs of D'Urville Island, the Rangitoto Islands to the Chetwodes and the Capes (page App 2-29) (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	45	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain alignment of the MEP in this respect with the RMA and the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) Objective 2 and NZCPS Policies 13 and 14 (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	46	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	47	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	48	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.6.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 4.1.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	49	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 6.2.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	50	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	51	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	52	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	53	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.M.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	54	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 6.AER.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	55	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That those areas assessed as being threatened environments are included within Volume 1 Chapter 6 (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	56	Volume 3	Appendix 5 Water Resource Unit Values and Water Quality Classification Standards		Oppose
Decision Requested	That Endeavour Stream is included in Appendix 5 given that there is an ecologically significant marine site at the head of Endeavour Inlet (ID 4.27).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	57	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 7.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	58	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.11.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	That any area of original native forest, particularly lowland forest in the Marlborough Sounds and in South Marlborough should not be able to be cleared as a permitted activity. That there should be no clearance allowed at all if it has already been assessed as being an outstanding natural feature or landscape.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	59	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	60	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	61	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	62	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.1.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	63	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	64	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	65	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	66	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.7 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.7 – Protect the values of outstanding natural features and landscapes and the high amenity values of the Wairau Dry Hills and the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscapes by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) In respect of structures:</i></p> <p><i>(iii) using reflectivity levels and all building materials that complement the colours in the surrounding landscape;</i></p> <p><i>(b) In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads):</i></p> <p><i>(iii) minimising the extent of any cuts or side castings where land disturbance is to take place on a slope; and</i></p> <p><i>(iv) encouraging the revegetation of cuts or side castings by seeding or planting;</i></p> <p><i>(v) avoid the clearing of the foreshore reserve in the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape by builders or adjacent property owners; and</i></p> <p><i>(vi) enable weed control and re-vegetation of the foreshore reserve in the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape.</i></p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	67	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	68	Volume 1	15 Resource Quality (Water, Air, Soil)	Policy 15.1.23	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not specify a decision requested.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	69	Volume 2	3 Rural Environment Zone	3.3.21.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	The decision we seek is that:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Livestock are excluded from the beds of lakes and significant wetlands, and suitable setbacks be required to avoid adverse effects: a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer are excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. Sheep are excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. Stock are excluded from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation. This is based on long term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	70	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.20.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The decision we seek is that:					
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Livestock are excluded from the beds of lakes and significant wetlands, and suitable setbacks be required to avoid adverse effects: a minimum of 1 metre from the bank of rivers and a minimum of 3 metres when break feeding practices are in place. All cattle, pigs and deer are excluded from rivers, lakes and the coastal marine area on all paddock blocks with an average slope of less than 15 degrees. Sheep are excluded where they are being break fed or otherwise very intensively grazed. Exclusion could be through permanent or temporary electric fencing as appropriate. Stock are excluded from the rivers listed in Volume 1 Chapter 15 as degraded and at risk of degradation. This is based on long term monitoring information and most of these rivers are in areas where livestock access is clearly a contributing factor to the poorer water quality. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	71	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 8 Introduction.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	72	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	73	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Objective 8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 8.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	74	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.1. We encourage the MDC to continue this survey work, particularly in North Marlborough, where there are still wetlands that are possibly unsurveyed, and areas of original indigenous forest in private ownership, some of which is very much at risk from clearing for development.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	75	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	76	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.1.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.1.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	77	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	That restoration of lost shellfish beds in Pelorus Sound is a permitted activity and includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> restoration of the benthic environment and the placement of scientific recording instruments. 					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	78	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	79	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	80	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	81	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	82	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	83	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.7	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	84	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	85	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	86	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.10.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	87	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.11.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	88	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.12.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	89	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.2.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.2.13.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	90	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	91	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.2	Support
Decision Requested	That Policy 8.3.2 requires the Council to undertake an objective assessment with respect to the criteria set out under Policy 8.1.1, rather than relying on the word of the developer who may wish to describe the site as <u>not</u> being significant.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	92	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	93	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	94	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	95	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	Policy 8.3.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 8.3.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	96	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	97	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	98	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	99	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.M.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	100	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	101	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	102	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.3					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	103	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	104	Volume 1	8 Indigenous Biodiversity	8.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 8.AER.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	105	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	106	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	107	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policies 13.2.3(a) and (b).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	108	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.2.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.2.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	109	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.5.9.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	110	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	111	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	112	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	113	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	114	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	115	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	116	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.9.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	117	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	118	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.1.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	119	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.4.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.4.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	120	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	121	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	122	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	123	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.6	Support
Decision Requested	Policy 13.10.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	124	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	125	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.15	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>The submission does not include a decision requested in relation to Policy 13.10.15(b).</p> <p>That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 13.10.15(d) (<i>inferred</i>).</p> <p><i>Policy 13.10.15(d) discouraging the use of jetties (or parts of jetties) that run parallel to the shore, as they can cause greater visual impact than jetties perpendicular to the shore;</i></p> <p>The submission does not include a decision requested in relation to Policy 13.10.15(i).</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	126	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.16	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	We submit that the last sentence of this policy should be amended to make it clear that it does not provide for routine "free-loading" by local residents who may have declined to pay a share of maintenance or consent costs, or commercial users who will not take responsibility for a share of repairing damage resulting from their (regular) use. We accept that all jetties must be available for public access in principle (examples are for emergencies, and for visitors arriving to a specific location), but that this provision will be taken advantage of, if this policy is retained, with no ability for consent holders to seek redress or contribution for the <u>regular</u> use of jetties by others.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	127	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.17.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	128	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.18.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	129	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.19	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.19.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	130	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.20.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	131	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.21	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.21.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	132	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.22	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.22.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	133	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.23.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	134	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.8	Support in Part
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a decision requested.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	135	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.24	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.24.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	136	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.25	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.25.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	137	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.26	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.10.26.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	138	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.10.27	Support in Part
Decision Requested	We submit that, with rising sea-level, existing sea-wall structures that have either been consented, or which have been in place for 20-60 years (in some cases) should not retrospectively be required to seek consents. Any requirement to take them down could result in even more damage to beachfronts. The exception to this could be those constructed out of old car tyres: there have already been issues in Endeavour Inlet requiring clean-up of abandoned or badly-maintained tyre structures.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	139	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.13	Support
Decision Requested	We hope that MDC intends to do more to monitor and enforce this objective than it has in the past.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	140	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	141	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	142	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.13.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.13.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	143	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.18	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.18.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	144	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Issue 13F	Oppose
Decision Requested	That marine farm structures are included within the general description of coastal structures in the preamble of Issue 13F and in the Section 32 Report on Chapter 13 - Use of the Coastal Environment.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	145	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.15.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.15.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	146	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 13.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	147	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.1. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	148	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	149	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.3. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	150	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.4.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	151	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.5	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.5. That the policy takes into consideration large private launches as they travel at least as fast as the ferries, and can generate very large wakes, which cause damage to beaches and the edge of the land in enclosed waters (<i>inferred</i>).					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	152	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.16.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.16.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	153	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.20	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.20.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	154	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.M.23	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.M.23.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	155	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.17.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.17.11 and request that further attention is given to ways of monitoring and preventing freedom camping in the marinas.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	156	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	157	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	158	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	159	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	160	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	161	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	162	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	163	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	164	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	165	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.10	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.10.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	166	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.11	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.11.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	167	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.12	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.12.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	168	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.13	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.13.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	169	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.14	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.14.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	170	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.15	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.15.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	171	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.16	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.16.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	172	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	13.AER.17	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 13.AER.17.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	173	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	Issue 19B	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Issue 19B refers to land subsidence in the Sounds as it currently significantly adds to the rate of sea-level rise here.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	174	Volume 3	Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan		Support
Decision Requested	Retain Appendix 22 Commercial Forestry Harvest Plan.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	175	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That Policy 5.4.1 applies to forestry consents.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	176	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 7.2.1.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	177	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following amendment (strike-through) is made to Policy 7.2.2(b): <i>"Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to the Wairau Dry Hills Landscape by: (a) setting permitted activity standards that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and (b) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities prohibiting new resource consents for commercial forestry."</i> (Inferred)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	178	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the following amendments (strike-through and bold) are made to Policy 7.2.3(c): <i>Policy 7.2.3 – Control activities that have the potential to degrade the amenity values that contribute to those areas of the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape not identified as being an outstanding natural feature and landscape by: (a) using a non-regulatory approach as the means of maintaining and enhancing landscape values in areas of this landscape zoned as Coastal Living; and (b) setting standards/conditions that are consistent with the existing landscape values and that will require greater assessment where proposed activities and structures exceed those standards; and (c) requiring resource consent for commercial forestry activities.</i>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	179	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That there should be standards relating for the management of wilding trees, including <i>Pinus radiata</i> , which is by far the main problem historically and currently in the Marlborough Sounds (<i>inferred</i>).					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	180	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.10 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.10 – Reduce the impact of wilding pines- spread of exotic tree species on the landscape by:</i></p> <p><i>(a) supporting initiatives to control existing wilding pines spread and limit their further spread; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) controlling the planting of commercial wood species that are prone to wilding pine spread.</i></p> <p>The ability of exotic tree species pine trees to spread from commercial plantations, soil conservation plantings, rural shelterbelts and isolated plantings is well documented in Marlborough. As pines these tree species spread, they alter the landscape due to their visual dominance and colour contrast. In addition, where forests have been harvested but not replanted there is the potential for rapid growth of wilding seedlings, creating more unmanaged sources of wilding pine spread. Many in the community believe that these landscape changes are unacceptable and some locals have initiated control programmes in an effort to reduce the presence of wilding pines tree species in the landscape and limit their spread to other areas. These efforts are to be supported as a means of effective landscape protection. Additionally, there are certain species of tree grown for commercial wood production that are more prone to wilding pine spread. Controls on planting certain species will assist to reduce the risk of wilding pine spread and therefore reduce impacts on landscape values.</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	181	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Policy 7.2.11 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Policy 7.2.11 – Liaise with the Department of Conservation regarding any landscape issues on land administered by the Department and identified as having outstanding natural features and landscapes (including within the Marlborough Sounds Coastal Landscape).</i></p> <p>A significant proportion of outstanding natural features and landscapes occur on Crown land administered by the Department of Conservation. Because this land is managed for conservation purposes and is not likely to attract development, there are fewer threats to the biophysical, sensory and associative values in these landscapes compared to those areas with outstanding natural features and landscapes on privately owned land. However, that is not to say that potential threats do not exist. For example, applications can be made to operate concessions within areas administered by the Department and vegetation change can occur as a result of pest plant incursions (including wilding pines exotic tree species, broom and gorse). The Council will liaise with the Department on an ongoing basis to discuss landscape issues as they arise and to develop and implement appropriate management responses.</p>					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	182	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.3.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	183	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.4.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	184	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.5.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	185	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.6	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.6.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	186	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.7.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	187	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.8	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.8.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	188	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.9	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 7.M.9.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	189	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Make the following amendments (strike-through and bold) to Standard 4.3.6.1 (<i>inferred</i>):</p> <p><i>Standard 4.3.6.1. Replanting must not be in, or within:</i></p> <p><i>(a) 8 metres of a river (except an ephemeral river) or lake;</i></p> <p><i>(b) 8m of a Significant Wetland;</i></p> <p><i>(c) 30 metres 100 metres of the coastal marine area, except where the slope of the land adjacent to the coastal marine area does not exceed 20 degrees (as measured over a 200 meter distance inland from the coastal marine area) the setback is 30 metres.</i></p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	190	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.7.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	That there are requirements to control re-growth and manage these areas back into permanent native cover for long term landscape and other benefits. There should be requirements specifically to restore and/or replant the coastal set-back areas, for example, to indigenous forest. (At present, there are very unsightly remnants of commercial forestry plantings along the foreshore, particularly in parts of Tory Channel, but also elsewhere in the Sounds, after harvesting has been completed.)					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	191	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Chapter 10.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	192	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective 10.2 and associated policies.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	193	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.28.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.28 Permitted Activities for Notable Trees.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	194	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain 2.29 Standards that apply to specific permitted activities for Notable Trees.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	195	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.29.2.	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Rule 2.29.2.					
688	Judy and John Hellstrom	196	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy 13.8.3.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
930	Maria Hemara	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
648	D C Hemphill	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	The entire Plan be withdrawn and replaced by one that - (1) is effective in meeting its objectives (2) is science based (3) is effects based (4) is compliant with the RMA (5) respects existing use rights by allowing forestry to have a Controlled status (6) conforms to Policy 15.4.4 (7) incorporates valid mapping.					
648	D C Hemphill	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain the Objective but amend the explanation for the Objective to to reflect the Council's intention to provide certainty and equity between land uses, allowing rational decisions to achieve optimum environmental outcomes.					
648	D C Hemphill	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	5	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.1.3	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	6	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	4.M.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise the text to reflect that the Sounds have been heavily modified and are a working landscape with periodic change the norm. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific wording changes sought to the Issue)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise the text to reflect that the Sounds are a working landscape, and that ecological and physical values may support certain vegetation that may be incompatible with some visual values. It should be specified that visual values have lower priority than ecological and physical values. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific wording changes sought to the Objective)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	9	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Identify the qualities and values that contribute to the unique and iconic character of the Marlborough Sounds and protect these from inappropriate subdivision, use and development. The identification of the qualities and values is to be based on sound, peer-reviewed science."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	10	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.3.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (bold) - <i>"Provide direction on the appropriateness of resource use activities in the Marlborough Sounds environment. The direction provided will be based on sound, peer- reviewed science."</i>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
648	D C Hemphill	11	Volume 1	5 Allocation of Public Resources	Policy 5.10.4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
648	D C Hemphill	12	Volume 2	25 Definitions		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a definition of "degree of natural character", as it relates to Objective 6.1					
648	D C Hemphill	13	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	14	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	15	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	16	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
648	D C Hemphill	17	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.					
648	D C Hemphill	18	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.					
648	D C Hemphill	19	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Re-map my property so that it does not have ONFL east of the ridge line; Re-map my property so that it does not have "high" or "very high" natural character; Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.					
648	D C Hemphill	20	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.					
648	D C Hemphill	21	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ground truth all Natural Character mapping to ensure its accuracy and validity.					
648	D C Hemphill	22	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Policy as follows (strike through and bold) - <i>"Where resource consent is required to undertake an activity, excluding plantation forestry, within coastal or freshwater environments with high, very high or outstanding natural character, regard will be had to the potential adverse effects of the proposal on the elements, patterns, processes and experiential qualities that contribute to natural character."</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	23	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	The Council should comply with 6.2.5; and correct the mapping of "high" and "very high" natural character, according to the criteria already listed in the MEP and Boffa Miskell (2014), following Policy 6.2.5.					
648	D C Hemphill	24	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Policy 6.2.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
648	D C Hemphill	25	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	6.M.2	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Revise the assessment to accurately reflect the stated criteria.					
648	D C Hemphill	26	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy to explain how the Council will apply values, and reassure landowners that they will be applied in combination, not selectively or subjectively. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes to the Policy sought)</i> ; or Delete Policy.					
648	D C Hemphill	27	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Policy. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	28	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the the Policy by adding the following statement at the end (bold) - " Costs associated with the refinement of any boundaries of outstanding natural features and landscapes and landscapes with high amenity value will be paid by the Council. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	29	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	30	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain Objective. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	31	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method.					
648	D C Hemphill	32	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.M.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Method.					
648	D C Hemphill	33	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.3	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Delete Policy and replace with a new policy and method that reflects the following - Develop a completely different compliance regime that will achieve real environmental improvement. Such a regime should be developed jointly between the Council and the forest industry. In concept, it would consist of a fast track to Resource Consent approval for landowners, operators, and managers approved by the Council for their past satisfactory environmental performance, having regard also to the internal regime of each organization for achieving environmental protection . Those landowners, operators, and managers without such a history (or with past inferior environmental performance) would be held to a higher standard. <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	34	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Policy.					
648	D C Hemphill	35	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.2.10 to take into account science, specifically ecology and carbon sequestration as a means of climate change mitigation. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Policy)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	36	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.8.1.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to provide greater clarity as to what specific situations require 50 metres of protection. <i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard, also inferred referencing Standard 2.8.1.5 as the Rule referred to in the submission does not exist)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	37	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.1.4.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to to suit the purpose really intended. <i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	38	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete Standard.					
648	D C Hemphill	39	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.5.2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The structure must not intersect the groundwater intended for consumption."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	40	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to clarify what part of the culvert is to be placed below the riverbed.					
648	D C Hemphill	41	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.4.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (strike through and bold) - "The total length of the culvert must not exceed 8m, except for a culvert passing beneath a State Highway where the total length of the culvert must not exceed 20m the length defined by engineering analysis for the site of the installation. " <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	42	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.9.7.5.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard as follows (bold) - <i>" The culvert installation must be designed and implemented to ensure there is no accelerated erosion or scour downstream of the culvert."</i> <i>(Inferred)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	43	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.7.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the Standard to include only species know to spread rapidly in the Coastal Environment. <i>(Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard);</i> Add the Standard to other land use activities. <i>(Submitter has not identified the other land use activity rules for which the Standard should also apply)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	44	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.13.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Standard to specify an acceptable temporary discoloration or loss of clarity. <i>(Inferred - Submitter has not identified the specific changes sought to the Standard)</i>					
648	D C Hemphill	45	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.1.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new Permitted Activity as follows - "Transportation by land and water of logs and all other forest products."					
648	D C Hemphill	46	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definitions of commercial forestry and forestry road to be the same as the draft National Environmental Standard on Plantation Forestry.					
648	D C Hemphill	47	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a section to the Appendix that defines the criteria used to derive the values given, and specific guidelines showing how they were applied.					
648	D C Hemphill	48	Volume 3	Appendix 3 Biodiversity Criteria for Significance		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Re-format and revise. <i>(It is not clear from the Submission the specific changes sought to the Appendix)</i>					
55	Kevin Henderson	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.2.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Decisions Requested In order that the boating public remain able to enjoy using the Sounds as they have (without ill effect) for decades I request that the 'Environment Plan' adopt the national 500 metre standard regarding the discharge of sewage.					
1019	Philip Henderson	1	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
1019	Philip Henderson	2	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Coastal Natural Character 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1019	Philip Henderson	3	Volume 3	Appendix 2 Coastal Natural Character Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove natural character overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1019	Philip Henderson	4	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 4	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1019	Philip Henderson	5	Volume 4	Overlay Maps	Landscapes 5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
1019	Philip Henderson	6	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove outstanding natural feature and landscape overlay from the vicinity of marine farm 8485 in Goulter Bay; or record that aquaculture will not affect the relevant values.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	1	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.8	Oppose
Decision Requested	To add additional Objectives and Polices to Chapter 13 or strengthen the notified Objectives, Polices and Rules to restrict and reduce over time the number of moorings and to give priority to maintaining safe anchorages available for public use. For example to recognise in the explanation to Objective 13.8 that safe anchorage may also complete for space with swing moorings.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	2	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.8.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	To add additional Objectives, Polices and Rules to Chapter 13 or strengthen the notified Objectives, Polices and Rules to restrict and reduce over time the number of moorings and to give priority to maintaining safe anchorages available for public use. For example to: Include in Policy 13.8.2 an additional clause that considers whether there is sufficient space to: (i) provide for safe anchorage either outside the proposed Mooring Management Area; or (ii) require the provision of some public moorings in the proposed Mooring Management Area; and (iii) ensure the most sheltered and safest location within a bay is available for safe anchorage for public use.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	3	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Objective 13.9	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise in the explanation to Objective 13.9 that safe anchorage may also complete for space with swing moorings.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	4	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Recognise in Policy 13.9.1 that short term anchorages should be in the most sheltered and safe location within a bay and include as an additional matter the number of moorings held by the "would be consent holder" within the Marlborough Sounds.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	5	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.9.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Make all matters in Policy 13.9.7 (including the amendments sought above) relevant in determining a "renewal" application.					
686	Ernest and Catherine Henshaw	6	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The submission does not include a clear decision requested.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
624	Carol-Ann Herbert	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
649	Dave Herbert	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
649	Dave Herbert	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
649	Dave Herbert	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
649	Dave Herbert	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
649	Dave Herbert	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
649	Dave Herbert	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
649	Dave Herbert	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
649	Dave Herbert	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
649	Dave Herbert	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
649	Dave Herbert	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	1	Volume 1	2 Background	Identifying regionally significant issues	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new issue to Volume 1 to recognise that aquaculture, farming, forestry and vineyards are regionally significant sectors in Marlborough's economy that sustains our communities.					
804	Jordan Herbert	2	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4B	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend issue 4B to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
804	Jordan Herbert	3	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.2 to recognise that regionally significant sectors are at risk if unable to operate efficiently and effectively.					
804	Jordan Herbert	4	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Policy 4.2.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add to Policy 4.2.1 a list of areas of significant aquaculture and wine development.					
804	Jordan Herbert	5	Volume 2	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a new rule to Volume 2 to ensure that developments like marine farms, once consented, are allowed to stay as long as their owner does a good job and obeys the rules.					
804	Jordan Herbert	6	Volume 1	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	Add a wider range of non-regulatory methods to the Plan aimed at building awareness.					
804	Jordan Herbert	7	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Issue 4C	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	8	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 4C and Objective 4.3 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	9	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Issue 6A	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
804	Jordan Herbert	10	Volume 1	6 Natural Character	Objective 6.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Issue 6A and Objective 6.1 and flow on policies by recognising and providing for the existing and changing land and seascapes of use of aquaculture, vineyards and pastoral farming.					
1223	Wayne Herd	1	All	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	This information is included to complete the submission database only. As set out in MFA and AQNZ submission.					
413	Herd Properties	1	Volume 3	Appendix 6 Environmental Flows and Levels	Schedule 3	Oppose
Decision Requested	Delete the Minimum Flows and Levels for Water Takes applying to the Wairau Aquifer Central Springs Freshwater Management Unit, Wairau Aquifer Northern Springs Freshwater Management Unit and the Wairau Aquifer Urban Springs Freshwater Management Unit. (<i>Inferred</i>)					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	1	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That when referring the following terms be used constantly throughout the plan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Historic heritage and/or natural heritage • Historic heritage values and/or natural heritage values 					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	2	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That when referring to cultural and historic heritage resources contained in the schedule they be referred to as "heritage resources included in schedule X in appendix 13".					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	3	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	Where there is an intended reference to discovered and undiscovered archaeological sites, the words 'recorded archaeological site' and 'unrecorded archaeological site' be used. In the context of the Plan, 'recorded' should refer to any site with a New Zealand Archaeological Association identifier and/or included in the relevant appendices of the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	4	Volume 1	All		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That when referring to adverse effects on archaeological sites, the Plan use 'adverse effects from the modification or destruction of archaeological sites' etc.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	5	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	6	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	7	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.3	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	8	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	9	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Objective 3.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	10	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 3.1.3:</p> <p>Policy 3.1.3 – Where an application for resource consent or plan change is likely to affect the relationship of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and their culture and traditions, decision makers shall ensure:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(e) how that traditional and cultural Maori uses and practices relating to natural and physical resources such as mahinga maataitai, waahi tapu, papakainga and taonga raranga will be recognised and provided for.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	11	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	Policy 3.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 3.1.4: Policy 3.1.4 – Encourage iwi to develop iwi management plans that contain ... (c) sites of, places, areas and landscapes of historic or cultural significance;					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	12	Volume 1	3 Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi	3.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Method of Implementation 3.M.3: Iwi management plans will be used and taken into account to: ... • <u>assist the identification of heritage resources for inclusion in the Marlborough Environment Plan and Council maps.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	13	Volume 1	4 Use of Natural and Physical Resources	Objective 4.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Objective 4.3 Objective 4.3 – The maintenance and enhancement of the visual, ecological and physical qualities of natural and physical resources that contribute to the character of the Marlborough Sounds.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	14	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.1.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 7.1.1 (c) (c) associative values, including landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place, cultural values, and historic heritage values and landscapes that are widely known and valued by the immediate and wider community for their contribution to a sense of place.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	15	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	16	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.1	Support

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	17	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.4	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	18	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.5	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	19	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Policy 7.2.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 7.2.7(a) to read</p> <p>In respect of structures: ... <u>(viii) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u></p> <p>In respect of land disturbance (including tracks and roads): ... <u>(v) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u></p> <p>In respect of vegetation planting and clearance: ... <u>(iv) avoiding the disturbance of archaeological sites.</u></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	20	Volume 1	7 Landscape	Objective 7.2	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add a new method of implementation under Objective 7.2 to read: <u>7.M.10 Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014</u> <u>This Act makes it an offence to destroy or modify an archaeological site without first obtaining an 'archaeological authority'. This applies to both recorded and unrecorded archaeological sites. It is important that the planning for any building or development takes this issue into account and an archaeological assessment may be required. The applicant is advised to contact Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga if any activity such as earthworks, fencing or landscaping may modify damage or destroy any archaeological site. More information is contained in Appendix 13.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	21	Volume 1	7 Landscape	7.AER.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	New clause in the monitoring effectiveness column: The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decreases or is maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increases.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	22	Volume 1	9 Public Access and Open Space	Policy 9.4.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 9.4.4(g) to read: (g) any historical al heritage , conservation, or ecological, archaeological or waahi tapu values; or spiritual and cultural values of Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi associated with the reserve;					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	23	Volume 1	19 Climate Change	19.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Council develop an appropriate anticipated environmental result to address Objective 9.4. Heritage New Zealand recommends the following monitoring clauses to address cultural and historic heritage values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The condition, of Heritage Resources as defined in Volume 2, is maintained or improved • The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decreases or is maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increase. 					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	24	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	It is also important to note that Heritage New Zealand retains regulatory responsibilities regarding archaeological sites. Any modification or destruction of a known or unknown recorded or unrecorded archaeological site requires an archaeological authority under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 and Heritage New Zealand processes applications for such authorities.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	25	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Issue 10A	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Marlborough's historic heritage is vulnerable to the inappropriate use and development of natural and physical resources. Archaeological sites are particularly vulnerable to land disturbance, as they tend to be buried and excavation at, or in close proximity to, the site can unearth disturb the object of significance and its archaeological context. If appropriate action is not taken, the heritage resource that was previously buried can potentially be damaged modified or destroyed. For archaeological sites that have a connection to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, such adverse effects can also cause a serious cultural affront to the mana of an iwi.</p> <p>One of the threats to historic heritage is that there are many unknown areas of heritage significance. A lack of knowledge about the location, extent and values of historic heritage creates risks that require management. For example, although past archaeological studies have revealed a little of the Maori and early European settlement patterns and culture, much more remains to be identified, researched and recorded. There will also be forgotten sites. The lack of awareness of the existence of a heritage resource makes the resource vulnerable to irreparable damage as a result of land use change.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	26	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Objective 10.1 to read:</p> <p>Objective 10.1 – Retain and protect heritage resources that contribute to an understanding and appreciation of Marlborough's and New Zealand's history and cultures. to the character of Marlborough.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	27	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.1	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	28	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.2	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	29	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>The policy should be amended to the following:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.3 – Identify and provide appropriate protection to Marlborough's heritage resources through a diverse range of methods, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) historic buildings (or parts of buildings), places and sites; (b) heritage trees; (c) places of significance to Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi; (d) archaeological sites; and (e) monuments and plaques. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	30	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>That Policy 10.1.4 be amended to the following:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.4 – Increase the community’s awareness of historic heritage values by identifying Identify heritage resources for scheduling in Appendix 13 of the Marlborough Environment Plan, including historic buildings, places, sites, monuments and plaques that meets one or more of the following criteria for significance or value in the Marlborough Environment Plan:</p> <p>(a) have value as a local landmark, over a significant length of time;</p> <p>(b) have historic association of value with a person, idea or event of note, or have a strong public association for any reason;</p> <p>(c) reflect past skills, design, style, materials, methods of construction or workmanship that would make it of educational or architectural value;</p> <p>(d) is a unique or rare heritage resource in relation to particular historical themes, or is a work of art;</p> <p>(e) is important to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi;</p> <p>(f) forms part of a precinct or area of heritage value;</p> <p>(g) has the potential to provide knowledge of New Zealand history or public education of value; or</p> <p>(h) has symbolic commemorative value.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	31	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.5 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.5 – Avoid adverse effects on the historic heritage values from the destruction, demolition, partial demolition or relocation of Category A I heritage resources identified in Schedule 1 and from the destruction of sites of significance to Maori identified in Schedule X of Appendix 13.</p> <p>Schedule 3 sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, while Schedule 1 contains Category A historic buildings and structures (or parts of buildings or structures), places, sites, monuments and plaques. Category A means they are of special or outstanding significance. This is the same meaning as Category 1 historic places in the New Zealand Heritage List / Rarangi Korero. Heritage resources sourced from the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero are assigned either a Category I or Category II status. Heritage resources classified as Category I are nationally significant.</p> <p>Any loss or damage of or significant change to a Category I heritage resource an item contained in Schedule 1 or X would result in a significant and potentially irreversible loss of historic heritage that is important in a national context. For this reason, any significant adverse effects on the historic heritage values of resources in Schedule 1 and X Category I resources must be avoided. This will see a prohibited activity rule that forbids the loss or destruction, relocation, demolition, or partial demolition of a Category I resource in Schedule 1 and the destruction of a resource in Schedule X.</p>					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	32	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Objective 10.1	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Include the following new policy, followed by an appropriate explanation:</p> <p><u>Policy 10.1.X – Avoid adverse effects on historic heritage values from the destruction, demolition or partial demolition of Category B heritage resources identified in Schedule 2 of Appendix 13, except where the item is of danger to public safety and repair is not the best practicable option after having regard to the matters in Policy 10.1.7.</u></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	33	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.6	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Replace the current policy with the following wording and an appropriate explanation:</p> <p><u>Policy 10.1.5 – Except where provided for under Policy 10.1.6 and 10.1.X, avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects from the use, subdivision or development of land on heritage resources identified in Schedules 1, 2, and 3 of Appendix 13.</u></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	34	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.7	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.7 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.7 – When assessing resource consent applications in relation to heritage resources <u>included in Schedule 1 and 2 of Appendix 13</u> have regard to:</p> <p>...</p> <p>(b) the <u>effects effect demolition, removal, alteration or additions will have</u> on the <u>historic and</u> heritage values of the heritage resource, <u>including the relationship between distinct elements of the heritage resource and its surroundings;</u></p> <p>...</p> <p>(e) the extent to which the work is necessary to ensure structural stability, accessibility, fire egress, sufficient earthquake strengthening, and the extent of the impact of the work on the <u>historical</u> heritage values of the heritage resource;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(h) the extent to which any alteration or addition is in keeping with the original design and materials, or otherwise enhances the <u>historical</u> heritage value of the resource;</p> <p>...</p> <p>(j) <u>the economic feasibility of all reasonably practicable options to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects options for retaining a heritage resource when its demolition is proposed;</u> and</p> <p>...</p> <p>This policy sets out the matters that the Council should have regard to when assessing any resource consent application <u>with adverse effects on the historic heritage values of identified heritage resources to demolish, remove, alter or add to a heritage resource.</u> These matters are designed to ensure that the significance of the heritage resource is recognised and appropriately provided for in the decision making process.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	35	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.8	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.8 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.8 – When assessing resource consent applications in relation to sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, included in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13, to destroy or modify a registered waahi tapu site or area, or to undertake activities in a place of significance to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi, have regard to:</p> <p>(a) the effects of demolition, removal, alteration or additions on the heritage values of the heritage resource, including effects on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</p> <p>(b) the position of the relevant iwi;</p> <p>(c) the views of Heritage New Zealand, for heritage resources on the New Zealand Heritage List / Rarangi Korero;</p> <p>(d) the effects of the destruction or alteration on the heritage resource or the effects of the proposed activity on the spiritual and cultural values of iwi;</p> <p>...</p> <p>This policy sets out the matters that the Council should consider when assessing any resource consent application with adverse effects on the historic or cultural heritage values of an identified to destroy or modify a waahi wahi tapu site or area, or other area of significance to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi. These matters are designed to ensure the cultural and spiritual significance of the site or area is recognised and appropriately provided for in the decision making process.</p> <p>Chapter 3 – Marlborough’s Tangata Whenua Iwi also provides a range of objectives and policies relevant to any application regarding sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	36	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.9	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Policy 10.1.9 to read:</p> <p>Policy 10.1.9 – Except as set out in Policy 10.1.11 and the Schedule of Archaeological Requirements in Appendix 13, primarily rely on Heritage New Zealand and the requirements of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 to regulate archaeological sites within Marlborough.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	37	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.10	Oppose
Decision Requested	Remove Policy 10.1.10.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	38	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	Policy 10.1.11	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Policy 10.1.11 – Control land disturbance activities in places of significance to Marlborough’s tangata whenua iwi, identified in Schedule 4 of Appendix 13.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	39	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.1	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.1 to read:</p> <p>The Council will identify significant heritage resources and notable trees within Appendix 13 of the MEP. Each individual resource or tree will be described in a schedule and included on planning maps. Resources or trees identified will be those that meet the criteria in Policies 10.1.4 and 10.2.1 and/or those included on the New Zealand Heritage List/Rarangi Korero. <u>Heritage resources and trees will be divided into the following Schedules:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <u>Schedule 1: Category A Historic Buildings, Structures, Places, Sites and Areas</u> ● <u>Schedule 2: Category B Historic Buildings, Structures, Places, Sites and Areas</u> ● <u>Schedule 3: Sites of Significance to Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi</u> ● <u>Schedule 4: Places of Significance to Marlborough's Tangata Whenua Iwi</u> ● <u>Schedule 5: Notable Trees</u> <p><u>Where Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi do not wish the location of a relevant heritage resource disclosed, Council will make use of methods to protect the confidentiality of the site.</u></p> <p>....</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	40	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	That the method be amended accordingly to reflect the final state of the heritage rules.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	41	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.3	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.3 to read:</p> <p>The Council will support, including financially, the protection and enhancement of heritage resources and notable trees included in the MEP in the following ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waiving some or all resource consent and building consent application fees where the activity requiring consent will assist with the protection or enhancement of a heritage resource or notable tree; • Providing grants on an annual basis to facilitate the protection of heritage resources/notable trees and/or the community's appreciation of the resources/trees; • <u>Providing rates rebates for properties with heritage resources;</u> • <u>Carrying out public education and promotion regarding the value and benefits of heritage resources;</u> • Providing funding to assist with the ongoing maintenance of notable trees where required. 					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	42	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.4	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation to read:</p> <p>The Council will liaise on an ongoing basis with the various agencies and groups involved in the protection of historic heritage in Marlborough to ensure that protection efforts are co-ordinated. Heritage New Zealand, the Department of Conservation, the New Zealand Archaeological Association, Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi and other heritage organisations are the key agencies and groups in this regard.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	43	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.5	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.5 to read:</p> <p>In conjunction with Heritage New Zealand, the New Zealand Archaeological Association and Marlborough's tangata whenua iwi, the Council will develop, maintain and implement a discovery protocol for archaeological sites where an archaeological authority has not been obtained and there is no reason to suspect the presence of any archaeological sites. This will detail the procedures to be followed if any feature, artefact or human remains are discovered or are suspected to have been discovered. Information will be included within the protocol on the rohe of different iwi to enable people to make contact with the relevant iwi. The protocol will assist in ensuring that the relevant provisions of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 can then be applied. The protocol will be included in Appendix X containing the Schedule of Archaeological Requirements.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	44	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.6	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Method of Implementation 10.M.6 to read:</p> <p>In conjunction with the New Zealand Archaeological Association, the Council will provide information on known archaeological sites in Marlborough and areas where there is reasonable cause to suspect the presence of unrecorded archaeological sites. This will assist resource users to determine whether they need to approach Heritage New Zealand for an archaeological authority.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	45	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.M.7	Support
Decision Requested	Retain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	46	Volume 1	10 Heritage Resources and Notable Trees	10.AER.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Anticipated environmental result 10.AER.1 - Monitoring Effectiveness to read: ... No loss of Category I A heritage resources as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to demolish, partially demolish or relocate Category I A heritage resources. <u>Limited loss of Category B heritage resources as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to demolish or partially demolish Category B heritage resources.</u> <u>No loss of sites of significance Maori, including wahi tapu, as measured through the grant of resource consent applications to destroy sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu.</u> <u>The instances of archaeological site damage recorded by Heritage New Zealand decrease or are maintained at zero, and the instances of site avoidance increase.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	47	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.2.5	Oppose
Decision Requested	Policy 12.2.5 – Where resource consent is required, ensure that subdivision and/or residential development within Urban Residential Zones is undertaken in a manner that: ... <u>(e) protects the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u> <u>(Listed as bullet point (d) in submission. Inferred that addition required to policy and so list above as (e).)</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	48	Volume 1	12 Urban Environments	Policy 12.6.7	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 12.6.7 to read: Policy 12.6.7 – Where resource consent is required, ensure that development within the business or industrial zones is undertaken in a manner that: ... <u>(f) protects the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u> (Listed as bullet point (d) in submission. Inferred that addition required to policy and so list above as (f).)					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	49	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.3.1	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.3.1 to read: Policy 13.3.1 – A permissive approach to recreational activities will be adopted, except where these: ... <u>(g) adversely affect historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	50	Volume 1	13 Use of the Coastal Environment	Policy 13.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 13.5.2 to read: Policy 13.5.2 – Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes should take place within land that has been zoned Coastal Living, in order to: ... <u>(d) protect the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	51	Volume 1	14 Use of the Rural Environment	Policy 14.5.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 14.5.2 to read: Policy 14.5.2 – Residential activity and subdivision for residential purposes within rural environments should take place within land zoned Rural Living, Coastal Living, Urban Residential 2 at Marlborough Ridge and Urban Residential 3, to ... <u>(j) protect the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	52	Volume 1	17 Transportation	Policy 17.6.2	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Policy 17.6.2 to read: Policy 17.6.2 – The development, maintenance and use of the land transport network must be undertaken in a manner that protects natural and physical resources and the health, safety and wellbeing of the community through avoiding, remedying or mitigating: ... <u>(h) adverse effects on the historic heritage values of heritage resources identified in Appendix 13.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	53	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Add the following rules to the section on Heritage Resources: <i>2.26. Restricted Discretionary Activities</i> <i>Application must be made for a Restricted Discretionary Activity for the following:</i> <i>2.26.1. Erection of a sign attached to, obstructing, or within the site of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13 that is not a permitted activity under rule 2.24.X.</i> <i>Matters of which the Council will exercise its discretion:</i> <i>2.26.1.1. Effects on historic heritage values.</i> <i>2.26.1.2. Sign design, size, number, appearance, illumination, construction, location, and placement.</i> <i>2.26.2 Alteration of a heritage resource identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13, including alterations provided for under Rule 2.24.3. that do not meet the applicable standards.</i> <i>Matters of which the Council will exercise its discretion:</i> <i>2.25.2.1. Effects on historic heritage values.</i> <i>2.25.2.2. Effects on amenity.</i> <i>2.25.2.3. Alteration design, construction, location, appearance and layout.</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	54	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following permitted activity and associated standards. <i>2.24.X.Erection of one sign within the site of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1,2 or 3 that is not greater than 0.5m2 and is not flashing, illuminated or variable for the purposes of:</i> <i>(a) setting out information relating directly to the onsite activities or uses;</i> <i>(b) aiding traffic or maritime safety or navigation or providing information for public health and safety requirements</i> <i>(c) interpretative material on the historic heritage values of the place.</i>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	55	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.24.1 to read Repair or maintenance of a Heritage Resource <u>identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	56	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.2.	Support in Part

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.24.3 to read: 2.24.3. Internal or external safety Alteration of a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13 , necessary for the purpose of improving structural stability or safety through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • structural seismic upgrades, core sample drilling, temporary lifting, shifting off foundations or permanent realignment of foundations • fire protection; and • provision of access. performance (including earthquake strengthening work), fire safety or physical access.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	57	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.24.3.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend Rule 2.24.3 to read: Maintenance (meaning protective care) of an archaeological site a site of significance, including wahi tapu, to Maori identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13 , where that maintenance includes: (a) keeping the site in good condition by controlling noxious weeds, cutting grass and light stock grazing; (b) land disturbance by cultivation or fencing that does not extend beyond the area or depth previously disturbed; or (c) maintenance and upgrading of a paved road, modified berm or path provided that the land disturbance does not extend beyond the area or depth previously disturbed.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	58	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Add the following performance standard: <u>2.25.X. Maintenance of a site of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13 meeting the requirements in Rule 2.24.3.</u> <u>2.25.X.1. Maintenance work shall be supported by the written approval of the relevant tangata whenua iwi.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	59	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	Amend 2.25.1 to read: Repair or maintenance of a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13. ... 2.25.1.6. The repair or maintenance can include the patching, restoration or minor replacement of materials, elements, components, equipment or fixtures					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	60	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.25.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend Rule 2.25.2 to read:</p> <p>Internal or external safety aAlteration of a Heritage Resource, necessary for those reasons stated in Rule 2.24.2. the purpose of improving structural performance (including earthquake strengthening work), fire safety or physical access.</p> <p>....</p> <p><u>2.25.2.3. The alteration must not involve the relocation, partial demolition, or full demolition of the Heritage Resource.</u></p> <p><u>2.25.2.4. The alteration must not result in any increase in the area of land occupied by the Heritage Resource.</u></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	61	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.26.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert the following discretionary activities</p> <p><u>2.26.3. Any land disturbance in a landscape identified in Appendix 1 that has historic heritage related associative values.</u></p> <p><u>2.26.4. Any subdivision of land containing a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13.</u></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	62	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.26.2.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 2.26.2 to read:</p> <p>2.26.2. Any land use activity involving with potential adverse effects on a Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1, 2 or 3 of Appendix 13 not provided for as a Permitted, Restricted Discretionary, or Prohibited Activity, including but not limited to, plantation forestry and harvesting, land disturbance, network utility infrastructure, and the construction of or addition to buildings or structures.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	63	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.27.	Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>Insert the following new prohibited activity:</p> <p><u>2.27.2. The destruction of a site of significance, including wahi tapu, to Maori identified in Schedule 3 of Appendix 13.</u></p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	64	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.27.1.	Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend 2.27.1 to read:</p> <p>The whole or partial demolition or removal relocation of a Category I Heritage Resource identified in Schedule 1 of Appendix 13.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	65	Volume 2	2 General Rules	2.32.1.10.	Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	Amend 2.32.1.10 to read: When a building is increased in floor area, or undergoes a partial change in use, parking requirements for the existing part of the building (if any), or that part remaining in the existing activity, will remain unaltered. Parking requirements for the increased floor area or that area with a new or altered use must be calculated in accordance with Table 2.1. For the purpose of this standard, 'partial' means an addition or alteration of more than 20% of the gross floor area over a 5 year timeframe. <u>This rule does not apply for any change of use of a Heritage Resource included in Schedule 1 or 2 of Appendix 13.</u>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	66	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Council should consult with tangata whenua to agree on how sites of significance to Maori should be referred to and then an appropriate definition be included in Chapter 25 Definitions. That the following definitions be added to the plan: Alteration means any changes to the fabric or characteristics of a building involving, but not limited to, the removal and replacement of walls, windows, ceilings, floors or roofs, either internally or externally and includes any sign attached to the building. It does not include repair or maintenance. Addition means an extension, or increase in floor area, number of stories, or height of a building or structure. It includes the construction of new floors, walls, ceilings, and roofs. Archaeological site has the same meaning as in Section 6 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014. Repair means the restoration to good or sound condition of any existing building or structure (or part of any existing building or structure) for the purpose of its maintenance. It includes reconstruction after damage caused by natural hazards.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	67	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Amend the definition for Maintenance of a building or structure to read: Maintenance of a building or structure means the protective care of a place. For clarity, the maintenance of a building or structure does not extend to the complete rebuild or replacement of the a building or structure.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	68	Volume 2	25 Definitions	25.	Oppose
Decision Requested	The definition be amended to only focus on replacement and the word 'maintenance' be removed.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	69	Volume 3	All		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the archaeological requirements appendix in Attachment 2 be added to Volume Three of the Plan.					

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	70	Volume 3	Appendix 1 Landscape Schedule of Values		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the historic heritage related associative values remain as notified.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	71	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	<p>That Council add a new schedule to Appendix 13 for sites of significance to Maori, including wahi tapu, directly after the existing Schedule 2. Any sites of significance currently in Schedule 1 or 2 should be moved into the new schedule. For Schedule 1, these include MEP Reference 6 and 9. For Schedule 2, these include MEP Reference 1, 2, 3, 4, 49, 50, and 131.</p> <p>That any buildings or structures of historic heritage value located on a site of significance are included in Schedule 1 or 2.</p> <p>That Council note that where iwi do not want the exact location of a site of significance disclosed, specific methods may be required.</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	72	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to read:</p> <p>Schedule 1: Category 1A Heritage Resources</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	73	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	<p>Amend to read:</p> <p>Schedule 2: Category 1B and Locally Significant Heritage Resources</p>					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	74	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose

Sub No	Submitter	Point	Volume	Chapter	Provision	Type
Decision Requested	That the following be added to Schedule 2 of Category 2/B Heritage Resources: MEP Reference – 147 HNZ List No (if applicable) – 1534 Heritage Resource – Wairau Public Hospital Nurses' Home (Former) Address – 2 Hospital Road, Witherlea, Blenheim Value applies to – Building envelope Refer to Hard Copy Submission for information on the Wairau Public Hospital Nurses Home (Former).					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	75	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number for Heritage Resources MEP Reference 61.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	76	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number for Heritage Resources MEP Reference 73.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	77	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Oppose
Decision Requested	That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number.					
768	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	78	Volume 3	Appendix 13 Register of Significant Heritage Resources		Support in Part
Decision Requested	That the word 'proposed' be added inside parentheses after the Heritage New Zealand List Number.					
1066	Raewyn Heta	1	Volume 2	16 Coastal Marine Zone	16.7.5.	Oppose
Decision Requested	Ban all dredging in identified ecological marine sites and controlled only anchoring.					
1066	Raewyn Heta	2	Volume 2	4 Coastal Environment Zone	4.3.6.1.	Support in Part